

The Fe–S proteome of Escherichia coli: prediction, function, and fate

Marine Lénon, Rodrigo Arias-Cartín, Frédéric Barras

► To cite this version:

Marine Lénon, Rodrigo Arias-Cartín, Frédéric Barras. The Fe–S proteome of Escherichia coli : prediction, function, and fate. Metallomics, 2022, 14 (5), pp.mfac022. 10.1093/mtomcs/mfac022 . pasteur-04110552

HAL Id: pasteur-04110552 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04110552

Submitted on 30 May 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 The Fe-S proteome of *Escherichia coli*: prediction, function and fate.

- 2
- 3 Marine Lénon^{#,} Rodrigo Arias-Cartín^{#,} and Frédéric Barras*
- 4

5 Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Stress Adaptation and Metabolism

- 6 Unit, Department of Microbiology, F-75015 Paris, France.
- 7
- 8 [#] These authors contributed equally to this work
- 9 * Corresponding author
- 10 * To whom correspondence should be addressed to Frédéric Barras, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue
- 11 du Docteur Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15. Email: fbarras@pasteur.fr

12 Abstract

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S) clusters are inorganic ubiquitous and ancient cofactors. Fe-S bound proteins 13 14 contribute to most cellular processes, including DNA replication and integrity, genetic expression and regulation, metabolism, biosynthesis and most bioenergetics systems. Also, Fe-15 16 S proteins hold a great biotechnological potential in metabolite and chemical production, including antibiotics. From classic biophysics and spectroscopy methodologies to recent 17 18 development in bioinformatics, including structural modeling and chemoproteomics, our 19 capacity to predict and identify Fe-S proteins has spectacularly increased over the recent years. Here, these developments are presented and collectively used to update the composition of 20 Escherichia coli Fe-S proteome, for which we predict 181 occurrences, i.e. 40 more candidates 21 22 than in our last catalog (Py and Barras, 2010), and equivalent to 4% of its total proteome. Besides, Fe-S clusters can be targeted by redox active compounds or reactive oxygen and 23 24 nitrosative species, and even be destabilized by contaminant metals. Accordingly, we discuss 25 how cells handle damaged Fe-S proteins, *i.e.* degradation, recycling or repair.

26 1. Introduction

27 Fe-S clusters are assemblies of iron and sulfur atoms and stand among the most frequently used 28 protein cofactors in biology [1]. Fe-S clusters arise in various forms, wherein 2, 3 or 4 iron 29 atoms are linked to sulfide ions, yielding to the typical Fe_2S_2 (rhombic), Fe_3S_4 (cuboidal) or 30 Fe₄S₄ (cubane) clusters and some atypical types such as Fe₄S₃, Fe₄S₅, Fe₈S₈ or Fe₈S₉ clusters 31 [2-6]. Fe-S clusters were discovered as devices implicated in electron transfer in enzymes 32 participating in photosynthesis and respiration, but we now know that they contribute in 33 indispensable functions to nearly all cellular processes (see below). Fe-S proteins are present 34 in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes where their pleiotropic role extends their influence onto 35 general traits such as pathogenicity, CRISPR immunity systems and antibiotic resistance in bacteria, aging, cancer or ataxia in humans, plant growth and even replication of coronaviruses 36 37 such as the SARS-CoV-2, the causal agent of the CoVID-19 pandemic [7–10].

Fe-S clusters undergo one-electron redox processes and can exhibit various redox states. This 38 39 makes them ideal catalysts for intra- and inter- molecular electron transfer processes. Their 40 redox potential value ranges from -600 mV (S.H.E.), depending upon the chemical nature of 41 their coordination environment and electronic properties of their immediate surrounding within 42 the polypeptide. Fe-S clusters with low redox potentials can be used as catalysts for 43 thermodynamically unfavorable reactions. This is best illustrated by radical-S-44 adenosylmethionine (SAM) enzymes, which use a Fe_4S_4 cluster to inject one electron at a low 45 potential, generating reactive free radicals exploited in a myriad of biosynthetic and metabolic 46 reactions [11–15]. Fe-S clusters can also allow access of small compounds to ferric ions with 47 strong Lewis acidity properties. Such clusters can be used in non-redox catalysis, as in dehydratases [16]. Last, reversible interconversions between cluster forms, with different redox 48 49 states or different nuclearity, is used in Fe-S bound transcriptional regulators that function as 50 sensors of oxygen, superoxide, nitric oxide, or even in proteins controlling DNA integrity [17– 51 22].

52 As a general trend, Fe-S cluster ligation sites are composed of cysteine residues whose thiol 53 side chains provide bonding to Fe atoms or form sulfide bridges. Hence, a four Cys-containing 54 motif, although admitting uncertainties on the space between individual Cys residues, is often 55 seen as a predictor of a Fe-S cluster binding site. However, this can be misleading for two 56 reasons. First, these sites may bind other metals, such as Zinc. Second, Fe-S cluster 57 coordination with oxygen-based (aspartate, tyrosine or glutamate) and nitrogen-based (histidine or arginine) residues have also been described [23]. Hence, if the richness and 58 59 diversity of cluster environment is an asset for biology as it allows versatility, it prevents simple and straightforward Fe-S cluster signature to be applied from primary sequence analysis. In this article, we review the last advances in the search, identification, and assessment tools applied to Fe-S proteins with an emphasis on the *Escherichia coli* Fe-S proteome for which we present an updated version of the catalog of Fe-S proteins. We also address the question of the relationship between O_2 and Fe-S-based biology and provide original data that led us to envision different fates for damaged Fe-S proteins.

66

67 2. Experimental assessment of the presence of an Fe-S cluster in a protein

68 Experimental methods are required to assess whether a given protein hosts an Fe-S cluster or 69 not (for review see [24, 25]). An arsenal of *in vitro* strategies is available, but they constitute a 70 long and tedious path before a conclusion can be reached. In most cases, the biochemistry 71 requires manipulation in the absence of O₂ inside glove boxes and high amounts of either the 72 as-isolated holo-form protein or an apo-form in which an Fe-S cluster is chemically or 73 enzymatically reconstituted. Once the purified holo-protein is obtained, methods for 74 quantifying iron and sulfur are the first steps to suggest the presence of a cluster. Next, 75 complementary biophysical analyses, such as spectroscopy (UV-visible, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance - EPR, Resonance Raman, Mössbauer, etc.), mass spectrometry, and 76 77 crystallography must be used to establish the presence of a cluster and to characterize its 78 properties and chemical environment, in particular the nature of its ligands [25]. Importantly, 79 those spectroscopic techniques usually require biochemical and/or genetic manipulations and 80 are complemented by functional studies. For in-depth study of Fe-S clusters, EPR and 81 Mössbauer spectroscopy are widely used since they provide a solid characterization of the Fe-82 S cluster type, its environment, and redox state. Mössbauer spectroscopy is the more suited 83 method since it allows characterization of iron complexes in any oxidation or spin state. However, this type of spectroscopy requires ⁵⁷Fe isotope incorporation into the sample, 84 85 demanding careful manipulation during cell culture or protein reconstitution. EPR studies can 86 bypass this step using the natural Fe isotope, however it cannot detect silent Fe-S clusters (Spin 87 = 0). For organisms amenable to genetic manipulation, use of strains lacking specific factors 88 required for building and inserting clusters into proteins can be used to predict whether a given 89 protein would hold a cluster and to assess its functional importance for activity in vivo [25].

90

91 3. Bioinformatic prediction of Fe-S cluster containing proteins

In silico identification of Fe-S binding sites from primary sequences or structures of proteins
 remains challenging. Yet, several bioinformatic analyses [26–28] have proven decisive in

allowing identification of new Fe-S binding proteins further demonstrated by experimental
methods, giving significant insights into the detection of metabolic pathways on poorly
characterized species [29–32].

97 Estellon et al. developed a sophisticated machine learning tool to design and assess a penalized linear model to predict Fe-S proteins using primary amino acid sequences [26]. Their approach 98 99 was based on an ensemble of descriptors from a tailored non-redundant database of Fe-S-100 specific HMM (Hidden Markov Model) profiles and a curated selection of Fe-S coordinating 101 domains and signatures, which were used to guide their machine learning algorithm on a large 102 training dataset of protein sequences (PDB70, a data set of sequence alignments with identity <70% and using PDB structures as query excluding E. coli K12 sequences). This Fe-S 103 104 predictive model of 67 descriptors reached 87.9% of precision and 80.1% of a recall (or 105 sensitivity) on the E. coli K12 proteome, which are values of higher performance when 106 compared to other motif-based analysis (Prosite, Pfam, InterPRO, etc.). Despite the inability 107 of their model to detect 27 known Fe-S proteins -at the time of the publication-, the capacity of their software was demonstrated by the prediction and experimental validation of YhcC and 108 109 YdiJ. Furthermore, the model was tested on 556 proteomes from bacterial and archaeal species 110 and predicted an averaged content of Fe-S proteins at $2.37 \pm 1.31\%$ of those prokaryotic total 111 proteomes. A related method was created by Valasatava et al. [27] (available in the MetalPredator webserver). In this case, the search for metal-binding motifs by HMM-profiles 112 113 combines domain-based predictions [33] and the local nature of Minimal Function Sites [34]. 114 This tool has a similar precision (85.2%) and slightly higher recall (86.5%) when compared to 115 the results of the IronSulfurProteHome [26] on the *E. coli* proteome. Validation of their work was based on 3D homology on seven of their predictions. 116

117 The search of Fe-S binding sites using 3D protein structures has been limited by the number of structures or models available. However, a very recent study from Wehrspan et al. [28] 118 119 elaborated a new ligand-search algorithm to identify Fe-S cluster or Zn binding sites exploiting the novel AlphaFold2 structure database [35, 36]. Their method is based first in the compilation 120 121 of a repertoire of all sidechain or backbone atoms that could potentially coordinate Fe-S cofactors or Zn ions in the AlphaFold2 database, which are later grouped and used as potential 122 123 binding regions to be examined using a standard single-linkage clustering algorithm (within 8 Å) to superimpose each ligand type (Fe_2S_2 , Fe_3S_4 or Fe_4S_4) and their coordinating atoms in all 124 125 possible combinations. Next, those solutions are evaluated using a root-mean-squared deviation (RMSD) of the ligands and checked for steric clashes. Results with poor RMSD 126 127 scores or steric clashes are removed, the remaining combinations filtered, the one with the

128 lowest RMSD retained and the ligand is placed into the structure. This study identified thousands of potential Fe-S clusters in the proteomes of 21 organisms listed in the AlphaFold2 129 structures. When this analysis was applied to the E. coli proteome, it obtained a recall rate of 130 74%, which is lower than the sensitivity of *Valasatava et al.* (86.5%) or *Estellon et al.* (80.1%), 131 132 however it found a good overlap on the predicted Fe-S proteins or the false positives predicted 133 by those two previous studies. Moreover, this tool was able to calculate if some proteins are 134 more likely to accommodate Fe₂S₂, Fe₃S₄ or Fe₄S₄ clusters. Interestingly, the 3D scan made by 135 this work predicted that YjiM, YcbX, CyuA (YhaM) and PreT (YeiT) are indeed Fe-S containing proteins, which were classified as false positives by Estellon et al. Likewise, it 136 137 agreed with Valasatava et al. that seven of their false positives could coordinate Fe-S clusters. 138 In addition, this work could place Fe₄S₄ clusters on the structural models of the newly 139 characterized DppD or on the *E. coli* U32 protease homologs that have been experimentally confirmed (UbiU, UbiV and TrhP) or strongly suspected (RlhA) as Fe-S proteins [15, 37, 38]. 140 141 Interestingly, none of these computational tools predicted MnmA as a Fe-S binding protein, an issue that remains controversial [35, 36]. Noteworthy, no bias or specific enrichment in a 142 143 functional class of Fe-S protein or type of Fe-S binding motif, was found to be associated with one particular algorithm. It is clear that precision and sensitivity of any of those in silico 144 145 approaches are still limited, due to the strength of their descriptors and conditioned to the curation and size of the datasets used for training and testing of the algorithms. Thus, those 146 147 predictive methods will only improve as long as the factors involved in the Fe-S cluster binding 148 and assembly -such as plasticity, abundance and nature- are elucidated by experimental and 149 functional studies.

- 150
- 151

1 4. The *E. coli* Fe-S proteome: an update

In the specific interest to establish the E. coli Fe-S proteome, we used two validated 152 bioinformatic tools to predict a list of Fe-S bound candidate proteins: MetalPredator and 153 154 IronSulfurProteHome websites [26, 27]. For E. coli, those tools predicted 141 iron-sulfur 155 cluster containing proteins and we added 21 proteins, for which evidences of Fe-S binding were recently published. Furthermore, 19 additional proteins were predicted by 3D modelling using 156 157 Alphafold database [28]. This yielded to 181 entries represented in Figures 1 and 2. For each protein, type of evidence, either computational (Figure 1A) or biophysical (Figure 1B), 158 159 supporting the notion that it is a Fe-S bound protein is given in Supp Table 1. Roughly half of the 181 E. coli Fe-S proteins have been validated as such by biophysical methods (Figure 1B). 160

161 E. coli makes use of Fe-S proteins in most, if not all, processes such as DNA replication, repair 162 and transcription, RNA modification and translation, amino acid, vitamin and metabolite 163 biosynthesis, and bioenergetics (Figure 2). Respiration is the most represented cellular process 164 as *E. coli* allocates 28% of its Fe-S proteome to respiratory pathways (Figure 2). Biosynthesis 165 of metabolites and cofactors, including Fe-S themselves, constitute the second most populated group. As expected, Fe-S bound proteins allow stress sensing and adaptation. Interestingly, a 166 167 few Fe-S proteins are predicted to be involved in transport. Interestingly, there are 15 Fe-S proteins for which no functional prediction, neither from homology search or genetic screen 168 169 was found in the literature. Attribution of function for these proteins might unearth activities 170 of interest.

171

172 **5.** Fe-S & Oxygen

Besides their intrinsic redox chemical properties, the reason why Fe-S clusters were retained 173 174 in such a wide range of proteins might be related to the geological conditions prevailing at the onset of life, namely abundance of Fe and S and anoxic atmosphere. The Earth's Great 175 Oxygenation Event, probably due to the activity of Fe-S containing photosynthesis apparatus, 176 caused shortage in bioavailable iron as it precipitated from the soluble ferrous form into 177 insoluble ferric form. Besides, Fe^{2+} can act as a catalyst for production of reactive oxygen 178 179 species (ROS), via Fenton reaction, which destabilize Fe-S cluster. Therefore, the long-180 admitted view is that sophisticated Fe-S cluster biogenesis machineries emerged to build and 181 insert Fe-S clusters into proteins [39-41]. Indeed, Fe-S cluster-based biology and aerobic life 182 might be seen as mutually exclusive, unless a great investment was put to control Fe-S biogenesis and its use in the cell. Support for this view was put forward by Andreini and 183 184 collaborators in a bioinformatic analysis of Fe-S proteins predicted content of prokaryotic 185 genomes [42]. A set of 434 prokaryotes genomes, including 18 genomes from obligate aerobes, 29 from obligate anaerobes, 214 from aerobes, 130 from aerotolerant anaerobes and 43 from 186 187 facultative anaerobes was analyzed for occurrence of Fe-S proteins as predicted by bioinformatic protocols. Remarkably, there are more predicted Fe-S proteins per genome from 188 anaerobes than aerobes, even when corrected with genome size. In aerobes the number of 189 190 predicted Fe-S proteins correspond to less than 3% of the total predicted proteome whereas in 191 genomes of anaerobes (obligate, facultative, aerotolerant) predicted Fe-S proteins amount to 192 more than 3% of the total predicted proteins. Accordingly, we observe that E. coli Fe-S proteome is 4% and some recent in silico studies in archaea suggest that in methanogens and 193 194 alkanotrophs 5-10 % of the proteome corresponds to Fe-S proteins [29]. Both aerobes and

195 anaerobes exhibit shared family of Fe-S proteins involved in energy production and conversion, 196 amino acid metabolism, nucleotide and coenzyme metabolism, and Fe-S biogenesis. Interestingly, the number of paralogs involved in energy production and conversion is much 197 198 higher in anaerobes than in aerobes, presumably reflecting the multiplicity of potential electron 199 acceptor chemical recruited for anaerobic respiratory chains instead of the unique O₂ in aerobic 200 ones. Another important observation was that the number of proteins using Fe₂S₂ cluster, which 201 are more resistant to O_2 and less demanding in iron, appeared to increase in aerobes vs 202 anaerobes. Hence, this study stands as a validation of the expected negative interaction between 203 O₂ and Fe-S-based biology. It also provides a rationale of why organisms developed strategy to mitigate deleterious consequences of enhanced O₂ level in the atmosphere after emergence 204 205 of photosynthesis, either by reducing the use of Fe-S cluster containing proteins, or by evolving 206 dedicated assisting biogenesis machineries.

207

208 6. What to do with damaged Fe-S proteins: to repair, recycle or degrade them?

The capacity of Fe-S clusters to detect O₂, ROS or reactive nitrogen species (RNS) has its 209 210 drawbacks, as Fe-S clusters can be altered or degraded by such compounds, which might lead 211 to inactivation and destabilization of the hosting polypeptide. One question is to know whether 212 all Fe-S proteins are similarly destabilized in the face of ROS or RNS. The answer is evidently 213 negative since alteration of Fe-S cluster will depend upon its location into the structure of the 214 hosting polypeptide. Well buried, solvent inaccessible clusters are likely to be more stable. On 215 the contrary, well exposed cluster might be more prone to targeting by toxics and ensuing 216 alteration.

217 This was well studied with members of the dehydratase family, such as aconitase or fumarase.

218 The nature of the ligands holding the cluster can also be a determining factor for its stability. 219 The case of fumarase had been investigated in detail [43]. Fumarases contain Fe₄S₄ bound via 220 a CX_nCXXC motif. Like all dehydratases, cluster in the *E. coli* fumarase exhibits a labile 221 exposed catalytically active Fe atom, which is freed upon oxidation of the cluster, yielding to 222 an inactive Fe₃S₄-bound enzyme⁴⁴. In contrast, the *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* fumarase 223 harbors a CX_nC motif, which cannot be reactivated when oxidized by H₂O₂. This is due to the 224 generation of radical species that carbonylate the peptide chain [44]. Thus, composition of the 225 ligating motif itself could be essential for Fe-S proteins in the resistance to oxidative 226 environments. Besides, the number of ligand cysteines could also be important for tolerance to oxygen attack, as reported in the case of the six cysteines liganded Fe₄S₃ cluster of 227 228 hydrogenase from Ralstonia eutropha [6].

229 Another example of Fe-S cluster targeted by environmental redox conditions is given by the transcriptional regulator Fnr that controls anaerobiosis/aerobiosis switch [45–47]. The Fe₄S₄ 230 231 cluster integrity of Fnr controls the monomer-dimer equilibrium and eventually the expression of hundreds of genes. Briefly, its Fe₄S₄-bound homodimeric form binds to operator regions of 232 233 genes, repressing those involved in aerobic metabolism and activating those required for 234 anaerobic metabolism. Exposure of the cluster to O₂ leads to the Fe₄S₄ and subsequent 235 conversion to Fe₃S₄ and Fe₂S₂ causing the dissociation of the dimer in inactive apo-monomers. Another question concerns the fate of the "damaged" (or oxidized) Fe-S proteins. Anew, 236 studies with Fnr as a model provided important insights. Indeed, it was found that in aerobic 237 condition, apo-Fnr was degraded by the chaperone/protease ClpXP [45, 48, 49] but it was also 238 239 shown that reconversion of apo-Fnr to Fe₄S₄-Fnr is possible upon switch to anaerobic growth 240 [49]. This perfectly illustrates how cells handle "damaged" Fe-S protein, either degrading them 241 or reactivating them. This last possibility suggests that apo-forms of some proteins are stable 242 long enough to engage in a new cycle of maturation via their recognition by ISC or SUF 243 machineries. This view is consistent with an early study aiming at investigating whether SUF 244 was a repairing system [50]. Interestingly, besides Fnr, other Fe-S proteins (AcnB, IscU, IscR, LipA and MoaA) have been identified as substrates of ClpXP in E. coli [51, 52], which may 245 246 suggest that this protease could be involved in a broader spectrum in the homeostasis of the Fe-S proteome. Whether ClpXP acts on these Fe-S proteins specifically when they are damaged 247 248 remain to be established.

A distinct possibility put forward for long, is the existence of dedicated factors that would "repair" damaged clusters. Interestingly, Clp might play a role in the repairing of damaged Fe-S proteins by helping iron release from Dps sequestration [53]. YtfE might also be such a repair factor acting by re-metalating clusters having lost Fe atoms [54] like those invoked in the progressive dismantlement of Fnr or in the case of dehydratases. For instance the oxidativelydamaged cluster Fe_3S_4 of the *E. coli* fumarase can be reactivated if an iron source is provided [55].

Furthermore, studies in members of the radical-SAM family have enlarged our view of protein factors needed for the repair of their cannibalized Fe-S clusters. The NfuA carrier protein has been endowed with the capacity to regenerate the Fe₄S₄ cluster in the lipoyl synthase (LipA), which sulfur atom has been sacrificed during catalysis [56].

260

261 **7.** Perspectives

262 Since their discovery [1], Fe-S proteins have been studied by relying on a wide array of 263 approaches, from chemistry to genetics via biochemistry, structural biology and spectroscopy. 264 This has provided us with a deep insight in (i) the mechanism underlying reactivity of Fe-S 265 proteins, (ii) the role played by the cluster, (iii) the contribution of Fe-S proteins to cellular 266 homeostasis. The next step will be to apply high-throughput techniques aiming at getting an 267 integrated description of the Fe-S proteome dynamics in vivo. Thermo proteome profiling 268 methodology already contributed to a whole cell view by reporting the effect of genetic 269 alteration of the ISC system [57]. Another methodology is chemoproteomic [37]. This technique is based in tracking Fe-S cysteine ligands by LC-MS/MS coupled to the labeling 270 271 using iodoacetamide-alkyne derivative (termed isoTOP-ABPP and ReDiME). Its recent use to 272 follow changes in Fe-S proteome as a response to iron-depletion or mutations in the ISC system 273 opens highly promising possibility to an *in vivo* integrated description of cell response and 274 adaptation to environmental challenges and genetic disorders. We recently showed that 275 heterologous expression of some active Fe-S proteins requires the heterologous co-expression of the native Fe-S cluster assembly machinery [58]. Deciphering the recognition mode between 276 277 machineries and targets might help in optimizing and broadening heterologous expression of 278 Fe-S containing proteins, a goal of considerable interest in biotechnology.

279 Bioinformatic approaches have provided new vision regarding distribution of Fe-S proteins and their evolution. Yet, predicting whether a given polypeptide will host a cluster is still 280 281 limited by the wide diversity of motifs allowing Fe-S binding. Another structural feature that 282 might be used is the intrinsic information of Fe-S polypeptide to be recognized by Fe-S 283 maturation factors. Indeed, studies in eukaryotes have unearthed the so-called LYR motif, 284 which endows a subset of Fe-S proteins with the capacity to interact with Hsc20 ISC machinery 285 component. Future studies should aim at investigating whether similar type of "recognition sequence" occurs and permits interaction between apo-targets and machineries in prokaryotes. 286

287

288 Acknowledgements

We thank the SAMe Unit members for discussions and suggestions. This work was supported
by the French State Program 'Investissements d'Avenir' (Grant "IBEID" ANR-10-LABX-62),
by CNRS and by Institut Pasteur.

292

293 Data Availability Statement

294 The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary295 material.

296

297 **References**

1. Beinert H. Iron-sulfur proteins: ancient structures, still full of surprises. *JBIC* 2000;5:2–15.

2. Zhou J, Pecqueur L, Aučynaitė A *et al.* Structural Evidence for a [4Fe-5S] Intermediate in
the Non-Redox Desulfuration of Thiouracil. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*2021;60:424–31.

- 302 3. Jenner LP, Cherrier MV, Amara P *et al.* An unexpected P-cluster like intermediate en route
 303 to the nitrogenase FeMo-co. *Chem Sci* 2021;**12**:5269–74.
- 4. Jeoung J-H, Martins BM, Dobbek H. Double-Cubane [8Fe9S] Clusters: A Novel
 Nitrogenase-Related Cofactor in Biology. *ChemBioChem* 2020;21:1710–6.
- 306 5. Jeoung J-H, Dobbek H. ATP-dependent substrate reduction at an [Fe8S9] double-cubane
 307 cluster. *PNAS* 2018;115:2994–9.
- 308 6. Fritsch J, Scheerer P, Frielingsdorf S *et al.* The crystal structure of an oxygen-tolerant
 309 hydrogenase uncovers a novel iron-sulphur centre. *Nature* 2011;**479**:249–52.
- 310 7. Ezraty B, Vergnes A, Banzhaf M *et al.* Fe-S cluster biosynthesis controls uptake of 311 aminoglycosides in a ROS-less death pathway. *Science* 2013;**340**:1583–7.
- 8. Lemak S, Beloglazova N, Nocek B *et al.* Toroidal Structure and DNA Cleavage by the
 CRISPR-Associated [4Fe-4S] Cluster Containing Cas4 Nuclease SSO0001 from Sulfolobus
 solfataricus. *J Am Chem Soc* 2013;135:17476–87.
- 9. Rouault TA. Biogenesis of iron-sulfur clusters in mammalian cells: new insights and
 relevance to human disease. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 2012;**5**:155–64.
- 317 10. Maio N, Lafont BAP, Sil D *et al.* Fe-S cofactors in the SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA
 318 polymerase are potential antiviral targets. *Science* 2021, DOI: 10.1126/science.abi5224.
- 319 11. Cheek J, Broderick JB. Adenosylmethionine-dependent iron-sulfur enzymes: versatile
 320 clusters in a radical new role. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 2001;6:209–26.
- 321 12. Jarrett JT. The generation of 5'-deoxyadenosyl radicals by adenosylmethionine-dependent
 322 radical enzymes. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* 2003;7:174–82.
- 323 13. Choudens SO, Sanakis Y, Hewitson KS *et al.* Reductive Cleavage of S 324 Adenosylmethionine by Biotin Synthase from Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological* 325 *Chemistry* 2002;277:13449–54.
- 14. Padovani D, Thomas F, Trautwein AX *et al.* Activation of Class III Ribonucleotide
 Reductase from E. coli. The Electron Transfer from the Iron–Sulfur Center to SAdenosylmethionine. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:6713–9.
- 15. Pelosi L, Vo C-D-T, Abby SS *et al.* Ubiquinone Biosynthesis over the Entire O2 Range:
 Characterization of a Conserved O2-Independent Pathway. *mBio* 2019, DOI:
 10.1128/mBio.01319-19.

- Beinert H, Kennedy MC, Stout CD. Aconitase as Iron–Sulfur Protein, Enzyme, and Iron Regulatory Protein. *Chem Rev* 1996;96:2335–74.
- 17. Singh A, Guidry L, Narasimhulu KV *et al.* Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB3 responds
 to O2 and nitric oxide via its [4Fe-4S] cluster and is essential for nutrient starvation survival. *PNAS* 2007;104:11562–7.
- 18. Gaskell AA, Crack JC, Kelemen GH *et al.* RsmA Is an Anti-sigma Factor That Modulates
 Its Activity through a [2Fe-2S] Cluster Cofactor *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*2007;**282**:31812–20.
- 340 19. Kiley PJ, Beinert H. The role of Fe–S proteins in sensing and regulation in bacteria. *Current* 341 *Opinion in Microbiology* 2003;6:181–5.
- 20. Demple B, Ding H, Jorgensen M. [35] Escherichia coli SoxR protein: Sensor/transducer of
 oxidative stress and nitric oxide. In: Sies H, Packer L (eds.). *Methods in Enzymology*. Vol 348.
 Academic Press, 2002, 355–64.
- 345 21. Bouton C. Nitrosative and oxidative modulation of iron regulatory proteins. *CMLS, Cell* 346 *Mol Life Sci* 1999;55:1043–53.
- 347 22. Grodick MA, Segal HM, Zwang TJ *et al.* DNA-Mediated Signaling by Proteins with 4Fe–
 348 4S Clusters Is Necessary for Genomic Integrity. *J Am Chem Soc* 2014;**136**:6470–8.
- 349 23. Bak DW, Elliott SJ. Alternative FeS cluster ligands: tuning redox potentials and chemistry.
 350 *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* 2014;19:50–8.
- 24. Dos Santos PC ed. *Fe-S Proteins: Methods and Protocols*. New York, NY: Springer US,
 2021.
- 25. Ollagnier de Choudens S, Barras F. Chapter One Genetic, Biochemical, and Biophysical
 Methods for Studying FeS Proteins and Their Assembly. In: David SS (ed.). *Methods in Enzymology*. Vol 595. Academic Press, 2017, 1–32.
- 26. Estellon J, Ollagnier de Choudens S, Smadja M *et al.* An integrative computational model
 for large-scale identification of metalloproteins in microbial genomes: a focus on iron-sulfur
 cluster proteins. *Metallomics* 2014;6:1913–30.
- 27. Valasatava Y, Rosato A, Banci L *et al*. MetalPredator: a web server to predict iron-sulfur
 cluster binding proteomes. *Bioinformatics* 2016;**32**:2850–2.
- 28. Wehrspan ZJ, McDonnell RT, Elcock AH. Identification of Iron-Sulfur (Fe-S) Cluster and
 Zinc (Zn) Binding Sites Within Proteomes Predicted by DeepMind's AlphaFold2 Program
 Dramatically Expands the Metalloproteome. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 2022;434:167377.
- 364 29. Johnson C, England A, Munro-Ehrlich M *et al.* Pathways of Iron and Sulfur Acquisition,
 365 Cofactor Assembly, Destination, and Storage in Diverse Archaeal Methanogens and
 366 Alkanotrophs. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2021, DOI: 10.1128/JB.00117-21.
- 367 30. Payne D, Shepard EM, Spietz RL *et al.* Examining Pathways of Iron and Sulfur
 368 Acquisition, Trafficking, Deployment, and Storage in Mineral-Grown Methanogen Cells.
 369 *Journal of Bacteriology* 2021, DOI: 10.1128/JB.00146-21.

- 370 31. Feller FM, Marke G, Drees SL *et al.* Substrate Inhibition of 5β - Δ 4-3-Ketosteroid 371 Dehydrogenase in Sphingobium sp. Strain Chol11 Acts as Circuit Breaker During Growth With
- 372 Toxic Bile Salts. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2021;**12**.
- 373 32. Li J, Zhang Y, Wang X *et al.* Integrated Metabolomics and Targeted Gene Transcription
 374 Analysis Reveal Global Bacterial Antimonite Resistance Mechanisms. *Frontiers in*375 *Microbiology* 2021;12.
- 376 33. Andreini C, Bertini I, Cavallaro G *et al.* A Simple Protocol for the Comparative Analysis
 377 of the Structure and Occurrence of Biochemical Pathways Across Superkingdoms. *J Chem Inf* 378 *Model* 2011;**51**:730–8.
- 379 34. Andreini C, Cavallaro G, Lorenzini S *et al.* MetalPDB: a database of metal sites in 380 biological macromolecular structures. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:D312-319.
- 381 35. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with 382 AlphaFold. *Nature* 2021;**596**:583–9.
- 383 36. Bryant P, Pozzati G, Elofsson A. *Improved Prediction of Protein-Protein Interactions*384 Using AlphaFold2., 2021:2021.09.15.460468.
- 385 37. Bak DW, Weerapana E. Monitoring Iron-Sulfur Cluster Occupancy across the E. Coli
 386 Proteome Using Chemoproteomics., 2021:2021.04.01.438105.
- 387 38. Kimura S, Sakai Y, Ishiguro K *et al.* Biogenesis and iron-dependency of ribosomal RNA
 388 hydroxylation. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2017;45:12974–86.
- 389 39. Imlay JA. Iron-sulphur clusters and the problem with oxygen. *Mol Microbiol* 390 2006;**59**:1073–82.
- 40. Garcia PS, Gribaldo S, Py B *et al.* The SUF system: an ABC ATPase-dependent protein
 complex with a role in Fe-S cluster biogenesis. *Res Microbiol* 2019;170:426–34.
- 393 41. Boyd ES, Thomas KM, Dai Y *et al.* Interplay between oxygen and Fe-S cluster biogenesis:
 394 insights from the Suf pathway. *Biochemistry* 2014;**53**:5834–47.
- 42. Andreini C, Rosato A, Banci L. The Relationship between Environmental Dioxygen and
 Iron-Sulfur Proteins Explored at the Genome Level. *PLoS ONE* 2017;12:e0171279.
- 43. Flint DH, Tuminello JF, Emptage MH. The inactivation of Fe-S cluster containing hydrolyases by superoxide. *J Biol Chem* 1993;**268**:22369–76.
- 44. Lu Z, Imlay JA. A conserved motif liganding the [4Fe–4S] cluster in [4Fe–4S] fumarases
 prevents irreversible inactivation of the enzyme during hydrogen peroxide stress. *Redox Biology* 2019;26:101296.
- 402 45. Crack JC, Gaskell AA, Green J *et al.* Influence of the Environment on the [4Fe-4S]2+ to
 403 [2Fe-2S]2+ Cluster Switch in the Transcriptional Regulator FNR. J Am Chem Soc
 404 2008;130:1749-58.
- 405 46. Zhang B, Crack JC, Subramanian S *et al.* Reversible cycling between cysteine persulfide-406 ligated [2Fe-2S] and cysteine-ligated [4Fe-4S] clusters in the FNR regulatory protein. *PNAS*

- 407 2012;**109**:15734–9.
- 408 47. Crack JC, Thomson AJ, Le Brun NE. Mass spectrometric identification of intermediates in
 409 the O2-driven [4Fe-4S] to [2Fe-2S] cluster conversion in FNR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*410 2017;114:E3215–23.
- 411 48. Mettert EL, Outten FW, Wanta B *et al.* The impact of O2 on Fe-S cluster biogenesis
 412 requirements of Escherichia coli FNR. *J Mol Biol* 2008;**384**:798–811.
- 49. Mettert EL, Kiley PJ. ClpXP-dependent Proteolysis of FNR upon Loss of its O2-sensing
 [4Fe-4S] Cluster. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 2005;**354**:220–32.
- 50. Djaman O, Outten FW, Imlay JA. Repair of Oxidized Iron-Sulfur Clusters in Escherichia
 coli. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:44590–9.
- 51. Flynn JM, Neher SB, Kim Y-I *et al.* Proteomic Discovery of Cellular Substrates of the
 ClpXP Protease Reveals Five Classes of ClpX-Recognition Signals. *Molecular Cell*2003;11:671–83.
- 52. Pan Q, Shan Y, Yan A. A region at the C-terminus of the Escherichia coli global
 transcription factor FNR negatively mediates its degradation by the ClpXP protease. *Biochemistry* 2012;**51**:5061–71.
- 53. Sen A, Zhou Y, Imlay JA. During Oxidative Stress the Clp Proteins of Escherichia coli
 Ensure that Iron Pools Remain Sufficient To Reactivate Oxidized Metalloenzymes. *Journal of Bacteriology* 202:e00235-20.
- 426 54. Justino MC, Almeida CC, Teixeira M *et al.* Escherichia coli di-iron YtfE protein is
 427 necessary for the repair of stress-damaged iron-sulfur clusters. *J Biol Chem* 2007;**282**:10352–
 428 9.
- 429 55. Keyer K, Imlay JA. Inactivation of Dehydratase [4Fe-4S] Clusters and Disruption of Iron
 430 Homeostasis upon Cell Exposure to Peroxynitrite. *J Biol Chem* 1997;**272**:27652–9.
- 56. McCarthy EL, Rankin AN, Dill ZR *et al.* The A-type domain in Escherichia coli NfuA is
 required for regenerating the auxiliary [4Fe–4S] cluster in Escherichia coli lipoyl synthase. J *Biol Chem* 2019;**294**:1609–17.
- 434 57. Mateus A, Bobonis J, Kurzawa N *et al.* Thermal proteome profiling in bacteria: probing
 435 protein state in vivo. *Mol Syst Biol* 2018;14:e8242.
- 58. D'Angelo F, Fernández-Fueyo E, Garcia PS *et al.* Cellular assays identify barriers impeding
 iron-sulfur enzyme activity in a non-native prokaryotic host. Dean D, Storz G, Dean D, et al.
 (eds.). *eLife* 2022;11:e70936.
- 439



440

441 Figure 1. Characterization of E. coli Fe-S proteome. A. Left panel: List of Fe-S proteins 442 predicted by bioinformatic tools only, *i.e.* not experimentally assessed yet. Motif/Pattern stands 443 for primary sequence motif and pattern search [26, 27] or dedicated sequence alignment (see Supp Table 1), Alphafold [28] and 3D model obtained from structural analysis of homologous 444 445 holo-proteins (see Supp Table 1). B. Right panel: List of Fe-S proteins characterized by biophysical approaches such as UV-visible (UV-vis), Electron Paramagnetic Resonance 446 (EPR), Mössbauer and Resonance Raman spectroscopy and X-Ray crystallography (Xray) (see 447 Supp Table 1). 448



449

450 Figure 2. The Fe-S proteome of *E. coli*. Distribution of the *E. coli* Fe-S proteins according to

451 their association with a specific biological process. Fe-S proteins characterized by biophysical

452 approaches are in bold. Fe, iron; S, sulfur; TCA, Tricarboxylic Acid Cycle. Details about all

453 listed Fe-S proteins are in Supp Table 1.