

# Tackling hypo and hyper sensory processing heterogeneity in autism: From clinical stratification to genetic pathways

Aline Lefebvre, Julian Tillmann, Freddy Cliquet, Frederique Amsellem, Anna Maruani, Claire Leblond, Anita Beggiato, David Germanaud, Anouck Amestoy, Myriam Ly-Le Moal, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Aline Lefebvre, Julian Tillmann, Freddy Cliquet, Frederique Amsellem, Anna Maruani, et al.. Tackling hypo and hyper sensory processing heterogeneity in autism: From clinical stratification to genetic pathways. Autism Research, 2023, 16 (2), pp.364-378. 10.1002/aur.2861. pasteur-04008754

# HAL Id: pasteur-04008754 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04008754

Submitted on 2 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 2

# Tackling hypo and hyper sensory processing heterogeneity in Autism: from clinical stratification to genetic pathways

3

Aline Lefebvre<sup>1,2</sup>, Julian Tillmann<sup>3</sup>, Freddy Cliquet<sup>2</sup>, Frederique Amsellem<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna 4 Maruani<sup>1,2</sup>, Claire Leblond<sup>2</sup>, Anita Beggiato<sup>1,2</sup>, David Germanaud<sup>4</sup>, Anouck Amestoy<sup>5,7</sup>, 5 Myriam Ly-Le Moal<sup>6</sup>, Daniel Umbricht<sup>3</sup>, Christopher Chatham<sup>3</sup>, Lorraine Murtagh<sup>3</sup>, Manuel 6 Bouvard<sup>5,7</sup>, Marion Leboyer<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Tony Charman<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Bourgeron<sup>2,7</sup>, Richard Delorme<sup>1,2,</sup> 7 <sup>#,7</sup> & Guillaume Dumas<sup>2, 11, #</sup>; and the EU-AIMS LEAP group. 8 9

10	<sup>1</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Robert Debré Hospital, APHP, Paris, France
11	<sup>2</sup> Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, Institut Pasteur, UMR 3571 CNRS, University Paris Diderot, Paris, France
12 13	<sup>3</sup> Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Neuroscience and Rare Diseases, Roche Innovation Center Basel, F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland
14 15	<sup>4</sup> Rare Disease Reference Center for Intellectual Disability, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Robert-Debré Hospital, Paris, France.
16	<sup>5</sup> Autism Expert Centre, Charles Perrens Hospital, Bordeaux, France.
17	<sup>6</sup> Institut Roche, Boulogne-Billancourt, France.
18	<sup>7</sup> Fondation FondaMental, French National Science Foundation, Créteil, France.
19 20	<sup>8</sup> Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale, Psychiatrie Translationnelle, Créteil, France.
21	<sup>9</sup> Department of Adult Psychiatry, Henri Mondor and Albert Chenevier Hospital, Créteil, France.
22 23	<sup>10</sup> Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 8AF, UK
24	<sup>11</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Université de Montreal, CHU Ste Justine Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada.
25 26	<sup>#</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work
27	Corresponding author
28	Aline Lefebvre. Address: Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Robert Debré hospital,
29	APHP, Paris; E-mail: aline.lefebvre@aphp.fr; Phone: +33 (1) 40 03 20 00

30

31	Funding	information:	Institut	Pasteur,	INSERM,	Fondation	FondaMental,	APHP,	DHU
----	---------	--------------	----------	----------	---------	-----------	--------------	-------	-----

- Protect, Fondation de France, Roche Institute for Research and Translational Medicine, 32
- Investissements d'Avenir program (Grant No.: ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02, ANR-10-COHO-10-33

01, ANR-12-SAMA-0014), CNRS, Fondation de la Recherche Medicale, Innovative
Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (Grant No.: 115300), European Union's Seventh
Framework Program (Grant No.: FP7/2007-2013), European Federation of Pharmaceutical
Industries and Associations companies' in-kind contributions, Autism Speaks., Innovative
Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (Grant No.: <u>115300</u>), and Innovative Medicines
Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (Grant No.: <u>777394</u>).

- 40
- 41 Number of text pages: 36
- 42 Number of tables: 2
- 43 Number of figures: 2
- 44

# 45 LAY SUMMARY

To explore the hypo/hyper-sensory profile among autistic individuals and its link with genetic alterations in GABA-ergic and glutamatergic pathways, we constructed the differential Short Sensory Profile (dSSP) from the Short Sensory Profile (SSP) of 1136 participants (533 autistic individuals, 210 relatives, and 267 controls). Groups differed in the mean dSSP, which tended to be associated with mutations of the GABAergic pathway highlighting the interest of combining dSSP with additional sensory descriptions, genetics and endophenotypic substrates to explore ASD's sensory differences.

53

54

### 55 ABSTRACT

56 Background: As an integral part of autism spectrum symptoms, sensory processing issues 57 including both hypo and hyper sensory sensitivities. These sensory specificities may result 58 from an excitation/inhibition imbalance with a poorly understood of their level of convergence 59 with genetic alterations in GABA-ergic and glutamatergic pathways. Aims: In our study, we 60 aimed to characterize the hypo/hyper-sensory profile among autistic individuals. We then 61 explored its link with the burden of deleterious mutations in a subset of individuals with available whole-genome sequencing data. Methods To characterize the hypo/hyper-sensory 62 63 profile, the differential Short Sensory Profile (dSSP) was defined as a normalized and centralized hypo/hypersensitivity ratio from the Short Sensory Profile (SSP). Results: 64 Including 1136 participants (533 autistic individuals, 210 first-degree relatives, and 267 65 66 controls) from two independent study samples (PARIS and LEAP), we observed a statistically significant dSSP mean difference between autistic individuals and controls, driven mostly by 67 68 a high dSSP variability, with an intermediated profile represented by relatives. Our genetic analysis tended to associate the dSSP and the hyposensitivity with mutations of the GABAergic 69 pathway. **Conclusion:** The major limitation was the dSSP difficulty to discriminate subjects 70 71 with a similar quantum of hypo- and hyper-sensory symptoms to those with no such symptoms, 72 resulting both in a similar ratio score of 0. However, the dSSP could be a relevant clinical score, 73 and combined with additional sensory descriptions, genetics and endophenotypic substrates, 74 will improve the exploration of the underlying neurobiological mechanisms of sensory 75 processing differences in autism spectrum.

76

77 KEY-WORDS: Autism Spectrum Disorder, sensory profile, clinical marker,

78 GABA/Glutamatergic pathway, excitation and inhibition balance

# 79 INTRODUCTION

Alongside differences in social communication and restricted, repetitive behaviours, sensory
issues are now considered as being a core defining diagnostic feature of the autism spectrum
(DSM-5, American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Reported in up to 87% of autistic
individuals, sensory processing sensitivities have been emphasized as a critical feature for
characterizing and understanding autism spectrum (Dellapiazza et al., 2018; Uljarević et al.,

2017). However, the sensory profiles described among autistic individuals are heterogeneous, 85 86 hampering progress to understand their biological substrates (Charman, 2015; Robertson & 87 Baron-Cohen, 2017). Sensory sensitivities symptoms are manifested as hyposensitivity, hypersensitivity, avoidance of sensory stimuli, and/or unusual sensory interests, affecting the 88 visual, auditory, gustatory, olfactory, tactile, vestibular, proprioceptive and interoceptive 89 90 modalities (Sinclair et al., 2017). Sensory processing differences are associated with social 91 communication differences, restricted and repetitive behaviours, but also with specific cognitive patterns, emerging sometimes as an earlier marker of autism spectrum (Uljarević et 92 93 al., 2016, Schulz & Stevenson, 2019).

According the neural systems processing sensory information, and social behavior, both 94 involving in autism spectrum, the excitation/inhibition (E/I) imbalance model was 95 96 hypothesized in some forms of autism spectrum (Haider et al., 2013, Rubenstein & Merzenich 97 et al. 2003). Despite the difficulty to predict the effect of neurotransmitters on the E/I balance 98 (Levin & Nelson, 2015), this E/I imbalance could be linked to a disequilibrium between 99 glutamatergic (principal excitatory neurotransmitter) and GABAergic (principal inhibitory 100 neurotransmittor) activity (Sears & Hewett, 2021, Sohal & Rubenstein, 2019, Dickinson et al.. 101 2016). Such disequilibrium could be at the origin of imbalance of sensory processing. 102 Preliminary studies on animal models carrying mutations in GABAergic or glutamatergic 103 pathway related genes associated with autism spectrum are starting to support such link 104 between E/I imbalance and sensory processing alterations (Gorgolla et al., 2014, ; He et al., 105 2020; Orefice et al. 2019, Chen et al. 2020, Balasco et al. 2022). The E/I imbalance might 106 disrupt the neural homeostasis in individuals at risk for autism spectrum and thus participate in 107 their phenotypic diversity - such as the occurrence of epileptic seizures, or the diversity of 108 social communication symptoms (Dickinson et al., 2016). Also, the E/I imbalance may affect 109 the gating and gain control of sensory inputs and result in sensory processing differences reported in autistic individuals (LeBlanc & Fagiolini, 2011; Mikkelsen et al., 2018, Ward et
al., 2019). For example, individuals carrying deleterious mutations in genes associated with
autism spectrum and involved the E/I homeostasis showed anomalous event-related potentials
(ERP) with auditory deviance detection (Williams et al., 2021) such as those with *NLGN4*(Bonnet-Brilhault et al., 2016) or *FMRP* mutations (Knoth et al., 2014; Hall et al., 2015) or in
Fragile X syndrome (McCullagh et al., 2020).

116 To improve the characterization of sensory processing differences in autism spectrum and 117 facilitate the exploration of their biological substrates, we sought to determine a score 118 summarizing the sensory symptom directions and heterogeneity, which could be secondarily 119 related to autism spectrum E/I imbalance. We aimed to construct a summarizing score as a 120 normalized and centralized ratio of the hypo- to hyper- sensitivity derived from the Short 121 Sensory Profile (SSP), a commonly used questionnaire to explore the sensory symptoms 122 frequently reported in autistic individuals from childhood to adulthood. The SSP used by large-123 scale projects in the field (Autism Speaks Autism Treatment Network (Lajonchere, Jones, 124 Coury & Perrin, 2012), EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (Charman et al., 125 2017)) was constructed with 38 items representing a heterogeneous combination of subconstructs (McIntosh et al., 1999). Despite its validity to dissect sensitivity symptoms 126 127 (Williams et al., 2018) the SSP items are unequally distributed across both sensory modalities 128 and subtypes of sensory processing differences. For example the SSP taps constructs of visual 129 hyperresponsiveness, auditory hyperresponsiveness, and auditory hyporesponsiveness, but not 130 visual hyporesponsiveness. We therefore have reconsidered the diversity of the sensitivity symptoms by splitting them in a two dimensional perspective hypo- vs. hyper- sensitivity. 131 132 Additional questionnaires may have been more efficient to explore the hypo- and hyper-133 sensitivity in autism spectrum but none of them better account for the unequal distribution 134 between the two sensory modalities and the subtypes of sensory processing dysfunction. The 135 Sensory Experience Questionnaire explores mainly hypo or hyper aspects but is not adapted to all ages 136 (child ages 2-12 years) (Version 3.0; SEQ; Baranek, David, Poe, Stone, & Watson, 2006). To date, there are still no studies highlighting the sensory patterns of individuals across all the sensory 137 138 domains in terms of hypo and hyper sensitivity. However, recent evidence supports the 139 coexistence of both hypo- and hyper sensitivity in autism spectrum among the different 140 domains with an impact on social functioning (Thye et al., 2018). We reconsidered the diversity 141 of the sensitivity symptoms by splitting the SSP items in a two-dimensional perspective hypo-142 vs. hyper- sensitivity. The literature described an association between hypersensitivity and an 143 increase in the E/I ratio (Sapey Triomphe et al., 2019), suggesting conversely that hyposensitivity could be more driven by a decrease of the E/I ratio. We thus hypothesized that 144 145 the differential SSP score (dSSP), when different from 0, would indirectly reflect at the brain 146 level the function imbalance related to the glutamatergic and the GABAergic neuromodulators 147 disequilibrium (Rubenstein & Merzenich, 2003, Sohal & Rubenstein, 2019). To achieve the 148 first goal of this study, we empirically defined the hypo- or hyper- sensory processing type of each item of the SSP questionnaire to calculate the dSSP score. We then tested the relevance 149 150 of the dSSP score by quantifying and exploring its distribution in two independent samples of 151 autistic individuals and with typical development from the PARIS and the EU-AIMS study 152 samples (n=1136). We also challenged the empirical construct of the dSSP score to a more 153 data-driven procedure based on a clustering approach of all the SSP questionnaire items. The 154 second step of our study was to explore if the dSSP score could facilitate the exploration of the 155 biological background involved in the sensory sensitivities reported in the autistic individuals. 156 As a very preliminary study, we aimed to conduct a genetic study exploring in a modest sample 157 of participants the relationship between the dSSP score and the burden of deleterious mutations affecting genes of the glutamatergic and the GABAergic pathways. We hypothesized the 158

variability of the dSSP score would be correlated with the load of deleterious mutationsaffecting the glutamatergic and GABAergic equilibrium.

- 161
- 162

### 163 METHODS

164 *Participants* 

165 In total, 1,136 participants were enrolled in the study from two independent study samples. The Paris Autism Research International Sibpair (PARIS) study sample included 165 autistic 166 167 individuals, their 210 unaffected first-degree relatives and 97 individuals with typical development at the Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Department, Robert Debre Hospital, Paris 168 (France) between April 2013 and September 2016. The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism 169 170 Project (LEAP) sample study was composed of 384 autistic individuals and 280 individuals 171 with typical development included between January 2014 and August 2016 (Loth et al., 2017). The demographic and clinical descriptions of the individuals enrolled in both samples are 172 173 reported in Table 1. The clinical characterization of the participants from the PARIS and LEAP study were included following the method described elsewhere (Lefebvre et al. 2021; Charman 174 175 et al., 2017; Loth et al., 2017). As a summary, the diagnosis of autism spectrum was based on 176 DSM-IV-TR/5 criteria and made by summing the information from the Autism Diagnosis 177 Interview-Revised (ADI-R), the Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale - second edition 178 (ADOS-2), and clinical reports from experts in the field. The cognitive abilities of individuals 179 were also assessed using the Wechsler Intelligence Scales adapted to the age of individuals.

180 The PARIS and the LEAP study samples were granted approval by their local Ethics 181 Committee. They were carried out in accordance with Good Clinical Practice (ICH GCP) 182 standards. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. For the patients who were unable to consent for themselves, a parent or legal guardian consented to the study ontheir behalf.

185

# 186 Sensory profile of individuals included in the study

The sensory profile of all participants included in the study was assessed with the Short Sensory 187 Profile (McIntosh et al., 1999). Each item of this 38-item questionnaire is scored on a 5-point 188 189 Likert scale (1=always, 2=frequently, 3=occasionally, 4=seldom, and 5=never). The 38 items - SSP is a shortened form of the Sensory Profile questionnaire (Dunn & Westman, 1997), which 190 191 demonstrated the highest discriminatory power of divergent sensory processing. The SSP 192 questionnaire is composed of 7 subscales including tactile sensitivity, taste/smell sensitivity, 193 movement sensitivity, visual/auditory sensitivity, under-responsive/seeks sensation, auditory 194 filtering, and low energy/weak. Lower scores indicate more sensory issues. The SSP measure 195 has been psychometrically validated in autistic individuals aged 3–18-year-old (Williams et al., 196 2018). For the present study, we reversed-scored each item to allow a more direct comparison 197 with the summarizing score we built (Figures 1.A & 2.A). Concerning the PARIS sample study, 198 the SSP was collected for autistic individuals at T0, month 3 and year 1 and 2 for all subjects 199 of the autistic group. Testing the stability among the development of the dSSP, we performed 200 a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures to compare results at 201 different time points.

202

### 203 Building of the dSSP score

We constructed a summarizing score as a normalized and centralized ratio of the hypo- to hyper- sensitivity derived from the SSP questionnaire. We first asked four senior clinical experts in the field of autism spectrum (AL, AB, AM, FA) and blind to the hypothesis of this study to determine which items of the scale were dedicated to the exploration of hyper-, hypo-

208 sensitivity or which seemed to be related to both hyper- and hypo- sensitivity. We then split 209 the 38 items into three subgroups, depending on their ability to explore sensory features related 210 to hyper- (n=19), hypo- (n=16) sensitivities or uncertain (n=3) (Supplementary Table 1). Hypo-211 and hyper characterization corresponded to an agreement of at least <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the raters for one of these two characterizations. If only half of the raters were agreed, the item was defined by the 212 213 'uncertain' characterization. Since the 'uncertain' item did not discriminate between hypo and 214 hyper sensory, it was not considered in the calculation of the dSSP. We quantified inter-215 observer stability using Kendall rank correlation (Supplementary Table 2). We finally 216 calculated the dSSP score for each individual, a centralized and normalized score reflecting the 217 ratio between the average scores of items related to hypersensitivity (e.g. hyper-sensory score) 218 (n=19) and to hyposensitivity (e.g. hypo-sensory score) (n=16) (Table 2). A positive dSSP 219 score represented a tendency to hyper- sensitivity and a negative one a tendency of hypo-220 sensitivity. Whereas the dSSP score combined information from two component scores (hypo-221 and hyper-sensory scores), we improved our analysis by exploring separately the hypo- and 222 hyper- sensory scores.

223

# 224 Clustering distribution of the SSP questionnaire items

225 To validate the clinical-driven clusters (hypo, hyper and uncertain), we compared our clusters 226 with data-driven clusters. We explored the link between the dSSP score and the sensory 227 processing modalities using the VARCLUS procedure that divides a set of numeric variables into clusters (JMP software; SAS Institute Inc. 2017). The VACLUS provides no overlapped 228 229 components unlike the factor analysis. Although the factor analysis allowing components must 230 be interpreted by considering the correlations between the factors, the Varclus allows a simpler 231 interpretation of the results. This iterative method extracted oblique components to identify one-dimensional clusters of mutually correlated variables (Woolston et al., 2012). We used the 232

SSP items scores reported by the autistic participants from the PARIS and the LEAP study 233 234 samples and then explored the items gathering in each cluster (e.g. item XX from cluster Y was 235 categorized as hyper- or hypo- sensitivities or uncertain). We used a Chi2 test to test for a 236 significant relationship between variables and a Cramer's V test, which was a post-hoc test 237 indicating how significant this relationship is (scoring from 0 for the low association to 1 for 238 the high association). Using Python script (Python Software Foundation, version 3.7), we calculated the silhouette score (scoring from -1 to 1) (Rousseeuw, 1987) to interpret and 239 240 validate the consistency within clusters and the Fowlkes-Mallows similarity score (Fowlkes & 241 Mallows, 1983) to assess the similarity between PARIS and LEAP sample clustering (scoring from 0 to 1). 242

243

### 244 *Genetic profiles*

245 To explore if the dSSP score could facilitate the exploration of the biological background 246 involved in sensory sensitivities reported in autistic individuals, we conducted a genetic study 247 exploring the relationship between the dSSP score and the burden of deleterious mutations affecting the glutamatergic and the GABAergic pathways. We thus performed this exploratory 248 249 analysis only in a subset of individuals from the PARIS study samples for which whole-genome 250 sequencing (WGS) data were available. For variant calling analysis, the pre-processing steps 251 were as followed: sequence reads were aligned to the human reference genome GRCh37.75 using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner BWA, then PicardTools was used for removing PCR 252 253 duplicates, and GATK 3.8.1 was used for small insertion/deletion variants (Indels) realignment 254 and base recalibration. Single Nucleotide Variants (SNV) and Indels were called with the 255 GATK 3.8.1's HaplotypeCaller on each sample alignment file. We produced a Variant Call 256 Format (VCF) file with all the SNV and Indel calls for the cohort. Variants were then

functionally annotated with Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (using Ensembl 92) (McLaren et 257 258 al., 2016). Additionally, we annotated the variants for their frequency in the population from the gnomAD database version 2.1.1 (Karczewski et al., 2019) and for their Combined 259 260 Annotation Dependent Depletion score (CADD version 1.3) (Kircher et al., 2014) to evaluate their deleteriousness. We then queried all variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF)  $\leq 10\%$ 261 in the gnomAD database, which were either likely gene disruptive (LGD) variants (i.e. stop 262 263 gain, stop loss, start loss, splice acceptor, splice donor or frameshift) or missense mutations with a CADD score  $\geq$  30 (MIS30). SNVs with a CADD PHRED-scaled score > 30 were at the 264 265 top 0.01% across all potential ~9 billion SNVs and were therefore considered as having a high 266 likelihood to impact protein structure/function (Rentzsch et al., 2019). To control population structure, we performed a PCA analysis using PLINK 1.9 (Purcell et al., 2007), and we used 267 268 the first four components as covariables for all the burden analysis. We used GRAVITY 269 (http://gravity.pasteur.fr), an open-source Cytoscape app that allowed an efficient visualization 270 and analysis of all the exonic variants stored in a database by mapping them on protein-protein 271 interaction (PPI). Variants of interest were manually curated by visualization of aligned 272 sequencing data (BAM files) using IGV (Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2013). We used admixture to 273 ascertain the ancestry of the participants (Alexander & Lange, 2011). In our sample, 86% of 274 the participants were from European descent. We finally ascertained the genetic variants related to the GABAergic and glutamatergic pathways by using the KEGG database (Supplementary 275 276 Table 3) (Kanehisa et al., 2016; Kanehisa & Goto, 2000). We considered the GABAergic 277 synapse pathway (entry I04727) and the Glutamatergic synapse pathway (entIhsa04724) and 278 scored the number of deleterious mutations found in respectively the two pathways. At the end, 279 to explore the link between the dSSP score and the burden of genetic mutations in the GABAergic and/or glutamatergic pathways, we built a linear model with separately the dSSP, 280 hypo- and hyper-sensory scores and the carrier of variants within the GABAergic and 281

Glutamatergic pathways. We also used the bootstrap method and reported median p-values and 282 283 Cohen-d obtained across 2000 re-sampling. Although the association of the GABAergic and 284 Glutamatergic pathways with sensory processing differences (Puts et al., 2017) and hyper-285 sensitivity (Sapey-Triomphe et al, 2019) are suggested in literature, no direct relationship between the GABA/Glutamate ratio imbalance and a clinical hypo-sensitivity have been 286 reported. To explore these associations, we thus run linear models exploring the number of 287 288 deleterious variants within the GABAergic and Glutamatergic pathways considering the hypo-, and hyper- sensory scores and their interactions as variables of adjustment. 289

290

291 **RESULTS** 

292

# 293 dSSP scores in the PARIS Study and LEAP Study Samples

294 In accordance with our hypothesis, the variance of the dSSP scores significantly differed between autistic individuals and controls in the PARIS study samples with a trend for a more 295 296 hypersensitive sensory pattern in the autistic group than in controls. Despite a moderate sample 297 size, the intergroup comparison (pair by pair: proband, first-degree relatives and controls) did not reach significance with tiny effect sizes ( $\eta^2 < 0.04$ ) (Table 2). We however observed a high 298 299 heterogeneity in the variance of the dSSP scores between the three groups (Browne-Forsythe test: F=39.9, p=1.0 x 10<sup>-18</sup>) (Figures 1.B & C). Autistic individuals had a more extreme sensory 300 301 pattern on both sides of the distribution when compared to the other groups of individuals. The 302 first-degree relatives appeared with an intermediate distribution profile between autistic and typically developing participants. We then built a linear model for the dSSP scores including 303 304 group status (autistic participants, first-degree relatives, or controls), sex, age, and their 305 interactions (age, sex, group). A significant positive regression equation was found (F=1.7, 306 R<sup>2</sup>=0.05, p=0.001). We observed positives significant effects of age (F=12.1, p=0.0006,

 $\eta^{2}=0.02$ ), sex (F=7.9, p=0.005,  $\eta^{2}=0.02$ ), age x group interaction (F=4.31, p=0.01,  $\eta^{2}=0.018$ ) 307 and a tendency of group status effect (F=6.1, p=0.05,  $\eta^2$ =0.03). The dSSP increased with an 308 increase of age, with higher rates in males vs females and in the group of autism spectrum vs 309 310 relatives vs controls. No statistically significant interaction effect was found for sex x group status (F=0.82, p=0.44,  $\eta^2$ =0.003), sex x age (F=1.64, p=0.20,  $\eta^2$ =0.003), sex x group x age 311 (F=1.87, p=0.15,  $\eta^2$ =0.008). ANOVAs with repeated measures (at baseline, month 3 and Year 312 313 1 and 2) of the SSP and the dSSP scores did not show any developmental effect (F=1.3, p=0.29). 314

315 To replicate our findings, we performed a similar analysis on the LEAP study sample. We also observed a more hypersensitive sensory pattern in autistic individuals than in controls (t-316 value=2.28, dof=436, p=0.02, Cohen's d=3.67) (Table 2). Building a linear model similar to 317 318 the one used on the PARIS cohort, we also observed a significant regression equation (F=4.46, 319  $R^2=0.05$ , p<0.0001). We also reported a higher dSSP scores variance in autistic participants compared to controls (Brown-Forsythe test: F=51.3, p=3.4 x 10<sup>-12</sup>) (Figures 2.B & C) and 320 significative positives effects of age (F=6.6, p=0.01,  $\eta^2$ =0.01), sex (F=5.8, p=0.02,  $\eta^2$ =0.01) 321 and group status (F=4.6, p=0.03,  $\eta^2$ =0.007). The dSSP increased with an increase of age, with 322 higher rates in males vs females and in the autistic group vs controls. No statistically significant 323 interaction effect was found for sex x group status (F=0.31, p=0.57,  $\eta^2$ =0.0005), sex x age 324 (F=0.04, p=0.83,  $\eta^2$ =0.0008), age x group (F=1.92, p=0.17,  $\eta^2$ =0.003), and sex x group x age 325 interaction (F=0.20, p=0.65,  $\eta^2$ =0.0003). 326

327

328

## 329 Items-based Clustering

The VARCLUS procedure based on the item scores of the SSP scale reported in the autisticparticipants of the PARIS study sample, converged into 9 clusters with 60.5% of variance

332 explained (Supplementary Table 4; silhouette score=0.11, bootstrap empirical p-333 value<0.0001). The clusters we obtained were not similarly distributed in items considering the hypo-, hyper- sensitivities or uncertain [Chi<sup>2</sup> (dof=16, N=165)=31.2, p=0.01, Cramer's 334 335 V=0.64] (Supplementary Table 5). We re-ran the analysis on the LEAP study sample. We found 7 clusters which explained 63% of variance (Supplementary Table 6; silhouette score=-336 0.08; bootstrap empirical p-value <0.0001). The clusters showed also a statistically significant 337 338 difference in frequency of the items considering the hypo-, hyper- sensitivities or uncertain (Chi<sup>2</sup> (dof=12, N=384)=35.9, p=0.0003, Cramer's V=0.69) (Supplementary Table 7). 339

We then compared the clustering of the SSP items obtained among the autistic participants of the LEAP study sample to the one obtained on the Paris sample. Clusters derived from both PARIS and LEAP study samples were similar (Fowlkes-Mallows similarity score=0.56, p < 0.0001). Within each cluster, one item was more representative than the others (Supplementary Tables 4 & 6). Interestingly, two SSP items were described as the most representative of their own clusters in both PARIS and LEAP study samples: item 17 which was related to hyposensitivity and item 36 related to hyper-sensitivity.

347

# 348 dSSP score correlated with GABA and/or Glutamatergic pathway mutation enrichments in 349 the autistic group

We investigated if differences in dSSP scores were associated with a distinct burden of deleterious variations affecting genes related to the GABA and/or Glutamatergic pathways. We only considered for each subject the Likely Gene Disrupting (LGD) and predicted deleterious missense mutations (CADD>30) (Supplementary Figure). We first built a linear model for the dSSP score including the burden of gene mutations in GABAergic and/or the glutamatergic pathways, and their interactions. In autistic participants (n=135), our analysis reported a trend for a positive association with a burden of gene mutations in the GABAergic pathway but not 357 in the glutamatergic pathway, nor in the both pathways (R<sup>2</sup>=0.05, F=2.18, p=0.09; GABA: F=3.36, p=0.06,  $\eta^2$ =0.02; Glutamate: F=0.08, p=0.77,  $\eta^2$ =0.0008; both pathways: F=2.68, 358 p=0.11,  $\eta^2$ =0.02 (Supplementary Figure). Spearman's rank correlation was computed to assess 359 360 the relationship between the hypo-, hyper sensory & dSSP scores and the burden of gene 361 mutations in the GABAergic pathway and highlighted a trend for a positive relation 362 r(133)=0.33, p=0.05). We then performed a bootstrap analysis -across 2000 resampling- to explore further the relationship between the dSSP score and the burden of deleterious mutations 363 364 in the GABA pathway. We observed autistic individuals and with a high dSSP score indeed 365 reported a significant enrichment of deleterious mutations in the GABAergic gene pathway (p=0.004, d=1.15). We finally ran a similar analysis only in individuals from European ancestry 366 (based on the results of the admixture analysis) and we obtained a similar trend. 367

368

# 369 *Hypo-, hyper- sensory scores:*

In PARIS and LEAP study samples, the hypo-sensory score was significantly different between the autistic group and the others groups (PARIS: first-degree relatives and controls groups:  $R^2=0.3$ , F=93.45, p<0.0001, h<sup>2</sup>=0.30; LEAP: t-ratio=7.74, ddl=436, p<0.0001, Cohen's d=0.74), with a higher heterogeneity in the autistic group (PARIS: Brown-Forsythe test: F=18.28, p<0.0001; LEAP: Brown-Forsythe test: F=6.56, p=0.01).

Similarly, the hyper-sensory score was significantly different in the autistic group compared to the others groups (PARIS: first-degree relatives and controls groups,  $R^2=0.31$ , F=96.54, p<0.0001,  $h^2=0.31$ ; LEAP: controls group, t-ratio=9.28, ddl=436 p <0.0001, Cohen's-d=0.74), with a higher heterogeneity in the autistic group in the PARIS study sample (Brown-Forsythe Test: F=19.30, p<0.0001), not found in the LEAP study sample (Brown-Forsythe test: F=3.55, p=0.06). Results figure in Table 2. 381 The relation of the hypo- and hyper- scores with a distinct burden of deleterious variations 382 affecting genes related to the GABA and/or Glutamatergic pathways by considering for each 383 subject the Likely Gene Disrupting (LGD) and predicted deleterious missense mutations 384 (CADD>30) was explored. Despite an absence of statistical significance, the GABA F-value showed a trend for a negative association of the hypo-sensory score and the burden of gene 385 mutation in the GABAergic pathway ( $R^2=0.03$ , F=1.25, p=0.29; GABA: F=3.21, p=0.08, 386  $\eta^2$ =0.03; Glutamate: F=0.24, p=0.63,  $\eta^2$ =0.002; both pathways: F=0.01, p=0.92,  $\eta^2$ =0.0001). 387 However, no hyper-sensory score relation was observed with the with GABA and/or 388 389 Glutamatergic pathway mutation enrichments (R<sup>2</sup>=0.02, F=0.88, p=0.45; GABA: F=0.02, p=0.89,  $\eta^2$ =0.0002; Glutamate: F=1.10, p=0.29,  $\eta^2$ =0.01; both pathways: F=1.53, p=0.22, 390  $\eta^2 = 0.01$ ). 391

392 Exploring distinctly the two pathways and their relation with the sensory processing 393 atypicalities (i.e. hypo-, hyper sensory processing and their interaction), the linear models also 394 found no significant results, but an interesting trend for a negative association of the hypo-395 sensory score and the burden of gene mutation in the GABAergic pathway (GABA: R<sup>2</sup>=0.05, F=2.06, p=0.11; interaction: F=1.15, p=0.3,  $\eta^2$ =0.009; Hypo-sensory score: F=4.36, p=0.04, 396  $\eta^2$ =0.03; Hyper-sensory score F=1.85, p=0.18,  $\eta^2$ =0.01; Glutamatergic pathway: R<sup>2</sup>=0.01, 397 F=0.5, interaction F=0.23, p=0.63,  $\eta^2$ =0.002, Hypo-sensory score: F=0.05, p=0.82,  $\eta^2$ =0.0004; 398 Hyper-sensory score: F=0.68, p=0.41,  $\eta^2$ =0.005). Spearman's rank correlation was computed 399 400 to assess the relationship between the hypo-sensory score and the burden of gene mutations in the GABAergic pathway and highlighted a trend for a negative relation r(133)=-0.38, p=0.06). 401 These results suggested that the increase of mutations in the GABAergic genes would be related 402 403 to the decrease of the hypo sensory score.

404

405

## 406 **DISCUSSION**

407 Through our study, we aimed to further characterize sensory processing divergences in autism 408 spectrum and improve our ability to explore their potential underlying neurobiological 409 mechanisms (Sieman et al., 2020). We thus built a summarizing score - the dSSP score - which 410 showed that, on average, autistic individuals displayed a trend for a hyper-sensitivity profile, 411 reaching only significance in the LEAP sample (through its power to detect significant results). 412 This association may be driven by the load of comorbidity reported in the autistic individuals, since previous studies reported higher levels of sensory reactivity in those severity of associated 413 414 comorbidities (Kreiser & White, 2015, Tillmann et al., 2020). This association was observed 415 in individuals with comorbid anxiety or depressive symptoms (MacLennan, Rossow, et 416 Tavassoli, 2021; Rossow et MacLennan, 2021) but also somatic complaints (Lefter et al., 417 2020). Previous findings in the literature also reported a hyper- sensitivity profile in autistic 418 children. This hyper- sensitivity profile was previously associated with the severity of 419 expressive language deficit (Rossow et MacLennan, 2021 but also with early stages of their 420 developmental trajectory (Green et al., 2012, Ben-Sasson, Gal, Fluss, Katz-Zetler et Cermak, 421 2019) - as we reported both in the PARIS and the LEAP samples. In our study, we reported a 422 significant positive interaction (i.e. in favor of hyper-sensitivity) between dSSP score and 423 chronological age of the participants, which is coherent with the literature (Lane et al., 2022), 424 with a dSSP score stability across child development described by repeated measures on the 425 PARIS study. Although the sensory differences appear early in the developpement, the dSSP 426 stability appears as a good biomarker candidate of autism spectrum (Baranek et l., 2013, Estes 427 et al., 2015, Miguel et al., 2017, Aronson et Ferner, 2017).

In our study, we also observed a larger dSSP score variability in autistic individuals than in controls which is in line with the heterogeneity reported in autism spectrum in many research areas, such as brain imaging (Masi et al., 2017). Our results stressed further the need

for partial phenotypes beyond categorical diagnosis to help in patients' stratification and 431 432 delineate more homogeneous subgroups (Wolfers et al., 2019, Proff et al., 2021). The dSSP 433 score may offer a relevant setting to explore the heterogeneity in autism spectrum (Lombardo 434 et al, 2019). It could also pave new ways to determine the biological mechanisms associated with sensory sensitivities in autism. Although our results need to be replicated in larger samples 435 436 and combined with additional dimensions, the dSSP score may help to uncover new sub-groups 437 with more coherent neurobiological mechanisms (Uljarević et al., 2016; Bruinning et al., 2020). Interestingly, the intermediate dSSP score distribution we observed in the first-degree relatives 438 439 between autistic individuals or those with typical development, suggested a determination of the dSSP score by inherited biological substrates (Neufeld et al, 2021), which was in line with 440 441 our initial hypothesis.

442 To validate the empirical construct of the dSSP score, we performed a data driven 443 approach of the hypo- and hyper- sensory symptoms related items. The cluster analysis revealed a very similar distribution of the items encompassed in the data-driven clusters 444 445 compared to those included in the two dimensions we empirically built. While it does not give 446 two clusters, the automated analysis still support that this two-dimensional perspective on sensory sensitivities in autism spectrum (hypo-, hyper- sensitivity dimensions) (Baranek, David, 447 448 Poe, Stone, & Watson, 2006) is statistically relevant on top of being clinically easier to interpret. 449 The data-driven analysis revealed that two items that emerged as being highly representative 450 of both clusters were identified, whatever the PARIS or the LEAP cohort we considered: item 17 (Supplementary Table 1: Item17 - "Becomes overly excitable during movement activity") 451 drove mainly the variability of the hypo-sensitivity related cluster, and item 36 (Supplementary 452 453 Table 1 : Item 36 - "Is bothered by bright lights after others have adapted to the light") the 454 variability of the hyper- sensitivity related cluster. Beyond the simple dichotomy of sensitivity 455 anomalies in autism spectrum, the dSSP score integrated the personal sensory processing 456 impairment into a ratio score facilitating for example the indirect exploration of the relationship457 between these symptoms and the E/I imbalance (Pierce et al., 2021).

458 We finally performed an exploratory analysis to explore the relationship between the 459 dSSP score and the load of deleterious mutations affecting the genes of glutamatergic & 460 GABAergic pathways (Supplementary Table 3). Individuals with a high dSSP score i.e., those with an excess of hyper sensory processing sensitivities, displayed a significant trend for the 461 462 enrichment of deleterious gene mutations in the GABAergic pathway. Our results were in accordance with numerous reports describing the association between genes affecting directly 463 464 (such as GABRA4, GRIN1) or indirectly (such CACNA1C, SHANK1-3, CNTN3-6) the GABAergic pathway homeostasis and sensory processing divergences in autism spectrum 465 (Leblond et al, 2014; Mercati et al, 2017; Tavassoli et al, 2021, Hartig et al., 2021). The excess 466 467 load of deleterious mutations affecting the genes of GABAergic pathway reported in our study 468 may reduce the GABAergic tone (Ferguson and Gao, 2018) - as previously showed (Sapey-Triomphe et al., 2019) - and may explain the hypersensitivity observed in autism spectrum. 469 470 Furthermore, our result suggested an association between these deleterious mutations affecting 471 the genes of GABAergic pathway are associated with "less hypo-sensitivity". These results concord with the effect of the sensory experience in early life on the brain, as highlighted by 472 473 the supranormal sensitivity reported after visual stimulation occurring following a period of 474 dark rearing at the peak of the critical period for plasticity (Hensch, 1998). Our study supports 475 the hypothesis of a potential link between GABAergic pathway and hypo-sensitivity.

476

# 477 LIMITATIONS

One major limitation of the results we obtained was the difficulty of the dSSP score todiscriminate participants with a similar quantum of hypo- and hyper- sensory symptoms

resulting in a ratio score of 0 to those with no such symptoms but also resulting in a similarratio score of 0.

482 Additional questionnaires such as the Sensory Experience Questionnaire may have 483 been more efficient to explore the hypo- and hyper- sensitivity in autism spectrum, but none of them better account for the unequal distribution between the two sensory modalities and the 484 485 subtypes of sensory processing differences. Moreover, the SSP is robustly employed in large-486 scale projects in the field of autism (Autism Speaks Autism Treatment Network (Lajonchere, Jones, Coury & Perrin, 2012), EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (Charman et 487 488 al., 2017)). The SSP allows to split these symptoms into 2 distinct dimensions we aimed to 489 consider in our study. However, our results must be considered with caution since SSP items 490 are unequally distributed across sensory modalities and subtypes of sensory processing 491 differences. Moreover, the clinicians may have been influenced by the theory relating 492 hyposensitivity and seeking when rating the items 15-21 (including the item 17) related to the 493 hypo-sensitivity. However, this theory is not confirmed in the literature (MacLennan, O'Brien 494 & Tavassoli, 2022). The "uncertain" item 13 rating could also be discussed. Item 13 relates to 495 the fear of heights and heights. Hypo-sensitivity to vestibular sensation would generally present 496 as an absence of fear and the clusters on PARIS and LEAP classified item 13 with hyper items. 497 However, this item was associated with hypo-sensory by half of the raters, probably related to 498 anticipatory anxiety of mismanagement of danger due to the hyposensitivity. This discordant 499 classification could be the result of understanding item bias. Nevertheless, as both items 500 resulted in a discordant classification between raters, they were not considered in constructing the dSSP. This exclusion must be considered when interpreting the dSSP score, which provides 501 502 additional information to the SSP score but must not be interpreted according to the SSP score. 503 The Kendall rank correlation coefficients for inter-rater agreement were relatively low 504 (Akoglu, 2018), but acceptable considering the number of items (n=36), and of raters (n=4).

505 Despite our cluster analyses trending to validate the empirical construct of the dSSP score, the
506 validation of this two mains dimensions construction (hypo- and hyper- sensitivity dimensions)
507 as coherent constructs from the SSP need further analyses in future studies.

508 On the other hand, our results add support to a potential association between hypo-509 sensory alterations and deleterious mutations in GABAergic genes; however, those findings 510 have to be taken with caution and would require replication on a larger population. Specifically, 511 the sample size of the molecular analysis was very limited but should be considered as a 512 highlight of the opportunity to use the dSSP score as a tool to dissect the biology of autism 513 spectrum. The lack of power of this sub-analysis in our study requested the use of a MAF below 514 10% which was not a standard in such similar molecular studies but with larger sample sizes. 515 Obviously, those results are calling for a replication of the association between the dSSP and 516 the hypo-sensory scores, as well as with the increased numbers of deleterious mutations in GABAergic genes in autism spectrum. Subsets within the data could also have reduced the 517 518 power offered for multiple comparisons, more focused analysis would be done in further 519 research. Furthermore, the highlighting of the hypo sensory score when exploring the sensory 520 processing disabilities in ASD questioned the hypothesis of increased sensory precision which 521 is currently gaining more traction in the literature explaining sensory differences in ASD by 522 impairments of bayesian inference (Palmer et al. 2017, Karvelis et al., 2018). Actually, this overrepresentation of the hyper-sensitivity compared to the hypo-sensitivity in the descriptions 523 524 of autism spectrum could be the consequence of a reporting bias. This reporting bias stress out 525 the need of quantitative methods to explore sensory sensitivities and to complete the available 526 questionnaires such as SSP based on observational, non-quantitative scales (Yamazaki et al., 527 2014, Shaaf & Lane, 2015)

528

529

## 530 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the dSSP score we built in this study may facilitate the exploration of hypo- vs. hyper-sensory processing heterogeneity in autism and the identification of the associated neuro-biological mechanisms. One further step would be to estimate the E/I imbalance in autism spectrum by using electroencephalography (Bruining et al, 2020), and explore its correlation with the ratio of hypo- vs. hyper-sensitivity processing patterns summarized by the dSSP score.

537

# 538 AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

539 The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due

to an embargo period but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

541

## 542 ABBREVIATIONS

- 543 ADI-R: Autism Diagnosis Interview-Revised
- 544 ADOS-2: Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale second edition
- 545 ADOS-2 CSS: Autism diagnostic observation schedule -second edition calibrated severity

546 score.

- 547 AS: Autism Spectrum
- 548 BAM: Binary alignment map
- 549 DSM: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders
- 550 CADD score: Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion score
- 551 dSSP: differential short sensory profile
- 552 EU-AIMS: European Autism Interventions A Multicenter Study for Developing New
- 553 Medications
- 554 GABA: Gamma aminobutyric acid

- 555 IQ: Intellectual quotient
- 556 KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and genomes
- 557 LEAP: Longitudinal European Autism Project
- 558 LGD: Likely gene disruptive
- 559 MAF: Minor allele frequency
- 560 MIS30: Missense mutations with a CADD score  $\geq$ 30
- 561 PARIS: Paris Autism Research International Sibpair
- 562 PCA: Principal component analysis
- 563 PCR: Polymerase chain reaction
- 564 PPI: protein-protein interaction
- 565 SSP: short sensory profile
- 566 SNV: Single Nucleotide Variants
- 567 TD: Typically developing
- 568 VEP: Variant Effect Predictor
- 569

# 570 **REFERENCES**

- 571 Alexander, D. H., & Lange, K. (2011). Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for
- individual ancestry estimation. BMC bioinformatics, 12, 246. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
- 573 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
- 574 Disorders. American Psychiatric Association.
- 575 http://psychiatryonline.org/doi/book/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- 576 Akoglu H. (2018). User's guide to correlation coefficients. Turkish journal of
- 577 *emergency medicine*, 18(3), 91–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjem.2018.08.001
- 578 Aronson, J. K., & Ferner, R. E. (2017). Biomarkers-A General Review. Current Protocols in
- 579 *Pharmacology*, 76, 9.23.1-9.23.17. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpph.19

- 580 Balasco, L., Pagani, M., Pangrazzi, L., Chelini, G., Viscido, F., Chama, A., Galbusera, A.,
- 581 Provenzano, G., Gozzi, A., & Bozzi, Y. (2022). Somatosensory cortex hyperconnectivity and
- 582 impaired whisker-dependent responses in Cntnap2-/- mice. Neurobiology of disease, 169,
- 583 105742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2022.105742
- 584 Baranek, G. T., David, F. J., Poe, M. D., Stone, W. L., & Watson, L. R. (2006). Sensory
- 585 Experiences Questionnaire: discriminating sensory features in young children with autism,
- 586 developmental delays, and typical development. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*,
- 587 47(6), 591-601. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01546.x
- 588 Baranek, G. T., Watson, L. R., Boyd, B. A., Poe, M. D., David, F. J., & McGuire, L. (2013).
- 589 Hyporesponsiveness to social and nonsocial sensory stimuli in children with autism, children
- with developmental delays, and typically developing children. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(2), 307-320. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412001071
- 592 Ben-Sasson, A., Gal, E., Fluss, R., Katz-Zetler, N., & Cermak, S. A. (2019). Update of a meta-
- 593 analysis of sensory symptoms in ASD: A new decade of research. Journal of Autism and
- 594 Developmental Disorders, 49(12), 4974-4996. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-04180-0
- 595 Bonnet-Brilhault, F., Alirol, S., Blanc, R., Bazaud, S., Marouillat, S., Thépault, R. A., Andres,
- 596 C. R., Lemonnier, É., Barthélémy, C., Raynaud, M., & others. (2016). GABA/Glutamate
- 597 synaptic pathways targeted by integrative genomic and electrophysiological explorations
- 598 distinguish autism from intellectual disability. Molecular psychiatry, 21(3), 411-418.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.75
- Bruining, H., Hardstone, R., Juarez-Martinez, E. L., Sprengers, J., Avramiea, A. E., Simpraga,
- 601 S., Houtman, S. J., Poil, S. S., Dallares, E., Palva, S., Oranje, B., Matias Palva, J., Mansvelder,
- H. D., & Linkenkaer-Hansen, K. (2020). Measurement of excitation-inhibition ratio in autism
- 603 spectrum disorder using critical brain dynamics. Scientific reports, 10(1), 9195.
- 604 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65500-4

- Charman, T. (2015). The new genetics of autism : A translational opportunity? *The Lancet*. *Psychiatry*, 2(10), 856-857. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00389-2
- 607 Charman, T., Loth, E., Tillmann, J., Crawley, D., Wooldridge, C., Goyard, D., Ahmad, J.,
- 608 Auyeung, B., Ambrosino, S., Banaschewski, T., Baron-Cohen, S., Baumeister, S., Beckmann,
- 609 C., Bölte, S., Bourgeron, T., Bours, C., Brammer, M., Brandeis, D., Brogna, C., ... Buitelaar,
- 610 J. K. (2017). The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): Clinical
- 611 characterisation. *Molecular Autism*, *8*, 27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0145-9
- 612 Chen, Q., Deister, C. A., Gao, X., Guo, B., Lynn-Jones, T., Chen, N., Wells, M. F., Liu, R.,
- 613 Goard, M. J., Dimidschstein, J., Feng, S., Shi, Y., Liao, W., Lu, Z., Fishell, G., Moore, C. I., &
- Feng, G. (2020). Dysfunction of cortical GABAergic neurons leads to sensory hyper-reactivity
- 615 in a Shank3 mouse model of ASD. *Nature neuroscience*, 23(4), 520–532.
  616 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0598-6
- 617 Dellapiazza, F., Vernhet, C., Blanc, N., Miot, S., Schmidt, R., & Baghdadli, A. (2018). Links between sensory processing, adaptive behaviours, and attention in children with autism 618 619 disorder : systematic review. Psychiatry spectrum А Research. 270. 78-88. 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.09.023
- Dickinson, A., Jones, M., & Milne, E. (2016). Measuring neural excitation and inhibition in
  autism: different approaches, different findings and different interpretations. *Brain research*, *1648*, 277-289.
- Dunn, W., & Westman, K. (1997). The sensory profile : The performance of a national sample
- 625 of children without disabilities. The American Journal of Occupational Therapy: Official
- 626 Publication of the American Occupational Therapy Association, 51(1), 25-34.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.51.1.25
- 628 Estes, A., Zwaigenbaum, L., Gu, H., St John, T., Paterson, S., Elison, J. T., Hazlett, H.,
- Botteron, K., Dager, S. R., Schultz, R. T., Kostopoulos, P., Evans, A., Dawson, G., Eliason, J.,

- Alvarez, S., Piven, J., & IBIS network. (2015). Behavioral, cognitive, and adaptive
  development in infants with autism spectrum disorder in the first 2 years of life. *Journal of*
- 632 *Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 7(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-015-9117-6Fowlkes,
- E. B., & Mallows, C. L. (1983). A Method for Comparing Two Hierarchical Clusterings.
- 634 Journal of the American Statistical Association, 78(383), 553-569.
  635 https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1983.10478008
- 636 Ferguson, B. R., & Gao, W. J. (2018). PV Interneurons: Critical Regulators of E/I Balance for
- 637 Prefrontal Cortex-Dependent Behavior and Psychiatric Disorders. Frontiers in neural circuits,
- 638 *12*, 37. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2018.00037
- Goel, A., & Portera-Cailliau, C. (2019). Autism in the Balance: Elevated E-I Ratio as a
  Homeostatic Stabilization of Synaptic Drive. *Neuron*, 101(4), 543–545.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.033
- 642 Gogolla, N., Takesian, A. E., Feng, G., Fagiolini, M., & Hensch, T. K. (2014). Sensory
- 643 integration in mouse insular cortex reflects GABA circuit maturation. *Neuron*, 83(4), 894–905.
- 644 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.06.033
- 645 Green, S. A., Ben-Sasson, A., Soto, T. W., & Carter, A. S. (2012). Anxiety and sensory over-
- 646 responsivity in toddlers with autism spectrum disorders : Bidirectional effects across time.
- 647 Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42(6), 1112-1119.
- 648 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1361-3
- Haider, B., Häusser, M., & Carandini, M. (2013). Inhibition dominates sensory responses in
  the awake cortex. *Nature*, 493(7430), 97–100. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11665
- Hall, J., Trent, S., Thomas, K. L., O'Donovan, M. C., & Owen, M. J. (2015). Genetic Risk for
- 652 Schizophrenia: Convergence on Synaptic Pathways Involved in Plasticity. Biological
- 653 *Psychiatry*, 77(1), 52-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.07.011

- Hartig, R., Wolf, D., Schmeisser, M. J., & Kelsch, W. (2021). Genetic influences of autism
- candidate genes on circuit wiring and olfactory decoding. Cell and tissue research, 383(1), 581–
  595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-020-03390-8
- He, C. X., Cantu, D. A., Mantri, S. S., Zeiger, W. A., Goel, A., & Portera-Cailliau, C. (2017).
- Tactile Defensiveness and Impaired Adaptation of Neuronal Activity in the *Fmr1* Knock-Out
- 659 Mouse Model of Autism. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
- 660 *Neuroscience*, *37*(27), 6475–6487. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0651-17.2017
- 661 Hensch, T. K. (1998). Local GABA Circuit Control of Experience-Dependent Plasticity in
- 662 Developing Visual Cortex. Science, 282(5393), 1504–1508.
  663 doi:10.1126/science.282.5393.1504
- Kanehisa, M., & Goto, S. (2000). KEGG : Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 28(1), 27-30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27
- 666 Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M., & Tanabe, M. (2016). KEGG as a
- reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(D1), D457-62.
- 668 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070
- 669 Karczewski, K. J., Francioli, L. C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B. B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins,
- 670 R. L., Laricchia, K. M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D. P., Gauthier, L. D., Brand, H., Solomonson,
- 671 M., Watts, N. A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., Seaby, E. G., Kosmicki, J. A., Walters, R. K.,
- 672 ... MacArthur, D. G. (2019). Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals
- 673 the spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. *BioRxiv*,
- 674 531210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/531210</u>
- 675 Karvelis, P., Seitz, A. R., Lawrie, S. M., & Seriès, P. (2018). Autistic traits, but not schizotypy,
- 676 predict increased weighting of sensory information in Bayesian visual integration. *eLife*, 7,
- 677 e34115. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34115

- 678 Kircher, M., Witten, D. M., Jain, P., O'Roak, B. J., Cooper, G. M., & Shendure, J. (2014). A
- 679 general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. *Nature*
- 680 *genetics*, 46(3), 310-315. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2892</u>
- Knoth, I. S., Vannasing, P., Major, P., Michaud, J. L., & Lippé, S. (2014). Alterations of visual
- and auditory evoked potentials in fragile X syndrome. *International Journal of Developmental*
- 683 Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the International Society for Developmental
- 684 *Neuroscience*, *36*, 90-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2014.05.003</u>
- 685 Kreiser, N. L., & White, S. W. (2015). ASD Traits and Co-occurring Psychopathology: The
- 686 Moderating Role of Gender. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 45(12), 3932-
- 687 3938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-015-2580-9
- Kruth, K. A., Grisolano, T. M., Ahern, C. A., & Williams, A. J. (2020). SCN2A
  channelopathies in the autism spectrum of neuropsychiatric disorders: a role for pluripotent
- 690 stem cells?. *Molecular autism*, 11(1), 23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-020-00330-9</u>
- 691 Lajonchere, C., Jones, N. E., Coury, D. L. & Perrin, J. M. (2012). Leadership in health care,
- 692 research, and quality improvement for children and adolescents with autism spectrum
- 693 disorders: Autism Treatment Network and Autism Intervention Research Network on Physical
- 694 Health. *Pediatrics*, 130, S62-8.
- Lane, A. E., Simpson, K., Masi, A., Grove, R., Moni, M. A., Montgomery, A., Roberts, J.,
- 696 Silove, N., Whalen, O., Whitehouse, A., & Eapen, V. (2022). Patterns of sensory modulation
- by age and sex in young people on the autism spectrum. Autism research : official journal of
- the International Society for Autism Research, 15(10), 1840–1854.
- 699 https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2762
- 700 LeBlanc, J. J., & Fagiolini, M. (2011). Autism: a "critical period" disorder?. Neural plasticity,
- 701 2011, 921680. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/921680

- 702 Lefebvre, A., Cohen, A., Maruani, A., Amsellem, F., Beggiato, A., Amestoy, A., Moal, M. L.,
- 703 Umbricht, D., Chatham, C., Murtagh, L., Bouvard, M., Leboyer, M., Bourgeron, T., &
- 704 Delorme, R. (2021). Discriminant value of repetitive behaviors in families with autism
- 705 spectrum disorder and obsessional compulsive disorder probands. *Autism research : official*
- 706 journal of the International Society for Autism Research, 14(11), 2373–2382.
  707 https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2570
- Lefter, R., Ciobica, A., Timofte, D., Stanciu, C., & Trifan, A. (2020). A descriptive review on
  the prevalence of gastrointestinal disturbances and their multiple associations in autism
  spectrum disorder. *Medicina*, 56(1), 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina56010011</u>
- 711 Leblond, C. S., Nava, C., Polge, A., Gauthier, J., Huguet, G., Lumbroso, S., Giuliano, F.,
- 712 Stordeur, C., Depienne, C., Mouzat, K., Pinto, D., Howe, J., Lemière, N., Durand, C. M.,
- 713 Guibert, J., Ey, E., Toro, R., Peyre, H., Mathieu, A., Amsellem, F., ... Bourgeron, T. (2014).
- 714 Meta-analysis of SHANK Mutations in Autism Spectrum Disorders: a gradient of severity in
- 715
   cognitive
   impairments.
   PLoS
   genetics,
   10(9),
   e1004580.

   716
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004580

   <
- 717 Loth, E., Charman, T., Mason, L., Tillmann, J., Jones, E., Wooldridge, C., Ahmad, J., Auyeung,
- 718 B., Brogna, C., Ambrosino, S., Banaschewski, T., Baron-Cohen, S., Baumeister, S., Beckmann,
- 719 C., Brammer, M., Brandeis, D., Bölte, S., Bourgeron, T., Bours, C., de Bruijn, Y., ... Buitelaar,
- 720 J. K. (2017). The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): design and
- 721 methodologies to identify and validate stratification biomarkers for autism spectrum disorders.
- 722 *Molecular autism*, 8, 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0146-8
- 723 MacCullagh, E. A., Rotschafer, S. E., Auerbach, B. D., Klug, A., Kaczmarek, L. K., Cramer,
- 724 K. S., Kulesza, R. J., Jr, Razak, K. A., Lovelace, J. W., Lu, Y., Koch, U., & Wang, Y. (2020).
- 725 Mechanisms underlying auditory processing deficits in Fragile X syndrome. FASEB journal :

- official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, 34(3),
- 727 3501–3518. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201902435R
- 728 McIntosh, D. N., Miller, L. J., Shyu, V., & Dunn, W. (1999). Development and validation of
- the short sensory profile. *Sensory profile manual*, *61*, 59-73.
- 730 MacLennan, K., Rossow, T., & Tavassoli, T. (2021). The relationship between sensory
- reactivity, intolerance of uncertainty and anxiety subtypes in preschool-age autistic children.
- 732 *Autism*, 13623613211016110. https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613211016110
- 733 MacLennan, K., O'Brien, S. & Tavassoli, T. In Our Own Words: The Complex Sensory
- Experiences of Autistic Adults. J Autism Dev Disord 52, 3061–3075 (2022).
- 735 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05186-3
- 736 McLaren, W., Gil, L., Hunt, S. E., Riat, H. S., Ritchie, G. R. S., Thormann, A., Flicek, P., &
- 737 Cunningham, F. (2016). The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biology*, 17(1), 122.
- 738 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4
- 739 Masi, A., DeMayo, M. M., Glozier, N., & Guastella, A. J. (2017). An Overview of Autism
- 740 Spectrum Disorder, Heterogeneity and Treatment Options. *Neuroscience Bulletin*, 33(2),
- 741 183-193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-017-0100-y
- 742 Mikkelsen, M., Wodka, E. L., Mostofsky, S. H., & Puts, N. A. J. (2018). Autism spectrum
- 743 disorder in the scope of tactile processing. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 29,
- 744 140-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2016.12.005
- 745 Mercati, O., Huguet, G., Danckaert, A., André-Leroux, G., Maruani, A., Bellinzoni, M.,
- Rolland, T., Gouder, L., Mathieu, A., Buratti, J., Amsellem, F., Benabou, M., Van-Gils, J.,
- 747 Beggiato, A., Konyukh, M., Bourgeois, J. P., Gazzellone, M. J., Yuen, R. K., Walker, S.,
- 748 Delépine, M., ... Bourgeron, T. (2017). CNTN6 mutations are risk factors for abnormal
- auditory sensory perception in autism spectrum disorders. *Molecular psychiatry*, 22(4), 625–
- 750 633. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.61

- 751 Neufeld, J., Taylor, M. J., Lundin Remnélius, K., Isaksson, J., Lichtenstein, P., & Bölte, S.
- 752 (2021). A co-twin-control study of altered sensory processing in autism. Autism, 25(5), 1422-
- 753 1432. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361321991255
- 754 O Miguel, H., Sampaio, A., Martínez-Regueiro, R., Gómez-Guerrero, L., López-Dóriga, C. G.,
- 755 Gómez, S., Carracedo, Á., & Fernández-Prieto, M. (2017). Touch Processing and Social
- 756 Behavior in ASD. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 47(8), 2425-2433.
- 757 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3163-8
- 758 Orefice, L. L., Mosko, J. R., Morency, D. T., Wells, M. F., Tasnim, A., Mozeika, S. M., Ye,
- 759 M., Chirila, A. M., Emanuel, A. J., Rankin, G., Fame, R. M., Lehtinen, M. K., Feng, G., &
- Ginty, D. D. (2019). Targeting Peripheral Somatosensory Neurons to Improve Tactile-Related
- 761 Phenotypes in ASD Models. Cell, 178(4), 867–886.e24.
  762 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.024
- Palmer, C. J., Lawson, R. P., & Hohwy, J. (2017). Bayesian approaches to autism: Towards
  volatility, action, and behavior. *Psychological bulletin*, 143(5), 521–542.
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000097
- 766 Pierce, S., Kadlaskar, G., Edmondson, D. A., McNally Keehn, R., Dydak, U., & Keehn, B.
- 767 (2021). Associations between sensory processing and electrophysiological and neurochemical
- 768 measures in children with ASD: an EEG-MRS study. Journal of neurodevelopmental
- 769 *disorders*, 13(1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-020-09351-0
- Proff, I., Williams, G. L., Quadt, L., & Garfinkel, S. N. (2021). Sensory processing in autism across
  exteroceptive and interoceptive domains. *Psychology & Neuroscience*. Advance online
- publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/pne0000262
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., Maller, J.,

- 774 Sklar, P., de Bakker, P. I. W., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK : A Tool Set for
- 775 Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. American Journal of
- 776 *Human Genetics*, 81(3), 559-575.
- 777 Puts, N., Wodka, E. L., Harris, A. D., Crocetti, D., Tommerdahl, M., Mostofsky, S. H., &
- Edden, R. (2017). Reduced GABA and altered somatosensory function in children with autism
- spectrum disorder. Autism research : official journal of the International Society for Autism
- 780 *Research*, 10(4), 608–619. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1691
- 781 Rentzsch, J., Thoma, L., Gaudlitz, K., Tänzer, N., Gallinat, J., Kathmann, N., Ströhle, A., &
- 782 Plag, J. (2019). Reduced Sensitivity to Non-Fear-Related Stimulus Changes in Panic Disorder.
- 783 *Neuropsychobiology*, 78(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1159/000498867
- Robertson, C. E., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2017). Sensory perception in autism. *Nature Reviews*
- 785 *Neuroscience*, *18*(11), 671-684. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.112
- 786 Rossow, T., MacLennan, K., & Tavassoli, T. (2022). The Predictive Relationship Between
- 787 Sensory Reactivity and Depressive Symptoms in Young Autistic Children with Few to No
- 788 Words. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 1–11. Advance online publication.
- 789 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-022-05528-9
- Rousseeuw, P. J. (1987). Silhouettes : A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of
- relation of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 20, 53-65.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7
- Rubenstein, J.L.R. and Merzenich, M.M. (2003), Model of autism: increased ratio of
  excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. Genes, Brain and Behavior, 2: 255-267.
  https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1601-183X.2003.00037.x
- 796 Sapey-Triomphe, L.-A., Lamberton, F., Sonié, S., Mattout, J., & Schmitz, C. (2019). Tactile
- 797 hypersensitivity and GABA concentration in the sensorimotor cortex of adults with autism.

- 798 Autism Research: Official Journal of the International Society for Autism Research.
  799 https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2073
- 800 Schaaf, R. C., & Lane, A. E. (2015). Toward a Best-Practice Protocol for Assessment of
- 801 Sensory Features in ASD. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 45(5), 1380–1395.
- 802 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2299-z
- 803 Schulz, S. E., & Stevenson, R. A. (2019). Sensory hypersensitivity predicts repetitive
- 804 behaviours in autistic and typically-developing children. *Autism : the international journal of*
- 805 *research and practice*, 23(4), 1028–1041. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361318774559
- 806 Sears, S. M., & Hewett, S. J. (2021). Influence of glutamate and GABA transport on brain
- 807 excitatory/inhibitory balance. Experimental biology and medicine (Maywood, N.J.), 246(9),
- 808 1069–1083. https://doi.org/10.1177/1535370221989263
- 809 Siemann, J. K., Veenstra-VanderWeele, J., & Wallace, M. T. (2020). Approaches to
- 810 Understanding Multisensory Dysfunction in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Autism research :
- 811 official journal of the International Society for Autism Research, 13(9), 1430–1449.
- 812 https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2375
- 813 Sinclair, D., Oranje, B., Razak, K. A., Siegel, S. J., & Schmid, S. (2017). Sensory processing
- 814 in autism spectrum disorders and Fragile X syndrome-From the clinic to animal models.
- 815 *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*, 76(Pt B), 235–253.
- 816 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.05.029
- 817 Sohal, V.S., Rubenstein, J.L.R. Excitation-inhibition balance as a framework for
  818 investigating mechanisms in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Mol Psychiatry* 24, 1248–1257
- 819 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0426-0
- 820 Tavassoli, T., Layton, C., Levy, T., Rowe, M., George-Jones, J., Zweifach, J., Lurie, S.,
- 821 Buxbaum, J. D., Kolevzon, A., & Siper, P. M. (2021). Sensory Reactivity Phenotype in Phelan-

- McDermid Syndrome Is Distinct from Idiopathic ASD. Genes, 12(7), 977.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12070977
- 824 Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T., & Mesirov, J. P. (2013). Integrative Genomics Viewer
- 825 (IGV): High-performance genomics data visualisation and exploration. Briefings in
- 826 *Bioinformatics*, 14(2), 178-192. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs017
- 827 Tillmann, J., Uljarevic, M., Crawley, D. et al. Dissecting the phenotypic heterogeneity in
- 828 sensory features in autism spectrum disorder: a factor mixture modelling approach.
- 829 *Molecular Autism* 11, 67 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-020-00367-w
- 830 Thye, M. D., Bednarz, H. M., Herringshaw, A. J., Sartin, E. B., & Kana, R. K. (2018). The
- 831 impact of atypical sensory processing on social impairments in autism spectrum disorder.
- 832
   Developmental
   cognitive
   neuroscience,
   29,
   151–167.

   833
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2017.04.010

   </t
- 834 Uljarević, M., Baranek, G., Vivanti, G., Hedley, D., Hudry, K., & Lane, A. (2017).
- 835 Heterogeneity of sensory features in autism spectrum disorder : Challenges and perspectives
- 836 for future research. *Autism Research: Official Journal of the International Society for Autism*
- 837 Research, 10(5), 703-710. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1747
- 838 Uljarević, M., Lane, A., Kelly, A., & Leekam, S. (2016). Sensory subtypes and anxiety in older
- 839 children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder : Sensory Subtypes and Anxiety in
- 840 Autism. Autism Research, 9(10), 1073-1078. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1602
- Umesawa Y, Atsumi T, Chakrabarty M, Fukatsu R, Ide M. GABA concentration in the left
  ventral premotor cortex associates with sensory hyper-responsiveness in autism spectrum
  disorders without intellectual disability. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:482. doi:
  10.3389/fnins.2020.00482.
- 845 Ward J. (2019). Individual differences in sensory sensitivity: A synthesizing framework and
- evidence from normal variation and developmental conditions. Cognitive neuroscience, 10(3),
- 847 139–157. https://doi.org/10.1080/17588928.2018.1557131

- 848 Williams, Z.J., Failla, M.D., Gotham, K.O. *et al.* Psychometric Evaluation of the Short Sensory
- 849 Profile in Youth with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord 48, 4231–4249 (2018).
- 850 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3678-7
- 851 Williams, Z. J., He, J. L., Cascio, C. J., & Woynaroski, T. G. (2021). A review of decreased
- sound tolerance in autism: Definitions, phenomenology, and potential mechanisms. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*, 121, 1–17.
- 854 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.11.030
- 855 Wolfers, T., Floris, D. L., Dinga, R., van Rooij, D., Isakoglou, C., Kia, S. M., Zabihi, M., Llera,
- A., Chowdanayaka, R., Kumar, V. J., Peng, H., Laidi, C., Batalle, D., Dimitrova, R., Charman,
- 857 T., Loth, E., Lai, M.-C., Jones, E., Baumeister, S., ... Beckmann, C. F. (2019). From pattern
- 858 classification to stratification : Towards conceptualising the heterogeneity of Autism Spectrum
- Biobehavioral Reviews, 104, 240-254.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.07.010
- 861 Woolston, A., Tu, Y.-K., Baxter, P. D., & Gilthorpe, M. S. (2012). A comparison of different
- approaches to unravel the latent structure within metabolic syndrome. *PloS One*, 7(4), e34410.
- 863 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034410
- 864 Yamasaki, T., Maekawa, T., Takahashi, H., Fujita, T., Kamio, Y., & Tobimatsu, S. (2014).
- 865 Electrophysiology of visual and auditory perception in autism spectrum disorders.866 *Comprehensive guide to autism*, 791-808.
- 867

# 868 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 869 We gratefully acknowledge the commitment of the participants and their families who
- 870 volunteered for the PARIS and LEAP studies. We also thank the Clinical Investigation Center
- 871 of the Robert Debre Hospital (Paris, France) for its active involvement in the study.

872

## 873 FUNDING

Concerning the PARIS cohort, this study was a part of a clinical trial C07-33 sponsored by 874 875 INSERM. It was financially supported by the funding of the Institut Pasteur, INSERM, the 876 Fondation FondaMental, the APHP, the DHU Protect, the Fondation de France, the Labex BioPsy, the Fondation à la Recherche Médicale and by the Roche Institute for Research and 877 Translational Medicine, of the Investissements d'Avenir program managed by the ANR under 878 879 reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02, ANR-10-COHO-10-01, ANR-12-SAMA-0014, by the French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), by the Medical Research Foundation 880 881 (FRM).

Concerning the LEAP cohort, this research was supported by EU-AIMS (European Autism 882 Intervention), with support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (grant 883 884 agreement no. 115300), the resources of which were composed of financial contributions from 885 the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (grant FP7/2007-2013), from the European 886 Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations companies' in-kind contributions, 887 and from Autism Speaks. It was also supported by Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint 888 Undertaking Grant No. 115300 (to LM, TC, JT, EL, HH, JB, MHJ, EJ, and the members of the EU-AIMS LEAP Group) for the EU-AIMS project, and Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint 889 Undertaking Grant No. 777394 (to TDB, LM, TC, JT, EL, HH, JB, MHJ, and EJ) for the AIMS-890 891 2-TRIALS project. This Joint Undertaking received support from the European Union's 892 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, European Federation of Pharmaceutical 893 Industries and Associations, Autism Speaks, Autistica and Simons Foundation Autism Research Initiative. 894

895

### 896 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

897 Contributions

898	AL, FC, JT, FA, AB, AM, DG, AA, AG, FB, GH, CB, MLLM, MB, ML, TC, TB, RD, GD
899	designed the study. AL, FA, AB, AM, AA, AG, MB, RD, and the EU-AIMS LEAP group
900	collected the data. GD and AL conducted the analyses. GD and RD were the initiators of the
901	study project and asked for the blind rating of the items. AL, AB, AM, FA rated the items of
902	the SSP as related to hypo- or hyper- sensitivity for the construction of the dSSP. AL, FC, JT,
903	TC, TB, RD, and GD made substantial contributions to the interpretation of the data. AL, RD,
904	and GD wrote the first and final draft of the manuscript. All author(s) read and approved the
905	final manuscript.
906	Corresponding author
907	Correspondence to Aline Lefebvre.
908	
909	ETHICS DECLARATIONS
910	Ethics approval and consent to participate
911	All participants (where appropriate) and their parent/legal guardian provided written
912	informed consent.
913	The Paris Autism Research International Sibpair (PARIS) study sample was granted approval
914	by the local Ethics Committee or "Comité de Protection des Personnes" on 2008 November
915	14th, authorised by the French authorities (ANSM B80738-70 on 2008, August 11th), and
916	registered in a public trial registry (NCT02628808).
917	Ethical approval for the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP) sample
918	study was obtained through ethics committees at each sites (Site, Ethics committee,
919	ID/reference no.: KCL & UCAM, London Queen Square Health Research Authority Research

- 920 Ethics Committee, 13/LO/1156; UCAM, ; RUNMC & UMCU, Radboud Universitair Medisch
- 921 Centrum Instituut Waarborging Kwaliteit en Veiligheid Commissie Mensgebonden Onderzoek

922	Regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Radboud University Medical Centre Institute Ensuring Quality and
923	Safety Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects Arnhem-Nijmegen), 2013/455;
924	CIMH, UMM Universitatsmedizin Mannheim, Medizinishe Ethik Commission II (UMM
925	University Medical Mannheim, Medical Ethics Commission II), 2014-540N-MA; UCBM,
926	Universita Campus Bio-Medica De Roma Comitato Etico (University Campus Bio-Medical
927	Ethics Committee De Roma), 18/14 PAR ComET CBM; KI, Centrala Etikprovningsnamnden
928	(Central Ethical Review Board), 32-2010).
929	Consent for publication
930	Consent for publication was obtained from all participants prior to the study.
931	Competing interests
932	The authors have no competing interests to declare
933	
934	
935	

# 936 Table 1. Clinical and demographic descriptions of the individuals enrolled in the study.

		PARIS study sample				LEAP study sample		
	AS	Relativ es	TD	F (p, η <sup>2</sup> )	AS	TD	t (p, d)	
Ν	165	210	97		384	280		
Males (%)	138 (84)	110 (52)	49 (50)	26 (<0.001, 0.1)	330 (43)	204 (27)	1.72 (0.05, 0.06)	
Age (SD)	15.4 (9.8)	31.8 (18.1)	22.9 (13.9)	82 (<0.001, 0.3)	14.2 (4.6)	16.3 (5.7)	4.3 (<0.001, 0.03)	

Nonverbal IQ (SD)	93 (22)	115 (16)	108 (16)	46 (<0.001, 0.2)	97 (22)	101 (22)	1.59 (0.1, 0.05)
SRS-2 Total score (t-score)	73.9 (11.6)	50.1 (13.6)	43.3 (5.9)	210 (<0.001, 0.5)	72.1 (11.7)	48.2 (9.4)	0.5 (<0.001, 0.05)
ADI-R subdomains							
Social /	19.4				16.7		
	(6.1)				(6.8)		
Communication	14.6				13.4		
/	(6.2)				(5.7)		
	6.1				4.3		
Repetitive behaviours	(2.8)				(2.6)		
ADOS-2 CSS*							
Social	6.5				6.1		
communication	(2.5)				(2.6)		
	5.8				4.7		
Repetitive behaviours	(1.4)				(2.7)		

937

For continuous variables, data are mean ± standard deviation (SD). AS: Autism Spectrum ; TD:
typically developing; N: number of participants; Age in years; IQ: Intelligence quotient; ADIR: Autism diagnostic interview-revised; ADOS-2 CSS: Autism diagnostic observation
schedule - second version - calibrated severity score. ADOS-2 CSS are the Calibrated Severity
Scores; see Charman et al. 2017 for a description.

943 944

945 Table 2. Hyper-, hypo- sensory or uncertain scores and differential SSP scores in the PARIS

- and LEAP cohorts
- 947

	PARIS cohort					ohort
AS mean(SD)	Relative s mean (SD)	TD mean(S D)	F (p-value, η²)	AS mean (SD)	TD mean (SD)	t (p-value, d)

Hyper- sensory score <sup>a</sup>	1.28 (0.77)	0.41 (0.56)	0.26 (0.56)	105 (<0.0001, 0.31)	1.66 (0.96)	0.69 (1.15)	9.28 (<0.0001, 0.74)
Hypo- sensory score <sup>b</sup>	1.21 (0.76)	0.38 (0.54)	0.21 (0.60)	99 (<0.0001, 0.30)	1.56 (1.06)	0.69 (1.16)	7.74 (<0.0001, 0.74)
Uncertain score <sup>c</sup>	1.37 (0.85)	0.56 (0.68)	0.31 (0.64)	80 (<0.0001, 0.25)	1.62 (1.02)	0.75 (1.02)	8.16 (<0.0001, 0.78)
Differential SSP score	0.07 (0.65)	0.04 (0.37)	0.04 (0.24)	0.21 (0.81, 0.001)	0.08 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.04)	2.28 (0.02, 3.67)
				Z (p- value, d)			
Differential SSP score (AS – Relatives)				0.94 (0.34, 0.04)			
Differential SSP score (AS – TD)				-0.29 (0.77, 0.02)			
Differential SSP score (Relatives – TD)				1.10 (0.27, 0.01)			
Hyper- sensory score (AS – Relatives)				-11.83 (<0.0001, 0.87)			
Hyper- sensory score (AS – TD)				-11.88 (<0.0001, 1.01)			

Hyper- sensory score (Relatives – TD)	-2.08 (0.06, 0.14)	
Hypo-sensory	-11.47	
score (AS –	(<0.0001,	
Relatives)	0.83)	
Hypo-sensory score (AS – TD)	-11.32 (<0.0001, 0.83)	
Hypo-sensory	-2.26 (0.04,	
score	0.16)	
(Relatives –	,	
TD)		

948

949 For continuous variables, data are F-value (p-value, eta squared), considering ANOVA

analyses and t-ratio (p-value, cohen's d) considering t-student analyses, Z-value (p-value, dcohen's d) considering Wilcoxon analyses.

<sup>a</sup>The hyper-, <sup>b</sup>hypo-, and <sup>c</sup>uncertain sensory scores were first explored in order to support the
construction and use of the dSSP score. We observed differences of mean and variances
between groups, with higher hypo and hyper sensory sensitivities in the autistic group in the
two cohorts (Table 2).

956

# 957 FIGURE LEGEND

958 **Figure 1.** Sensory Short Profile scores within the PARIS sample.

959 A- Distribution of mean total original SPP score per item for autistic participants(red), their

960 first-degree relatives (green) and for control participants (blue). A higher SPP score meant

961 stronger anomalies of sensory processing. **B-** Distribution of the mean differential SPP score

962 on the same participants; here, negative & positive scores respectively represented hypo- and

963 hyper-sensory profiles. Relatives appeared with an intermediate distribution between patients

and controls in both A & B. C- Evolution of the differential SPP score with age for the same

participants. Linear regression showed an effect of age only for patients: a significant regression equation of the sensory profile with age (-0.12 + 0.01 x age, p=0.02, uncorrected) with an  $R^{2=}0.03$  in the group with ASD was obtained. This significant regression was not found in either the relative group (-0.05 + 0.01 x age,  $R^{2=}0.01$ , p=0.1) or the typically developing group (-0.03 + 0.01 x age,  $R^{2=}0.04$ , p=0.06).

970 Figure 2. Sensory Short Profile scores within the LEAP Cohort.

971 A- Distribution of mean total original SPP score per item for autistic participants (red) and for 972 participants controls (blue). A higher SPP score meant stronger anomalies of sensory 973 processing. B- Distribution of the mean differential SPP score on the same participants; here, 974 negative & positive scores respectively represented hypo- and hyper-sensory profiles. C-Evolution of the differential SPP score with age for the same participants. Linear regression 975 976 showed an effect of age only for patients. As observed in the PARIS cohort, we replicated a 977 significant regression equation of the sensory profile with age (-0.28 + 0.02 x age, p=0.0005,uncorrected) with an  $R^{2=0.03}$  in the autism spectrum group. This significant regression was not 978 979 found in the typically developing group ( $-0.5,15 + 0.0008 \text{ x age}, R^{2=}0.007, p=0.28$ ). 980

981

- 982 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGEND
- 983

984 Supplementary Figure. Distribution of autistic participants with mutations considering the985 dSSP score.

The mutations were explored in the GABA and glutamatergic pathways. Only the variants with

987 Major Allele Frequency (MAF) <10% were considered. LGD: Likely Gene Disrupting</li>
988 Missense CADD PHRED > 30.

989

990	
991	SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
992	
993	Supplementary Table 1. The 38 items of the SPP split into hyper-sensitivity and hypo-
994	sensitivity.
995	
996	Supplementary Table 2. Kendall rank correlation coefficient between the four raters.
997	
998	Supplementary Table 3. List of explored genes related to the GABAergic and glutamatergic
999	pathways.
1000	
1001	Supplementary Table 4. Clusters obtained among autistic participants in the PARIS sample
1002	study.
1003	
1004	Supplementary Table 5. Description of clusters obtained among autistic participants in the
1005	PARIS sample study.
1006	
1007	Supplementary Table 6. Cluster obtained among only autistic participants in the LEAP
1008	sample study.
1009	
1010	<b>Supplementary Table 7.</b> Description of clusters obtained among autistic participants in the
1011	LEAF sample study.



