

Global genomics of Aedes aegypti unveils widespread and novel infectious viruses capable of triggering a small RNA response

Shruti Gupta, Rohit Sharma, Adeline E Williams, Irma Sanchez-Vargas, Noah H Rose, Chao Zhang, Alexander Crosbie-Villaseca, Zheng Zhu, Gargi Dayama, Andrea Gloria-Soria, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Shruti Gupta, Rohit Sharma, Adeline E Williams, Irma Sanchez-Vargas, Noah H Rose, et al.. Global genomics of Aedes aegypti unveils widespread and novel infectious viruses capable of triggering a small RNA response. 2024. pasteur-04709182

HAL Id: pasteur-04709182 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04709182v1

Preprint submitted on 25 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Global genomics of *Aedes aegypti* unveils widespread and novel infectious

2 viruses capable of triggering a small RNA response

3 Authors:

- 4 Shruti Gupta^{1*}, Rohit Sharma^{1*}, Adeline E. Williams^{2,3}, Irma Sanchez-Vargas³, Noah H. Rose^{4,5},
- 5 Chao Zhang⁶, Alexander Crosbie-Villaseca¹, Zheng Zhu¹, Gargi Dayama¹, Andrea Gloria-Soria⁷,
- 6 Doug E. Brackney⁷, Jessica Manning², Sarah S. Wheeler⁸, Angela Caranci⁹, Trinidad Reyes¹⁰,
- 7 Massamba Sylla¹¹, Athanase Badolo¹², Jewelna Akorli¹³, Ogechukwu B. Aribodor¹⁴, Diego
- 8 Ayala¹⁵, Wei-Liang Liu¹⁶, Chun-Hong Chen¹⁶, Chalmers Vasquez¹⁷, Cassandra Gonzalez
- 9 Acosta¹⁸, Alongkot Ponlawat¹⁹, Tereza Magalhaes²⁰, Brendan Carter²¹, Dawn Wesson²¹,
- 10 Darred Surin²², Meg A. Younger²², Andre Luis Costa-da-Silva²³, Matthew DeGennaro²³,
- 11 Alexander Bergman²⁴, Louis Lambrechts²⁴, Carolyn S. McBride⁴, Ken E. Olson³, Eric Calvo²,
- 12 and Nelson C. Lau^{1,25†}

13 Affiliations:

- Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Boston University Chobanian and Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston MA 02118
- Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD 20852, USA
- Center for Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, Colorado
 State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
- Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; Princeton
 Neuroscience Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- 22 5. Department of Ecology, Behavior & Evolution, University of California, San Diego
- Boston University Chobanian and Avedisian School of Medicine, Department of Medicine Section of
 Computational Biomedicine
- 25 7. Department of Entomology, The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station
- 26 8. Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito & Vector Control District, 8631 Bond Road, Elk Grove CA 95624
- 9. Northwest Mosquito & Vector Control District, 1966 Compton Ave. Corona, CA 92881
- 28 10. Madera County Mosquito & Vector Control District, 3105 Airport Drive, Madera, Ca 93637
- 11. Laboratory Vector & Parasites, Department of Livestock Sciences and Techniques, Sine Saloum University El
 Hadji Ibrahima NIASS, Kaffrine Campus, Senegal
- 31 12. Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Entomology, Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.
- 32 13. Department of Parasitology, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Accra,
 33 Ghana
- 34 14. Department of Zoology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria
- 15. UMR MIVEGEC, IRD, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, 911 avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier, France; Le
 Centre International de Recherches Médicales de Franceville, BP 769, Franceville, Gabon
- 37 16. National Mosquito-Borne Diseases Control Research Center, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli County,
 38 Taiwan
- 39 17. Miami Dade Mosquito Control 8901 NW 58 Street, Miami, FL 33178, USA
- 40 18. Coordinacion de EnfermedadesTransmitidas por Vector y Zoonosis, Servicios de Salud de Morelos, Morelos,
 41 Mexico; Centro Nacional de Programas Preventivos y Control de Enfermedades (CENAPRECE). Mexico City,
- 42 Mexico
- 43 19. Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences (AFRIMS), Department of Entomology, Bangkok, Thailand
- 44 20. Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, College Station TX, 77843
- 45 21. Department of Tropical Medicine, Tulane School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine
- 46 22. Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston MA 02215
- 47 23. Department of Biological Sciences & Biomolecular Sciences Institute, Florida International University, Miami, FL
 48 33199, USA
- 49 24. Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR2000, Insect-Virus Interactions Unit, 75015 Paris, France
- 50 25. Genome Science Institute, Boston University Chobanian and Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston MA 02118
- 51 *equal significant contribution
- 52 †Corresponding author
- 53 Keywords: mosquito virus, *Aedes aegypti,* RNAi, small RNAs, siRNAs, piRNAs

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

54 ABSTRACT

The mosquito Aedes aegypti is a prominent vector for arboviruses, but the breadth of mosquito 55 56 viruses that infects this specie is not fully understood. In the broadest global survey to date of 57 over 200 Ae. aegypti small RNA samples, we detected viral small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and Piwi interacting RNAs (piRNAs) arising from mosquito viruses. We confirmed that most 58 59 academic laboratory colonies of Ae. aegypti lack persisting viruses, yet two commercial strains 60 were infected by a novel tombus-like virus. Ae. aegypti from North to South American locations were also teeming with multiple insect viruses, with Anphevirus and a bunyavirus displaying 61 geographical boundaries from the viral small RNA patterns. Asian Ae. aegypti small RNA 62 63 patterns indicate infections by similar mosquito viruses from the Americas and reveal the first wild example of dengue virus infection generating viral small RNAs. African Ae. aegypti also 64 contained various viral small RNAs including novel viruses only found in these African 65 66 substrains. Intriguingly, viral long RNA patterns can differ from small RNA patterns, indicative of viral transcripts evading the mosquitoes' RNA interference (RNAi) machinery. To determine 67 whether the viruses we discovered via small RNA sequencing were replicating and 68 69 transmissible, we infected C6/36 and Aag2 cells with Ae. aegypti homogenates. Through blind

70 passaging, we generated cell lines stably infected by these mosquito viruses which then

71 generated abundant viral siRNAs and piRNAs that resemble the native mosquito viral small

72 RNA patterns. This mosquito small RNA genomics approach augments surveillance approaches

73 for emerging infectious diseases.

74 INTRODUCTION

75

The yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti, has a global reach across most major human 76 77 locales, and to this day remains a major health scourge as a prominent vector for arthropod-78 borne viral (arboviral) diseases like dengue fever. Municipal vector control organizations 79 conduct routine molecular surveillance of arboviruses from trapped Ae. aegypti mosquitoes but are only able to assay known viruses¹. Recent research efforts applying high-throughput RNA 80 sequencing have now led to an explosion in the mosquito virome lists²⁻⁵. However, the 81 82 persistence of these novel insect viruses within mosquito populations and their vertical transmission has not been fully examined in detail. 83

Vertical transmission and infection rates of arboviruses in *Ae. aegypti* have been examined for dengue and Zika viruses, among others⁶. Even when infection rates are high, the vertical transmission rates can be low if virus infection does not extend to the gonads⁷⁻¹⁰. Vertical transmission of arboviruses like Zika virus in laboratory *Ae. aegypti* infections is possible, but at low rates^{11,12}. In addition, tracking prevalence of virus infections in mosquitoes in the wild is a complex epidemiological challenge when there are fluctuating levels of virus infection rates even during an outbreak¹³⁻¹⁵.

The ability of a virus to persist via vertical transmission in mosquito populations raises the concern of a species jump to humans^{16,17}. Yet, tracking persistent viruses in wild and small pools of mosquitoes can be difficult with rapid surveillance screening because RT-PCR can be too imprecise to distinguish between strong viral RNA expression and low viral loads. Therefore, another molecular measure is needed to provide a better representation of persistent virus infections in mosquito populations.

97 Mosquitoes and mosquito cell cultures naturally mount a robust innate immune response to viruses with the RNA-interference (RNAi) pathway. During virus replication, viral double-98 stranded RNAs are processed into viral small interfering RNAs (siRNAs, ~18-23 nucleotides 99 long) that are loaded into Argonaute (AGO) proteins¹⁸. A second RNAi pathway that mainly 100 regulates Transposable Elements (TEs) involves the Piwi proteins that bind Piwi-interacting 101 102 RNAs (piRNAs, ~24-35nt long), which have sequences complementary to TEs¹⁹. Since many 103 TEs are evolutionarily related to viruses, mosquito Piwi proteins may generate viral piRNAs similar to how TE piRNAs are made. The viral siRNAs that are antisense to the viral mRNA will 104 trigger AGO cleavage of viral mRNAs. While viral piRNAs may have antiviral properties in 105 mosquitoes^{20,21}, this response may be complicated by possible virus-to-virus interactions²². 106

When we first developed a Mosquito Small RNA Genomics (MSRG) pipeline²³, we detected 107 widespread insect virus persistence in mosquito cell cultures and in a few laboratory 108 109 mosquitoes. A recent mosquito small RNA sequencing survey by the Margues lab profiled Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus samples from South America, Asia and Africa locales²². This study 110 presented an emerging collection of insect viruses generating a viral small RNA response, 111 potentially suggesting virus persistence in Aedes mosquitoes. However, this study then focused 112 113 on two insect viruses, Humaita-Tubiacanga virus (HTV) and the bunyavirus Phasi-Charoen-Like virus (PCLV), and their role in potentially influencing dengue virus (DENV) competence²². The 114 question then remains: How prevalent globally are Ae. aegypti mosquito viruses that trigger a 115 small RNA response? 116

Here, we present the most comprehensive global survey of *Ae. aegypti* mosquito small RNAs to date, comprised of new laboratory strains and wild-caught and lab colonies from the Americas, Asia, and Africa continents. Our results significantly expand the catalog of insect viruses capable of propogating in mosquitoes and generating a small RNA response. We demonstrate experimental evidence for the transmissibility of these novel insect viruses to

mosquito cell cultures to generate an RNAi response. Because these mosquito viruses can

123 possibly develop tropism for mammalian cells, our small RNA genomics approach is a valuable

addition to current molecular surveillance efforts to detect newly emerging pathogens vectored

by mosquitoes and other arthropods.

126

127 **RESULTS**

128 The collection of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes was organized through collaborators shipping 129 mosquito samples that were either preserved (frozen or submerged in an RNA-protectant

solution) or shipped as live animals for total RNA extraction and small RNA library preparation.

131 Most mosquito RNAs were analyzed from whole mosquitoes to optimize for throughput.

Published small RNA libraries from Olmo et al^{22} and our previous study²³ were integrated into

our analysis of viral small RNA profiles. We compiled >280 small and long RNA sequencing
 datasets of *Ae. aegypti* from a select set of laboratory strains and from locations in North,

135 Central and South America, Asia, and Africa (**Figure 1**). All datasets were analyzed by our

136 MSRG pipeline, but this study primarily focused on Insect Specific Viruses (ISVs) and

arboviruses while TEs and piRNA cluster loci analyses will be presented in a future study.

138 To address library quality control (QC), we first only selected libraries for analysis with at

139 least 1 million reads. There was an average depth of ~40M reads across all libraries (see

140 **Supplemental Table S1 and S2** for library sequencing depth statistics). We also tracked an

141 Endogenous Viral Element (EVE) in *Ae. aegypti* named AEFE1²⁴, whose small RNAs are

expressed in all mosquito samples we analyzed and are represented by primarily antisense piRNAs seen as blue peaks in coverage plots (**Supplemental Figure S1A**). Lastly, we

144 inspected the read length distribution profiles for each library to track the expected peaks for

mispected the read length distribution promes for each industry to track the expected peaks for miRNAs and piRNAs (**Supplemental Figure S2**). A virus needed to show at least 10 small RNA

reads per million (RPM) to register on the bubble plots; if no viruses are detected, these QC

- 147 measures confirmed a small RNA library was trustworthy.
- 148

Augmenting the MSRG pipeline with improved virus discovery

Mosquitoes' notable somatic piRNAs²⁵ lend to the broad diversity of small RNA sequences in whole animal total RNA. This breadth means that small RNA libraries cannot be queried efficiently or specifically against databases such as the GenBank Virus Reference List (GBVRL) that have become flooded with recent massive virome surveys^{4,5} and coronavirus variant genomes²⁷. In GBVRL version-249, there were ~7.4 million records and 53.7% of these were beta-coronaviruses. To overcome this inefficiency and provide scalability, MSRG utilizes curated lists of viruses and TEs for small RNAs to be mapped against using BowTie (v1)²⁶.

To address the limitations of the manually curated virus database in the MSRG, we devised 157 an auxiliary pipeline to first analyze all Ae. aegypti small RNA libraries with the VirusDetect 158 program²⁸ (Fig. 1B). The VirusDetect program first performs *de novo* assembly of small RNAs 159 into long contigs that can then query GBVRL efficiently and specifically to yield the count and 160 coverage of the contigs against the most updated virus list in GBVRL (Supp. Fig. S1B,C). In 161 162 this study, we updated the GBVRL in VirusDetect and locked it at version-249 from June 2022. The VirusDetect results confirmed the presence of viruses already present in the 2019 MSRG 163 virus database while also revealing new viruses that we then added to our MSRG virus 164 database list (Supplemental Table S3). 165

166 We then set up additional VirusDetect queries of our mosquito small RNA datasets against the Third-Party Assembly (TPA) and Metagenomics Assemble Genomes (MAG) databases that 167 are distinct from GBVRL. This step was needed because when VirusDetect was run on GBVRL 168 169 alone, a false-positive call was made for a tombus-like virus isolated from a Hyposignathus *monstrosus* bat²⁹ due to the low contig coverage (**Supp. Fig. S1D,E**). The additional TPA and 170 MAG runs discovered the Tiger Mosquito Bi-segmented Tombus-Like virus³⁰ (TMBTLV) as the 171 true source of these small RNAs, as well as revealing a new Aedes partiti-like virus³⁰. In total, 172 the VirusDetect analysis added 94 new viruses to our MSRG virus list (Supp. Table S3). 173

174

175 Academic and commercial laboratory strains of Ae. aegypti

Limited insect viruses were found in academic lab strains from these new *Ae. aegypti* small RNA libraries as well as from previously examined lab strain datasets (**Figure 2A**). Our first MSRG pipeline study²³ also revealed very few insect viruses in these strains, but the expanded virus list and low viral reads in this study boosted our confidence in the sparseness of viruses in these strains. Main figure bubble plots show all small RNAs (18-35nt), while breakdowns of the siRNAs (18-23nt) and piRNAs (24-35nt) are shown in **Supplemental Figure S3**.

In contrast to these academic lab strains, two independent commercial lab strains from 182 Benzon Research Labs (BZL) and Bayer AG (GP)³¹ contained massive amounts of TMBTLV 183 small RNAs, with viral siRNAs more abundant than viral piRNAs (Fig. 2B). Coverage patterns of 184 the TMBTLV small RNAs differed greatly between the two commercial strains. The BZL strain 185 viral small RNAs were biased for the plus strand and mainly derived from the RNA dependent 186 RNA Polymerase (RdRP) gene, while the GP strain displayed a much greater accumulation of 187 188 minus strand siRNAs across the entire TMBTLV genome and had piRNAs still biased for the plus strand. All GP strain samples were co-infected with Zika virus (ZIKV), but control non-Zika-189 infected GP strains small RNA data were not available, so ZIKV's contribution to the massive 190 191 TMBTLV siRNAs cannot be resolved until future experiments can be conducted.

192 Commercial labs often raise Ae. aegypti alongside many other arthropods for the testing of pesticides. Benzon Research Labs states that their BZL strain was derived from an USDA 193 "Gainesville" strain from 1994. The continuous rearing in their facility for over 25 years may 194 have lent to this strain contracting additional viruses not seen in academic lab strains (Fig. 195 **2C,D**). These viruses must persist by vertical transmission, because the same viral small RNAs 196 in adult BZL females were also detected in BZL eggs (Fig. 2E), although the eggs had lower 197 198 levels and more minus strand viral small RNAs. For some mosquito viruses, the small RNAs originated from all genes in the viral genomes, but for a partiti-like virus and TMBTLV, the RdRP 199 genes served as the primary source of small RNAs. 200

201

202 Diverse profiles of viral small RNAs in Ae. aegypti across the two American continents

203 With the help of municipal vector control departments in California and Miami, Florida, along 204 with collections and lab-maintained colonies from the DeGennaro, Olson, and Lambrechts labs, 205 we assembled a diverse collection of *Ae. aegypti* samples from the American continents to 206 generate and sequence small RNAs from whole mosquitoes. We also integrated previously 207 published *Ae. aegypti* small RNA libraries from Suriname and Brazil²².

There was widespread prevalence of mosquito viruses generating robust viral small RNAs in North, Central and South America (**Figure 3A,B**). We detected other mosquito viruses related to plant viruses, similar to TMBTLV, from the two commercial lab strains (**Fig. 2B**). A Liverpool Tombus-like virus (LTLV) was also reported in the same metagenomics study discovering TMBTLV³⁰, whereas Verdadero virus is a partitivirus first described infecting *Ae. aegypti* as well as *Drosophila*³². Partitiviruses were originally discovered to infect plants, protozoans, and fungi, while tombusviruses are a group representing the tomato bushy stunt viruses^{33,34}. Viral siRNAs and piRNAs for LTLV were much higher in the midguts versus the total abdomens of an *Ae. aegypti* strain from Recife, Brazil (**Supplemental Figure S4A**), suggesting that mosquitoes feeding on plant sap nutrients could be a route for the transfer of plant-related viruses to an insect host.

Even though many of the samples in these regions contained the same viruses, the coverage pattern of these viruses displayed remarkable differences. There were variations in the patterns of Verdadero viral small RNAs between a Mexico isolate and several different samples of Miami, Florida isolates (**Supp. Fig. S4B**). The Binegev-like virus and Renna virus were found from the west to the east coasts of the United States, and they also displayed interesting variations in viral small RNA patterns between samples (**Fig. 3A, Supp. Fig. S4D,E**).

The viruses most widespread across the Ae. aegypti small RNA samples of the Americas 225 226 were PCLV and HTV (as noted previously in Olmo, et al²²), along with the Ae. aegypti Anphevirus first described in a Florida strain²⁵. Remarkably, PCLV and HTV were most 227 228 frequently found in *Ae. aegypti* of the southern fraction of the Americas while Anphevirus displayed a bias for the northern fraction of the Americas (Fig. 3C,D). Florida, Mexico, and 229 230 Caribbean locales reflected a mixing zone for these three viruses. Viral small RNA patterns and abundances fluctuated widely between individual mosquito isolates (Fig. 3E,F), but Anphevirus 231 232 and HTV small RNAs had a clear plus strand bias, especially for the viral structural genes. In contrast, PCLV small RNAs displayed a stronger antisense bias and mostly originated from the 233 'Small' and 'Medium' segments. A principal component analysis of the viral small RNAs 234 235 supports the delineation of North American group mosquitoes from the Central and South 236 American mosquitoes (Supp. Fig. S4F).

Miami, Florida and various places in Brazil are sites of recent dengue fever outbreaks³⁵, yet no DENV small RNAs were detected in any of these samples, including those from *Ae. aegypti* sampled by the Florida Department of Health (FDOH) in the vicinity of known dengue fever patients. The lack of DENV small RNAs mirrors the sporadic and challenging detection efforts of DENV in surveillance of mosquitoes to precede a human disease outbreak^{1,13-15}. However, all of these samples revealed that multiple insect viruses can simultaneously infect and generate a robust RNAi response of viral small RNAs in a small mosquito cohort (**Fig. 3A, B**).

244

A snapshot into Asian Ae. aegypti viral small RNA patterns.

We generated a new collection of small RNA libraries from Ae. aegypti mosquitoes across 246 eastern Asia (Figure 4A,B) and observed a significant diversity of insect viruses generating 247 significant small RNA responses. Several mosquito viruses in Asia were common with those in 248 American samples (Fig. 3), such as HTV and PCLV (Fig. 4C,D). This also included the Partiti-249 250 like virus-1 Jane strain, which notably persisted in the ThaiKP colony that was propagated for >40 generations since its isolation in 2010³⁶. Interestingly, the ThaiKP Partiti-like virus-1 viral 251 252 small RNAs were strongly biased for the plus strand of the RdRP gene in contrast to both plus 253 and minus strand siRNAs against this virus in a Singaporean wild isolate (Fig. 4E). The molecular implications of these and similar diverse fluctuations in viral small RNAs from HTV 254 (Fig. 4C) remain unknown. 255

256 Surprisingly, our MSRG pipeline detected the first case of dengue viral small RNAs from a 257 wild mosquito isolate – a Singaporean sample whose RNA was sequenced in Olmo, et al²² (**Fig.** 4F). DENV1 siRNAs in this Singaporean *Ae. aegypti* were more abundant than viral piRNAs,

reflecting the same trend as DENV infections in mosquito Aag2 cells²³. These natural DENV1

small RNAs also accumulated to the same level as a DENV2 infection of a lab Ae. aegypti

261 experiment³⁷, reflecting the likelihood of significant DENV1 infection in this Singaporean sample.

262

263 African Ae. aegypti colonies harbor a persistent African-specific mosquito virus.

Most Ae. aegypti samples in this study thus far belong to the Ae. aegypti aegypti subspecies 264 that have higher human biting proclivity and better ZIKV vector competence compared to the 265 contemporary African subspecies Ae. aegypti formosus^{38,39}. Most African colonies in this study 266 are subspecies formosus, but some are subspecies aegypti (THI, NGO, CVerd), while others 267 are substantially admixed (KUM, OGD). Distinct genetic backgrounds between aegypti and 268 formosus subspecies are one likely explanation behind the differences in these subspecies³⁸, 269 270 but the persistent viruses generating viral small RNAs in *formosus* subspecies were previously 271 unknown.

272 Our small RNA profiles revealed that PCLV's global reach extends into several African colony strains primarily on the western African coast, which may be biased to the limited access 273 274 to colonies only from these African locales (Figure 5A,B). The PCLV small RNA coverage patterns were also more biased towards the 'S' and 'M' segments with plentiful antisense viral 275 piRNAs, while the 'L' segment mainly generated sense viral piRNAs (Fig. 5C). Unlike Asian and 276 Central and Southern American *aegypti* strains where HTV frequently accompanied PCLV in 277 causing a small RNA response in the same mosquito sample, formosus strains only had a few 278 279 HTV small RNA signatures, all of which were found exclusive of PCLV (Fig. 5A).

280 Formosus virus displayed the most striking persistent small RNA response in 12 samples from native-range colonies (Fig. 5A). This rhabdovirus has a ~12.2kb genome that was initially 281 282 absent from GBVRL database. It was deposited in the TPA (accession BK059424) by Parry, et al³⁰, from a metagenomics assembly of transcriptomes from a different African lab colony not in 283 this study originating from Bundibugyo, Uganda⁴⁰. Importantly, four additional African Ae. 284 aegypti samples from Olmo et al²², that were established and maintained separately from the 285 main set of native-range colonies, also displayed Formosus virus small RNAs with similar 286 coverage patterns (Fig. 5D, i.e. KED 02 JM and KED Female LM). Since most of the native-287 range colonies here were sampled after at least seven generations, we conclude that the 288 Formosus virus is persisting and being transmitted vertically within the colonies. 289

290 Formosus virus primarily generates viral piRNAs from the sense strands of the NP, HP, and 291 GP genes in the 5' half of the viral genome, while the RdRP gene seemingly evades piRNA production (Fig. 5D). Conversely, long RNA sequencing indicated the plus-strand RdRP gene is 292 293 transcribed as highly as NP, HP, and GP genes (Fig. 5E). We also detected robust minus strand long RNAs indicative of replication of the negative-strand rhabdovirus genome, yet much 294 295 fewer viral piRNAs were generated from this minus strand (Fig. 5D). Future studies will 296 investigate how the RdRP gene transcript and negative-strand genomic transcript evade the 297 RNAi machinery. Lastly, we observed some sex-specific Formosus viral piRNA accumulation patterns in males at the 5' end of the NP gene, even though long viral RNA patterns look similar 298 299 between the sexes.

300

301 Insights into mosquito virus replication and RNAi dynamics from matched long RNA sequencing

302 Next, we asked if the Formosus virus example of the interplay between viral small RNAs and long RNAs was indicative of a broader picture of dynamics between viral replication and viral 303 small RNA biogenesis for other viruses in other Ae. aegypti mosquitoes. We compared in a 304 305 scatterplot the log-transformed long and small RNA levels between ~75 matched samples for each mosquito virus (Figure 6A). We noted four interesting virus groups in this analysis. The 306 307 first group is AEFE1 EVE measurements from adults all clustering together, which displayed more small RNAs than long RNAs, and reflects the care we took to generate and sequence 308 309 these RNA libraries as reproducibly as possible.

The next two groups were viruses in samples that displayed significant viral long RNAs but 310 few small RNAs, and vice versa significant viral small RNAs without much long RNAs. In several 311 Florida isolates that were captured by municipal vector control surveillance, the Aedes Toti-like 312 virus appeared to replicate and express viral genes effectively, perhaps before the mosquitoes 313 could mount an RNAi response with small RNAs (Fig. 6B). Notably, these Florida mosquitoes 314 had robust small RNA responses to endogenous TEs like R1-Ele4 as well as other viruses like 315 Verdadero virus, validating that the lack of *Aedes* Toti-like virus small RNAs is not merely a 316 317 technical error (Supp. Fig. S4B). More interestingly, there were some virus cases like Formosus virus in the Entebbe, Uganda colony (ENT), in which both males and females only 318 319 generated viral small RNAs. This may be linked to the complete loss of the viral long RNAs (Fig. 320 **6C**) despite the long RNA libraries still tracking the TE long RNAs.

The fourth standout group is viruses in the BZL strain eggs which displayed massive levels of long RNA reads from both plus and minus strands of the various viruses in this strain (**Fig. 6D**). For both TMBTLV and an *Aedes* Binegev-like virus (ABLV), the long RNA reads were more than an order of magnitude greater than the small RNAs in both the eggs and whole adult females. Despite the production of abundant viral piRNAs and siRNAs in the adult whole female and maternal contribution of these viral small RNAs to the eggs, virus silencing does not appear effective, which could allow for efficient vertical virus transmission from female to egg.

328

329 Infectious capacity of metagenomically assembled virus entries from RNA sequencing

Although TMBTLV and Formosus virus were in the TPA and MAG databases within GenBank³⁰, they were not included in the GBVRL at the time of our initial analysis, raising the question of whether these entries are truly infectious viruses. To bolster our RNA sequencing findings, we sought to isolate viruses from filtered mosquito homogenates to infect mosquito cell cultures (**Figure 7A**). If the virus infections in cell cultures were deemed stable, we could then sequence the virus genomes for GBVRL submission and sequence viral small RNAs from infected cells to compare against the mosquito viral small RNAs.

We followed established serial propagation and blind passaging procedures using C6/36 Ae. 337 albopictus cells and Aag2 Ae. aegypti cells to test for virus infection from the homogenates of 338 339 the BZL lab strain (Fig. 7B), a colony strain from Poza Rica, Mexico (PZR, Supplemental Figure S5A), and two African colony strains AWK and KIN (Supp. Fig. S5B). After 5-to-6 340 341 rounds of blind passaging, we were able to use RT-PCR and primers to consistently detect the stable infections of TMBTLV RNAs S1 and S2, the RdRP and Capsid genes of Verdadero virus, 342 and a Totivirus and Formosus virus amplicon. These results suggested we could establish 343 stably infected mosquito cells as new stocks of these mosquito viruses, albeit at moderate virus 344 copy levels, since we have not yet established a titer regime for these viruses. 345

For TMBTLV, Totivirus, and Formosus virus, we examined infection kinetics in mosquito cells and virus tropism. TMBTLV infection rates proceeded faster and to a greater extent in 348 C6/36 cells than Aag2 cells (Fig. 7C), likely because of the Dcr2 mutation in C6/36 cells that reduces antiviral RNAi and makes C6/36 cells the most common cell culture system to isolate 349 viruses from insects^{41,42}. In contrast, Formosus virus exhibited efficient replication only in Aag2 350 351 cells, suggesting that this virus has more restricted species tropism than TMBTLV. Totivirus replicated in both cell types, although replication kinetics were a little faster in Aag2 cells. Lastly, 352 353 we tested if these three viruses can also replicate in mammalian Vero E6 and Huh7.5 cells that are susceptible to mosquito-borne human viruses like ZIKV and DENV. Surprisingly, blind 354 passage of BZL mosquito homogenates into these mammalian cells was effective at showing 355 356 TMBTLV replication, and some cytopathic effects were observed (Supp. Fig. S5C, D). A fainter signal for the Formosus virus was also observed in some of the blind passaging in the 357 mammalian cells. 358

359 The transfer of these mosquito viruses into cell cultures enabled us to clone TMBTLV and Formosus virus genomic fragments for sequencing and GBVRL submission. When comparing 360 the genomes of our TMBTLV and Formosus virus isolates to the initial genomes assembled by 361 Parry et al.³⁰, we detected 25 total protein-coding mutations in the ~4.5kb TMBTLV genome, but 362 only 11 protein-coding mutations in the ~12.2kb Formosus virus genome (Supp. Figure S6). 363 Together, these results provide strong evidence supporting the infectious capacity of these 364 365 persistent mosquito viruses even though the source mosquitoes displayed an RNAi response with viral small RNAs. 366

367

368 Small RNA responses in virus-infected mosquito cells are comparable to the whole mosquito

We subjected our virus-infected C6/36 cells and Aag2 cells to small RNA sequencing to determine whether new viral small RNA patterns in these cell cultures could recapitulate the patterns in the whole mosquito. These C6/36 and Aag2 cell lines were obtained from other mosquito laboratories and already had known viruses persisting and generating small RNAs^{23,43-} ⁴⁵ (**Figure 8A,B**). We were able to reconfirm the presence of Cell Fusing Agent Virus (CFAV) and PCLV in both of our 'mock' C6/36 and Aag2 cells²³, as well as discover Sobemo-like viruses and densoviruses in our C6/36 and Aag2 cells, respectively.

376 In addition to the preexisting viruses in the background-strain cell lines, these infected 377 mosquito cells in Figures 7 and S5 exhibited viral small RNAs from TMBTLV (from BZL mosquitoes), Anphevirus (from PZR mosquitoes), and Formosus virus (from AWK and KIN 378 379 mosquitoes). The C6/36 cells generated a strong viral piRNA response to all three viruses with 380 remarkable resemblance to the primarily plus strand viral piRNA patterns observed in the cognate whole mosquito sample (Fig. 8C). The Dcr2 mutations in C6/36 cells prevent these 381 cells from generating conventional siRNAs from a double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) intermediate 382 383 made during virus replication⁴¹, which could partially explain the lack of virus minus strand siRNAs. It is possible that apparent virus plus strand siRNAs in C6/36 cells could also be 384 piRNAs that have been extensively trimmed to this shorter siRNA-like length. 385

386 The TMBTLV infection of Aag2 cells, which have an intact *Dcr2* gene, showed a clear pattern of viral siRNAs processed from a dsRNA intermediate since minus and plus strand 387 388 siRNAs evenly covered the entire virus genome (Fig. 8D). This intact antiviral RNAi response in Aag2 cells against TMBTLV explains the slower infection kinetics in Aag2 cells versus C6/36 389 cells (Fig. 7C). However, Anphevirus and Formosus virus both did not generate antisense 390 siRNAs in Aag2 cells, but instead produced mainly plus strand piRNAs from all the viral genes 391 392 except the RdRP gene, in striking similarity to the small RNA patterns in the whole mosquito 393 (Fig. 8D). Our results set the stage for utilizing these cell cultures and new mosquito virus

394 stocks to study the biochemical mechanisms underlying the effective transmission of these

viruses despite the triggering of small RNA responses in mosquito cells and animals.

396

397 DISCUSSION

We present here the most comprehensive global mosquito virus small RNA survey to date 398 and demonstrate how strikingly frequent are the robust small RNA responses to the persisting 399 viruses within wild mosquitoes and in recently established colonies. In addition to analyzing new 400 small and long RNA sequencing datasets, our MSRG pipeline also integrated previously 401 402 published small RNA datasets²² to yield a more complete picture of the geographic distributions of mosquito viruses. Our analysis confirms the global reach of PCLV (and to a lesser extent 403 HTV) infecting Ae. aegypti, but geographical boundaries of mosquito viruses based on small 404 RNA profiles can still be observed such as virus delineations in the Americas continents and 405 African-specific viruses like the Formosus virus. By comparing viral long RNAs to small RNAs, 406 407 we uncovered new insights into the dynamics of virus replication intermediates that can evade RNAi interactions, mechanisms which we can explore in the future by infecting these viruses 408 409 into mosquito cell cultures while knocking down mosquito host genes.

410

Limitations and future opportunities of the study

Small RNA sequencing is a low throughput assay with more complicated library construction 412 methods compared to long RNA sequencing. RNA sequencing is also less practical for routine 413 virus surveillance conducted by municipal vector control organizations, which rely on primer 414 panels in RT-PCR assays due to speed and low cost. Our current MSRG bioinformatics 415 analysis is now more streamlined from the initial iteration^{23,26}, but it remains a challenge to keep 416 pace with the deluge of new virome entries and metagenomics studies^{4,5,27}. Because of 417 throughput limitations with small RNA sequencing scalability, our study is a snapshot in time 418 and limited to specific locales despite our efforts to profile mosquitoes globally. Some of the 419 420 narrow sampling issues are logistical and political restrictions on access to mosquitoes from certain countries. 421

422 It is possible that some of the viruses detected in colonized mosquitoes could result from a 423 lab-acquired infection when multiple colonies share the same insectary space. Colonies that 424 have been perpetuated for many generations may begin to reflect the environment that they are raised in instead of the locale that they originate from and are meant to represent. For instance, 425 426 we detected the American-prevalent Anphevirus and CFAV in the Lambrechts lab's Lope strain and the McBride lab's ZIK strain, respectively. These African-originating strains had the most 427 428 generations of lab propagation (>15 generations) compared to the other African colonies (average ~8 generations, Fig. 8A). 429

430 Climate change is expanding the ecological niches for mosquitoes like Ae. aegypti, which 431 have now invaded further into North America and Europe³⁵. Broadening our small RNA genomics surveys to include animal captures in new locations would illuminate the potential 432 433 disease vectoring threat that these invading mosquitoes would pose to human populations. Small RNA sequencing provides extra rigor beyond the RT-PCR assay because the virus is 434 expected to have replicated at high enough levels to provide the long RNA precursors needed 435 for the mosquito RNAi machinery to convert into small RNAs. Thus, it was particularly surprising 436 437 to observe the first case of DENV small RNAs in a wild mosquito isolate (**Fig. 4F**). It remains an 438 open question whether wild mosquitoes displaying arboviral small RNAs are still actively

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

439 infectious or if the RNAi response is now suppressing virus transmission. Only sequencing

440 approaches, not RT-PCR, can discover new viruses and RNAi responses in mosquitoes, and

our study demonstrates the utility of this approach to augment arbovirus surveillance programs.

442

443 Could persistent insect viruses in wild mosquitoes be a source for emerging pathogens?

444 It is practical for academic lab mosquito strains to be clean of persistent viruses that would complicate genetic analyses. However, to better model arbovirus vector competence in the wild 445 where human populations are affected, we need to study further the impact of persistent viruses 446 447 that generate abundant viral small RNAs in mosquitoes. The infectivity of the mosquito viruses into cell cultures was surprising considering the apparent viral small RNA responses exhibited 448 by the mosquito animals and the cell cultures. We did have occasional issues with mosquito 449 450 viruses transferring between culture flasks by possible aerosolization within the biosafety cabinet during the handling of multiple mosquito homogenates (Fig. 8). This infectivity could 451 explain the persistence and widespread detection of these viruses in so many of the mosquito 452 samples profiled in this study. 453

454 An open question is whether persistent mosquito viruses can be transmitted from the mosquito to the bitten animal during blood feeding. For instance, it is unclear if massive vertical 455 virus transmission of TMBTLV via maternal contribution to the eggs (Fig. 6D) would reflect a 456 meaningful reservoir of viruses in the mosquito salivary glands and proboscis. On the other 457 hand, the LTLV had very high levels of small RNAs in the midgut (Fig. 3B, Supp. Fig. S4A). 458 459 suggesting this virus could likely mix with an initial bloodmeal and be transmitted during subsequent blood feedings. Notably, several of these mosquito viruses generating an RNAi 460 461 response are related to plant viruses, suggesting that mosquito feeding on plant sap could be another modality of virus transmission, and perhaps these viruses are suppressing RNAi with 462 factors related to P19 found in plant tombusviruses³⁴. Lastly, our preliminary evidence shows 463 that TMBTLV could be transmitted to mammalian Vero E6 and Huh7.5 cells. This surprising 464 465 result was reproduced across multiple independent replications of this infection. This finding points to the possibility that arboviruses like DENV and ZIKV also started as mosquito-specific 466 flaviviruses like CFAV until they gained the capability to infect humans to facilitate new avenues 467 468 of viral transmission.

469

470 Can the Formosus virus and other mosquito viruses affect arbovirus vector competence?

Previous studies on genetic variations causing lower ZIKV vector competence in Ae. aegypti 471 formosus compared to Ae. aegypti aegypti were done prior to our new results on the Formosus 472 virus persisting and being transmitted vertically in these African colonies³⁸. It is possible that the 473 474 formosus-aegypti crosses conducted in the previous study may have also transmitted Formosus virus, and insect virus interactions with arboviruses are documented. For example, co-infection 475 476 with CFAV reduces DENV and ZIKV replication in cell culture and transmission in mosquitoes⁴⁶. 477 Our next priority will be to test how these mosquito viruses interact with DENV and ZIKV in coinfection experiments. 478

In addition, these mosquito viruses may also be useful agents for mosquito transduction and
control in a similar vein as insect densoviruses^{47,48}. Densoviruses have been engineered to
carry reporter genes and toxic gene knockdown cassettes as prototype genetic tools to
manipulate mosquitoes, but technical challenges must be overcome to realize the potential of
densoviruses as mosquito genetic tools. We observed that, like the insect viruses investigated

in this study, densoviruses also engage with the mosquito RNAi pathway to generate viral small
RNAs (Fig. 8B and Ma, et al²³). Rivaling densovirus in genome compactness is TMBTLV at just
~4.5kb across two RNA segments. We are still characterizing the viral genomic RNAs' 5' and 3'
ends to build and test infectious clones, but there is potential for new mosquito genetic tools to
be garnered from such a survey.

Previous studies have tried to harness RNAi to control mosquito populations and curtail arbovirus transmission⁴⁹⁻⁵⁴, but dsRNA delivery by injection has a low throughput, and RNAi by dsRNA ingestion is also limited in mosquitoes⁵⁵. Mosquito viruses like TMBTLV that can readily trigger dsRNA and siRNAs in mosquitoes and cells (**Fig. 2B, 8D**) may solve the siRNA delivery problem. Future small RNA sequencing surveys like this can potentially discover new virusvector biology, facilitating the development of new tools to combat the health threat that arboviruses pose to humans.

496

497 MATERIALS AND METHODS

498

499 Construction of small and total RNA libraries from whole mosquitoes and cells

500 Total RNA was extracted from whole frozen mosquitoes or mosquitoes preserved in RNA protection solutions. Zirconium beads (3.0mm) in a bead beater were used to homogenize 501 mosquitoes before proceeding with NEB Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (NEB #T2010). The 502 503 on-column DNase I treatment was performed during RNA extraction. Small RNA libraries were made using NEBNext Small RNA Library Prep Set (NEB #E7330) with up to 5µg of RNA input. 504 During library amplification, samples were put through up to 25 total PCR cycles. Total RNA 505 506 libraries were made using Zymo-Seg RiboFree Total RNA Library Kit using up to 250ng RNA 507 input, and following manufacturer's protocol. All libraries were checked on an Agilent 508 Bioanalyzer 2100 using either DNA 1000 or High Sensitivity DNA kit and sequenced at the 509 BUMC Microarray and Sequencing Resource on an Illumina Next Seq 2000 using P3 flow cells for 50SE and 50PE reads for small RNA and total RNA libraries, respectively. 510

511

512 Running VirusDetect with TPA and MAG database modifications

- 513 The source code for VirusDetect Version 1.7²⁸ was downloaded from the GitHub repository
- 514 (https://github.com/kentnf/VirusDetect). Additionally, the preprocessed VRL virus database
- 515 Version 248 was obtained from the VirusDetect webpage
- 516 (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/ftp/program/VirusDetect/virus_database/v248/). The source code
- and the corresponding database were deployed on the Shared Computing Cluster of Boston
- 518 University. To meet VirusDetect requirements, the following packages were installed on the
- cluster: perl version 5.28.1, bioperl version 1.7.2, and python3 version 3.8.10.
- 520 The Third Party Annotation (TPA) GenBank files were sourced from the NCBI database
- 521 (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tpa/tsa/). An in-house R pipeline was utilized to extract GenBank
- 522 records, preserving 45,601 entries with the taxonomy 'VIRUS' for downstream processing. For
- 523 each entry, both the genome sequence and corresponding protein sequences were retrieved
- from the NCBI database using the ACCESSION ID and saved in database files formatted for
- 525 VirusDetect. Two id-mapping files were also generated following VirusDetect's instructions. In
- addition to the GBVRL and TPA datasets, partial virus sequences in GenBank were annotated
- as Metagenome Assembled Genome (MAG). All MAG records downloaded from GenBank
- underwent the same processing steps as the TPA database. A total of 65,167 entries were
- retained to construct the modified VirusDetect database.
- 530

531 Sequencing and bioinformatics analysis of small and long RNAs from mosquitoes

- 532 RNA Libraries were selected if they were above 1 million reads to run through the Mosquito 533 Small RNA Genomics (MSRG) pipeline^{23,26}. Outputs from MSRG include the alignment to a 534 curated virus database. This includes breakdowns (in reads per million) of reads coming from 535 siRNAs (18-23 nt) and piRNAs (24-35 nt), which strand reads map to, and a ratio of peaks to
- the average distance between peaks (used as a metric of coverage across viral sequence).
- 537 Some of the libraries in the Olmo et al study²² were made using a modified version of the
- 538 NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set where a random 6-mer was attached to the 5'
- adapter. A Cutadapt trimming step in MSRG was adapted to remove the first six bases of each
- 540 read. This modified pipeline was then used to run MSRG on these samples.

- 541 Total RNA libraries were sequenced as 50PE reads but were processed to look like small RNA
- reads so that they could also be run using the MSRG pipeline. All reads were trimmed to 35nt,
- R1 was reverse complemented to be in the same orientation as R2, and then the reverse
- 544 complemented R1 was merged with R2. The resulting FASTQ file was then run through MSRG
- and processed just like the small RNA libraries.
- 546 Viruses were only considered to be present in a sample if it had at least 10 reads per million
- 547 (RPM) and a coverage ratio greater than 0.75. Additionally, a strand bias score was calculated
- 548 for each virus/sample pair by taking the ratio of reads mapping to the top versus bottom strand.
- 549 For plots made using R/RStudio, packages used include: ggplot2, tidyverse, and ggpubr.
- In the bubble plot, log10 of the strand bias score was mapped to the color, and log10 of the
- 551 RPM was mapped to the size. For the strand bias, any value greater than 1 (a greater than 10-
- fold strand bias) was squished to be the "max" color on the scale. For the scatterplots
- comparing the small and total RNAs, the 10 RPM ratio was ignored in order to completely
- 554 compare the two libraries. Sample/virus pairs were kept if at least one of the two libraries was at 555 least 10 RPM.
- 556

557 Cell Cultures

558 C6/36 and Aag2-TC mosquito cell cultures were propagated in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented

- with 10% FBS and 1% Tryptose Phosphate Broth (TPB) and maintained at 28° C and 5% CO2.
- 560 HUH7.5 and Vero E6 TC cell cultures were propagated in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with
- 561 10% FBS and 1% P/S (Penicillin/Streptomycin) and maintained at 37° C and 5% CO2. The
- 562 mammalian cell cultures were split by washing with 1X PBS and 0.25% Trypsin EDTA.
- 563

564 **Cell infection with mosquito viruses**

565 The mosquitoes received from Awka (Nigeria), Kintampo (Ghana), Pozo Rica (Mexico), and

566 Banzon Research (USA) were used to infect the cell lines with viruses. Mosquitoes were

567 homogenized in 1.5 mL DMEM with zirconium beads (3.0mm) in a bead beater. The

- 568 homogenate was passed through a 0.45µm pore size filter and added into a T-25 flask
- containing ~90% cell confluency in 5ml of media in T-25 flasks. On the first day of infection
- only, a cocktail of Primocin, Normocin, and Fungin antibiotics (InvivoGen) was added to the
- 571 infection media.
- 572 For mosquito cell blind passage, the medium was collected from the infected cells, passed
- through the 0.45 μ m pore size filter, and added into a new T-25 flask containing ~90% cell
- 574 confluency. For serial passage, the cells infected by homogenizing the mosquitoes were split
- into a new flask with a fresh medium. This process was repeated every 7th day for both blind
- and serial passage. The cells collected on the 7th day were used for total RNA extraction to
- 577 confirm the virus infection.
- 578 For mammalian cell blind passage experiments, VeroE6 and Huh7.5 cells were infected with
- 579 non-titered virus stock medium collected from C6/36 cells infected with the mosquito-viruses
- 580 from Awka, Nigeria (AWK) and Benzon Research (BZL). The collected medium was passed
- through a 0.45μ m pore size filter and was added to a new T25 flask containing ~90%
- 582 confluency. On the 4th day post-infection, the medium was replaced with a fresh batch of virus
- stock medium. On the 7th day, medium from the cells was transferred out and cells were

- 584 washed twice with 1X PBS before trypsinizing cells for total RNA extraction and archiving live
- cells by cryopreservation. This process was repeated on every 7th day.
- 586

587 **RNA extraction and RT-qPCR analysis of virus amplicons**

588 Total RNA was extracted with Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (NEB) following the manufacturer's instructions, including the DNase I treatment step. For RT-PCR, 1µg RNA was 589 used for first strand cDNA preparation by using ProtoScript II Reverse Transcriptase kit followed 590 by Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB). RT-qPCR was performed with LunaScript RT 591 Supermix (NEB) in a Bio-Rad CFX Opus 96 Real-Time PCR System. The housekeeping genes, 592 593 Ae. aegypti actin (GenBank accession XM 001659913) and Ae. albopictus actin (GenBank 594 accession XM 019702203), were used as reference genes to normalize the target gene expression by $2-\Delta\Delta Ct$ methods. 595

596

597 Virus Kinetics

598 The virus kinetics of TMBTLV, Formosus virus, and Totivirus were measured in C6/36 and

599 Aag2-TC cell lines. First, the virus particles were quantified in the virus stock medium by digital-

droplet PCR on the Bio-Rad QX-200 instrument. The cells were infected with 5 mL virus stock

601 medium in the T-25 flask. The cells were collected from the flask on the 1st, 3rd, 5th, 7th, and

10th day post-infection. At each time point, the cells were resuspended in the medium using a

pipette, and one mL of the medium containing the cells was replaced with a fresh medium. The

cells were collected and processed from one mL for the total RNA extraction. Subsequently, the

virus quantification was done by RT-qPCR, as described above. This experiment was repeated

- in three independent biological replicates for each time point.
- 607

608 **Resource availability**

609 Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the lead contact, Nelson Lau (nclau@bu.edu).

612 Materials availability

613 All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the lead contact. Material 614 transfer agreements with Boston University may apply.

615 Data and code availability

All sequencing data produced and generated by this study is available on Sequencing Read
Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA1104658. See Tables S1 and S2 for specific BioSample
and SRA accessions. SRA accessions for publicly available datasets used in this study can be
found in Table S1C. The MSRG pipeline code can be found on the Github repository:
https://github.com/laulabbumc/MosquitoSmallRNA.

621

622 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

623 We thank Mohsan Saeed and Fabiana Feitosa-Suntheimer for comments on this manuscript.

We acknowledge Anubis Vega-Rúa and Silvânia da Veiga Leal as the source of mosquito

- 625 colonies from Guadeloupe and Cape Verde, respectively. We thank João Marques for
- 626 assistance in accessing his lab's public datasets. We acknowledge Mark Stenglein and Marylee
- 627 Kapuscinski for technical assistance in small RNA sequencing.

N.C.L.'s lab was funded by NIH/NIGMS (GM135215). L.L. was supported by the French

- 629 Government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence Integrative Biology of
- 630 Emerging Infectious Diseases (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID). A.B. was supported by a stipend
- 631 from the Pasteur Paris University (PPU) International PhD Program. A.E.W. and K.E.O. were
- supported by R01 Al130085. A.E.W. and E.C. research was supported by the Intramural
- Research Program of NIH/NIAID (Al001246). N.H.R and C.S.M.'s work here was supported by
- NIH GRANT R00DC012069 and a New York Stem Cell Foundation Robertson Neuroscience
 Investigator Award. A.L.C.S. and M.D were supported by U.S. Centers for Disease Control and
- 636 Prevention (CDC) Cooperative Agreement Number 1U01CK000510, Southeastern Regional
- 637 Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases Gateway Program. The CDC did not have a role
- 638 in the design of the study or the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data. D.E.B.'s work was
- supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy
- and Infectious Diseases (AI148477). M.A.Y. is supported by the Searle Scholars Program, the
- Richard and Susan Smith Family Foundation, the Esther A. & Joseph Klingenstein Fund, and
- 642 the Simons Foundation.
- 643 Author contributions
- 644 Conceptualization, N.C.L, S.G., R.S., A.E.W., and Z.Z.; Methodology/Investigation, N.C.L, S.G.,
- R.S., A.C.V., A.E.W., Z.Z., and G.D.; Formal Analysis, S.G., N.C.L., and R.S.; Data
- 646 Curation/Software, C.Z., S.G., and Z.Z.; Writing Original Draft, N.C.L., S.G., and R.S.; Writing
- Review & Editing, N.C.L., S.G., A.E.W., L.L., N.H.R., C.S.M., and Z.Z.; Visualization, N.C.L.,
- 648 S.G., R.S., and Z.Z.; Funding Acquisition, N.C.L., L.L., K.E.O., E.C., C.S.M., M.A.Y., D.E.B., and
- 649 M.D.; Sample Contribution & Resources, A.E.W, I.S.V., N.H.R., A.G.S., D.E.B., J.M., S.S.W.,
- 650 A.C., T.R., M.S., A.B., J.A., O.B.A., D.A., W.L.L., C.H.C., C.V., C.G.A., A.P., T.M., B.C., D.W.,
- 651 D.S., M.A.Y., A.L.C.S., M.D., A.B., L.L., C.S.M, K.E.O., and E.C.
- 652
- 653 Declaration of interests
- The authors declare no competing interests.

655 FIGURES and TABLES LEGENDS

656 Figure 1. Overview of the global mosquito small RNA survey to discover RNA-

657 interference (RNAi) responses to mosquito viruses.

- 658 (A) Overview of the MSRG pipeline applied to a global survey of whole mosquitoes from
- Americas, Asia, Africa and laboratory strains. (B) Implementation of the VirusDetect program
- 660 with updated GBVRL and custom databases for comprehensive mosquito virus detection. (C)
- 661 Summary tabulation of the samples and RNA libraries analyzed in this study.
- 662

Supplemental Figure S1. Using the AEFE1 EVE as a constant quality tracker control for viral small RNA profiling and implementing VirusDetect to discover new ISVs from small RNAs.

- (A) Coverage plots on left and bubble plots on right representing the small RNAs from the
- 667 Endogenous Viral Element (EVE) from Ae. aegypti called AEFE1 (Suzuki et al, 2017). (B) The
- results output table from the VirusDetect program only loaded with the GBVRL database while
- analyzing the small RNA library from one of the BZL lab strain samples. (C) Coverage of the
- 670 de-novo small RNA assembly contigs generated by VirusDetect of the corresponding virus
- 671 determinations from the table in (B). The red asterisks mark a false-positive call against a
- tombus-like virus entry in GBVRL with limited contig coverage. (D) VirusDetect results
- analyzing the same BZL lab strain small RNA library in (B) but now with a custom loading of the
- TPA and MAG databases that are distinct from GBVRL. This result now shows two new viruses
- with much more complete contig coverage in (E), such as the Tiger Mosquito Bi-segmented
- Tombus-Like virus (TMBTLV) that is the true hit replacing the false-positive call in (B-C).
- 677

Supplemental Figure S2. Read length distribution profiles of all the Ae. aegypti small RNA libraries analyzed in this global survey.

- 680 Read length distribution plots as percentages of the libraries with functional classes of reads
- represented by different colored lines. The groups correspond to (A) Lab strains, (B) North
- American strains, (C) Central and South American strains, (D) Asian strains, (E) African strains,
- 683 (F) Cell lines, (G) Analyzed-separately-as-additional-replicates samples.
- 684

Figure 2. In contrast to commercial *Aedes aegypti* lab strains, most academic lab strains are low in viral small RNAs indicative of persistent viruses.

687 (A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from lab strains. Number reads per million is reflected by 688 bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is 689 minus strand biased. Magenta circles and dashed boxes mark the BZL and GP samples that 690 are commercial lab strains of Ae. aegypti and both are infected by Tiger mosquito bi-partite 691 Tombus-like virus (TMBTLV). All the other Ae. aegypti samples were reared in academic labs. See metadata in Table S1 for sample details. Lab initials: BZL = Benzon Research, MY = Meg 692 Younger, DB = Doug Brackney, JM = João Marques, ZT = Zhejian Tu, GH = Grant Hughes, TC 693 694 = Tonya Colpitts, BH = Bruce Hay, GP = Gorben Pijlman (B) Coverage plots of TMBTLV and Zika virus (ZIKV) small RNAs from the two commercial lab strains. Additional coverage plots of 695 (C) two viruses that only generated viral small RNAs in a 2nd batch of female BZL Ae. aeqvpti 696 and (D) other viruses always present in the BZL strain in both females and males. (E) Viral 697 small RNAs and likely persistent viruses are detected in the BZL eggs at lower small RNA levels 698 with a more distinct pattern of antisense viral small RNAs in eggs compared to the parental 699 700 whole females in (B).

701

Supplemental Figure S3. Complete bubble plots of viral small RNAs sampled in this global survey study.

Top plots are the siRNA-length small RNAs (18-23nt), the middle plots are the piRNA-length

small RNAs (24-35nt), and the bottom plots are all the small RNAs as shown in the main figures

2 - 6. The groups correspond to (A) Lab strains, (B) North American strains, (C) Central and

South American strains, (D) Asian strains, and (E) African strains. (F) are additional samples

that we considered as replicates of other libraries but did not include in the main study because

of concerns of potential cross-sample contamination.

710

Figure 3. *Ae. aegypti* in the Americas display diverse insect virus small RNA responses with geographic boundaries delineated by three prevalent mosquito viruses.

(A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from North America *Ae. aegypti* samples. Number reads per

million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus

strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. (B) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Central and

South America *Ae. aegypti* samples. Dashed brown line boxes mark two viruses noted in Figure

517 S4AB as being related to plant viruses. (C) Map of the Americas where the *Ae. aegypti* samples

originated from, with geographic boundaries delineated by the distribution of Anphevirus and

PCLV displayed in the bubble plot in (D). Example coverage plots of Anphevirus small RNAs (E)

720 and PCLV and HTV small RNAs (F).

721

Figure S4. Plant-related viruses and other prevalent viruses in American Aedes aegypti shared on both east and west coasts.

724 (A-B) Coverage plots of two insect viruses related to plant-originating viruses marked in Figure

3. (C) Maps marking approximate locations of *A. aegypti* samples collected from California,

Louisiana and Florida. Coverage plots of viral small RNAs from whole *A. aegypti* from: (D)

727 *Aedes* Binegev-like virus- Cayenne strain from California and Florida; and (E) Renna virus from

three states. (F) Principal Component Analysis of virus small RNA levels can discriminate

different groups of mosquito samples in between the North American, Central & South

730 American, and Lab strains.

731

Figure 4. Asian *Ae. aegypti* viral small RNA patterns reveal a first case of dengue viral small RNAs from a wild isolate and share patterns with mosquitoes from the Americas.

(A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Asia *Ae. aegypti* samples. Number reads per million is

reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand

biased, blue is minus strand biased. Dashed pink line box mark notable viruses whose coverage

plots are displayed below. (B) Map of Asia locations where the *Ae. aegypti* samples originated.

738 Coverage plots of HTV (C), PCLV (D) and Partiti-like virus 1 Jane strain (E) viral small RNAs

from a selection of Asian *Ae. aegypti*. (F) The DENV viral small RNA coverage from a

Singapore isolate compared to other DENV viral small patterns from infections in Aag2 cells and

a lab injected infection of *Ae. aegypti* by the Myles lab.

742

743 Figure 5. African Ae. aegypti colony strains carry viral small RNAs unique to their

744 continent.

745 (A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Africa Ae. aegypti colony strains. Number reads

- per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus
- strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. Dashed pink line boxes mark the PCLV and
- Formosus viruses noted in panels (C) and (D), respectively. (B) Map of Africa locations where
- the Ae. aegypti colonies or samples originated. (C) Coverage plots of PCLV small RNAs from a
- 750 selection of African *Ae. aegypti* showing high M-fragment piRNAs rivaling the S-fragment
- piRNAs. (D) The Formosus virus viral small RNA coverage from African *Ae. aegypti* colonies
- from the McBride lab and an independent Kedougou, Senegal sample from Olmo et al 2023.
- The black arrow points to female-specific viral piRNA specie. (E) Three examples of Formosus
- virus long RNAs sequenced from matched samples in (D).
- 755

Figure 6. Virus transmission and replication dynamics suggested by long RNA sequencing compared to matched small RNAs of mosquito viruses and transposable elements (TEs).

- 759 (A) Scatterplot comparing the particular *Ae. aegypti* samples that enabled matched library
- construction of small RNAs and long RNAs. Sequencing reads per million with a pseudo-count
- of 1 are plotted on the logarithmic scales. Dots representing the matched samples are colored
- by sex, and groups of samples clustering together are noted in the labeled ovals. (B) Coverage
- 763 plots of two Florida isolates of Ae. aegypti exhibiting abundant long RNA signal for the totivirus
- but negligible viral small RNA in the upper plots that contrast both long and small RNAs against an *R1-Ele4* TE. (C) Coverage plots of long RNAs versus small RNAs for the Formosus virus
- and R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females and females african colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females african colony. (D) Coverage plots of R1-Ele4 TE from both males african colony. (D) Coverage plots af
- long RNAs compared to small RNAs for viruses and a TE from the BZL strain of *Ae. aegypti* females and dormant eggs.
- 769

770 Figure 7. Novel mosquito viruses infecting and replicating in mosquito cells.

- (A) Our methodology to molecularly validate the small RNA detection of ISVs are true viruses
- that can be isolated and verified for triggering the RNAi response in mosquito cells. (B) RT-PCR
- detection of TMBTLV RNAs S1 and S2 during multiple rounds of blind passaging, starting with
- BZL mosquito homogenate as the initial virus infection source placed onto C6/36 and Aag2
 mosquito cells. (C) Virus replication kinetics measured in C6/36 and Aag2 cells over 1 week.
- Flasks of 1 million cells were infected on Day 0 with 200 viral qPCR amplicons per infection.
- 777 Virus stocks are from filtered media from subsequent passage from the experiment in (A). Error
- bars correspond to the standard error from measuring three biological replicates of infection of
- the mosquito cells with the same virus stock.
- 780

781 Figure S5. Tracking virus presence with RT-PCR during blind passaging of C6/36

mosquito cells from infections with PZR, AWK and KIN mosquito homogenates – with the
 potential to infect mammalian cells.

- (A) RT-PCR of viral amplicons against the Verdadero virus RdRP and capsid genes. Two
- independent infections with two batches of PZR mosquitoes. (B) RT-PCR of viral amplicons
- against Tesano virus, Totivirus and Formosus virus from two independent infections with two
- 787 batches of AWK and KIN mosquitoes. The actin control are primers against *Ae. albopictus* actin.
- (C) Continued blind passaging of virus stocks from C6/36 cells are then tested for infection of
- 789 mammalian VeroE6 and Huh7.5 cells followed by RT-PCR detection of viral amplicons. Red
- arrowheads point to insect virus amplicons detected in the mammalian cells. Asterisk marks a

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

791 totivirus already present in this lab stock of C636 cells. (D) Brightfield images cells from after 1 792 week of infection from 4th passage in (C) before harvesting total RNA for RT-PCR analysis. The

cytopathic effect of infection from the BZL virus stock is most evident on C6/36 and Huh7.5 793 cells.

- 794
- 795

796 Figure S6. Cloning and sequencing the TMBTLV and Formosus virus from Ae. aegypti.

797 (A) Contig assembly of Sanger sequencing of cloned TMBTLV amplicons from S1 and S2

- 798 RNAs. (B) Diagrams of SNP mutations from our cloned fragment sequencing versus the initial
- 799 TMBTLV references BK059489 and BK059490. (C) Contig assembly of Nanopore sequencing
- 800 of cloned Formosus virus amplicons. (D) Diagrams of SNP mutations from our cloned fragment sequencing versus the initial Formosus references BK059424. Both of our TMBTLV and 801
- Formosus virus variants sequences have now been contributed to GenBank with verified 802
- 803 sequence accessions that should facilitate its inclusion in the future updates of the GBVRL 804 database.
- 805

806 Figure 8. Mosquito viruses isolated in cell culture trigger an RNAi response of viral small 807 RNA patterns that resemble the patterns from whole mosquitoes.

- 808 Bubble plots of viral small RNAs sequenced from (A) Ae. albopictus C6/36 cells and (B) Ae.
- 809 aegypti Aag2 cells, either mock or stably infected after multiple blind passages of virus stocks
- from mosquito homogenates. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and 810
- color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. 811
- 812 Magenta boxes and dashed circles in (A) and (B) highlight specific samples inspected in
- 813 coverage plots in (C) and (D). Coverage plots for three viruses, TMBTLV, Anphevirus and
- Formosus virus in (C) C6/36 cells and (D) Aag2 cells with the intact mosquito from females 814
- shown in the middle for comparison. 815
- 816

Table S1. Overview of small RNA libraries made and used for this study. 817

- 818 Tab S1a. Mosquitoes. Tab S1b. Cell culture infections. Tab S1c. Previously published datasets.
- 819

Table S2. Overview of total RNA libraries made and used for this study. 820

- 821 Tab S2a. Mosquitoes. Tab S2b. Cell culture infections.
- 822

Table S3. Current List of Insect viruses in the MSRG pipeline analyzed in this study. 823

- At the bottom are also some additional viruses that were circumspect, were either already 824
- 825 removed or are being considered for removal in future extensions of this study.
- 826

Table S4. List of oligonucleotides used in this study. 827

828 **REFERENCES**

- Fernandes, J.N., Moise, I.K., Maranto, G.L., and Beier, J.C. (2018). Revamping Mosquito-borne
 Disease Control to Tackle Future Threats. Trends Parasitol *34*, 359-368.
- 831 10.1016/j.pt.2018.01.005.
- Moonen, J.P., Schinkel, M., van der Most, T., Miesen, P., and van Rij, R.P. (2023). Composition
 and global distribution of the mosquito virome A comprehensive database of insect-specific
 viruses. One Health *16*, 100490. 10.1016/j.onehlt.2023.100490.
- Pan, Y.F., Zhao, H., Gou, Q.Y., Shi, P.B., Tian, J.H., Feng, Y., Li, K., Yang, W.H., Wu, D., Tang, G., et
 al. (2024). Metagenomic analysis of individual mosquito viromes reveals the geographical
 patterns and drivers of viral diversity. Nat Ecol Evol. 10.1038/s41559-024-02365-0.
- Shi, M., Lin, X.D., Tian, J.H., Chen, L.J., Chen, X., Li, C.X., Qin, X.C., Li, J., Cao, J.P., Eden, J.S., et al.
 (2016). Redefining the invertebrate RNA virosphere. Nature *540*, 539-543.
 10.1038/nature20167.
- 8415.Zhang, Y.Z., Shi, M., and Holmes, E.C. (2018). Using Metagenomics to Characterize an Expanding842Virosphere. Cell *172*, 1168-1172. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.043.
- 8436.Lequime, S., Paul, R.E., and Lambrechts, L. (2016). Determinants of Arbovirus Vertical844Transmission in Mosquitoes. PLoS Pathog 12, e1005548. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005548.
- 845 7. da Costa, C.F., da Silva, A.V., do Nascimento, V.A., de Souza, V.C., Monteiro, D., Terrazas,
- W.C.M., Dos Passos, R.A., Nascimento, S., Lima, J.B.P., and Naveca, F.G. (2018). Evidence of
 vertical transmission of Zika virus in field-collected eggs of Aedes aegypti in the Brazilian
 Amazon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis *12*, e0006594. 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006594.
- 8498.Thangamani, S., Huang, J., Hart, C.E., Guzman, H., and Tesh, R.B. (2016). Vertical Transmission of850Zika Virus in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg *95*, 1169-1173. 10.4269/ajtmh.16-8510448.
- 852 9. Rosen, L., Shroyer, D.A., Tesh, R.B., Freier, J.E., and Lien, J.C. (1983). Transovarial transmission of
 853 dengue viruses by mosquitoes: Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti. Am J Trop Med Hyg *32*,
 854 1108-1119. 10.4269/ajtmh.1983.32.1108.
- Feitosa-Suntheimer, F., Zhu, Z., Mameli, E., Dayama, G., Gold, A.S., Broos-Caldwell, A., Troupin,
 A., Rippee-Brooks, M., Corley, R.B., Lau, N.C., et al. (2022). Dengue Virus-2 Infection Affects
 Fecundity and Elicits Specific Transcriptional Changes in the Ovaries of Aedes aegypti
 Mosquitoes. Front Microbiol *13*, 886787. 10.3389/fmicb.2022.886787.
- Comeau, G., Zinna, R.A., Scott, T., Ernst, K., Walker, K., Carriere, Y., and Riehle, M.A. (2020).
 Vertical Transmission of Zika Virus in Aedes aegypti Produces Potentially Infectious Progeny. Am
 J Trop Med Hyg *103*, 876-883. 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0698.
- 12. Chaves, B.A., Junior, A.B.V., Silveira, K.R.D., Paz, A.D.C., Vaz, E., Araujo, R.G.P., Rodrigues, N.B.,
 Campolina, T.B., Orfano, A.D.S., Nacif-Pimenta, R., et al. (2019). Vertical Transmission of Zika
 Virus (Flaviviridae, Flavivirus) in Amazonian Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) Delays Egg
 Hatching and Larval Development of Progeny. J Med Entomol *56*, 1739-1744.
 10.1093/jme/tjz110.
- Kada, S., Paz-Bailey, G., Adams, L.E., and Johansson, M.A. (2024). Age-specific case data reveal
 varying dengue transmission intensity in US states and territories. PLoS Negl Trop Dis *18*,
 e0011143. 10.1371/journal.pntd.0011143.
- 870 14. Golding, M.A.J., Noble, S.A.A., Khouri, N.K., Layne-Yarde, R.N.A., Ali, I., and Sandiford, S.L.
 871 (2023). Natural vertical transmission of dengue virus in Latin America and the Caribbean:
 872 highlighting its detection limitations and potential significance. Parasit Vectors 16, 442.
 873 10.1186/s13071-023-06043-1.
- Huang, E.Y.Y., Wong, A.Y.P., Lee, I.H.T., Qu, Z., Yip, H.Y., Leung, C.W., Yin, S.M., and Hui, J.H.L.
 (2020). Infection patterns of dengue, Zika and endosymbiont Wolbachia in the mosquito Aedes
 albopictus in Hong Kong. Parasit Vectors *13*, 361. 10.1186/s13071-020-04231-x.

877	16.	Flanagan, M.L., Parrish, C.R., Cobey, S., Glass, G.E., Bush, R.M., and Leighton, T.J. (2012).
878		Anticipating the species jump: surveillance for emerging viral threats. Zoonoses Public Health
879		<i>59</i> , 155-163. 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2011.01439.x.
880	17.	Hanley, K.A., Cecilia, H., Azar, S.R., Moehn, B.A., Gass, J.T., Oliveira da Silva, N.I., Yu, W., Yun, R.,
881		Althouse, B.M., Vasilakis, N., and Rossi, S.L. (2024). Trade-offs shaping transmission of sylvatic
882		dengue and Zika viruses in monkey hosts. Nature communications 15, 2682. 10.1038/s41467-
883		024-46810-x.
884	18.	Olson, K.E., and Blair, C.D. (2015). Arbovirus-mosquito interactions: RNAi pathway. Curr Opin
885		Virol 15, 119-126. 10.1016/j.coviro.2015.10.001.
886	19.	Gamez, S., Srivastav, S., Akbari, O.S., and Lau, N.C. (2020). Diverse Defenses: A Perspective
887	2.0	Comparing Dipteran Piwi-piRNA Pathways. Cells <i>9</i> . 10.3390/cells9102180.
888	20.	Varjak, M., Leggewie, M., and Schnettler, E. (2018). The antiviral piRNA response in mosquitoes?
889		J Gen Virol 99, 1551-1562. 10.1099/jgv.0.001157.
890	21.	Petit, M., Mongelli, V., Frangeul, L., Blanc, H., Jiggins, F., and Saleh, M.C. (2016). piRNA pathway
891		is not required for antiviral defense in Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National
892		Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113, E4218-4227.
893	22	10.1073/pnas.1607952113.
894	22.	Olmo, R.P., Todjro, Y.M.H., Agular, E., de Almeida, J.P.P., Ferreira, F.V., Armache, J.N., de Farla,
895		I.J.S., Ferreira, A.G.A., Amadou, S.C.G., Silva, A.T.S., et al. (2023). Mosquito vector competence
896		for dengue is modulated by insect-specific viruses. Nat Microbiol 8, 135-149. 10.1038/s41564-
897	22	U22-U1289-4.
898	23.	Ma, Q., Srivastav, S.P., Gamez, S., Dayama, G., Feitosa-Suntneimer, F., Patterson, E.I., Jonnson,
899		R.M., Matson, E.M., Gold, A.S., Brackney, D.E., et al. (2021). A mosquito small RNA genomics
900		resource reveals dynamic evolution and nost responses to viruses and transposons. Genome
901	24	research 31, 512-528. 10.1101/gr.265157.120.
902	24.	Suzuki, Y., Frangeur, L., Dickson, L.B., Bidne, H., Veruler, Y., Vinn, J., Lambrechts, L., and Salen,
903		M.C. (2017). Oncovering the Repertoire of Endogenous Flaviviral Elements in Aedes Mosquito
904 005	25	Genomes. J VII of 91. 10.1126/JVI.00371-17.
903	25.	Cilbert C. Circuid L. et al. (2018). Dan arthropod analysis royoals comptis niPNAs as an
900		ancostral defense against transposable elements. Nat Ecol Evol 2, 174, 191, 10, 1029/s/11550
907		017_0/02_/
908 908	26	Davama G. Bulekova K. and Lau N.C. (2022) Extending and Running the Mosquito Small RNA
010	20.	Genomics Resource Dineline, Methods in molecular biology 2509, 3/1-352, 10, 1007/078-1-
910 911		0716-2380-0 20
912	27	Volz F (2023) Fitness growth and transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 genetic variants. Nature
913	27.	reviews Genetics 24 724-734 10 1038/s41576-023-00610-z
914	28.	Zheng Y. Gao S. Padmanabhan C. Li R. Galvez M. Gutierrez D. Fuentes S. Ling K.S.
915	20.	Kreuze, L. and Fei, 7. (2017). VirusDetect: An automated pipeline for efficient virus discovery
916		using deep sequencing of small RNAs. Virology 500, 130-138, 10, 1016/i virol 2016, 10, 017
917	29	Bennett A. L. Bushmaker, T., Cameron, K., Ondzie, A., Niama, F.R., Parra, H.L., Mombouli, I.V.
918	23.	Olson, S.H., Munster, V.L. and Goldberg, T.L. (2019). Diverse RNA viruses of arthropod origin in
919		the blood of fruit bats suggest a link between bat and arthropod viromes. Virology 528, 64-72,
920		10.1016/i.virol.2018.12.009.
921	30.	Parry, R., James, M.E., and Asgari, S. (2021). Uncovering the Worldwide Diversity and Evolution
922		of the Virome of the Mosquitoes Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. Microorganisms 9.
923		10.3390/microorganisms9081653.
924	31.	Goertz, G.P., van Bree, J.W.M., Hiralal, A., Fernhout, B.M., Steffens, C., Boeren, S., Visser, T.M.,
925		Vogels, C.B.F., Abbo, S.R., Fros, J.J., et al. (2019). Subgenomic flavivirus RNA binds the mosquito
926		DEAD/H-box helicase ME31B and determines Zika virus transmission by Aedes aegypti.

927 928		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America <i>116</i> , 19136- 19144, 10.1073/pnas.1905617116.
929	32.	Cross, S.T., Maertens, B.L., Dunham, T.L. Rodgers, C.P., Brehm, A.L., Miller, M.R., Williams, A.M.,
930		Fov. B.D., and Stenglein. M.D. (2020). Partitiviruses Infecting Drosophila melanogaster and
931		Aedes aegypti Exhibit Efficient Biparental Vertical Transmission. J Virol 94. 10.1128/JVI.01070-
932		20.
933	33.	Nibert, M.L., Ghabrial, S.A., Maiss, E., Lesker, T., Vainio, E.J., Jiang, D., and Suzuki, N. (2014).
934		Taxonomic reorganization of family Partitiviridae and other recent progress in partitivirus
935		research. Virus Res 188. 128-141. 10.1016/i.virusres.2014.04.007.
936	34.	Scholthof, H.B. (2006). The Tombusvirus-encoded P19: from irrelevance to elegance. Nat Rev
937		Microbiol 4, 405-411, 10.1038/nrmicro1395.
938	35.	Zardini, A., Menegale, F., Gobbi, A., Manica, M., Guzzetta, G., d'Andrea, V., Marziano, V.,
939		Trentini, F., Montarsi, F., Caputo, B., et al. (2024). Estimating the potential risk of transmission of
940		arboviruses in the Americas and Europe: a modelling study. Lancet Planet Health 8. e30-e40.
941		10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00252-8.
942	36.	Fansiri, T., Fontaine, A., Diancourt, L., Caro, V., Thaisomboonsuk, B., Richardson, J.H., Jarman,
943		R.G., Ponlawat, A., and Lambrechts, L. (2013). Genetic mapping of specific interactions between
944		Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and dengue viruses. PLoS genetics 9. e1003621.
945		10.1371/journal.pgen.1003621.
946	37.	Samuel, G.H., Pohlenz, T., Dong, Y., Coskun, N., Adelman, Z.N., Dimopoulos, G., and Myles, K.M.
947		(2023). RNA interference is essential to modulating the pathogenesis of mosquito-borne viruses
948		in the vellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
949		the United States of America <i>120</i> , e2213701120. 10.1073/pnas.2213701120.
950	38.	Aubry, F., Dabo, S., Manet, C., Filipovic, I., Rose, N.H., Miot, E.F., Martynow, D., Baidaliuk, A.,
951		Merkling, S.H., Dickson, L.B., et al. (2020). Enhanced Zika virus susceptibility of globally invasive
952		Aedes aegypti populations. Science 370, 991-996. 10.1126/science.abd3663.
953	39.	Rose, N.H., Sylla, M., Badolo, A., Lutomiah, J., Ayala, D., Aribodor, O.B., Ibe, N., Akorli, J., Otoo,
954		S., Mutebi, J.P., et al. (2020). Climate and Urbanization Drive Mosquito Preference for Humans.
955		Curr Biol <i>30</i> , 3570-3579 e3576. 10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.092.
956	40.	McBride, C.S., Baier, F., Omondi, A.B., Spitzer, S.A., Lutomiah, J., Sang, R., Ignell, R., and Vosshall,
957		L.B. (2014). Evolution of mosquito preference for humans linked to an odorant receptor. Nature
958		515, 222-227. 10.1038/nature13964.
959	41.	Scott, J.C., Brackney, D.E., Campbell, C.L., Bondu-Hawkins, V., Hjelle, B., Ebel, G.D., Olson, K.E.,
960		and Blair, C.D. (2010). Comparison of dengue virus type 2-specific small RNAs from RNA
961		interference-competent and -incompetent mosquito cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 4, e848.
962		10.1371/journal.pntd.0000848.
963	42.	Brackney, D.E., Scott, J.C., Sagawa, F., Woodward, J.E., Miller, N.A., Schilkey, F.D., Mudge, J.,
964		Wilusz, J., Olson, K.E., Blair, C.D., and Ebel, G.D. (2010). C6/36 Aedes albopictus cells have a
965		dysfunctional antiviral RNA interference response. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 4, e856.
966		10.1371/journal.pntd.0000856.
967	43.	Goertz, G.P., Miesen, P., Overheul, G.J., van Rij, R.P., van Oers, M.M., and Pijlman, G.P. (2019).
968		Mosquito Small RNA Responses to West Nile and Insect-Specific Virus Infections in Aedes and
969		Culex Mosquito Cells. Viruses 11. 10.3390/v11030271.
970	44.	Dietrich, I., Shi, X., McFarlane, M., Watson, M., Blomstrom, A.L., Skelton, J.K., Kohl, A., Elliott,
971		R.M., and Schnettler, E. (2017). The Antiviral RNAi Response in Vector and Non-vector Cells
972		against Orthobunyaviruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11, e0005272. 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005272.
973	45.	Weger-Lucarelli, J., Ruckert, C., Grubaugh, N.D., Misencik, M.J., Armstrong, P.M., Stenglein,
974		M.D., Ebel, G.D., and Brackney, D.E. (2018). Adventitious viruses persistently infect three
975		commonly used mosquito cell lines. Virology 521, 175-180. 10.1016/j.virol.2018.06.007.

976 977 978 979	46.	Baidaliuk, A., Miot, E.F., Lequime, S., Moltini-Conclois, I., Delaigue, F., Dabo, S., Dickson, L.B., Aubry, F., Merkling, S.H., Cao-Lormeau, V.M., and Lambrechts, L. (2019). Cell-Fusing Agent Virus Reduces Arbovirus Dissemination in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes In Vivo. J Virol <i>93</i> . 10.1128/JVI.00705-19.
980	47.	Johnson, R.M., and Rasgon, J.L. (2018). Densonucleosis viruses ('densoviruses') for mosquito and
981 982	18	pathogen control. Current opinion in insect science 28, 90-97. 10.1016/j.cois.2018.05.009.
983	40.	Anopheles gambiae densovirus (AgDNV). Sci Rep 5, 12701. 10.1038/srep12701.
984	49.	Travanty, E.A., Adelman, Z.N., Franz, A.W., Keene, K.M., Beaty, B.J., Blair, C.D., James, A.A., and
985		Olson, K.E. (2004). Using RNA interference to develop dengue virus resistance in genetically
986		modified Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 34, 607-613. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2004.03.013.
987	50.	Sanchez-Vargas, I., Scott, J.C., Poole-Smith, B.K., Franz, A.W., Barbosa-Solomieu, V., Wilusz, J.,
988		Olson, K.E., and Blair, C.D. (2009). Dengue virus type 2 infections of Aedes aegypti are
989		modulated by the mosquito's RNA interference pathway. PLoS Pathog 5, e1000299.
990		10.1371/journal.ppat.1000299.
991	51.	Lopez, S.B.G., Guimaraes-Ribeiro, V., Rodriguez, J.V.G., Dorand, F., Salles, T.S., Sa-Guimaraes,
992		T.E., Alvarenga, E.S.L., Melo, A.C.A., Almeida, R.V., and Moreira, M.F. (2019). RNAi-based
993		bioinsecticide for Aedes mosquito control. Sci Rep <i>9</i> , 4038. 10.1038/s41598-019-39666-5.
994	52.	Magalhaes, T., Bergren, N.A., Bennett, S.L., Borland, E.M., Hartman, D.A., Lymperopoulos, K.,
995		Sayre, R., Borlee, B.R., Campbell, C.L., Foy, B.D., et al. (2019). Induction of RNA interference to
996		block Zika virus replication and transmission in the mosquito Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochem Mol
997		Biol <i>111</i> , 103169. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.05.004.
998	53.	Adelman, Z.N., Anderson, M.A., Morazzani, E.M., and Myles, K.M. (2008). A transgenic sensor
999		strain for monitoring the RNAi pathway in the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. Insect
1000		Biochem Mol Biol <i>38</i> , 705-713. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.04.002.
1001	54.	Franz, A.W., Sanchez-Vargas, I., Adelman, Z.N., Blair, C.D., Beaty, B.J., James, A.A., and Olson,
1002		K.E. (2006). Engineering RNA interference-based resistance to dengue virus type 2 in genetically
1003		modified Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
1004		of America 103, 4198-4203. 10.10/3/pnas.06004/9103.
1005	55.	Romoli, O., Henrion-Lacritick, A., Blanc, H., Frangeul, L., and Saleh, M.C. (2024). Limitations in
1006		narnessing oral KNA interference as an antiviral strategy in Aedes aegypti. IScience 27, 109261.
1007		10.1010/J.ISCI.2024.109261.

1008

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. Figure 1.



,	Region	Unique locations/ labs	Lau lab generated samples	Public datasets	Small RNA datasets	Long RNA datasets
	Laboratories	9	18	38	56	14
	North America	18	48	4	52	13
	Central & South America	11	20	23	43	4
	Asia	8	14	4	18	14
	Africa	17	30	10	40	30
	Total	63	130	79	209	75

Figure 1. Overview of the global mosquito small RNA survey to discover RNA-interference (RNAi) responses to mosquito viruses.

(A) Overview of the MSRG pipeline applied to a global survey of whole mosquitoes from Americas, Asia, Africa and laboratory strains. (B) Implementation of the VirusDetect program with updated GBVRL and custom databases for comprehensive mosquito virus detection. (C) Summary tabulation of the samples and RNA libraries analyzed in this study.

Gupta, Sharma, et al. (which https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which Figure 2.



Figure 2. In contrast to commercial *Aedes aegypti* lab strains, most academic lab strains are low in viral small RNAs indicative of **persistent viruses.** (A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from lab strains. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. Magenta circles and dashed boxes mark the BZL and GP samples that are commercial lab strains of *Ae. aegypti* and both are infected by Tiger mosquito bi-partite Tombus-like virus (TMBTLV). All the other *Ae. aegypti* samples were reared in academic labs. See metadata in Table S1 for sample details. Lab initials: BZL = Benzon Research, MY = Meg Younger, DB = Doug Brackney, JM = João Marques, ZT = Zhejian Tu, GH = Grant Hughes, TC = Tonya Colpitts, BH = Bruce Hay, GP = Gorben Pijlman (B) Coverage plots of TMBTLV and Zika virus (ZIKV) small RNAs from the two commercial lab strains. Additional coverage plots of (C) two viruses that only generated viral small RNAs in a 2nd batch of female BZL *Ae. aegypti* and (D) other viruses always present in the BZL strain in both females and males. (E) Viral small RNAs and likely persistent viruses are detected in the BZL eggs at lower small RNA levels with a more distinct pattern of antisense viral small RNAs in eggs compared to the parental whole females in (B).

Gupta, **Sharma**, **et a** s not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **Figure 3.**



Figure 3. *Ae. aegypti* in the Americas display diverse insect virus small RNA responses with geographic boundaries delineated by three prevalent mosquito viruses.

(A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from North America *Ae. aegypti* samples. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. (B) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Central and South America *Ae. aegypti* samples. Dashed brown line boxes mark two viruses noted in Figure S4AB as being related to plant viruses. (C) Map of the Americas where the *Ae. aegypti* samples originated from, with geographic boundaries delineated by the distribution of Anphevirus and PCLV displayed in the bubble plot in (D). Example coverage plots of Anphevirus small RNAs (E) and PCLV and HTV small RNAs (F).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **Gupta, Sharma, et al. Figure 4.**



Figure 4. Asian *Ae. aegypti* viral small RNA patterns reveal a first case of dengue viral small RNAs from a wild isolate and share patterns with mosquitoes from the Americas.

(A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Asia *Ae. aegypti* samples. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. Dashed pink line box mark notable viruses whose coverage plots are displayed below. (B) Map of Asia locations where the *Ae. aegypti* samples originated. Coverage plots of HTV (C), PCLV (D) and Partiti-like virus 1 Jane strain (E) viral small RNAs from a selection of Asian *Ae. aegypti*. (F) The DENV viral small RNA coverage from a Singapore isolate compared to other DENV viral small patterns from infections in Aag2 cells and a lab injected infection of *Ae. aegypti* by the Myles lab.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **Gupta, Sharma, et al. Figure 5.**



Formosus virus

Figure 5. African Ae. aegypti colony strains carry viral small RNAs unique to their continent.

(A) Bubble plot of viral small RNAs from Africa *Ae. aegypti* colony strains. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. Dashed pink line boxes mark the PCLV and Formosus viruses noted in panels (C) and (D), respectively. (B) Map of Africa locations where the *Ae. aegypti* colonies or samples originated. (C) Coverage plots of PCLV small RNAs from a selection of African *Ae. aegypti* showing high M-fragment piRNAs rivaling the S-fragment piRNAs. (D) The Formosus virus viral small RNA coverage from African *Ae. aegypti* colonies from the McBride lab and an independent Kedougou, Senegal sample from Olmo et al 2023. The black arrow points to male-specific viral piRNA species. (E) Three examples of Formosus virus long RNAs sequenced from matched samples in (D).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Gupta, Sharma, et al. Figure 6.



Figure 6. Virus transmission and replication dynamics suggested by long RNA sequencing compared to matched small RNAs of mosquito viruses and transposable elements (TEs).

(A) Scatterplot comparing the particular *Ae. aegypti* samples that enabled matched library construction of small RNAs and long RNAs. Sequencing reads per million with a pseudo-count of 1 are plotted on the logarithmic scales. Dots representing the matched samples are colored by sex, and groups of samples clustering together are noted in the labeled ovals. (B) Coverage plots of two Florida isolates of *Ae. aegypti* exhibiting abundant long RNA signal for the totivirus but negligible viral small RNA in the upper plots that contrast both long and small RNAs against an R1-Ele4 TE. (C) Coverage plots of long RNAs versus small RNAs for the Formosus virus and R1-Ele4 TE from both males and females of the ENT African colony. (D) Coverage plots of long RNAs compared to small RNAs for viruses and a TE from the BZL strain of *Ae. aegypti* females and dormant eggs.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which **Gupta, Sharma, etval**. not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **Figure 7.**



Figure 7. Novel mosquito viruses infecting and replicating in mosquito cells.

(A) Our methodology to molecularly validate the small RNA detection of ISVs are true viruses that can be isolated and verified for triggering the RNAi response in mosquito cells. (B) RT-PCR detection of TMBTLV RNAs S1 and S2 during multiple rounds of blind passaging, starting with BZL mosquito homogenate as the initial virus infection source placed onto C6/36 and Aag2 mosquito cells. (C) Virus replication kinetics measured in C6/36 and Aag2 cells over 1 week. Flasks of 1 million cells were infected on Day 0 with 200 viral qPCR amplicons per infection. Virus stocks are from filtered media from subsequent passage from the experiment in (A). Error bars correspond to the standard error from measuring three biological replicates of infection of the mosquito cells with the same virus stock.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597482; this version posted June 6, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which Gupta, Sharma, et allowed without permission. Figure 8.



Figure 8. Mosquito viruses isolated in cell culture trigger an RNAi response of viral small RNA patterns that resemble the patterns from whole mosquitoes.

Bubble plots of viral small RNAs sequenced from (A) *Ae. albopictus* C6/36 cells and (B) *Ae. aegypti* Aag2 cells, either mock or stably infected after multiple blind passages of virus stocks from mosquito homogenates. Number reads per million is reflected by bubble diameter, and color represents strand bias of reads, red is plus strand biased, blue is minus strand biased. Magenta boxes and dashed circles in (A) and (B) highlight specific samples inspected in coverage plots in (C) and (D). Coverage plots for three viruses, TMBTLV, Anphevirus and Formosus virus in (C) C6/36 cells and (D) Aag2 cells with the intact mosquito from females shown in the middle for comparison.