

# DNA polymerase swapping in Caudoviricetes bacteriophages

Natalya Yutin, Igor Tolstoy, Pascal Mutz, Yuri I Wolf, Mart Krupovic,

Eugene V Koonin

# ► To cite this version:

Natalya Yutin, Igor Tolstoy, Pascal Mutz, Yuri I Wolf, Mart Krupovic, et al.. DNA polymerase swapping in Caudoviricetes bacteriophages. Virology Journal, 2024, 21 (1), pp.200. 10.1186/s12985-024-02482-z . pasteur-04679278

# HAL Id: pasteur-04679278 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04679278v1

Submitted on 27 Aug2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

# DNA polymerase swapping in *Caudoviricetes* bacteriophages



Natalya Yutin<sup>1</sup>, Igor Tolstoy<sup>1</sup>, Pascal Mutz<sup>1</sup>, Yuri I. Wolf<sup>1</sup>, Mart Krupovic<sup>2</sup> and Eugene V. Koonin<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Viruses with double-stranded (ds) DNA genomes in the realm *Duplodnaviria* share a conserved structural gene module but show a broad range of variation in their repertoires of DNA replication proteins. Some of the duplodnaviruses encode (nearly) complete replication systems whereas others lack (almost) all genes required for replication, relying on the host replication machinery. DNA polymerases (DNAPs) comprise the centerpiece of the DNA replication apparatus. The replicative DNAPs are classified into 4 unrelated or distantly related families (A-D), with the protein structures and sequences within each family being, generally, highly conserved. More than half of the duplodnaviruses encode a DNAP of family A, B or C. We showed previously that multiple pairs of closely related viruses in the order *Crassvirales* encode DNAPs of different families.

**Methods** Groups of phages in which DNAP swapping likely occurred were identified as subtrees of a defined depth in a comprehensive evolutionary tree of tailed bacteriophages that included phages with DNAPs of different families. The DNAP swaps were validated by constrained tree analysis that was performed on phylogenetic tree of large terminase subunits, and the phage genomes encoding swapped DNAPs were aligned using Mauve. The structures of the discovered unusual DNAPs were predicted using AlphaFold2.

**Results** We identified four additional groups of tailed phages in the class *Caudoviricetes* in which the DNAPs apparently were swapped on multiple occasions, with replacements occurring both between families A and B, or A and C, or between distinct subfamilies within the same family. The DNAP swapping always occurs "in situ", without changes in the organization of the surrounding genes. In several cases, the DNAP gene is the only region of substantial divergence between closely related phage genomes, whereas in others, the swap apparently involved neighboring genes encoding other proteins involved in phage genome replication. In addition, we identified two previously undetected, highly divergent groups of family A DNAPs that are encoded in some phage genomes along with the main DNAP implicated in genome replication.

**Conclusions** Replacement of the DNAP gene by one encoding a DNAP of a different family occurred on many independent occasions during the evolution of different families of tailed phages, in some cases, resulting in very closely related phages encoding unrelated DNAPs. DNAP swapping was likely driven by selection for avoidance of host antiphage mechanisms targeting the phage DNAP that remain to be identified, and/or by selection against replicon incompatibility.

Keywords Evolution of viruses, DNA polymerases, Bacterial antivirus defense, Horizontal gene transfer

\*Correspondence: Eugene V. Koonin koonin@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov



<sup>1</sup>National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA <sup>2</sup>Archaeal Virology Unit, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# Background

Viruses with large double-stranded (ds) DNA genomes in the realm Duplodnaviria share a uniformly conserved structural gene module but vary greatly in their repertoires of DNA replication proteins [1-3]. Some viruses encode most of the proteins required for DNA replication, whereas others rely (almost) entirely on the replication machinery of the host. Generally, the self-sufficiency of DNA replication correlates with the viral genome size. DNA polymerases (DNAPs) are central components of the viral replication systems that are present in more than half of the available genomes of duplodnaviruses greater than 40 kb in size [4]. There are four major DNAP families involved in the genome replication in cellular life forms, families A, B, C and D (hereafter PolA-D), with the A, B and C families also being common among DNA viruses. The core catalytic domains of these DNAPs adopt three unrelated folds, namely, (i) the RNA Recognition Motif (RRM), often called the Palm domain (joins the accessory Thumb and Fingers domains) in PolA and PolB, (ii) nucleotidyltransferase Polß-like fold in PolC, and (iii) the double-psi beta-barrel domain in PolD [5–9]. In bacteria, PolC is the primary polymerase responsible for the genome replication, whereas PolA is involved in DNA repair processes; PolB is rare in bacteria and is apparently derived from viruses [8, 10]. In archaea, replication is catalyzed by either PolB or PolD, and paralogs of PolB are also involved in repair [11]. In eukaryotes, almost all processes of DNA synthesis involved in both replication and repair are catalyzed by DNAPs of the PolB family in the nucleus and PolA in mitochondria [12, 13].

Different groups of tailed viruses of the class *Caudo-viricetes* infecting bacteria and archaea encode PolA, PolB or PolC (or no DNAP at all), PolA being the most common, and PolC the rarest [4]. All large dsDNA viruses of eukaryotes, in the realms *Duplodnaviria* (phylum *Peploviricota*) and *Varidnaviria* (phylum *Nucleocytoviricota*), and unassigned class *Naldaviricetes* (baculo-like viruses), employ PolB [8]. Many smaller viruses (with <50 kb genomes), especially in the realm *Varidnaviria* (e.g., polintons, adenoviruses, tectiviruses), replicate with the help of a distinct variety of B family DNAPs, the protein-primed PolB [14, 4, 15–17], or, less commonly, PolA, also referred to as TV-Pol [18]. Similarly, archaeal viruses encode family B DNAPs that are either RNA- or protein-primed [19, 20].

The DNAPs are essential proteins that are highly conserved within each family, at the sequence and structure levels [4, 7]. Therefore, it came as a surprise that among closely related genomes of phages in the order *Crassvirales*, multiple replacements of PolA with PolB and vice versa were observed [21]. Similar replacement of replication proteins was detected also among smaller phages of the order *Vinavirales* [22, 23]. It is particularly notable that in each of these cases, the replacements occurred within otherwise conserved genomic contexts.

In this work, we aimed to systematically identify and explore cases of between-family DNAP swapping in *Caudoviricetes*. We show that DNAPs were swapped repeatedly in the evolution of multiple groups of tailed phages.

## Methods

# Dataset of phage genomes and phage genome tree

Genome-wide relationships between the 18,382 *Caudo-viricetes* genomes, available in GenBank as of November 2022, were analyzed using reciprocal best hits between viral protein sequences as follows. The set of all ORFs of at least 75 bp long, initiated by prokaryotic start codons (genetic code 11), and allowing for overlaps in different frames, was obtained for each virus genome using the NCBI ORFfinder tool (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/). Reciprocal best hits for all pairs of genomes (*A*,*B*), covering at least 50% of the query sequences were identified between the two ORF complements using BLASTP [24]. Distance between the two genomes was calculated as

$$D_{A,B} = D_{B,A} = 1 - (C_{A,B} + C_{B,A})/(L_A + L_B)$$

where  $C_{A, B}$  is the total length of the part of genome A, covered by ORFs that have reciprocal best hits in genome B and  $L_{A}$  is the length of genome A (ditto for  $C_{B, A}$  and  $L_{B}$ ).

The tree was reconstructed from the pairwise distance matrix using the FastMe 2.0 program [25] and ultrameterized by iteratively balancing subtrees, descending from each internal node. Formally, consider an internal node of the tree  $T_0$  with two descendant subtrees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  with heights  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively (if  $H_1 = H_2$ ,  $T_0$  is ultrametric). The mean height of  $T_0$  is calculated as  $H_0 = (H_1 + H_2)/2$ , and the two adjustment coefficients,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are defined as  $q_i = H_0/H_i$ . Multiplying the length of each tree edge in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  by  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  respectively, brings both subtrees to the height  $H_0$ , rendering  $T_0$  ultrametric. Iterating from the leaves toward the root ultrametrizes the whole tree.

#### Identification of phage DNA polymerases

PolA, PolB, and PolC reference protein sequences were collected from the NCBI virus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/virus/vssi/#/) and from the respective publications, in particular, the PolA sequences were from [17, 26, 27]; PolB sequences were from [8], and PolC sequences were from [28] (https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/yutinn/jumping\_polymerases\_2024/). Open reading frames (ORFs) from the 18,382 *Caudoviricetes* genomes were searched for polymerases using BLASTP with

collected reference PolA, PolB, PolC proteins as queries (e-value threshold of 0.0001). The initial set of hits was clustered using MMSEQS2 [29] at similarity threshold 0.5; sequences within clusters were aligned using MUS-CLE5 [30]. Cluster alignments were iteratively compared to each other using HHSEARCH and aligned using HHALIGN [31] (final set of DNAP clusters: https:// ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/yutinn/jumping\_polymerases\_2024/DNAP\_clusters/). The cluster alignments were compared to publicly available profile databases (DB\_ mmCIF70\_21\_Mar, Pfam-A\_v35, Uniprot-SwissProtviral70\_3\_Nov\_2021, and NCBI\_Conserved\_Domains (CD)\_v3.18) using HHPRED. The alignments were further used to re-search the initial protein set for DNAP sequences using psi-blast (see Supplementary Table S1 for the final set of DNAPs).

DNAP swapping hotspots were identified by the presence of DNAPs from different families within a subtree of depth 0.15 (corresponding to ~1/3rd of the total tree depth). Sister subtrees exhibiting polymerase diversity were grouped into DNAP-swapping clades.

# Comparison of phage genomes and protein function prediction for DNAP genome neighborhoods

Pairwise genome alignments were constructed using Mauve [32] and visualized using with Geneious Prime<sup>®</sup> 2022.1.1 (https://www.geneious.com). Predicted phage proteins were annotated using CDD [33] (with blast search evalue cutoff 10<sup>-6</sup>) and HHPRED search against databases DB\_mmCIF70\_21\_Mar, Pfam-A\_v35, Uniprot-SwissProt-viral70\_3\_Nov\_2021, and NCBI\_Conserved\_Domains (CD)\_v3.18, probability above 70% [31, 34].

#### Phylogenetic analysis of phage proteins

The identified viral PolA, PolB, PolC sequences were combined with homologs identified in a collection of completely sequenced bacterial and archaeal genomes downloaded from NCBI Genomes (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/genomes/ASSEMBLY\_REPORTS/) in November 2021. Sequences of phage DNAPs of the order *Crassvirales* were added from [21]. The protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE5 [30]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using IQ-TREE 2 [35], with the following models chosen according to BIC by the built-in model finder: VT+F+R10 for PolA, Q.pfam+F+R8 for PolB, and VT+F+R5 for PolC, and visualized with MEGA11 [36].

Large terminase subunits were aligned using MUS-CLE5 [30]; constrained and unconstrained phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using IQ-TREE 2 [35] with the automatically selected evolutionary models and compared using the built-in Approximately Unbiased test.

## Protein structure prediction and analysis

MSAs for divergent family A DNA polymerases identified in this study (divPolA1 and divPolA2) were submitted to a local installation of ColabFold (colabfold\_batch with default settings except "-num-models 1 -numrecycle 3") [37]. In addition, all individual divPolA1 and divPolA2 were modeled with a singularity version of AlphaFold2 [38] (version 2.2.0 with the following specifications: "--db\_preset=full\_dbs -model\_preset=monomer\_ptm \_max\_template\_date=2022-10-01") on the high performance cluster BIOWULF at the NIH. All models were compared to a local version of pdb70 (created on December 10, 2021) using Dali [39] to identify closest structures. Structure-guided alignments between representative divPolAs and closest related structures were obtained using the Dali web server [40], and key residues were identified. Representative structures modeled with AlphaFold2 (divPolA1 clade5: CAB4155247, clade6: CAB4155247 and divPolA2 AUR84708) were displayed and superimposed with the respective DNAP structures from pdb using ChimeraX [41].

# Results

# DNA polymerase diversity in Caudoviricetes

In the analyzed set of 18,382 Caudoviricetes genomes, we identified 6560 PolA, 2857 PolB, and 947 PolC proteins (Supplementary Table S1). The Caudoviricetes genome tree was split into subtrees at the depth of 0.15, roughly corresponding to a genus level (see an example in Supplementary Figure S1; https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/yutinn/ jumping\_polymerases\_2024/genome\_tree/). Of the 1,514 subtrees that included more than one virus genome, 563 were found to encode a DNAP, and 8 encoded more than one DNAP. Analysis of the DNAP distribution pattern revealed two distinct types of DNAP heterogeneities within phage subtrees: (i) DNAPs of different families were encoded in closely related viruses, with a single copy in each genome, implying swapping of DNAP genes (6 subtrees), and (ii) the same viral genome encoded two DNAPs of different families (2 subtrees).

# DNA polymerase swapping in Caudoviricetes

We identified 6 subtrees in the phage genome tree in which the phages encoded DNAPs of different families. Representative pairs of most closely related genomes with different family DNAPs from these subtrees are listed in Table 1. To investigate the provenance of these groups of phages encoding distinct DNAPs, we examined deeper clades in the phage genome tree that included each of the 6 subtrees (Table 1), apart from *Crassvirales* for which frequent PolA-PolB swaps have been reported previously [21]. Clades 1, 2, and 3 consisted of PolA- and PolB-encoding genomes, and clade 4 genomes encompassed PolA and PolC (Figs. 1 and 2). The DNAPs from

DNAP	genome	Organism	genome length	ANI or AA; shared proteins	clade
tree0018	0				
PolA	MN184886.1	Erwinia phage pEp_SNUABM_08	62,716	39% AAI	Clade1; subtrees 00180-00189
PolB	MN505213.1	Serratia phage JS26	63,971	59% shared	
tree0018	3				
PolA	KF626665.1	Phage Sano	56,147	39% AAI	"
PolB	MT161385.1	Xanthomonas phage FoX4	60,418	48% shared	
tree0018	4				
PolA	MN536026.1	Pseudomonas phage vB_Pae-SS2019XI	57,567	38% AAI	
PolB	MF959998.1	Marinobacter phage PS6	58,226	38% shared	
tree0134	8				
PolA	MZ477002.1	Acinetobacter phage Phab24	93,604	92.72% ANI	Clade2; subtrees 01336-01351
PolB	MN276049.1	Acinetobacter phage BS46	94,068	78% shared	
tree0141	9				
PolA	MG592602.1	Vibrio phage 1.237.B10N.261.52.C5	60,160	99.54% ANI	Clade3; subtrees 01419–01425
PolB	MG592464.1	Vibrio phage 1.089.010N.261.51.F9	59,851	89% shared	
tree0147	2				
PolA	MT601273.1	Bacillus phage vB BsuS-Goe12	124,287	99.74% ANI	Clade4; subtrees 01466–01481
PolC	MT601274.1	Bacillus phage VB BsuS-Goel3	126.848	92% sgared	

Table 1 Caudoviricetes clades displaying DNAP swapping and examples of exchange between closely related genomes



Fig. 1 DNA polymerase swapping in Clade 1. *Left*: Clade 1 genome tree, reduced to salient representatives. DNAP families and clades are marked on tree leaves; tree edge colors indicate the polymerase families; inferred DNAP swapping events are marked on the corresponding tree edges. *Right*: genome maps of polymerase neighborhoods; homologous genes are shown in the same colors

these clades were reciprocally mapped onto the corresponding PolA, PolB, and PolC trees; monophyletic subgroups of these DNAPs were identified (Supplementary Figure S2). Examination of this mapping suggests that, in addition to inter-family DNAP swaps, some intra-family DNAP swaps occurred in the selected clades, that is, PolA or PolB was apparently replaced by a distinct DNAP of the same family on several occasions. Below we discuss each of these clades in detail, in an attempt to reconstruct the evolutionary scenarios.

We sought to validate the intra-clade cross-family swaps of DNAPs using the large subunit of the terminase (TerL) as a reference. The TerL sequences were collected from the genomes with identified DNAPs within each of the clades 1, 2, 3 and 4 and clade-specific TerL phylogenetic trees were constructed. Then, we constructed



Fig. 2 DNA polymerase swapping in Clades 2, 3 and 4. Genome trees of Clades 2, 3 and 4, reduced to salient representatives, are shown. DNAP families and clades are marked on tree leaves; tree edge colors indicate the polymerase families; inferred DNAP swapping events are marked on the corresponding tree edges

topologically constrained trees, separating the TerL from genomes encoding different DNAPs (for example, for clade 1, the constraint separated TerL from PolA- and PolB-bearing genomes). Such constrained topologies represent hypothetical phylogenies where DNAPs of different families are not intermixed within the clade histories. The optimal TerL trees satisfying these constraints were compared to the unconstrained trees, in an attempt to falsify the scenario with multiple DNAP swaps. For all clades, the Approximately Unbiased test decisively rejected the constrained topologies (Supplementary Table S2), suggesting that multiple DNAP swaps within each clade did occur.

Clade 1 included 126 genomes from several genera of the family *Casjensviridae* (genome size range 50–70 kb) of which 96 encoded PolA whereas the remaining 30 encoded PolB. The genome tree for this clade is dominated by a distinct group of PolA (A1 in Fig. 1) in which 3 disjointed PolB branches (B1-B3) and two branches from a separate group of PolA (A2) are embedded. Altogether, comparison of the phage genome tree with the phylogenetic trees of PolA and PolB suggests 8 independent DNAP swaps including both 6 inter-family (PolA to PolB) exchanges and 2 intra-family (A1 to A2) exchanges (Fig. 1). In most of the phage genomes in this clade, the swapped PolA and PolB genes share the same or similar genomic neighborhood (Fig. 1).

Clade 2 (subtrees 01336–01351 in the phage genome tree) unites phages from genera *Plaisancevirus*,

*Saclayvirus, Barbavirus,* subfamily *Ounavirinae,* and several unclassified *Caudoviricetes* (genome size range 80–105 kb). The phages in subtree 01347 encode an additional protein with remote sequence and structural similarity to PolA, which we discuss in the next section. Here we address the apparent PolA to PolB swaps in this clade (Fig. 2). PolBs of Clade 2 are monophyletic (B4 in Fig. 2), but PolAs come from three distinct branches (A3, A4 and A5 in Fig. 2; see Supplementary Figure S2 for the DNAP trees). As in Clade 1, comparison of the phage genome tree with PolA and PolB phylogenetic trees suggests several independent swaps although the direction and the order of these events is difficult to establish.

Two Acinetobacter phages of Clade 2, Phab24 (MZ477002) and BS46 (MN276049), have average nucleotide identity (ANI) of 93%, and yet, encode DNAPs of different families, PolA and PolB, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 3a). Comparison of the genome organization in the vicinity of the DNAP genes (in which we additionally included the corresponding genome region of Acinetobacter phage TaPaz [MZ043613] because its PolB is most similar to PolB of Acinetobacter phage BS46 [MN276049]) suggests that, in this case, the primasehelicase gene was replaced along with the DNAP.

Clade 3 unites subtrees 01419–01425 (Fig. 2) and includes phages from genera *Sashavirus, Nonanavirus, Gorganvirus,* and unclassified *Caudoviricetes,* with genome size range of 42.5–62.5 kb. In this clade, a single PolB to PolA swap appears to have occurred



Fig. 3 Pairwise alignments of closely related viral genomes encoding DNAPs of different families. (A) Clade 2, tree01348 (unclassified Caudoviricetes). MN276049 is permuted at 27,000; MZ477002 is reversed. (B) Clade 3, tree01419 (unclassified Caudoviricetes). (C) Clade 4, tree01472 (Spbetavirus). In the upper part of each panel, nucleotide sequence similarity between the compared genomes is shown in green or yellow, on the scale from 0 to 100%. Red arrows denote the genomic regions containing the DNAP genes, visualized on the genome maps. The lower parts, show the zoomed-in regions containing the DNAP genes are shown in the same colors. DNAP genes are labeled with their GenBank protein IDs. Grey shading highlights genomic regions with high nucleotide sequence similarity (percent identity indicated). Pink lines connect genes with significant detectable amino acid sequence similarity detected with BLASTP but no significant nucleotide sequence similarity

within the subtree 01419, whereas the basal PolB genes belong to distinct clades (Fig. 2). In this clade, we identified a pair of nearly identical genomes, Vibrio phage 1.237.B.\_10N.261.52.C5 (MG592602) and Vibrio phage 1.089.O.\_10N.261.51.F9 (MG592464), that encode different family DNAPs, PolA and PolB, respectively. Pairwise genome comparison shows that the DNAP neighborhood is the only large region with markedly lower similarity between the two genomes (Fig. 3b). The DNAP gene is the only one that obviously was replaced but additional rearrangements might have occurred in the adjacent genomic region containing genes encoding uncharacterized small proteins (Fig. 3b).

Clade 4 unites subtrees 01466-1481 including subfamilies Tybeckvirinae and Andrewesvirinae, genera Audreviarvisvirus, Spbetavirus, Latrobevirus, Sextaecvirus, Slashvirus, and several unclassified Caudoviricetes (genome size range 61-185 kb). In this clade, the phages encode either PolC or PolA. PolC, specifically group C1, is likely to be ancestral in this assemblage of phages (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). This ancestral PolC apparently was replaced with PolA on two independent occasions (Fig. 2), and furthermore, underwent several intra-family replacements involving PolC variants from groups C2 and C3. We also identified a pair of closely related genomes in this clade with over 99% ANI, Bacillus phage vB\_BsuS-Goe12 (MT601273) and Bacillus phage vB\_BsuS-Goe13 (MT601274), that encode DNAPs of different families, PolA and PolC, respectively. Comparative genome analysis of this pair of phages revealed an extended segment of dissimilarity suggesting that several replicative genes, including DnaB-like helicase, DnaG-like primase and RecJ-like exonuclease, traveled together with the DNAPs, but the replacement keeps the gene context unchanged, that is, the genes appear to have been replaced *en bloc* (Fig. 3c).

When the inter-family swaps were found to have occurred on shallow branches of the virus tree, these events typically involved phages that shared bacterial hosts (Supplementary Figure S3; see DNAP families and host genera labels on panels A-D, corresponding to clades 1–4).

#### Two novel phage PolAs

Besides the cases of DNAP swapping, we identified two divergent variants of PolA that are encoded in phage genomes in addition to a 'regular' DNAP. One of these, denoted divPolA1, is present in a group of 14 phages (Flavobacterium phage vB\_FspM\_immuto\_3-5A and related phages), with genomes in the range of 155-190 kb that also encode a 'regular' DNAP of either family A, B, or C (Fig. 4a). The conserved arrangement of genes implicated in replication downstream of the divPolA1 gene suggests that divPolA1 is involved in replication (Fig. 4b). 'Canonical' DNAPs of the divPolA1-containing genomes reside in a semi-conserved neighborhood that in some phage genomes includes genes implicated in DNA replication, recombination, and repair, but in others, lacks these genes (Supplementary Figure S4; Supplementary Table S3). Notably, all these neighborhoods encode chaperonins of the GroEL or GroES families, as well as nucleotide metabolism and modification genes. Thus, unlike the conserved genomic context of divPolA1, the context of



0.05

Fig. 4 Phylogenetic and genomic context of divPoIA1. **A**, Genome tree of divPoIA1-encoding viruses. Tree branches are marked according to the identity of the 'regular' polymerases: red, PoIA; blue, PoIB; green, PoIC. **B**, divPoIA1 genome neighborhoods; homologous genes are shown in the same colors. Genomes: LR796625, LR796859, LR797270, LR796697, LR796420, LR796421, LR796188, LR796345: uncultured Caudovirales phages; MW353177: Flavobacterium phage vB\_FspM\_immuto\_13-6 C; MW353176: Flavobacterium phage vB\_FspM\_immuto\_3-5 A; MW353175: Flavobacterium phage vB\_FspM\_immuto\_2-6 A; MK892766: Prokaryotic dsDNA virus sp. isolate GOV\_bin\_1807; MK892784: Prokaryotic dsDNA virus sp. isolate GOV\_bin\_703; MK892584: Prokaryotic dsDNA virus sp. isolate GOV\_bin\_630



Fig. 5 divPoIA1 structure prediction. A, D. Predicted representative divPoIA1 structures colored according to plddt score (AlphaFold2 model; A: CAB4155247, genome ID: LR796625; D: QDP51333, genome ID: MK892584). B, E. Structural comparison of divPoIA1 (blue) and a representative DNA polymerase I (pdb 1d9f, Klenow fragment, green). Sites of motifs A, B and C highlighted in magenta/grey, orange/black and purple/light grey for DNAP I and divPoIA1, respectively. Aspartic acid residues in 3' exonuclease motifs I, II and III of DNAP I are highlighted in red, the corresponding sites in divPoIA1 in black. C, F. Structure-guided alignments of selected 3' exonuclease and palm/finger domain motifs between divPoIA1 representative (C: CAB4155247, genome ID: LR796625; F: QDP51333, genome ID: MK892584) and PoIA 1D9F\_A. Key residues highlighted in red

the 'regular' DNAPs includes functionally diverse genes, making the functionality of these DNAPs less obvious.

Structural prediction for divPolA1 (Fig. 5) showed that it contains a Palm domain in which the main catalytic residues are conserved, whereas the Thumb domain is truncated and the 3'-5' exonuclease domain seems to be inactivated, with all three catalytic aspartates replaced (Fig. 5). Thus, divPolA1 most likely retains the DNAP activity whereas the exonuclease activity is lost.

Another diverged PolA variant, divPolA2, was initially identified in 110 genomes of barbaviruses (Rheinheimera phage vB\_RspM\_Barba18A and related viruses) with genomes of 80–85 kb, each also encoding a regular PolB. Additional PSI-BLAST searches against the *Caudoviricetes* database using barbavirus divPolA2s as queries revealed more divPolA2 proteins, sometimes with two or three paralogs per phage genome (Fig. 6).

Unlike the PolB of these phages, which is embedded within a typical context of replication-related genes, the gene encoding divPolA2 is located in variable gene neighborhood. Structural modeling suggests that divPolA2 contains an active DNAP (Palm) catalytic domain but lacks a Thumb domain homologous to those of any other DNAPs (Fig. 7).

Instead, this protein contains an N-terminal globular domain without detectable similarity to any other

known domains that potentially might function as the Thumb. As in the case of divPolA1, the 3' exonuclease domain is lacking. Most likely, divPolA2 is not the replicative enzyme of barbaviruses, a role that belongs to PolB. Instead, divPolA2 might be a DNAP involved in repair processes, or an RNA polymerase, given that PolA was co-opted for that function in T7 and related phages [6]. Of note, structural comparison did not only reveal DNAPs as the top hits for divPolA2, but also DNAdirected RNA polymerases (mitochondrial RNA polymerase (PDB ids: 7a8p, 6ymv, Dali z-score ~12) and, with lower z-score (~9), also a viral DNA-directed RNA



Fig. 6 Phylogenetic context of divPoIA2. Genome tree for the clade containing divPoIA2 genes is shown. Arcs indicate subtrees. Numbers at tree tips indicate the number of divPoIA2 paralogs. Barbaviruses are located in the subtree 01347



Fig. 7 divPolA2 structure prediction. A. Predicted representative divPolA2 structure colored according to plddt score (AlphaFold2 model; AUR84708, genome MG592441.1). B,C. Structural comparison of divPolA2 (blue) and a representative DNA polymerase I (pdb 4b9v, B, green) and a representative RNA polymerase (pdb 7a8p, human mitochondrial RNAP, C, green). Sites of motifs A, B and C highlighted in magenta/grey, orange/black and purple/light grey for divPolA2 and DNAP I, respectively. D. Structure-guided alignments of selected motifs of palm/finger domain between divPolA2 and DNAP I 4b9v and RNAP (7a8p). Key residues highlighted in red

polymerase from bacteriophage N4 (genus *Enquatrovirus*, class *Caudoviricetes*) (PDB id: 4ff3). These observations are compatible with the possibility that divPolA2 is actually an RNA polymerase although the N-terminal globular domain of divPolA2 is unrelated to the N-terminal domains of PolA-related RNA polymerases (Fig. 7).

## Discussion

Tailed viruses of bacteria and archaea that comprise the class Caudoviricetes in the realm Duplodnaviria are considered to be the most abundant group of viruses on earth [42, 43]. Although the virion structures and the core structural proteins are conserved throughout the realm, these viruses greatly differ in their genome size and gene repertoires. In particular, some caudoviricetes encode a (nearly) complete suite of proteins required for replication, whereas others have none, and the entire range of intermediates exists as well [4]. This variety notwithstanding, more than half of the caudoviricetes encode a DNAP - the obvious centerpiece of the replication machinery – that belongs to either A or B, or C family. Generally, the DNAP is a conserved component of the replication apparatus. Unexpectedly, however, in our previous comparative genomic analysis of Crassvirales (the order of Caudoviricetes that includes the most abundant viruses identified in the human gut), we found that DNAPs were swapped between closely related phages on multiple occasions, with PolB replacing PolA or vice versa [21]. Intrigued by this observation, we probed a much broader range of phages and report here that multiple DNAP swaps occurred in at least four additional phage groups.

We detected and verified DNAP replacements involving different families, that is, PolA to PolB and vice versa, as well as PolC to PolA, as well as plausible distinct groups within the same DNAP family. Remarkably, these replacements in each case occurred "in situ", without a change in the neighboring gene arrangement. The swap involved either the DNAP gene alone or several adjacent genes encoding other components of the replication machinery, but in each case, the gene replacement appears to have involved a very limited genomic region around the DNAP genes. The genes for proteins involved in replication tend to cluster in viral genomes [4], and the preservation of their order upon DNAP swapping implies that coregulation of these genes is important for phage reproduction.

The recurrent DNAP swapping in phage evolution raises intriguing questions on both the molecular mechanisms of these exchanges and the selective forces that could drive them.

The mechanisms of DNAP swapping remain enigmatic considering the remarkable conservation of synteny in the genome regions involved in these events. Whether or not the phages involved in the swaps are within the range of sequence identity required for homologous recombination, it hardly can contribute to the capture of distantly related genes. Whether the replacing DNAP comes from a prophage integrated in the host cell genome or a coinfecting phage, illegitimate recombination seems to be essential given that the replacing DNAPs come from distant phages, far beyond the limit of homologous recombination, and the positive selection associated with the swap should be strong enough to provide for the fixation of the rarely emerging precise replacements. In cases where we could pinpoint the intra-family DNAP swaps to a narrow phylogenetic context (that is, between closely related phages), they typically occurred between phages that infect the same host (at least up to the genus level; Supplementary Figure S3). These observations are compatible with the involvement of coinfection, either cotemporaneous or sequential, in DNAP exchanges.

With respect to the evolutionary forces driving DNAP swapping, it has been shown that multiple defense systems specifically target the phage replication machinery components [44]. Involvement of at least four types of known defense mechanisms can be suspected. Mutations within DNAP genes have been demonstrated to allow phages to escape restriction by the poorly understood Borvo defense system [44, 45]. Although the mechanism of Borvo activation remains unclear, it has been suggested that the DNAP structure, its complex with other proteins and/or DNA encompasses molecular patterns that activate Borvo [46]. Similarly, AbiQ, a type III toxin-antitoxin abortive infection system, was shown to be activated by various phage proteins, with escape mutants localized to a family A DNAP [47]. Another recent study has similarly shown that mutations in PolB of T-even phages enabled escape from DarTG, a type II toxin-antitoxin system that provides immunity by ADP-ribosylating phage DNA [48]. Furthermore, pattern recognition systems, in particular, those centered at antivirus STAND ATPases (Avs), have been shown to target conserved viral structural proteins, such as the terminase large subunit and the portal protein [49, 50]. These viral proteins are conserved at the level of structures even if their sequences diverge relatively fast. The DNAPs, although not universal among tailed phages, unlike terminase and portal, are common and even more highly conserved at the sequence level, and therefore, the existence of multiple pattern recognition systems targeting DNAPs appears likely.

The high sequence conservation of the DNAPs within each family suggests potential involvement of another type of defense, namely, adaptive immunity mediated by CRISPR systems, and more specifically, primed adaptation [51, 52]. CRISPR spacers targeting conserved sequences in the DNAP genes are likely to retain complementarity level sufficient for primed adaptation longer than they do in the case of less conserved genes, facilitating acquisition of immunity to the respective phages. Furthermore, existence of yet unknown defense mechanisms targeting DNAPs remains a possibility. An additional or alternative driver of replication module swapping between phages could be the incompatibility of closely related replicons within a coinfected cell, analogous to plasmid incompatibility [53, 54]. Further study of the notable but not yet well understood phenomenon of DNAP swapping in phages has the potential to reveal unknown facets of interactions between phages and their bacterial hosts as well as conflicts among different phages.

# Conclusions

We show in this work that replacement of DNAPs by distantly related or even unrelated ones is common in the evolution of tailed phages of the class Caudovirecetes. Remarkably, DNAP swapping always occurs "in situ", with the organization of the surrounding genes, typically, encoding other proteins involved in phage genome replication being preserved, whether the DNAP gene is the only region of substantial divergence between closely related phage genomes, or the replacement involves several neighboring genes. We hypothesize that although illegitimate recombination is required for replacement of the DNAP genes, selection driving such replacements is strong enough to allow the rare emerging variants with precise insertion of the new sequence to be fixed in the phage population. The factors underlying this selection likely include avoidance of host defense mechanism, such as Borvo, pattern recognition or CRISPR primed adaptation, that target DNAPs. In addition to DNAP swapping, we identified two previously undetected, highly divergent groups of family A DNAPs that are encoded in some phage genomes along with the main DNAP. Genome context analysis suggested that one of the newly identified DNAPs is likely to be involved in phage genome replication whereas the other one could be DNAP involved in repair or a DNA-directed RNA polymerase.

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12985-024-02482-z.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Supplementary Material 3

#### Acknowledgements

This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov).

#### Author contributions

N.Y. and E.V.K. conceptualized the project; N.Y., I.T., and Y.I.W. developed the methodology; N.Y., P.M., Y. I. W., M.K. and E.V.K. analyzed the data; N.Y. and E.V.K. wrote the manuscript; all authors edited and approved the manuscript.

#### Funding

N.Y., P.M., I.T., Y.I.W. and E.V.K. are funded by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (National Library of Medicine).

#### Data availability

This work is based on the analysis of genomes publicly available in GenBank. All other data generated by this analysis are contained in the Supplementary Material or publicly available at https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/yutinn/ jumping\_polymerases\_2024/.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 21 May 2024 / Accepted: 21 August 2024 Published online: 26 August 2024

#### References

- 1. Krupovic M, Bamford DH. Order to the viral universe. J Virol. 2010;84(24):12476–9.
- Koonin EV, Dolja VV, Krupovic M, Varsani A, Wolf YI, Yutin N, Zerbini FM, Kuhn JH. Global organization and proposed megataxonomy of the Virus World. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2020;84(2):e00061–19.
- Weigel C, Seitz H. Bacteriophage replication modules. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2006;30(3):321–81.
- Kazlauskas D, Krupovic M, Venclovas C. The logic of DNA replication in double-stranded DNA viruses: insights from global analysis of viral genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(10):4551–64.
- Koonin EV, Krupovic M, Ishino S, Ishino Y. The replication machinery of LUCA: Common origin of DNA replication and transcription. BMC Biology. 2020;18(1):61.
- Czernecki D, Nourisson A, Legrand P, Delarue M. Reclassification of family A DNA polymerases reveals novel functional subfamilies and distinctive structural features. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(9):4488–507.
- Raia P, Delarue M, Sauguet L. An updated structural classification of replicative DNA polymerases. Biochem Soc Trans. 2019;47(1):239–49.
- Kazlauskas D, Krupovic M, Guglielmini J, Forterre P, Venclovas C. Diversity and evolution of B-family DNA polymerases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(18):10142–56.
- Sauguet L. The Extended two-Barrel polymerases Superfamily: structure, function and evolution. J Mol Biol. 2019;431(20):4167–83.
- Kornberg A, Baker TS. DNA replication. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Freeman; 1992.
  Greci MD, Bell SD. Archaeal DNA replication. Annu Rev Microbiol.
- 2020;74:65–80.
- 12. Burgers PM. Polymerase dynamics at the eukaryotic DNA replication fork. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(7):4041–5.
- Burgers PMJ, Kunkel TA. Eukaryotic DNA replication fork. Annu Rev Biochem. 2017;86:417–38.
- Krupovic M, Kuhn JH, Fischer MG, Koonin EV. Natural history of eukaryotic DNA viruses with double jelly-roll major capsid proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2024;121(23):e2405771121.
- Krupovic M, Koonin EV. Polintons: a hotbed of eukaryotic virus, transposon and plasmid evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13(2):105–15.
- Schoenfeld TW, Murugapiran SK, Dodsworth JA, Floyd S, Lodes M, Mead DA, Hedlund BP. Lateral gene transfer of family A DNA polymerases between thermophilic viruses, aquificae, and apicomplexa. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(7):1653–64.

- Nasko DJ, Chopyk J, Sakowski EG, Ferrell BD, Polson SW, Wommack KE. Family A DNA polymerase phylogeny uncovers diversity and Replication Gene Organization in the Virioplankton. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:3053.
- Iyer LM, Abhiman S, Aravind L. A new family of polymerases related to superfamily A DNA polymerases and T7-like DNA-dependent RNA polymerases. Biol Direct. 2008;3:39.
- Makarova KS, Krupovic M, Koonin EV. Evolution of replicative DNA polymerases in archaea and their contributions to the eukaryotic replication machinery. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:354.
- Prangishvili D, Bamford DH, Forterre P, Iranzo J, Koonin EV, Krupovic M. The enigmatic archaeal virosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017;15(12):724–39.
- Yutin N, Benler S, Shmakov SA, Wolf YI, Tolstoy I, Rayko M, Antipov D, Pevzner PA. Analysis of metagenome-assembled viral genomes from the human gut reveals diverse putative CrAss-like phages with unique genomic features. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1044.
- Krupovic M, Bamford DH. Putative prophages related to lytic tailless marine dsDNA phage PM2 are widespread in the genomes of aquatic bacteria. BMC Genomics. 2007;8:236.
- Yutin N, Rayko M, Antipov D, Mutz P, Wolf YI, Krupovic M, Koonin EV. Varidnaviruses in the human gut: a major expansion of the order *Vinavirales*. Viruses 2022;14(9):1842.
- 24. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25(17):3389–402.
- Lefort V, Desper R, Gascuel O. FastME 2.0: a Comprehensive, Accurate, and fast Distance-based phylogeny inference program. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(10):2798–800.
- Keown RA, Dums JT, Brumm PJ, MacDonald J, Mead DA, Ferrell BD, Moore RM, Harrison AO, Polson SW, Wommack KE. Novel viral DNA polymerases from metagenomes suggest genomic sources of Strand-Displacing Biochemical Phenotypes. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:858366.
- Dorawa S, Werbowy O, Plotka M, Kaczorowska AK, Makowska J, Kozlowski LP, Fridjonsson OH, Hreggvidsson GO, Aevarsson A, Kaczorowski T. Molecular characterization of a DNA polymerase from Thermus thermophilus MAT72 Phage vB\_Tt72: a novel Type-A family enzyme with strong proofreading activity. Int J Mol Sci 2022, 23(14).
- Timinskas K, Venclovas C. New insights into the structures and interactions of bacterial Y-family DNA polymerases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(9):4393–405.
- 29. Steinegger M, Soding J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the analysis of massive data sets. Nat Biotechnol. 2017;35(11):1026–8.
- Edgar RC. Muscle5: high-accuracy alignment ensembles enable unbiased assessments of sequence homology and phylogeny. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):6968.
- Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam SZ, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Soding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. A completely reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. J Mol Biol. 2018;430(15):2237–43.
- Darling AC, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res. 2004;14(7):1394–403.
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, et al. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D200–3.
- Soding J. Protein homology detection by HMM-HMM comparison. Bioinformatics. 2005;21(7):951–60.
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(5):1530–4.
- 36. Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(7):3022–7.

- Mirdita M, Schutze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods. 2022;19(6):679–82.
- Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Zidek A, Potapenko A, et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021;596(7873):583–9.
- Holm L. DALI and the persistence of protein shape. Protein Sci. 2020;29(1):128–40.
- Holm L. Dali server: structural unification of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(W1):W210–5.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci. 2021;30(1):70–82.
- 42. Suttle CA. Viruses in the sea. Nature. 2005;437(7057):356-61.
- Mushegian AR. Are there 10(31) virus particles on Earth, or more, or fewer? J Bacteriol 2020, 202(9).
- Stokar-Avihail A, Fedorenko T, Hor J, Garb J, Leavitt A, Millman A, Shulman G, Wojtania N, Melamed S, Amitai G, et al. Discovery of phage determinants that confer sensitivity to bacterial immune systems. Cell. 2023;186(9):1863–e18761816.
- Millman A, Melamed S, Leavitt A, Doron S, Bernheim A, Hor J, Garb J, Bechon N, Brandis A, Lopatina A, et al. An expanded arsenal of immune systems that protect bacteria from phages. Cell Host Microbe. 2022;30(11):1556–e15691555.
- Huiting E, Bondy-Denomy J. Defining the expanding mechanisms of phage-mediated activation of bacterial immunity. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2023;74:102325.
- Samson JE, Belanger M, Moineau S. Effect of the abortive infection mechanism and type III toxin/antitoxin system AbiQ on the lytic cycle of Lactococcus lactis phages. J Bacteriol. 2013;195(17):3947–56.
- LeRoux M, Srikant S, Teodoro GIC, Zhang T, Littlehale ML, Doron S, Badiee M, Leung AKL, Sorek R, Laub MT. The DarTG toxin-antitoxin system provides phage defence by ADP-ribosylating viral DNA. Nat Microbiol. 2022;7(7):1028–40.
- Gao LA, Wilkinson ME, Strecker J, Makarova KS, Macrae RK, Koonin EV, Zhang F. Prokaryotic innate immunity through pattern recognition of conserved viral proteins. Science. 2022;377(6607):eabm4096.
- Kibby EM, Conte AN, Burroughs AM, Nagy TA, Vargas JA, Whalen LA, Aravind L, Whiteley AT. Bacterial NLR-related proteins protect against phage. Cell. 2023;186(11):2410–e24242418.
- Jackson SA, Birkholz N, Malone LM, Fineran PC. Imprecise Spacer Acquisition generates CRISPR-Cas Immune Diversity through Primed Adaptation. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;25(2):250–60. e254.
- Shiriaeva AA, Kuznedelov K, Fedorov I, Musharova O, Khvostikov T, Tsoy Y, Kurilovich E, Smith GR, Semenova E, Severinov K. Host nucleases generate prespacers for primed adaptation in the E. Coli type I-E CRISPR-Cas system. Sci Adv. 2022;8(47):eabn8650.
- Igler C, Huisman JS, Siedentop B, Bonhoeffer S, Lehtinen S. Plasmid co-infection: linking biological mechanisms to ecological and evolutionary dynamics. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2022;377(1842):20200478.
- 54. Pilosof S. Conceptualizing microbe-plasmid communities as complex adaptive systems. Trends Microbiol. 2023;31(7):672–80.

# Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.