Deficiency in cytosine DNA methylation leads to high chaperonin expression and tolerance to aminoglycosides in Vibrio cholerae

André Carvalho, Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu

PLOS GENETICS

Deficiency in cytosine DNA methylation leads to high chaperonin expression and tolerance to aminoglycosides in *Vibrio cholerae*

André Carvalho[1,2], **Didier Mazel**[1]*, **Zeynep Baharoglu**[1]*

1 Département Génomes et Génétique, Institut Pasteur, UMR3525, CNRS, Unité Plasticité du Génome Bactérien, Paris, France, **2** Sorbonne Université, Collège doctoral, F-75005 Paris, France

* mazel@pasteur.fr (DM); zeynep.baharoglu@pasteur.fr (ZB)

Abstract

Antibiotic resistance has become a major global issue. Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying microbial adaptation to antibiotics is of keen importance to fight Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR). Aminoglycosides are a class of antibiotics that target the small subunit of the bacterial ribosome, disrupting translational fidelity and increasing the levels of misfolded proteins in the cell. In this work, we investigated the role of VchM, a DNA methyltransferase, in the response of the human pathogen *Vibrio cholerae* to aminoglycosides. VchM is a *V. cholerae* specific orphan m5C DNA methyltransferase that generates cytosine methylation at 5'-R**C**CGGY-3' motifs. We show that deletion of *vchM*, although causing a growth defect in absence of stress, allows *V. cholerae* cells to cope with aminoglycoside stress at both sub-lethal and lethal concentrations of these antibiotics. Through transcriptomic and genetic approaches, we show that *groESL-2* (a specific set of chaperonin-encoding genes located on the second chromosome of *V. cholerae*), are upregulated in cells lacking *vchM* and are needed for the tolerance of *vchM* mutant to lethal aminoglycoside treatment, likely by fighting aminoglycoside-induced misfolded proteins. Interestingly, preventing VchM methylation of the four RCCGGY sites located in *groESL-2* region, leads to a higher expression of these genes in WT cells, showing that the expression of these chaperonins is modulated in *V. cholerae* by DNA methylation.

Author summary

Bacteria are organisms with a remarkable ability to adapt to several stress conditions, including to the presence of antibiotics. The molecular mechanisms underlying such adaptation lead, very often, to phenomena like antimicrobial tolerance and resistance, responsible for the frequent failure of antibiotic treatment. The study of these molecular mechanisms is thus an important tool to understand development of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. In this work, we show that abrogating cytosine DNA methylation in *Vibrio cholerae* increases its tolerance to aminoglycosides, a class of antibiotics that cause protein misfolding. DNA methylation is known to affect gene expression and regulate several cellular processes in bacteria. Here we provide evidence that DNA methylation also

has a more direct role in controlling antibiotic susceptibility in bacteria. Consequently, the study of bacterial DNA methyltransferases and DNA methylation should not be overlooked when addressing the problem of antimicrobial tolerance/resistance.

Introduction

In the past decades, the over/misuse and large-scale production of antibiotics has created a serious ecological problem with important consequences for the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). In fact, a large proportion of the antibiotics ingested are released intact in the environment [1,2] and found at trace levels or as gradients in various environments [3,4]. Hence, in these environments, one can find the presence of very low doses of drugs commonly referred as subMIC, i.e. under the MIC (Minimal Inhibitory Concentration). Although not enough to kill or prevent the growth of bacterial populations, subMIC doses of antibiotics are proposed to work as signaling molecules [5] and trigger important stress mechanisms that often result in development of antibiotic resistance [4,6–8]. We have previously shown that subMIC of antibiotics, such as aminoglycosides, trigger common and specific stress responses in Gram-negative bacteria [9,10].

Aminoglycosides (AGs) are positively charged molecules that bind 16S rRNA at the 30S ribosomal subunit and negatively affect translation. Specifically, AGs (e.g. tobramycin, streptomycin, kanamycin, gentamicin and neomycin) are known to disrupt translational fidelity and increase the levels of mistranslation, i.e. the misincorporation of certain amino acids in proteins [11,12]. In turn, high levels of mistranslation result in the production and accumulation of aberrant proteins in the cell, which contribute to the collapse of important cell processes and ultimately lead to cell death [13,14].

V. cholerae is a water-borne gram-negative bacterium, human pathogen and the causative agent of cholera disease. As part of its life cycle, *V. cholerae* often transits between the human gut and the external environment where it can find low doses of antibiotics. During our studies to better understand adaption of *V. cholerae* to aminoglycosides [15], we observed that a mutant of a *V.* cholerae's specific DNA methyltransferase (*vca0198*—VchM) was less susceptible to aminoglycosides than its isogenic WT strain, suggesting that DNA methylation could play a role in *V. cholerae* adaptation to AGs. *vchM* codes for an Orphan m5C DNA methyltransferase that causes DNA methylation at 5'-RCCGGY-3' motifs [16]. DNA methylation is catalyzed by enzymes called DNA methyltransferases (DNA MTases) that transfer a methyl group from S-adenosyl-L methionine (SAM) to adenine and cytosine in specific DNA motifs [17,18]. As a result, one can find the existence of small amounts of N6-methyl-adenine (6mA), C5-methyl-cytosine (5mC) and N4-methyl-cytosine (4mC) in the DNA of both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. In bacteria, the existence of such modified DNA bases have been shown to play a critical role in processes such as protection against invasive DNA, DNA replication and repair, cell cycle regulation and control of gene expression [19–23].

While it was previously proposed that VchM plays a role in the cell envelope stress response of *V. cholerae* [23], no link between this DNA MTase and antibiotic stress has yet been established. Here, we show that deletion of *vchM* (although causing a growth defect in absence of stress) allows *V. cholerae* cells to better deal with the effect of aminoglycosides. In fact, not only the *vchM* mutant is a better competitor during growth in presence of subMIC doses of aminoglycosides, it is also more tolerant to killing by lethal doses of these antibiotics. Transcriptome analysis of a ΔvchM strain revealed the upregulation of *groESL-2* genes, a specific set of chaperonin-encoding genes located on the second chromosome of *V. cholerae*. High expression of

groESL-2 genes (but not of chromosome one *groESL-1* homologues) determines the higher tolerance of Δ*vchM* to lethal AG treatment, suggesting a new and specific role of *groESL-2* in managing AG-mediated proteotoxic stress. Interestingly, we observed the presence of four VchM motifs in *groESL-2* region. Preventing methylation of all these sites in the WT strain by disrupting such motifs results in increased expression of these genes. Intriguingly, the high expression of *groESL-2* does not seem to contribute to the competitive advantage of the Δ*vchM* strain grown under subMIC AG which suggests the involvement of additional players in the global response of Δ*vchM* to aminoglycosides.

Results

V. cholerae cells lacking *vchM* cope better with subMIC doses of AGs

In order to explore a possible role of *vchM* in the response of *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor N16961 to aminoglycosides, we constructed an in-frame deletion mutant of *vchM* by allelic replacement with an antibiotic resistance cassette, and compared its growth to the isogenic wild-type (WT) strain, in rich media, with or without increasing concentrations of subMIC tobramycin (Fig 1). As previously described [23], this mutant exhibits a reduced doubling rate when grown in monoculture in antibiotic free rich media. However, the difference in growth between WT and Δ*vchM* strains observed in absence of antibiotics becomes gradually more negligible with increasing concentrations of subMIC TOB. At higher concentrations (90% of the MIC), Δ*vchM* even displays a clear advantage over the WT (Fig 1A). Importantly, a Δ*vchM* strain harboring a low-copy number plasmid with *vchM* gene under the control of its own promoter behaves as the WT strain in absence of tobramycin and even slightly worse in presence of higher doses of this drug (Fig 1A), showing that the observed growth phenotypes are due to the absence of *vchM*.

Next, we asked whether the growth phenotype observed in monocultures was translatable to a higher relative fitness in co-cultures in the presence of subMIC doses of tobramycin and other AGs. For that, we competed both WT and Δ*vchM* strains (both *lacZ*+) with an isogenic Δ*lacZ* mutant (initial ratio of 1:1), in MH or MH supplemented with subMIC concentrations (50% MIC) of the aminoglycosides tobramycin (TOB), gentamicin (GEN) and neomycin (NEO). We assessed relative fitness by plating cultures after 20 hours of growth and counting the final proportion of lacZ+/lacZ- colonies. Competition of WT against the *lacZ*- mutant served as a control to account for any effect of *lacZ* deletion on growth. Supporting the previous results in monocultures, Δ*vchM* is outcompeted by the *lacZ* mutant in MH (≈10-fold difference) (Fig 1B). More importantly, in presence of low concentrations of aminoglycosides, Δ*vchM* is either equally competitive or even displays a clear growth advantage over the reference strain (Fig 1B). Additionally, in order to test whether these results hold for drugs other than aminoglycosides we performed competitions in the presence of chloramphenicol (CAM) and the beta-lactam carbenicillin (CARB). Unlike AGs, the presence of low concentrations of these drugs did not increased the relative fitness of the Δ*vchM* mutant.

Altogether, these results confirm that lack of *vchM* in *V. cholerae* negatively impacts growth in antibiotic-free media [23] but confers a selective advantage to *V. cholerae* in presence of subMIC doses of AGs (Fig 1). In order to test if deleting *vchM* affects the MIC of these drugs, we measured the MIC of both WT and Δ*vchM* mutant and found no difference (Table 1).

VchM deficiency promotes higher tolerance to lethal doses of aminoglycosides

It has been previously shown that certain mutations affect functions conferring bacterial populations a tolerant phenotype towards a specific drug [24]. Such bacterial populations can

Fig 1. *V. cholerae* N16961 Δ*vchM* is less susceptible to subMIC aminoglycosides A. Growth curves in absence (MH) or presence of subMIC doses of tobramycin. Bars represent SD (n = 3) **B.** *In vitro* competitions of WT and mutant strains against isogenic Δ*lacZ* reference strain in absence or presence of different antibiotics at subMIC concentrations (TOB, 0.6 μg/ml; GEN, 0.5 μg/ml; NEO, 2.0 μg/ml; CAM, 0.4 μg/ml; CARB, 2.5 μg/ml). Box plots indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate the min and max values (n = 6).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009748.g001

transiently withstand lethal doses of that drug without necessarily any impact on the MIC of the population [24].

To continue exploring the different susceptibility of the Δ*vchM* strain to aminoglycosides we assessed the survival rate of *V. cholerae* WT and Δ*vchM* strains during treatment with lethal doses of tobramycin and gentamicin at 20x and 10x the MIC, respectively (Fig 2). Given the inherent growth defect of VchM deficiency, we performed time-dependent killing curves on stationary phase cells, where both WT and Δ*vchM* strains are no longer actively growing, excluding a possible link between growth rate and aminoglycoside lethality as previously shown [25]. Strikingly, survival to both antibiotics was increased 10–1000 fold in the Δ*vchM* mutant, suggesting that the absence of VchM allows *V. cholerae* to transiently withstand lethal

Table 1. MICs (μg/ml) of the different antibiotics tested for *V. cholerae* N16961 WT and Δ*vchM* strains.

Strain	TOB	GEN	NEO	CAM	CARB
WT	1–2	1	4	1	15
Δ*vchM*	1–2	1	4	1	7.5

TOB, tobramycin; GEN, gentamicin; NEO, neomycin; CAM, chloramphenicol; CARB, carbenicillin

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009748.t001

Fig 2. Δ*vchM* strain is more tolerant to lethal aminoglycoside treatment. Survival of stationary-phase WT and Δ*vchM* cells exposed to lethal doses of tobramycin (TOB) (**A**), and gentamicin (GEN) (**B**). Survival represents the number of bacteria (CFU/mL) after treatment divided by the initial number of bacteria prior treatment. Time in the X-axis represents the duration of the antibiotic treatment in hours. Means and SD are represented, n = 3.

doses of these aminoglycosides (Fig 2). We additionally tested the tolerance of exponential phase cells of WT and Δ*vchM* mutant strains to lethal concentrations of tobramycin and observed similar results (S1 Fig).

One crucial aspect that determines the efficacy of aminoglycoside treatment is the uptake of these drugs by the bacterial cell. This process is energy dependent and requires a threshold membrane potential [26]. We used a previously reported assay that measures cell fluorescence after incubation with fluorescent-marked neomycin (neo-cy5) [27] as a proxy for aminoglycoside uptake. We did not observe any difference in fluorescence between WT and Δ*vchM* mutant strains (S2 Fig). Thus, differential uptake of aminoglycosides is unlikely the reason for the increased tolerance to these drugs in Δ*vchM*.

A specific set of chaperonins is upregulated in *ΔvchM* cells

To understand the high tolerance to aminoglycosides observed in Δ*vchM*, we performed RNA-seq on stationary phase cells of WT and Δ*vchM* strains grown in rich, stress-free media. The analysis of the transcriptome of Δ*vchM V. cholerae* O1 El Tor N16961 strain reveals the significant upregulation (fold change ≥ 2, $p<0.01$) and downregulation (fold change ≤ -2, $p<0.01$) of 68 and 53 genes, respectively (S1 Table). Among the differentially expressed, we found four genes directly involved in protein folding to be upregulated in Δ*vchM* strain. Those are the molecular chaperones GroEL and co-chaperonins GroES (Table 2). In many bacterial species, GroEL and its co-chaperonin GroES form a molecular machine essential for folding of large newly synthesized proteins also helping re-folding of proteins damaged by proteotoxic

Table 2. Protein folding and stabilization genes upregulated in Δ*vchM* (fold change > 2, p-value < 0.01).

Locus	Name	Fold change (ΔvchM/WT)	Annotation
vc2665	groEL-1	**2.24**	Chaperonin, 60-kDa subunit
vca0820	groEL-2	**3.54**	Chaperonin, 60-kDa subunit
vc2664	groES -1	**2.60**	Chaperonin, 10-kDa subunit
vca0819	groES-2	**6.78**	Chaperonin, 10-kDa subunit

Fig 3. *groESL-2* operon is upregulated in Δ*vchM* strain. A. Schematic representation of both *groESL* operons in *V. cholerae*. The four RCCGGY sites present along the *groESL-2* region are represented by the inverted orange triangles. **B.** Fold change (Δ*vchM*/WT) of the relative expression levels of *groES-1* and *groES-2* in cultures at exponential phase (Exp, OD$_{600}$ ≈ 0.3) or stationary phase (Stat, OD$_{600}$ ≈ 1.8–2.0). Means and SD are represented, n = 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009748.g003

stress [28]. Interestingly, overexpression of GroES and GroEL proteins was found to promote short-term tolerance to aminoglycoside-induced protein misfolding in *E. coli* [29].

V. cholerae is one of, at least, seven *Vibrio* species harboring two copies of *groES–groEL* (*groESL*) bicistronic operons [30]. Whereas *groESL-1* is encoded in chromosome 1 (*vc2664-vc2665*), *groESL-2* is located in chromosome 2 (*vca0819-0820*) (Fig 3A) [30]. Based on our RNA-seq data, the latter manifested a larger fold change (Table 2). In order to confirm differential expression of these genes in Δ*vchM*, we measured *groES-1* and *groES-2* relative gene expression in exponential and stationary phase cells of WT and mutant strains, using digital qRT-PCR with the housekeeping *gyrA* gene as reference [31]. The results confirm a higher induction of *groES-2* genes in both exponential and stationary phase Δ*vchM* cells with a fold change (over the WT) of ca. 10X and 5X, respectively (Fig 3B). However, *groES-1* fold change was only slightly increased in exponential and unnoticeable in stationary phase.

Induction of groESL genes is usually associated to perturbations in proteostasis which leads to activation of the heat-shock response [32]. Indeed, expression of both *groESL-1* and *groESL-2* is controlled by the heat-shock alternative sigma factor RpoH in *V. cholerae* [33]. However, the upregulation of *groESL-2* genes in Δ*vchM* cells is likely independent of heat-shock activation as i) we do not observe any other genes of the heat-shock regulon being upregulated in the mutant (for example, *dnaKJ/grpE*, *clpB*, *ibpAB*) and ii) expression of *groESL-1* is not as increased as expression of *groESL-2* (Fig 3B).

Altogether, these results confirm that in absence of VchM, expression of *groESL-2* genes is markedly increased in *V. cholerae* and suggest that regulation of *groESL-2* operon in the Δ*vchM* mutant can be independent of heat-shock response.

Deletion of *groESL-2* operon abolishes Δ*vchM* high tolerance to lethal doses of tobramycin

In bacteria that harbor a single copy of this operon, GroESL are essential proteins for cell viability [34]. However, possible redundancy between *groESL-1* and *groESL-2* could allow for the deletion of one or the other operon in *V. cholerae*. Thus, we attempted to delete *groESL-1* and *groESL-2* from *V. cholerae* WT and Δ*vchM* strains. While Δ*groESL-2* and Δ*vchM groESL-2* strains were easily obtained, we could not manage to delete *groESL-1* in any background despite several attempts. Moreover, deletion of *groESL-2* did not affect the growth of V. *cholerae* in rich medium (Fig 4A). Respectively, GroES-1 and GroEL-1 share 80% and 87% amino acid identity with the only and essential GroES and GroEL proteins of *E. coli*, but lower (66% and 76%) amino acid identity with GroES-2 and GroEL-2 (S3 Fig). These observations suggest that i) GroESL-1 (but not GroESL-2) is essential for *V. cholerae* viability and ii) GroESL-1 is probably the main housekeeping chaperonin system while the divergent GroESL-2 could act synergistically in response to high levels of misfolding or having specific substrates upon protein damage caused by specific stresses. Surprisingly, competition of Δ*groESL-2* with a lacZ⁻ strain shows that loss of these proteins is not detrimental for growth of *V. cholerae* in presence of subMIC TOB (S4A Fig). Similarly, survival of the Δ*groESL-2* strain to lethal doses of TOB does not differ from that of the WT (S4B Fig). However, these genes are intrinsically highly expressed in Δ*vchM* strain, where they may confer a selective advantage in presence of AG stress. In this case, deletion of *groESL-2* in Δ*vchM* background would affect the mutant's tolerance. Indeed, when we compared the survival to lethal AG treatment of Δ*vchM* to that of a Δ*vchM groESL-2* double mutant, we found that the absence of *groESL-2* abolishes high tolerance to tobramycin and gentamicin in Δ*vchM* (Fig 4B), without affecting growth in absence of stress (Fig 4A). These results show that the higher expression of *groESL-2* is required for the high tolerance of the Δ*vchM* mutant to lethal AG treatment. Importantly, we assessed whether *groESL-2* locus is part of *V. cholerae*'s intrisinc stress response to AGs, and we observed induction of this locus by both subMIC and lethal concentrations of tobramycin (S5 Fig)"

We then tested whether the high tolerance of this mutant relies on general higher levels of chaperonins or if it specifically linked to GroESL-2 chaperonins. We thus tried to complement the Δ*vchM groESL-2* mutant by ectopically expressing *groESL-1* or *groESL-2* and assessed survival to lethal doses of AGs. Strikingly, only overexpression of *groESL-2* is able to promote survival levels similar to those observed in Δ*vchM* (Fig 4C), suggesting a specific role for GroESL-2 in managing AG-mediated proteotoxic stress in *V. cholerae* cells lacking VchM. Interestingly, we observed no difference in the relative fitness of Δ*vchM* and Δ*vchM groESL-2* mutants in competitions in presence of subMIC doses of tobramycin, which shows that *groESL*-2 it is not implicated in Δ*vchM* higher relative fitness during growth in subMIC AGs (Fig 4D).

Fig 4. *groESL-2* is needed for the increased tolerance of *ΔvchM* to lethal AG treatment. A. Growth curves in MH medium. Means and SD are represented, n = 3. **B.** Survival of stationary-phase WT, *ΔvchM* and *ΔvchM ΔgroESL-2* cells exposed to lethal aminoglycoside treatment for 7 hours. Box plots indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate the min and max values (n = 6 from two independent experiments). **C.** Survival (after 7 hours AG treatment) of *ΔvchM groESL-2* double mutant harboring an empty plasmid or a plasmid expressing either *groESL-1* or *groESL-2*, relative to survival of the *ΔvchM* with the control plasmid. Box plots indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate the min and max values (n = 6 from two independent experiments). In **B** and **C** statistically significant differences were determined using Friedman's test with Dunn's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, ns = not significant. **D.** *In vitro* competitions of *ΔvchM* and *ΔvchM groESL-2* double mutant strains against isogenic *ΔlacZ* reference strain in absence or presence of subMIC TOB, 0.6 μg/ml; Error bars indicate SD (n = 6).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009748.g004

VchM controls *groESL-2* expression in part through direct DNA methylation

Knowing the role of VchM in regulating gene expression in *V. cholerae* [23], we asked whether VchM controls *groESL* expression directly through DNA methylation. VchM methylates the first cytosine in 5'-R**C**CGGY-3' motifs [16]. This prompted us to search for such motifs in both *groESL* operons. While we couldn't detect any of these sites along the *groESL-1* locus, we found a total of four VchM motifs in *groESL-2* region: motif #1 within the 5' UTR of the operon, 47 bp away from the initiation codon; motif #2 is within the coding region of *groES-2* while motifs #3 and #4 are located within the coding region of *groEL-2* (Fig 5A). We hypothesized that the methylation state of these motifs could modulate the transcription of *groESL-2*

genes. To test this, we generated a mutant by replacing all RCCGGY motifs in *groESL-2* region by non-consensus motifs but maintaining the amino acid sequence of GroESL-2 proteins intact (Fig 5A, mut#1–4). Additionally, we created a mutant where only the RCCGGY #1 was altered in order to investigate if this site, for being in the regulatory region of this operon, had a stronger contribution in modulating gene expression (Fig 5A, mut#1). We then measured *groES-2* expression in both mutants and observed that disruption of RCCGGY #1 lead to a very weak increase in *groES-2* expression relative to the WT, while disruption of all four sites led to a significantly higher expression of this gene (Fig 5B), although not as high as in the *ΔvchM* mutant. We additionally tested *groEL-2* expression and observed similar results (S6 Fig). Supporting our hypothesis that this regulation is methylation-dependent, we did not observe any difference in *groES-2* or *groEL-2* expression when we mutated sites #1–4 in the *ΔvchM* background (S6 Fig). It is worth mentioning that, in these experiments, the expression of *groES-2* in the *ΔvchM* strain was consistently higher than in the WT mut#1–4 (Fig 5B) suggesting that an additional factor (e.g. an unknown DNA methylation-sensitive transcription factor), in synergy with the methylation of RCCGGY sites, controls expression of *groES-2*. Nonetheless, overall these results show that a specific set of chaperonin encoding genes is under the control of DNA cytosine methylation in *V. cholerae*, linking DNA methylation to modulation of chaperonin expression and tolerance to antibiotics.

Discussion

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is currently one of the biggest threats to global health [35]. It is thus urgent to not only find new and alternative ways to fight bacterial infections but also to understand how bacteria adapt to the presence of antibiotics and study the molecular mechanisms they use to circumvent antibiotic action.

In this study, we establish a previously unknown link between VchM-mediated DNA methylation and aminoglycoside susceptibility in the human pathogen *V. cholerae*. VchM is a relatively understudied orphan DNA methyltransferase only found in *V. cholerae* species, known to methylate the first cytosine at 5'-RCCGGY-3' DNA motifs [16,23]. VchM is necessary for the optimal growth of *V. cholerae*, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and it was shown to repress the expression of a gene important for cell envelope stability through direct DNA methylation [23].

Here we show that despite the growth defect in stress-free medium, cells lacking VchM are also less susceptible to aminoglycoside toxicity. Specifically, we show that these cells have a higher relative fitness in presence of low AG concentrations. The reason for this can be inferred from the growth curves in presence of subMIC TOB (Fig 1A) where it is clear that small increments in TOB concentration lead to a higher toxicity in the WT strain when compared to the *ΔvchM*. Moreover, even though the MIC values for the tested AGs are the same in both strains, *ΔvchM* displays a higher tolerance to lethal aminoglycoside treatment (Fig 2).

Aminoglycosides are a well-known class of antimicrobial drugs that cause disruption of the translation process and consequently protein misfolding [14,36]. The exact mechanism underlying the bactericidal activity of aminoglycosides has been subject of debate in the literature [37] but it is generally accepted that killing by AGs involves i) the uptake of the AG into the cytoplasm [11,38,39] and ii) membrane disruption mediated by insertion of misfolded proteins in the membrane as consequence of AG binding to the ribosomes and disruption of translational fidelity [11–13,40]. Indeed, mechanisms modulating aminoglycoside tolerance/resistance in different bacterial species (in exponential or stationary phase) have been shown to be associated either to AG uptake [41–44] or to translational fidelity and proteostasis [14,29,40,45]. Our results revealed a higher relative abundance of *groESL-2* transcripts in

Fig 5. Disrupted VchM sites in *groESL-2* region leads to increased gene expression in the WT. A. Schematic representation of mutants with abrogated VchM sites. **B.** Relative expression of *groES-2* in the different strains at OD_{600} of 1.0. Box plots indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate the min and max values (n = 5). Statistical significance was determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, ns = not significant.

bacterial cells lacking VchM, which led us to hypothesize that such increased expression of these chaperonins could underlie the high tolerance to AGs observed in this mutant, as it had been previously observed in *E. coli* [29]. In fact, we show that stationary phase cells lacking both *vchM* and *groESL-2* genes have similar or even lower tolerance to lethal AG treatment compared to the WT strain. However, we could not observe a significant increase in tolerance upon overexpression of *groESL-2* in the WT strain (S7 Fig), suggesting that high *groESL-2* levels alone do not explain the high tolerance to lethal AG treatment. Instead, it is possible that high levels of GroESL-2 chaperone system counteract AG-mediated misfolding of specific substrates present only in cells devoid of VchM.

V. cholerae harbors two copies of *groESL* operon in its genome, thus belonging to the group of 30% of bacterial species that contains multiple copies of these chaperonins [46,47]. An interesting question to ask is whether these extra copies of chaperonins are functionally redundant or have a more specialized role in the cell, as it had been observed for *Myxococcus xanthus* [46–48]. Supporting the latter hypothesis, we show here that the high tolerance observed in Δ*vchM* is dependent on the high expression of *groESL-2* but not on the high expression of *groESL-1* (Fig 4B). Amino acid identity comparison between these proteins suggests that *Vc* GroESL-1 is likely the orthologue of the housekeeping GroESL of *E. coli* whereas *Vc* GroESL-2, thought to have appeared by duplication in *V. cholerae* [30], differ equally from both (S3 Fig). Thus, we speculate that *V. cholerae* GroESL-2 constitutes an alternative chaperone system capable of helping the folding of specific substrates important for survival to specific stresses. The existence of an alternative *groESL-2* locus in *V. cholerae* that is needed for aminoglycoside tolerance only in certain contexts is a new finding and it paves the way for the study of potential alternative roles of otherwise thought redundant chaperonin systems in bacteria. It would

be interesting, in future studies, to assess in depth how GroESL-2 interacts with specific substrates and which stress conditions demand one of the chaperonins in preference to the other.

Interestingly, even though essential for the higher tolerance to lethal aminoglycoside treatment, *groESL-2* is not involved in the increased relative fitness of the Δ*vchM* mutant in presence of subMIC doses of aminoglycosides ([Fig 4C](#)). This suggests that the mechanisms operating in Δ*vchM* cells that increase their relative fitness during growth in subMIC AGs are not the same that increase their tolerance to lethal doses of these drugs. In fact, it has been recently shown that the type of translation errors occurring at lower streptomycin (another AG) concentrations differ from those found in high concentrations of this aminoglycoside, with the latter being associated to a higher misfolding propensity [12]. Thus, it seems plausible that, in Δ*vchM* cells, the higher expression of *groESL-2* is likely to be more important at high concentrations of AGs, when the abundance of misfolded proteins tend to increase. The mechanisms driving Δ*vchM* higher relative fitness at lower doses of aminoglycosides remain to be elucidated in future work.

DNA methylation controls gene expression through modulation of protein-DNA interactions [49]. In most of the cases, the methylated base interferes with the binding of transcription factors and/or the RNA polymerase at the regulatory region of a gene, affecting transcription [50–52]. However, there is also evidence that the presence of methylated DNA bases that occur along the coding region of genes could also directly affect their expression in bacteria, even though the precise mechanism is still unknown [20,22,23]. In eukaryotes, cytosine methylation tends to repress gene expression. A recent study shedding light on how cytosine methylation affect DNA mechanical properties shows that cytosine methylation stabilizes the DNA helix and slows transcription in eukaryotic cells [53]. Thus, a similar m5C-mediated transcriptional hindrance is likely to happen also in prokaryotes. Here we support this view by showing that abrogation of VchM-dependent methylation of cytosines at the four RCCGGY motifs in *groESL-2* region increased its expression in WT cells ([Fig 5B](#)). However, this in unlikely the sole mechanism responsible for the high expression of *groESL-2* genes in Δ*vchM* cells, as this mutant has even higher expression levels of *groESL-2*. This suggests that the pleiotropic effects resulting from VchM deficiency also affect, indirectly, the expression of these genes maybe through regulation of a methylation sensitive transcription factor. Thus, VchM controls *groESL-2* expression in part in a direct and in an indirect way.

Our work shows that a *V. cholerae* deletion mutant of the orphan DNA methyltransferase VchM have a general higher tolerance towards aminoglycosides. It remains to be explored whether *V. cholerae* WT cells can modulate VchM expression and, consequently, alter the levels of cytosine methylation. Bisulfite sequencing analysis of *V. cholerae* genome shows that all cytosines within RCCGGY motifs were methylated in *V. cholerae*, during exponential and stationary phases, with the exception of three of these sites which had been previously shown to be constantly undermethylated in this species [54]. However, these studies were conducted in cells cultured in LB stress-free media or collected from frozen rabbit cecal fluid, and thus may not reflect the m5C profile of *V. cholerae* during other stress conditions. Moreover, bisulfite sequencing allows for cytosine methylation analysis of the total population at a specific time and thus it is not suitable to detect potential transient changes in small subpopulations of cells. Such changes could be mediated, for example, by altering the levels of VchM through gene expression. Little is known about *vchM* regulation but it was recently shown that the *V. cholerae* quorum sensing low density transcriptional regulator AphA is able to bind the *vchM* region [55] leaving the possibility that *vchM* may be regulated by quorum sensing. Moreover, *vchM* was previously found to be differentially expressed between different stages of human infection [56], suggesting the possibility that modulation of cytosine methylation levels can be adaptive during *V. cholerae*'s life cycle. In line with our work, lowering VchM levels could

lead to a trade-off, where low m5C levels would be detrimental for fitness in stress-free contexts, but highly advantageous in presence of specific stress conditions, such as antibiotic exposure.

With this study, we show for the first time that in *V. cholerae* (and potentially other clinically relevant species) the high expression of specialized chaperonins are crucial in certain conditions where specific proteins may be affected by AGs. Moreover, we show here that there is a link between chaperonin expression and DNA cytosine methylation, which has not previously been observed, thus linking DNA methylation levels with response to proteotoxic stress.

Materials and methods

Strains, media and culture conditions

V. cholerae was routinely cultured at 37˚C in Mueller-Hinton (MH) medium. Plasmids were introduced in *V. cholerae* by electrotransformation. Strains containing the pSC101 plasmid were grown in presence of 100 μg/mL carbenicilin for plasmid maintenance. All *V. cholerae* mutant strains are derived from *Vibrio cholerae* serotype O1 biotype El Tor strain N16961 hapR+. Mutants were constructed by homologous recombination after natural transformation or with a conjugative suicide plasmid as previously described [15,57–59]. Primers, strains and plasmids used in this study, and their constructions, are listed in S2 Table. For routine cloning we used chemically competent *E. coli* One Shot TOP10 (Invitrogen). All strains and plasmids were confirmed by sanger sequencing.

Mutation of RCCGGY sites #1–4 in *groESL-2* region

In order to mutate all four RCCGGY sites present in *groESL-2* (*vca0819-0820*) region we generated a DNA fragment (S2 Table) with these sites containing the following nucleotide changes: #1- ACCGGC changed to A**T**CGGC; #2- ACCGGC changed to AC**G**GGC; #3- GCCGGC changed to GC**G**GGC and #4- ACCGGC changed to AC**G**GGC. This fragment was then introduced in *V. cholerae* at the endogenous locus by allelic replacement as described in S2 Table.

Growth curves

Overnight cultures from single colonies were diluted 1:100 in Mueller-Hinton (MH) rich media or MH + subMIC antibiotics at different concentrations, in 96-well microplates. OD_{600} was measured in a Tecan Infinite plate reader at 37˚C, with shaking for 20 hours. Measurements were taken every 10 minutes.

MIC determination

MICs were determined by microtiter broth dilution method [60] with an initial inoculum size of 10^5 CFUs/mL. The MIC was interpreted as the lowest antibiotic concentration preventing visible growth.

Neo-Cy5 uptake

Quantification of fluorescent neomycin (Neo-cy5) uptake was performed as described [61]. Neo-cy5 is an aminoglycoside coupled to the fluorophore Cy5, and has been shown to be active against Gram- bacteria [27]. Briefly, overnight cultures were diluted 100-fold in rich MOPS (Teknova EZ rich defined medium). When the bacterial cultures reached an OD_{600} of 0.25, they were incubated with 0.4 μM of Cy5 labeled Neomycin for 15 minutes at 37˚C. 10 μL of the incubated culture were then used for flow cytometry, diluting them in 250 μL of PBS

before reading fluorescence. Flow cytometry experiments were performed as described [62]. For each experiment, 100000 events were counted on the Miltenyi MACSquant device.

Competitions experiments

Overnight cultures from single colonies of lacZ⁻ and lacZ⁺ strains were washed in PBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline) and mixed 1:1 (500μl + 500μl). At this point 100μl of the mix were serial diluted and plated in MH agar supplemented with X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) at 40 μg/mL to assess T0 initial 1:1 ratio. At the same time, 10 μl from the mix were added to 2 mL of MH or MH supplemented with subMIC tobramycin at 0.6μg/mL and incubated with agitation at 37˚C for 20 hours. Cultures were then diluted and plated in MH agar plates supplemented with X-gal. Plates were incubated overnight at 37˚C and the number of blue and white CFUs was assessed. Competitive index was calculated by dividing the number of blue CFUs (lacZ⁺ strain) by the number of white CFUs (lacZ⁻ strain) and normalizing this ratio to the T0 initial ratio.

Survival assays

Bacterial cultures from single colonies were cultured at 37˚C for 16 h with agitation in 10 mL of MH medium. Aliquots from these cultures were removed, serial diluted and plated in MH agar plates to assess CFUs formation prior antibiotic treatment (T0). In addition, 5 mL of these aliquots were subjected to antibiotic treatment and incubated with agitation at 37˚C. At the indicated time points, 500uL of these cultures were collected, washed in PBS, serial diluted and plated in MH agar plates. The plates were then incubated overnight at 37˚C. Survival at each time point was determined by dividing the number of CFUs/mL at that time point by the number of CFUs/mL prior treatment. Antibiotics were used at the following final concentrations: 20 μg/mL Tobramycin (TOB) and 10 μg/mL Gentamicin (GEN). Experiments were repeated at least two to three times.

Digital qRT-PCR

For RNA extraction, overnight cultures of three biological replicates of strains of interest were diluted 1:1000 in MH media and grown with agitation at 37˚C until an OD_{600} of 0.3 (exponential phase) or an OD_{600} of 1.0 or 2.0 (stationary phase). 0.5 mL of these cultures were centrifuged and supernatant removed. Pellets were homogenized by resuspension with 1.5 mL of cold TRIzol Reagent. Next, 300 μL chloroform were added to the samples following mix by vortexing. Samples were then centrifuged at 4˚C for 10 minutes. Upper (aqueous) phase was transferred to a new 2mL tube and mixed with 1 volume of 70% ethanol. From this point, the homogenate was loaded into a RNeasy Mini kit (Quiagen) column and RNA purification proceeded according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were then subjected to DNase treatment using TURBO DNA-free Kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration of the samples was measured with NanoDrop spectrophotometer and diluted to a final concentration of 1–10 ng/μL.

qRT-PCR reactions were prepared with 1 μL of diluted RNA samples using the qScript XLT 1-Step RT-qPCR ToughMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) within Sapphire chips. Digital PCR was conducted on a Naica Geode (programmed to perform the sample partitioning step into droplets, followed by the thermal cycling program suggested in the user's manual. Primer and probe sequences used in digital qRT-PCR reaction are listed in S3 Table. Image acquisition was performed using the Naica Prism3 reader. Images were then analyzed using Crystal Reader software (total droplet enumeration and droplet quality control) and the

Crystal Miner software (extracted fluorescence values for each droplet). Values were normalized against expression of the housekeeping gene *gyrA* as previously described [31].

RNA-seq

For RNA extraction, overnight cultures of three biological replicates of WT and Δ*vchM* strains were diluted 1:100 in MH medium and grown with agitation at 37˚C until cultures reach an OD_{600} of 2.0. Total RNA extraction, library preparation, sequencing and analysis were performed as previously described [63]. The data for this RNA-seq study has been submitted in the GenBank Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under project number PRJNA509113.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Differentially regulated genes in ΔvchM strain.
(PDF)

S2 Table. Strains, plasmids and primers used in this study.
(PDF)

S3 Table. Primer and probe sequences used in digital qRT-PCR.
(PDF)

S1 Fig. ΔvchM exponential phase cells are more tolerant to lethal aminoglycoside treatment. Survival of exponential phase cells (OD_{600} 0.3–0.4) of WT and Δ*vchM* strains exposed to lethal doses of tobramycin (TOB) for a period of 3.5 hours. The Y-axis represents the log_{10} CFUs/mL after 3.5 hours growth with 0, 3, 5 or 10 μg/mL of Tobramycin (3x, 5x or 10x higher than the MIC, respectively). Means and SD are represented, n = 3.
(TIF)

S2 Fig. Neo-cy5 uptake is not decreased in Δ*vchM*. Percentage of neo-cy5 positive cells analyzed by flow cytometry after incubation with fluorescent marked neomycin. Means and SD are represented, n = 3.
(TIF)

S3 Fig. Comparison of GroESL proteins from *E. coli* and *V. cholerae*. Amino acid identity between GroES and GroEL proteins of *E. coli* MG1655 (*Eco*) and *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor N16961 (*Vch*) computed by BLASTP. Values represent percentage identity between proteins.
(TIF)

S4 Fig. Deletion of *groESL-2* does not increase susceptibility to tobramycin. A. *In vitro* competitions of WT and Δ*groESL-2* strains against isogenic Δ*lacZ* reference strain in absence or presence of tobramycin (TOB) at 0.6 μg/mL; n = 3, error bars indicate SD. **B.** Survival of stationary-phase WT and Δ*groESL-2* cells exposed to 20X MIC of tobramycin. n = 3, error bars indicate SD.
(TIF)

S5 Fig. *groESL-2* is induced in WT cells by tobramycin at both subMIC and lethal concentrations. Relative expression of *groES-2* in WT cells growing in MH, MH with subMIC tobramycin (≈ 20% MIC) or exponential phase cells following lethal TOB treatment (5X MIC) for a period of 30 minutes. n = 3, error bars indicate SD.
(TIF)

S6 Fig. Mutation of VchM sites in *groESL-2* region fails to affect gene expression of the operon in absence of VchM. Relative expression of *groES-2 and groEL*-2 genes in the indicated

strains grown at OD_{600} 1.0. n = 3, error bars indicate SD.
(TIF)

S7 Fig. Overexpression of *groESL-2* in the WT does not increase tolerance to tobramycin. Survival (after 7 hours TOB treatment) of WT strain carrying a control plasmid or a plasmid overexpressing *groESL-2* genes. n = 6, error bars indicate SD.
(TIF)

Acknowledgments

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: André Carvalho, Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Data curation: André Carvalho, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Formal analysis: André Carvalho, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Funding acquisition: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Investigation: André Carvalho, Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Methodology: André Carvalho, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Project administration: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Resources: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Supervision: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Validation: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

Writing – original draft: André Carvalho.

Writing – review & editing: Didier Mazel, Zeynep Baharoglu.

References

1. Liu YC, Huang WK, Huang TS, Kunin CM. Detection of antimicrobial activity in urine for epidemiologic studies of antibiotic use. J Clin Epidemiol. 1999. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356(99)00027-x PMID: 10408993

2. Haggard BE, Bartsch LD. Net Changes in Antibiotic Concentrations Downstream from an Effluent Discharge. J Environ Qual. 2009. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0540 PMID: 19141825

3. Fick J, Söderström H, Lindberg RH, Phan C, Tysklind M, Larsson DGJ. Contamination of surface, ground, and drinking water from pharmaceutical production. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1897/09-073.1 PMID: 19449981

4. Andersson DI, Hughes D. Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3270 PMID: 24861036

5. Davies J, Spiegelman GB, Yim G. The world of subinhibitory antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2006; 9: 445–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2006.08.006 PMID: 16942902

6. Wistrand-Yuen E, Knopp M, Hjort K, Koskiniemi S, Berg OG, Andersson DI. Evolution of high-level resistance during low-level antibiotic exposure. Nat Commun. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04059-1 PMID: 29686259

7. Jørgensen KM, Wassermann T, Jensen PØ, Hengzuang W, Molin S, Høiby N, et al. Sublethal ciprofloxacin treatment leads to rapid development of high-level ciprofloxacin resistance during long-term experimental evolution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00493-13 PMID: 23774442

8. Gullberg E, Cao S, Berg OG, Ilbäck C, Sandegren L, Hughes D, et al. Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. PLoS Pathog. 2011. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002158 PMID: 21811410

9. Baharoglu Z, Mazel D. Vibrio cholerae triggers SOS and mutagenesis in response to a wide range of antibiotics: A route towards multiresistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01549-10 PMID: 21300836

10. Baharoglu Z, Babosan A, Mazel D. Identification of genes involved in low aminoglycoside-induced SOS response in Vibrio cholerae: A role for transcription stalling and Mfd helicase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1259 PMID: 24319148

11. Davis BD. Mechanism of bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. Microbiological Reviews. 1987. https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.51.3.341-350.1987 PMID: 3312985

12. Wohlgemuth I, Garofalo R, Samatova E, Günenç AN, Lenz C, Urlaub H, et al. Translation error clusters induced by aminoglycoside antibiotics. Nat Commun. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21942-6 PMID: 33758186

13. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Wierzbowski J, Cottarel G, Collins JJ. Mistranslation of Membrane Proteins and Two-Component System Activation Trigger Antibiotic-Mediated Cell Death. Cell. 2008; 135: 679–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.038 PMID: 19013277

14. Ling J, Cho C, Guo LT, Aerni HR, Rinehart J, Söll D. Protein Aggregation Caused by Aminoglycoside Action Is Prevented by a Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenger. Mol Cell. 2012; 48: 713–722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.001 PMID: 23122414

15. Negro V, Krin E, Pierlé SA, Chaze T, Gianetto QG, Kennedy SP, et al. RadD contributes to R-Loop avoidance in Sub-MIC tobramycin. MBio. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01173-19 PMID: 31266870

16. Banerjee S, Chowdhury R. An orphan {DNA} (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase in Vibrio cholerae. Microbiology. 2006; 152: 1055–1062. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.28624-0 PMID: 16549669

17. Fujimoto D, Srinivasan PR, Borek E. On the Nature of the Deoxyribonucleic Acid Methylases. Biological Evidence for the Multiple Nature of the Enzymes. Biochemistry. 1965. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00888a041 PMID: 4956424

18. Casadesús J, Low D. Epigenetic Gene Regulation in the Bacterial World. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00016-06 PMID: 16959970

19. Sánchez-Romero MA, Casadesús J. The bacterial epigenome. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0286-2 PMID: 31728064

20. Kumar S, Karmakar BC, Nagarajan D, Mukhopadhyay AK, Morgan RD, Rao DN. N4-cytosine DNA methylation regulates transcription and pathogenesis in Helicobacter pylori. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky126 PMID: 29481677

21. Estibariz I, Overmann A, Ailloud F, Krebes J, Josenhans C, Suerbaum S. The core genome m5C methyltransferase JHP1050 (M.Hpy99III) plays an important role in orchestrating gene expression in Helicobacter pylori. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47: 2336–2348. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1307 PMID: 30624738

22. Militello KT, Simon RD, Qureshi M, Maines R, van Horne ML, Hennick SM, et al. Conservation of Dcm-mediated cytosine DNA methylation in Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02482.x PMID: 22150247

23. Chao MC, Zhu S, Kimura S, Davis BM, Schadt EE, Fang G, et al. A Cytosine Methytransferase Modulates the Cell Envelope Stress Response in the Cholera Pathogen. PLoS Genet. 2015; 11: e1005666. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005666 PMID: 26588462

24. Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban NQ. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2016.34 PMID: 27080241

25. Haugan MS, Løbner-Olesen A, Frimodt-Møller N. Comparative activity of ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, and gentamicin as a function of bacterial growth rate probed by Escherichia coli chromosome replication in the mouse peritonitis model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02133-18 PMID: 30509946

26. Nakae R, Nakae T. Diffusion of aminoglycoside antibiotics across the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1982. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.22.4.554 PMID: 6758685

27. Sabeti Azad M, Okuda M, Cyrenne M, Bourge M, Heck MP, Yoshizawa S, et al. Fluorescent Aminoglycoside Antibiotics and Methods for Accurately Monitoring Uptake by Bacteria. ACS Infect Dis. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00421 PMID: 32195576

28. Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. Protein folding. Molecular chaperones in the cytosol: From nascent chain to folded protein. Science. 2002; 295: 1852–1858. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1068408 PMID: 11884745

29. Goltermann L, Good L, Bentin T. Chaperonins fight aminoglycoside-induced protein misfolding and promote short-term tolerance in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.420380 PMID: 23447537

30. Chowdhury N, Kingston JJ, Brian Whitaker W, Carpenter MR, Cohen A, Fidelma Boyd E. Sequence and expression divergence of an ancient duplication of the chaperonin groESEL operon in Vibrio species. Microbiol (United Kingdom). 2014; 160: 1953–1963. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.079194-0 PMID: 24913685

31. Lo Scrudato M, Blokesch M. The regulatory network of natural competence and transformation of Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genet. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002778 PMID: 22737089

32. Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J. The Heat Shock Response: Life on the Verge of Death. Molecular Cell. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.10.006 PMID: 20965420

33. Slamti L, Livny J, Waldor MK. Global gene expression and phenotypic analysis of a Vibrio cholerae rpoH deletion mutant. J Bacteriol. 2007. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01297-06 PMID: 17085549

34. Fayet O, Ziegelhoffer T, Georgopoulos C. The groES and groEL heat shock gene products of Escherichia coli are essential for bacterial growth at all temperatures. J Bacteriol. 1989; 171: 1379–1385. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.3.1379-1385.1989 PMID: 2563997

35. O'Neill J. Tackling a Crisis for the Health and Wealth of Nations. In: The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1038/510015a PMID: 24899282

36. Magnet S, Blanchard JS. Molecular insights into aminoglycoside action and resistance. Chem Rev. 2005; 105: 477–497. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0301088 PMID: 15700953

37. Baquero F, Levin BR. Proximate and ultimate causes of the bactericidal action of antibiotics. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00443-1 PMID: 33024310

38. Ezraty B, Vergnes A, Banzhaf M, Duverger Y, Huguenot A, Brochado AR, et al. Fe-S cluster biosynthesis controls uptake of aminoglycosides in a ROS-less death pathway. Science. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1238328 PMID: 23812717

39. Bruni GN, Kralj JM. Membrane voltage dysregulation driven by metabolic dysfunction underlies bactericidal activity of aminoglycosides. Elife. 2020. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58706 PMID: 32748785

40. Zou J, Zhang W, Zhang H, Zhang XD, Peng B, Zheng J. Studies on aminoglycoside susceptibility identify a novel function of KsgA to secure translational fidelity during antibiotic stress. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018; 62: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00853-18 PMID: 30082289

41. Shan Y, Lazinski D, Rowe S, Camilli A, Lewis K. Genetic basis of persister tolerance to aminoglycosides in Escherichia coli. MBio. 2015; 6: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00078-15 PMID: 25852159

42. Allison KR, Brynildsen MP, Collins JJ. Metabolite-enabled eradication of bacterial persisters by aminoglycosides. Nature. 2011. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10069 PMID: 21562562

43. McKay SL, Portnoy DA. Ribosome hibernation facilitates tolerance of stationary-phase bacteria to aminoglycosides. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59: 6992–6999. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01532-15 PMID: 26324267

44. Hall CW, Farkas E, Zhang L, Mah TF. Potentiation of Aminoglycoside Lethality by C4-Dicarboxylates Requires RpoN in Antibiotic-Tolerant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01313-19 PMID: 31383655

45. Ji X, Zou J, Peng H, Stolle AS, Xie R, Zhang H, et al. Alarmone Ap4A is elevated by aminoglycoside antibiotics and enhances their bactericidal activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1822026116 PMID: 31004054

46. Kumar CMS, Mande SC, Mahajan G. Multiple chaperonins in bacteria—novel functions and non-canonical behaviors. Cell Stress Chaperones. 2015; 20: 555–574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12192-015-0598-8 PMID: 25986150

47. Goyal K, Qamra R, Mande SC. Multiple gene duplication and rapid evolution in the groEL Gene: Functional implications. J Mol Evol. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-006-0037-7 PMID: 17103057

48. Wang Y, Zhang W yan, Zhang Z, Li J, Li Z feng, gao Tan Z, et al. Mechanisms Involved in the Functional Divergence of Duplicated GroEL Chaperonins in Myxococcus xanthus DK1622. PLoS Genet. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003306 PMID: 23437010

49. Casadesus J, Low D. Epigenetic Gene Regulation in the Bacterial World. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00016-06 PMID: 16959970

50. Hernday AD, Braaten BA, Low DA. The mechanism by which DNA adenine methylase and PapI activate the Pap epigenetic switch. Mol Cell. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(03)00383-6(03) 00383–6 PMID: 14580345

51. Cota I, Sánchez-Romero MA, Hernández SB, Pucciarelli MG, García-Del Portillo F, Casadesús J. Epigenetic Control of Salmonella enterica O-Antigen Chain Length: A Tradeoff between Virulence and Bacteriophage Resistance. PLoS Genet. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005667 PMID: 26583926

52. Wion D, Casadesús J. N6-methyl-adenine: an epigenetic signal for DNA-protein interactions. Nature reviews. Microbiology. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1350 PMID: 16489347

53. Rausch C, Zhang P, Casas-Delucchi CS, Daiß JL, Engel C, Coster G, et al. Cytosine base modifications regulate DNA duplex stability and metabolism. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab509 PMID: 34133727

54. Dalia AB, Lazinski DW, Camilli A. Characterization of undermethylated sites in vibrio cholerae. J Bacteriol. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02112-12 PMID: 23504020

55. Haycocks JRJ, Warren GZL, Walker LM, Chlebek JL, Dalia TN, Dalia AB, et al. The quorum sensing transcription factor AphA directly regulates natural competence in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genet. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008362 PMID: 31658256

56. LaRocque RC, Harris JB, Dziejman M, Li X, Khan AI, Faruque ASG, et al. Transcriptional profiling of Vibrio cholerae recovered directly from patient specimens during early and late stages of human infection. Infect Immun. 2005. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.8.4488-4493.2005 PMID: 16040959

57. Baharoglu Z, Krin E, Mazel D. Connecting environment and genome plasticity in the characterization of transformation-induced {SOS} regulation and carbon catabolite control of the Vibrio cholerae integron integrase. J Bacteriol. 2012; 194: 1659–1667. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05982-11 PMID: 22287520

58. Baharoglu Z, Krin E, Mazel D. RpoS Plays a Central Role in the SOS Induction by Sub-Lethal Aminoglycoside Concentrations in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genet. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003421 PMID: 23613664

59. Val ME, Skovgaard O, Ducos-Galand M, Bland MJ, Mazel D. Genome engineering in Vibrio cholerae: A feasible approach to address biological issues. PLoS Genet. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002472 PMID: 22253612

60. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically. Approved Standard— 7th Edition. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. CLSI M7–A7. 2006.

61. Lang M, Krin E, Korlowski C, Sismeiro O, Varet H, Coppée J-Y, et al. Sleeping ribosomes: Bacterial signaling triggers RaiA mediated persistence to aminoglycosides. iScience. 2021; 24: 103128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103128 PMID: 34611612

62. Baharoglu Z, Bikard D, Mazel D. Conjugative {DNA} transfer induces the bacterial {SOS} response and promotes antibiotic resistance development through integron activation. {PLoS} Genet. 2010; 6: e1001165. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001165 PMID: 20975940

63. Krin E, Pierlé SA, Sismeiro O, Jagla B, Dillies MA, Varet H, et al. Expansion of the SOS regulon of Vibrio cholerae through extensive transcriptome analysis and experimental validation. BMC Genomics. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4716-8 PMID: 29783948