

# An intranasal lentiviral booster reinforces the waning mRNA vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2 immunity that it targets to lung mucosa

Benjamin Vesin, Jodie Lopez, Amandine Noirat, Pierre Authié, Ingrid Fert, Fabien Le Chevalier, Fanny Moncoq, Kirill Nemirov, Catherine Blanc, Cyril Planchais, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin Vesin, Jodie Lopez, Amandine Noirat, Pierre Authié, Ingrid Fert, et al.. An intranasal lentiviral booster reinforces the waning mRNA vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2 immunity that it targets to lung mucosa. Molecular Therapy, 2022, 10.1016/j.ymthe.2022.04.016. pasteur-03695076

# HAL Id: pasteur-03695076 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-03695076

Submitted on 14 Jun2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

```
1
       An intranasal lentiviral booster reinforces the waning mRNA vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2
 2
      immunity that it targets to lung mucosa
 3
      Running title: Boosting mRNA-induced SARS-CoV-2 immunity with a lentiviral-based nasal
 4
 5
      vaccine
 6
      Benjamin Vesin<sup>1,£</sup>, Jodie Lopez<sup>1,£</sup>, Amandine Noirat<sup>1,£</sup>, Pierre Authié<sup>1,£</sup>, Ingrid Fert<sup>1</sup>, Fabien Le
 7
      Chevalier<sup>1</sup>, Fanny Moncoq<sup>1</sup>, Kirill Nemirov<sup>1</sup>, Catherine Blanc<sup>1</sup>, Cyril Planchais<sup>2</sup>, Hugo Mouquet<sup>2</sup>,
 8
      Françoise Guinet<sup>3</sup>, David Hardy<sup>4</sup>, Francina Langa Vives<sup>5</sup>, Christiane Gerke<sup>6</sup>, François Anna<sup>1</sup>,
 9
      Maryline Bourgine<sup>1</sup>, Laleh Majlessi<sup>1,,*,</sup> \blacksquare, and Pierre Charneau<sup>1,,*</sup>
10
11
          <sup>1</sup> Pasteur-TheraVectys Joint Lab, Institut Pasteur, Virology Department, 28 rue du Dr. Roux,
12
13
      Paris F-75015, France
          <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Humoral Immunology, Université de Paris, Immunology Department, Institut
14
15
       Pasteur, INSERM U1222, Paris F-75015, France
          <sup>3</sup> Lymphocytes and Immunity Unit, Université de Paris, Immunology Department, Institut
16
       Pasteur, Paris F-75015, France
17
          <sup>4</sup> Histopathology platform, Institut Pasteur, Paris F-75015, France
18
          <sup>5</sup> Mouse Genetics Engineering, Institut Pasteur, Paris F-75015, France
19
          <sup>6</sup> Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, Innovation Office, Vaccine Programs, Institut Pasteur,
20
       Paris F-75015, France
21
22
23
          <sup>£</sup>These authors contributed equally
24
          <sup>$</sup>Senior authors
25
26
          Corresponding author: laleh.majlessi@pasteur.fr
27
          Keywords
28
29
          Intranasal Vaccination / Lentiviral Vaccine / SARS-CoV-2 Emerging Variants of Concern /
       Mucosal Immunity / Mucosal Booster Vaccine / Waning anti-COVID-19 Immunity
30
```

#### 31 Abstract

32 As the COVID-19 pandemic continues and new SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern emerge, the 33 adaptive immunity initially induced by the first-generation COVID-19 vaccines starts waning and 34 needs to be strengthened and broadened in specificity. Vaccination by the nasal route induces 35 mucosal, humoral and cellular immunity at the entry point of SARS-CoV-2 into the host organism and has been shown to be the most effective for reducing viral transmission. The lentiviral 36 37 vaccination vector (LV) is particularly suitable for this route of immunization due to its noncytopathic, non-replicative and scarcely inflammatory properties. Here, to set up an optimized 38 39 cross-protective intranasal booster against COVID-19, we generated an LV encoding stabilized Spike of SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant (LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>). mRNA vaccine-primed and -boosted mice, with 40 41 waning primary humoral immunity at 4 months post-vaccination, were boosted intranasally with 42 LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. Strong boost effect was detected on cross-sero-neutralizing activity and systemic T-cell 43 immunity. In addition, mucosal anti-Spike IgG and IgA, lung resident B cells, and effector memory and resident T cells were efficiently induced, correlating with complete pulmonary protection 44 against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant, demonstrating the suitability of the LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> vaccine 45 candidate as an intranasal booster against COVID-19. LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> vaccination was also fully 46 47 protective against Omicron infection of the lungs and central nervous system, in the highly susceptible B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> transgenic mice. 48

#### 49 Introduction

50 Considering: (i) the sustained pandemicity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), (ii) 51 weakening protection potential of the first-generation vaccines against Severe Acute Respiratory 52 Syndrome beta-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and (iii) the ceaseless emergence of new viral 53 Variants of Concerns (VOCs), new effective vaccine platforms can be critical for future primary or booster vaccines<sup>1</sup>. We recently demonstrated the strong performance of a non-integrative lentiviral 54 55 vaccination vector (LV) encoding the full-length sequence of Spike glycoprotein (S) from the 56 ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (LV::S), when used in systemic prime followed by intranasal (i.n.) boost in multiple preclinical models<sup>2</sup>. LV::S ensures complete (cross) protection of the respiratory tract 57 against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and VOCs<sup>3</sup>. In addition, in our new transgenic mice expressing 58 59 human Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (hACE2) and displaying unprecedented permissiveness of the brain to SARS-CoV-2 replication, an i.n. boost with LV::S is required for full protection of 60 the central nervous system<sup>3</sup>. LV::S is intended to be used as a booster for individuals who already 61 have been vaccinated against and/or infected by SARS-CoV-2, to reinforce and broaden protection 62 against emerging VOCs with immune evasion potential<sup>4</sup>. 63

Vaccine LVs are non-integrating, non-replicative, non-cytopathic and negligibly inflammatory 64 <sup>5,6</sup>. These vectors are pseudotyped with the heterologous glycoprotein from Vesicular Stomatitis 65 Virus (VSV-G) which confers them a broad tropism for various cell types, including dendritic cells. 66 67 The latter are mainly non-dividing cells and thus usually hardly permissive to gene transfer. However, LVs possess the crucial ability to efficiently transfer genes to the nuclei of not only 68 69 dividing but also non-dividing cells, therefore making efficient transduction of non-dividing immature dendritic cells possible. The resulting endogenous antigen expression in dendritic cells, 70 with their unique ability to activate naïve T cells<sup>7</sup>, correlates with a strong induction of high-quality 71 effector and memory T cells<sup>8</sup> Importantly, the VSV-G pseudo-typing of LVs prevents them from 72 73 being targets of preexisting vector-specific immunity in humans, which is key in vaccine development <sup>5,6</sup>. The safety of LVs has been established in humans in a phase I/IIa Human 74 75 Immunodeficiency Virus-1 therapeutic vaccine trial, although the LV used in that clinical trial had been an integrative version <sup>10,11</sup>. Because of their non-cytopathic and non-inflammatory properties 76 <sup>10,11</sup>, LVs are well suited for mucosal vaccination. The i.n. immunization approach is expected to 77 trigger mucosal IgA responses, as well as resident B and T lymphocytes in the respiratory tract <sup>12</sup>. 78 This immunization route has also been shown to be the most effective at reducing SARS-CoV-2 79 transmission in both hamster and macaque preclinical models<sup>13</sup>. Induction of mucosal immunity by 80 i.n. immunization allows SARS-CoV-2 neutralization, directly at the gateway to the host organism, 81 before it gains access to major infectable anatomical sites<sup>2</sup>. 82

The duration of the protection conferred by the first generation COVID-19 vaccines is not yet 83 84 well established, hardly predictable with serological laboratory tests, inconsistent among individuals and against distinct VOCs. Despite high vaccination rates, the current exacerbation of 85 the world-wide pandemic indicates that repeated booster immunizations will be needed to ensure 86 individual and collective immunity against COVID-19. In this context, the safety and potential 87 88 adverse effects of multiple additional homologous doses of the first generation COVID-19 vaccines, 89 for instance related to allergic reaction to polyethylene glycol (PEG) contained in mRNA vaccines, have to be considered <sup>14</sup>. Importantly, a heterologous prime-boost vaccine delivery method has been 90 proven to be a more successful strategy than the homologous prime-boost approach in numerous 91 preclinical models of various infectious diseases <sup>15-17</sup>. Therefore, new efficient vaccination 92 93 platforms are of particular interest to develop heterologous boosters against COVID-19. The LV::S 94 vaccine candidate has potential for prophylactic use against COVID-19, mainly based on its 95 powerful capacity to induce not only strong neutralizing humoral responses, but also and most 96 importantly, robust protective T-cell responses which preserve their immune detection of spike from SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, despite the accumulation of escape mutations<sup>3</sup>. The basis for the 97 98 immunogenicity of LVs is usually the genetic message they deliver to host cells and that encodes 99 the targeted antigen. However, in the particular case of viral envelope proteins used as antigens, that 100 comprise the transmembrane and the tail segments, it cannot be excluded that the LV is 101 pseudotyped by both VSV-G and the viral envelope protein they encode. In the context of LV::SFL, 102 the possible Spike glycoprotein on the LV surface may contribute to the induction of immune responses, in addition to the genetic message which is translated and expressed by the antigen 103 104 presenting cells. LV::S is remarkably suited to be used, as a heterologous i.n. booster vaccine, to reinforce and broaden protection against the emerging VOCs, while collective immunity in early 105 106 vaccinated nations is waning a few months after completion of the initial immunizations, and while new waves of infections are on the rise  $^4$ . 107

In the present study, toward the preparation of a clinical trial, we first generated an LV encoding the down-selected  $S_{CoV-2}$  of the Beta variant, stabilized by  $K^{986}P$  and  $V^{987}P$  substitutions in the S2 domain of  $S_{CoV-2}$  (LV:: $S_{Beta-2P}$ ). In mice, primed and boosted intramuscularly (i.m.) with mRNA vaccine encoding for the ancestral  $S_{CoV-2}$  <sup>18,19</sup> and in which the (cross) sero-neutralization potential was progressively decreasing, we investigated the systemic and mucosal immune responses and the protective potential of an i.n. LV:: $S_{Beta-2P}$  heterologous boost.

#### 114 **Results**

#### 115 Antigen design and down-selection of a lead candidate

116 To select the most suitable S<sub>CoV-2</sub> variant to induce the greatest neutralization breadth based on 117 the known variants, we generated LVs encoding the full length S<sub>CoV-2</sub> from the Alpha, Beta or Gamma SARS-CoV-2 VOCs. C57BL/6 mice (n = 5/group) were primed i.m. (wk 0) and boosted 118 i.m. (wk 3) with  $1 \times 10^8$  TU/mouse of each individual LV and the (cross) neutralization potential 119 120 of their sera was assessed before boost (wk 3) and after boost (wk 5) against pseudoviruses 121 carrying various  $S_{CoV-2}$  (Figure 1A). These pseudo-viruses are LV particles that carry the Spike of interest on their surface but do not have the genetic message for Spike and should not be confused 122 123 with the LV-based vaccine that carries the genetic message encoding Spike. Immunization with LV::S<sub>Alpha</sub> generated appropriate neutralization capacity against S<sub>D614G</sub> and S<sub>Alpha</sub> but not against 124 125 S<sub>Beta</sub> and S<sub>Gamma</sub> (Figure 1B). Between LV::S<sub>Beta</sub> and LV::S<sub>Gamma</sub>, the former generated the highest 126 cross sero-neutralization potential against S<sub>D614G</sub>, S<sub>Alpha</sub> and S<sub>Gamma</sub> variants. In accordance with 127 previous observations using other vaccination strategies, in the context of immunization with LV, the  $K^{986}P$  -  $V^{987}P$  substitutions in the S2 domain of  $S_{CoV-2}$  improved the (cross) sero-neutralization 128 potential (Figure 1C), probably due to an extended half-life of  $S_{CoV-2-2P}$ <sup>20</sup>. 129

Taken together these data allowed to down select  $S_{Beta-2P}$  as the best cross-reactive antigen candidate to be used in the context of LV (LV:: $S_{Beta-2P}$ ) to strengthen the waning immunity previously induced by the first generation COVID-19 vaccines, like mRNA. Although, the comparison between WT and 2P forms was performed here with the D614G sequence, stabilization by the 2P substitution is so well documented <sup>20</sup> that an extrapolation to  $S_{Beta}$  seemed well founded.

# Follow-up of humoral immunity in mRNA-primed and -boosted mice and effect of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost

We analyzed the potential of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost vaccination to strengthen and broaden the immune responses in mice which were initially primed and boosted with mRNA and in which the (cross) sero-neutralization potential was decreasing. C57BL/6 mice were primed i.m. at wk 0 and boosted i.m. at wk 3 with 1 µg/mouse of mRNA (Figure 2A). In mRNA-primed mice, serum anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> and anti-RBD IgG were detected at wk 3, increased after mRNA boost as studied at wk 6 and 10, and then decreased at wk 17 in the absence of an additional boost (Figure S1A).

Longitudinal serological follow-up demonstrated that at 3 wks post prime, cross-neutralization activities against both  $S_{D614G}$  and  $S_{Alpha}$  were readily detectable (Figure 2B). Cross seroneutralization was also detectable, although to a lesser degree, against  $S_{Gamma}$ , but not against 147  $S_{Beta}$ ,  $S_{Delta}$  or  $S_{Delta+}$ . At wk 6, i.e., 3 wks post boost, cross sero-neutralization activities against all 148  $S_{CoV-2}$  variants were detectable, although at significantly lesser extents against  $S_{Beta}$ ,  $S_{Delta}$  and 149  $S_{Delta+}$ . From wk 6 to wk 10, cross sero-neutralization against  $S_{Beta}$ ,  $S_{Delta+}$  gradually and 150 significantly decreased. At wk 10, half of the mice lost the cross sero-neutralization potential 151 against  $S_{Beta}$ ,  $S_{Delta}$ , or  $S_{Delta+}$  (Figure 2B).

At wk 15, groups of mRNA-primed and -boosted mice were injected i.m. with 1  $\mu$ g of mRNA vaccine or PBS. The dose of 1  $\mu$ g of mRNA per mouse has been demonstrated to be fully protective in mice <sup>21</sup>. In parallel, at this time point, mRNA-primed and -boosted mice received i.n.  $1 \times 10^9$  Transduction Units (TU)/mouse of an empty LV (LV Ctrl) or escalating doses of  $1 \times 10^6$ ,  $1 \times 10^7$ ,  $1 \times 10^8$ , or  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (Figure 2A). Unprimed, age-matched mice received i.n.  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> or PBS.

158 In the previously mRNA-primed and -boosted mice, injected at wk 15 with a third dose of mRNA or with  $1 \times 10^8$  or  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>, marked anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgG titer increases were 159 observed (Figure 2C). The titers of anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgA were higher in the mice injected with  $1 \times 10^9$ 160 TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> than those injected with a third 1  $\mu$ g dose of mRNA vaccine (Figure 2C). In 161 agreement with these results, (cross) sero-neutralization activity increased in a dose-dependent 162 manner with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost given at wk 15, as studied at wk 17 (Figure 2D). Of note, cross 163 sero-neutralization activity against S<sub>Omicron</sub>-carrying pseudo-viruses was very low in mRNA-164 primed and -boosted mice injected at week 15 with a third dose of mRNA via i.m. or with  $1 \times 10^8$ 165 TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> via i.n.. At the mucosal level, at this time point, titers of anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> and anti-166 167 RBD IgG in the total lung extracts increased in a dose-dependent manner in LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>-boosted 168 mice, and the titer obtained with the highest dose of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> was comparable to that after the 169 third 1 µg i.m. dose of mRNA vaccine (Figure S1B). Importantly, significant titers of lung anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgA were only detected in LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>-boosted mice (Figure S1B). 170

At the lung cellular level,  $CD19^+$  B cells which are class-switched and thus surface IgM<sup>-</sup>/IgD<sup>-</sup> plasma cells, and which express CD38, CD62L, CD73 and CD80, can be defined as lung resident B cells (Brm) <sup>22,23</sup> (Figure 3A). The proportion of these B cells increased in a dose-dependent manner in the lungs of mice boosted i.n. with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (Figure 3B). Mucosal anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgA and Brm were barely detectable in the mice boosted i.m. at wk15 with 1 µg of mRNA, which was the single dose of RNA tested in our experiment and thus serves only as indication.

# Systemic and mucosal T-cell immunity after i.n. LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> boost in previously mRNA primed and -boosted mice

179 Mice were primed and boosted with 1 µg mRNA-vaccine and then boosted i.n. at wk15 with 180 escalating doses of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>, according to the above-mentioned regimen (Figure 2A). At wk 17, i.e., two wks after the late boost, systemic anti- $S_{CoV-2}$  T-cell immunity was assessed by IFN- $\gamma$ -181 specific ELISPOT in the spleen of individual mice after in vitro stimulation with individual S:256-182 275, S:536-550 or S:576-590 peptide, encompassing immunodominant S<sub>CoV-2</sub> regions for CD8<sup>+</sup> T 183 cells in H-2<sup>b</sup> mice<sup>2</sup>. Importantly, the weak anti-S CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell immunity, detectable in the spleens 184 of mRNA-primed-boosted mice at wk 17, largely increased following i.n. boost with  $1 \times 10^8$  and 1 185  $\times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>, similarly to the increase after i.m. mRNA boost (Figure 4). 186

In parallel, in the same animals, the mucosal anti- $S_{CoV-2}$  T-cell immunity was assessed by 187 intracellular Tc1 and Tc2 cytokine staining in T cell-enriched fractions from individual mice after 188 189 in vitro stimulation with autologous bone-marrow-dendritic cells loaded with a pool of S:256-275, 190 S:536-550 and S:576-590 peptides (Figure 5). In previously mRNA-primed and -boosted mice, only a few  $S_{CoV-2}$ -specific IFN- $\gamma$ /TNF/IL-2 CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell responses were detected in the lungs 191 (Figure 5). However, the i.n. administration of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> boosted, these Tc1 responses in a dose 192 dependent manner. Sizable percentages of these Tc1 cells were induced with  $1 \times 10^8$  or  $1 \times 10^9$  TU 193 of LV::S<sub>Reta-2P</sub>. mRNA (1 µg) i.m. administration had a substantially lower boost effect on 194 mucosal T cells (Figure 5). Tc2 responses (IL-4, IL-5, IL-10 and IL-13) were not detected in any 195 196 experimental group (Figure S2), as assessed in the same lung T-cell cultures.

197 Mucosal lung resident memory T cells (Trm),  $CD8^+ CD44^+ CD69^+ CD103^+$  which are one of 198 the best correlates of protection in infectious diseases <sup>24</sup>, were readily detected in the mice boosted 199 i.n. with  $1 \times 10^8$  or  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (**Figure 6A, B**). No Trm were detected in the lungs 200 of mice boosted late with 1 µg mRNA i.m..

#### 201 Features of lungs after LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. administration

To identify the immune cell subsets transduced in vivo by LV after i.n. administration, C57BL/6 mice were immunized i.n. with the high dose of  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::GFP or LV::nano-Luciferase (LV::nLuc) as a negative control. Lungs were collected at 4 days post-immunization and analyzed by cytometry in individual mice. CD45<sup>-</sup> cell subset was devoid of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells. Only very few GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were detected in the CD45<sup>+</sup> hematopoietic cells (**Figure S3A-C**). The CD45<sup>+</sup> GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were located in a CD11b<sup>hi</sup> subset and in the CD11b<sup>int</sup> CD11c<sup>+</sup> CD103<sup>+</sup> MHC-II<sup>+</sup> (dendritic cells) (**Figure S3B**).

To evaluate possible lung infiltration after LV i.n. administration, C57BL/6 mice were injected i.n. with the high dose of  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> or PBS as a negative control. Lungs were collected at 1, 3 or 14 days post-injection for histopathological analysis. H&E histological sections displayed minimal to moderate inflammation, interstitial and alveolar syndromes in both experimental groups, regardless of the three time points investigated. No specific immune infiltration or syndrome was detected in the animals treated i.n. with  $1 \times 10^9$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>, compared to PBS (Figure S4A, B).

# Protection of lungs in mRNA-primed and -boosted mice, and later boosted i.n. with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>

218 We then evaluated the protective vaccine efficacy of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. in mRNA-primed and -219 boosted mice. At wk 15, mRNA-primed and -boosted mice received i.m. 1 µg of mRNA or PBS. In parallel, mRNA-primed and -boosted mice received i.n.  $1 \times 10^8$  TU of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> or control empty 220 221 LV. (Figure 7A). The choice of this dose was based on our previous experience in which this dose was fully effective in protection in homologous LV::S prime-boost regimens<sup>2,3</sup>, even though it does 222 223 not result in the strongest immune responses. Unvaccinated, age-and sex-matched controls were left unimmunized. Five weeks after the late boost, i.e. at wk 20, all mice were pre-treated with  $3 \times 10^8$ 224 225 Infectious Genome Units (IGU) of an adenoviral vector serotype 5 encoding hACE2 (Ad5::hACE2) <sup>2</sup> to render their lungs permissive to SARS-CoV-2 replication (Figure 7A). Four days later, mice 226 were challenged with SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant, which at the time of this study, i.e. November 227 228 2021, was the dominant SARS-CoV-2 variant worldwide.

229 At day 3 post infection, primary analysis of the total lung RNA showed that hACE2 mRNA was 230 similarly expressed in all mice after Ad5::hACE2 in vivo transduction (Figure 7B). Lung viral loads were then determined at 3 dpi by assessing total E RNA and sub-genomic (Esg) E<sub>CoV-2</sub> RNA 231 qRT-PCR, the latter being an indicator of active viral replication <sup>25-27</sup>. In mice initially primed and 232 boosted with mRNA-vaccine and then injected i.n. with the control LV or i.m. with PBS, no 233 significant protective capacity was detectable (Figure 7C). In contrast, the LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost of 234 235 the initially mRNA vaccinated mice drastically reduced the total E RNA content of SARS-CoV-2 236 and no copies of the replication-related Esg  $E_{CoV-2}$  RNA were detected in this group (Figure 7C). In 237 the group which received a late mRNA i.m. boost, the total E RNA content was also significantly reduced and the content of Esg  $E_{CoV-2}$  RNA was undetectable in 3 out of 5 in this group. 238

Therefore, a late LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> heterologous i.n. boost, given at wk 15 after the first injection of mRNA, at the dose of  $1 \times 10^8$  TU/mouse resulted in complete protection, i.e. total absence of viral replication in 100% of animals, against a high dose challenge with SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant.

### 242 Full cross-protective capacity of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant

Given the timing of the lengthy preparation of mRNA-primed and -boosted mice, again boosted i.n. with LV:: $S_{Beta-2P}$  at wk 15 (July-November 2021), and the emergence of the Omicron

- variant (December 2021), it was not possible for us to evaluate the anti-Omicron cross-protection 245 potential of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> used as a late i.n. boost in parallel. However, we evaluated the protective 246 efficacy of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> in the very sensitive B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> transgenic mice, which are 247 prone to SARS-CoV-2 infection in the lung, and in addition display unprecedented brain 248 permissiveness to SARS-CoV-2 replication <sup>3</sup>. B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> mice (n = 5-8/group) were 249 primed i.m. with  $1 \times 10^8$  TU/mouse of LV::S<sub>Reta-2P</sub> or an empty LV at wk 0 and then boosted i.n. at 250 wk 3 with the same dose of the same vectors (Figure 8A). Mice were then challenged (i.n.) with 251  $0.3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of a SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant <sup>28</sup> at wk 5. Lung and brain viral RNA 252 contents were then determined at day 5 post infection by using Esg  $E_{CoV-2}$  RNA qRT-PCR. 253 LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> vaccination conferred sterilizing protection against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron in the 254 lungs and brain versus high rates of viral replication in the sham-vaccinated controls (Figure 8B). 255 256 Of note, even if 2 mice out of 8 did not show cervical infection with Omicron, the six others 257 showed significant replication in the brain.
- Therefore the LV:S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> displays a full cross-protective capacity against the Omicron variant, which is fully comparable to its efficiency against the ancestral  $^{2,3}$  or the Delta variant.

#### 261 **Discussion**

262 With the weakly persistent prophylactic potential of the immunity initially induced by the firstgeneration COVID-19 vaccines, especially against new VOCs, administration of additional vaccine 263 doses becomes essential<sup>1</sup>. As an alternative to additional doses of the same vaccines, combining 264 vaccine platforms in a heterologous prime-boost regimen holds promise for gaining protective 265 efficacy<sup>29</sup>. Compared to homologous vaccine dose administrations, heterologous prime-boost 266 strategies may reinforce more efficiently specific adaptive immune responses and long-term 267 protection <sup>30</sup>. Furthermore, the sequence of the Spike antigen has to be adapted according to the 268 dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 VOC emergence in order to induce the greatest neutralization breadth. 269 Protection against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection is mainly related to sero-neutralizing 270 activity, while CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell immunity, with their ability to cytolyze virus-infected cells, especially 271 control the virus replication and result in resolution of viral infection <sup>31</sup>. Therefore, an appropriate 272 273 B- and T-cell vaccine platform, including an adapted S<sub>CoV2</sub> sequence, is of utmost interest at the 274 current step of the pandemic.

275 The LV-based strategy, is highly efficient, not only in inducing humoral responses but also, and particularly, in establishing high quality and memory T-cell responses<sup>8</sup>. This makes it a suitable 276 platform for a heterologous boost, even if it is also largely efficacious on its own as a primary 277 COVID-19 vaccine candidate <sup>2,3</sup>. Furthermore, LVs are non-cytopathic, non-replicative and 278 279 scarcely inflammatory. They can thus be used to perform non-invasive i.n. boost to efficiently 280 induce sterilizing mucosal immunity, which protects the respiratory system as well as the central nervous system<sup>2,3</sup>. The i.n. route of vaccination has been shown by several teams to be the best at 281 reducing viral contents in nasal swabs and nasal olfactory neuroepithelium <sup>32,33</sup>, which can 282 283 contribute to blocking the respiratory chain of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. One of the advantages of 284 LV-based immunization is the induction of strong T-cell immune responses with high cross-285 reactivity of T-cell epitopes from Spike of diverse VOCs. Therefore, when the neutralizing antibodies fail or wane, the T-cell arm of the response remains largely protective, as we recently 286 287 described in antibody-deficient, B-cell compromised  $\mu$ MT KO mice<sup>3</sup>. This property is relative to a high-quality and long-lasting T-cell immunity induced against multiple preserved T-cell epitopes, 288 despite the mutations accumulated in the Spike of the emerging VOCs<sup>3</sup>, including the Omicron 289 290 variant.

In the present study, we down-selected the  $S_{Beta-2P}$  antigen which induced the greatest neutralization breadth against the main SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and designed a non-integrative LV encoding a stabilized version of this antigen. The induction of highly cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant has been well documented <sup>34</sup>. The notable ability of  $S_{Beta}$  to elicit cross-reactive antibodies correlates with: (i) the shared genetic and structural features of these antibodies, (ii) their preferential use of specific germline sequences, such as VH1-58 and VH4-39, and (iii) their relatively low number of somatic hypermutations. Collectively, it seems that there exists some common paratopes in such  $S_{Beta}$ -elicited cross-reactive antibodies which interact with the Y501 mutation found in RBD of Alpha, Beta, and Gamma<sup>35</sup>.

300 In mice primed and boosted with mRNA vaccine (encoding the ancestral  $S_{CoV-2}$  sequence), with waning (cross) sero-neutralization capabilities, we used escalating doses of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> for an i.n. 301 302 late boost. We demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgG and IgA titers, and a 303 broadened sero-neutralization potential both in the sera and lung homogenates against VOCs. No 304 anti- $S_{C_0V-2}$  IgA was detected in the lungs of mice injected with the third dose of 1 µg of mRNA given via i.m. injection. Increasing proportions of non-circulating Brm, defined as class-switched 305 surface IgM<sup>-</sup>/IgD<sup>-</sup> plasma cells, with CD38<sup>+</sup> CD73<sup>+</sup> CD62L<sup>+</sup> CD69<sup>+</sup> CD80<sup>+</sup> phenotype <sup>22,23</sup>, were 306 307 detected in a dose-dependent manner, in the lungs of mice boosted i.n. with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>.

Spike-specific, effector lung CD8<sup>+</sup> Tc1 cells were largely detected in the initially mRNA-308 primed and boosted mice which received a late i.n. LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> boost. These lung CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells did 309 not display Tc2 phenotype. Increasing proportions of lung CD8<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup> CD69<sup>+</sup> CD103<sup>+</sup> Trm were 310 311 also detected, in a dose-dependent manner, only in LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boosted mice. The systemic  $CD8^+$  T-cell responses against various immunogenic regions of  $S_{CoV-2}$  were also increased with 1 312  $\times$  10  $^8$  or 1  $\times$  10  $^9$  TU doses of LV::S\_{Beta-2P} i.n. boost in the initially mRNA-primed and -boosted 313 mice. The highest i.n. dose of of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> was comparable to the third injection of 1 µg/mouse 314 315 of mRNA given by i.m. injection. The fact that the i.n. administration of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> had a substantial boost effect on the systemic T-cell immunity indicates that this boost pathway is not at 316 317 the expense of the induction of systemic immunity. As we recently determined by epitope mapping and cytometric analysis, LV::S immunization only induced CD8<sup>+</sup> - but not CD4<sup>+</sup> - T 318 cells against  $S_{CoV-2}$ <sup>2</sup>. This results from direct transduction of antigen-presenting cells by LVs and 319 320 thus efficient antigen routing to the MHC-I machinery but not to the endocytic and MHC-II 321 presentation pathway (our unpublished observation). Furthermore, as LVs are not cytopathic, the 322 initially transduced antigen-presenting cells should not generate marked amounts of cell debris 323 that could be taken up by secondary antigen-presenting cells for MHC-II presentation.

Evaluation of the protection in mRNA-primed and -boosted mice, showed that 20 wk after the first injection of mRNA vaccine, there was no protection detectable in the lungs against infection with the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. Importantly, an i.n. boost at wk 15 with the dose of  $1 \times 10^8$ TU/mouse LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> resulted in full inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication in the lungs upon challenge with the Delta variant at wk 20. In the mice receiving an 1 µg mRNA i.m. boost at wk 329 15 the lung SARS-CoV-2 RNA contents was reduced in a statistically comparable manner, albeit
330 without total inhibition of viral replication in all mice.

331 The lack of protection against the Delta variant infection only four months after the initial 332 systemic prime-boost by mRNA vaccine, as we observed in the preclinical model in this study, may be explained by the weak efficiency of the ancestral  $S_{CoV-2}$  sequence to induce long-lasting 333 334 neutralizing antibodies against the recent VOCs. In addition, it can be hypothesized that the 335 adaptive immune memory induced by i.m. mRNA immunization is likely to be localized in 336 secondary lymphoid organs at anatomical sites located far from the upper respiratory tract. In such a 337 context, the extraordinary rapid replication of new VOCs, such as Delta or Omicron, in the upper 338 respiratory tract would not leave enough time for the reactivation of immune memory from remote 339 anatomical sites and the recruitment of the immune arsenal from these sites. In human populations, such scenario would lead to a high possibility of viral replication and variable levels of its 340 341 transmission, which would prevent the epidemic from being completely contained by mass 342 vaccination through the systemic route.

343 It is not yet known if a single third booster will extend and maintain the protective potential, or whether semi-regular boosters will be required against COVID-19 in the future. The LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. 344 345 boost strengthens the intensity, broadens the VOC cross-recognition, and targets B-and T-cell 346 immune responses to the principal entry point of SARS-CoV-2, that is, to the mucosal respiratory 347 tract of the host organism preventing the infection of main anatomical sites. It is interesting to note that in rodents only a pair of cervical ganglia exists versus a large network of such ganglia in 348 humans <sup>36</sup>. Following i.n. immunization, this anatomical feature in humans may provide an even 349 350 more consistent site of immune response induction and local memory maintenance, at the vicinity 351 of to the potential site of airway infection. In addition, nasopharynx-associated lymphoid tissue is a 352 powerful defense system composed of: (i) organized lymphoid tissue, i.e., tonsils, and (ii) a diffuse 353 nose-associated lymphoid tissues, where effector and memory B and T lymphocytes are able to maintain long-lasting immunity <sup>37</sup>. This mucosal immune arsenal deserves to be explored in the 354 355 control of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in the current context of the pandemic. A phase I clinical trial 356 is currently in preparation for the use of i.n. boost by LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> in previously vaccinated humans or in COVID-19 convalescents. Although LVs cause very little or nearly no inflammation <sup>10,11</sup>, to 357 ensure that i.n. vaccination with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> will not result in brain inflammation, we plan to 358 359 evaluate the toxicity of the preclinical GMP batch in regulatory preclinical assays. We will pay 360 particular attention to: (i) biodistribution of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>, to be assessed by PCR, and (ii) 361 histopathology in as many organs as possible, including the brain, after i.n. administration of the

highest dose planned for the clinical trial and according to kinetics pre-established in non-regulatorypreclinical experiments.

364 We have completed a technology transfer to an industrial partner and are now able to produce 365 LVs in large quantities for clinical trials that will be starting soon. After successful completion of phase I trials, we plan to move to fermenter production, which is now possible for LV production in 366 367 adherent HEK293-T cells. We have also established the high stability of the LVs if appropriate conservation buffers are used. Compared to adenoviral vectors, LVs have the advantage of being 368 369 only scarcely inflammatory and not being targets of pre-existing immunity in human populations <sup>6,10</sup>. LVs have a particular tropism for dendritic cells, which generates endogenous antigen 370 expression in these antigen presenting cells, whereas adenoviral vectors preferentially target 371 372 epithelial cells which requires indirect and cross-presentation of antigens. This may be the reason why LVs are effective at much lower doses compared to adenoviral vectors. The doses of  $1 \times 10^8$  or 373  $1 \times 10^9$  TU are optimal in mice. However, *Mus musculus* species underestimates the efficacy of 374 HIV-based LVs because of restriction factors which reduces the transduction efficiency of LVs in 375 the murine cells. In larger animals such as piglets <sup>38</sup>, horses (our unpublished results) and macaques 376 <sup>39</sup>, as well as in humans <sup>9</sup>, the same range of LV doses are largely effective for the induction of T-377 cell responses and protection versus  $1 \times 10^{13}$  adenoviral active particles for human vaccination <sup>6</sup>. 378

#### 380 Materials and Methods

#### 381 Mice immunization and SARS-CoV-2 infection

382 Female C57BL/6JRj mice were purchased from Janvier (Le Genest Saint Isle, France), housed in 383 individually-ventilated cages under specific pathogen-free conditions at the Institut Pasteur animal 384 facilities and used at the age of 7 wks. Mice were immunized i.m. with 1 µg/mouse of mRNA-1273 385 (Moderna) vaccine. The Moderna vaccine was provided by the Institut Pasteur Medical Center. 386 These were leftover unusable vaccines in thawed vials that were not authorized to be pooled for 387 human vaccination and would have been destroyed. Thus, the doses used in this study did not 388 deprive any individual of a vaccine dose during the pandemic. For i.n. injections with LV, mice 389 were anesthetized by i.p. injection of Ketamine (Imalgene, 80 mg/kg) and Xylazine (Rompun, 5 390 mg/kg). For protection experiments against SARS-CoV-2, mice were transferred into filtered cages in isolator. Four days before SARS-CoV-2 inoculation, mice were pretreated with 3 x 10<sup>8</sup> IGU of 391 Ad5::hACE2 as previously described <sup>2</sup>. Mice were then transferred into a level 3 biosafety cabinet 392 and inoculated i.n. with  $0.3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of the Delta variant of SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolate <sup>40</sup> 393 contained in 20 µl. B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> mice <sup>3</sup> were primed i.m. and boosted i.n. by LV::<sub>SBeta-2P</sub> 394 and then inoculated with  $0.3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of the Omicron BA.1 variant of SARS-CoV-2 clinical 395 isolate <sup>28</sup>. Mice were then housed in filtered cages in an isolator in BioSafety Level 3 animal 396 397 facilities. The organs recovered from the infected animals were manipulated according to the 398 approved standard procedures of these facilities.

#### 399 Ethical approval of animal experimentation

400 Experimentation on animals was performed in accordance with the European and French guidelines (Directive 86/609/CEE and Decree 87-848 of 19 October 1987) subsequent to approval 401 402 by the Institut Pasteur Safety, Animal Care and Use Committee, protocol agreement delivered by 403 local ethical committee (CETEA #DAP20007, CETEA #DAP200058) and Ministry of High 404 Education and Research APAFIS#24627-2020031117362508 v1, APAFIS#28755-405 2020122110238379 v1.

### 406 Construction and production of vaccinal LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>

First, a codon-optimized sequence of Spike from the Ancestral, D614G, Alpha, Beta or Gamma VOCs were synthetized and inserted into the pMK-RQ\_S-2019-nCoV\_S501YV2 plasmid. The S sequence was then extracted by BamHI/XhoI digestion to be ligated into the pFlap lentiviral plasmid between the BamHI and XhoI restriction sites, located between the native human ieCMV promoter and the mutated *atg* starting codon of Woodchuck Posttranscriptional Regulatory Element (WPRE) sequence (**Figure S5**). To introduce the K<sup>986</sup>P-V<sup>987</sup>P "2P" double mutation in S<sub>D614G</sub> or

S<sub>Beta</sub>, a directed mutagenesis was performed by use of Takara In-Fusion kit on the corresponding 413 414 pFlap plasmids. Various pFlap-ieCMV-S-WPREm or pFlap-ieCMV-S<sub>2P</sub>-WPREm plasmids were amplified and used to produce non-integrative vaccinal LV, as previously described <sup>2,6</sup>. The 415 envelope plasmid, encodes VSV-G under ieCMV promoter and the packaging plasmid contains 416 417 gag, pol, tat and rev genes. The integrase resulting from this plasmid carries a missense amino acid 418 in its catalytic triad, i.e., the D64V mutation, that prevents the integration of viral DNA into the host 419 chromosome. Without integration, the viral DNA remains in an episomal form, very effective for gene expression  $^{2,6}$ . 420

#### 421 Analysis of humoral and systemic T-cell immunity

422 Anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgG and IgA antibody titers were determined by ELISA by use of recombinant 423 stabilized S<sub>CoV-2</sub> or RBD fragment for coating. Neutralization potential of clarified and 424 decomplemented sera or lung homogenates was quantitated by use of lentiviral particles pseudo-425 typed with S<sub>CoV-2</sub> from diverse variants, as previously described <sup>2,41</sup>.

426 T-splenocyte responses were quantitated by IFN- $\gamma$  ELISPOT after in vitro stimulation with 427 S:256-275, S:536-550 or S:576-590 synthetic 15-mer peptides which contain S<sub>CoV-2</sub> MHC-I-428 restricted epitopes in H-2<sup>d</sup> mice <sup>2</sup>. Spots were quantified in a CTL Immunospot S6 ultimate-V 429 Analyser by use of CTL Immunocapture 7.0.8.1 program.

#### 430 **Phenotypic and Functional cytometric analysis of lung immune cells**

431 Enrichment and staining of lung immune cells were performed as detailed previously<sup>2,3</sup> after 432 treatment with 400 U/ml type IV collagenase and DNase I (Roche) for a 30-minute incubation at 433 37°C and homogenization by use of GentleMacs (Miltenyi Biotech). Cell suspensions were then filtered through 100 µm-pore filters, centrifuged at 1200 rpm and enriched on Ficoll gradient after 434 20 min centrifugation at 3000 rpm at RT, without brakes. The recovered cells were co-cultured with 435 syngeneic bone-marrow derived dendritic cells loaded with a pool of A, B, C peptides, each at 1 436 437  $\mu$ g/ml or negative control peptide at x  $\mu$ g/ml. The following mixture was used to detect lung Tc1 (45-0031-82, eBioScience), eF450-anti-CD4 438 cells: PerCP-Cv5.5-anti-CD3 (48-0042-82,439 eBioScience) and APC-anti-CD8 (17-0081-82, eBioScience) for surface staining and BV650-anti-440 IFN-g (563854, BD), FITC-anti-TNF (554418, BD) and PE-anti-IL-2 (561061, BD) for 441 intracellular staining. The following mixture was used to detect lung Tc2 cells: PerCP-Cy5.5-anti-CD3 (45-0031-82, eBioScience), eF450-anti-CD4 (48-0042-82, eBioScience), BV711-anti-CD8 442 443 (563046, BD Biosciences), for surface staining and BV605-anti-IL-4 (504125, BioLegend Europe 444 BV), APC-anti-IL-5 (504306, BioLegend Europe BV), FITC-anti-IL-10 (505006, BioLegend 445 Europe BV), PE-anti-IL-13 (12-7133-81, eBioScience) for intracellular staining. The intracellular staining was performed by use of the Fix Perm kit (BD), following the manufacturer's protocol.
Dead cells were excluded by use of Near IR Live/Dead (Invitrogen). Staining was performed in the
presence of FcγII/III receptor blocking anti-CD16/CD32 (BD).

449 To identify lung resident memory CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell subsets, a mixture of PerCP-Vio700-anti-CD3 (130-119-656, Miltenyi Biotec), PECy7-CD4 (552775, BD Biosciences), BV510-anti-CD8 450 451 (100752, BioLegend), PE-anti-CD62L (553151, BD Biosciences), APC-anti-CD69 (560689, BD 452 Biosciences), APC-Cy7-anti-CD44 (560568, BD Biosciences), FITC-anti-CD103 (11-1031-82, 453 eBiosciences) and yellow Live/Dead (Invitrogen) was used. Lung Brm were studied by surface 454 staining with a mixture of PerCP Vio700-anti-IgM (130-106-012, Miltenyi), and PerCP Vio700-455 anti-IgD (130-103-797, Miltenvi), APC-H7-anti-CD19 (560143, BD Biosciences), PE-anti-CD38 456 (102708, BioLegend Europe BV), PE-Cy7-anti-CD62L (ab25569, AbCam), BV711-anti-CD69 457 (740664, BD Biosciences), BV421-anti-CD73 (127217, BioLegend Europe BV), FITC-anti-CD80 458 (104705, BioLegend Europe BV and yellow Live/Dead (Invitrogen).

459 Cells were incubated with appropriate mixtures for 25 minutes at 4°C, washed in PBS containing
460 3% FCS and fixed with Paraformaldehyde 4% after an overnight incubation at 4°C. Samples were
461 acquired in an Attune NxT cytometer (Invitrogen) and data analyzed by FlowJo software (Treestar,
462 OR, USA).

#### 463 **Determination of viral RNA content in the organs**

464 Organs from mice were removed and immediately frozen at -80°C on dry ice. RNA from circulating SARS-CoV-2 was prepared from lungs as described previously<sup>2</sup>. Lung homogenates 465 were prepared by thawing and homogenizing in lysing matrix M (MP Biomedical) with 500 µl of 466 PBS using a MP Biomedical Fastprep 24 Tissue Homogenizer. RNA was extracted from the 467 468 supernatants of organ homogenates centrifuged during 10 min at 2000g, using the Qiagen Rneasy 469 kit. The RNA samples were then used to determine viral RNA content by E-specific qRT-PCR. To 470 determine viral RNA content by Esg-specific qRT-PCR, total RNA was prepared using lysing 471 matrix D (MP Biomedical) containing 1 mL of TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher) and homogenization 472 at 30 s at 6.0 m/s twice using MP Biomedical Fastprep 24 Tissue Homogenizer. The quality of 473 RNA samples was assessed by use of a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). Viral RNA 474 contents were quantitated using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific NanoDrop). 475 The RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was 7.5-10.0. SARS-CoV-2 E or E sub-genomic mRNA were 476 quantitated following reverse transcription and real-time quantitative TaqMan® PCR, using 477 SuperScriptTM III Platinum One-Step qRT-PCR System (Invitrogen) and specific primers and probe (Eurofins), as recently described  $^{3}$ . 478

## 479 Lung histology

Left lobes from lungs were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (5-μm
thick) were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Slides were scanned using the AxioScan
Z1 (Zeiss) system and images were analyzed with the Zen 2.6 software. Histological images were
evaluated according to a score of 0 to 5 (normal, minimal, mild, moderate, marked, severe).

#### 485 **References**

- 4861.https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/immunization/sage/covid/global-covid-19-vaccination-strategic-<br/>vision-for-2022 sage-yellow-book.pdf?sfvrsn=4827ec0d 5. (2021).
- 488
  489
  489
  489
  480
  480
  480
  481
  481
  481
  482
  483
  484
  484
  484
  485
  484
  485
  486
  486
  486
  487
  487
  488
  488
  488
  488
  488
  489
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
  490
- 491
  3. Ku, M.W., Authie, P., Bourgine, M., Anna, F., Noirat, A., Moncoq, F., Vesin, B., Nevo, F., Lopez, J., Souque,
  492
  493
  493
  494
  495
  495
  495
  496
  496
  497
  498
  498
  499
  499
  490
  490
  490
  491
  491
  491
  491
  491
  492
  493
  493
  493
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494
  494<
- 494 4. Juno, J.A., and Wheatley, A.K. (2021). Boosting immunity to COVID-19 vaccines. Nat Med 27, 1874-1875. 495 10.1038/s41591-021-01560-x.
- 496 5. Hu, B., Tai, A., and Wang, P. (2011). Immunization delivered by lentiviral vectors for cancer and infectious diseases. Immunol Rev 239, 45-61. 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2010.00967.x.
- 498
  498
  Ku, M.W., Charneau, P., and Majlessi, L. (2021). Use of lentiviral vectors in vaccination. Expert Rev Vaccines, 1-16. 10.1080/14760584.2021.1988854.
- 5007.Guermonprez, P., Gerber-Ferder, Y., Vaivode, K., Bourdely, P., and Helft, J. (2019). Origin and development501of classical dendritic cells. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 349, 1-54. 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2019.08.002.
- 5028.Ku, M.W., Authie, P., Nevo, F., Souque, P., Bourgine, M., Romano, M., Charneau, P., and Majlessi, L. (2021).503Lentiviral vector induces high-quality memory T cells via dendritic cells transduction. Commun Biol 4, 713.50410.1038/s42003-021-02251-6.
- 5059.TheraVectys-Clinical-Trial (2019). Safety, Tolerability and Immunogenicity Induced by the THV01 Treatment506in Patients Infected With HIV-1 Clade B and Treated With Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART).507https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/search/search?query=2011-006260-52 2011-006260-52.
- 50810.Cousin, C., Oberkampf, M., Felix, T., Rosenbaum, P., Weil, R., Fabrega, S., Morante, V., Negri, D., Cara, A.,509Dadaglio, G., and Leclerc, C. (2019). Persistence of Integrase-Deficient Lentiviral Vectors Correlates with the510Induction of STING-Independent CD8(+) T Cell Responses. Cell Rep 26, 1242-1257 e1247.51110.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.025.
- 512 11. Lopez, J., Anna, F., Authié, P., Pawlik, A., Ku, M.W., Blanc, C., Souque, P., Moncoq, F., Noirat, A., Hardy,
  513 D., Sougakoff, W., et al. An optimized lentiviral vector induces CD4+ T-cell immunity and predicts a booster
  514 vaccine against tuberculosis. Submitted.
- 515 12. Lund, F.E., and Randall, T.D. (2021). Scent of a vaccine. Science *373*, 397-399. 10.1126/science.abg9857.
- van Doremalen, N., Purushotham, J.N., Schulz, J.E., Holbrook, M.G., Bushmaker, T., Carmody, A., Port, J.R.,
  Yinda, C.K., Okumura, A., Saturday, G., Amanat, F., et al. (2021). Intranasal ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/AZD1222
  vaccination reduces viral shedding after SARS-CoV-2 D614G challenge in preclinical models. Sci Transl Med
  13. 10.1126/scitranslmed.abh0755.
- 520 14. Castells, M.C., and Phillips, E.J. (2021). Maintaining Safety with SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. N Engl J Med 384,
  521 643-649. 10.1056/NEJMra2035343.
- He, Q., Mao, Q., An, C., Zhang, J., Gao, F., Bian, L., Li, C., Liang, Z., Xu, M., and Wang, J. (2021).
  Heterologous prime-boost: breaking the protective immune response bottleneck of COVID-19 vaccine candidates. Emerg Microbes Infect *10*, 629-637. 10.1080/22221751.2021.1902245.
- 525
   16.
   Lu, S. (2009). Heterologous prime-boost vaccination. Curr Opin Immunol 21, 346-351.

   526
   10.1016/j.coi.2009.05.016.
- Nordstrom, P., Ballin, M., and Nordstrom, A. (2021). Effectiveness of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and
  mRNA prime-boost vaccination against symptomatic Covid-19 infection in Sweden: A nationwide cohort
  study. Lancet Reg Health Eur, 100249. 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100249.
- Jackson, L.A., Anderson, E.J., Rouphael, N.G., Roberts, P.C., Makhene, M., Coler, R.N., McCullough, M.P.,
  Chappell, J.D., Denison, M.R., Stevens, L.J., Pruijssers, A.J., et al. (2020). An mRNA Vaccine against SARSCoV-2 Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med *383*, 1920-1931. 10.1056/NEJMoa2022483.
- Wang, F., Kream, R.M., and Stefano, G.B. (2020). An Evidence Based Perspective on mRNA-SARS-CoV-2
  Vaccine Development. Med Sci Monit 26, e924700. 10.12659/MSM.924700.
- Walls, A.C., Park, Y.J., Tortorici, M.A., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., and Veesler, D. (2020). Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell *181*, 281-292 e286. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058.
- 537 21. Corbett, K.S., Edwards, D.K., Leist, S.R., Abiona, O.M., Boyoglu-Barnum, S., Gillespie, R.A., Himansu, S.,
  538 Schafer, A., Ziwawo, C.T., DiPiazza, A.T., Dinnon, K.H., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine design
  539 enabled by prototype pathogen preparedness. Nature 586, 567-571. 10.1038/s41586-020-2622-0.
- 540 22. Barker, K.A., Etesami, N.S., Shenoy, A.T., Arafa, E.I., Lyon de Ana, C., Smith, N.M., Martin, I.M., Goltry,
  541 W.N., Barron, A.M., Browning, J.L., Kathuria, H., et al. (2021). Lung-resident memory B cells protect against
  542 bacterial pneumonia. J Clin Invest 131. 10.1172/JCI141810.
- 543 23. Onodera, T., Takahashi, Y., Yokoi, Y., Ato, M., Kodama, Y., Hachimura, S., Kurosaki, T., and Kobayashi, K.
  544 (2012). Memory B cells in the lung participate in protective humoral immune responses to pulmonary influenza virus reinfection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *109*, 2485-2490. 10.1073/pnas.1115369109.

- 54624.Masopust, D., and Soerens, A.G. (2019). Tissue-Resident T Cells and Other Resident Leukocytes. Annu Rev547Immunol 37, 521-546. 10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053214.
- Chandrashekar, A., Liu, J., Martinot, A.J., McMahan, K., Mercado, N.B., Peter, L., Tostanoski, L.H., Yu, J.,
  Maliga, Z., Nekorchuk, M., Busman-Sahay, K., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 infection protects against rechallenge in rhesus macaques. Science 369, 812-817. 10.1126/science.abc4776.
- Tostanoski, L.H., Wegmann, F., Martinot, A.J., Loos, C., McMahan, K., Mercado, N.B., Yu, J., Chan, C.N.,
  Bondoc, S., Starke, C.E., Nekorchuk, M., et al. (2020). Ad26 vaccine protects against SARS-CoV-2 severe
  clinical disease in hamsters. Nat Med 26, 1694-1700. 10.1038/s41591-020-1070-6.
- Wolfel, R., Corman, V.M., Guggemos, W., Seilmaier, M., Zange, S., Muller, M.A., Niemeyer, D., Jones, T.C.,
  Vollmar, P., Rothe, C., Hoelscher, M., et al. (2020). Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with
  COVID-2019. Nature 581, 465-469. 10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x.
- Planas, D., Saunders, N., Maes, P., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Planchais, C., Buchrieser, J., Bolland, W.H., Porrot,
  F., Staropoli, I., Lemoine, F., Pere, H., et al. (2022). Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to
  antibody neutralization. Nature 602, 671-675. 10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z.
- Barros-Martins, J., Hammerschmidt, S.I., Cossmann, A., Odak, I., Stankov, M.V., Morillas Ramos, G.,
  Dopfer-Jablonka, A., Heidemann, A., Ritter, C., Friedrichsen, M., Schultze-Florey, C., et al. (2021). Immune
  responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants after heterologous and homologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/BNT162b2
  vaccination. Nat Med 27, 1525-1529. 10.1038/s41591-021-01449-9.
- 56430.Kardani, K., Bolhassani, A., and Shahbazi, S. (2016). Prime-boost vaccine strategy against viral infections:565Mechanisms and benefits. Vaccine 34, 413-423. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.062.
- 566
   31.
   Sette, A., and Crotty, S. (2021). Adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Cell 184, 861-880.

   567
   10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.007.
- Bricker, T.L., Darling, T.L., Hassan, A.O., Harastani, H.H., Soung, A., Jiang, X., Dai, Y.N., Zhao, H., Adams,
  L.J., Holtzman, M.J., Bailey, A.L., et al. (2021). A single intranasal or intramuscular immunization with
  chimpanzee adenovirus-vectored SARS-CoV-2 vaccine protects against pneumonia in hamsters. Cell Rep *36*,
  109400. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109400.
- 33. Hassan, A.O., Feldmann, F., Zhao, H., Curiel, D.T., Okumura, A., Tang-Huau, T.L., Case, J.B., Meade-White,
  K., Callison, J., Chen, R.E., Lovaglio, J., et al. (2021). A single intranasal dose of chimpanzee adenovirusvectored vaccine protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus macaques. Cell Rep Med 2, 100230.
  10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100230.
- Moyo-Gwete, T., Madzivhandila, M., Makhado, Z., Ayres, F., Mhlanga, D., Oosthuysen, B., Lambson, B.E., Kgagudi, P., Tegally, H., Iranzadeh, A., Doolabh, D., et al. (2021). Cross-Reactive Neutralizing Antibody Responses Elicited by SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 (B.1.351). N Engl J Med 384, 2161-2163.
  10.1056/NEJMc2104192.
- S80 35. Reincke, S.M., Yuan, M., Kornau, H.C., Corman, V.M., van Hoof, S., Sanchez-Sendin, E., Ramberger, M., Yu, W., Hua, Y., Tien, H., Schmidt, M.L., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant infection elicits potent lineage-specific and cross-reactive antibodies. Science *375*, 782-787. 10.1126/science.abm5835.
  S83 36. https://teachmeanatomy.info/neck/vessels/lymphatics/.
- 584 37. Porzia, A., Cavaliere, C., Begvarfaj, E., Masieri, S., and Mainiero, F. (2018). Human nasal immune system: a special site for immune response establishment. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents *32*, 3-8.
- 38. de Wispelaere, M., Ricklin, M., Souque, P., Frenkiel, M.P., Paulous, S., Garcia-Nicolas, O., Summerfield, A.,
  587 Charneau, P., and Despres, P. (2015). A Lentiviral Vector Expressing Japanese Encephalitis Virus-like
  588 Particles Elicits Broad Neutralizing Antibody Response in Pigs. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9, e0004081.
  589 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004081.
- 59039.Beignon, A.S., Mollier, K., Liard, C., Coutant, F., Munier, S., Riviere, J., Souque, P., and Charneau, P. (2009).591Lentiviral vector-based prime/boost vaccination against AIDS: pilot study shows protection against Simian592immunodeficiency virus SIVmac251 challenge in macaques. J Virol 83, 10963-10974. 10.1128/JVI.01284-09.
- 40. Planas, D., Veyer, D., Baidaliuk, A., Staropoli, I., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Rajah, M.M., Planchais, C., Porrot,
  594 F., Robillard, N., Puech, J., Prot, M., et al. (2021). Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to
  antibody neutralization. Nature 596, 276-280. 10.1038/s41586-021-03777-9.
- 596 41. Sterlin, D., Mathian, A., Miyara, M., Mohr, A., Anna, F., Claer, L., Quentric, P., Fadlallah, J., Devilliers, H.,
  597 Ghillani, P., Gunn, C., et al. (2021). IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2.
  598 Sci Transl Med *13*. 10.1126/scitranslmed.abd2223.
- 599
- 600

#### 601 Acknowledgments

602 The authors are grateful to Dr. Marie José Quentin-Millet and Estelle Besson (TheraVectys) for precious discussion and advices, to Magali Tichit et Sabine Maurin for excellent technical 603 604 assistance in preparing histological sections, to Sébastien Chardenoux for excellent technical assistance in B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> mouse transgenesis, to Marie Laure Loriquet and Dr. Paul-Henri 605 606 Consigny (Institut Pasteur Medical Center) for their gift of the leftover Moderna vaccines, not 607 unusable in humans in a way that this study did not deprive any individual of a vaccine dose during 608 the COVID-19 pandemic. The SARS-CoV2 variant Delta/2021/I7.2 200 was supplied by the Virus 609 and Immunity Unit (Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) headed by Olivier Schwartz. The SARS-CoV-2 610 Omicron BA.1 variant was initially supplied by the Virus and Immunity Unit (Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) headed by Olivier Schwartz, and was provided to our lab by Matthieu Prot and 611 612 Etienne Simon-Lorière (G5 Evolutionary Genomics of RNA Viruses, Institut Pasteur, Paris, 613 France).

614 This work was supported by TheraVectys and Institut Pasteur.

#### 615 Author Contributions

616 Study concept and design: BV, JL, CG, MB, LM, PC, acquisition of data: BV, JL, AN, PA, IF,

617 FLC, FM, KN, MB, LM, construction and production of LV and technical support: AN, FM, CB,

618 FA, histology: FG, DH, recombinant Spike protein: CP, HM, collaborative generation of B6.K18-

619 hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> transgenic mice: FLV, analysis and interpretation of data: BV, JL, FA, MB, LM, PC,

620 drafting of the manuscript: LM.

#### 621 Conflict of Interests

PC is the founder and CSO of TheraVectys. BV, AN, PA, IF, FLC, FM, KN and FA are employees of TheraVectys. LM has a consultancy activity for TheraVectys. Other authors declare no competing interests. PA, IF, JL, BV, FA, MB, LM and PC are inventors of pending patents directed to the potential of i.n. LV::S vaccination against SARS-CoV-2.





Figure 1. Down-selection of a S<sub>COV-2</sub> variant with the highest potential to induce cross sero-627 neutralizing antibodies. (A) Timeline of prime-boost vaccination with LV::S<sub>Alpha</sub>, LV::S<sub>Beta</sub> or 628 629 LV::S<sub>Gamma</sub> and (cross) sero-neutralization assays in C57BL/6 mice (n = 4-5/group). (B) EC50 of 630 neutralizing activity of sera from vaccinated mice was evaluated before and after the boost, against 631 pseudo-viruses carrying S<sub>CoV-2</sub> from D614G, Alpha, Beta or Gamma variants. (C) EC50 of sera from C57BL/6 mice, vaccinated following the regimen detailed in (A) with LV encoding for S<sub>D614G</sub>, 632 either WT or carrying the  $K^{986}P - V^{987}P$  substitutions in the S2 domain. EC50 was evaluated before 633 and after the boost, as indicated in (B). 634



635

636 Figure 2. Anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> humoral responses in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further 637 intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (A) Timeline of mRNA i.m.-i.m. prime-boost vaccination 638 in C57BL/6 mice which were later immunized i.n. by escalating doses of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (n = 4-639 5/group) and the (cross) sero-neutralization follow-up. (B) Serum EC50 determined at the indicated 640 time points against pseudo-viruses carrying S<sub>CoV-2</sub> from D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta or 641 Delta+ variants. (C) Anti-S<sub>CoV-2</sub> IgG (upper panel) or IgA (lower panel) titers in the sera two weeks 642 after i.n. LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> boost. Statistical significance was determined by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p <0.05, \*\*= p < 0.01, \*\*\*= p < 0.001). (D) Sera EC50, after the late boost given at wk 15, and as 643 644 determined at wk 17.  $\pounds$  = not determined.





Figure 3. Lung B-cell resident memory subset in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. The mice are those detailed in the Figure 2. Mucosal immune cells were studied two weeks after LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost. (A) Cytometric gating strategy to detect lung Brm in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. (B) Percentages of these cells among lung CD19<sup>+</sup> surface IgM<sup>-</sup>/IgD<sup>-</sup> B cells in mRNAvaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. Statistical significance was determined by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p < 0.05).

Figure 4



Figure 4. Systemic CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell responses to  $S_{COV-2}$  in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. The mice are those detailed in the Figure 2. Tsplenocyte responses were evaluated two weeks after LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost by IFN-γ ELISPOT after stimulation with S:256-275, S:536-550 or S:576-590 synthetic 15-mer peptides encompassing  $S_{CoV-2}$  MHC-I-restricted epitopes. Statistical significance was evaluated by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p< 0.05).

### Figure 5



662

Figure 5. Mucosal CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell responses to  $S_{COV-2}$  in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV:: $S_{Beta-2P}$ . The mice are those detailed in the Figure 2. (A) Representative IFN-γ response by lung CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells detected by intracellular cytokine staining after in vitro stimulation with a pool of S:256-275, S:536-550 and S:576-590 peptides. Cells are gated on alive CD45<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.



669

Figure 6. Lung T resident memory subset in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. The mice are those detailed in the Figure 2. Mucosal immune cells were studied two weeks after LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost. (A) Cytometric gating strategy to detect lung CD8<sup>+</sup> T resident memory (CD44<sup>+</sup>CD69<sup>+</sup>CD103<sup>+</sup>), and (B) percentages of this subset among CD8<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup> T-cells in mRNA-vaccinated mice which were further intranasally boosted with LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub>. Statistical significance was evaluated by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p < 0.05).

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

678 Figure 7. Full protective capacity of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> i.n. boost against Delta variant in initially mRNA-primed and boosted mice. (A) Timeline of mRNA i.m.-i.m. prime-boost vaccination in 679 C57BL/6 mice which were later immunized i.n. with  $1 \times 10^8$  TU/mouse of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> (n = 4-680 5/group), pre-treated i.n. with Ad5::hACE-2 4 days before i.n. challenge with  $0.3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of 681 682 SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. (B) Comparative quantification of hACE-2 mRNA in the lungs of Ad5::hACE-2 pre-treated mice at 3 dpi. (C) Lung viral RNA contents, evaluated by conventional E-683 684 specific (top) or sub-genomic Esg-specific (bottom) qRT-PCR at 3 dpi. Red lines indicate the 685 detection limits. Statistical significance was evaluated by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p < 0.05, \*\*= p <686 0.01).

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 8. Full protective capacity of LV::S<sub>Beta-2P</sub> used in a prime (i.m.) boost (i.n.) regimen against Omicron variant. (A) Timeline of prime-boost vaccination and i.n. challenge with  $0.3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in B6.K18-hACE2<sup>IP-THV</sup> transgenic mice (n = 5/group). (B) Lung viral RNA contents, evaluated by sub-genomic Esg-specific qRT-PCR at 5 dpi. Red lines indicate the detection limits. Statistical significance was evaluated by Mann-Whitney test (\*= p < 0.05, \*\*= p < 0.01).