

Legionella and mitochondria, an intriguing relationship

Francisco Javier García-Rodríguez, Carmen Buchrieser, Pedro Escoll

▶ To cite this version:

Francisco Javier García-Rodríguez, Carmen Buchrieser, Pedro Escoll. Legionella and mitochondria, an intriguing relationship. Saverio Marchi - Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy; Lorenzo Galluzzi - Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, United States. Mitochondria and Bacterial Pathogens Part A, 374, Elsevier Inc, pp.37-81, 2023, International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology, 978-0-323-99403-3. 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2022.10.001. pasteur-04132531

HAL Id: pasteur-04132531 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04132531

Submitted on 30 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Legionella and mitochondria, an intriguing relationship Francisco Javier García-Rodríguez ^{1,2}, Carmen Buchrieser ^{1,2,*} & Pedro Escoll ^{1,2,*} ¹ Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Biologie des Bactéries Intracellulaires ² CNRS UMR 6047, 75024 Paris, France, **Keywords:** Legionella pneumophila, mitochondria, metabolism, cell-autonomous immunity, cell death, pyroptosis, mROS, inflammasomes * For correspondence: Pedro Escoll and Carmen Buchrieser Institut Pasteur, Biologie des Bactéries Intracellulaires 28, rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France Tel: (33-1)-44-38-95-40 Fax: (33-1)-45-68-87-86 E-mail: *pescoll@pasteur.fr* or *cbuch@pasteur.fr*

27 ABSTRACT

Legionella pneumophila is the causative agent of Legionnaires' disease, a severe pneumonia. L. pneumophila injects via a type-IV-secretion-system (T4SS) more than 300 bacterial proteins into macrophages, its main host cell in humans. Certain of these bacterial effectors target organelles in the infected cell and hijack multiple processes to facilitate all steps of the intracellular life cycle of this pathogen. In this review, discuss the interplay between L. pneumophila, an intracellular bacterium fully armed with virulence tools, and mitochondria, the extraordinary eukaryotic organelles playing prominent roles in cellular bioenergetics, cell-autonomous immunity and cell death. We present and discuss key findings concerning the multiple interactions of L. pneumophila with mitochondria during infection and the mechanisms employed by T4SS effectors that target mitochondrial functions to subvert infected cells.

MAIN TEXT

39

40

1. Introduction

Mitochondria are emblematic structures in cell biology and have traditionally been 41 42 considered the powerhouse of the cell, as they play an essential role in cellular bioenergetics. 43 In this double-membrane organelle, nutrients are oxidized in a process termed oxidative 44 phosphorylation (OXPHOS), which involves the creation and exploitation of a membrane 45 potential across the inner mitochondrial membrane, resulting in ATP synthesis (Formosa and 46 Ryan, 2018). However, mitochondria are currently recognized as multitask organelles in 47 eukaryotic cell biology as they take part in the regulation of crucial cellular processes. 48 Mitochondria carry out essential steps of heme, iron-sulfur cluster, and amino acid biosynthesis as well as fatty acid oxidation (Lill and Freibert, 2020; Schulz, 2013; Spinelli 49 and Haigis, 2018). They also play an important role in Ca²⁺ homeostasis and, as a central 50 coordination element for Ca²⁺-dependent pathways, mitochondria play a key role in the 51 regulation of programmed cell death. For these actions, contact sites of mitochondria with the 52 53 Ca²⁺-storing endoplasmic reticulum (ER) are essential (Giorgi et al., 2012). Furthermore, 54 mitochondria can release pro-apoptotic proteins such as cytochrome C into the cytosol, 55 triggering the activation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (Obeng, 2021a). Mitochondria are 56 also engaged in the initiation of immune signaling and take part in innate immune response 57 by producing mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mROS), which are secondary 58 messengers involved in the activation of inflammasomes (Herb and Schramm, 2021; West et 59 al., 2011b). Like the ER, mitochondria have a stress response mechanism to deal with the 60 accumulation of unfolded proteins in the matrix (Melber and Haynes, 2018). Along with 61 these features, the highly dynamic morphology of mitochondria is another characteristic of 62 this organelle. Mitochondria are able to undergo fission and fusion events depending on the conditions in the cell. While mitochondrial fission is necessary before cellular division, 63 64 fusion events take place when there is a low nutritional supply as it might contribute to 65 maintain energy levels (Wai and Langer, 2016). Considering their central role in multiple 66 cellular processes, mitochondria are an attractive target for intracellular pathogens. Multiple 67 studies have reported how viruses and intracellular bacteria have evolved ways of targeting 68 mitochondria to influence their intracellular survival, to improve the access to nutrients, to 69 delay host apoptosis or to evade host immunity (Marchi et al., 2022; Sorouri et al., 2022). 70 Here we review the different mechanisms that Legionella pneumophila, the intracellular bacteria that causes Legionnaires' disease in humans, employs to manipulate mitochondrial

Legionella pneumophila was recognized for the first time during an outbreak of pneumonia in

72 functions during infection of host cells.

73

101

102

103

74 the summer of 1976 during the 58th annual convention of the American Legion in 75 Pennsylvania, United States. An unconventional respiratory disease affected 221 participants 76 and 34 fatal cases were reported (Fraser et al., 1977). In December 1976, Joseph E. McDade 77 and Charles C. Shepard identified and characterized a rod-shaped gram-negative bacterium as 78 causative agent of the disease. It was named Legionella pneumophila after the American 79 Legion and the new genus was named Legionella, which at that time had only one known 80 species (Brenner et al., 1979; Fraser et al., 1977; McDade et al., 1977). Today, the genus 81 Legionella comprises at least 65 species but not all of them are equally responsible for 82 laboratory-confirmed cases of Legionnaires' disease. L. pneumophila serogroup (Sg) 1 is the 83 most relevant, being responsible of 80-90% of the cases in Europe and the United States (Yu et al., 2002). L. longbeachae accounts for approximately 1% of cases worldwide but is on the 84 rise in Europe and accounts for 50-60% of cases in Australia and New Zealand (Bacigalupe 85 86 et al., 2017). Other species and serogroups, such as L. pneumophila Sg3 and Sg6 are also 87 reported to cause disease in some cases as well as species such as, L. bozemanii or L. 88 micdadei, but they are rare and are isolated mainly from immunocompromised patients 89 (Beauté, 2017; Currie and Beattie, 2015; Yu et al., 2002). Legionella spp. are opportunistic 90 pathogens for humans as they are naturally present in freshwater environments, as well as in 91 moist soil and composted material either as free-living biofilm-associated bacteria or 92 associated with their hosts, primarily aquatic amoebae (Rowbotham, 1980). Human infection 93 most commonly occurs when susceptible individuals inhale Legionella-containing aerosols 94 generated by contaminated manmade water sources, such as showers, hot tubs, plumbing 95 networks, and air-conditioning systems (Blatt et al., 1993). Through these routes, Legionella 96 can reach the human lungs, where they can infect alveolar macrophages using the same 97 mechanisms that they utilize to survive within their amoebal hosts. Indeed, L. pneumophila 98 has the capacity to replicate in numerous phagocytic hosts, ranging from different amoeba 99 species to mammalian cells (Boamah et al., 2017; Escoll et al., 2013). 100 After uptake by host cells, L. pneumophila remodels the phagocytic vesicle into a replicative-

permissive-niche known as the Legionella-containing vacuole (LCV). Interestingly, during

the first steps of infection, physical contacts occur between mitochondria and the LCV

(Escoll et al., 2017b; Hoffmann et al., 2014a; Horwitz, 1983). Within this sophisticated

intracellular compartment, L. pneumophila sequentially evades phagolysosomal degradation, is protected from intracellular defenses and intercepts nutrients to support growth and proliferation. L. pneumophila employs different secretion systems to deliver virulenceassociated proteins across the LCV membrane that modulate specific host cell functions to the bacterial advantage. Among them, type 2 and type 4 secretion systems (T2SS and T4SS, respectively) are present in all Legionella species and play essential roles during infection (Mondino et al., 2020a). The T2SS translocates around 25 effector proteins that are necessary for environmental persistence and for replication in amoeba, and that play also a role in replication in macrophages (Cianciotto, 2013, 2009). In. contrast, the T4SS translocates more than 330 effectors which represent about 10% of the bacterial proteome in the host cell and thereby governs all steps of the intracellular life of L. pneumophila in both amoeba and human macrophages (Ensminger, 2016; Lockwood et al., 2022; Mondino et al., 2020). Certain of these effectors modulate the cellular metabolism, host cell death and immunity pathways directly targeting mitochondrial functions (Table 1). Despite of their importance for bacterial virulence, the mechanisms underlying the activity of these effectors on host mitochondria has not been fully understood yet. Here we present the key findings about the interactions of L. pneumophila with mitochondria during infection, discuss the mechanisms by which T4SS secreted effectors target mitochondrial functions to subvert infected cells, and provide a perspective view on the interplay between Legionella and mitochondria, the extraordinary eukaryotic organelles governing cellular bioenergetics, cell-autonomous immunity and cell death.

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

2. The dynamic contacts between mitochondria and *Legionella*-containing phagosomes

Once *L. pneumophila* is taken up by phagocytic cells, a rapid and extensive communication between the vacuole and different components of the host membrane system occurs to convert the phagosome into LCV. This implies a conversion of the plasma membrane of the phagosome into a smooth ER-like membrane, the interception of vesicles and the escape from the the endocytic maturation process and the inhibition of the fusion with the lysosome (Ge and Shao, 2011) These rapid interactions were described as early as 1983 by using electron microscopy on fixed samples of infected human monocytes and shortly after in *L. pneumophila*-infected amoeba (Newsome et al., 1985). Indeed, within three minutes after phagocytosis >95% of vacuoles were surrounded by smooth vesicles apparently fusing with or budding off from the vacuolar membrane and, 30% of LCVs were also surrounded by closely associated mitochondria. At 1 hour after infection the proportion of mitochondria

associated with the LCV was reported to be 65% (Horwitz, 1983). During recruitment, the thickness of the LCV membrane, similar to the plasma membrane, was reduced to the thickness of ER or mitochondrial membranes and the membranes of both organelles were connected to the LCV by "fine connections or hairs" (Tilney et al., 2001). It was suggested that this process is T4SS-dependent, as the LCV of L. pneumophila $\Delta dotA$ mutants that do not have a functional T4SS do not have ER vesicles or mitochondria associated at their surface and the LCV membrane showed the same thickness as the plasma membrane (Tilney et al., 2001). These observations generated the understanding that the LCV is rapidly surrounded by mitochondria, and that these host organelles are recruited by T4SS effectors. However, new approaches such as time-lapse confocal imaging of living cells of infected human primary macrophages confirmed that the LCV is indeed rapidly (within 1-6 h postinfection) surrounded by mitochondria, as reported previously for fixed cells, but it also revealed that the interactions between mitochondria and the LCV are highly dynamic and transient. Interestingly, when these mitochondrial contacts were monitored in cells infected with L. pneumophila mutants that lacked a functional T4SS, no difference was observed compared to cells infected with wild-type bacteria, suggesting that mitochondria are associated with the LCV independently of T4SS effectors. Mitochondrial-LCV contacts have been reported for several different amoeba and human cells that can support L. pneumophila infections (Newsome et al., 1985; Abu Kwaik, 1996; Otto et al., 2004; Francione et al., 2009) except for S2 cells from *Drosophila melanogaster* (Sun et al., 2013). Why such transient interactions occur during the early hours of infection is still unknown, but as there is no implication of T4SS effectors this suggests that they might be inherent to phagosome formation and processing. Indeed, associations of mitochondria and phagosomes were also observed when macrophages phagocytize lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-coated latex beads (West et al., 2011a). Moreover, physical interactions of mitochondria with phagosomes have been observed also for infections with Chlamydia, Shigella or Salmonella (Hall et al., 2013; Matsumoto et al., 1991; Sirianni et al., 2016). This suggests that these interactions are infection-dependent and might be a general defence mechanism of the host cell against pathogens. Although the nature and the biological role of the mitochondria-LCV contacts remain unclear, the observation of tiny osmiophilic hairs in the contact sites (Tilney et al., 2001) may

suggest a direct physical contact. Generally, inter-organelle microdomains, such as

mitochondria-associated ER membranes (MAMs), play unique regulatory functions and are

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

homeostasis or autophagosome formation (Escoll et al., 2017a; Perrone et al., 2020). Further experiments are needed to understand whether these contacts play a regulatory role. Interestingly, we observed that a transfer of MitoTracker dye from mitochondria to the LCV occurs occasionally during these contacts (Escoll et al., 2017b). As MitoTracker dyes form a covalent bond with thiols on mitochondrial proteins (Cottet-Rousselle et al., 2011), dye transfer during contacts might suggest that proteins are transferred from mitochondria to the LCV. Interestingly, it was reported from proteomic studies of LCVs isolated from macrophages that, after 1h of infection, about 25-30% of the host proteins identified are mitochondrial proteins. These are even the most represented proteins of a eukaryotic organelle within the LCV proteome. A lower but significant proportion of mitochondrial proteins (19%) was also found in the proteome from LCVs isolated from infected D. discoideum amoeba (Hoffmann et al., 2014b; Naujoks et al., 2016; Schmölders et al., 2017). To understand whether a functional specificity in the proteins delivered by the mitochondria exists, we used the dataset published by Hoffmann and colleagues and performed a functional enrichment analysis for mitochondrial proteins identified in LCVs isolated from macrophages (Hoffmann et al., 2014a). Our analyses, summarized in Figure 1, show that the proteins identified were not related to a specific mitochondrial function, but we found an enrichment of nearly all structural proteins as well as of many mitochondrial functions, such as translation, lipid metabolism, or proteins involved in OXPHOS (Figure 1A). In line with this, a cell component analysis showed an enrichment of proteins mainly from the mitochondrial inner membrane and matrix (Figure 1B). These analyses might also suggest that during early steps of infection a cargo-unspecific transfer of proteins occurs independently of the subcellular localization of the proteins, which might indicate that the transfer occurs via vesicular trafficking. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that these proteins are from mitochondrial contamination during LCV purification. In mitochondria, vesicular trafficking and protein delivery to other organelles occur via mitochondria-derived vesicles (MDVs), firstly described as a quality control mechanism to

involved in many biological processes such as lipid synthesis and trafficking, calcium

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

mitochondria-derived vesicles (MDVs), firstly described as a quality control mechanism to transport damaged respiratory chain complexes from mitochondria to the endolysosomal compartment for degradation (Sugiura et al., 2014). However, there is one example of MDV-mediated delivery of mitochondrial proteins to bacterial phagosomes reported to happen during *Staphylococcus aureus* infection (Abuaita et al., 2018). Indeed, *S. aureus* infection activates the host stress response and stimulates the production of MDVs, which deliver the

mitochondrial enzyme superoxide dismutase-2 (Sod2) to bacterial phagosomes. Sod2-dependent production of mitochondrial peroxide (mH₂O₂) in the phagosome contributes to bacterial killing, as Sod2 depletion compromises mH₂O₂ production and pathogen killing in the phagosome (Abuaita et al., 2018). Whether similar MDV-based mechanisms occur during *L. pneumophila* infection remains to be elucidated, however it has been reported that mitochondrial-derived effector molecules, other than proteins, such as mitochondrial-derived itaconic acid are delivered to the LCV during infection of macrophages (Naujoks et al., 2016). Furthermore it was shown that Interferon (IFN) stimulation induces Immune-Responsive Gene (IRG)1 in the mitochondria of *L. pneumophila*-infected macrophages, which mediates production of itaconate, a potent antimicrobial. While mitochondria were dynamically contacting the LCV, mitochondrial-IRG1-derived itaconate was delivered to the LCV and mediated their antimicrobial effects. This example shows that the dynamic contacts of mitochondria and the LCV have also functional consequences (Naujoks et al., 2016).

Taken together,, although mitochondria-LCV contacts continue to be a mystery, their very dynamic and T4SS-independent nature and a possible, un-specific transfer of proteins might reflect the normally occurring inter-organellar interactions between mitochondria and phagosomes within eukaryotic cells.

3. Regulation of mitochondrial functions by L. pneumophila with metabolic

221 consequences

Intracellular bacterial pathogens such as *L. pneumophila*, can obtain nutrients and energy needed to support their replication can only from the infected host cell. Due to this metabolic and bioenergetic dependence intracellular pathogens have evolved different strategies to reprogram host cell metabolism to their advantage. The specific mechanisms and processes underlying metabolic changes of host cells upon bacterial infection are only partly understood. However, it has been shown that mammalian cells infected by different intracellular bacteria have an altered metabolism that mimics the Warburg effect or aerobic glycolysis as it is in cancer cells (Eisenreich et al., 2013; Escoll and Buchrieser, 2018). The Warburg metabolism is an anabolic program that is essential for cell growth and proliferation and favours glucose intake to fuel glycolysis coupled to fermentation but diminishes ATP production by mitochondrial OXPHOS (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). As glycolysis also fuels several biosynthetic pathways, this metabolic switch from an OXPHOS-based metabolism to aerobic glycolysis might be a faster way to generate biosynthetic intermediates required for bacterial growth (Eisenreich et al., 2013; Escoll and Buchrieser, 2018). Moreover, during the

Warburg effect, mitochondrial activity is repurposed from the bioenergetic role of OXPHOSmediated ATP generation to a biosynthetic role where mitochondria are used for amino acid and fatty acid synthesis. When bioenergetics parameters were measured during L. pneumophila infection, a biphasic alteration of the metabolism of infected macrophages occurred at very early times (Escoll et al., 2017b). This altered metabolism consisted in a preliminary phase of increased glycolysis and OXPHOS that peaks at 1 h post infection followed by a second phase where glycolysis remains high while OXPHOS and mitochondrial ATP production are dramatically reduced (Figure 2). This second phase mimics a Warburg-type metabolism and lasts at least 5 h after infection, before bacterial replication takes place and activation of macrophage cell death pathways are triggered (Escoll et al., 2017b). The analyses of mutants deficient in the T4SS that cannot inject bacterial effectors and, therefore, cannot replicate within infected cells allowed to elucidate a role of secreted effectors in this metabolic rewiring. The first phase of increased glycolysis and OXPHOS occurs in the absence of a functional T4SS (T4SS-independent), whereas the Warburg-like phase is T4SS-dependent, since OXPHOS is not reduced in T4SS-deficient mutants. It was reported that several Toll-like receptors (TLR), one of the most studied families of pathogen recognition receptors that activate innate immunity, are involved in sensing L. pneumophila LPS (Akamine et al., 2005; Grigoryeva and Cianciotto, 2021; Lachmandas et al., 2016). Specifically, L. pneumophila activates TLR2, that leads to increased glycolysis and OXPHOS in human primary cells (Lachmandas et al., 2016), and TLR4, that is vital for the macrophage response in the early of L. pneumophila infection. Importantly, TLR4 plays a role in the metabolic reprogramming of macrophages upon LPS activation (Murugina et al., 2020). Taking this in consideration, it is conceivable that the induction of the first phase (increased glycolysis and increased OXPHOS) that is T4SS-independent but TLR-dependent is related to a metabolic switch induced by the infected macrophage in response to L. pneumophila LPS. However, the reduction of OXPHOS observed in the second phase, during the Warburg-like program, is intimately linked to T4SS effectors, revealing a participation of secreted effector proteins in the impairment of mitochondrial activity. As L. pneumophila T4SS-deficient mutants also have LPS, and the second phase is settled before bacterial replication within the macrophage begins, LPS is not responsible for the differences observed between wt and mutant strains in the second phase. Interestingly, the accumulation of MDV-Sod2-derived mH₂O₂ discussed above, requires TLR signalling (Abuaita et al., 2018). Taken together these results support a model where T4SS-independent increase of OXPHOS at early times of L. pneumophila

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

271 (Abuaita et al., 2018), and the mitochondria-LCV dynamic contacts, might all be governed by 272 TLR signalling and might be functionally linked to enhance the antimicrobial response in the 273 infected macrophage. 274 L. pneumophila delivers more than 330 effector proteins into its host cells (Ensminger, 2016; 275 Lockwood et al., 2022; Mondino et al., 2020) and several of them target mitochondrial 276 dynamics (Abu Khweek et al., 2016; Escoll et al., 2017b). The host mitochondrial network, a 277 key player in the maintenance of bioenergetics and physiological homeostasis within the cell, 278 is incessantly dynamic as constant fusion and fission of mitochondria takes place, tightly 279 governed by a plethora of cytoplasmic and mitochondrial proteins (Tilokani et al., 2018). 280 While the movement of mitochondria appear to be random, recent studies showed that the 281 fine-tuned nature of mitochondrial motility and architecture affects and is affected by cellular 282 bioenergetics, metabolism, survival, stress or disease conditions (Chan, 2020; Wai and 283 Langer, 2016). An example is mitochondrial fission that leads to changes in mitochondrial 284 morphology from elongated networks to single rod-shaped mitochondria. It has been shown 285 that chronic fragmentation of mitochondria leads to a loss of respiratory efficiency, to a loss 286 of mitochondrial ATP production, and to a metabolic shift of the host cell towards other 287 sources of energy, such as glycolysis (Escoll et al., 2017b; Yu et al., 2015). This might 288 explain why triggering mitochondrial fission is common during infection by bacterial 289 pathogens, as it can effectively reduce OXPHOS and thus induce a Warburg-like metabolism 290 (Jain et al., 2011; Lum and Morona, 2014). For L. pneumophila, two effectors involved in 291 mitochondrial fission have been reported, MitF and LpSpl (Figure 2) (Abu Khweek et al., 292 2016a, p. 2; Escoll et al., 2017b). MitF or LegG1 (Lpg1976/Lpp1959), is a bacterial activator 293 of host Ran GTPases that modulates cytoskeleton dynamics and cell migration of host cells 294 during infection (Rothmeier et al., 2013; Simon et al., 2014). We recently discovered that this 295 effector is also involved in the activation of mitochondrial fission, as the infection of human 296 monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) with an $\Delta mitF$ mutant strain was impaired in the 297 ability to fragment host mitochondria (Escoll et al., 2017b). Mitochondrial dynamics are 298 known to be governed by cellular GTPases of the dynamin family (Labbe et al., 2014) and, 299 among them, the dynamin 1-like protein DNM1L (also known as DRP1) is a critical player in 300 the process of mitochondrial fission (Chan, 2012). During early infection with 301 L. pneumophila DNML1 aggregates in host mitochondria and promotes mitochondrial 302 fragmentation in a MitF (and T4SS) dependent manner. This step is key to impair OXPHOS

infection (Escoll et al., 2017b), the production of MDVs carrying mROS-generating Sod2

and ATP production in infected human macrophages (Figure 2). Homologs of MitF are present in several L. pneumophila strains and other Legionella species, suggesting that also other L. pneumophila strains and Legionella species might induce mitochondrial fragmentation by similar mechanisms (Escoll et al., 2017b; Swart et al., 2020). Interestingly, MitF actions on DNM1L-dependent mitochondrial fission seem to involve cytoskeletal rearrangements. Indeed, MitF activates Ran and RanBP2 (Rothmeier et al., 2013), and Ran and RanBP2 are involved in L. pneumophila WT-induced mitochondrial fragmentation (Escoll et al., 2017b). But Ran and RanBP2 have also been shown to activate actin polymerization and to bind directly to Arp2/3 complex and WASP (Sadhukhan et al., 2014; Yi et al., 2011). Arp2/3 and WASP are reported to interact with DNM1L in L. pneumophila WT-infected macrophages (Escoll et al., 2017b). As focal assembly of actin through Arp2/3 induces DNM1L-dependent fragmentation (Moore et al., 2016), it is possible that MitF activation of Ran/RanBP2 induces Arp2/3-WASP-mediated actin polymerization and DNM1L-dependent fragmentation (Escoll et al., 2017b). LpSpl (LegS2; Lpp2128 or Lpg2176), a T4SS effector that codes for an eukaryotic sphingosine 1-P (S1P) lyase and that is involved in the inhibition of starvation-induced autophagy in infected cells (Rolando et al., 2016), seems also to alter mitochondrial dynamics (Abu Khweek et al., 2016b). In eukaryotes, the sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase (SPL) enzyme is responsible for the irreversible degradation of S1P to phosphoethanolamine and hexadecanal (van Veldhoven and Mannaerts, 1993). S1P is a metabolite involved in the regulation of many different cell processes like migration, angiogenesis or development. Therefore, LpSpl activity might induce pleiotropic effects in the host cell. Contrary to eukaryotic SPL that localizes mainly at the ER (Ikeda et al., 2004), LpSpl forms patches in the mitochondrial outer membrane during infection (Degtyar et al., 2009) which might limit its enzymatic activity to this organelle. Indeed, LpSpl shows diverse effects in the mitochondria and, like MitF, seems involved in the regulation of mitochondrial dynamics, as infected-BMDM with a mutant of L. pneumophila $\Delta legS2$ (the homologue of LpSpl in strain L. pneumophila Philadelphia) lose the ability to fragment the mitochondrial network (Abu Khweek et al., 2016). The mechanism by which LpSpl induces mitochondrial fission and what are the consequences for mitochondrial bioenergetics or L. pneumophila pathogenesis remains unknown. It was suggested that the atypical morphology of the mitochondria observed in $\Delta legS2$ -infected cells was the consequence of the reduced host immune response provoked by lower NF-κB activation (Abu Khweek et al., 2016). Further studies are needed

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

to understand the role of *Lp*Spl/LegS2 in the regulation of mitochondrial morphology and whether this effector cooperates with MitF to induce mitochondrial fragmentation and OXPHOS reduction and ATP production.

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

One mechanism by which LpSpl participates in the modulation of mitochondrial functions has been reported recently (Escoll et al., 2021) (Figure 2). T4SS-dependent fragmentation of the mitochondrial network triggers a reduction of mitochondrial respiration in L. pneumophila-infected macrophages, which suggests a functional connection between mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial respiration (Escoll et al., 2017b). The molecular factors determining that fragmented mitochondria are bioenergetically less efficient are in the internal membrane of the mitochondria (IMM), as mitochondrial cristae represent the IMM hub where most of the respiratory complexes are embedded and are forming supercomplexes (Cogliati et al., 2016). Mitochondrial fission induces a remodelling of the mitochondrial morphology that involves changes in the number or shape of the cristae and directly impacts the stability and assembly of respiratory complexes and supercomplexes which, consequently also impacts mitochondrial respiration and OXPHOS (Cogliati et al., 2013; Giacomello et al., 2020). The electron transport chain (ETC) supercomplexes are composed of five complexes (CI to CV) whose activity is coupled in the ETC allowing mitochondrial respiration. In this process, the reduced coenzymes NADH and FADH2 are oxidized at complexes I and II where their electrons are extracted to energize the mitochondrial ETC (Nolfi-Donegan et al., 2020). The sequential transit of these electrons through complexes I, III, and IV (or II, III and IV) allows to pump protons from the matrix to the intermembrane space (IMS) and at complex IV, diatomic oxygen O₂ serves as the terminal electron acceptor, and H₂O is formed (Nolfi-Donegan et al., 2020). The increased concentration of protons at the intermembrane space, compared to the matrix, generates a mitochondrial membrane potential $(\Delta \psi_m)$. This $\Delta \psi_m$ allows the utilization of the proton-motive force by the complex V, the F_O-F₁-ATPase, to perform OXPHOS and to generate ATP (Nolfi-Donegan et al., 2020). Therefore, mitochondrial respiration, OXPHOS and the generation and maintenance of the $\Delta \psi_m$ are directly linked. This implies that bacterial-induced OXPHOS reduction may thus trigger profound consequences for host cells due to the collapse of the $\Delta \psi_m$ and subsequent death of infected cells. How L. pneumophila and other intracellular bacteria reduce OXPHOS and deal with the subsequent $\Delta \psi_m$ drop has recently been started to be understood. L. pneumophilainfected hMDMs showed that despite reduced OXPHOS, the $\Delta \psi_m$ was maintained during infection (Escoll et al., 2021) (Figure 2). One possibility how this could be achieved lies in

the two modes of action of the F_OF₁-ATPase. Indeed, the F_OF₁-ATPase can rotate clockwise, it works in the 'forward mode' and is synthesizing ATP by using the $\Delta\psi_m$ generated by the H⁺ circuit but it can also rotate counterclockwise, it works in the 'reverse mode' (Campanella et al., 2009, p. 1) and hydrolyzes ATP to maintain the $\Delta \psi_m$ in the absence of OXPHOS. It has been shown that L. pneumophila maintains $\Delta \psi_m$ during infection, in the absence of OXPHOS, through the induction of the 'reverse mode' of the mitochondrial F₀-F₁-ATPase (Escoll et al., 2021). Inhibition of the L. pneumophila-induced 'reverse mode' of the F_O-F₁-ATPase collapsed the $\Delta \psi_m$ and caused cell death in infected cells, suggesting that L. pneumophila uses this mechanism to deal with $\Delta \psi_m$ drops in conditions of highly reduced OXPHOS, as it is the case at early times post infection (second phase). Induction of the reverse mode by L. pneumophila is T4SS-dependent and involves the above mentioned effector LpSpl (Figure 2) (Escoll et al., 2021). Importantly, bacterial replication occurs preferentially in hMDMs that conserved the $\Delta \psi_m$ and showed delayed cell death, indicating that the modulation of the mode of action of the mitochondrial F₀F₁- ATPase is a virulence mechanism that preserves the integrity of infected host cells and thereby maintains replication niche of L. pneumophila. To date, LpSpl is the only known bacterial effector that targets the functions of a component of the ETC, however, it was shown that infection of macrophages with extracellular Escherichia coli produces an alteration of the composition and activity of ETC complexes and supercomplexes (Garaude et al., 2016; Ramírez-Camacho et al., 2019). Thus, it is tempting to assume that the configuration and functioning of other components of the mitochondrial ETC might be altered during infection of macrophages by L. pneumophila. The transport of ADP and ATP across the mitochondrial inner membrane is also an essential activity to maintain OXPHOS, as it provides the substrate for ATP production and allows its delivery to the cell (Atlante and Valenti, 2021; Klingenberg, 2008). The members of the adenine nucleotide translocase (ANT) family exchange ATP/ADP across the mitochondrial inner membrane and, in line with their vital role as gatekeepers of the energy flux, they are one of the most abundant proteins in the IMM (Klingenberg, 2008). In mammals, four ANT isoforms with redundant functions that physically interact and cooperate with the OXPHOS machinery to enhance mitochondrial respiration, as the overexpression of any of the ANT isoforms increases basal respiration and ATP production, are present (Lu et al., 2017). A recent study showed that the L. pneumophila effector Ceg3 (Lpg0080) is secreted during infection and specifically blocks ANT-mediated ADP/ATP exchange in host mitochondria (Fu et al., 2022; Kubori et al., 2022) (Figure 2). This effector shows mono-ADP-

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

402 ribosyltransferase activity and is specifically targeted to mitochondria, where ADP-403 ribosylates ANTs on an arginine residue in a conserved motif that is essential for ADP/ATP 404 transport. Thus, ANTs are ADP-ribosylated in human cells infected with wild-type 405 L. pneumophila but not in cells infected with strains expressing a catalytically inactive form 406 of Ceg3 or lacking a functional T4SS. This modification specifically blocks transport without 407 affecting other ANT-related functions, such as the induction of mitophagy (Fu et al., 2022; 408 Hoshino et al., 2019; Kubori et al., 2022). Interestingly, Lpg0081 is an effector with ADPr 409 hydrolase activity that reverses the actions of Ceg3 over ANTs (Kubori et al., 2022). 410 Moreover, over-expression of Ceg3 reduces OXPHOS as a result of the reduction in ADP-411 ATP exchange a reduction that was abrogated when Lpg0081 was also overexpressed 412 (Kubori et al., 2022). It is possible that Ceg3 modulation of OXPHOS is coordinated with 413 MitF-induced mitochondrial fragmentation and thereby represents a mechanism forcing 414 infected cells to reduce OXPHOS and to rely on glycolysis to generate ATP and fuel 415 biosynthetic pathways. Interestingly, besides blocking host ANTs, L. pneumophila secretes 416 LncP (Lpp2981), an effector that is targeted to mitochondria and mimics the ANT family of 417 transporters. Transport studies using purified LncP reconstituted into liposomes revealed that 418 LncP mainly catalyzes the exchange of ATP (and GTP) across membranes and is carries out 419 unidirectional (uniport) transport of ATP (Dolezal et al., 2012). This suggests that 420 L. pneumophila employs its own transporter to transport ATP across the IMM, an activity 421 that might be coordinated with Ceg3 and Lpg0081. Taken together, during infection, 422 L. pneumophila uses Ceg3 to temporary inhibit the endogenous ANT carrier but substitutes 423 this function with a bacterial-encoded "ANT-like" carrier, LncP, representing perhaps a fine-424 tuning strategy to adapt mitochondrial ATP efflux to the new metabolic conditions occurring 425 during infection (Figure 2).

4. Regulation of mitochondria during *L. pneumophila* infection allows to bypass cell-autonomous immunity and to control host cell death

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

In addition to their role in cellular metabolism, mitochondria are centrally positioned hubs in the innate immune system and cell death pathways by functioning as signalling platforms of cell-autonomous defence systems (Tiku et al., 2020; West et al., 2011b). Macrophages are at the forefront to fight bacterial infection and play a unique role in phagocytosis and pathogen clearance but they also deploy several cell-autonomous defences to protect themselves from infection, such as the generation of reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide, anti-microbial peptides or the establishment of a detrimental nutritive environment (Weiss and Schaible,

2015). Interestingly, mitochondria are key structures for triggering and processing many of these cell-autonomous immune mechanisms.

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

One cell-autonomous defence mechanism employed by macrophages during bacterial infection is to undergo pyroptosis, a highly macrophage-specific pro-inflammatory cell death pathway (Casson and Shin, 2013). In contrast to apoptosis, which is a non-inflammatory type of cell death, when macrophages trigger pyroptotic cell death they generate a potent immune response while effectively destroying the replication niche of bacterial pathogens, such as L. pneumophila. Pyroptosis is triggered by different signals, some of them are from mitochondria, which are sensed by the cytoplasmic sensors known as inflammasomes, and involve the rapid release of cytosolic content, including bacteria, and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1\beta and IL-18, thus alerting the immune system of an imminent danger (Bertheloot et al., 2021; Byrne et al., 2013). However, when the bacterial load is low, macrophages can also mount a rapid autophagic response against bacterial invasion known as xenophagy, which is also coordinated by the inflammasomes kill intracellular bacteria before macrophages trigger pyroptosis (Byrne et al., 2013; Wang and Li, 2020). L. pneumophilainfected cells might also trigger classical mitochondrial apoptosis, which is driven by a loss of the $\Delta \psi_m$ culminating in this non-inflammatory form of cell death (Speir et al., 2014a). Thus, intracellular pathogens such as L. pneumophila have evolved several mechanisms to evade cell-autonomous immunity of the infected cells, such as impairing antimicrobial activity or to efficiently control the different types of cell death, first by likely delaying cell death to allow bacterial replication and then eventually by facilitating it to favour their dissemination. We will thus discuss below how L. pneumophila subverts mitochondrial functions linked to cell-autonomous immunity in infected cells, such as the activation of inflammasomes, the triggering of pyroptosis, the regulation of autophagy and the effective control of cell death.

4.1 Reactive oxygen species (ROS), mitochondrial ROS (mROS) and inflammasomemediated pyroptosis

Innate immune detection of intracellular bacteria mobilizes a myriad of toxic anti-microbial effectors to combat the pathogen and destroy it within the phagosomes. One of these defenses is the induction of large amounts of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Although ROS are primarily produced by the NADPH oxidase system in phagocytes, mitochondrial oxidative metabolism is also a source of cellular ROS. The production of mROS has been considered a deleterious consequence of the malfunctioning of the mitochondrial ETC. Now it is thought

that mROS play an important role as a secondary messenger in the cell and regulate several defence mechanisms contributing to bacterial clearance (Figure 3) (Geng et al., 2015; West et al., 2011a).
 The production of mROS in mitochondria depends on several factors, including premature leakage of electrons from complexes I, II, and III, increased Δψ_m or the energetic status of the

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

leakage of electrons from complexes I, II, and III, increased $\Delta \psi_m$ or the energetic status of the mitochondria (Antonucci et al., 2021; Escoll et al., 2019). Upon sensing LPS, complex I is one of the major sites of production of mROS in macrophages. TLR signaling by LPS decreases OXPHOS-dependent ATP production, increases oxidation of succinate by Complex II, decreases the forward transport at Complex I, and increases the $\Delta \psi_m$, which all together trigger the reverse electron transport and mROS production at Complex I (Mills et al., 2016). In addition, blocking the reverse electron transport with Complex I inhibitors reduces LPS-induced mROS and IL1\beta production (Kelly et al., 2015; Mills et al., 2016), demonstrating that Complex I functions are necessary to regulate inflammation. The mechanisms underlying TLR-induced production of mROS have been started to be uncovered. TLR1, TLR2 and/or TLR4 activation leads to the mitochondrial recruitment to phagosomes, mediated by the assembly of a complex of the ubiquitin ligase TRAF6 and the mitochondrial complex I-assembly factor ECSIT, which results in the increase of both, cellular ROS and mROS production (West et al., 2011a). Consistent with a role of ROS in bactericidal responses, ECSIT- or TRAF6-deficient macrophages exhibit decreased levels of TLR-induced cellular ROS and are impaired in their ability to kill Salmonella Typhimurium (West et al., 2011a). Additional components upstream are Mst1 and Mst2 kinases, which participate in activating the GTPase Rac to promote TLR-triggered assembly of the TRAF6-ECSIT complex (Geng et al., 2015). Reducing macrophage mROS by expressing catalase in mitochondria results in defective bacterial killing (West et al., 2011a). L. pneumophila infection inhibits cellular ROS and mROS in cultured cells, as well as dramatically suppresses mROS in the lungs of infected mice (Figure 3) (Harada et al., 2007; Kajiwara et al., 2018). Additionally, metformin, a drug that inhibits mitochondrial ETC complex I, induces mROS production and AMPK activation, resulting in protection against L. pneumophila infection both in vivo and in vitro. Interestingly, the protective role of metformin was partially mediated by mROS and was independent of AMPK related-effects (Kajiwara et al., 2018), suggesting that mROS have also an anti-bacterial role during L. pneumophila infection and the bacterium has mechanisms to downregulate its production.

Although these results clearly pointed to a role of mROS in the anti-bacterial response of macrophages, to date it is still unknown whether the direct transfer of mROS from mitochondria to phagosomes kills phagocytized bacteria, or whether mROS generated in the mitochondria and delivered into the cytosol have direct anti-bacterial effects. As the levels of cellular ROS produced by the NADPH-oxidase in the cytoplasm of phagocytes are expected to exceed ETC-derived mROS (West et al., 2011b), it cannot be ruled out that the bactericidal activity triggered by mROS is related to its role in innate immunity as an activator of inflammasome signalling (discussed below). Moreover, as discussed above, TLR-dependent MDV transfer and accumulation of mitochondrial Sod2 into phagosomes produces ROS in the phagosome and contributes to anti-microbial toxicity, showing an additional mechanisms by which mitochondrial-derived ROS kills bacteria. However, ETC-derived mROS is not involved as the mitochondrial ROS-generating enzyme Sod2 is targeted to phagosomes via MDVs (Abuaita et al., 2018), but as ROS are volatile molecules that can cross membranes (Fisher, 2009), the possibility that mitochondria-phagosome contacts facilitate the direct transfer of mROS from mitochondria to the phagosome to kill bacteria exists. Thus, a remaining question is to understand whether the main contribution of mROS to bactericidal activity is through the generation and diffusion of mROS that directly kill bacteria, through the activation of the inflammasome, or through the delivery of mitochondrial Sod2 to phagosomes.

As introduced before, ROS and mROS are activators of inflammasomes, which are cytosolic multiprotein oligomers responsible for the activation of inflammatory responses in macrophages. Macrophages are equipped with an arsenal of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) sensing microbial- or danger-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs/DAMPs) and elicit rapid innate immunity responses to protect the organism (Amarante-Mendes et al., 2018). In addition to TLRs that are located at the surface of mammalian cells and recognize microbial ligands such as LPS, the Nod-like receptors (NLRs) are other important types of PRRs that detect bacterial molecules in the cytoplasm (Akira et al., 2006). NLRs are fundamental components of the signaling platforms known as inflammasomes. TLRs and NLRs are thought to activate distinct signaling pathways in response to *L. pneumophila* and promote diverse outcomes to defend the cell against infection (Speir et al., 2016). NLRs can activate inflammasomes after direct recognition of PAMPs/DAMPs or downstream of TLR signaling pathways (Motta et al., 2015). Upon activation, NLRs recruit apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD (ASC) and/or pro-caspase-1, which activate caspase-1

and promotes pyroptosis progress *via* the secretion of mature IL-1β/IL-18 and gasdermin D activation (Figure 3) (Motta et al., 2015). ROS and mROS released during infection serves as an important NLRP3-inflammasome activating signal, as they promote mitochondrial damage and liberation of mitochondrial DAMPs, which lead to macrophage pyroptosis (Weinberg et al., 2015). Thus, the presence of mitochondrial elements in the cytoplasm, such as mROS, oxidized mitochondrial DNA or the presence of cardiolipin from the mitochondrial inner membrane, is sensed as DAMPs and induce the inflammatory response via the NLRP3 inflammasome (Weinberg et al., 2015). Therefore, besides its potential role as antimicrobial toxic effector, mROS play an important role as signaling molecule as they are direct activators of the NLRP3 inflammasome to trigger pyroptosis (Figure 3) (Heid et al., 2013; Weinberg et al., 2015). L. pneumophila and other intracellular bacteria induce pyroptosis in macrophages through the NLRC4 inflammasome, by the direct recognition of bacterial PAMPs in the cytoplasm, such as flagellin (Byrne et al., 2013a; Ren et al., 2006). Interestingly, studies in Pseudomonas aerugunosa infected BMDMs showed that mitochondrial dysfunction is also essential for proper NLRC4 inflammasome activation, as inhibiting mROS or degrading intracellular mitochondrial DNA abrogated NLRC4 inflammasome activation (Jabir et al., 2015). Thus, to

as flagellin (Byrne et al., 2013a; Ren et al., 2006). Interestingly, studies in *Pseudomonas aerugunosa* infected BMDMs showed that mitochondrial dysfunction is also essential for proper NLRC4 inflammasome activation, as inhibiting mROS or degrading intracellular mitochondrial DNA abrogated NLRC4 inflammasome activation (Jabir et al., 2015). Thus, to avoid inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis, pathogens control mROS levels by sustaining optimal mitochondrial activity. Indeed, removal of damaged mitochondria by autophagy of mitochondria (mitophagy) significantly attenuated NLRC4 inflammasome activation (Jabir et al., 2015), indicating that *P. aeruginosa* activates mitophagy to selectively target dysfunctional mitochondria and avoid pyroptosis. Although a similar strategy was also observed for other intracellular bacteria, such as *L. monocytogenes* (Zhang et al., 2019), no bacterial effector specifically regulating mitophagy during infection has been identified. As commented before, *L. pneumophila* infection inhibited mROS and ROS in *vitro*, as well as dramatically suppressed mROS *in vivo* (Harada et al., 2007; Kajiwara et al., 2018). The consequences of *L. pneumophila*-induced regulation of mROS remain a mystery, however these actions over ROS and mROS could reflect a reduced mitochondrial damage during infection and might thus also contribute to inhibition of inflammasome activation.

Certain bacteria, such as *Salmonella enterica* or *E. coli*, influence the levels and activity of different ETC complexes during infection (Garaude et al., 2016). However, to date there are no studies on the function of ETC complexes during *L. pneumophila* infection and no effector directly targeting ETC complexes has been identified. The T4SS effector MitF secreted by

L. pneumophila induces fragmentation of mitochondrial networks, leading to a decrease in OXPHOS (Escoll et al., 2017b). As mitochondrial fission induces an alteration of the structure of mitochondria this should lead also to a reconfiguration of the ETC complexes during infection. Furthermore, L. pneumophila modulation of the mitochondrial dynamics might lead not only to decreased OXPHOS, but also to altered mROS levels (Figure 3). The activity of Ceg3 and LncP effectors on mitochondrial ATP/ADP fluxes might also impact mROS production (Dolezal et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2022; Kubori et al., 2022). Moreover, L. pneumophila maintains the $\Delta \psi_m$ in the infected macrophage cell, in the absence of OXPHOS, through the induction of the 'reverse mode' of the mitochondrial F₀F₁-ATPase (Escoll et al., 2021). Thus, Legionella-induced low OXPHOS might be sensed by the cell as adequate mitochondrial functioning as long as mitochondrial integrity and danger signals are controlled, which would be related to the maintenance of the $\Delta \psi_m$ (Escoll et al., 2021) or the inhibition of mROS production (Harada et al., 2007; Kajiwara et al., 2018). Therefore, modulation of mitochondrial OXPHOS levels, ATPase functioning and mROS production by L. pneumophila might have additional benefits than bioenergetics, as these regulations might be intimately linked to the regulation of cell-death in infected macrophages (Figure 3).

4.2 Modulation of autophagy at mitochondrial-associated ER contacts by L. pneumophila

Autophagy, a fundamental and evolutionary conserved eukaryotic process responsible for maintaining cellular homeostasis and controlling the quality and quantity of the organelles and the cytoplasmic biomass is a cell-autonomous immune response partially linked to mitochondria (Wang and Li, 2020). Double-membrane vesicles called "autophagosomes" engulf nonfunctional or damaged cellular components and deliver them to lysosomes for its degradation (Mizushima, 2011). However, the autophagic machinery enables also the detection and elimination of intracellular pathogens through lysosomal degradation, in a process known as xenophagy (Wang and Li, 2020). Bacterial engulfment by macrophages generally drives a pronounced autophagic response that targets the bacterial phagosome (xenophagy) as well as mitochondria damaged by infection (mitophagy). Mitophagy limits the production of mROS and mitochondrial DAMPs, thus inhibiting the activation of NLRP3 inflammasomes and pyroptosis (Galluzzi et al., 2017; Marchi et al., 2022). Autophagy is, therefore, a cell-autonomous immune process fighting bacterial infection. It is essential to restrict intracellular growth of many bacteria, contributes to antibacterial immunity and also coordinates the inflammatory immune response of the macrophage. For example, for

599 *L. pneumophila* it has been shown that early decisions in the infected macrophage cell determine whether autophagy or pyroptosis are triggered (Byrne et al., 2013b).

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

Intracellular pathogens subvert the host autophagy machinery to block xenophagy as it is allowing host cells to resist infection (Escoll et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2022). However, pathogens might also exploit other forms of autophagy, such as mitophagy, to remove damaged mitochondria, and thus reduce host cell death to maintain their replicative niche (Jabir et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, autophagy and pyroptosis seem to have different possible outputs in single bacterial-infected macrophages, as autophagy inhibits inflammasome activation in macrophages and *vice versa* during *L. pneumophila* infection, suggesting a model where pyroptosis is triggered if the autophagic capacity of macrophages to remove bacteria is exceeded (Byrne et al., 2013b). *L. pneumophila* possesses different effectors modulating the autophagic machinery, such as

RavZ, LegA9, LpSpl, Lpg1137/Ceg20 or Lpg2411 (Abu Khweek et al., 2016a; Arasaki et al., 2017; Choy et al., 2012; Rolando et al., 2016), each having a distinct role in modulating autophagy. Interestingly, two of them LpSpl and Lpg1137, hamper autophagosome formation presumably by targeting the ER-mitochondria communication at MAMs, which are specific membranous microdomains at the ER-mitochondria interface. MAMs are inter-organellar sites of coordination, representing key hubs where many cellular processes are regulated (Perrone et al., 2020). MAMs participate in the coordination of autophagosome formation, lipid synthesis and trafficking, calcium homeostasis, mitochondrial morphology, inflammasome activation and apoptosis (Missiroli et al., 2018; Vance, 2014). Therefore, MAMs are attractive targets for intracellular pathogens and their effectors to shut down MAM communication which has pleiotropic effects on the host cell (Escoll et al., 2017a; Missiroli et al., 2018). One example is LpSpl, a L. pneumophila T4SS secreted effector detected at ER and mitochondrial membranes (Degtyar et al., 2009; Rolando et al., 2016), indicating that LpSpl is probably localized at MAMs, where it modulates sphingolipid levels thereby limiting autophagosome formation and counteracting the antibacterial autophagy response of the infected host cell (Rolando et al., 2016). Additionally, as discussed above, LpSpl is involved in the regulation of mitochondrial dynamics (Abu Khweek et al., 2016a), consistent with the fact that mitochondrial fission also takes place at MAMs (Friedman et al., 2011). Another L. pneumophila effector, Lpg1137 (Ceg20), accumulates at MAMs to promote the degradation of the scaffold protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) and disrupts ERmitochondria communication, ultimately inhibiting autophagy to support pathogen survival

632 (Arasaki et al., 2017). These results suggests that although *L. pneumophila* possesses 633 different effectors participating in the modulation of the fine balance of autophagy levels, 634 hijacking MAM communications might be a key target of *L. pneumophila*, allowing it 635 subvert the intricate autophagy process of the host cell to survive and preserve its niche to

636 proliferate.

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

4.3 Modulation of the mitochondrial-apoptotic pathway during L. pneumophila

infection

Programmed cell death through apoptosis is also critical for the response of immune system cells to L. pneumophila infection. Apoptosis is a well-orchestrated suicide program that culminates in a non-inflammatory dismantling of the cell (Bertheloot et al., 2021; Obeng, 2021). It can be considered as a "silent" way of reducing the bacterial load by removing their replicative niche and triggering bacterial clearance in a cell autonomous manner (Youle and Strasser, 2008). Apoptosis can be triggered either by an intrinsic or an extrinsic pathway (Lossi, 2022; Obeng, 2021). Although both converge in the activation of the executers, caspase-3 and -7, they function differently. The extrinsic pathway transmits external apoptotic stimuli to the cell death machinery though the stimulation of death receptors, such as TNFRs, FasR or TRAILRs (Lossi, 2022). The intrinsic pathway is initialized in the mitochondria and its activation depends on an intricate balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic factors of the Bcl-2 protein family that control the activity of the sentinel cell death regulators, Bax, and Bak (Edlich, 2018). When pro-apoptotic factors overcome the inhibitory effects of the anti-apoptotic ones, Bax and Bak oligomerize in the mitochondrial outer membrane and contribute to the mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and release of cytochrome C, which nucleates apoptosome formation. The apoptosome leads to the cleavage and activation of caspases-3 and -7, initiating the organized dismantling of the cell (Peña-Blanco and García-Sáez, 2018). Thus, apoptotic, programmed cell death might play two defensive roles during infection. One eliminates pathogens at the early stage of infection without emitting alarm signals, the other one induces dendritic cells (DCs) to engulf apoptotic bodies containing infected microbes, which allows extracellular antigens to access MHC-II molecules and subsequently induces a protective immune response (Elliott and Ravichandran, 2010).

As *L. pneumophila* is an intracellular pathogen that reside within macrophages, the apoptotic pathway can be considered a double-edged sword, that can be detrimental or beneficial depending on the course of the infection. At early stages of infection, *L. pneumophila* inhibits

host cell death and promotes survival pathways to maintain its replicative niche (Behar et al., 2010; Lamkanfi and Dixit, 2010). However, at later phases of infection, the activation of the apoptotic pathway allows L. pneumophila to efficiently exit the host cell and spread to the neighbouring cells. Thus, L. pneumophila has evolved sophisticated strategies to subvert the host cell death machinery and, as mitochondria play a central role in the induction of cell death pathways, several T4SS effectors target mitochondrial functions. This is particularly intriguing as L. pneumophila evolved with amoeba, its natural host, and there is no evidence for the presence of apoptotic programmed cell death or caspases in amoebae, although caspase-like proteins (metacaspases or paracaspases) have been discovered (Gao and Kwaik, 2000). Interestingly, L. pneumophila-infected amoeba undergo necrosis mediated by poreforming activity (Gao and Kwaik, 2000), but apoptotic programmed cell death was not reported to be triggered by L. pneumophila infection in amoeba (Gao and Kwaik, 2000; Hägele et al., 1998). How L. pneumophila evolved mechanisms to hijack the apoptotic pathway of macrophages is thus still an enigma. In macrophages L. pnemophila actively delays apoptosis to complete its life cycle, as macrophages show increasing apoptotic phenotypes, such as nuclear condensation and phosphatidylserine exposure, which are caspase-3 dependent, only at the late stages of infection (Abu-Zant et al., 2007a; Gao and Abu Kwaik, 1999) (Figure 4). During the early stage, approximately until 18h post-infection, L. pneumophila-infected hMDMs exhibit a remarkable, T4SS-dependent resistance to the induction of apoptosis (Abu-Zant et al., 2007a, 2005). Paradoxically, in a wide variety of host cells such as human and murine macrophage or monocyte cell lines L. pneumophila infection triggers activation of the executioner caspase-3 at early stages, also in a T4SS-dependent manner, and this activation is even more robust during exponential bacterial replication (Abu-Zant et al., 2005; Molmeret et al., 2004). How L. pneumophila evades apoptosis, since L. pneumophila can trigger caspase3 activation during macrophage invasion without inducing immediate cell death, remains unresolved. This might due to a pro/anti-apoptotic balance moving towards cell survival, as a loss of antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members, BCL-XL and MCL-1, induces cell death of infected macrophages and promotes L. pneumophila clearance in lungs (Speir et al., 2016). Several T4SS effectors are involved in triggering sustained NF-κB signalling. Early post-infection, L. pneumophila induces the transcriptional upregulation of several anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family members via the NFkB transcription factor in a T4SS-dependent manner. In agreement, pharmacological inhibition of NFkB induces apoptosis and impairs

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

698 *L. pneumophila* growth (Abu-Zant et al., 2007b). This strong anti-apoptotic signaling cascade 699 seems to render infected cells refractory to potent, external apoptotic stimuli and might 700 compensate the activation of Caspase-3 and other pro-apoptotic factors such as BNIP3 that 701 are activated during *L. pneumophila* infection, (Abu-Zant et al., 2007a; Losick and Isberg, 702 2006).

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

L. pneumophila relies also on additional mechanisms to sustain macrophage viability (Figure 4). The L. pneumophila effector SidF binds and inhibits BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo, two proapoptotic members of the BCL-2 family, which are primarily located in the outer membrane of mitochondria (Banga et al., 2007). Both BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo are putative pro-apoptotic proteins and their activity can be blocked by the anti-apoptotic protein BCL-2 (Kataoka et al., 2001; Zhang and Ney, 2009). Moreover, BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo can target mitochondria directly and induce cell death in a BCL-2-independent manner by interacting with ANT, the ADP/ATP carrier of mitochondrial membranes, to induce loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and caspase activation (Kim et al., 2012). Consistent with this, loss of SidF was reported to result in increased apoptotic cell death of L. pneumophila infected macrophages. This finding raised the possibility that BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo are key host factors controlled by L. pneumophila to regulate host cell death (Banga et al., 2007). However, a more recent study using single-cell analysis of L. pneumophila-infected macrophages demonstrated that L. pneumophila can replicate and induce normal macrophage killing rates in the absence of SidF, as well as BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo. In line with this, the inhibition of SidF, BNIP3, or Bcl-rambo did not affect L. pneumophila lung infections in mice (Speir et al., 2017). These results suggest that L. pneumophila can evade apoptosis independently of SidF and highlights that host cell death signalling following L. pneumophila infection might depend on the expression levels of different cell death components in the infected cell at the single-cell level. However, a recent study reported that different clinical isolates of L. pneumophila Sg1 induce diverse levels of caspase-3 activation and cell death, which highlights that host cell dependents also on specific bacterial determinants death susceptibility to infection (Guillemot et al., 2022).

Indeed, additional effectors have been reported to be involved in the regulation of mitochondrial-derived apoptosis. SdhA was identified through a genetic screen of *L. pneumophila* mutants with intracellular growth defects. This protein shows no recognizable domain, is constitutively expressed, and is translocated into the host cell by the T4SS throughout the intracellular growth cycle. SdhA has pleiotropic functions, such as

maintaining the integrity of the LCV or inhibiting host pyroptosis and it is thought to be involved in protecting *L. pneumophila* against stress conditions. Infection of macrophages with *sdhA*-deficient *L. pneumophila* results in mitochondrial disruption, membrane permeability, caspase-3 activation, and caspase-1- and caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis, suggesting that SdhA likely suppresses mitochondrial- as well as non-mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis (Laguna et al., 2006).

Host ATP/ADP carriers, such as ANTs, are thought to play a critical role in apoptosis by their ability to compromise the bioenergetics of mitochondria, resulting in Cytochrome C release and apoptosome formation (Zhivotovsky et al., 2009). Indeed, ANTs are essential components of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP) and its formation triggers cell death by caspase-dependent or -independent mechanisms (Belzacq et al., 2002; Kinnally et al., 2011) (**Figure 5**). Therefore, as the T4SS effector SidF binds and inhibit BNIP3-ANT interaction, *L. pneumophila* might reduce apoptosis also by blocking mPTP opening. Additional inhibition of host ANT by Ceg3-mediated mono-ADP-ribosylation could also participate in blocking mPTP opening (**Figure 5**).

The effector LncP might be critical in this process, as it is an ATP/ADP carrier targeted to the inner mitochondrial membrane (Dolezal et al., 2012). Although loss of LncP does not abrogate intracellular *L. pneumophila* growth, and it does not complement yeast mutants lacking endogenous carrier proteins, overexpression of LncP is toxic for yeast grown in nutrient-limiting media. Interestingly, the yeast mutant lacking the carrier protein Aac was resistant to this LncP-induced inhibition of cell viability as both transport ATP, and most likely the combined export of ATP from the matrix by LncP and Aac1 leads to a growth defect (Dolezal et al., 2012). Thus, it is possible that LncP induces cell death on its own under certain conditions (**Figure 5**), particularly in conditions of low ATP/ADP transport. This is particularly interesting, as the effectors Ceg3 and Lpg0081 specifically modulate endogenous ATP/ADP carrier activity during infection (Fu et al., 2022; Kubori et al., 2022). Further studies are needed to address the role of LncP and its possible coordination with Ceg3-mediated inhibition of endogenous ATP/ADP carriers in the induction of apoptosis.

Besides macrophages, *L. pneumophila* can also infect other immune cell types, such as dendritic cells (DCs). However, murine DCs are poor hosts for as they are more sensitive to *L. pneumophila*-induced cell death (Nogueira et al., 2009). Compared to macrophages infected at the same conditions, apoptotic cell death occurs much earlier and is induced by the caspase-3 mediated canonical intrinsic pathway in DCs infected with *L. pneumophila*.

Although activation of caspase-3 does not trigger immediate apoptosis or disrupts bacterial replication in DCs, L. pneumophila-induced cell death of DCs is completely abrogated in the absence of caspase-3 or Bax/Bak and is also reduced upon expression of the anti-apoptotic protein BCL-2. Furthermore, different to macrophages, caspase-3 and, particularly, Bax/Bakdeficient DCs, can sustain L. pneumophila growth over several days, demonstrating that mitochondrial-dependent apoptosis is the primary mechanism of cell death of DCs caused by L. pneumophila infection (Nogueira et al., 2009). This suggest that unlike L. pneumophilainfected macrophages, murine DCs fail to upregulate anti-apoptotic factors (Abu-Zant et al., 2007b). Why DCs undergo rapid apoptosis upon L. pneumophila infection is still a matter of debate. It is hypothesized that this response may be designed to interfere with the migration of infected DCs through the lymphatic system, to prevent DCs from serving as "Trojan Horses" transporting pathogenic microbes from peripheral sites to central organs (Nogueira et al., 2009). However, it is also possible that L. pneumophila actively induces apoptosis in DCs to prevent their maturation and, hence, activation of adaptive immune responses in the lymphatic system and therefore avoids antigen processing and presentation, DC migration to lymph nodes and subsequent T-cell co-stimulation (Mellman and Steinman, 2001).

L. pneumophila can still induce cell death normally in the absence of a functional mitochondrial permeability transition pore complex (Speir et al., 2017), which confirms that at late stages, macrophage cell death includes signals operating independently of the intrinsic mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. In line with this, a strong correlation between caspase-3 dependent apoptosis and TNF- α levels in infected macrophages was observed, despite the variability seen among the L. pneumophila isolates (Guillemot et al., 2022). Interestingly, inhibiting TNF- α by using anti-TNF- α antibodies dramatically reduces apoptosis of the different isolates, highlighting the major role of the extrinsic-apoptotic pathway in promoting caspase-3-mediated cell death during L. pneumophila infection and the involvement of TNF- α , which is a cytokine produced by macrophages themselves during infection.

At least five effectors have been identified that induce caspase-3 activation when expressed in HEK293 cell line, VipD, *Lp*Spl/LegS2, Lem12, Ceg18 and Lpg0716 (Zhu et al., 2013) (**Figure 4**). At least three of them, (*Lp*Spl/LegS2, Lem12 and Ceg18) are targeted to mitochondria and a fourth, VipD, a phospholipase which hydrolyses phosphoethanolamine and phosphocholine from the mitochondrial membrane, was shown to cause destabilization of the mitochondrial membrane and the release of Cytochrome C (Zhu et al., 2013). However, the exact roles of these effectors during infection and their influence in triggering apoptosis

remain elusive, as the co-deletion of these five effectors in L. pneumophila made DCs partially less sensitive to cell death but it did not impair caspase-3 activation in the U937 human macrophage cell line nor did they influence bacterial infection or intracellular replication in murine primary macrophages (Zhu et al., 2013). In contrast, LpSpl/LegS2 over expression on HEK293 cells rendered different results in two studies measuring cell death (Escoll et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2013). When early apoptosis was measured in single, transfected cells upon over expression of LpSpl (lpp2128), transfected HEK293 cells were more resistant to Staurosporin-induced cell death, in line with the role of LpSpl inducing the reverse mode of the ATPase to delay cell death (Escoll et al., 2021). Thus, LpSpl might protect cells from externally induced apoptosis. However, other study showed that over expression of LegS2 (lpg2176) in HEK293 cells increased Caspase-3 cleavage, a measure of late apoptosis (Zhu et al., 2013). As LpSpl/LegS2 encodes a S1P lyase, and S1P and ceramide compose a rheostat regulating autophagy and cell death (Bedia et al., 2011; Iessi et al., 2020), these results might indicate that overexpression of the bacterial effector in human cell lines dysregulates this rheostat and leads to cell death. Overexpression of the effector in transfection experiments most likely induces higher levels of the bacterial protein in the cytoplasm than those occurring during infection, which might strongly dysregulate S1P levels and hence the rheostat. Thus, as transfection may lack the fine tuning of LpSpl/LegS2 expression levels at mitochondria and the ER occurring during infection, excessive SPL activity and abnormal ceramide accumulation might increase cell death of transfected cells. Probably, those transfected cells that survived transfection as LpSpl is highly toxic for eukaryotic cells expressed the bacterial protein at lower levels. Measuring LpSpl effects specifically and uniquely in transfected cells by using quantitative microscopy might thus uncover phenotypes, such as protection from externally-induced apoptosis, that are otherwise hidden in bulk analyses of e.g. western blots of Caspase-3 cleavage. Further investigations are needed to understand the exact role of LpSpl/LegS2 on cell death during infection and how bacterial manipulation of sphingosine metabolism in the infected cell impacts the S1P/ceramide rheostat. Taken together, it seems that the infected cell defends itself by inducing cell death, while

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

Taken together, it seems that the infected cell defends itself by inducing cell death, while *L. pneumophila* delay it by the action of multiple T4SS factors. The bacterial-induced modulations intersect with several signalling hubs where host mitochondria play key roles, such as inflammasome-dependent pyroptosis and the intrinsic-mitochondrial-apoptosis pathway. These leads to an intricate network of signalling cross-talks within the infected cells

that complicates the study of mitochondrial-dependent cell death pathways during *L. pneumophila* infection. The application of single-cell approaches might help to dissect this complicated network of functional interactions regulating cell death pathways.

5. Conclusions

Since the very early times of research on *L. pneumophila*-host interactions, the relationship of the bacterium with host organelles, and specifically with mitochondria, has been studied. Early observations of mitochondrial recruitment to the bacterial vacuole, together with recent live imaging in single cells, have led to a model where *L. pneumophila*-mitochondria contacts are highly dynamic, probably unspecific, independent of T4SS effectors, and where a transfer of proteins between both structures might occur, probably repositioning the nature of mitochondria-phagosome contacts within the dynamics of vesicular trafficking. Although it has been suggested that these contacts serve different roles, such as the release of antimicrobial mROS or itaconate to the phagosome, further investigations are needed to understand the role of such dynamic contacts.

As mitochondria are the powerhouses of the cell, any changes in mitochondrial functions have an important impact in cellular bioenergetics. Indeed, L. pneumophila manipulates via its T4SS effectors OXPHOS functioning and ATP/ADP transport across mitochondrial membranes, the mitochondrial F_0F_1 -ATPase, the maintenance of $\Delta \psi_m$ and the delay of host cell death thus modifying mitochondrial functions seem to have cellular implications beyond metabolism. A model could be that reducing OXPHOS promotes a glycolytic metabolism, and as glycolysis is intimately linked to biosynthetic pathways, this metabolic switch might provide L. pneumophila with the amino acids and lipids for intracellular growth. OXPHOS reduction collapses the $\Delta \psi_m$ and promotes cell death of the infected cell, therefore the induction of the 'reverse mode' of the F₀F₁-ATPase by L. pneumophila at the expenses of glycolytic ATP, plays a role in maintaining the $\Delta \psi_m$ independently of OXPHOS. This also avoides the collapse of mitochondrial polarization and evading the early death of the infected cell. As mitochondria are signalling hubs in the cell and guardians of cellular homeostasis mitochondria might also be sensors of danger-associated metabolic modifications (DAMMs) triggered during infection (Dramé et al., 2020), and L. pneumophila and other intracellular bacteria hijack these mechanisms to avoid premature cell death of the infected macrophage cell.

The ability of cells to trigger cell-autonomous immune responses to infection seems intimately linked to mitochondrial functioning, thereby constituting a key component of intracellular homeostasis during infection (West et al., 2011b). Central molecules regulating cell-autonomous immune responses are mROS, which are generated at the mitochondrial ETC when the electron flux is altered, and which can trigger pyroptosis *via* inflammasome activation or be direct anti-microbials delivered to the bacterial phagosome (Herb and Schramm, 2021; Li and Chang, 2021). In this regard, the interplay between autophagy and pyroptosis during *Legionella* infection has elegantly shown the kind of fates an infected macrophage can undergo and *Legionella* might modulate (Byrne et al., 2013b). Indeed, *Legionella* modulates autophagy and pyroptosis *via* different T4SS effectors. MAMs, the membrane contacts between the ER and mitochondria where several of these processes such as the mitochondrial fission machinery, the formation of autophagosomes, the activation of the NLRP3-inflammasome, and the location of several apoptosis regulators, such as BCL-2 and BCL-XL are regulated, might be targeted by additional *L. pneumophila* effectors that need to be identified.

The investigation of the relationship between *L. pneumophila* and mitochondria has moved forward, however, several intriguing questions remain to be answered. Are *L. pneumophila*-mitochondria contacts providing an advantage to the pathogen? Why OXPHOS is regulated in a biphasic mode during *L. pneumophila* infection, with T4SS-independent and -dependent phases, and what is the impact of TLR signaling on these phases? Is the glycolytic switch beneficial for the pathogen? What is the role of the *L. pneumophila*-induced modulation of ATP/ADP transport in the regulation of OXPHOS and/or mitochondrial F₀F₁-ATPase functioning? Is *L. pneumophila* -induced regulation of mROS avoiding inflammasome activation and pyroptosis? How *L. pneumophila* T4SS effectors regulating caspase-dependent apoptosis evolved if the natural host, amoeba, lack caspases? Answering these and other questions will be a passionate journey to understand mitochondrial biology during *L. pneumophila* infection.

ACKNOLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge BBI Unit members for fruitful discussions. This research was funded by the Institut Pasteur, the Agence National de Recherche - ANR (grant number ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID to CB and ANR-21-CE15-0038-01 to PE), and the Fondation de la Recherché

- 892 Médicale -FRM (grant number EQU201903007847 to CB). Figures were created using
- 893 BioRender.

894 REFERENCES

- Abu Khweek, A., Kanneganti, A., C. Guttridge D, D., Amer, A.O., 2016a. The
- 896 Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Lyase (LegS2) Contributes to the Restriction of Legionella
- pneumophila in Murine Macrophages. PLOS ONE 11, e0146410.
- 898 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146410
- Abu Khweek, A., Kanneganti, A., C. Guttridge D, D., Amer, A.O., 2016b. The
- 900 Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Lyase (LegS2) Contributes to the Restriction of Legionella
- pneumophila in Murine Macrophages. PLOS ONE 11, e0146410.
- 902 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146410
- Abu Kwaik, Y., 1996. The phagosome containing Legionella pneumophila within the
- protozoan Hartmannella vermiformis is surrounded by the rough endoplasmic
- 905 reticulum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 2022–2028.
- 906 https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.6.2022-2028.1996
- Abuaita, B.H., Schultz, T.L., O'Riordan, M.X., 2018. Mitochondria-Derived Vesicles
- 908 Deliver Antimicrobial Reactive Oxygen Species to Control Phagosome-Localized
- 909 Staphylococcus aureus. Cell Host Microbe 24, 625-636.e5.
- 910 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.10.005
- Abu-Zant, A., Jones, S., Asare, R., Suttles, J., Price, C., Graham, J., Kwaik, Y.A.,
- 912 2007a. Anti-apoptotic signalling by the Dot/Icm secretion system of L. pneumophila.
- 913 Cell. Microbiol. 9, 246–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00785.x
- Abu-Zant, A., Jones, S., Asare, R., Suttles, J., Price, C., Graham, J., Kwaik, Y.A.,
- 915 2007b. Anti-apoptotic signalling by the Dot/Icm secretion system of L. pneumophila.
- 916 Cell. Microbiol. 9, 246–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00785.x
- Abu-Zant, A., Santic, M., Molmeret, M., Jones, S., Helbig, J., Abu Kwaik, Y., 2005.
- Incomplete activation of macrophage apoptosis during intracellular replication of
- 919 Legionella pneumophila. Infect. Immun. 73, 5339–5349.
- 920 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.9.5339-5349.2005
- Akamine, M., Higa, F., Arakaki, N., Kawakami, K., Takeda, K., Akira, S., Saito, A.,

- 922 2005. Differential Roles of Toll-Like Receptors 2 and 4 in In Vitro Responses of
- Macrophages to Legionella pneumophila. Infect. Immun. 73, 352–361.
- 924 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.1.352-361.2005
- Akira, S., Uematsu, S., Takeuchi, O., 2006. Pathogen recognition and innate
- 926 immunity. Cell 124, 783–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.015
- Amarante-Mendes, G.P., Adjemian, S., Branco, L.M., Zanetti, L.C., Weinlich, R.,
- 928 Bortoluci, K.R., 2018. Pattern Recognition Receptors and the Host Cell Death
- Molecular Machinery. Front. Immunol. 9.
- Antonucci, S., Di Lisa, F., Kaludercic, N., 2021. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen
- 931 species in physiology and disease. Cell Calcium 94, 102344.
- 932 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2020.102344
- Arasaki, K., Mikami, Y., Shames, S.R., Inoue, H., Wakana, Y., Tagaya, M., 2017.
- Legionella effector Lpg1137 shuts down ER-mitochondria communication through
- of syntaxin 17. Nat. Commun. 8, 15406.
- 936 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15406
- Atlante, A., Valenti, D., 2021. A Walk in the Memory, from the First Functional
- Approach up to Its Regulatory Role of Mitochondrial Bioenergetic Flow in Health
- and Disease: Focus on the Adenine Nucleotide Translocator. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22,
- 940 4164. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22084164
- Bacigalupe, R., Lindsay, D., Edwards, G., Fitzgerald, J.R., 2017. Population
- Genomics of Legionella longbeachae and Hidden Complexities of Infection Source
- 943 Attribution. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 23, 750–757. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2305.161165
- Banga, S., Gao, P., Shen, X., Fiscus, V., Zong, W.-X., Chen, L., Luo, Z.-Q., 2007.
- Legionella pneumophila inhibits macrophage apoptosis by targeting pro-death
- members of the Bcl2 protein family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 5121–5126.
- 947 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611030104
- Beauté, J., 2017. Legionnaires' disease in Europe, 2011 to 2015. Eurosurveillance 22,
- 949 30566. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.27.30566
- Bedia, C., Levade, T., Codogno, P., 2011. Regulation of autophagy by sphingolipids.
- 951 Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 11, 844–853.
- 952 https://doi.org/10.2174/187152011797655131

- Behar, S.M., Divangahi, M., Remold, H.G., 2010. Evasion of innate immunity by
 Mycobacterium tuberculosis: is death an exit strategy? Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 668–
 674. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2387
- Belzacq, A.-S., Vieira, H.L.A., Kroemer, G., Brenner, C., 2002. The adenine
 nucleotide translocator in apoptosis. Biochimie 84, 167–176.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-9084(02)01366-4
- Bertheloot, D., Latz, E., Franklin, B.S., 2021. Necroptosis, pyroptosis and apoptosis:
 an intricate game of cell death. Cell. Mol. Immunol. 18, 1106–1121.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-00630-3
- Blatt, S.P., Parkinson, M.D., Pace, E., Hoffman, P., Dolan, D., Lauderdale, P., Zajac,
 R.A., Melcher, G.P., 1993. Nosocomial Legionnaires' disease: aspiration as a primary
 mode of disease acquisition. Am. J. Med. 95, 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002 9343(93)90227-g
- Boamah, D.K., Zhou, G., Ensminger, A.W., O'Connor, T.J., 2017. From Many Hosts,
 One Accidental Pathogen: The Diverse Protozoan Hosts of Legionella. Front. Cell.
 Infect. Microbiol. 7, 477. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00477
- Brenner, D.J., Steigerwalt, A.G., McDade, J.E., 1979. Classification of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium: Legionella pneumophila, genus novum, species nova, of the family Legionellaceae, familia nova. Ann. Intern. Med. 90, 656–658. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-90-4-656
- Byrne, B.G., Dubuisson, J.-F., Joshi, A.D., Persson, J.J., Swanson, M.S., 2013a.
 Inflammasome Components Coordinate Autophagy and Pyroptosis as Macrophage
 Responses to Infection. mBio 4, e00620-12. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00620-12
- Byrne, B.G., Dubuisson, J.-F., Joshi, A.D., Persson, J.J., Swanson, M.S., 2013b.
 Inflammasome Components Coordinate Autophagy and Pyroptosis as Macrophage
 Responses to Infection. mBio 4, e00620-12. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00620-12
- Campanella, M., Parker, N., Tan, C.H., Hall, A.M., Duchen, M.R., 2009. IF(1): setting the pace of the F(1)F(o)-ATP synthase. Trends Biochem. Sci. 34, 343–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2009.03.006
- Casson, C., Shin, S., 2013. Inflammasome-mediated cell death in response to bacterial pathogens that access the host cell cytosol: lessons from legionella pneumophila.

- 984 Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 3.
- Chan, D.C., 2020. Mitochondrial Dynamics and Its Involvement in Disease. Annu.
- 986 Rev. Pathol. 15, 235–259. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-
- 987 032711
- Chan, D.C., 2012. Fusion and fission: interlinked processes critical for mitochondrial
- health. Annu. Rev. Genet. 46, 265–287. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-
- 990 110410-132529
- Choy, A., Dancourt, J., Mugo, B., O'Connor, T.J., Isberg, R.R., Melia, T.J., Roy,
- 992 C.R., 2012. The Legionella effector RavZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible
- 993 Atg8 deconjugation. Science 338, 1072–1076.
- 994 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227026
- Cogliati, S., Enriquez, J.A., Scorrano, L., 2016. Mitochondrial Cristae: Where Beauty
- 996 Meets Functionality. Trends Biochem. Sci., Special Issue: Mitochondria &
- 997 Metabolism 41, 261–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2016.01.001
- Cogliati, S., Frezza, C., Soriano, M.E., Varanita, T., Quintana-Cabrera, R., Corrado,
- M., Cipolat, S., Costa, V., Casarin, A., Gomes, L.C., Perales-Clemente, E., Salviati,
- L., Fernandez-Silva, P., Enriquez, J.A., Scorrano, L., 2013. Mitochondrial cristae
- shape determines respiratory chain supercomplexes assembly and respiratory
- efficiency. Cell 155, 160–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.032
- Cottet-Rousselle, C., Ronot, X., Leverve, X., Mayol, J.-F., 2011. Cytometric
- assessment of mitochondria using fluorescent probes. Cytom. Part J. Int. Soc. Anal.
- 1005 Cytol. 79, 405–425. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.21061
- Currie, S.L., Beattie, T.K., 2015. Compost and Legionella longbeachae: an emerging
- 1007 infection? Perspect. Public Health 135, 309–315.
- 1008 https://doi.org/10.1177/1757913915611162
- Degtyar, E., Zusman, T., Ehrlich, M., Segal, G., 2009. A Legionella effector acquired
- from protozoa is involved in sphingolipids metabolism and is targeted to the host cell
- 1011 mitochondria. Cell. Microbiol. 11, 1219–1235. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-
- 1012 5822.2009.01328.x
- Dennis, G., Sherman, B.T., Hosack, D.A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H.C., Lempicki,
- 1014 R.A., 2003. DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated

- Discovery. Genome Biol. 4, P3.
- Dolezal, P., Aili, M., Tong, J., Jiang, J.-H., Marobbio, C.M., Lee, S. fung, Schuelein,
- 1017 R., Belluzzo, S., Binova, E., Mousnier, A., Frankel, G., Giannuzzi, G., Palmieri, F.,
- Gabriel, K., Naderer, T., Hartland, E.L., Lithgow, T., 2012. Legionella pneumophila
- Secretes a Mitochondrial Carrier Protein during Infection. PLoS Pathog. 8, e1002459.
- 1020 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002459
- Dramé, M., Buchrieser, C., Escoll, P., 2020. Danger-associated metabolic
- modifications during bacterial infection of macrophages. Int. Immunol. 32, 475–483.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxaa035
- Edlich, F., 2018. BCL-2 proteins and apoptosis: Recent insights and unknowns.
- Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 500, 26–34.
- 1026 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.06.190
- Eisenreich, W., Heesemann, J., Rudel, T., Goebel, W., 2013. Metabolic host
- responses to infection by intracellular bacterial pathogens. Front. Cell. Infect.
- 1029 Microbiol. 3, 24. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00024
- Elliott, M.R., Ravichandran, K.S., 2010. Clearance of apoptotic cells: implications in
- 1031 health and disease. J. Cell Biol. 189, 1059–1070.
- 1032 https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201004096
- Ensminger, A.W., 2016. Legionella pneumophila, armed to the hilt: justifying the
- largest arsenal of effectors in the bacterial world. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 29, 74–80.
- 1035 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.002
- Escoll, P., Buchrieser, C., 2018. Metabolic reprogramming of host cells upon bacterial
- infection: Why shift to a *Warburg-like* metabolism? FEBS J. 285, 2146–2160.
- 1038 https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14446
- Escoll, P., Platon, L., Buchrieser, C., 2019. Roles of Mitochondrial Respiratory
- 1040 Complexes during Infection. Immunometabolism 1.
- 1041 https://doi.org/10.20900/immunometab20190011
- Escoll, P., Platon, L., Dramé, M., Sahr, T., Schmidt, S., Rusniok, C., Buchrieser, C.,
- 1043 2021. Reverting the mode of action of the mitochondrial FOF1-ATPase by Legionella
- pneumophila preserves its replication niche. eLife 10, e71978.
- 1045 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.71978

- Escoll, P., Rolando, M., Buchrieser, C., 2017a. MAMs are attractive targets for bacterial repurposing of the host cell. BioEssays 39, 1600171. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201600171
- Escoll, P., Rolando, M., Buchrieser, C., 2016. Modulation of Host Autophagy during
 Bacterial Infection: Sabotaging Host Munitions for Pathogen Nutrition. Front.
 Immunol. 7.
- Escoll, P., Rolando, M., Gomez-Valero, L., Buchrieser, C., 2013. From amoeba to macrophages: exploring the molecular mechanisms of Legionella pneumophila infection in both hosts. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 376, 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2013_351
- Escoll, P., Song, O.-R., Viana, F., Steiner, B., Lagache, T., Olivo-Marin, J.-C.,
 Impens, F., Brodin, P., Hilbi, H., Buchrieser, C., 2017b. Legionella pneumophila
 Modulates Mitochondrial Dynamics to Trigger Metabolic Repurposing of Infected
 Macrophages. Cell Host Microbe 22, 302-316.e7.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.020
- Escoll, P., Song, O.-R., Viana, F., Steiner, B., Lagache, T., Olivo-Marin, J.-C.,
 Impens, F., Brodin, P., Hilbi, H., Buchrieser, C., 2017c. Legionella pneumophila
 Modulates Mitochondrial Dynamics to Trigger Metabolic Repurposing of Infected
 Macrophages. Cell Host Microbe 22, 302-316.e7.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.020
- Fisher, A.B., 2009. Redox signaling across cell membranes. Antioxid. Redox Signal.
 11, 1349–1356. https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2008.2378
- Formosa, L.E., Ryan, M.T., 2018. Mitochondrial OXPHOS complex assembly lines.

 Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 511–513. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0098-z
- Francione, L., Smith, P.K., Accari, S.L., Taylor, P.E., Bokko, P.B., Bozzaro, S.,
 Beech, P.L., Fisher, P.R., 2009. Legionella pneumophila multiplication is enhanced
 by chronic AMPK signalling in mitochondrially diseased Dictyostelium cells. Dis.
 Model. Mech. 2, 479–489. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.003319
- Fraser, D.W., Tsai, T.R., Orenstein, W., Parkin, W.E., Beecham, H.J., Sharrar, R.G.,
 Harris, J., Mallison, G.F., Martin, S.M., McDade, J.E., Shepard, C.C., Brachman,
 P.S., 1977. Legionnaires' disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia. N. Engl.

- J. Med. 297, 1189–1197. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197712012972201
- Friedman, J.R., Lackner, L.L., West, M., DiBenedetto, J.R., Nunnari, J., Voeltz, G.K.,
- 1079 2011. ER tubules mark sites of mitochondrial division. Science 334, 358–362.
- 1080 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207385
- Fu, J., Zhou, M., Gritsenko, M.A., Nakayasu, E.S., Song, L., Luo, Z.-Q., 2022.
- Legionella pneumophila modulates host energy metabolism by ADP-ribosylation of
- 1083 ADP/ATP translocases. eLife 11, e73611. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73611
- Galluzzi, L., Buqué, A., Kepp, O., Zitvogel, L., Kroemer, G., 2017. Immunogenic cell
- death in cancer and infectious disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 17, 97–111.
- 1086 https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.107
- Gao, L.Y., Abu Kwaik, Y., 1999. Apoptosis in macrophages and alveolar epithelial
- 1088 cells during early stages of infection by Legionella pneumophila and its role in
- 1089 cytopathogenicity. Infect. Immun. 67, 862–870. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.67.2.862-
- 1090 870.1999
- Gao, L.Y., Kwaik, Y.A., 2000. The mechanism of killing and exiting the protozoan
- host Acanthamoeba polyphaga by Legionella pneumophila. Environ. Microbiol. 2,
- 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00076.x
- Garaude, J., Acín-Pérez, R., Martínez-Cano, S., Enamorado, M., Ugolini, M., Nistal-
- Villán, E., Hervás-Stubbs, S., Pelegrín, P., Sander, L.E., Enríquez, J.A., Sancho, D.,
- 1096 2016. Mitochondrial respiratory-chain adaptations in macrophages contribute to
- 1097 antibacterial host defense. Nat. Immunol. 17, 1037–1045.
- 1098 https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3509
- Ge, J., Shao, F., 2011. Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking and innate immune
- defence by Legionella Dot/Icm effectors. Cell. Microbiol. 13, 1870–1880.
- 1101 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2011.01710.x
- Geng, J., Sun, X., Wang, P., Zhang, S., Wang, X., Wu, H., Hong, L., Xie, C., Li, X.,
- Thao, H., Liu, Q., Jiang, M., Chen, Q., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Song, S., Wang, H.-R.,
- Zhou, R., Johnson, R.L., Chien, K.-Y., Lin, S.-C., Han, J., Avruch, J., Chen, L., Zhou,
- D., 2015. Kinases Mst1 and Mst2 positively regulate phagocytic induction of reactive
- oxygen species and bactericidal activity. Nat. Immunol. 16, 1142–1152.
- 1107 https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3268

- Giacomello, M., Pyakurel, A., Glytsou, C., Scorrano, L., 2020. The cell biology of mitochondrial membrane dynamics. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 204–224. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0210-7
- 1111 • Giorgi, C., Baldassari, F., Bononi, A., Bonora, M., De Marchi, E., Marchi, S., Missiroli, S., Patergnani, S., Rimessi, A., Suski, J.M., Wieckowski, M.R., Pinton, P., 1112 1113 2012. Mitochondrial Ca2+ and apoptosis. Cell Calcium 52, 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2012.02.008 1114
- Grigoryeva, L.S., Cianciotto, N.P., 2021. Human macrophages utilize a wide range of pathogen recognition receptors to recognize Legionella pneumophila, including Toll-Like Receptor 4 engaging Legionella lipopolysaccharide and the Toll-like Receptor 3 nucleic-acid sensor. PLoS Pathog. 17, e1009781.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009781
- Guillemot, J., Ginevra, C., Allam, C., Kay, E., Gilbert, C., Doublet, P., Jarraud, S.,
 Chapalain, A., 2022. TNF-α response in macrophages depends on clinical Legionella
 pneumophila isolates genotypes. Virulence 13, 160–173.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.2022861
- Hägele, S., Hacker, J., Brand, B.C., 1998. Legionella pneumophila kills human
 phagocytes but not protozoan host cells by inducing apoptotic cell death. FEMS
 Microbiol. Lett. 169, 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13298.x
- Hall, C.J., Boyle, R.H., Astin, J.W., Flores, M.V., Oehlers, S.H., Sanderson, L.E.,
 Ellett, F., Lieschke, G.J., Crosier, K.E., Crosier, P.S., 2013. Immunoresponsive Gene
 1 Augments Bactericidal Activity of Macrophage-Lineage Cells by Regulating β Oxidation-Dependent Mitochondrial ROS Production. Cell Metab. 18, 265–278.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2013.06.018
- Harada, T., Miyake, M., Imai, Y., 2007. Evasion of Legionella pneumophila from the
 Bactericidal System by Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in Macrophages. Microbiol.
 Immunol. 51, 1161–1170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2007.tb04011.x
- Heid, M.E., Keyel, P.A., Kamga, C., Shiva, S., Watkins, S.C., Salter, R.D., 2013.
 Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species induces NLRP3-dependent lysosomal damage
 and inflammasome activation. J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950 191, 5230–5238.
 https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1301490

- Herb, M., Schramm, M., 2021. Functions of ROS in Macrophages and Antimicrobial
 Immunity. Antioxidants 10, 313. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020313
- Hoffmann, C., Finsel, I., Otto, A., Pfaffinger, G., Rothmeier, E., Hecker, M., Becher,
 D., Hilbi, H., 2014a. Functional analysis of novel Rab GTPases identified in the
 proteome of purified *L egionella* -containing vacuoles from macrophages:
- Macrophage LCV proteome. Cell. Microbiol. n/a-n/a.
- 1145 https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12256
- Hoffmann, C., Finsel, I., Otto, A., Pfaffinger, G., Rothmeier, E., Hecker, M., Becher,
 D., Hilbi, H., 2014b. Functional analysis of novel Rab GTPases identified in the
- proteome of purified *L egionella* -containing vacuoles from macrophages:
- Macrophage LCV proteome. Cell. Microbiol. n/a-n/a.
- 1150 https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12256
- Horwitz, M.A., 1983. Formation of a novel phagosome by the Legionnaires' disease
- bacterium (Legionella pneumophila) in human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 158, 1319–
- 1153 1331. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.158.4.1319
- Hoshino, A., Wang, W., Wada, S., McDermott-Roe, C., Evans, C.S., Gosis, B.,
- Morley, M.P., Rathi, K.S., Li, J., Li, K., Yang, S., McManus, M.J., Bowman, C.,
- Potluri, P., Levin, M., Damrauer, S., Wallace, D.C., Holzbaur, E.L.F., Arany, Z.,
- 1157 2019. The ADP/ATP translocase drives mitophagy independent of nucleotide
- exchange. Nature 575, 375–379. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1667-4
- Iessi, E., Marconi, M., Manganelli, V., Sorice, M., Malorni, W., Garofalo, T.,
- Matarrese, P., 2020. On the role of sphingolipids in cell survival and death. Int. Rev.
- 1161 Cell Mol. Biol. 351, 149–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ircmb.2020.02.004
- Ikeda, M., Kihara, A., Igarashi, Y., 2004. Sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase SPL is an
- endoplasmic reticulum-resident, integral membrane protein with the pyridoxal 5'-
- phosphate binding domain exposed to the cytosol. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.
- 325, 338–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.10.036
- Jabir, M.S., Hopkins, L., Ritchie, N.D., Ullah, I., Bayes, H.K., Li, D., Tourlomousis,
- P., Lupton, A., Puleston, D., Simon, A.K., Bryant, C., Evans, T.J., 2015.
- 1168 Mitochondrial damage contributes to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* activation of the
- inflammasome and is downregulated by autophagy. Autophagy 11, 166–182.
- 1170 https://doi.org/10.4161/15548627.2014.981915

- Jain, P., Luo, Z.-Q., Blanke, S.R., 2011. Helicobacter pylori vacuolating cytotoxin A
 (VacA) engages the mitochondrial fission machinery to induce host cell death. Proc.
 Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 16032–16037. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1105175108
- Kajiwara, C., Kusaka, Y., Kimura, S., Yamaguchi, T., Nanjo, Y., Ishii, Y., Udono, H.,
 Standiford, T.J., Tateda, K., 2018. Metformin Mediates Protection against *Legionella* Pneumonia through Activation of AMPK and Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen
 Species. J. Immunol. 200, 623–631. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1700474
- Kataoka, T., Holler, N., Micheau, O., Martinon, F., Tinel, A., Hofmann, K., Tschopp,
 J., 2001. Bcl-rambo, a Novel Bcl-2 Homologue That Induces Apoptosis via Its
 Unique C-terminal Extension*. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 19548–19554.
 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M010520200
- Kelly, B., Tannahill, G.M., Murphy, M.P., O'Neill, L.A.J., 2015. Metformin Inhibits the Production of Reactive Oxygen Species from NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase to Limit Induction of Interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and Boosts Interleukin-10 (IL-10) in Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-activated Macrophages. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 20348–20359. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.662114
- Kim, J.-Y., So, K.-J., Lee, S., Park, J.-H., 2012. Bcl-rambo induces apoptosis via interaction with the adenine nucleotide translocator. FEBS Lett. 586, 3142–3149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2012.08.015
- Kinnally, K.W., Peixoto, P.M., Ryu, S.-Y., Dejean, L.M., 2011. Is mPTP the
 gatekeeper for necrosis, apoptosis, or both? Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1813, 616–622.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.09.013
- Klingenberg, M., 2008. The ADP and ATP transport in mitochondria and its carrier.
 Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1778, 1978–2021.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2008.04.011
- Kubori, T., Lee, J., Kim, H., Yamazaki, K., Nishikawa, M., Kitao, T., Oh, B.-H.,
 Nagai, H., 2022. Reversible modification of mitochondrial ADP/ATP translocases by
 paired Legionella effector proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 119, e2122872119.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122872119
- Lachmandas, E., Boutens, L., Ratter, J.M., Hijmans, A., Hooiveld, G.J., Joosten,
 L.A.B., Rodenburg, R.J., Fransen, J.A.M., Houtkooper, R.H., van Crevel, R., Netea,

- 1202 M.G., Stienstra, R., 2016. Microbial stimulation of different Toll-like receptor
- signalling pathways induces diverse metabolic programmes in human monocytes.
- Nat. Microbiol. 2, 16246. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.246
- Laguna, R.K., Creasey, E.A., Li, Z., Valtz, N., Isberg, R.R., 2006. A Legionella
- 1206 pneumophila -translocated substrate that is required for growth within macrophages
- and protection from host cell death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103, 18745–18750.
- 1208 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609012103
- Lamkanfi, M., Dixit, V.M., 2010. Manipulation of host cell death pathways during
- microbial infections. Cell Host Microbe 8, 44–54.
- 1211 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2010.06.007
- Li, P., Chang, M., 2021. Roles of PRR-Mediated Signaling Pathways in the
- Regulation of Oxidative Stress and Inflammatory Diseases. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 7688.
- 1214 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22147688
- Lill, R., Freibert, S.-A., 2020. Mechanisms of Mitochondrial Iron-Sulfur Protein
- Biogenesis. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 89, 471–499. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-
- 1217 biochem-013118-111540
- Lockwood, D.C., Amin, H., Costa, T.R.D., Schroeder, G.N., 2022. The Legionella
- pneumophila Dot/Icm type IV secretion system and its effectors. Microbiol. Read.
- Engl. 168. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.001187
- Losick, V.P., Isberg, R.R., 2006. NF-kappaB translocation prevents host cell death
- after low-dose challenge by Legionella pneumophila. J. Exp. Med. 203, 2177–2189.
- 1223 https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20060766
- Lossi, L., 2022. The concept of intrinsic versus extrinsic apoptosis. Biochem. J. 479,
- 1225 357–384. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20210854
- Lu, Y.-W., Acoba, M.G., Selvaraju, K., Huang, T.-C., Nirujogi, R.S., Sathe, G.,
- Pandey, A., Claypool, S.M., 2017. Human adenine nucleotide translocases physically
- and functionally interact with respirasomes. Mol. Biol. Cell 28, 1489–1506.
- 1229 https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e17-03-0195
- Lum, M., Morona, R., 2014. Dynamin-related protein Drp1 and mitochondria are
- important for Shigella flexneri infection. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. IJMM 304, 530–541.
- 1232 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2014.03.006

- Marchi, S., Morroni, G., Pinton, P., Galluzzi, L., 2022. Control of host mitochondria
 by bacterial pathogens. Trends Microbiol. 30, 452–465.
- 1235 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.09.010
- Matsumoto, A., Bessho, H., Uehira, K., Suda, T., 1991. Morphological studies of the
- association of mitochondria with chlamydial inclusions and the fusion of chlamydial
- inclusions. J. Electron Microsc. (Tokyo) 40, 356–363.
- McDade, J.E., Shepard, C.C., Fraser, D.W., Tsai, T.R., Redus, M.A., Dowdle, W.R.,
- 1240 1977. Legionnaires' disease: isolation of a bacterium and demonstration of its role in
- other respiratory disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 297, 1197–1203.
- 1242 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197712012972202
- Melber, A., Haynes, C.M., 2018. UPRmt regulation and output: a stress response
- mediated by mitochondrial-nuclear communication. Cell Res. 28, 281–295.
- 1245 https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2018.16
- Mellman, I., Steinman, R.M., 2001. Dendritic cells: specialized and regulated antigen
- 1247 processing machines. Cell 106, 255–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-
- 1248 8674(01)00449-4
- Mills, E.L., Kelly, B., Logan, A., Costa, A.S.H., Varma, M., Bryant, C.E.,
- Tourlomousis, P., Däbritz, J.H.M., Gottlieb, E., Latorre, I., Corr, S.C., McManus, G.,
- Ryan, D., Jacobs, H.T., Szibor, M., Xavier, R.J., Braun, T., Frezza, C., Murphy, M.P.,
- O'Neill, L.A., 2016. Repurposing mitochondria from ATP production to ROS
- generation drives a pro-inflammatory phenotype in macrophages that depends on
- succinate oxidation by complex II. Cell 167, 457-470.e13.
- 1255 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.064
- Missiroli, S., Patergnani, S., Caroccia, N., Pedriali, G., Perrone, M., Previati, M.,
- Wieckowski, M.R., Giorgi, C., 2018. Mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs)
- and inflammation. Cell Death Dis. 9, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-017-0027-
- 1259 2
- Mizushima, N., 2011. Autophagy in protein and organelle turnover. Cold Spring
- Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 76, 397–402. https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2011.76.011023
- Molmeret, M., Zink, S.D., Han, L., Abu-Zant, A., Asari, R., Bitar, D.M., Abu Kwaik,
- 1263 Y., 2004. Activation of caspase-3 by the Dot/Icm virulence system is essential for

- arrested biogenesis of the Legionella-containing phagosome. Cell. Microbiol. 6, 33–48. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-5822.2003.00335.x
- Mondino, S., Schmidt, S., Rolando, M., Escoll, P., Gomez-Valero, L., Buchrieser, C.,
 2020a. Legionnaires' Disease: State of the Art Knowledge of Pathogenesis
 Mechanisms of *Legionella*. Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis. 15, 439–466.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032742
- Mondino, S., Schmidt, S., Rolando, M., Escoll, P., Gomez-Valero, L., Buchrieser, C.,
 2020b. Legionnaires' Disease: State of the Art Knowledge of Pathogenesis
 Mechanisms of *Legionella*. Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis. 15, 439–466.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032742
- Moore, A.S., Wong, Y.C., Simpson, C.L., Holzbaur, E.L.F., 2016. Dynamic actin cycling through mitochondrial subpopulations locally regulates the fission–fusion balance within mitochondrial networks. Nat. Commun. 7, 12886. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12886
- Motta, V., Soares, F., Sun, T., Philpott, D.J., 2015. NOD-like receptors: versatile
 cytosolic sentinels. Physiol. Rev. 95, 149–178.
 https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00009.2014
- Murugina, N.E., Budikhina, A.S., Dagil, Y.A., Maximchik, P.V., Balyasova, L.S., 1281 1282 Murugin, V.V., Melnikov, M.V., Sharova, V.S., Nikolaeva, A.M., Chkadua, G.Z., 1283 Pinegin, B.V., Pashenkov, M.V., 2020. Glycolytic reprogramming of macrophages 1284 activated by NOD1 and TLR4 agonists: No association with proinflammatory Biol. 1285 cvtokine production in normoxia. J. Chem. 295. 3099-3114. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.010589 1286
- Naujoks, J., Tabeling, C., Dill, B.D., Hoffmann, C., Brown, A.S., Kunze, M., Kempa,
 S., Peter, A., Mollenkopf, H.-J., Dorhoi, A., Kershaw, O., Gruber, A.D., Sander, L.E.,
 Witzenrath, M., Herold, S., Nerlich, A., Hocke, A.C., van Driel, I., Suttorp, N.,
 Bedoui, S., Hilbi, H., Trost, M., Opitz, B., 2016. IFNs Modify the Proteome of
 Legionella-Containing Vacuoles and Restrict Infection Via IRG1-Derived Itaconic
 Acid. PLOS Pathog. 12, e1005408. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005408
- Newsome, A.L., Baker, R.L., Miller, R.D., Arnold, R.R., 1985. Interactions between
 Naegleria fowleri and Legionella pneumophila. Infect. Immun. 50, 449–452.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.50.2.449-452.1985

- Nogueira, C.V., Lindsten, T., Jamieson, A.M., Case, C.L., Shin, S., Thompson, C.B.,
 Roy, C.R., 2009. Rapid Pathogen-Induced Apoptosis: A Mechanism Used by
 Dendritic Cells to Limit Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila. PLoS
 Pathog. 5, e1000478. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000478
- Nolfi-Donegan, D., Braganza, A., Shiva, S., 2020. Mitochondrial electron transport
 chain: Oxidative phosphorylation, oxidant production, and methods of measurement.
 Redox Biol. 37, 101674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2020.101674
- Obeng, E., 2021a. Apoptosis (programmed cell death) and its signals A review.
 Braz. J. Biol. Rev. Brasleira Biol. 81, 1133–1143. https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.228437
- Obeng, E., 2021b. Apoptosis (programmed cell death) and its signals A review.
 Braz. J. Biol. Rev. Brasleira Biol. 81, 1133–1143. https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.228437
- Otto, G.P., Wu, M.Y., Clarke, M., Lu, H., Anderson, O.R., Hilbi, H., Shuman, H.A.,
 Kessin, R.H., 2004. Macroautophagy is dispensable for intracellular replication of
 Legionella pneumophila in Dictyostelium discoideum. Mol. Microbiol. 51, 63–72.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03826.x
- Peña-Blanco, A., García-Sáez, A.J., 2018. Bax, Bak and beyond mitochondrial performance in apoptosis. FEBS J. 285, 416–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14186
- Perrone, M., Caroccia, N., Genovese, I., Missiroli, S., Modesti, L., Pedriali, G.,
 Vezzani, B., Vitto, V.A.M., Antenori, M., Lebiedzinska-Arciszewska, M.,
 Wieckowski, M.R., Giorgi, C., Pinton, P., 2020. The role of mitochondria-associated
 membranes in cellular homeostasis and diseases. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 350, 119–
 196. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ircmb.2019.11.002
- Ramírez-Camacho, I., Flores-Herrera, O., Zazueta, C., 2019. The relevance of the supramolecular arrangements of the respiratory chain complexes in human diseases and aging. Mitochondrion 47, 266–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mito.2019.01.001
- Ren, T., Zamboni, D.S., Roy, C.R., Dietrich, W.F., Vance, R.E., 2006. Flagellin-1324 Deficient Legionella Mutants Evade Caspase-1- and Naip5-Mediated Macrophage 1325 Immunity. PLOS Pathog. 2, e18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0020018
- Rolando, M., Escoll, P., Nora, T., Botti, J., Boitez, V., Bedia, C., Daniels, C.,

- Abraham, G., Stogios, P.J., Skarina, T., Christophe, C., Dervins-Ravault, D., Cazalet,
- 1328 C., Hilbi, H., Rupasinghe, T.W.T., Tull, D., McConville, M.J., Ong, S.Y., Hartland,
- E.L., Codogno, P., Levade, T., Naderer, T., Savchenko, A., Buchrieser, C., 2016.
- Legionella pneumophila S1P-lyase targets host sphingolipid metabolism and restrains
- 1331 autophagy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 1901–1906.
- 1332 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522067113
- Rothmeier, E., Pfaffinger, G., Hoffmann, C., Harrison, C.F., Grabmayr, H., Repnik,
- U., Hannemann, M., Wölke, S., Bausch, A., Griffiths, G., Müller-Taubenberger, A.,
- 1335 Itzen, A., Hilbi, H., 2013. Activation of Ran GTPase by a Legionella Effector
- Promotes Microtubule Polymerization, Pathogen Vacuole Motility and Infection.
- 1337 PLOS Pathog. 9, e1003598. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003598
- Rowbotham, T.J., 1980. Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of Legionella
- pneumophila for freshwater and soil amoebae. J. Clin. Pathol. 33, 1179–1183.
- 1340 https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.33.12.1179
- Sadhukhan, S., Sarkar, K., Taylor, M., Candotti, F., Vyas, Y.M., 2014. Nuclear Role
- of WASp in Gene Transcription Is Uncoupled from Its ARP2/3-Dependent
- 1343 Cytoplasmic Role in Actin Polymerization. J. Immunol. 193, 150–160.
- 1344 https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1302923
- Schmölders, J., Manske, C., Otto, A., Hoffmann, C., Steiner, B., Welin, A., Becher,
- D., Hilbi, H., 2017. Comparative Proteomics of Purified Pathogen Vacuoles
- 1347 Correlates Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila with the Small
- GTPase Ras-related protein 1 (Rap1). Mol. Cell. Proteomics 16, 622-641.
- 1349 https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.063453
- Schulz, H., 2013. Fatty Acid Oxidation, in: Lennarz, W.J., Lane, M.D. (Eds.),
- 1351 Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry (Second Edition). Academic Press, Waltham,
- pp. 281–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-378630-2.00071-2
- Simon, S., Wagner, M.A., Rothmeier, E., Müller-Taubenberger, A., Hilbi, H., 2014.
- 1354 Icm/Dot-dependent inhibition of phagocyte migration by Legionella is antagonized by
- a translocated Ran GTPase activator. Cell. Microbiol. 16, 977–992.
- 1356 https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12258
- Sirianni, A., Krokowski, S., Lobato-Márquez, D., Buranyi, S., Pfanzelter, J., Galea,

- D., Willis, A., Culley, S., Henriques, R., Larrouy-Maumus, G., Hollinshead, M.,
- Sancho-Shimizu, V., Way, M., Mostowy, S., 2016. Mitochondria mediate septin cage
- assembly to promote autophagy of Shigella. EMBO Rep. 17, 1029–1043.
- https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201541832
- Sorouri, M., Chang, T., Hancks, D.C., 2022. Mitochondria and Viral Infection:
- 1363 Advances and Emerging Battlefronts. mBio e0209621.
- 1364 https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02096-21
- Speir, M., Lawlor, K.E., Glaser, S.P., Abraham, G., Chow, S., Vogrin, A., Schulze,
- 1366 K.E., Schuelein, R., O'Reilly, L.A., Mason, K., Hartland, E.L., Lithgow, T., Strasser,
- 1367 A., Lessene, G., Huang, D.C.S., Vince, J.E., Naderer, T., 2016. Eliminating
- Legionella by inhibiting BCL-XL to induce macrophage apoptosis. Nat. Microbiol. 1,
- 1369 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.34
- Speir, M., Vince, J.E., Naderer, T., 2014. Programmed cell death in Legionella
- infection. Future Microbiol. 9, 107–118. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.13.139
- Speir, M., Vogrin, A., Seidi, A., Abraham, G., Hunot, S., Han, Q., Dorn, G.W.,
- Masters, S.L., Flavell, R.A., Vince, J.E., Naderer, T., 2017. Legionella pneumophila
- Strain 130b Evades Macrophage Cell Death Independent of the Effector SidF in the
- 1375 Absence of Flagellin. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7.
- 1376 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00035
- Spinelli, J.B., Haigis, M.C., 2018. The Multifaceted Contributions of Mitochondria to
- 1378 Cellular Metabolism. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 745–754. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-
- 1379 018-0124-1
- Sugiura, A., McLelland, G.-L., Fon, E.A., McBride, H.M., 2014. A new pathway for
- mitochondrial quality control: mitochondrial-derived vesicles. EMBO J. 33, 2142–
- 1382 2156. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201488104
- Sun, E.W., Wagner, M.L., Maize, A., Kemler, D., Garland-Kuntz, E., Xu, L., Luo, Z.-
- Q., Hollenbeck, P.J., 2013. Legionella pneumophila Infection of Drosophila S2 Cells
- Induces Only Minor Changes in Mitochondrial Dynamics. PLoS ONE 8, e62972.
- 1386 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062972
- Supek, F., Bošnjak, M., Škunca, N., Šmuc, T., 2011. REVIGO summarizes and
- visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. PloS One 6, e21800.

- 1389 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021800
- Swart, A.L., Steiner, B., Gomez-Valero, L., Schütz, S., Hannemann, M., Janning, P.,
- 1391 Irminger, M., Rothmeier, E., Buchrieser, C., Itzen, A., Panse, V.G., Hilbi, H., 2020.
- Divergent Evolution of Legionella RCC1 Repeat Effectors Defines the Range of Ran
- GTPase Cycle Targets. mBio 11, e00405-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00405-20
- Tiku, V., Tan, M.-W., Dikic, I., 2020. Mitochondrial Functions in Infection and
- 1395 Immunity. Trends Cell Biol. 30, 263–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2020.01.006
- Tilney, L.G., Harb, O.S., Connelly, P.S., Robinson, C.G., Roy, C.R., 2001. How the
- parasitic bacterium Legionella pneumophila modifies its phagosome and transforms it
- into rough ER: implications for conversion of plasma membrane to the ER membrane.
- J. Cell Sci. 114, 4637–4650. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.114.24.4637
- Tilokani, L., Nagashima, S., Paupe, V., Prudent, J., 2018. Mitochondrial dynamics:
- overview of molecular mechanisms. Essays Biochem. 62, 341–360.
- 1402 https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20170104
- van Veldhoven, P.P., Mannaerts, G.P., 1993. Sphingosine-phosphate lyase. Adv.
- 1404 Lipid Res. 26, 69–98.
- Vance, J.E., 2014. MAM (mitochondria-associated membranes) in mammalian cells:
- lipids and beyond. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1841, 595–609.
- 1407 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2013.11.014
- Vander Heiden, M.G., Cantley, L.C., Thompson, C.B., 2009. Understanding the
- Warburg Effect: The Metabolic Requirements of Cell Proliferation. Science 324,
- 1410 1029–1033. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160809
- Wai, T., Langer, T., 2016. Mitochondrial Dynamics and Metabolic Regulation.
- 1412 Trends Endocrinol. Metab. TEM 27, 105–117.
- 1413 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2015.12.001
- Wang, Z., Li, C., 2020. Xenophagy in innate immunity: A battle between host and
- pathogen. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 109, 103693.
- 1416 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2020.103693
- Weinberg, S.E., Sena, L.A., Chandel, N.S., 2015. Mitochondria in the Regulation of
- Innate and Adaptive Immunity. Immunity 42, 406–417.
- 1419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.02.002

- Weiss, G., Schaible, U.E., 2015. Macrophage defense mechanisms against intracellular bacteria. Immunol. Rev. 264, 182–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12266
- West, A.P., Brodsky, I.E., Rahner, C., Woo, D.K., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst,
- P., Walsh, M.C., Choi, Y., Shadel, G.S., Ghosh, S., 2011a. TLR signalling augments
- macrophage bactericidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. Nature 472, 476–480.
- 1425 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09973
- West, A.P., Shadel, G.S., Ghosh, S., 2011b. Mitochondria in innate immune responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 11, 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2975
- Yi, K., Unruh, J.R., Deng, M., Slaughter, B.D., Rubinstein, B., Li, R., 2011. Dvn
- Yi, K., Unruh, J.R., Deng, M., Slaughter, B.D., Rubinstein, B., Li, R., 2011. Dynamic
- maintenance of asymmetric meiotic spindle position through Arp2/3-complex-driven
- 1430 cytoplasmic streaming in mouse oocytes. Nat. Cell Biol. 13, 1252–1258.
- 1431 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2320
- Youle, R.J., Strasser, A., 2008. The BCL-2 protein family: opposing activities that
- mediate cell death. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 47–59.
- 1434 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2308
- Yu, T., Wang, L., Yoon, Y., 2015. MORPHOLOGICAL CONTROL OF
- 1436 MITOCHONDRIAL BIOENERGETICS. Front. Biosci. Landmark Ed. 20, 229–246.
- Yu, V.L., Plouffe, J.F., Pastoris, M.C., Stout, J.E., Schousboe, M., Widmer, A.,
- Summersgill, J., File, T., Heath, C.M., Paterson, D.L., Chereshsky, A., 2002.
- Distribution of Legionella species and serogroups isolated by culture in patients with
- sporadic community-acquired legionellosis: an international collaborative survey. J.
- 1441 Infect. Dis. 186, 127–128. https://doi.org/10.1086/341087
- Zhang, J., Ney, P.A., 2009. Role of BNIP3 and NIX in cell death, autophagy, and
- mitophagy. Cell Death Differ. 16, 939–946. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2009.16
- Zhang, Y., Yao, Y., Qiu, X., Wang, G., Hu, Z., Chen, S., Wu, Z., Yuan, N., Gao, H.,
- Wang, J., Song, H., Girardin, S.E., Qian, Y., 2019. Listeria hijacks host mitophagy
- through a novel mitophagy receptor to evade killing. Nat. Immunol. 20, 433–446.
- 1447 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0324-2
- Zheng, L., Wei, F., Li, G., 2022. The crosstalk between bacteria and host autophagy:
- host defense or bacteria offense. J. Microbiol. Seoul Korea 60, 451-460.
- 1450 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-022-2009-z

Zhivotovsky, B., Galluzzi, L., Kepp, O., Kroemer, G., 2009. Adenine nucleotide translocase: a component of the phylogenetically conserved cell death machinery. Cell Death Differ. 16, 1419–1425. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2009.118
Zhu, W., Hammad, L.A., Hsu, F., Mao, Y., Luo, Z.-Q., 2013. Induction of caspase 3 activation by multiple L. pneumophila Dot/Icm substrates. Cell. Microbiol. 15, 1783–1456
1795. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12157
1458

TABLES

Table 1. List of *L. pneumophila* effectors described to target mitochondria

L. pneumophila effector	Effector activity	Mitochondrial target	Mitochondrial outcome	Cellular outcome	Refs
Lpg1976 / Lpp1959 (LegG1/MitF)	Ran GTPase activator	DRP1 activation	Mitochondrial fragmentation	Metabolic rewiring	(Escoll et al., 2017b)
Lpg2176 / Lpp2128 (LegS2, <i>Lp</i> Spl)	Sphingosine-1P lyase	-	Mitochondrial fragmentation	Metabolic rewiring	(Abu Khweek et al., 2016a)
		F _O F ₁ -ATPase reverse mode	Maintenance of $\Delta \psi_m$	Inhibition of cell death	(Escoll et al., 2021)
		MAMs?	-	Blockage of autophagy	(Rolando et al., 2016)
		-	Increased caspase 3	Induction of cell death	(Zhu et al., 2013)
Lpg0080 (Ceg3)	mono-ADP- ribosyltransferase	ANT carrier inhibition	Alteration of ATP/ADP efflux	Metabolic rewiring	(Fu et al., 2022; Hoshino et al., 2019)
Lpg0081	ADP- ribosylhydrolases	ANT carrier activation	Alteration of ATP/ADP efflux	Metabolic rewiring	(Kubori et al., 2022)
Lpp2981 (LncP)	ANT transporter	-	Alteration of ATP/ADP efflux	Metabolic rewiring?	(Dolezal et al., 2012)
Lpg0376 (SdhA)	-	-	Mitochondrial integrity	Inhibition of cell death	(Laguna et al., 2006)
Lpg2584 (SidF)	Protein-protein interaction	BNIP3 and Bcl2RAMBO inhibition	Reduced proapoptotic signals ANT-mediated apoptotic blockage	Inhibition of cell death	(Speir et al., 2017)
Lpg2831 (VipD)	Phospholipase A2	-	Increased caspase 3	Induction of cell death	(Zhu et al., 2013)
Lpg1625 (Lem12)	-	-	Increased caspase 3	Induction of cell death	(Zhu et al., 2013)
Lpg0898 (Ceg18)	-	-	Increased caspase 3	Induction of cell death	(Zhu et al., 2013)
Lpg0716	-	-	Increased caspase 3	Induction of cell death	(Zhu et al., 2013)
Lpg1137 (Ceg20)	Serine protease	STX17 inhibition	-	Blockage of autophagy	(Arasaki et al., 2017)

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Functional analysis of the mitochondrial proteins identified in the proteome of the Legionella-containing vacuoles isolated from RAW264.7 macrophages. Gene Ontology enrichment analyses of biological processes (A) and cellular components (B). Functional categories were assigned using DAVID (Dennis et al., 2003), for the 499 mitochondrial proteins (47%) obtained from the purified LCV protein dataset of infected macrophages from Hoffmann et al., 2014. GO terms shown in graphs represent the top 20 with the highest significative p-value (≤0.001). Redundant GO terms were removed using REVIGO (Supek et al., 2011). Enrichment fold change is defined as Observed/Expected.

Figure 2. Regulation of mitochondrial functions by Legionella with metabolic **consequences.** Once L. pneumophila is phagocytosed, the recognition of specific ligands by pattern recognition receptors, such as TLR, triggers a rapid cell autonomous innate immune response leading to increased OXPHOS and glycolysis. During infection, L. pneumophila induces mitochondrial fission, in a T4SS-dependent manner. At least two effectors are involved: MitF an activator of Ran GTPase that induces the DRP1-mediated fragmentation of mitochondria and LpSpl (LegS2), sphingosine-1 phosphate lyase, which induces fission by unknown mechanisms. The fission process leads to reduced OXPHOS, which together with high glycolysis, represents an optimal metabolic program (Warburg-like) to promote biosynthetic pathways and sustain intracellular growth. To deal with the consequent drop of $\Delta \psi_{\rm m}$ as result of reduced OXPHOS, L. pneumophila-induces the 'reverse mode' of the F₀F₁-ATPase to pump protons through the inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM) in a T4SSdependent process, partially mediated by LpSpl. L. pneumophila also adapts ATP/ADP efflux during infection by modulating the activity of host ANTs through the effectors Ceg3 and Lpg0081, that show opposing enzymatic activities as ADP ribosyltransferase and ADP ribosylhydrolase, respectively. They might coordinate this function together with LncP, a L. pneumophila effector with ANT-like carrier functions.

Figure 3. Role of mitochondrial ROS as signaling molecule in inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis and its modulation during *L. pneumophila* infection. TLR recognition of *L. pneumophila* leads to production of cellular ROS and mROS, which play essential roles as signaling molecules during inflammasome activation. NLRs are important innate immune receptors that upon the recognition of DAMPs/PAMPs nucleate and form the inflammasome,

inducing a proinflammatory cell death termed pyroptosis. mROS are essential for NLR signaling as: (1) mROS (and cellular ROS) released during infection promotes mitochondrial damage and the release of mitochondrial DAMPs, which are signals for NLRP3-inflammasome activation, and (2) mROS is required for proper NLRC4-dependent inflammasome activation upon recognition of bacterial PAMPs. *L. pneumophila* reduces mROS during infection, thus we hypothesize that *L. pneumophila*-induced modulation of mitochondrial dynamics might lead not only to decreased OXPHOS, but also to reduced mROS, which would reduce xenophagy and subvert inflammasome activation. In addition, other *L. pneumophila* effectors seem to maintain stable mitochondrial "danger parameters" in infected macrophages, such as $\Delta \psi_m$ and ATP efflux, through the induction of the 'reverse mode' of the mitochondrial F_0F_1 -ATPase and the direct regulation of ANTs, respectively. All together this might allow mitochondrial functioning and macrophage survival, even at low OXPHOS levels.

Figure 4. Modulation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway by L. pneumophila

The activation of the mitochondrial intrinsic pathway depends on a fine balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic factors of the BCL-2 protein family. After pathogen recognition, the innate immune pathways lead to a pro-apoptotic signal, which overcomes the anti-apoptotic one and promotes the oligomerization of Bax and Bak. This provokes mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and Cytochrome C (CytC) release, initiating a signaling cascade that results in cleavage and activation of caspase-3 and the onset of apoptosis. During the early steps of infection, *L. pneumophila* preferentially promotes anti-apoptotic signals by secreting T4SS-dependent factors to preserve its niche, such as SidF, which binds to and inhibits the functions of the pro-apoptotic proteins BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo. In contarst, at late phases of infection *L. pneumophila* favors the pro-apoptotic signals to efficiently exit the host cell. Five T4SS effectors show activated caspase-3 during infection VipD, *Lp*Spl/LegS2, Lem12, Ceg18 and Lpg0716. These factors might co-ordinately act with other cell death signals, such as active TNF-α, to induce caspase-3 activation and cell death at late infection.

Figure 5. Modulation of cell death by *L. pneumophila* by interfering with mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP) formation. ANTs are essential components of mPTPs and its formation triggers cell death by caspase-dependent or -independent mechanisms. *L. pneumophila*-induced innate immune responses increase pro-apoptotic

signals, such as BNIP3, which binds to ANTs, and induces apoptosis *via* mPTP. During early infection *L. pneumophila* secretes T4SS effectors with potential anti-apoptotic roles, such as SidF, that binds and inhibits BNIP3-ANT interaction. Host ANT-inhibition by favoring a Ceg3-mediated mono-ADP-ribosylation over Lpg0081-mediated ADPr hydrolysis might also participate in blocking mPTP. A pro-apoptotic role for the *L. pneumophila* effector LncP has been proposed, as it induces cell death under nutrient-limiting conditions during late stages of the infection.




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3 1573




FIGURE 4 1577




FIGURE 5

