

Mechanisms Ensuring Fidelity of Family X DNA Polymerases in Programmed DNA rearrangements in Paramecium tetraurelia

Antonin Nourisson, Sophia Missoury, Ahmed Haouz, Marc Delarue

▶ To cite this version:

Antonin Nourisson, Sophia Missoury, Ahmed Haouz, Marc Delarue. Mechanisms Ensuring Fidelity of Family X DNA Polymerases in Programmed DNA rearrangements in Paramecium tetraurelia. 2024. pasteur-04769537

HAL Id: pasteur-04769537 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04769537v1

Preprint submitted on 6 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Mechanisms Ensuring Fidelity of Family X DNA Polymerases in

2 **Programmed DNA rearrangements in** *Paramecium tetraurelia*

3

4 AUTHORS

- 5 Nourisson Antonin^{1,2}, Missoury Sophia¹, Haouz Ahmed³ and Delarue Marc^{1,*}
- 6 ¹ Unit of Architecture and Dynamics of Biological Macromolecules, Université Paris Cité, CNRS
- 7 UMR 3528, 25-28 rue du Docteur Roux, Institut Pasteur, 75015 Paris, France
- 8 ² Sorbonne Université, Collège Doctoral, ED 515, 75005 Paris, France
- 9 ³ Plate-forme de Cristallographie-C2RT, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité CNRS UMR 3528,
- 10 Paris, France
- * To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33 1 45 68 86 05; Email:
 delarue@pasteur.fr
- 13

14 **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

15

Mechanisms of fidelity of Paramecium PolX



Correct dNTP binding trigger both Loop3 and local rearrangement

19 ABSTRACT

20 Repairing programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) is crucial in the lifecycle of 21 Paramecium tetraurelia, especially during its sexual reproduction phase when its somatic 22 highly polyploid macronucleus is lost. The formation of a new macronucleus involves 23 Programmed Genome Rearrangements, introducing DNA DSBs at approximately 45,000 loci. 24 P. tetraurelia employs a Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ)-related mechanism for the 25 systematic repair of these DSBs. Four genes encoding DNA polymerases of family X are 26 present in the genome, one of which was found recently to colocalize with other proteins of 27 NHEJ. The question arises as to how they make almost no error. Here we show that these 28 enzymes are most similar to metazoan DNA polymerase λ and exhibit high fidelity through 29 two different molecular mechanisms. Using X-ray structure determination of polymerase 30 lambda mutants recapitulating sequence determinants of P. tetraurelia PolXs, we find both a 31 local conformational change that involves exchanging partners in a crucial salt bridge in the 32 active site upon binding of correct dNTPs, and a larger conformational change involving the 33 closure of Loop3. This stabilizes the template DNA in the active site, only in the presence of 34 the correct incoming dNTP. Differences with human pol λ and pol β are discussed.

36 INTRODUCTION

37 Paramecium tetraurelia exhibits nuclear dimorphism, with a single cell containing both a 38 micronucleus (MIC), used for reproduction, and a macronucleus (MAC), utilized for gene 39 expression (1). The MAC harbors up to 1600 copies of the 72 Mb somatic genome, while the 40 diploid MIC genome (108 Mb) contains additional sequences, including Internal Eliminated 41 Sequences (IES) (2). During the sexual reproduction cycle of P. tetraurelia, the MAC 42 undergoes fragmentation, and a new MAC is formed from a copy of the MIC through 43 extensive genome replication and rearrangements (3, 4). These large-scale alterations, known 44 as programmed genome rearrangements (PGR), entail the precise removal of approximately 45 45,000 IESs. IESs are excised from the MAC DNA by a domesticated transposase known as 46 PiggyMac (Pgm), which forms active complexes with non-catalytic Pgm-like proteins (5, 6). 47 The DNA elimination complex induces double-strand breaks (DSB) specifically at 48 dinucleotide 5'-TA-3' sites flanking the IES, followed by the elimination of the 5' terminal 49 nucleotide (Figure 1A). Recent studies revealed the participation of a specific Ku70/80 50 heterodimer in this complex (7) along with the XRCC4-Ligase IV complex (8-10), in a 51 specialized NHEJ mechanism dealing with programmed DNA DSBs. While the NHEJ system is 52 a priori capable to ensure fidelity in coordinated DNA DSB repair, especially in the presence 53 of cohesive ends, (11, 12), the removal of the 5' nucleotide at each DNA end following DSB 54 induction necessitates an additional gap-filling step before ligation, involving at least one 55 DNA polymerase to make the two DNA ends compatible (Figure 1A). These findings prompt 56 the question of the fidelity of the DNA polymerase involved (13, 14).

57 Four DNA PolXs genes, namely POLXa, b, c, and d, can be identified in the genome of P. 58 tetraurelia. In addition, colocalization of one of them, polXa, with the other proteins of NHEJ 59 (Ku and X4L4) described has just been (https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.07.18.604053v1). Here we will focus on these 60 61 four PolXs.

In metazoan (15), the gap-filling step is performed by DNA polymerases of family X (PolX) such as Pol λ (16) or Pol μ (17). Other members of the PolX family participate in short-range base excision repair (BER) (Pol β (18)), or a special version of NEHJ, namely V(D)J recombination (Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) (19)). Both Pol λ and Pol μ are

66 capable to deal with a wide spectrum of nucleic acids substrates resulting from different67 scenarii of DNA DSBs (20).

68 Apart from the N-terminal BRCT domain followed by a linker domain, PolX proteins consist 69 of an 8-kDa domain and a DNA polymerase catalytic domain (Figure 1B). The 8-kDa domain 70 aids in recognizing the 5' phosphate DNA ends and exhibits a deoxyribose phosphate (dRP) 71 lyase activity in Pol β and Pol λ (21, 22). The DNA polymerase catalytic domain is composed of 72 3 subdomains (fingers, palm and thumb) and contains the catalytic aspartate triad, a steric 73 gate motif (YFTGS, implicated in discriminating NTPs from dNTPs), three loops and two 74 Sequence Determinant (SD1 and SD2) motifs (Figure 1B). Loop1 and (SD1) motif are specific 75 to Polu and TdT (23); their role is to help in the stabilization of a 5' recessing template strand 76 upstream the DSB, followed by a short microhomology (MH) region (24, 25), (26). The SD2 77 motif sequence is unique to each group of PoIX. In TdT, the SD2 motif has been proposed to bind an additional divalent Zn²⁺ ion, but its precise role remains unclear (27). For TdT and 78 79 Pol μ point mutations in this SD2 motif deeply affect the polymerase activity (27, 28). In Pol λ , 80 the residues equivalent to Loop1 are involved in fidelity by controlling the placement of the 81 primer DNA in the active site (29). The role of the SD2 motif has been shown to participate in 82 the fidelity mechanism of Pol β (30). Loop3 is unique to Pol λ and related homologues; its 83 function is not currently known, but it has been observed by x-ray crystallography to 84 undergo a large conformational change during substrate fixation and catalysis in the active 85 site (31). In the presence of a correct incoming dNTP, Loop3 relocates closely to the DNA template strand and stabilizes the active site (31). Conversely, with incorrect dNTPs, Loop3 86 87 appears to be flexible, making it challenging to see it in crystal structures. In these instances, 88 it does not interact closely with DNA, which is sub-optimally positioned in the active site for 89 catalysis. Altogether, these recent kinetic crystallography experiments of pol λ show that 90 Loop3 likely plays a role in catalysis and potentially contributes to fidelity in Pol λ , but this 91 requires further experimental functional evidence.

92 Notably, PolXs enzymes involved in NHEJ typically share a permanently closed conformation 93 (32) and display low fidelity, particularly Pol μ and TdT (33). On the other hand, the BER-94 associated Pol β displays the highest fidelity of metazoan PolX, which is mainly attributed to a 95 large conformational change occurring when the right dNTP binds (34). In the presence of 96 DNA and an incorrect incoming dNTP, the catalytic domain is in an open conformation with

97 its thumb subdomain located away from DNA, and R258 forms a salt bridge with catalytic 98 D192, diverting it from the active site and preventing catalysis (30). However, when DNA and 99 the correct dNTP are present in the active site, the enzyme adopts a closed form, in which 100 the thumb subdomain closes and stabilizes DNA in the active site, and the side chain of F272 101 (involved in the steric gate) now separates R258 and D192. In this active conformation, R258 102 forms a salt bridge with the glutamate residue of the SD2 motif (NEY), and D192 is now 103 available for catalysis. (34). Interestingly, in Pol β the dNTP and DNA binding sites are not 104 preformed, which in contrast with the apo form of Pol μ and Pol λ where there is a preformed 105 DNA binding site, and with the apo form of Pol λ that binds dNTPs in absence of DNA (35). 106 The mutator effect of this prestabilization of dNTPs is counterbalanced by an hydrophobic 107 cluster that regulates the transition to an active state of the catalytic site (35, 36).

108 The four DNA PolXs genes, POLXa, b, c, and d stemm from two whole genome duplications 109 (WGD) (37). These genes are paralogues and exhibit varying degrees of sequence identity. At 110 the protein sequence level, PolXa and PolXb share 90% sequence identity, while PolXc and 111 PolXd share 84% of sequence identity, and the two subgroups collectively share 71% of 112 sequence identity (Figure 1B). Notably, PolXa is overexpressed during PGR in P. tetraurelia 113 (38). They share sequence similarities with both human Pol β (37% sequence identity) and 114 Polλ (39% sequence identity), mainly restricted to the polymerase domain, and they exhibit 115 common features in their domain organization, including a putative N-terminal BRCT domain, 116 a linker domain, an 8-kDa domain containing residues possibly involved in dRP lyase activity, 117 and a catalytic DNA polymerase domain resembling DNA polymerases λ and β (Figure 1B). 118 The BRCT domains of *P. tetraurelia* PolXs do not align well with the ones of metazoan PolXs 119 by BlastP searches but AlphaFold confidently predicts the presence of a BRCT domain in all 120 four variants (Suppl. Figure S1). However, the linker domain appears to be different from 121 the one in Pol λ_i as it is highly SP-rich in Pol λ and predicted by PONDR to be disordred 122 (confirmed by AlphaFold), while it is not in *P. tetraurelia* PolXs and could be partially ordered 123 according to AlphaFold (Figure S1). The BRCT domain is responsible of the association of 124 the PolX with the rest of the NHEJ complex, especially the Ku70/80 heterodimer. Here we ask 125 what makes the polymerase domain of these DNA PolX special with respect to human pol μ 126 and pol λ and how they could contribute to the high fidelity of *P. tetraurelia*'s NHEJ process,

ensuring fully reliable repair after the excision of IES by Pgm and their recruitment by LigaseIV (8, 12).

129 In the following we present the functional characterization of *Paramecium* PolXs (Ptet-PolX), 130 and show that their enzymatic kinetics parameters are similar to $Pol\lambda's$, but with a better 131 fidelity relying only on their catalytic domain. By structural studies of mutants of human pol 132 lambda mutants carrying sequence determinants of *P. tetraurelia* polX identified by careful 133 sequence analysis, we found two mechanisms that could explain the fidelity of the Ptet PolXs: 134 (i) a local induced fit with an exchange of partner in a salt bridge in the catalytic site, like Pol β 135 (but without its major domain rearrangement and open/closed transition), and (ii) the closing 136 of Loop3, that could be described as a more global substrate-induced conformational 137 change.

139 MATERIAL AND METHODS

140 Wild-type and mutant constructs of PolX

141 In this study, we purified different constructs of *Paramecium* PolXs. PolXd (ParameciumDB 142 PTET.51.1.P1010039) was expressed and purified as a full-length construct (PolXdFL), while 143 three constructs lacking residues 1-266 (including the N-terminal BRCT domain and the 144 linker domain) generated: were PolXa∆Nter (WT sequence: ParameciumDB 145 PTET.51.1.P0210235), PolXbΔNter (WT sequence: *Paramecium*DB PTET.51.1.P0360066), and 146 PolXd
Anter. Additionally, three mutant versions of PolXa
Anter were created: PolXa
Anter-147 K534A (mutation K534A), PolXa Δ Nter-K534R (mutation K534R), and PtetLoop3 β where 148 residues 581 to 588 (Loop3) were replaced by the corresponding sequence of Pol β (GVA).

149 Wild-type versions of human Pol λ (Uniprot Q9HBN3) and Pol β (Uniprot P06746) were also 150 produced. Furthermore, five mutant versions of human Pol λ were generated, all featuring the 151 following mutations originally described in Jamsen et al. (32): Δ 1-241 (Δ Nter), replacement of 152 residues 464-472 (Loop1) with the equivalent sequence in pol β (KGET), and C544A. This 153 construct will be referred to as λ ref in the following. The specific mutations in the other 154 constructs were as follows (Table 1): I492R (λmutR), I492R and residues 528 to 530 (SD2 155 region) replaced by the corresponding –much shorter- polß sequence NEY (λ SD2 β), a single 156 mutation I492K (λmutK), and two mutations - I492K and E529D (λSD2Ptet). Additionally, 157 another construct based on human Pol λ , named $\lambda \log 3\beta$, was produced and purified, with 158 residues 539-547 (Loop3) replaced by the corresponding sequence of Pol β (GVA).

All the codon-optimized sequences for WT constructs are indicated in Supplementary Table
S1, and mutated constructs and used primers are described in Supplementary Table S2.

161 Cloning, overexpression, and purification of *Paramecium* PolXs (Ptet-PolXs)

The synthetic genes encoding Ptet-PolXs were optimized for expression in *E. coli* and synthesized using ThermoFisher's GeneArt service. These genes were then cloned into a modified pRSF1-Duet expression vector with a cleavable N-terminal 14-histidine tag. For the PolXdFL and PolXbΔNter constructs, cloning was done using New England Biolabs and Anza (Thermo Fisher Scientific) restriction enzymes, while PCR and NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs) were utilized for the other constructs. The mutant constructs of PolXa INter were generated via site-directed mutagenesis performed by PCR (primers are
indicated in **Supplementary Table S2**) and using the KLD enzyme kit (New England Biolabs).

E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) cells (Invitrogen) were transformed with the engineered plasmids and cultured at 37°C in LB medium with kanamycin resistance selection. Induction was carried out at OD = 0.6–1.0 with 1 mM IPTG, followed by overnight incubation at 20°C. After harvesting, cells were homogenized in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 600 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole), sonicated, and centrifuged to remove bacterial debris. The resulting lysate supernatants were treated with Benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich) and protease inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before purification.

The proteins of interest were isolated by purifying the clarified cell lysates on a HisTrap column, using buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 600 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) as the washing buffer and 500 mM imidazole in the elution buffer. For the PolXbΔNter construct, a different washing buffer (25 mM Sodium Phosphate pH 8, 1 M NaCl) was utilized to avoid nucleic acid contamination. The collected proteins were then dialyzed to 75 mM NaCl and repurified on a HiTrap Heparin column with an elution at 1 M NaCl. Both purification columns were from Cytiva.

Protein purity was analysed using SDS-PAGE 4-15% or 4-12% gels with a molecular weight ladder (Precision Plus Protein, Biorad) as a control. The enzymes were concentrated using Amicon Ultra 30k MWCO centrifugal filters (Merck), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored directly at -80 °C until further use.

188 Cloning, overexpression, and purification of human Polβ

The gene encoding human Polβ was commercially synthesized by Genscript and inserted into the modified pRSF1-Duet expression vector with a cleavable N-terminal 14-histidine tag. Production and purification followed the same protocol as described for the previous constructs, with the use of specific buffers: Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole), Buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole), Dilution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8), Buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 100 mM NaCl), Buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 M NaCl).

196 Cloning, overexpression, and purification of human Polλ and mutant constructs

197 The gene expressing human Pol λ was commercially synthesized by Genscript and inserted 198 into the modified pRSF1-Duet expression vector with a cleavable N-terminal 14-histidine tag. 199 Production and purification followed the same protocol as described for the previous 200 constructs, utilizing the following buffers : Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 10 201 mM imidazole, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol), Buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM 202 NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol), Dilution buffer (50 mM Tris-203 HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol), Buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 100 mM 204 NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol), Buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM 205 EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol).

All mutant constructs were obtained by PCR (primers are indicated in **Supplementary Table S2**) and using the KLD enzyme mix (New England Biolabs) from the plasmid used for the expression of Hs Pol λ , and purified similarly to the WT protein. An additional step of sizeexclusion chromatography was performed on a HiLoad Superdex 200 16/60 PG gel filtration column (Cytiva) in Storage Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 100 mM NaCl). All purified proteins were concentrated to 16 to 20 mg/ml and stored at -80 °C after flash freezing in liquid nitrogen until further use.

213 Gap-filling and DSB-cis polymerase assays

Primer extension activity assays were conducted in a reaction buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, and 5% glycerol. Reaction solutions comprised 1 μ M of templating oligonucleotide, 1 μ M of FAM 5'-labelled DNA primer, and either 250 μ M dGTP or a mixture of all four dNTPs (250 μ M each).

218 For the gap-filling assay, the used oligonucleotides were the following: 5'-FAM-219 5′-AATCACCAGTACGCCGTTGCGT-3',5'-p-TATCGCCATGACGCGGTTCTGGTCC-3', 220 GGACCAGAACCGCGTCATGGCGATACACGCAACGGCGTACTGGTGATT-3'. Reactions were 221 incubated for 30 minutes at 27°C with 1 nM of polymerase (Ptet-PolX) or for 5 minutes at 222 37°C with 50 nM of polymerase (HsPolλ). In the DSB cis assay, the oligonucleotides were the 223 following: 5'-FAM-AATCACCAGTACGCCGTTGCGT-3', 5'-p-224 TATCGCCATGACGCGGTTCTGGTCC-3', 5'-TACACGCAACGGCGTACTGGTGATT-3', 5'-225 GGACCAGAACCGCGTCATGGCG-3'. Reactions were incubated for 30 minutes at 27°C (the 226 optimal growth temperature of *P. tetraurelia*) with various concentrations of PolXa Δ Nter.

227 Prior to adding the protein, DNA was hybridized by heating up to 90°C and gradually cooled 228 down to room temperature. For the primer extension assay, the used oligonucleotides were 229 the following: 5'-FAM-AATTGTCATAAGCTTATGCG-3', 5'-p-5′-230 TATCGCCATGACGCGGTTCTGGTCC-3', GGGGTAGCTGCGCATAAGCTTATGACAATT-3'. 231 Reactions were incubated for 30 minutes at 27°C with 1 µM of polymerase (Ptet-PolX) or at 232 37° C with 50 nM of polymerase (HsPol λ).

Reactions were terminated by adding two volumes of a buffer containing 10 mM EDTA, 98% formamide, and 1 mg/mL bromophenol blue, and stored at -20°C. Products were preheated at 95°C for 10 minutes, separated using denaturing urea-polyacrylamide (18%) gel electrophoresis, and visualized by FAM fluorescence on a Typhoon FLA 9000 imager. All oligonucleotides were obtained from Eurogentec, Eurofins, or Biomers, dNTPs from Fermentas (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and chemicals from Sigma-Aldrich.

239 dRP lyase assay

240 For the dRP lyase assay, DNA was prepared using the following procedure: a 31-mer DNA

241 strand (5'-GTACCCGGGGATCCGTACAGCGCATCAGCTGCAG-3') and its complementary U-

242 containing DNA strand

243 (5'-CTGCAGCTGATGCGCUGTACGGATCCCCGGGTAC-3'), each at a concentration of 50 μ M, 244 were hybridized as described above. Next, 4 picomoles of hybridized DNA were mixed with 245 USER3 mix (New England Biolabs) in ThermoPol buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 10 mM 246 (NH₄)₂SO₄, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1% Triton® X-100), and the mixture was incubated 247 for 2 hours at 65°C.

Subsequently, in a reaction volume of 10 μ L, 1 μ M of USER3-treated DNA was mixed with a 250 μ M dNTP mix, 400 units of T4 DNA ligase, and 50 nM of each DNA polymerase (HsPolß or PolXa Δ Nter), in an activity buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP). The mixture was then incubated for 30 minutes at 27°C (for Ptet-PolX) or 37°C (for HsPol β) and analyzed by urea-PAGE in denaturing conditions as described previously.

254 Enzymatic steady state characterization

255 DNA polymerization assays were conducted between 3 to 12 times using the methodology

256 described above. Each assay utilized 1 μM of gap-filling DNA and 5 nM of DNA polymerases,

with varying concentrations of dNTPs, and proceeded for 10 minutes at 27°C.

The resulting gels were subjected to analysis using ImageJ software, and quantification of the product DNA was carried out using Microsoft Excel. Enzyme velocity (measured in nM/min) was plotted against the concentration of dGTP. These plotted data points were then fitted to a nonlinear regression curve utilizing the Michaelis-Menten equation with GraphPad Prism 10 software. From the fitted curves, values for k_{obs} and K_M were obtained.

263 **One-point single turnover fidelity assay**

The fidelity assays were conducted following the protocol established by Fiala *et al.* (39) in a buffer comprising 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 5 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA. Each reaction mixture contained 30 nM of gapfilling DNA, 120 mM of each dNTP, and 120 nM of DNA polymerases. The reactions were carried out at 37°C (for HsPolλ) or 27°C (for Ptet-PolX) for 50 minutes. Following completion, the reactions were stopped and subsequently analyzed as described previously.

270 Clustering of the PolX family

271 6500 PolXs sequences were obtained by PSI-BLAST (40) of the NCBI (41) non redundant 272 database, using the sequence of Ptet-PolXa as a probe. Sequences were sorted by query 273 coverage, and the last chosen sequence displayed the following parameters: query coverage = 52%; e-value = 2.10^{-37} ; % identity = 32,02%. To obtain representative sequences for the bacterial 274 275 PolXs and yeast PollV groups, three other PSI-BLAST were performed, using as probes PolX from 276 Thermus thermophilus [NCBI ID: WP_096410530.1], PolX from Deinococcus radiodurans [NCBI ID: 277 WP_010887112.1] and PolIV from Saccharomyces cerevisiae [NCBI ID: AJP37443.1]. From each 278 search, the top 250 sequences were chosen.

The FASTA file containing 7250 final sequences was processed by the CLANS web-utility from the MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit (42, 43). The clustering simulation was conducted using the Java version of CLANS (44) with default parameters. The sequences were randomly distributed in 3D space, converging to individual clusters after several hundred steps of the simulation. The simulation was let to run for a total of 20,000 steps, during which no further modification of the positions appeared. The simulation was run without applying a p-value cut-off: additional simulations with cut-offs $(10^{-10} \text{ and } 10^{-20})$ resulted in a shrinking and fragmentation of the clusters, leading to the impossibility to observe known relationships between PolX. Clusters were curated manually. Several independent simulations converged to almost identical cluster distributions, with no discrepancy regarding the critical details. Simulations not enriched with bacterial or yeast PolXs sequences resulted in equivalent distributions.

291 Sequence multialignment

A multialignment of various eukaryotic PolXs sequences was computed using PSI-Coffee (45)
 and encompass all regions of interest located in the DNA polymerase domain. Graphical
 representation of the multiple sequence alignments was generated using ESPript 3 (46), with
 secondary structures from the human Polλ (PDB 7M43) provided for reference.

296 **Domain prediction**

The on-line version of PONDR (47) was used to predict potential disordered regions in *P. tetraurelia* and human PolXs. AlphaFold3 (48) was used to predict their structure. The PAGSTscore was calculated over a sliding window of 10 residues and smoothed over a window of 5 residues.

301 Crystallization

302 For crystallization of λ Ref and its variants, the DNA substrate was prepared in the following 303 way: an 11-mer template oligonucleotide (5' - CGGCAGTACTG - 3') was annealed with a 6-mer 304 upstream primer oligonucleotide (5'-CAGTAC-3') and a 5'-phosphorylated downstream 4-305 mer primer oligonucleotide (5'-pGCCG-3') in a 1:1:1 ratio. The crystallization plates were 306 prepared according to the procedure outlined by Jamsen et al. (31). In brief, the protein (16 307 mg/mL) was combined with DNA in a 1:2 molar ratio and incubated at 40° C for 20h. 308 Following the addition of 20mM dNTP, either dTTP (matched) or dCTP (unmatched), and 309 $10 \square mM$ CaCl₂, the mixture was further incubated for $2 \square h$ on ice. Crystallization drops were 310 set up at 4° C by mixing in a 1:1 ratio the Pol λ -DNA-dNTP ternary complexes with a 311 crystallization solution consisting of 20 mM Bicine pH 7.5, 300 mM Na-K tartrate, and 14-20% 312 PEG 600/1000/10K/20K/Smear Low/Smear Medium/Smear High. For the crystallization of the

313 mutant λmutK, an optimization of the best condition (20 mM Bicine pH 7.5, 300 mM Na-K

tartrate, 17.5% PEG 20K) was conducted by screening additives (using the Additive Screen HT

kit #HR2-428, Hampton Research) and lowering the protein concentration to 10 mg/mL. The

resulting crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen after being cryo-protected with the

317 crystallization solution supplemented with 25% ethylene glycol.

318 Data collection and refinement

X-ray crystallographic data were collected at the SOLEIL synchrotron (Saint-Aubin, France) 319 320 utilizing beamlines PX1 and PX2A. The data were processed using XDS (49) with the XDSME 321 pipeline or autoPROC (50). The strong diffraction anisotropy of λ SD2 β dataset was taken into 322 account with StarANISO (Staraniso GlobalPhasing, https://staraniso.globalphasing.org). 323 Subsequently, the structures were solved by PHASER (https://www.globalphasing.com/) 324 molecular replacement using a HsPol\u00c0 model (PDB ID: 7M43) as template and refined in 325 Buster (51), with manual reconstruction performed using Coot (52). Details for all datasets 326 are summarized in Table 2.

328 RESULTS

329 Characterization of Ptet-PolXs enzymatic activities, kinetics, and fidelity

330 We tested the activity of several N-terminal truncated versions of Paramecium PolXs (PolXa-331 ,PolXb- and PolXd- Δ Nter) with a relevant DNA substrate, referred to as DSB-cis (**Figure 2A**). 332 This substrate mimics the DNA ends formed after IES elimination by Pgm in P. tetraurelia, 333 featuring the conserved TA dinucleotide and presenting a DSB, with the template nucleotide 334 *in cis* relative to the DNA undergoing extension (like in the gap-filling step on **Figure 1A**). 335 Without the 2-bp microhomology between the upstream and downstream DNA, it would 336 resemble a short primer extension scenario, which Ptet-PolXs are indeed capable of doing, 337 although not very efficiently (Figure S1A). All DNA polymerases efficiently discriminate 338 dNTPs versus NTPs, as they do not incorporate any ribonucleotide, whether with only GTP or 339 with a mix of NTPs, at any enzyme concentration. This is consistent with the conservation of 340 Pol λ 's steric gate residues YFTGS (**Figure S2**). Moreover, all Ptet PolXs are as efficient with 341 dGTP as with a dNTP mix, leading to a specificity ratio R=[Intensity of the +1 band with 342 dGTP]/[Intensity of the +1 band with dNTP mix] comprised between 0.95 and 1.00.

To compare Ptet-PolXs activities with human Pol λ , we performed a single nucleotide gapfilling experiment (**Figure 2A**). In this assay four Ptet-PolXs constructs (PolXa \square Nter, PolXb \square Nter, PolXd \square Nter and PolXdFL) demonstrate comparable activity to HsPol λ . They accurately incorporate a single nucleotide on the FAM-labeled primer with dGTP alone or a mix of dNTPs, and efficiently discriminate NTPs. The specificity ratio is 0.90 for human DNA pol λ .

The N-terminal BRCT and linker domains do not appear to affect catalysis in this context, as
evidenced by identical results obtained with both PolXdFL and PolXdΔNter constructs.

We evaluated the dRP lyase activity of the PolXa Δ Nter construct, considering that its closest metazoan homologues, Pol β and Pol λ , both exhibit this activity *in vitro*. In an *in vitro* assay designed to reconstitute a short-range base excision repair context, PolXa Δ Nter exhibits lyase activity comparable to HsPol β , indicating a dRP lyase activity (**Figure S1B**), which is probably shared by the all other Ptet-PolXs, as they also carry the needed residues. 356 To further compare their activity to human Pol λ and β , we characterized the kinetics of 357 incorporation of one representative from each subgroup, PolXa Δ Nter and PolXd Δ Nter, under 358 the same conditions as those used previously for human Pol λ (53) and Pol β (54), within a 359 gap-filling context. As depicted in **Figure 2B**, PolXa Δ Nter and PolXd Δ Nter exhibit distinct 360 catalytic characteristics in this assay: the measured k_{obs} of PolXa Δ N ter is three times lower 361 than PolXd Δ Nter but has a three times higher affinity for dGTP, indicated by a higher K_M. 362 Ultimately, they demonstrate similar catalytic efficiencies of approximately 6 min⁻¹ μ M⁻¹. 363 Comparing these results with previous data reported for human PolX (53, 54), their kinetic 364 parameters more closely resemble those of Pol λ than Pol β . Specifically, they exhibit a similar 365 affinity for dGTP as HsPol λ DNter, with a K_M in the millimolar range, but also possess a 3 to 10 366 times higher turnover number. Consequently, they appear to be 6 times more efficient than 367 HsPol λ Inter, but they display a low catalytic efficiency compared to HsPol β .

368 To assess the fidelity of Ptet-PolXs in comparison to human Pol λ_i , we conducted a qualitative 369 one-point single turnover assay inspired by previous experiments (39). The results obtained 370 for HsPol λ and its mutant λ ref lacking both N-terminal BRCT and linker domains are in line 371 with previous results from literature (39) (Figure 2C): the full-length enzyme exhibits good 372 fidelity, albeit with a slight dATP incorporation (in line with (55)), while the λ ref construct 373 appears to make more misincorporations, incorporating several dGTP and dTTP, as well as 374 single dATP and dCTP. In the case of *Paramecium* PolXs, PolXa Dter and PolXdFL exhibit a 375 common behavior, both of them effectively incorporating the correct nucleotide (dGTP) and 376 not any incorrect dNTP. Thus, Ptet-PolXs demonstrates good fidelity, at least comparable to 377 full-length HsPolA. Notably, the fact that the fidelity of Ptet-PolXs remains consistent 378 regardless of the presence or absence of the N-terminal BRCT and linker domains, suggests 379 that this fidelity originates only from the enzyme's polymerase domain.

380 Comparison of Ptet-PolXs sequences with other DNA PolX

To understand the position of Ptet-PolXs in the PolX family and the origin of their high fidelity, we used the CLANS classification method (44) on 7250 representative PolXs sequences from diverse organisms (*Paramecium*, metazoan, fungi, viridiplantae, bacteria) obtained by PSI-BLAST. As indicated in **Figure 3A**, 12 clusters were identified manually after convergence of the refinement process using 20000 cycles. We confirmed the accuracy of 386 the method by finding the same relationships between the different subgroups of PolXs that 387 were known and analyzed previously using a smaller dataset (56). Figure 3A shows that the 388 metazoan clusters Pol μ (#2) and TdT (#1) are close, as expected; the same is true for the Pol λ 389 (#5) and Pol β (#8) clusters. Also, other clusters seem to be close to Pol λ , such as PolXs from 390 plants (cluster #4), that were classified as Pol\u00e0 until now (15, 57) or fungal PolXs (cluster #3 391 and #6) (58). The most divergent PolXs clusters are those including yeast PolIV (or Pol4) and 392 bacterial PolXs. Interestingly, bacterial PolXs are separated in two clusters, containing 393 canonical and non-canonical PolX, as described by Prostova et al. (59).

394 We then compared representative sequence motifs of PolXs from each cluster (catalytic 395 motifs, SD1/2 motifs, loops 1/2/3, steric gate motifs). The obtained logos (Figure 3C) allowed 396 us to compare the 12 groups and define specific motifs that can be used to determine the 397 group of any new PolX. Those results, along with sequence alignments with known 398 eukaryotic PolXs (Figure S2), indicated that Ptet-PolXs and the other sequences from their 399 group (cluster #9, associated with the clade Harosa that includes ciliates) share similarities 400 with both Pol λ and Pol β , including in the steric gate and residues involved in dRP lyase 401 activity. Instead, they appear to be distant from TdT and Pol μ as they do not contain Loop1 402 nor the same SD1 motif (60).

403 Ptet-PolXs also exhibit a similarity with Pol β in their second catalytic motif (RIDLK). In 404 metazoan Polß, this motif comprises five conserved residues: RIDIR. The C-terminal arginine 405 residue (R258) plays a crucial role in the induced-fit mechanism of Pol β (30). Unusually 406 among PolXs, Paramecium PolXs also display a positively charged residue at this position 407 (K534). They also have an equivalent for all the other residues involved in this induced-fit 408 mechanism: the three catalytic aspartates, the steric gate F506, and a negatively charged 409 residue at the second position of the SD2 motif (NEY in Pol β , SDH in Ptet-PolX). On the basis 410 of this conservation of equivalent residues (especially the positively charged side chain in the 411 second catalytic motif) we formed the hypothesis that Ptet-PolXs could also benefit from an 412 induced-fit mechanism to achieve their high fidelity. In the following, we will test this 413 hypothesis experimentally.

Additionally, Ptet-PolXs display a Loop3 sequence, like metazoan Polλs and related
sequences (Plants PolXs, Polλ-like PolXs of Fungi) that is more positively charged than in

416 metazoan Pol λ 's (pI of 10.3 vs 8.23 in HsPol λ). Since Loop3 is involved in DNA stabilization 417 during correct catalysis in human Pol λ (31), it could have the same role in Ptet-PolX. This

418 hypothesis will also be tested here by site-directed mutagenesis.

Mutants of Ptet-PolXs and human Polλ designed to probe a Polβ-like induced-fit mechanism

421 Considering the observed accuracy and fidelity of Ptet-PolXs compared to HsPol λ , we 422 explored the first hypothesis suggested by sequence analysis, involving a pol β -like induced-423 fit mechanism. First we conducted a fidelity assay to compare the fidelity of WT and mutant 424 versions of PolXa⊡Nter (Figure 4A) involving K534. The PolXa∆Nter K534A construct displays 425 strong misincorporations, as it incorporates any dNTP. The mutation at this position also has 426 an effect on the kinetics of the enzyme, since this mutant is the only tested construct to have 427 transformed all of the initial substrates with the correct incoming dNTP. On the other hand, 428 the K534R mutant seems to have a lower effect on fidelity in this assay, and no effect on 429 correct catalysis.

To further understand this mechanism, we engineered and purified five other mutants of human DNA polymerase λ , the closest relative of Ptet-PolX, at positions believed to confer partly or totally the putative induced-fit mechanism of Pol β . The λ mutR construct I492R involves the position equivalent to Pol β 's R258 residue, and the λ SD2 β mutant has an additional mutation giving it the SD2 motif of Pol β (NEY).

Two other mutants were prepared to give Pol λ the equivalent residues of Ptet-PolXs : λ mutK has the mutation I492K, and the λ SD2Ptet mutant has a second mutation E529D, giving it the SD2 motif of *Paramecium* PolXs (SDH). See Table 1 for a full description of these mutants and their rationale.

After purification, all mutants underwent a steady-state characterization in gap-filling context (Figure 4B and 4C) and x-ray crystallography analysis (Figure 5) in presence of Ca^{2+} ions to prevent catalysis (61). The λ mutR mutant exhibits diminished enzymatic activity compared to the WT enzyme (λ ref), indicated by a 2-fold lower k_{obs} along with an increased affinity for incoming nucleotides, denoted by a 19-fold lower K_M. The structural analysis of this mutant (see Figure 5, top left panel) revealed that the side chain of the introduced arginine residue

is positioned in proximity to the catalytic residue D490 (~ 3 Å), which is diverted away from 445 446 the active site. When this mutant is further engineered to include the SD2 motif of Pol β (NEY), 447 it triggers significant differences in enzymatic behavior and local structural changes, but no 448 large movements of domains. This λ SD2 β mutant displays near-complete inactivity, as 449 evidenced by a 10-fold reduced k_{obsr} , despite maintaining an almost normal K_M in the 450 millimolar range. Crystallographic data for this mutant produced different results compared 451 to other mutants. While all other mutants crystallized in the $P2_12_12_1$ space group with one 452 molecule per asymmetric unit, this specific mutant crystallized in the H32 space group with 453 two molecules in the asymmetric unit, displaying highly anisotropic diffraction data (Table 2), 454 resulting in two slightly different structures (see **Figure 5**, middle and bottom left panels). 455 However, both molecules exhibit the same structural characteristics: closed conformation, 456 absence of an incoming nucleotide in the active site, with residue R492 positioned between 457 the catalytic D490 residue and the NEY SD2 motif, at approximately 3.5-4 Å from both. This 458 proximity suggests potential stabilization through salt bridges, either with catalytic D490 or 459 with both glutamate and tyrosine of the SD2 motif. Additionally, in this instance, Loop3 does 460 not engage with an interaction with the DNA template strand, which is improperly placed for 461 catalysis in the active site (Figure 6B).

462 The λ mutk mutant was designed to emulate in Pol λ the putative pol β -like induced-fit 463 mechanism of *Paramecium* PoIX. Remarkably, its kinetics closely resemble that of the λ ref 464 construct, particularly in terms of k_{obs} , despite exhibiting a 3.8-fold lower K_{M} , indicative of a 465 higher affinity for the incoming nucleotide. However, the most notable feature of this mutant 466 is its reduced fidelity: under the same steady-state conditions as those used for testing the 467 correct incorporation of dGTP, it is the only mutant that demonstrated clear 468 misincorporations and double incorporations of the correct nucleotide in the 1-nt gap filling 469 substrate (Figure 4B). Structurally, the mutant closely resembles the WT enzyme with a 470 closed conformation, but the side chain of K492 is participating in a salt bridge with E529 471 (WT SD2 motif) (see Figure 5, top right panel). A significant departure from the WT enzyme 472 is observed in the orientation of the side chains of Y271 and F272. In this mutant (as well as 473 in λ SD2 β and λ SD2Ptet mutants), these two residues adopt a perpendicular conformation 474 resembling that seen in the inactive binary complex of HsPol λ or Pol β with DNA, which is 475 unexpected given the presence of the correct incoming nucleotide.

476 The λ SD2Ptet mutant encompasses all residues potentially implicated in an induced-fit 477 mechanism in *Paramecium* PolX. Its sole sequence difference with the λ mutK mutant is the 478 E529D mutation, which mimics the SD2 motif of *Paramecium* PoIX. Unlike the λ mutK mutant, 479 this variant exhibits no misincorporation behavior and demonstrates kinetics closely resembling λ mutK, with slightly improved k_{obs} and marginally lower K_M, resulting in enhanced 480 catalytic efficiency (1.64 μ M⁻¹min⁻¹ for λ ref vs. 9.94 μ M⁻¹min⁻¹ for the λ SD2Ptet mutant and 481 482 6.45 μ M⁻¹.min⁻¹ for λ mutK). Structural analysis shows two different local conformations within 483 the active site (Figure S3), both present in the structure obtained in presence of the correct 484 incoming nucleotide (dTTP). However, no major conformational changes are observed for 485 this mutant, whose polymerase domain conserves a closed form. In a so called "active" 486 conformation (see Figure 5, middle right panel), all catalytic aspartates are correctly oriented 487 in the catalytic site, with K492 engaged in water-mediated bonds with D529 of the SD2 motif. 488 This aspartate participates in bonds not only with its side chain but also with its main chain 489 carboxyl group. In contrast, in an "inactive" conformation (see Figure 5, bottom right panel) 490 obtained with an incorrect incoming nucleotide (and within the same structure with the 491 correct nucleotide, see Figure S3), K492 is located 3.7 Å from catalytic D429, which is 492 directed toward this lysine, suggesting the presence of a salt bridge between the two, 493 diverting D429 from the catalytic site. In this scenario, the incorrect nucleotide (dCTP) 494 occupies the active site with an incorrect placement.

In conclusion, these structural and functional studies support a mechanism by which K492 can locally switch between two conformations, an inactive one forming a salt bridge with the catalytic aspartate D429, and a catalytically active one where it is engaged in a completely opposed salt bridge with D529 from SD2 region. This occurs without a big domain rearrangement as seen in pol β .

500 Determination of the role of Loop3 in fidelity

To investigate the impact of the conserved Loop3 in the fidelity of Ptet-PolXs and HsPol λ , we generated and purified mutant versions of PolXa Δ Nter and HsPol λ , lacking their respective Loop3 sequences, namely PtetLoop3 β and λ Loop3 β . We conducted a qualitative fidelity assay to compare the fidelity of the mutant and WT versions (**Figure 6A**) and the results clearly demonstrate that the constructs lacking the Loop3 display a stronger 506 misincorporative behavior. Specifically, the PolXa Δ Nter construct demonstrates minimal 507 errors, while the PtetLoop3 β construct erroneously incorporates dATP, dTTP, and dCTP. A 508 similar trend is observed for HsPol λ , with the mutant construct incorporating dATP and dTTP 509 but seemingly no dCTP. Notably, the correct incorporation of dGTP remains unaffected by 510 the introduced mutations. The predominant misincorporation involves double dTTP 511 incorporation.

512 Structural analysis allowed us to put forward a tentative explanation for these enzymatic 513 results. For the λ SD2 β mutant, Loop3 appears to be positioned at a distance from the DNA 514 and exhibits increased flexibility with high B-factors (130 to 260 Å²), which contrasts with 515 other structures where it interacts with DNA both upstream and downstream of the 516 templating nucleotide (Figure 6B). Upon Loop3 closure, specific interactions are made with 517 DNA through salt bridges involving its positively charged residues (K544, R538, H541) and 518 DNA phosphates, as well as G542 which interacts with K521 to stabilize a phosphate group 519 (Figure 6B and 6D). Additionally, in those structures, H530 of the SD2 motif also interacts 520 with the phosphate group of the -3 nucleotide (**Figure 6D**). In the λ SD2 β structures, which 521 lack this interaction, DNA is displaced, and the templating nucleotide is not properly 522 positioned for catalysis, likely leading to its inability to stabilize an incoming nucleotide.

523 DISCUSSION

524 Paramecium PolXs activity resembles that of human Polλ

525 We assessed the activity of Ptet-PolXs with their physiological substrate encountered during 526 the programmed genome rearrangement in *P. tetraurelia*. Our results indicate an enzymatic 527 resemblance between these PolXs and both human DNA polymerases β and λ , as they all 528 exhibit gap-filling and dRP lyase activities, and do not incorporate NTPs. Their steady-state 529 characterization, compared with published results obtained for human Pol λ (53) and Pol β 530 (54), provide further insights into their enzymatic mechanim and points to a higher similarity 531 to Pola's. Thus, it is possible that Ptet-PolXs employ a structural mechanism akin to that of 532 Pola and other NHEJ-related PolX, maintaining a closed form of their active site throughout 533 catalysis, contrary to what is seen in $pol\beta$.

534 Ptet-PolXs appear to be more accurate than HsPolλ, independently of their BRCT and linker535 domains.

To understand the origin of this high fidelity, we compared Ptet-PolXs sequences with more than 7000 PolXs sequences from various organisms. The definition of 12 subgroups of PolXs allowed us to study the distinctive features of each group, and the similarities between them. Close examination of the conserved sequences and crucial motifs allowed to make two distinct hypotheses that could explain Ptet-PolXs fidelity: a local induced-fit mechanism, and a conformational change involving Loop3.

542 *Paramecium* PolXs fidelity can be explained by an induced-fit mechanism like in Polβ, 543 but without closing the whole polymerase domain

544 By testing the effects of point mutations located in the second catalytic motif of Ptet-PolX, 545 we showed that the presence of a positively charged residue at position 5 of the second 546 catalytic motif is necessary for the fidelity of the enzyme. This fidelity mechanism was 547 analyzed by enzyme kinetics and crystallographic structures of mutant constructs of Pola. 548 Indirect structural evidences, combined with direct enzymatic characterization experiments, 549 allow to suggest that PolXs involved in NHEJ have a local induced fit mechanism, which relies 550 on a lysine residue in the second catalytic mechanism exchanging salt-bridge partner. 551 Importantly, the structural analyses indicated that the steric gate residues were displaced

when the residues involved in this mechanism were present : they display an unusual conformation in presence of DNA and of a correct incoming nucleotide, similar to the conformation seen in Pol λ in absence of an incoming nucleotide, which allows the fixation of any nucleotide in the active site. In Pol λ , such a conformation is associated with a lowering of fidelity, but here it seems that the local induced-fit mechanism can counterbalance this lowering effect.

558 Human Polλ and Paramecium PolXs may share a crucial conformational change 559 involving Loop3

560 Fidelity assays on mutants of HsPolλ and PolXa□Nter lacking Loop3 revealed strong error-561 prone behaviors, indicating a fundamental role of Loop3 in fidelity (Figure 6). We suggest 562 that the mechanism by which this loop stabilizes template DNA could be also dependent on 563 the interaction of DNA with H530, the third residue of the SD2 motif in Polλ and *Paramecium* 564 PolX. Indeed, the interaction of H530 with DNA will be facilitated by the hybridization of the 565 correct incoming nucleotide with the templating nucleotide in the active site. In PolA It has 566 been shown that the hybridization of the correct incoming dNTP triggers repositioning of 567 the template DNA (62). This suggests a mechanism whereby, upon the entry of a correct 568 incoming nucleotide into the active site, its hybridization with the templating nucleotide is 569 further stabilized by R556 and R559 (R514 and R517 in Pol)). This repositions DNA and 570 brings it closer to H530, possibly allowing their interaction and triggering a cascading 571 interaction of Loop3 residues with DNA, "closing it" around DNA. Loop3 provides further 572 interactions with DNA, facilitating the catalysis. Previous studies have shown that the role of 573 Pol λ 's R517 is to stabilize and control the nascent base pair during dNTP-induced template 574 strand repositioning (62, 63) : when R517 is mutated, DNA does not reposition, neither do 575 the Loop3. This proposed mechanism is in line with the model proposed by Showalter and 576 Tsai (64) : they proposed that the nucleotide binding in the active site of polymerases 577 triggers conformational changes that allow for closing of the active site around substrates, 578 and that fidelity is dictated by the approach of the chemistry step, at which the energy 579 difference between Watson-Crick and mismatched nucleotide incorporations are at the 580 maximum. In Pol λ , there is no closing of the polymerase domain; however, the movement of 581 Loop3 mimicks the closing of the tip of the thumb subdomain as in Pol β and has the same 582 consequence: the stabilization of the DNA in the active site (Figure 7).

583 A local induced-fit and a conformational change of Loop3 in a single DNA polymerase

584 The absence of enzymatic activity of the λ SD2 β construct suggests that the fidelity 585 mechanisms of Pol λ (based on Loop3) and Pol β (due to global induced fit and a remodeling 586 of the active site) cannot co-exist in the sequence context of one another, likely because Pol λ 587 has evolved towards a permanently closed form and a mechanism relying only on a smaller 588 conformational change. The λ SD2 β mutant demonstrated an inability to transition to an 589 open state, likely impeded by the permanently closed conformation of Pol λ 's catalytic 590 domain (32). As previously discussed, in Ptet-PolXs the induced-fit mechanism is limited to a 591 local side chain movement exchanging salt-bridge partners in the active site, and does not 592 require the opening of the active site to be operative. Instead, the hybridization of a correct 593 nucleotide with DNA can trigger its optimal positioning in the active site, allowing the 594 release of the catalytic aspartate from its interaction with K534. This mechanism, combined 595 with the stabilization of DNA with the Loop 3 in the presence of a correct nucleotide, could 596 explain their high fidelity.

597 Conclusion and Perspectives

598 In summary, our study elucidates that PolXs of Paramecium tetraurelia share similarities with 599 both Pol λ and Pol β . Notably, they exhibit a fidelity level surpassing that of HsPol λ , a 600 distinctive attribute within the spectrum of NHEJ-associated PolXs. Our studies are 601 compatible with a fidelity enhancement underpinned by two distinct mechanisms, both 602 triggered by the entrance of the correct dNTP and DNA in the active site: a local induced-fit 603 activation of the catalytic site; and a conformational change of Loop 3 originally 604 demonstrated with Pol by Jamsen et al. (31). Even though those conclusions are supported 605 by indirect structural evidence, functional results clearly indicate roles for Loop 3 and 606 residues involved in the local induced fit mechanism in fidelity in Ptet-PolXs. Surprisingly, 607 there are other DNA polymerases of family X that share the residues at the origin of those 608 two fidelity mechanisms: Plants PolXs (cluster #4 in **Figure 3**) display an equivalent of Pol β 's 609 R258 as a lysine and also contain a Loop 3, like HsPolλ. Their steric gate (AWTGN) and SD2 610 (DDT) motifs also depart from known sequences, so that further studies could reveal a similar 611 function in highly accurate DNA repair. Some fungal "Pol λ/μ -like" (clusters #3 and #6 in 612 **Figure 3**) polymerases also seem to share equivalents of Loop3, but with distant SD2 motifs.

On the evolutionary level and based on the fidelity mechanisms in this family of DNA
polymerases. *P. tetraurelia* PolXs could represent a missing link in the evolution between
Polβ and Polλ.

The CLANS analysis of the whole PolX family could be used to refine the evolutionary scenario proposed by Bienstock *et al.* (56) who proposed that the PolX family originates from bacterial PolX, followed by yeast PolIV, which then separated in eukaryotes in two groups : Polµ/TdT and Pol λ /Pol β . We propose that the Polµ/TdT group could originate from the Polµlike group of PolX found in Fungi; and that eukaryotic Pol λ and Pol β could originate from PolX found in Plants and ciliates like *P. tetraurelia*, as those groups display similarities with both Pol λ and Pol β (**Supplementary Figure S3B**).

623 In addition to specific features in the polymerase domain of *Paramecium* DNA polXs the role 624 and structure of their conserved linker domain is particularly intriguing. This domain could 625 play a significant part in the intricate dynamics of the complete NHEJ complex in 626 Paramecium, and it strongly differs from that of human Pola. Disorder predictions and 627 AlphaFold structure predictions suggest that this domain could contain secondary structure 628 elements like α -helices (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The presence of a structured linker 629 domain between the polymerase and BRCT domains could significantly aid in maintaining 630 the precise orientation of the NHEJ complex and the DNA, thereby enhancing the efficiency 631 of the repair process in the NHEJ complex. Such a structured linker domain could be 632 essential in *Paramecium*'s specialized NHEJ system to position uniquely and efficiently the 633 DNA substrate and the attached DNA polymerase during DNA ends processing, which is 634 possible because there is only a single type of DNA substrate to deal with. This is in contrast 635 to metazoan Pol λ and Pol μ , which must handle a wide variety of DNA ends (e.g., varying 636 microhomology lengths, different orientations of microhomologies, ...) resulting from various 637 DNA DSB. For *Paramecium* PolX, the ability to stabilize their unique physiological substrate 638 effectively in conjunction with the NHEJ machinery would be highly advantageous. Further 639 structural characterization of the full NHEJ short-range complex in the presence of polX 640 would be needed to answer this question.

641 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

- 642 The crystallographic data have been deposited to the PDB under the codes 9EWB, 9EWC,
- 643 9EWD, 9EWE and 9EWG.

644

645 SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

646 Supplementary data are available online.

647

648 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Antonin Nourisson: Conceptualization, Data collection and processing, Analysis, Validation,
Visualization, Writing—original draft, review & editing. Ahmed Haouz: Data collection and
processing. Sophia Missoury: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing—Review & Editing.
Marc Delarue: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

653 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

654 We thank the Molecular Biophysics and Macromolecular Interactions Platform and the 655 Proteomics Platform at Institut Pasteur for help in characterizing the purified proteins by 656 mass spectrometry. We thank the Crystallogenesis and Crystallography Platform (PFX) of 657 Institut Pasteur for help in crystallization and crystallographic data collection. We 658 acknowledge synchrotron SOLEIL (St Aubin, France) for granting access to beamlines 659 Proxima-1 and Proxima-2A, and Pierre Legrand for helpful assistance during the data 660 collection. We thank Mireille Bétermier and Julien Bischerour for many helpful discussions on 661 the NHEJ system of *P. tetraurelia*.

662 FUNDING

This work was supported by Sorbonne Université which funded AN's PhD thesis, and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, grant number FDT202304016786, for a 6-month extension of the PhD thesis.

666 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

667 None declared.

668 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Prescott, D.M. (1994) The DNA of ciliated protozoa. *Microbiol Rev*, **58**, 233–267.
- 670 2. Arnaiz, O., Mathy, N., Baudry, C., Malinsky, S., Aury, J.-M., Wilkes, C.D., Garnier, O., Labadie, K.,
- Lauderdale,B.E., Mouël,A.L., *et al.* (2012) The Paramecium Germline Genome Provides a Niche for Intragenic Parasitic DNA: Evolutionary Dynamics of Internal Eliminated Sequences. *PLOS*
- 673 *Genetics*, **8**, e1002984.
- 674 3. Bétermier, M. (2004) Large-scale genome remodelling by the developmentally 675 programmed elimination of germ line sequences in the ciliate Paramecium. *Research in* 676 *Microbiology*, **155**, 399–408.
- 4. Bétermier, M., Klobutcher, L.A. and Orias, E. (2023) Programmed chromosome fragmentation
 in ciliated protozoa: multiple means to chromosome ends. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 87, e00184-22.
- 5. Baudry,C., Malinsky,S., Restituito,M., Kapusta,A., Rosa,S., Meyer,E. and Bétermier,M. (2009) PiggyMac, a domesticated piggyBac transposase involved in programmed genome rearrangements in the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. *Genes Dev.*, **23**, 2478–2483.
- 683 6. Bischerour, J., Bhullar, S., Denby Wilkes, C., Régnier, V., Mathy, N., Dubois, E., Singh, A., Swart, E., 684 Arnaiz, O., Sperling, L., *et al.* (2018) Six domesticated PiggyBac transposases together carry out 685 programmed DNA elimination in Paramecium. *eLife*, **7**, e37927.
- 7. Abello,A., Régnier,V., Arnaiz,O., Bars,R.L., Bétermier,M. and Bischerour,J. (2020) Functional
 diversification of Paramecium Ku80 paralogs safeguards genome integrity during precise
 programmed DNA elimination. *PLOS Genetics*, **16**, e1008723.
- 8. Kapusta, A., Matsuda, A., Marmignon, A., Ku, M., Silve, A., Meyer, E., Forney, J.D., Malinsky, S. and
 Bétermier, M. (2011) Highly Precise and Developmentally Programmed Genome Assembly in
- 691 Paramecium Requires Ligase IV–Dependent End Joining. *PLOS Genetics*, **7**, e1002049.
- 692 9. Bétermier, M. and Duharcourt, S. (2015) Programmed Rearrangement in Ciliates:
 693 Paramecium. In *Mobile DNA III*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 369–388.
- Lees-Miller, J.P., Cobban, A., Katsonis, P., Bacolla, A., Tsutakawa, S.E., Hammel, M., Meek, K.,
 Anderson, D.W., Lichtarge, O., Tainer, J.A., *et al.* (2021) Uncovering DNA-PKcs ancient
 phylogeny, unique sequence motifs and insights for human disease. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, **163**, 87–108.
- Bétermier, M., Duharcourt, S., Seitz, H. and Meyer, E. (2000) Timing of developmentally
 programmed excision and circularization of Paramecium internal eliminated sequences. *Mol Cell Biol*, **20**, 1553–1561.
- 12. Bischerour, J., Arnaiz, O., Zangarelli, C., Régnier, V., Iehl, F., Ropars, V., Charbonnier, J.-B. and Bétermier, M. (2024) Uncoupling programmed DNA cleavage and repair scrambles the *Paramecium* somatic genome. *Cell Reports*, **43**, 114001.
- 13. Bétermier, M., Bertrand, P. and Lopez, B.S. (2014) Is Non-Homologous End-Joining Really an Inherently Error-Prone Process? *PLoS Genet*, **10**, e1004086.
- 14. Bétermier, M., Borde, V. and de Villartay, J.-P. (2020) Coupling DNA Damage and Repair: an
 Essential Safeguard during Programmed DNA Double-Strand Breaks? *Trends Cell Biol*, **30**,
 87–96.
- 15. Uchiyama,Y., Takeuchi,R., Kodera,H. and Sakaguchi,K. (2009) Distribution and roles of Xfamily DNA polymerases in eukaryotes. *Biochimie*, **91**, 165–170.
- 16. Bebenek,K., Pedersen,L.C. and Kunkel,T.A. (2014) Structure–Function Studies of DNA
 Polymerase λ. *Biochemistry*, 53, 2781–2792.
- 17. Ghosh,D. and Raghavan,S.C. (2021) 20 years of DNA Polymerase μ , the polymerase that

- still surprises. *The FEBS Journal*, **288**, 7230–7242.
- 715 18. Beard,W.A. (2020) DNA polymerase β: Closing the gap between structure and function.
 716 DNA Repair, 93, 102910.
- 717 19. Loc'h,J. and Delarue,M. (2018) Terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase: the story of an
- untemplated DNA polymerase capable of DNA bridging and templated synthesis across
 strands. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **53**, 22–31.
- 720 20. Nick McElhinny, S.A., Havener, J.M., Garcia-Diaz, M., Juárez, R., Bebenek, K., Kee, B.L., Blanco, L.,
- Kunkel,T.A. and Ramsden,D.A. (2005) A Gradient of Template Dependence Defines Distinct
 Biological Roles for Family X Polymerases in Nonhomologous End Joining. *Molecular Cell*, **19**,
 357–366.
- 72421. Allinson,S.L., Dianova,I.I. and Dianov,G.L. (2001) DNA polymerase β is the major dRP lyase725involved in repair of oxidative base lesions in DNA by mammalian cell extracts. EMBO J, **20**,
- 726 6919–6926.
- 22. García-Díaz, M., Bebenek, K., Kunkel, T.A. and Blanco, L. (2001) Identification of an intrinsic
 5'-deoxyribose-5-phosphate lyase activity in human DNA polymerase lambda: a possible role
 in base excision repair. *J Biol Chem*, **276**, 34659–34663.
- 730 23. Loc'h,J., Rosario,S. and Delarue,M. (2016) Structural Basis for a New Templated Activity by
- Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase: Implications for V(D)J Recombination. Structure, 24,
 1452–1463.
- 733 24. Loc'h,J., Gerodimos,C.A., Rosario,S., Tekpinar,M., Lieber,M.R. and Delarue,M. (2019) 734 Structural evidence for an in trans base selection mechanism involving Loop1 in polymerase 735 μ at an NHEJ double-strand break junction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **294**, 10579– 736 10595.
- 737 25. Gouge, J., Rosario, S., Romain, F., Poitevin, F., Béguin, P. and Delarue, M. (2015) Structural
 738 basis for a novel mechanism of DNA bridging and alignment in eukaryotic DSB DNA repair.
 739 *The EMBO Journal*, **34**, 1126–1142.
- Zé. Juárez, R., Ruiz, J.F., McElhinny, S.A.N., Ramsden, D. and Blanco, L. (2006) A specific loop in
 human DNA polymerase mu allows switching between creative and DNA-instructed
 synthesis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **34**, 4572–4582.
- 743 27. Gouge, J., Rosario, S., Romain, F., Beguin, P. and Delarue, M. (2013) Structures of
 744 Intermediates along the Catalytic Cycle of Terminal Deoxynucleotidyltransferase: Dynamical
 745 Aspects of the Two-Metal Ion Mechanism. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **425**, 4334–4352.
- 746 28. Martin, M.J. and Blanco, L. (2014) Decision-making during NHEJ: a network of interactions
 747 in human Polμ implicated in substrate recognition and end-bridging. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
 748 42, 7923–7934.
- 749 29. Bebenek, K., Garcia-Diaz, M., Zhou, R.-Z., Povirk, L.F. and Kunkel, T.A. (2010) Loop 1
 750 modulates the fidelity of DNA polymerase λ. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **38**, 5419–5431.
- 30. Beard,W.A., Shock,D.D., Batra,V.K., Prasad,R. and Wilson,S.H. (2014) Substrate-induced
 DNA Polymerase β Activation. *J Biol Chem*, **289**, 31411–31422.
- 31. Jamsen, J.A., Shock, D.D. and Wilson, S.H. (2022) Watching right and wrong nucleotide
 insertion captures hidden polymerase fidelity checkpoints. *Nat Commun*, **13**, 3193.
- 75532. Garcia-Diaz, M., Bebenek, K., Krahn, J.M., Kunkel, T.A. and Pedersen, L.C. (2005) A closed756conformation for the Pol λ catalytic cycle. Nat Struct Mol Biol, **12**, 97–98.
- 757 33. Yamtich, J. and Sweasy, J.B. (2010) DNA polymerase family X: function, structure, and 758 cellular roles. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1804**, 1136–1150.
- 34. Beard,W.A. and Wilson,S.H. (2014) Structure and Mechanism of DNA Polymerase β.
 Biochemistry, 53, 2768–2780.

761 35. Liu,M.-S., Tsai,H.-Y., Liu,X.-X., Ho,M.-C., Wu,W.-J. and Tsai,M.-D. (2016) Structural 762 Mechanism for the Fidelity Modulation of DNA Polymerase λ . *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **138**, 2389– 763 2398.

- 36. Foley,M.C., Arora,K. and Schlick,T. (2006) Sequential Side-Chain Residue Motions Transform the Binary into the Ternary State of DNA Polymerase λ . *Biophysical Journal*, **91**, 3182–3195.
- 37. Aury, J.-M., Jaillon, O., Duret, L., Noel, B., Jubin, C., Porcel, B.M., Ségurens, B., Daubin, V.,
 Anthouard, V., Aiach, N., *et al.* (2006) Global trends of whole-genome duplications revealed by
 the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. *Nature*, 444, 171–178.
- 38. Arnaiz,O., Van Dijk,E., Bétermier,M., Lhuillier-Akakpo,M., de Vanssay,A., Duharcourt,S., Sallet,E., Gouzy,J. and Sperling,L. (2017) Improved methods and resources for paramecium
- genomics: transcription units, gene annotation and gene expression. *BMC Genomics*, **18**, 483.
- 77339. Fiala,K.A., Duym,W.W., Zhang,J. and Suo,Z. (2006) Up-regulation of the Fidelity of Human774DNA Polymerase λ by Its Non-enzymatic Proline-rich Domain *. Journal of Biological775Chemistry, **281**, 19038–19044.
- 40. Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J.
- (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs.
 Nucleic Acids Res, **25**, 3389–3402.
- 41. Wheeler, D.L., Church, D.M., Federhen, S., Lash, A.E., Madden, T.L., Pontius, J.U., Schuler, G.D.,
- Schriml,L.M., Sequeira,E., Tatusova,T.A., *et al.* (2003) Database resources of the National
 Center for Biotechnology. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **31**, 28–33.
- 42. Gabler, F., Nam, S.-Z., Till, S., Mirdita, M., Steinegger, M., Söding, J., Lupas, A.N. and Alva, V.
 (2020) Protein Sequence Analysis Using the MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, **72**, e108.
- 43. Zimmermann, L., Stephens, A., Nam, S.-Z., Rau, D., Kübler, J., Lozajic, M., Gabler, F., Söding, J., Lupas, A.N. and Alva, V. (2018) A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a
- 787 New HHpred Server at its Core. Journal of Molecular Biology, **430**, 2237–2243.
- 44. Frickey, T. and Lupas, A. (2004) CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families
 based on pairwise similarity. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 3702–3704.
- 790 45. Di Tommaso, P., Moretti, S., Xenarios, I., Orobitg, M., Montanyola, A., Chang, J.-M., Taly, J.-F.
- and Notredame, C. (2011) T-Coffee: a web server for the multiple sequence alignment of
 protein and RNA sequences using structural information and homology extension. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **39**, W13–W17.
- 46. Robert, X. and Gouet, P. (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
 ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42, W320–W324.
- 796 47. Xue, B., Dunbrack, R.L., Williams, R.W., Dunker, A.K. and Uversky, V.N. (2010) PONDR-FIT: A
- 797 Meta-Predictor of Intrinsically Disordered Amino Acids. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1804**, 996– 1010.
- 48. Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger, O., Willmore, L.,
 Ballard, A.J., Bambrick, J., *et al.* (2024) Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular
 interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature*, **630**, 493–500.
- 49. Kabsch,W. (2010) XDS. Acta Cryst D, 66, 125–132.
- 803 50. Vonrhein, C., Flensburg, C., Keller, P., Sharff, A., Smart, O., Paciorek, W., Womack, T. and
- 804 Bricogne, G. (2011) Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. Acta Crystallogr
- 805 *D Biol Crystallogr*, **67**, 293–302.
- 806 51. Bricogne G., Blanc E., Brandl M., Flensburg C., Keller P., Paciorek W., Roversi P., Sharff A.,
- 807 Smart O.S., Vonrhein C., *et al.* (2017) BUSTER version 2.10.4.

52. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of
Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 66, 486–501.

810 53. Garcia-Diaz, M., Bebenek, K., Krahn, J.M., Blanco, L., Kunkel, T.A. and Pedersen, L.C. (2004) A

811 Structural Solution for the DNA Polymerase λ -Dependent Repair of DNA Gaps with Minimal

812 Homology. *Molecular Cell*, **13**, 561–572.

813 54. Chagovetz, A.M., Sweasy, J.B. and Preston, B.D. (1997) Increased Activity and Fidelity of

DNA Polymerase β on Single-nucleotide Gapped DNA *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 272,
 27501–27504.

816 55. García-Díaz, M., Bebenek, K., Sabariegos, R., Domínguez, O., Rodríguez, J., Kirchhoff, T.,

817 García-Palomero, E., Picher, A.J., Juárez, R., Ruiz, J.F., *et al.* (2002) DNA Polymerase λ , a Novel

DNA Repair Enzyme in Human Cells*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **277**, 13184–13191.

56. Bienstock,R.J., Beard,W.A. and Wilson,S.H. (2014) Phylogenetic analysis and evolutionary origins of DNA polymerase X-family members. *DNA Repair (Amst)*, **22**, 77–88.

57. Uchiyama,Y., Kimura,S., Yamamoto,T., Ishibashi,T. and Sakaguchi,K. (2004) Plant DNA
polymerase λ, a DNA repair enzyme that functions in plant meristematic and meiotic tissues. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, **271**, 2799–2807.

58. Sakamoto,A., Iwabata,K., Koshiyama,A., Sugawara,H., Yanai,T., Kanai,Y., Takeuchi,R., Daikuhara,Y., Takakusagi,Y. and Sakaguchi,K. (2007) Two X family DNA polymerases, λ and μ , in meiotic tissues of the basidiomycete, Coprinus cinereus. *Chromosoma*, **116**, 545–556.

59. Prostova, M., Shilkin, E., Kulikova, A.A., Makarova, A., Ryazansky, S. and Kulbachinskiy, A.
(2022) Noncanonical prokaryotic X family DNA polymerases lack polymerase activity and act
as exonucleases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **50**, 6398–6413.

830 60. Romain, F., Barbosa, I., Gouge, J., Rougeon, F. and Delarue, M. (2009) Conferring a template-

dependent polymerase activity to terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase by mutations in the Loop1 region. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **37**, 4642–4656.

61. Garcia-Diaz, M., Bebenek, K., Krahn, J.M., Pedersen, L.C. and Kunkel, T.A. (2007) Role of the
catalytic metal during polymerization by DNA polymerase lambda. *DNA Repair*, 6, 1333–1340.

835 62. Bebenek, K., Garcia Diaz, M., Foley, M.C., Pedersen, L.C., Schlick, T. and Kunkel, T.A. (2008)

Substrate Dinduced DNA strand misalignment during catalytic cycling by DNA polymerase λ.

837 EMBO reports, **9**, 459–464.

63. Foley,M.C., Padow,V.A. and Schlick,T. (2010) DNA Pol λ's Extraordinary Ability To Stabilize
Misaligned DNA. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 13403–13416.

64. Showalter,A.K. and Tsai,M.-D. (2002) A Reexamination of the Nucleotide Incorporation

Fidelity of DNA Polymerases. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 10571–10576.

842

844 Tables

845

Table 1. Mutant constructs of human Pol λ used for enzymatic and structural studies of the fidelity mechanisms of Ptet-PolXs.

Mutant	Common mutations	Specific mutations	Expected role of mutations		
λmut	П1-241 [464-472] КGET С544А	None	Facilitate crystallization (🛛 1-241 and		
			[464-472] KGET)		
			and diffraction (C544A)		
λmutR		I493R	Partly confer to Pol λ the Pol eta 's induced fit		
			mechanism (R258 of Polβ)		
λSD2β		I493R,	Confer to Pol λ the Pol β 's induced fit		
		[528-530] NEY	mechanism (R258 and SD2 motif of Pol β)		
λmutK		I493K	Partly confer to Pol λ the Ptet-PolXs putative		
			induced fit mechanism (K534 of Ptet-PolXs)		
λSD2Ptet		I493K,	Confer to Pol λ the Ptet-PolXs putative induced		
		E529D	fit mechanism (K534 and SD2 of Ptet-PolXs)		
λloop3β	None	[539-547] GVA	Deletion of Loop3, replacement by the		
			equivalent residues of human Polβ		

848

- **Table 2**. Crystallographic statistics for datasets of crystals of pol lambda mutants with dNTP
- insertion site occupied opposite A in the presence of Ca^{2+} . Data in the highest resolution
- shell is shown in the parenthesis.
- *Values calculated after truncation by STARANISO. Estimated resolution limits along the
- three crystallographic directions of the reciprocal lattice a*, b*, c*.
- 855 ** Values obtained with MolProbity.

	λmutR (dTTP)	λsD2β	λmutK d(TTP)	λSD2Ptet (dTTP)	λSD2Ptet (dCTP)			
Data collection								
Space group	P 21 21 21	H32	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21			
a. b. c (Å)	56.28 62.51 140.22	149.904 149.904 272.154	56.03 62.49 141.37	56.4 62.47 139.57	56.35 62.76 139.72			
α. β. γ (°)	90 90 90	90 90 120	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90			
Wavelength (Å)	0.9801	0.9801	0.9801	0.9801	0.9801			
Resolution (Å)	46.74 - 2.32 (2.38 - 2.32)	117.173 - 3.543 (4.018 - 3.543)	24.04 - 1.916 (2.118 - 1.916)	46.55 - 2.12 (2.18 - 2.12)	46.69 - 2.737 (2.987 - 2.737)			
Estimated resolution limit (Å)*		5.491 5.491 3.344						
R-pim	0.040 (0.629)	1.514 (3.179)	0.052 (0.807)	0.038 (0.69)	0.204 (0.845)			
Completeness (%)	99.9 (98.8)	100 (100)	93.3 (63.9)	99.8 (97.5)	86.4 (48.5)			
Multiplicity	13.3 (13.7)	18.2 (19.2)	11.9 (11.7)	13.4 (13.7)	9.3 (9.2)			
l/σ(l)	17.85 (1.99)	5.00 (0.70)	11.0 (1.70)	16.6 (1.6)	4.6 (1.40)			
CC1/2	99.9% (82.0%)	65.7 % (63.9%)	99.6% (50.4%)	99.9% (79.4%)	97.5% (54.8%)			
R-pim*		0.658 (1.192)						
Completeness (%)*		91.7 (74.2)						
Multiplicity*		17.7 (15.2)						
<i>Ι/σ(I)*</i>		7.80 (1.80)						
CC1/2*		80.5% (74.7%)						
Refinement								
No. of reflections	22111	5991	25444	28561	8572			
R work / Rfree	0.208 / 0.256	0.263 / 0.292	0.204 / 0.245	0.193 / 0.224	0.209 / 0.259			
No. non H atoms								
Macromolecules	2459	5036	2312	2514	2497			
Ligands	47	0	31	47	28			
Solvent	303	21	278	306	76			
Protein geometry								
RMSD - bonds (Å)	0.008	0.007	0.009	0.009	0.007			
RMSD - angles (°)	0.89	0.81	0.87	0.95	0.83			
Ramachandran favored (%)**	97.47	96.54	96.04	94.10	96.54			
Ramachandran outliers (%)**	0.00	0.31	0.33	0.00	0.31			
Poor rotamers (%)**	1.52	5.63	0.44	1.85	5.64			
Clashscore	4	10	4	4	8			
B-factors(Å ²)								
Mean B-factor	57.19	79.25	73.50	57.10	52.90			
Macromolecules	60.92	79.81	49.67	60.89	43.27			
Ligands	49.62	0	117.7	49.50	115.40			
Solvent	61.03	78.68	53.14	60.92	20.66			

856 857

858

859

861862 FIGURE LEGENDS

863 864 **Figures**

864 865

Figure 1. Role of PolXs in IES elimination during Programmed Genome Rearrangements in *P. tetraurelia*.

A: After IES elimination by PiggyMac (PGM), the introduced Double Strand Breaks (DSB) are repaired by the NHEJ repair pathway, involving Ku70a/80c, DNA-PKcs, PolX and XRCC4-Ligase IV. *Paramecium* PolXs (Ptet-PolXs) are involved in the gap-filling step, during which their role is to accurately synthesize the missing base on each strand.

B: *Paramecium* PolXs display a domain composition and sequence motifs similar to metazoan PolXs, especially the NHEJ-related Pol λ and Pol μ as well as V(D)J-related TdT. They share high sequence identity to one another and are divided in two groups (PolXab and PolXcd). Their closest homolog among metazoan PolXs is Pol λ , but they are also homologs of Pol β , despite their additional BRCT domain. The domains are described under the figure, and the most important sequence motifs are indicated.

878

879 Figure 2. Characterization of Ptet-PolXs enzymatic activities.

A: *Paramecium* PolXs display a gap-filling activity similar to human Pol λ , independently of their N-terminal BRCT and linker domains. Like HsPol λ , they efficiently discriminate NTPs. Gap-filling assays were conducted using human Pol λ and *Paramecium* PolXa Δ Nter, PolXb Δ Nter, PolXd Δ Nter, and PolXdFL, with either dNTPs, dGTP only, NTPs or GTP only.

B: Steady-state kinetics characterization of *Paramecium* PolX. PolXa \Box Nter and PolXd \Box Nter have different kinetics profiles in gap-filling, but display a similar catalytic efficiency, which surpass HsPolX's. Their behavior in gap-filling is more similar to HsPol λ than to HsPol β . Activities of PolXa Δ Nter (n=12) and PolXd Δ Nter (n=3) were assessed with increasing concentrations of dGTP, employing a gap-filling substrate. Left panel: Velocity curves for PolXa and PolXd, as a function of dGTP concentration. The error bars correspond to the standard deviation on the measurements. Right panel: Kinetic values derived from the plots for each DNA polymerase. The optimal fit values and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) are
 provided. Literature data for HsPolλ and HsPolβ under analogous conditions are included.

C: Fidelity of Ptet-PolXs is higher than HsPolλ's, independently of its N-terminal BRCT and
linker domains. Single time-point, single-turnover fidelity assays were conducted with human
Polλ (FL or lacking its N-terminal BRCT and linker domains) and *Paramecium* PolXaΔNter and
PolXdFL. The substrate is the gap-filling oligonucleotide duplex indicated in B.

897

Figure 3. Cluster analysis of PolXs sequences along with the sequence determinants of eachsubgroup.

A: Non-hierarchical clustering of PolXs sequences. A 3D distribution of 7250 PolXs sequences was generated with CLANS (47) using the pairwise Blastp scores of sequence similarity between individual sequences. Three planar projections in different directions of this distribution are shown. The 12 clusters of PolXs sequences are colored and numbered. Their names are given on the bottom right. Ptet-PolXs are in the Harosa group (#9).

B: A life tree of the 12 groups of PolXs as determined by the of CLANS.

906 C: Sequence motifs of the 12 PolXs clusters. Each cluster is presented with a sequence logo,

907 where the height of each residue type is proportional to its frequency

908

Figure 4. A possible induced-fit mechanism for the fidelity of Ptet-PolXs carried out in partby K534.

A: Role of K534 in fidelity for Ptet-PolX. Single time-point, single-turnover fidelity assay
conducted with WT or mutant versions of *Paramecium* PolXa∆BRCT. The K534A construct
displays a strong error prone behavior, but the K534R mutation has a lower effect on fidelity.

B: Gap-filling assays were performed with wild-type (λmut) and different mutant versions of
Polλ, with increasing concentrations of correct and incorrect nucleotides.

916 C: Kinetic constants of dGTP insertion by the different mutant versions of Polλ. The value in917 parentheses stands for standard deviation estimated from n=3.

918

919 **Figure 5**. Comparison of the structures of the active site of four mutant versions of Pol λ and 920 comparison with λ ref (PDB 7m43, in green). The residues involved in the activation 921 mechanism of the active site are highlighted, including catalytic residues (D490, D427 and 922 D429), steric gate residues (Y271 and F272), SD2 motif residues (529 and 530), and residue 923 R492 in catalytic motif 2. Distances between residues are indicated in red, while hydrogen 924 bonds are shown in cyan. In the λ mutR mutant (top left panel), R492 is oriented towards the 925 catalytic residues at ~3 Å from D490, which is diverted from the active site. The λ SD2 β 926 mutant (middle and bottom right panels) displays two molecules in the asymmetric unit. In 927 those structures, D490 is oriented towards R492, which is located between this catalytic 928 aspartate and the SD2 motif, at a distance of \sim 3.5-4 Å. In the λ mutK construct (top right 929 panel), the inserted lysine (K492) is located at 3 Å from the SD2 residue E529, forming a salt-930 bridge with it. In the matched (with dTTP) structure of the λ SD2Ptet mutant (middle right 931 panel, in blue) K492 is stabilized by the SD2 residue D529 through water-mediated bonds, 932 whereas in the unmatched structure (with dCTP, bottom right panel, in orange) K492 is at 3.7 933 Å from the catalytic D429, diverting it from the active site.

934

Figure 6. Role of Loop3 in catalysis and fidelity in Ptet-PolXs and human Polλ, and its
interaction with the template DNA strand.

937 A: Role of Loop3 in fidelity for Polλ and for *Paramecium* PolX.

Top: Single time-point, single-turnover fidelity assays were conducted with human Pol λ and Paramecium PolXa Δ BRCT lacking their respective Loop3 or not. For both enzymes, the truncated constructs display a strong error-prone behavior.

941 Bottom: Sequence of the DNA duplex.

B: Comparison of the interaction of Loop3 with the template DNA strand in the six obtained x-ray structures. In λ ref (PDB 7m43, in green), λ mutR (light blue), λ mutK (red) and λ SD2Ptet (matched in blue, unmatched in orange) structures, Loop3 interacts with DNA. In the λ SD2 β structure (light purple or yellow), Loop3 is flexible, away from DNA (indicated by bolds arrows) and does not interact with it. Consequently, DNA is displaced and improperly positioned for catalysis (indicated by bolds arrows). 948 C: Example of a 2Fo-Fc electron density map contoured at 1 sigma around the active site, 949 here for the λ SD2Ptet mutant.

D: The λ ref, λ mutR, λ mutK and λ SD2 β constructs interact with DNA through residues mostly located in the SD2 motif and Loop3. Residues in red circles are located in α helices, those in green triangles are found in β strands, and those in blue squares are found in loops. Interaction of the residues with the DNA double helix are indicated with a color code: interactions in cyan are in the minor groove, those in pink are in the major groove, those in yellow involve the sugar moieties, interactions with bases are in grey, and interactions with phosphate groups are indicated in orange

957

Figure 7. Comparison of conformational changes in the thumb subdomain in Pol β and Pol λ . In Pol β (left panel), when the correct incoming nucleotide enters the active site, a global conformational change stabilizes the DNA by a large movement of whole thumb subdomain that closes the polymerase domain. In Pol λ (right panel), when the correct incoming nucleotide enters in the active site, only Loop 3 (at the tip of the thumb subdomain) moves in to stabilize the DNA. In this case, the thumb subdomain stays in a closed form.



968 Figure 1







974 Figure 3



975 976

Figure 4



- 978
- 979 Figure 5



981 Figure 6

