

In-host evolution of Yersinia enterocolitica during a chronic human infection

Savin Cyril, Pierre Lê-Bury, Julien Guglielmini, Thibaut Douché, Rodolphe Buzelé, Cécile Le Brun, Frédéric Bastides, François Maud, Béatrice Birmelé, Laura Guichard, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Savin Cyril, Pierre Lê-Bury, Julien Guglielmini, Thibaut Douché, Rodolphe Buzelé, et al.. In-host evolution of Yersinia enterocolitica during a chronic human infection. 2024. pasteur-04610804

HAL Id: pasteur-04610804 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04610804v1

Preprint submitted on 13 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



In-host evolution of Yersinia enterocolitica during a chronic human infection

Running title: Human versiniosis in-host evolution

Authors:

1 2 3

4 5

10 11

- 6 SAVIN Cyril*#1,2, LÊ-BURY Pierre#1,3, GUGLIELMINI Julien4, DOUCHÉ Thibaut5, BUZELÉ Rodolphe¶6,7, LE
- 7 BRUN Cécile⁶, BASTIDES Frédéric⁷, FRANÇOIS Maud⁸, BIRMELÉ Béatrice⁸, GUICHARD Laura^{1,2},
- 8 CABANEL Nicolas^{1,2}, DORTET Laurent^{3,9,10}, MATONDO Mariette⁵, DUSSURGET Olivier¹, CARNIEL
- 9 Elisabeth^{1,2}, LANOTTE Philippe^{#6,11}, PIZARRO-CERDÁ Javier*^{#1,2}

Affiliations:

- 12 ¹Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, *Yersinia* Research Unit, WHO Collaborating Research and
- 13 Reference Centre for Plague FRA-146, F-75015 Paris, France
- ²Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, *Yersinia* National Reference Laboratory, F-75015 Paris, France
- 15 ³Center for Immunology of Viral, Auto-immune, Hematological and Bacterial Diseases (IMVA-
- 16 HB/IDMIT), Université Paris-Saclay, Inserm, CEA, F- 92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
- 17 ⁴Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS USR 3756, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics Hub, F-75015
- 18 Paris, France
- 19 ⁵Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UAR2024, Proteomic Platform, Mass Spectrometry for
- 20 Biology Unit, F-75015 Paris, France
- 21 ⁶Service de Bactériologie-Virologie, CHRU de Tours, F-37044 Tours, France
- ⁷Service de Médecine Interne et des Maladies Infectieuses, CHRU de Tours, F-37044 Tours, France
- 23 ⁸Service d'Hémodialyse, CHRU de Tours, F-37044 Tours, France
- ⁹Service de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Hôpital Bicêtre, Université Paris
- 25 Saclay, AP-HP, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France
- 26 ¹⁰Centre National de Référence Associé de la Résistance aux Antibiotiques, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France
- 27 ¹¹ISP, INRA, Université de Tours, UMR1282, F-37380 Nouzilly, France
- 28 [¶]Present address: Service de Médecine interne, CH Yves Le Foll, 22000 Saint Brieuc, France
- 29 *Authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding authors:

- 32 Cyril Savin and Javier Pizarro-Cerdá
- 33 Unité de Recherche Yersinia
- 34 Institut Pasteur

30 31

- 35 28 rue du Dr Roux
- 36 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France
- 37 Phone: 00-33-1-40-61-37-67
- 38 e-mail: cyril.savin@pasteur.fr; javier.pizarro-cerda@pasteur.fr

Abstract

Following a pacemaker implantation, a 75-years-old patient suffered from five successive bacteremia episodes between in 1999 and 2013 despite long-term antibiotic treatment, with intermittent vegetation apparition on the device atrial lead. Four blood isolates, identified as *Yersinia enterocolitica* bioserotype 4/O:3, were further genetically and phenotypically characterized. Phylogenetic reconstruction showed that the patient was chronically infected by the same strain, which evolved within the host for 14 years. Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis indicates that the last two isolates evolved in parallel and formed two independent lineages within the host. Pan-genome analysis and genome comparison showed that their common evolution was characterized by 41 small insertion/deletion events, loss of three large DNA fragments and mutations in 140 genes. A phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood identified two genes presenting a positive selection signal, suggesting that these mutations provided a survival advantage to bacteria during chronic infection. Quinolone resistance in the last two isolates was acquired through a so far undescribed deletion in the *qyrA* gene.

Mass-spectrometry analysis revealed a strong proteome remodeling in the last two isolates which was correlated with a truncation in the stringent response regulator DksA. A reduced carbon, energy and purine metabolism supports their severe growth defects *in vitro*. 3^{rd} -generation cephalosporin resistance of the last isolate was correlated with a truncation of OmpF, the main porin translocating antibiotics through the outer-membrane, as well as an increased production of BlaA and AmpC β -lactamases.

This is the first report of genetic and phenotypic changes associated to within-host adaptation of a pathogenic *Yersinia* species under antibiotic pressure.

Keywords: bacteremia/genomics/proteomics/within-host evolution/yersiniosis

Introduction

Bacterial exposure to a novel environment in a host creates conditions where evolution might take place. As observed for cancer cells, a bacterial clone can experience selection for an accumulation of genetic variants that promote long-term survival and clonal expansion¹. This scenario has been observed in cystic fibrosis patients who can be chronically infected with pathogenic bacteria. In a study from a single patient infected for 20 years with *Burkholderia multivorans*, bacterial adaptation to respiratory airways was associated with mutations affecting metabolism, cell envelope, and biofilm formation². In a different study concerning 474 *P. aeruginosa* isolates from 34 cystic fibrosis patients, convergent evolution of 52 genes involved in antibiotic resistance, motility, and biofilm formation was observed³. Host-adaptation through rapid evolution of a *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis causing a chronic systemic infection was documented in an immunocompromised patient⁴. Genetic diversification of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* has also been described during a 16-week pacemaker-associated endocarditis, leading to increased biofilm formation, reduced growth rate, and antibiotic tolerance⁵.

In-host evolution has not been reported for infections associated with *Yersinia* spp. Yersinioses include fulminant infections such as plague caused by *Yersinia pestis*, as well as mild or severe enteritis caused by *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* or *Yersinia enterocolitica* (*Ye*)^{6,7}. The latter represents the third most common cause of diarrhea from bacterial origin in temperate and cold countries⁸. Systemic *Ye* infections occur mostly in elderly patients with underlying disorders such as diabetes, iron overload, or cirrhosis⁹.

Persistent *Ye* infections in patients causing relapses for several years have been reported¹⁰, but the potential genetic relationships between the isolated strains was not determined. This study is the first report of long-term, in-host evolution of a pathogenic *Yersinia* species in a patient presenting iterative episodes of bacteremia over 14 years.

Results

Case description

A 75-year-old woman presented with an atrioventricular block that led to a pacemaker implantation in September 1998. In December 1999, the patient had a first septicemic episode resulting in the isolation of a *Ye* strain (Ye.1) (Fig 1). She received ceftriaxone and netilmicin treatment for 4 weeks and recovered. In January 2000, the patient experienced a second episode of bacteremia with isolation of another *Ye* strain (Ye.2). She was treated with the same antibiotics for four weeks, followed by 18 months of ciprofloxacin therapy (until July 2001), during which monthly

blood cultures were negative. A third bacteremia occurred in August 2001 with isolation of a third *Ye* (Ye.X, not kept in collection) that was resistant to nalidixic acid but susceptible to ciprofloxacin, which had been used for treatment. The patient received a long-term ceftriaxone therapy until July 2005, and monthly blood cultures were negative. In June 2006, the patient was hospitalized for a sepsis but had a negative blood culture. For the first time, echocardiography evidenced vegetations on the pacemaker atrial lead, suggesting endocarditis due to bacterial growth on the cardiac device (Fig S1). A 6-year ceftriaxone treatment was administered, leading to vegetation disappearance. In December 2012, the patient suffered from pneumonia, and ceftriaxone treatment was replaced by spiramycin. In January 2013, she presented with another bacteremia with isolation of a fourth *Ye* strain (Ye.3) and vegetations reappeared on the pacemaker atrial lead. Strain Ye.3, like Ye.X, was resistant to nalidixic acid. The patient was treated with piperacillin/tazobactam/amikacin for one week, followed by a 9-month ceftriaxone therapy. The patient had a last bacteremia in October 2013, during which a fifth *Ye* strain (Ye.4) was isolated. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ceftriaxone increased from 0.19 mg/L (Ye.3) to 2 mg/L (Ye.4) leading to antimicrobial treatment modification with cotrimoxazole. Three months later, the patient died of heart failure.

Phenotypic characterization of *Ye* isolates shows in-host evolution of antibiotic susceptibility during long term treatment

The five strains isolated during the iterative bacteremia were initially identified as *Ye* using a VITEK2 GN card. Except for Ye.X, which was not kept in collection, strains were subjected to phenotypical characterization: Ye.1 and Ye.2 (also referred as "early isolates") were identified as *Ye* bioserotype 4/O:3; due to a severe growth defect, Ye.3 and Ye.4 (also referred as "late isolates") could not be characterized by phenotypic methods. A substantial increase in mid-exponential phase doubling time at 37°C was measured, from 49 and 44 minutes for Ye.1 and Ye.2 to 5h38 and 4h46 for Ye.3 and Ye.4, respectively. Doubling times at 28°C were slightly longer, with 57 minutes for Ye.1 and Ye.2, 6h49 for Ye.3 and 5h28 for Ye.4 (Fig S2).

After isolation of the four strains, we parallelly assessed the susceptibility of Ye.1 to Ye.4 to 33 different antibiotics or antibiotics combinations (Table S1). All strains were resistant to the penicillins amoxicillin and ticarcillin. Ye.1 and Ye.2 were resistant to first-generation cephalosporin (C1G) (data not shown) and they were susceptible to all other tested antibiotics, as usually observed for *Ye* bioserotype 4/O:3, although Ye.2 showed a small resistance increase to several β -lactams and netilmicin, the aminoglycoside (AG) which was administered in combination with the 3rd generation cephalosporin (C3G) ceftriaxone between Ye.1 and Ye.2 isolation. Ye.3 and Ye.4 acquired a resistance

to the quinolone nalidixic acid, as observed with Ye.X, and Ye.4 became resistant to the second-generation cephalosporin (C2G) cefoxitin.

To further characterize the antibiotic profile of the isolated strains, the MIC of 10 antibiotics and antibiotics combinations was measured (Table 1). While the MIC of different antibiotics classes such as cephalosporin, AG and fluoroquinolone (FQ) slightly increased between Ye.1 and Ye.2, an unexpected AG and FQ MIC decrease was observed for the late isolates Ye.3 and Ye.4 compared to the early isolates Ye.1 and Ye.2. Furthermore, Ye.4 was fully resistant to the C3G ceftriaxone as well as the C2G cefoxitin, as observed before, and was slightly more resistant than Ye.3 to piperacillintazobactam, the combination of a penicillin and a β -lactamase inhibitor administered before ceftriaxone between Ye.3 and Ye.4 isolation.

Genetic analysis supports a chronic *Ye* infection, unravels a new quinolone resistance mutation in *gyrA* and reveals a OmpF-mediated cephalosporin resistance

Taxonomic assignment of the 4 isolated strains was obtained based on a core-genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) with 500 core genes using whole-genome *de novo* sequence, confirming that strains Ye.1 to Ye.4 belong to the *Ye* genotype 4, corresponding to bioserotype 4/O:3.

To investigate whether the multiple infection episodes were independent or due to a chronic colonization by a unique evolving bacterial strain, we studied the genetic relatedness of strains Ye.1 to Ye.4 by a core-genome single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis, with inclusion of 259 additional *Ye* biotype 4 strains isolated between 1963 and 2016 (Table S2). The phylogenetic tree reconstruction based on analysis of 4,738 SNPs showed that Ye.1 to Ye.4 were closely related and formed a unique clade separated from other *Ye* strains (Fig 2A). This result strongly suggests that the patient suffered from a chronic infection due to a unique evolving *Ye* 4/O:3 strain.

We next analyzed the molecular events that characterized the in-host evolution of Ye.1 to Ye.4. Genomic comparison and pan-genome phylogenetic analysis did not evidence any gene acquisition or small insertion/deletion events that were common and specific to the four *Ye* isolates. Ye.1 (isolated in December 1999) and Ye.2 (isolated in January 2000) were identical except for the loss in Ye.1 of a 1.5 kb region (between nucleotides 4,282,424 and 4,283,952 from the Y11 reference genome), indicating that evolution had already started within the first months of infection. The use of the least-squares dating tool (https://lsdating.pasteur.fr) estimated the closest internal node for Ye.1 and Ye.2 in October 1999, suggesting that the patient was contaminated at least two months before the first bacteremic episode (Fig 2B). The closest internal node for Ye.3 (isolated in January 2013) and Ye.4 (isolated in October 2013) was January 2011 (Fig 2B). However, a truncation in the mutation frequency decline *mfd* gene and the slow growth phenotype of the late isolates should be

considered, thus the divergence between Ye.3 and Ye.4 could have happened earlier. Their common ancestor had therefore evolved in the patient for at least 11 years and accumulated 285 SNPs before diverging into Ye.3 and Ye.4, which since accumulated additional 31 and 52 SNPs, respectively (Table S3). 140 genes containing mutations vertically acquired from their common ancestor (synapomorphies) were identified in the Ye.3 and Ye.4 genomes, including the *gyrA* gene (see below and Table S4). Ye.3 and Ye.4 shared three identical large deletions: a 2-gene deletion of 2.5 kb, a 9-gene deletion of 9.9 kb, and a 28-gene deletion of 32.7 kb (Table 2). These strains also shared 41 small insertions/deletions (Table 2). Whereas 6 genes did not exhibit any frameshift, 35 genes displayed a mutation leading to a truncated form of the product, and thus to loss-of-function. Truncated genes and deleted regions were found to be involved in essential physiological functions such as transcription, translation, replication, respiration, division, and carbohydrate and iron metabolism (Table 2).

A positive selection signal was detected in all isolated strains, in the acyl-CoA thioesterase II, which regulates levels of acyl-CoAs, free fatty acids, and coenzyme A. In position 280 of this enzyme, a glycine is normally conserved in diverse eukaryotic and bacterial species¹¹ while in Ye.1 to Ye.4 a serine was present. Position 280 is located next to important residues involved in two catalytic triads (Q278 together with N204 and T228, as well as E279 together with H58 and S107) and a dimer interface (V277 and V281) and could possibly affect the catalytic and functional activities of the acyl-Coa thioesterase. Another positive selection signal was present in a phosphate transporter, for which the C-terminal region displayed a deletion observed only in Ye.3 and Ye.4 that affects two cytoplasmic and two transmembrane domains. While we did not investigate the specific contribution of this deletion to the functionality of the phosphate transporter, the positive selection signal suggests that the promoted changes provided a survival advantage (Table S5).

The genetic basis of antibiotic resistance was investigated upon bacterial genome sequencing. Comparison of *gyrA* sequences of Ye.1 to Ye.4 with those of the reference strain Y11 and strain IP38477, which are susceptible to nalidixic acid, showed that Ye.3 and Ye.4 displayed the same 3-nucleotide deletion at positions 245 to 247 in the quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) of *gyrA* (Fig S3A). This so far undescribed deletion leads to replacement of aspartic acid 82 and serine 83 with a unique glycine without any frameshift, explaining the genetic basis of the resistance to quinolones in Ye.3 and Ye.4 (Fig S3B). Additionally, a nucleotide substitution introduced a stop codon in position 78 of the Ye.4 OmpF porin, truncating the protein at one fifth of its length. Porin mutations are usually associated with a decreased antibiotics uptake through the outer-membrane and could explain cefoxitin and ceftriaxone resistance.

Comparative proteomics of *Ye* isolates reveals an extensive metabolic rewiring, reduced virulence determinant expression and possibly OmpF-mediated antibiotics resistance

To further characterize *Ye* evolution during the course of infection, we analyzed proteomes of the four isolates by mass spectrometry. We measured between 2,086 and 2,287 proteins in the 20 samples (5 replicates of the 4 strains), for a total of 2,408 different proteins identified among all samples against a total database containing 4,424 unique proteins of the 4 isolates. All pairwise comparisons for protein differential abundance between strains were performed. 277 proteins were differentially abundant between the two early isolates Ye.1 and Ye.2 and 323 proteins between the two late isolates Ye.3 and Ye.4. In contrast, 845 to 1,070 proteins were differentially abundant in the 4 pairwise comparisons of early versus late isolates, which account for almost half of the identified proteome (Dataset S1). These proteome differences correlate with the genetic distances of early and late isolates.

The annotated proteins from Ye.1 to Ye.4 were mapped to the *Ye* genotype 4 reference strain Y11 (Table S6). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on biological process gene ontology (GO) terms revealed isolate-specific variations as well as common trends in early versus lates isolate comparisons (Fig 3). The loss of the pYV virulence plasmid (probably a laboratory artifact) in all strains but Ye.1 could be observed through the enrichment of the type III secretion system term in Ye.1. Ye.2 was specifically characterized by an enriched galactose metabolism. Translation and tRNA processing terms were strongly upregulated in late isolates and even more in Ye.4. Amino acid biosynthetic process was the most specific enriched term in late isolates versus early isolates.

Mapping of the protein abundance comparisons on the global metabolic map of Y11 (Fig S4, S5) and on specific KEGG pathways (Fig S6) shows a strong metabolic rewiring from early to late isolates, such as a decreased purine, pyrimidine or riboflavin metabolism, one-carbon pool by folate, carbohydrate metabolism and oxidative phosphorylation, and a perturbated amino acid metabolism such as increased histidine, serine, tryptophan or leucine biosynthesis, or reduced arginine or methionine biosynthesis and glycine cleavage (Fig S6).

Virulence-associated proteins such as the attachment locus Ail, the fimbriae MyfA, the serine protease ecotin, superoxide dismutases or the positive regulator RovA were less abundant in late isolates, correlating with the increased abundance of the negative virulence regulators RovM or YmoA (Table 3). Additionally, a mutation in the initiation codon of the invasin Inv completely abrogated its production in Ye.4. On the other hand, the chemotaxic operon *cheAWYZ* was more expressed in late isolates, along an increased expression of quorum sensing autoinducer-1 *yenI* and a decreased expression of autoinducer-2 operon *IsrGFBDCARK*. The invasin Inv was only slightly downregulated in Ye.3 but strongly in Ye.4 compared to early isolates.

Iron import systems such as the hemin import system encoded by the *hmuRSTUV* operon or the TonB/ExbB/ExbD transport system were more abundant in the late isolates, while iron storage abundance such as the non-heme ferritin FtnA or the bacterioferritin Bfr was decreased. All these iron metabolism protein changes correlated with a decreased abundance of the iron metabolism repressor Fur, showing a probable adaptation to the iron-scarce environment during *Ye* evolution in the bloodstream.

We looked at the proteins level of resistance and susceptibility factors such as β -lactamase, porin or efflux pump. An upregulation of the category A β -lactamase BlaA was observed for the late strains, negatively correlating with the regulator AmpE. More importantly, the category C BlaB (or AmpC) β -lactamase was more abundant in the ceftriaxone-resistant isolate Ye.4 compared to all other isolates. In addition to its truncation in Ye.4, the porin OmpF was less abundant in Ye.2 compared to Ye.1, probably causing a decreased permeability of the outer-membrane to antibiotics (Table 3). The OmpC porin showed variable abundance levels, correlating with OmpR/EnZ two components system abundance, while other porins such à OmpW and two other porins (Y11_17651 and Y11_12201) were strongly upregulated in the late isolates.

Truncation of the stringent response regulator DksA is one of the main drivers of proteome changes

To better understand which genetic difference could be the cause of the overall changing proteome, the correlation of the 6 pairwise comparisons was assessed against 57 published Ye transcriptome comparisons (Table S7) that we previously gathered on the Yersiniomics database¹². Interestingly, early vs late isolate comparisons had a correlation coefficient ranging from -0.29 to -0.43 with the comparisons of a Ye WT vs ΔdksA mutant and was one of the most correlated experiments in our dataset (Table S8, Fig S7). These transcriptomes originated from a recent RNA-Sequencing study exploring the Ye stringent response on Ye bioserotype 1B/O:8 in WT, $\Delta dksA$, $\Delta relA\Delta spoT$ and $\Delta dksA\Delta relA\Delta spoT$ strains¹³. We considered the correlations with our proteomes as high considering the variation in the Ye genotype and culture conditions used, as well as the analytic differences between RNA-sequencing based transcriptomics and mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Strikingly, a truncation in dksA gene is also observed in our late isolates (Table 2), where only the 72 first amino acids (AA) out of 153 AA remains. This truncation could explain the differential abundance of ribosome-associated proteins such as the ribosome maturation factor RimM, ribosome hibernation promoting factor Hpf or ribosome-associated translation inhibitor RaiA, ultimately leading to ribosomal protein upregulation. tRNA-associated proteins were also more abundant in late isolates in a dksA-dependent way (Table S9). Other protein abundance changes

correlating with *dksA* inactivation include the more abundant previously mentioned hemin transporter subunits HmuR, HmuS, HmuT, magnesium/cobalt transporter CorA, sulfurtransferase TusA, the shikimate and proline pathway enzyme AroB, AroK, ProA and ProB, DNA-binding transcriptional regulator Fis, two of the porins (Y11_12201, Y11_17651) or the acetate uptake transporter SatP among other. On the other hand, *dksA* inactivation was associated to the downregulation of the previously mentioned *lsr* operon as well as the urease *ureABCDEFGD* and glycogen utilization *glgBXCAP* operons, of the ferritins FtnA and Bfr, stress response protein ElaB, superoxide dismutase SodC, entericidin A/B, glycine cleavage system enzyme GcvT or fatty acid degradation enzymes FadA and FadB (Table S9). Interestingly, *dksA* deletion also correlated with a strong decrease in *acs* and *actP* genes, which were described as truncated in late isolates. Most importantly, the stationary phase sigma factor RpoS (o^S) expression was *dksA*-dependent, and probably explain most of the regulated proteins such as ribosomal and metabolic enzymes.

Discussion

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291292

293

294

295

296

297

298

This is the first report of a chronic Ye infection case due to a strain evolving in the same patient. Between December 1999 and October 2013, antibiotics were apparently successful in the treatment of five independent Ye bacteremia episodes; however, discontinuation of antibiotics was always followed by a new bacteremia. The appearance of vegetations observed by echocardiography in 2006, and their disappearance during therapy, argue for Ye growth on the atrial lead of the pacemaker and release into the bloodstream during the antibiotic discontinuation periods. Genomic and proteomic analyses of four Ye strains (Ye.1 to Ye.4) isolated from the patient allowed us to identify different mechanisms to resist to various antibiotic classes. Resistance to nalidixic acid was already observed from August 2001 after long-term ciprofloxacin treatment. Resistance to quinolones usually involves mutations in the QRDR of the qyrA gene, leading either to (i) a change of glycine 81 into cysteine, (ii) a change of serine 83 into arginine, isoleucine, or cysteine, or (ii) a change of aspartic acid 87 into a tyrosine, asparagine, or glycine^{14–17}. In Ye.3 and Ye.4, we observed a previously undescribed deletion of 3 nucleotides in the gyrA QRDR, leading to substitution of aspartic acid 82 and serine 83 by a unique glycine. Our work thus identifies a novel mutation in qyrA likely leading to quinolone resistance. After the long-term ciprofloxacin treatment was changed for the C3G ceftriaxone, Ye.4 became resistant to cefoxitin and ceftriaxone by abrogating OmpF porin production, a widely shared strategy to reduce membrane permeability to antibiotics¹⁸ and shown to be induced in *Escherichia coli* upon exposure to ceftriaxone¹⁹. A previous work showing OmpF mutations (the highest molecular weight

called YOMP-C in this previous work) selected by cefoxitin treatment in Ye and leading to increased β -lactam resistance supports the antibiotic import via this porin²⁰. β -lactamase induction has long been studied in Ye^{21} and a recent *in silico* study suggested an increased BlaB inducibility in Ye biotype 4^{22} . The class A β -lactamase BlaA and class C β -lactamase BlaB (or AmpC) were more abundant in the Ye.4 isolate, probably playing a synergistic role together with porin inactivation, as both β -lactamases have been shown to be involved in ceftriaxone resistance in Ye biotype $1B^{23}$. Moreover, the non-specific MIC increased for various antibiotics classes in Ye.2 could also be explained by a slightly reduced OmpF expression compared to Ye.1. Interestingly, the macrolide efflux pump MacA was more expressed in Ye.3, which was isolated during the pneumonia treatment with the macrolide spiramycin. However, no specific genetic event could be linked to all these proteome variations.

While no ciprofloxacin resistance was observed, Ye.X (which was isolated after ciprofloxacin treatment), Ye.3 and Ye.4 displayed a severe growth defect *in vitro*, which could account for increased antibiotics tolerance. Two major biological processes contribute indeed to antibiotic tolerance: biofilm formation^{24,25} and slow growth and/or metabolic dormancy^{5,26,27}. In the late isolates, we identified mutations in genes involved in metabolism or growth in several gene clusters. The cumulative mutations in genes encoding the RNA polymerase subunits RpoC²⁸ and RpoD, the pDNA topoisomerase ParE²⁹, the 50S ribosomal protein RpIF^{30,31}, the rRNA methyltransferase RImE/RrmJ/FtsJ^{32,33} or the stringent response regulator DksA^{34,35} could account for slow growth. Proteomics analysis of the isolates revealed a strong metabolic rewiring with reduced carbohydrate utilization and reduced purine, pyrimidine and riboflavin folate metabolism, together with decreased respiration, which overall corroborate the slow growth phenotype.

Vegetations detected in the pacemaker argue for the formation of a biofilm by the *Ye* strains. *Ye* biofilm production has been reported on medical devices such as catheters or feeding tubes^{25,36}. We identified several mutations in Ye.3 and Ye.4 which can be associated with loss of motility and planktonic growth, favoring biofilm formation: for example, mutation of the flagellar genes *flgD* in both Ye.3 and Ye.4, and *flgG* and *flgA* in Ye.4. Of note, loss-of-function mutations associated with decreased motility have been identified in *Burkholderia spp*. and *P. aeruginosa* isolates from cystic fibrosis patients^{2,3}. In addition, flagellar assembly is repressed in adverse and nutrient-poor environments owing to its energetic cost³⁷. In this direction, no flagellar proteins were detected for any isolate in our culture conditions, in agreement with the reported low flagellar expression in *Yersinia* species at 37°C³⁸. Additionally, a decreased expression or inactivation of adherence and invasion factors to host cells such as Ail, MyfA or Inv could favor adhesion to an abiotic surface by other surface factors. However, proteomics observations regarding higher chemotaxis and quorum sensing Al-1 as well as lower quorum sensing Al-2 protein levels in late isolates prevent us to draw

definitive conclusions on biofilm formation capacities of these isolates. A recent study characterizing the Ye stringent response also showed reduced motility and biofilm formation in a $\Delta dksA$ mutant, while a (p)ppGpp mutant $\Delta relA\Delta spoT$ had an increased biofilm capability³⁴, suggesting that our late isolates, truncated in DksA due to the third large deletion described in these isolates, had reduced abilities to form biofilms. However, these experiment from the literature were performed at 26°C and are not representative of bacterial *in vivo* behavior at 37°C.

Strikingly, late isolates seem more sensitive to various aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones. DksA truncation could explain this phenotype, as a *dksA* mutation increased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin in *Y. pseudotuberculosis*³⁵ and to chloramphenicol and ampicillin in *Ye*³⁴. However, this effect is species and condition specific as, in *Acinetobacter baumannii*, conflicting results have been reported concerning ciprofloxacin susceptibility^{39,40}. Interestingly, a *dksA* mutation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* increased on the other hand survival when exposed to ciprofloxacin, strengthening the interest in studying bacterial tolerance in addition to resistance⁴¹. Finally, a *rlmE* (or *rrmJ/ftsJ*) mutation, found in the late isolates, also led to an increased susceptibility to chloramphenicol, gentamicin, spectinomycin and other antibiotics in *E. coli*³².

In addition to the evolutive pressure of antibiotics, *Ye* faced the host immune system, nutritional immunity as well as a specific environment requiring a metabolic switch to adapt to a new lifestyle in the blood⁴². Amino acid requirements such as tryptophan for survival in the blood could explain the perturbated amino acid biosynthesis pathways in the late isolates. Recent studies also highlighted the role of glycine and other amino acid in complement susceptibility, and metabolism adaptation could be a way to better survive the complement cascade^{43–45}. Iron scarcity in the bloodstream favored the upregulation of iron transport and reduced abundance of iron storage proteins. Interestingly, these regulations were also observed in the transcriptome of the *dksA* mutant¹³.

DksA regulation of ribosome production, modulation of regulators such as RpoS or Fis or amino acid promoters is well documented in model bacteria^{46–51} and was recently validated in *Ye* by transcriptomics¹³. We could observe these effects in the proteome of the late isolates lacking a functional DksA. DksA regulates the stringent response that the bacteria can experience during nutrient starvation, but is also linked to antibiotic exposure or immune stress^{52,53}. The pppGpp pyrophosphatase GppA (or Ppx) was also mutated in the late isolates, probably reinforcing unbalanced (p)ppGpp levels and a dysregulated stringent response. As a substantial number of protein changes are associated with DksA truncation and that a strong phenotypic change is induced by *dksA* inactivation^{13,34,54}, this mutation could have been specifically selected during in-host evolution, for example for the previously described iron transport. However, as the deletion has a

pleiotropic impact on the global transcriptome, it does not allow to distinguish a specific selection process from side-effects of the deletion. Moreover, it remains to be deciphered if the main selection pressure was the antibiotic treatment or the adaptation to the host environment, to temper a detrimental stringent response on the long run for example. Additionally, the Ye.4 isolate showed an even closer proteome to a $\Delta dksA$ or $\Delta relA\Delta spoT$ mutants than Ye.3, perceptible in the higher ribosomal content or decreased fatty acid degradation pathway for example, suggesting that ceftriaxone-resistant Ye.4 possess a more dysregulated stringent response which could be due toOmpF inactivation, reducing nutrient import. Alternatively, stringent response being an adaptation mechanism to a varying environment, its inactivation could have been simply selected by the evolution of the bacteria in a controlled and non-changing environment, the blood. This mechanism could synergistically happen along genome size reduction, a hallmark of environment specialization.

Interestingly, loss of the pore-forming toxin YaxAB (Y11_10331/Y11_10321) as well as reduced virulence factor abundance such as Ail, MyfA, superoxide dismutases, ecotin, invasin or the regulator RovA suggest that bacteria defective for pathogenic functions that carry a fitness cost can be selected to favor host adaptation, as argued for Staphylococcus aureus^{31,55,56}. Finally, Ye late isolates displayed a mutation in mfd, previously showed to be required for diversification and antibiotics resistance^{57,58}. This suggest that the late slow-growing isolates accumulated less mutations and evolved even slower than expected, while most of the in-host evolving strains previously described showed increased mutation rate⁵⁹.

In conclusion, we report the unusual clinical case of a patient with iterative bacteremia and endocarditis due to a *Ye* bioserotype 4/O:3 strain evolving over a period of 14 years. The last two isolates, which were simultaneously evolving in the patient, accumulated mutations that allowed antibiotic resistance (a previously unknown substitution conferring resistance to quinolones) and possibly antibiotic tolerance. The latter phenotype was associated with a reduction in genome size and loss of essential functions for growth *in vitro*, while retaining the ability to survive in the host. The last isolated strains caused bacteremia despite the continuity of the antibiotic treatment, highlighting the transition occurring between early onset tolerance and resistance, a critical problem in antibiotic treatment that should urgently be addressed 60-62. Our findings have implications in case management, as they reinforce the need for early pacemaker removal in addition to antibiotherapy 63. They may also guide future design of more effective treatments for chronic and biofilm-associated bacterial infections. If the infected material cannot be removed as it was the case in our study, the choice of the right antibiotic is crucial. It must be well tolerated by the patient, be active on the biofilm and should not promote the emergence of resistance nor tolerance. It appears

402

403

404

405

406

407

408 409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416 417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432433

here that long-term ceftriaxone has been well tolerated, however it induced tolerance and resistance to this antibiotic. Investigation of the mechanisms modulating antibiotic tolerance is therefore a critical issue for the treatment of long-term infections. **Materials and Methods** Phenotypic characterization Bacterial strains were identified using the VITEK2 GN card (bioMérieux) and were sent to the Yersinia National Reference Laboratory (Institut Pasteur) for species confirmation/characterization as described⁶⁴. For the growth curves, bacteria were precultured in 5 mL lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C under agitation at 200 rotation per minute (rpm) for 16 to 96 hours. Precultures were diluted to OD_{600nm}=0.1, and 2.5 mL were inoculated in 250 mL LB. Incubations were performed either at 28°C or 37°C under agitation at 200 rpm. Optical density at 600 nm was recorded at different time points to monitor growth of the isolates. Mid-exponential phase growth rate was calculated between 2 and 4 hours for Ye.1 and Ye.2, and between 7 and 31 hours for Ye.3 and Ye.4. **Antibiotic resistance profiling** The antibiotic resistance profiles of the bacterial strains were first determined using VITEK2 (bioMérieux) and by disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% horse blood (bioMérieux) for growth deficient isolates during the course of the clinical case. A more comprehensive antibiotic resistance profiling and minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) measurement was respectively performed by disk diffusion method and Etest on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% horse blood (bioMérieux) for the four strains kept in collection at the end of the clinical case. Interpretation was performed according to the recommendations of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Society for Microbiology. Whole genome sequencing and analysis Bacterial genomes were sequenced and whole genome-based taxonomic assignment was obtained using a 500-gene cgMLST-Yersinia as previously described⁶⁵. 229 epidemiologically unrelated Ye 4/O:3 strains isolated between 1982 and 2016 were also sequenced and public genomes of 34 additional strains were used for subsequent analysis (Table S2). Paired-end FASTQ files were used for variant calling using the Y11 reference strain (accession number: NC 017564) with Snippy version 4.1.066. Putative recombinggenic regions were detected and masked with Gubbins version 2.3.467. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was built from an

435

436 437

438

439

440

441442

443

444

445

446

447

448 449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463 464

465

466

467

alignment of 4,738 chromosomal SNPs with RAxML version 8.2.868. Tree visualization was created with iTOL⁶⁹. Pan-genome phylogenetic analysis A total of 96 additional Ye strains were randomly selected together with the Ye.1-4 for Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood (PAML)⁷⁰. A pan-genome was constructed using PPanGGOLiN⁷¹. Each gene of the pan-genome (3,824 genes) was translated to proteins and aligned using MAFFT v7.407⁷², allowing to search for genes specific to Ye isolates. Back translation to nucleotide was performed to obtain codon alignment, and a phylogenetic tree was built for each gene using IQ-TREE v1.6.7.2⁷³. IQ-TREE's ModelFinder⁷⁴ was used to estimate the best variant of the General Time Reversible (GTR) model. The 3,612 genes for which the strains Ye.3 and Ye.4 are not sisters in the tree were removed. Synapomorphies were analyzed using a dedicated script (https://gitlab.pasteur.fr/GIPhy/findSynapomorphies/). PAML tests detected genes under positive selection pressure. **Proteomics sample preparation** Ye.1 and Ye.2 strains were grown overnight in LB at 37°C under agitation at 200 rpm. 200 μL of the culture were plated on 5 tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Ye.3 and Ye.4 were grown for 3 days in LB at 37°C under agitation at 200 rpm, then 200 μL of the culture were plated on 5 TSA plates and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. For all Ye strains, the bacteria were resuspended with an inoculation loop from the TSA plates in 1 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and washed two times by centrifugation and resuspension in PBS. Bacterial proteins were extracted as explained previously ⁷⁵. Briefly, bacteria were lysed with 20 µL trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) for 10 minutes and transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL protein low-binding tube. 200 µL of 2M Tris were added, then 24 µL of 100mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 400 mM chloroacetamide (CAA) for a final concentration of 10 mM TCEP and 40 mM CAA. Samples were incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes and proteins were quantified using tryptophan fluorescence in a Synergy H1M microplate reader (BioTek) with an excitation wavelength of 280 nm and an emission wavelength of 360 nm. 100 µg of proteins were aliquoted for each sample, and 5 volumes of water were added. Proteins were digested for 11 hours at 37°C under agitation at 600 rpm on a ThermoMix (Thermo) using Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin (Promega - V5111) with a 1:50 ratio (enzyme:protein) before stopping the digestion by addition of TFA to reach a final pH lower than 2. 20 μg of digested peptides were desalted using the Stage-Tips method⁷⁶ using C18 Empore disc, eluted with acetonitrile (ACN) 80%, formic acid (FA) 0.1%. Finally, the peptide solutions were speed-

469

470

471

472

473

474

475476

477

478

479 480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

vac dried and resuspended in ACN 2%, FA 0.1% buffer. For each sample, absorbance at 280 nm was measured with a NanodropTM 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) to inject an equivalent of DO = 1. For the spectral library preparation for data-independent analysis (DIA), 2 µg of each digested sample were pooled before proceeding to a peptide fractionation. The fractionation was performed with a poly(styrene-divinylbenzene) reverse phase sulfonate (SDB-RPS) Stage-Tips method as previously described ^{76,77}. 20µg of the pooled sample was loaded into 3 SDB-RPS (EmporeTM, 66886-U) discs stacked on a P200 tip and 8 serial elutions were applied as following: elution buffer 1 (Ammonium formate (AmF) 60 mM, ACN 20%, FA 0.5%), elution buffer 2 (AmF 80 mM, ACN 30%, FA 0.5%), elution buffer 3 (AmF 95 mM, ACN 40%, FA 0.5%), elution buffer 4 (AmF 110 mM, ACN 50%, FA 0.5%), elution buffer 5 (AmF 130 mM, ACN 60%, FA 0.5%), elution buffer 6 (AmF 150 mM, ACN 70%, FA 0.5%) and elution buffer 7 (ACN 80%, ammonium hydroxide 5%). All fractions were speed-vac dried and resuspended with ACN 2%, FA 0.1% before injection. For all fractions and individual samples, iRT peptides were spiked as recommended by Biognosys (Biognosys - Ki-3002-1). **LC-MS/MS proteomics** For the creation of the spectral library, a nanochromatographic system (Proxeon EASY-nLC 1200 -Thermo Scientific) was coupled online with a Q Exactive[™] HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Peptides from each fraction and from the pooled sample were injected into a capillary column picotip silica emitter tip (home-made column, 39cm x 75 μm ID, 1.9 μm particles, 100 Å pore size, ReproSil-Pur Basic C18 - Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) after an equilibration step in 100 % mobile phase A (H₂O, FA 0.1%). Peptides were eluted with a multi-step gradient from 2 to 7% mobile phase B (ACN 80% / FA 0.1%) in 5 min, 7 to 23% B in 70 min, 23 to 45% B in 30 min and 45 to 95% B in 5 min at a flow rate of 250 nL/min for up to 132 min. Column temperature was set to 60°C. Mass spectra were acquired using Xcalibur software using a data-dependent Top 10 method with a survey scans (300-1700 m/z) at a resolution of 60,000 and MS/MS scans (fixed first mass 100 m/z) at a resolution of 15,000. The AGC target and maximum injection time for the survey scans and the MS/MS scans were set to $3.0x10^6$, 100 ms and $1.0x10^5$, 45 ms respectively. The isolation window was set to 1.6 m/z and normalized collision energy fixed to 28 for HCD fragmentation. We used a minimum AGC target of 2.0x10³ for an intensity threshold of 4.4x10⁴. Unassigned precursor ion charge states as well as 1, 7, 8 and >8 charged states were rejected and peptide match was disable. Exclude isotopes was enabled and selected ions were dynamically excluded for 30 seconds. For the DIA analysis, mass spectra were acquired in DIA mode with the same nanochromatographic system coupled on-line to a Q Exactive™ HF mass spectrometer. For each sample, 1 µg of peptides

was injected into the same capillary column picotip silica emitter tip after an equilibration step in 100 % mobile phase A (H₂O, FA 0.1%). Peptides were eluted using the same multi-step gradient and temperature for up to 125min. MS data was acquired using the Xcalibur software with a scan range from 295 to 1170 m/z. The DIA method consisted in a succession of one MS scan at a resolution of 60,000 and 36 consecutive MS/MS scans with 1 Da overlapping windows (isolation window = 25 m/z) at 30,000 resolution. The AGC (Automatic Gain Control) target and maximum injection time for MS and MS/MS scans were set to 3.0x10⁶, 60 ms and 2.0x10⁵, auto respectively. The normalized collision energy was set to 28 for HCD fragmentation. **Proteome bioinformatic analysis** MaxQuant analyses: DDA raw files were processes using MaxQuant software version 1.6.6.0⁷⁸ with Andromeda search engine⁷⁹. The MS/MS spectra were searched against a personal Yersinia enterocolitica database (4,424 entries). The database was created by combining the four different Yersinia enterocolitica strains (Ye.1, Ye.2, Ye.3 and Ye.4). Sequences with at least one different amino acid were considered as unique and specific of the corresponding strain. Sequences with 100% similarity were reported once. FASTA headers contains the traceability of each strain. Variable modifications (methionine oxidation and N-terminal acetylation) and fixed modification (cysteine carbamidomethylation) were set for the search and trypsin with a maximum of two missed cleavages was chosen. The minimum peptide length was set to 7 amino acids and the false discovery rate (FDR) for peptide and protein identification was set to 0.01. The main search peptide tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm and to 20 ppm for the MS/MS match tolerance. Second peptides were enabled to

identify co-fragmentation events.

Spectronaut analyses: Spectronaut v. 16.0.220606 (Biognosys AG)⁸⁰ was used for DIA-MS data analyses. Data extraction was performed using the default BGS Factory Settings. Briefly, for identification, both precursor and protein FDR were controlled at 1%. For quantification, Qvalue was used for precursor filtering and global imputation strategy was used; peptides were grouped based

Proteome statistical analysis

on stripped sequences. Cross Run Normalization was enabled.

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513514

515

516

517

518519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

To find the proteins more abundant in one condition than in another, the intensities quantified using Spectronaut were compared. Only proteins identified with at least one peptide and with at least four intensity values in one of the two compared conditions were kept for further statistics. First, proteins absent in a condition and present in another are put aside. These proteins can directly be assumed differentially abundant between the conditions. Then, intensities of the remaining proteins were first

log-transformed (log2). Intensity values were normalized by median centering within conditions (section 3.5 in this reference⁸¹). Missing values were imputed using the impute.slsa function of the R package imp4p82. Statistical testing was conducted using a limma t-test thanks to the R package limma⁸³. An adaptive Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was applied on the resulting p-values thanks to the function adjust.p of the cp4p R package⁸⁴ using the robust method described in this reference⁸⁵ to estimate the proportion of true null hypotheses among the set of statistical tests. The proteins associated to an adjusted p-value inferior to a FDR level of 1% and an absolute log₂(fold-change) superior to 1 have been considered as significant and differentially abundant proteins. Finally, the proteins of interest are therefore those which emerge from this statistical analysis supplemented by those which are present from one condition and absent in another ("ID table" in the S1 Dataset). Annotated proteins of Ye.1 to Ye.4 strains were blasted on the Y11 reference proteome (RefSeq annotation from December 2022, accession GCF 000253175.1), and the best hit for each Y11 protein was kept. For each pairwise comparison based on the Ye.1 to Ye.4 loci database describe above, the duplicated Y11 loci were removed by keeping the less differentially expressed value, assuming the duplicated loci came from an annotation of a protein carrying a SNP. The R package clusterProfiler v4.6.2 was used for enrichment and gene set enrichment analysis⁸⁶. The GSEA function was used based on the Y11 loci by mapping to an in-house annotation file extracted from the Y11 Gene Ontology terms downloaded from the QuickGo website accessed on May 25th 2023⁸⁷. The gseKEGG function was used with the online KEGG dataset for the "yey" organism accessed on May 25th 2023. Analysis was performed with the detected proteins as statistical background. Foldchange data were transformed to be uploaded on the iPath3 website⁸⁸. Foldchange data were also mapped to specific KEGG pathways using the R package pathview⁸⁹. Published transcriptomes were downloaded from the Yersiniomics website 12. Ye 8081, Y1 and Y11 reference genomes were blasted to find homologous loci, and proteomes and transcriptomes were fused in a unique dataframe. The rquery.cormat function was used to compute the Pearson correlations of the foldchanges and display the correlation matrix.

Data Availability Section

536

537

538539

540

541542

543544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552553

554

555

556

557558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

The datasets and computer code produced in this study are available in the following databases:

Genome sequences: ENA PRJEB19854 (sample ID starting with ERS and accession numbers starting with FWC) for Y. enterocolitica 1 (Ye.1) (ERS1580350, FWCF02000001-FWCF02000181), Ye.2 (ERS1580351, FWCE02000001-FWCE02000227), Ye.3 (ERS1580352, FWCB02000001-FWCB02000195), and Ye.4 (ERS1580353, FWCD02000001-FWCD02000173)

The authors declare no competing interests.

• The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository⁹⁰ with the dataset identifier PXD043567

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Santé Publique France (SpF, Saint-Maurice, France), Institut Pasteur, Direction Générale de l'Armement, Agence Innovation Défense, Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FDT20220401-711 5222), the Inception program (Investissement d'Avenir grant ANR-16-CONV-0005), ANRS Emerging Infectious Disease (ANRS0349b) and Université Paris Cité. The Yersinia Research Unit is a member of the LabEX IBEID (ANR-10LBX-62-IBEID). We thank Vincent Enouf and Andreea Alexandru (P2M platform, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) for the sequencing of the strains, Daniel Boury (SID'COM, University of Tours) for transesophageal echocardiography image processing, and Pr. Frédéric Patat (University Hospital of Tours) for transesophageal echocardiography image interpretation.

Some figures were created with BioRender.

Conflict of interest

References

- Didelot, X., Walker, A. S., Peto, T. E., Crook, D. W. & Wilson, D. J. Within-host evolution of bacterial pathogens. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **14**, 150–162 (2016).
- 588 2. Silva, I. N. *et al.* Long-term evolution of *Burkholderia multivorans* during a chronic cystic fibrosis infection reveals shifting forces of selection. *mSystems* **1**, (2016).
- 590 3. Marvig, R. L., Sommer, L. M., Molin, S. & Johansen, H. K. Convergent evolution and adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* within patients with cystic fibrosis. *Nat Genet* **47**, 57–64 (2015).
- 592 4. Klemm, E. J. *et al.* Emergence of host-adapted *Salmonella* Enteritidis through rapid evolution in an immunocompromised host. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 15023 (2016).
- 594 5. Dengler Haunreiter, V. *et al.* In-host evolution of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in a pacemaker-595 associated endocarditis resulting in increased antibiotic tolerance. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1149 596 (2019).
- 597 6. Carniel, E. *et al. Y. enterocolitica* and Y. *pseudotuberculosis*. in *The Prokaryotes* (ed. Springer) vol. 6 270–398 (Dworkin, New York, 2006).
- 7. Perry, R. D. & Fetherston, J. D. *Yersinia pestis*--etiologic agent of plague. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **10**, 35–66 (1997).
- 601 8. The European Union One Health 2022 Zoonoses Report. EFSA Journal 21, (2023).
- 602 9. Bottone, E. J. *Yersinia enterocolitica*: the charisma continues. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **10**, 257–276 603 (1997).
- Hoogkamp-Korstanje, J. A. A., de Koning, J. & Heesemann, J. Persistence of *Yersinia* enterocolitica in man. *Infection* **16**, 81–85 (1988).
- Li, J., Derewenda, U., Dauter, Z., Smith, S. & Derewenda, Z. S. Crystal structure of the
 Escherichia coli thioesterase II, a homolog of the human Nef binding enzyme. *Nat Struct Biol* 7,
 555–559 (2000).
- Lê-Bury, P. et al. Yersiniomics, a multi-omics interactive database for *Yersinia* species.
 Microbiol Spectr 11, e0382622 (2023).
- Huang, C., Li, W. & Chen, J. Transcriptomic analysis reveals key Roles of (p)ppGpp and DksA in regulating metabolism and chemotaxis in *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Int J Mol Sci* **24**, 7612 (2023).
- 613 14. Capilla, S. *et al.* Characterization of the molecular mechanisms of quinolone resistance in *Yersinia enterocolitica* 0:3 clinical isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **53**, 1068–1071 (2004).
- Drummond, N., Stephan, R., Haughton, P., Murphy, B. P. & Fanning, S. Further
 characterization of three *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains with a nalidixic acid-resistant
 phenotype isolated from humans with diarrhea. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 10, 744–746 (2013).

- 518 16. Sanchez-Cespedes, J. *et al.* Clonal dissemination of *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains with various susceptibilities to nalidixic acid. *J Clin Microbiol* **41**, 1769–1771 (2003).
- Sihvonen, L. M. et al. Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis, pulsed-field gel
 electrophoresis, and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in discrimination of sporadic and
- outbreak-related strains of Yersinia enterocolitica. BMC Microbiol 11, 42 (2011).
- Prajapati, J. D., Kleinekathöfer, U. & Winterhalter, M. How to enter a bacterium: bacterial porins and the permeation of antibiotics. *Chem Rev* **121**, 5158–5192 (2021).
- 625 19. Ching, C. & Zaman, M. H. Identification of multiple low-level resistance determinants and coselection of motility impairment upon sub-MIC ceftriaxone exposure in *Escherichia coli*. 627 *mSphere* **6**, (2021).
- 628 20. Brzostek, K. & Hrebenda, J. Outer-membrane permeability to β-lactam antibiotics in *Yersinia* enterocolitica. *J Gen Microbiol* **134**, 1535–1540 (1988).
- Pham, J. N., Bell, S. M., Martin, L. & Carniel, E. The beta-lactamases and beta-lactam antibiotic susceptibility of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **46**, 951–957 (2000).
- Singhal, N., Pandey, D., Singh, N. S., Kumar, M. & Virdi, J. S. Exploring the genetic
 determinants underlying the differential production of an inducible chromosomal
 cephalosporinase BlaB in *Yersinia enterocolitica* biotypes 1A, 1B, 2 and 4. *Scientific Reports* 2020 10:1 10, 1–8 (2020).
- Bent, Z. W. & Young, G. M. Contribution of BlaA and BlaB β-lactamases to antibiotic
 susceptibility of *Yersinia enterocolitica* biovar 1B. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 54, 4000
 (2010).
- Girard, L. P., Ceri, H., Gibb, A. P., Olson, M. & Sepandj, F. MIC versus MBEC to determine the
 antibiotic sensitivity of *Staphylococcus aureus* in peritoneal dialysis peritonitis. *Perit Dial Int* 30, 652–656 (2010).
- loannidis, A., Kyratsa, A., Ioannidou, V., Bersimis, S. & Chatzipanagiotou, S. Detection of biofilm production of *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains isolated from infected children and comparative antimicrobial susceptibility of biofilm versus planktonic forms. *Mol. Diag. Ther.* **18**, 309–314 (2014).
- Brauner, A., Fridman, O., Gefen, O. & Balaban, N. Q. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **14**, 320–330 (2016).
- Fridman, O., Goldberg, A., Ronin, I., Shoresh, N. & Balaban, N. Q. Optimization of lag time underlies antibiotic tolerance in evolved bacterial populations. *Nature* **513**, 418–421 (2014).
- Nandy, P., Chib, S. & Seshasayee, A. A mutant RNA polymerase activates the general stress response, enabling *Escherichia coli* adaptation to late prolonged stationary phase. *mSphere* **5**, (2020).

- Harms, A. *et al.* Adenylylation of gyrase and topo IV by FicT toxins disrupts bacterial DNA topology. *Cell Rep* **12**, 1497–1507 (2015).
- Norstrom, T., Lannergard, J. & Hughes, D. Genetic and phenotypic identification of fusidic acid-resistant mutants with the small-colony-variant phenotype in *Staphylococcus aureus*.
- 657 Antimicrob Agents Chemother **51**, 4438–4446 (2007).
- 658 31. Proctor, R. A. *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* small colony variants (SCVs): a road map for the metabolic pathways involved in persistent infections. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**, 99 (2014).
- Galdas, T., Binet, E., Bouloc, P. & Richarme, G. Translational defects of *Escherichia coli* mutants
 deficient in the Um2552 23S ribosomal RNA methyltransferase RrmJ/FtsJ. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 271, 714–718 (2000).
- 663 33. Pletnev, P. *et al.* Comprehensive Functional Analysis of Escherichia coli Ribosomal RNA Methyltransferases. *Front Genet* **11**, 472170 (2020).
- Huang, C., Meng, J., Li, W. & Chen, J. Similar and divergent roles of stringent regulator
 (p)ppGpp and DksA on pleiotropic phenotype of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Microbiol Spectr* 10,
 (2022).
- Willcocks, S. *et al.* Genome-wide assessment of antimicrobial tolerance in *Yersinia* pseudotuberculosis under ciprofloxacin stress. *Microb Genom* **5**, e000304 (2019).
- Hurrell, E. *et al.* Neonatal enteral feeding tubes as loci for colonisation by members of the Enterobacteriaceae. *BMC Infect Dis* **9**, 146 (2009).
- 572 37. Zhao, K., Liu, M. & Burgess, R. R. Adaptation in bacterial flagellar and motility systems: from 573 regulon members to 'foraging'-like behavior in *E. coli. Nucleic Acids Res* **35**, 4441–4452 (2007).
- Horne, S. M. & Prüß, B. M. Global gene regulation in *Yersinia enterocolitica*: effect of FliA on the expression levels of flagellar and plasmid-encoded virulence genes. *Arch Microbiol* **185**, 115–126 (2006).
- 677 39. Maharjan, R. P. *et al.* DksA is a conserved master regulator of stress response in *Acinetobacter* baumannii. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, 6101–6119 (2023).
- Kim, N. *et al.* Dksa modulates antimicrobial susceptibility of *Acinetobacter baumannii*.
 Antibiotics 10, 1472 (2021).
- Viducic, D. et al. Functional analysis of spoT, relA and dksA genes on quinolone tolerance in
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa under nongrowing condition. Microbiol Immunol 50, 349–357
 (2006).
- 42. Lê-Bury, P., Echenique-Rivera, H., Pizarro-Cerdá, J. & Dussurget, O. Determinants of bacterial survival and proliferation in blood. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* (2024) doi:10.1093/FEMSRE/FUAE013.
- 686 43. Cheng, Z. xue *et al.* Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism confounds efficacy of complement-mediated killing. *Nature Communications 2019 10:1* **10**, 1–17 (2019).

- Kou, T. shun *et al.* Exogenous glycine promotes oxidation of glutathione and restores sensitivity of bacterial pathogens to serum-induced cell death. *Redox Biol* **58**, 102512 (2022).
- Kou, T. shun *et al.* Exogenous glycine promotes oxidation of glutathione and restores
 sensitivity of bacterial pathogens to serum-induced cell death. *Redox Biol* 58, 102512 (2022).
- 692 46. Mallik, P., Paul, B. J., Rutherford, S. T., Gourse, R. L. & Osuna, R. DksA is required for growth 693 phase-dependent regulation, growth Rate-dependent control, and stringent control of *fis* 694 expression in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **188**, 5775 (2006).
- 695 47. Lemke, J. J. *et al.* Direct regulation of *Escherichia coli* ribosomal protein promoters by the transcription factors ppGpp and DksA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 5712–5717 (2011).
- 697 48. Brown, L., Gentry, D., Elliott, T. & Cashel, M. DksA affects ppGpp induction of RpoS at a translational level. *J Bacteriol* **184**, 4455–4465 (2002).
- Boyle, W. K. *et al.* DksA-dependent regulation of RpoS contributes to *Borrelia burgdorferi* tick-borne transmission and mammalian infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009072 (2021).
- 701 50. Paul, B. J., Berkmen, M. B. & Gourse, R. L. DksA potentiates direct activation of amino acid promoters by ppGpp. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 7823–7828 (2005).
- 703 51. Gourse, R. L. *et al.* Transcriptional responses to ppGpp and DksA.
 704 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090817-062444 72, 163–184 (2018).
- 705 52. Qi, W. *et al.* The effect of the stringent response and oxidative stress response on fitness costs 706 of *de novo* acquisition of antibiotic resistance. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 707 2024, Vol. 25, Page 2582 **25**, 2582 (2024).
- 708 53. Chau, N. Y. E., Ahmad, S., Whitney, J. C. & Coombes, B. K. Emerging and divergent roles of 709 pyrophosphorylated nucleotides in bacterial physiology and pathogenesis. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, 710 e1009532 (2021).
- 711 54. Huang, C., Li, W. & Chen, J. Stringent response factor DksA contributes to fatty acid 712 degradation function to influence cell membrane stability and polymyxin B resistance of 713 *Yersinia enterocolitica. Int J Mol Sci* **24**, 11951 (2023).
- Das, S. et al. Natural mutations in a Staphylococcus aureus virulence regulator attenuate
 cytotoxicity but permit bacteremia and abscess formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113,
 E3101–E3110 (2016).
- 717 56. Ding, X. *et al.* Airway environment drives the selection of quorum sensing mutants and 718 promote *Staphylococcus aureus* chronic lifestyle. *Nature Communications 2023 14:1* **14**, 1–13 719 (2023).
- 720 57. Han, J., Sahin, O., Barton, Y. W. & Zhang, Q. Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *PLoS Pathog* **4**, e1000083 (2008).

- 722 58. Ragheb, M. N. *et al.* Inhibiting the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Mol Cell* **73**, 157-165.e5 (2019).
- 724 59. Dekker, J. P. Within-host evolution of bacterial pathogens in acute and chronic infection.
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-051122-111408 19, (2023).
- 726 60. Levin-Reisman, I., Brauner, A., Ronin, I. & Balaban, N. Q. Epistasis between antibiotic
- tolerance, persistence, and resistance mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 14734–14739
- 728 (2019).
- 729 61. Levin-Reisman, I. *et al.* Antibiotic tolerance facilitates the evolution of resistance. *Science*
- 730 *(1979)* **355**, 826–830 (2017).
- 731 62. Windels, E. M. et al. Bacterial persistence promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance by
- increasing survival and mutation rates. *ISME J* **13**, 1239–1251 (2019).
- 733 63. De Silva, K., Fife, A., Murgatroyd, F. & Gall, N. Pacemaker endocarditis: an important clinical
- 734 entity. BMJ Case Rep **2009**, (2009).
- 735 64. Le Guern, A. S., Martin, L., Savin, C. & Carniel, E. Yersiniosis in France: overview and potential
- 736 sources of infection. Int J Infect Dis 46, 1–7 (2016).
- 737 65. Savin, C. et al. Genus-wide Yersinia core-genome multilocus sequence typing for species
- 738 identification and strain characterization. *Microb Genom* **5**, (2019).
- 739 66. Seemann, T. Snippy: rapid haploid variant calling and core genome alignment.
- 740 https://github.com/tseemann/snippy (2015).
- 741 67. Croucher, N. J. et al. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial
- 742 whole genome sequences using Gubbins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e15 (2015).
- 743 68. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
- 744 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).
- 745 69. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments.
- 746 *Nucleic Acids Res* (2019) doi:10.1093/nar/gkz239.
- 747 70. Yang, Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol* **24**, 1586–1591
- 748 (2007).
- 749 71. Gautreau, G. et al. PPanGGOLiN: depicting microbial diversity via a partitioned pangenome
- 750 graph. *PLoS Comput Biol* **16**, e1007732 (2020).
- 751 72. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
- 752 improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- 753 73. Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
- 754 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32, 268–
- 755 274 (2015).

- 756 74. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S.
- 757 ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**, 587–
- 758 589 (2017).
- 759 75. Lê-Bury, P. et al. Dual proteomic signature of immune cells and Yersinia pestis upon blood
- 760 infection. *bioRxiv* 2023.06.19.545537 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.06.19.545537.
- 76. Rappsilber, J., Mann, M. & Ishihama, Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
- 762 fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nat Protoc 2, 1896–
- 763 1906 (2007).
- 764 77. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N. & Mann, M. Minimal, encapsulated proteomic-
- sample processing applied to copy-number estimation in eukaryotic cells. *Nat Methods* **11**,
- 766 319–324 (2014).
- 767 78. Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
- range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* **26**, 1367–
- 769 1372 (2008).
- 770 79. Cox, J. et al. Andromeda: a peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment.
- 771 *J Proteome Res* **10**, 1794–1805 (2011).
- 772 80. Bruderer, R. et al. Extending the limits of quantitative proteome profiling with data-
- independent acquisition and application to acetaminophen-treated three-dimensional liver
- 774 microtissues. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* **14**, 1400–1410 (2015).
- 775 81. Giai Gianetto, Q. Statistical analysis of post-translational modifications quantified by label-free
- 776 proteomics across multiple biological conditions with R: illustration from SARS-CoV-2 infected
- 777 cells. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **2426**, 267–302 (2023).
- 778 82. Giai Gianetto, Q., Wieczorek, S., Couté, Y. & Burger, T. A peptide-level multiple imputation
- 779 strategy accounting for the different natures of missing values in proteomics data. bioRxiv
- 780 2020.05.29.122770 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.05.29.122770.
- 781 83. Ritchie, M. E. et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and
- 782 microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).
- 783 84. Giai Gianetto, Q. et al. Calibration plot for proteomics: a graphical tool to visually check the
- assumptions underlying FDR control in quantitative experiments. *Proteomics* **16**, 29–32
- 785 (2016).
- 786 85. Pounds, S. & Cheng, C. Robust estimation of the false discovery rate. Bioinformatics 22, 1979–
- 787 1987 (2006).
- 788 86. Wu, T. et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. The
- 789 *Innovation* **2**, 100141 (2021).

- 790 87. Binns, D. *et al.* QuickGO: a web-based tool for Gene Ontology searching. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 3045 (2009).
- 792 88. Darzi, Y., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Yamada, T. iPath3.0: interactive pathways explorer v3. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W510–W513 (2018).
- 794 89. Luo, W. & Brouwer, C. Pathview: an R/Bioconductor package for pathway-based data integration and visualization. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1830–1831 (2013).

90. Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass
 spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D543–D552 (2022).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.S. and P.L.-B. planned, performed and analyzed experiments with the help of L.M., N.C. and L.G.

J.G. set up and performed pan-genome together with PAML analysis. R.B., C.L.-B., F.B., M.F. and B.M.

are the physicians who took care of the patient and shared all the clinico-epidemiological data. L.D.

performed antibiograms and MIC measurement. T.D. performed mass spectrometry-based

proteomics. P.L.-B. and O.D. were involved in proteome analysis and identification of antibiotic

tolerance mechanism. C.S., P.L.-B. and J.P.-C. interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. E.C., O.D.,

M. M., P.L. and J.P.-C. supervised the work. C.S. and P.L.-B. are shared first authors and P.L. and J.P.
C. are shared last authors.

Figures




Figure 1. Clinical case description of *Ye* chronic infection. Positive and negative symbols reflect the blood culture results, or the observation of vegetations on the pacemaker atrial lead. Periods of antibiotics treatment are shown in a darker color on the chronograph, with the associated treatment names on the left and antibiotic classes on the right. Abx: antibiotics. CeftriaxoneR: resistant to ceftriaxone. Nalidixic acidR: resistant to nalidixic acid. Sulf: sulfonamide. DHFR inh: dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor.




Figure 2. Phylogenetic reconstruction. (A) Maximum likelihood phylogeny reconstructed with RAxML under GTR model with 100 bootstraps based on 4,738 SNPs identified in 262 strains. The genome of the Y11 strain (NC_017564) was used as reference for variant calling. Numbers close to the branches indicate SNPs numbers. (B) Estimation of the date of internal nodes using least-squares dating (https://lsdating.pasteur.fr) among the 4 strains isolated from the patient. Green bars represent the standard deviation of the estimated date.




Figure 3. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of biological process gene ontology terms across pairwise comparisons of *Ye* proteomes. Positive normalized enrichment scores (NES) are shown in red and correspond to enriched terms in the first strain in the comparison, while negative NES are shown in blue and correspond to enriched term in the second strain in the comparison. Multiple testing is adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration (mg/L) of the 4 Ye strains on 10 antibiotics and antibiotics combinations assessed by Etest.

Antibiotic class	Antibiotic	Ye.1	Ye.2	Ye.3	Ye.4
	ceftriaxone ¹²³	0,047	0,094	0,094	>256
β-lactam	cefoxitin	4	12	12	>256
	piperacillin-tazobactam³	0,094	0,25	<0,016	0,094
	netilmicin ¹²	0,75	3	0,06	0,06
aminoglycosides and	amikacin³	3	3	2	1
aminoglycosides-like	tobramycin	1	1	0,09	0,09
arrinogrycosides-like	gentamicin	0,5	1	0,06 0,06 2 1 0,09 0,09 0,09 0,09 0,09 0,09	0,09
	spectinomycin	12	24	0,09	0,09
Quinolone	ciprofloxacin ²	0,008	0,012	0,002	0,032
Quinolone	levofloxacin	0,032	0,032	0,008	0,032

¹Administred treatment between Ye.1 and Ye.2 isolation

²Administred treatment between Ye.2 and Ye.3 isolation

³Administred treatment between Ye.3 and Ye.4 isolation

Table 2. Small insertions/deletions and list of CDS absent in Ye.3 and Ye.4 strains' genome, along log2(foldchange) of protein abundance in all pairwise comparisons. Loci are expressed on Y11 reference genome. P-value and adjusted p-value can be found in Table S6. 0 corresponds to proteins not detected in any conditions of the comparison. 15 corresponds to proteins detected only in the first condition of the comparison.

Content	Mathematical Math	Genbank id	Locus	Old locus	Status	Product	Ye.1	Ye.1	Ye.1	Ye.2	Ye.2	Y
March Marc	Windows Wind	Centralikia										
Margin Serial No. 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19,	Margin Series 11 Series 11 Series 11 Series 12 Series 12 Series 13 Series	WD 005165607.1	1 V11 DC00200	V11 00411		*	0.26	0.25	1 72	0.61	1.07	,
Mathematical Math	Mac 1960 1	_	_	_	•					-		
Margin M	March Marc	_	_	_				-				
W. 1999-156 Y. 1982-157 Y. 1980 Y. 1981 Y. 198	March 1999-164 1	_	_	_	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-			
Wind 1989	Wind 1989	_	_	_	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					-	
March Marc	March Marc	_	_	_				-				
W. Proportion 1.0	W. Proportion 1	_	_	_								
Month Mont	Month Mont	_	_	_		·						
W. 1985 19	W. 1985 19	_	_	_		•						
W. 19.51995 Y. 11.00025 Unusured Type DMA (applianments Top V. 10.00025 V.	Margin M	_	_	_		·						
March 1985-1992-1 11 12-91 11 11-91 11 11-91 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11 11-92 11-92 11 11-92 11	### 1985/9929. Y 11 1991 11 190	_	_	_		, .						
Margin M	March Marc	_	_	_			_				_	
We	We 1965	-	_	_								
Wo. 1967-1967 11 12 13 13 13 15 15 15 15 15	Was 1965 1	_	_	_		· ·	0			_	0	
W. 1985/1982. J. 11, 1590/1991. 1202 trunsfed	W. 1985-1982-1 11, 1895-1997 11, 12015 trunched	WP_005158842.1	Y11_RS08345	Y11_17791	truncated	urea ABC transporter ATP-binding subunit UrtE	_			0	_	
W. 1985 1972 1711 1510 1711 1520 1520 1711 1520 1520 1520 1520 1520 15	W. 1985-1973-1, 11, 1591-1971, 12, 12200 truncated Mest strasporter 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	WP_145531626.1	Y11_RS08850	Y11_18831	truncated	two-component system sensor histidine kinase KdpD	-0,57		0,27	1,16	0,84	
March Marc	March Marc	WP_050879682.1	Y11_RS09025	Y11_19131	truncated	amino acid ABC transporter permease	3,16	15	15	15	15	
Web March	Web March	WP_005167417.1	Y11_RS10470	Y11_22201	truncated	MFS transporter	0	0	0	0	0	
Web March Section Vision Visi	Web March	WP 085910232.1	Y11 RS10470	Y11 22201	truncated	MFS transporter	0	0	0	0	0	
W. 1965 1978 1972 1973 1973 1973 1974	W. 1905.1931.3 11 1561795 11 2297 1 157179 11 2297 1 157179 11 2297 11 157179 11 2298 1 157179 11 157179 11 2298 1 157179 1	_	_	_		·	0					
W.	W.	_	_	_			_					
March 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1989	Mary 1908-1907-1 11, 1811-191	_	_	_		·						
March Marc	March Marc	_	_	_		·						
Map 11, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18,	March Marc	_	_	_								
W. Discissor M. Salvago	W. D.	_	_	_				_				
Windows Wind	Windows Wind	WP_011815289.1	Y11_RS13360	Y11_28281	truncated	class II fructose-bisphosphatase GlpX	-0,55	3,5	2,93	4,05	3,47	
Windows Wind	Windows Wind	WP_005161086.1	Y11_RS14235	Y11_30151	truncated	DUF3748 domain-containing protein	0	0	0	0	0	
Wind 1925-1936 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	W. 11 13 140 13 13 147 17 140	_	_	_		•	0	0	0	0	0	
We 11 S15400 VI 31581 truncted	We 0.051673-83. Y1 R.S.1490 Y1 3.1681 truncate guardine protein separate protein pro	_	_	_			_		_		_	
W. 11. 11. 13.	W. 11. 13.	_	_	_								
WP D015/88117-5, 1*11, R51059* Y 11, 3851 truncated DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit betal RpC 1, 10, 10 0, 10	WP D015/8117-1 11 R31598 11 33821	_	_	_								
WP 00516379-1 YI R516567 YI 33921 turnated DVF44 domain containing protein DVF4 0.05	WP 00516179-1 YI R516567 YI 33921 turncated DVA44 domain containing protein 1.61 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.3 0.05 0.3 0.05 0	_	_	_		- ·	_					
WP_001516794.1 Y1_851685 Y1_34951 complete	WP_00151679-11, 11SISS685 VIISISS131 truncated	_	_	_								
WP_005156797.1 YI_R516655 YI_3513.1 truncated	WP_005557371_Y11_R516655 Y11_33131_truncated	_	_	_			1,61		15	15		
No. Dis1514312 YI R.515605 YI 251505 YI R.51505 YI 251505 YI R.51710 YI 25150 YI R.51710 YI 25150	WP_005168732.1 Y1_R519605 Y1_3651 truncated	WP_005165794.1	\ Y11_RS16565	Y11_34951	complete	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' RpoC	-0,1	-0,06	-0,41	0,05	-0,3	
March Marc	March Marc	WP_005156737.1	Y11_RS16685	Y11_35131	truncated	cation/acetate symporter ActP	-0,51	15	15	15	15	
MP_0015164831 Y11_S37140 Y11_36011 truncated miniconductance mechanosensitive channel Misch 0,36 15 15 15 13 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15	MP_001516281-11_1871410 Y11_58011 truncated miniconductance mechanosensitive channel Misch 0,36 15 25 25 28 29 MP_0015162815-11_187140 Y11_570741 Y1	WP 005156732.1	Y11 RS16695	Y11 35151	truncated	acetateCoA ligase Acs	-0,29	3,98	15	4,27	15	
WP_002156025.1 Y1_RS17420 Y1_36041 truncated 235 RNA (uridine(2552)²-C)-O-methytransferase RlmE 0,36 15 2,4 0.5 2,0	WP_002156025.1 Y1_RS17420 Y1_36041 truncated 235 RNA (urfinic 2552)²-2-0)-methytransferase RINE 0,36 15 2,4 0.10 2.09	_	_	_			-0.24	2.59			2.99	Т
WP_002160195.1 Y1_RS1201 V11_BS1201 V11_BS1	No.	_	_	_								
No. Digital Miles Mil	No. Digital Miles Mil	_	_	_								
WP_023366473_1_Y11_818270_Y11_8351TENDRESS	March Marc	_	_	_			,					
### Publishes Provide vol 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Company Part	_	_	_	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Complete Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical Protein DIA_2288 Popolitical Pr	Complete Paper P	WP_049530647.1 print dei: https://doi.org/	/10.1104/2024.06.1	2.598599; this v	ersion posted.	June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint	_					
Complete Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical protein DIA_22888 Popolitical Protein DIA_2288 Popolitical Pr	Complete Paper P	11 A Pe_10105 31 <u>1</u> 5367 26 141.9	vi ew) 1 s tR§ 18820 made available ur	iu iγder, v§r957æ s nder aCC-BY-N0	g raniedatieR X C-ND 4.0 Interr	v a license to display the ஈஸ்ற ந் ந்தைய் த்விற்ற இன்ற இரு முற்ற முறையில் விறு protein DksA actional license.	-0,03	4,47	4,42	4,51	4,46	
WP_070276531 171_R\$03970 171_08571 deleted dispetitide/Tripeptide permease DtpA 0.45 15 15 15 15 15 WP_0705166377.1 V11_R\$03980 V11_08501 deleted woldoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_070276531 Y1_R\$03970 Y1_08571 deleted dispetide/fripeptide permease DtpA 0.45 15 15 15 15 15 15 WP_0705166377.1 Y1_R\$03980 Y1_08591 deleted wxdoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	KGA74145.1			complete	hypothetical protein DJ62_2388						
NP D05166379.1 Y1 R903998 Y1 D8591 deleted Lysk family transcriptional regulator 0	NP D05166379.1 Y1 R903998 Y1 D8591 deleted LysR family transcriptional regulator 0	WD 072076552.4	1 V44 DC02070	V44 00574			0.45	1 -	1 -	15	15	
MP_005166371. YII_RS03980 YII_08601 deleted oxidoreductase NP_005166373. YII_RS03990 YII_0861 deleted hypothetical protein SapF O.01 IS IS IS IS MP_005166373. YII_RS03990 YII_0861 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapF O.01 IS A23 IS 372 MP_005166373. YII_RS03990 YII_0861 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapP O.01 IS A23 IS A23 IS A25 IS MP_005166373. YII_RS03990 YII_0861 deleted peptide ABC transporter Permease SapC O.0 O.	MP_005166371. Y11_RS03980 Y11_0861 deleted hypothetical protein MP_005166373. Y11_RS03990 Y11_0861 deleted hypothetical protein MP_005166373. Y11_RS03990 Y11_0861 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapF 0.01 15 15 15 3.72 MP_005166373. Y11_RS03990 Y11_0861 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapP 0.01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	_	_								
WP_005178982.1 deleted hypothetical protein hypothetical pro	WP_005178982.1 deleted hypothetical protein hypothetical pro	_	_	_								
MP_005166373.1 Y11_RS03999 V11_08631 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapF 0,01 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	MP_005166373.1 Y11_RS03990 Y11_08631 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapF 0,01 15 15 15 15 15 MP_005166373.1 Y11_RS03995 Y11_08631 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapP 0,01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005166377.1	Y11_RS03980	Y11_08601			0	0	0	0	0	
WP_005166371.1 Y11_RS04005 Y11_08631 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapD 0,31 15 4,22 15 3,72 WP_0051663681.1 Y11_RS04005 Y11_08651 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005166371.1 Y11_RS03995 Y11_08631 deleted peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapD	WP_005178982.1	L		deleted	hypothetical protein						
MP_0051663691 Y11_RS04000 Y11_08661 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	MP_0051663691 Y11_RS04000 Y11_08661 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005166373.1	Y11_RS03990	Y11_08621	deleted	peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapF	-0,01	15	15	15	15	
WP_D05169521.1 YI1_RS04005 YI1_08661 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapB 0.0 0	MP_00516935.1. Y11_RS04005 Y11_08651 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapB -0.10 0.0	WP_005166371.1	Y11_RS03995	Y11_08631	deleted	peptide ABC transporter ATP-binding protein SapD	0,31	15	4,23	15	3,72	
WP_D05169521.1 YI1_RS04005 YI1_08661 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapB 0.0 0	MP_00516935.1. Y11_RS04005 Y11_08651 deleted peptide ABC transporter permease SapB -0.10 0.0	WP 005166369.1	Y11 RS04000	Y11 08641	deleted	peptide ABC transporter permease SapC	0	0	0	0	0	
WP_005164365.1 Y11_RS04705 Y11_1031 deleted DUF2813 domain-containing protein SapA 0.014 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005164365.1 Y11_RS04705 Y11_1031 deleted DUF2813 domain-containing protein SapA O_14 Sap Sa	_	_	_			0	0	0	0	0	
Large deletion of 32,673 bp (positions 1039738 to 1072411) - 28 genes	Large deletion of 32,673 bp (positions 1039738 to 1072411) - 28 genes	_	_	_			_					
WP_005164789.1 Y11_RS04770 deleted DUF2813 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 VP_00106252.1 Y11_RS04710 deleted HlyD family type I secretion system permease/ATPase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164791.1 Y11_RS04720 deleted HlyD family type I secretion system permease/ATPase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164793.1 Y11_RS04730 Y11_10171 deleted peptidase C39 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10191 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10201 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005164789.1 Y11_RS04710 deleted DUF2813 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 VP_00106252.1 Y11_RS04710 deleted HlyD family type I secretion system permease/ATPase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164791.1 Y11_RS04720 deleted HlyD family type I secretion system permease/ATPase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164793.1 Y11_RS04730 Y11_10171 deleted peptidase C39 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10191 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10201 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	W1_003100303.1	. 111_1304010	111_00001			0,14	13	13		13	
VP_001006252.1 Y11_RS04710 deleted type I secretion system permease/ATPase 0 <	VP_001006252.1 Y11_RS04710 deleted type secretion system permease/ATPase 0 <	WP 005164789.1	Y11 RS04705	Y11 10131			0	0	0	0	0	_
WP_005164791.1 Y11_RS04720 deleted HlyD family type I secretion periplasmic adaptor subunit periodace 39 0 <td>WP_005164791.1 Y11_RS04720 deleted HlyD family type I secretion periplasmic adaptor subunit 0 <th< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td></th<></td>	WP_005164791.1 Y11_RS04720 deleted HlyD family type I secretion periplasmic adaptor subunit 0 <th< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td></th<>	_	_	_							_	
WP_005164793.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_01011 deleted formate—return/profolate ligase Fhs 0.7 2,88 2,59 3,6 3,29 WP_023161026.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_0101 deleted formate—return/profolate ligase Fhs 0.7 2,88 2,59 3,6 3,29 WP_00516497.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_01201 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0	WP_005164793.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_01011 deleted format—eptidase C39 0	_	_									
WP_023161026.1 Y11_RS04730 Y11_10191 deleted formatetetrahydrofolate ligase Fhs -0,72 2,88 2,59 3,6 3,29 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_0201 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_023161026.1 Y11_RS04730 Y11_01011 deleted formate—tetrahydrofolate ligase Fhs -0,72 2,88 2,59 3,6 3,29 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_02011 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	WP_023161026.1 Y11_RS04730 Y11_01011 deleted formate—tetrahydrofolate ligase Fhs -0,72 2,88 2,59 3,6 3,29 WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_02011 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	_	_					_		_		
WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10211 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 <	WP_005164796.1 Y11_RS04735 Y11_10211 deleted DUF2569 domain-containing protein 0 <	_	_	_		• •	_					
WP_005164797.1 Y11_RS04740 Y11_10211 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxB 0	WP_005164797.1 Y11_RS04740 Y11_10211 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxA 0	_	_	_			-0,72		•	3,6	•	
WP_005164800.1 Y11_RS04750 Y11_10221 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxB 0	WP_005164800.1 Y11_RS04750 Y11_10221 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxB 0	WP_005164796.1	Y11_RS04735	Y11_10201	deleted	DUF2569 domain-containing protein	0	0	0	0	0	
WP_005164800.1 Y11_RS04750 Y11_10221 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxB 0	WP_005164800.1 Y11_RS04750 Y11_10221 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxB 0	WP_005164797.1	Y11_RS04740	Y11_10211	deleted	electron transport complex subunit RsxA	0	0	0	0	0	
WP_014608949.1 Y11_RS04755 deleted hypothetical protein 0 <th< td=""><td>WP_014608949.1 Y11_RS04755 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxC 0 <th< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>·</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	WP_014608949.1 Y11_RS04755 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxC 0 <th< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>·</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	_	_	_		·	0	0				
WP_005164805.1 Y11_RS04760 Y11_10261 deleted hypothetical protein 0	WP_005164805.1 Y11_RS04760 Y11_10261 deleted hypothetical protein 0	_	_	_								
WP_005164807.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10261 deleted right oriC-binding transcriptional activator 0 <td>WP_005164807.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10261 deleted right oriC-binding transcriptional activator 0<td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	WP_005164807.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10261 deleted right oriC-binding transcriptional activator 0 <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	_	_	_								
WP_020283189.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10281 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxG 0.06 15 <td>WP_020283189.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10281 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxG 0.06 15<td> -</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td></td>	WP_020283189.1 Y11_RS04765 Y11_10281 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxG 0.06 15 <td> -</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-	_			·				_		
WP_005164811.1 Y11_RS04770 Y11_10291 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxG -0,06 15 15 15 15 WP_005164813.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_10301 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxE -1,57 0 0 15 15 WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10311 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10311 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted hypothetical protein 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04825 Y	WP_005164811.1 Y11_RS04770 Y11_10291 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxG -0,06 15 15 15 15 WP_005164813.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_10301 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxE -1,57 0 0 15 15 WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10311 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10311 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted hypothetical protein 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04825 Y	_	_	_								
WP_005164813.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_10301 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxE -1,57 0 0 15 15 WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04807 Y11_10361 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0	WP_005164813.1 Y11_RS04775 Y11_10301 deleted electron transport complex subunit RsxE -1,57 0 0 15 15 WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04807 Y11_10361 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0	_	_	_		·	_					
WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted oxidoreductase 0	WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005164811.1	Y11_RS04770	Y11_10291	deleted	electron transport complex subunit RsxG	-0,06	15	15	15	15	
WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10381 deleted oxidoreductase 0<	WP_005164815.1 Y11_RS04780 Y11_10311 deleted endonuclease III Nth 0,39 15 4,92 15 4,54 WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10381 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005164813.1	Y11_RS04775	Y11_10301	deleted	electron transport complex subunit RsxE	-1,57	0	0	15	15	
WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted 0 0 <td>WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted nypothetical protein 0 0<</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	WP_016266185.1 Y11_RS04785 Y11_10321 deleted pore-forming cytotoxin subunit YaxB -2,57 2,34 1,78 4,89 4,35 WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted nypothetical protein 0 0<	_	_	_		·						
WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WP_005164820.1 Y11_RS04790 Y11_10331 deleted alpha-xenorhabdolysin family binary toxin YaxA -2,49 2,11 2,38 4,6 4,87 WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	_	_								
WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005178976.1 deleted hypothetical protein 0	WP_005166280.1 Y11_RS04805 Y11_10361 deleted oxidoreductase 0 0 0 0 0 WP_005178976.1 deleted hypothetical protein 0	_	_	_			-					
WP_005178976.1 deleted hypothetical protein WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted Carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <td>WP_005178976.1 deleted hypothetical protein WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted Carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0<td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></td>	WP_005178976.1 deleted hypothetical protein WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted Carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	_	_	_								1
WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0	WP_005166281.1 Y11_RS04810 Y11_10381 deleted exoribonuclease II Rnb 0,07 15 2,6 15 2,52 WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0	_	_	111_10361			١	U	U	U	U	
WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0<	WP_005166282.1 Y11_RS04815 Y11_10401 deleted carbon starvation protein A 2,39 2,34 15 -0,05 15 WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0<	_										
WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166281.1</td><td>Y11_RS04810</td><td>Y11_10381</td><td>deleted</td><td>exoribonuclease II Rnb</td><td>0,07</td><td>15</td><td>2,6</td><td>15</td><td>2,52</td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166281.1</td><td>Y11_RS04810</td><td>Y11_10381</td><td>deleted</td><td>exoribonuclease II Rnb</td><td>0,07</td><td>15</td><td>2,6</td><td>15</td><td>2,52</td><td></td></t<>	WP_005166281.1	Y11_RS04810	Y11_10381	deleted	exoribonuclease II Rnb	0,07	15	2,6	15	2,52	
WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166282.1</td><td>Y11_RS04815</td><td>Y11_10401</td><td>deleted</td><td>carbon starvation protein A</td><td>2,39</td><td>2,34</td><td>15</td><td>-0,05</td><td>15</td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	WP_005166289.1 Y11_RS04820 deleted DUF466 domain-containing protein 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166282.1</td><td>Y11_RS04815</td><td>Y11_10401</td><td>deleted</td><td>carbon starvation protein A</td><td>2,39</td><td>2,34</td><td>15</td><td>-0,05</td><td>15</td><td></td></t<>	WP_005166282.1	Y11_RS04815	Y11_10401	deleted	carbon starvation protein A	2,39	2,34	15	-0,05	15	
WP_005166294.1 Y11_RS04825 Y11_10421 deleted fructose-6-phosphate aldolase Fsa -0,6 4,69 4,86 5,3 5,46 WP_005166295.1 Y11_RS04830 Y11_10431 deleted DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator YciT -0,65 15 15 15 WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein -0,77 15 15 15 15 WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166294.1 Y11_RS04825 Y11_10421 deleted fructose-6-phosphate aldolase Fsa WP_005166295.1 Y11_RS04830 Y11_10431 deleted DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator YciT WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD WP_005166303.1 Y11_RS04845 Y11_10461 deleted glycine zipper 2TM domain-containing protein OsmB WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,6 4,69 4,86 5,3 5,46 -0,65 15 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 -0</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	WP_005166294.1 Y11_RS04825 Y11_10421 deleted fructose-6-phosphate aldolase Fsa WP_005166295.1 Y11_RS04830 Y11_10431 deleted DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator YciT WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD WP_005166303.1 Y11_RS04845 Y11_10461 deleted glycine zipper 2TM domain-containing protein OsmB WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,6 4,69 4,86 5,3 5,46 -0,65 15 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 15 -0,77 15 -0	_	_	_		·						-
WP_005166295.1 Y11_RS04830 Y11_10431 deleted DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator YciT -0,65 15 15 15 15 WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein -0,77 15 15 15 15 WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0	WP_005166295.1 Y11_RS04830 Y11_10431 deleted DeoR/GlpR transcriptional regulator YciT -0,65 15 15 15 15 WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein -0,77 15 15 15 15 15 WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0 <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>• .</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	_	_			• .	_					
WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein -0,77 15 15 15 WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0	WP_005166298.1 Y11_RS04835 Y11_10441 deleted DUF2164 domain-containing protein -0,77 15 15 15 15 WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0	_	_	_		· · ·		-				
WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0 <t< td=""><td>WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	WP_005166300.1 Y11_RS04840 Y11_10451 deleted L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase AraD 0 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	_	_	_								
WP_005166303.1 Y11_RS04845 Y11_10461 deleted glycine zipper 2TM domain-containing protein OsmB 0,87 15 15 15 WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_005166303.1 Y11_RS04845 Y11_10461 deleted glycine zipper 2TM domain-containing protein OsmB 0,87 15 15 15 WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	_	_	_			_					1
WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_005166300.1	Y11_RS04840	Y11_10451	deleted	·	0					
WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_002224869.1 deleted gluconate 5-dehydrogenase Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_005166303.1	Y11_RS04845	Y11_10461	deleted	glycine zipper 2TM domain-containing protein OsmB	0,87	15	15	15	15	
Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	Large deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	_	_	_								-
WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21	WP_002228219.1 Y11_RS18810 Y11_39551 deleted polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB -0,08 4,28 4,13 4,37 4,21					, , ,						_
					د ا	rge deletion of 2,533 bp (positions 4134104 to 4136637) - 2 genes						
THE THE PARTY OF T	WF_003130770.1 111_N310013 111_39301 deleted tkina giutamyi-Q(34) synthetase GIUQKS -0,58 15 15 15 15 15	_	V11 DC10010	V11 20EE1			-0 00	1 20	A 12	1 27	A 21	T

Table 3. Log2(foldchange) of the proteome pairwise comparisons for selected proteins. Proteins were mapped on the Y11 reference genome. P-value and adjusted p-value can be found in Table S6. 0 corresponds to proteins not detected in any conditions of the comparison. +15 and -15 corresponds to proteins detected only in the first or second condition of the comparison, respectively.

				V- 4		og2(fol			
Locus	Old Locus	Gene	Product	vs Ye.2	Ye.1 vs Ye.3	Ye.1 vs Ye.4	vs	Ye.2 vs Ye.4	
			Virulence-associated proteins						
Y11_RS00110	_	ail	attachment invasion locus protein Ail	0	2,62	3,25	2,63	3,25	
Y11_RS01220	Y11_02591	есо	serine protease inhibitor ecotin	-0,05	1,35	1,66	1,39	1,71	
Y11_RS06755	Y11_14481	inv	autotransporter invasin Inv	0,53	1,28	4,99	0,75	4,47	
Y11_RS01540	Y11_03301	myfA	fimbrial polyadhesin major subunit MyfA	-0,27		1,18	0,89	1,47	
Y11_RS01020	Y11_02141	rovM	virulence transcriptional regulator RovM	1,02	-1,57	-2,01	-2,59	-3,03	
Y11_RS14390	Y11_30461	sodA	superoxide dismutase [Mn]	0,33	1,09	1,13	0,75	0,79	
Y11_RS03855	Y11_08321	sodB	superoxide dismutase [Fe]	0,06	1,73	1,96	1,67	1,91	
Y11_RS10840	Y11_22981	sodC	superoxide dismutase family protein [Cu-Zn]	0,7	3,08	3,5	2,38	2,8	
Y11_RS09565	Y11_20331	ymoA	expression modulating protein YmoA	-0,19	-2,41	-3	-2,22	-2,81	
			Chemotaxis proteins						
Y11_RS06745	Y11_14461	flgM	anti-sigma-28 factor FlgM	-0,56	-1,01	-1,56	-0,44	-1	
Y11_RS06785	Y11_14551	cheZ	protein phosphatase CheZ	-0,6	-2,08	-2,86	-1,48	-2,26	
Y11_RS06790	Y11_14561	cheY	chemotaxis response regulator CheY	-0,06	-1,74	-1,96	-1,68	-1,9	
Y11_RS06795	Y11_14571		chemotaxis response regulator protein-glutamate methylesterase	0	-0,34	-0,14	-0,85	-0,76	
Y11_RS06805	Y11_14591		methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein	0	-15	-15	-2,19	-2,48	ŀ
Y11_RS06815	Y11_14621	cheW	chemotaxis protein CheW	0	-2,6	-3,26	-2,59	-3,26	
Y11_RS06820	Y11_14641	cheA	chemotaxis protein CheA	0	-0,75	-2,55	-0,94	-2,71	Ī
Y11_RS11490	_		flagellar biosynthesis anti-sigma factor FlgM	0,08	1,54			1,35	
_	_	, ,	Quorum sensing proteins	-,	7-	, -	, -	,	-
Y11_RS02270	Y11 04881	yenR	LuxR family transcriptional regulator YenR	-0,31	0,58	1,3	0	0	
Y11_RS02276	_	yeni	acyl-homoserine-lactone synthase			-2,17			
Y11_RS10410	_	luxS	S-ribosylhomocysteine lyase	-0,04	0,16	0,38	0,2	0,42	
_									
Y11_RS17855	_	IsrG	(4S)-4-hydroxy-5-phosphonooxypentane-2,3-dione isomerase	-0,3	1,2	1,94	1,49	2,23	
Y11_RS17860	_	IsrF	3-hydroxy-5-phosphonooxypentane-2,4-dione thiolase	-0,51	2,05	2,68	2,56	3,18	
Y11_RS17865	_	IsrB	autoinducer 2 ABC transporter substrate-binding protein LsrB	0,03	2,81	3,84	2,78	3,81	
Y11 RS17870 reprint doi: https:/	Y11_37601 doi.or a /10.1101/	IsrD /2024.06.1	autoinducer 2 ABC transporter permease LsrD 2.598599; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint	0	0	0	0	0	
aks1n1o <u>t</u> B&n1117861765y	ped1 <u>re</u> 3i2611	the Suc hor	2.598599; this version posted June 12, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint funder, who has grantectuo and considered list and preprint funder. Who has grantectuo and the copyright funder of the preprint funder of the copyright holder for this preprint funder of the copyright holder for the copyright		0,08	15	0,98	15	
_	_	ISTA u	nder aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license autoinducer 2 ABC transporter ATP-binding protein LsrA	-0,45	15	15	15	15	l
Y11_RS17885	Y11_37631	IsrR	transcriptional regulator LsrR	0,15	1,25	1,43	1,1	1,28	
Y11_RS17890	Y11_37641	IsrK	autoinducer-2 kinase	-0,15	0,81	1,3	0,96	1,45	
			Iron import and storage proteins						
Y11_RS02915	Y11_06251	fcuA	Ferrichrome receptor protein FcuA	1,51	-0,54	-1,43	-2,04	-2,94	
Y11_RS03015	Y11_06491	ftnA	non-heme ferritin	-0,27	1,46	2,04	1,73	2,31	Γ
Y11_RS05035	Y11 10881	tonB	TonB system transport protein TonB	0,98		-1,96		-2,94	
Y11_RS05710	_		siderophore-interacting protein	0,76	-	-1,22	•		-
Y11_RS08900	_	fur	ferric iron uptake transcriptional regulator	-0,18	0,43	1,37		1,55	
Y11_RS11645	_	•	TonB system transport protein ExbD	0,37		-2,68			
Y11_RS11650	_	exbB	tol-pal system-associated acyl-CoA thioesterase	1,79	0,31		-1,49		
_	_		·						ľ
Y11_RS15495	_	bfr	bacterioferritin	0,68	3,87	4,66	3,19	3,98	
Y11_RS16005	_		protoheme IX biogenesis protein HemY	0,13	1,29	1,56	1,17	1,44	
Y11_RS16010	_	nemx	uroporphyrinogen-III C-methyltransferase	0,51	1,09	1,57	0,57	1,06	
Y11_RS16920	_	, .,	TonB-dependent siderophore receptor	0,49	-2,35			-3,99	
Y11_RS16930	_		Hemin import ATP-binding protein HmuV	0	0	0	0	0	
Y11_RS16935	_		Hemin transport system permease protein HmuU	0	0	0	0	0	
Y11_RS16940	Y11_35691	hmuT	hemin ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	0,88	-1,2	-2,82		-3,7	Ŀ
Y11_RS16945	Y11_35701	hmuS	hemin-degrading factor	0,29	-2,71	-4,85	-2,99	-5,13	ı
Y11_RS16950	Y11_35711	hmuR	TonB-dependent hemoglobin/transferrin/lactoferrin family receptor	1,85	-1,42	-2,95	-3,27	-4,79	ŀ
Y11_RS18015	Y11_37891	fecA	Iron(III) dicitrate transport protein FecA	2	0,26	-0,74	-1,73	-2,75	
			Beta-lactamase associated proteins						
Y11_RS04605	Y11_09911	blaA	class A beta-lactamase BlaA	0,45	-1,37	-3,01	-1,83	-3,46	
Y11_RS05870	Y11_12651	blaB	class C beta-lactamase BlaB (AmpC)	1,03	-0,03	-0,97	-1,05	-1,99	ŀ
Y11_RS05875	_	ampR	LysR family transcriptional regulator AmpR	0,46		-0,65		-1,11	
Y11_RS18675	_	•	1,6-anhydro-N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase AmpD	0	-0,06		-0,15	0,05	
Y11 RS18680	_		beta-lactamase regulator AmpE	-0,49	0,82		1,31		
		<i>i</i>	Porins		,		, -		
Y11_RS01275	Y11 02741	omn(porin OmpC	-1,48	0,9	-0,15	2,38	1,34	
Y11_RS01275	_	•	porin OmpF	1,4		3,58			
Y11_RS02150	_	•	porin OmpA		0,53			0,5	
	_	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,92		-1,52			
Y11_RS05005		σπρνν	outer membrane protein OmpW	-					
Y11_RS05640	_		porin	1,22		-2,99			
Y11_RS08265	_		aquaporin Z	-0,79			3,43	5,27	ľ
Y11_RS08275		-	porin OmpF2	0,19		-1,92			
Y11_RS15180	_	•	two-component system response regulator OmpR		0,83	0,58	2,75	2,5	
Y11_RS15185	Y11_32161	envZ	two-component system sensor histidine kinase EnvZ	-1,26	0,69	0	1,95	1,26	
			Efflux pumps						
Y11_RS00155	_		multidrug efflux RND transporter permease AcrD	-0,54		-0,85	-1,49	-0,31	
Y11_RS00315	Y11_00681	тасА	macrolide-specific efflux protein MacA	0	-15	0	-15	0	
Y11_RS09485	Y11_20131	acrR	multidrug efflux transporter transcriptional repressor AcrR	0	0	0	0	0	
Y11_RS09490	_		efflux RND transporter adaptor subunit AcrA	0,22	0,82	0,87	0,6	0,65	
Y11_RS09495	_		efflux RND transporter permease AcrB	0,14	1,2	1,08	1,06	0,94	
Y11_RS10240			multidrug efflux MFS transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit EmrA	0		-2,77			
Y11_RS10240 Y11_RS10245	_		multidrug efflux MFS transporter permease subunit EmrB	0	0,33	0	0,39	0	
_						_	_		
Y11_RS11740	_	tolC	outer membrane channel protein TolC	0,05		-0,18			
Y11_RS18200			MDR efflux pump AcrAB transcriptional activator RobA		0,76	1,2	0,13	2,06	
Y11_RS20600			multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit MdtC			-0,37			
Y11 RS20605	Y11_43321	mdtB	MdtB/MuxB family multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit	-0,06	0,11	0,28	0,17	0,34	
		mdtA	MdtA/MuxA family multidrug efflux RND transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit			-0,04			