

ATG16L1 functions in cell homeostasis beyond autophagy

Daniel Hamaoui, Agathe Subtil

▶ To cite this version:

Daniel Hamaoui, Agathe Subtil. ATG16L1 functions in cell homeostasis beyond autophagy. FEBS Journal, 2021, 10.1111/febs.15833 . pasteur-03413222

HAL Id: pasteur-03413222 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-03413222

Submitted on 5 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Title: ATG16L1 functions in cell homeostasis beyond autophagy
2	Daniel Hamaoui ¹ . & Agathe Subtil ^{1#}
4	
5	1. Unité de Biologie cellulaire de l'infection microbienne, Institut Pasteur, UMR3691 CNRS, F-
6	75015, Paris, France.
7	# Corresponding author. Email: asubtil@pasteur.fr Phone : +33 14061 3049
8	
9	Running title: ATG16L1 functions in cell homeostasis
10	
11	Abbreviations:
12	Atg, autophagy-related; cGAS, Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase; DC, dendritic cell; ER,endoplasmic
13	reticulum; IFN, interferon; IKKa, Inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase subunit alpha; IL, interleukin;
14	LAP, LC3-associated phagocytosis; LIR, LC3-interacting region; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MEF, mouse
15	embryonic fibroblasts; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; NLR, NOD-like receptor; NOD,
16	Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein; PAS, phagophore assembly site; PKA,
1/	cAMP-dependent protein kinase A; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase;;
18	PI3P, phosphatidy inositor 3-phosphate; Rab, Ras-related protein; STING, Stimulator of Interferon
19	genes protein, TLR, Toll-like receptor, TRIF, TR-domain-containing adapter molecule 1; ULR, OR-51
20 21	response: V-ATPase, vacuolar-type HC-ATPase: WDD, ATG16I, 1's WD40 domain
27	
23	Keywords: ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex. LC3-lipidation. autophagy. LC3-associated
24	phagocytosis, WD40 domain, Crohn's disease, T300A variant
25	
26	Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest
27	
28	Abstract
29	Atg16-like (ATG16L) proteins were identified in higher eukaryotes for their resemblance to Atg16, a
30	yeast protein previously characterized as a subunit of the Atg12-Atg5/Atg16 complex. In yeast, this
31	complex catalyzes the lipidation of Atg8 on pre-autophagosomal structures, and is thereby required for
32	the formation of autophagosomes. In higher eukaryotes, ATG16L1 is also almost exclusively present as
33	part of an ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex, and has the same essential function in autophagy.
34	However, ATG16L1 is three times bigger than Atg16. It displays in particular a carboxy-terminal
35	extension, including a WD40 domain, which provides a platform for interaction with a variety of proteins,
36	and allows for the recruitment of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to membranes under different
37	contexts. Furthermore, detailed analyses at the cellular level have revealed that some of the ATG16L1-
<u>ა</u> გ აი	ariven activities are independent of the lipidation reaction catalyzed by the AIG12-AIG5/AIG16L1
39 40	ablation of its expression, revealed a large panel of consequences of ATC1611 divefunctions. In this
τU	

review, we recapitulate the current knowledge on ATG16L1 expression and functions. We emphasize in particular how it broadly acts as a brake on inflammation, thereby contributing to maintaining cell homeostasis. We also report on independent studies that converge to show that ATG16L1 is an important player in the regulation of intracellular traffic. Overall, autophagy-independent functions of ATG16L1 probably accounts for more of the phenotypes associated with ATG16L1 deficiencies than currently appreciated.

47 Introduction

48 The term autophagy refers to a degradation pathway involving dynamic membrane rearrangements 49 that sequester cargo for delivery to the lysosome; where the sequestered material is degraded and 50 recycled. Most of the molecular players were discovered through genetic screens in yeast in the last 51 years of the twentieth century [1]. Atg16, formerly Apg16p, was initially identified through its association 52 with the first identified autophagy protein, Atg5 [2]. Atg5 is covalently conjugated to Atg12, and interacts 53 with Atg16 to form a Atg12-Atg5/Atg16 complex. Atg16 is required for the location of the complex to the 54 pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS), where the complex catalyzes the lipidation of Atg8 [3]. The 55 mammalian homolog of ATG16 was first cloned in mice in 2003 [4], but raised little interest until two 56 studies identified a susceptibility variant to Crohn's disease in the human homolog, ATG16L1, in 2007 57 [5,6]. Since then, many groups have tried to understand the roles of ATG16L1 in cellular homeostasis, 58 in particular using mice hypomorphic for *Atg16l1*, or afflicted with cell-type specific *Atg16l1* deficiency. 59 The emerging view is that ATG16L1 exerts multiple functions. While its most studied and core activity 60 relates to its contribution to the lipidation of Atg8 homologs, it appears that it also exerts unrelated 61 functions, which are less well understood. In this review, we will recapitulate the current knowledge on 62 ATG16L1 structure and expression. The steps of the autophagy process in which ATG16L1 is required, 63 and the molecules involved will be recapitulated only briefly, since this best understood aspect of 64 ATG16L1 activity has recently been reviewed [7]. We will emphasize how, in mammalian cells, 65 ATG16L1 has evolved to a more versatile molecule than its yeast ortholog, capable of directing the 66 ATG12-ATG5 complex, and thus its lipidation capacity, to a variety of intracellular membranes. We will also see how ATG16L1 has gained a prominent role in counteracting inflammation in a variety of cell 67 68 types. Finally, we will see that ATG16L1 contributes to cellular homeostasis by regulating a number of 69 membrane trafficking events in mammalian cells, in some cases independently of the autophagy 70 cascade.

71

72 I –ATG16L1: a well-established role in the LC3 conjugation machinery.

73 I-A. ATG16L1 domain composition

The 17 kDa Atg16 protein of yeast is composed of an Atg5-binding domain, followed with a coiledcoil domain [8]. Genomes of higher eukaryotes, including *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Dictyostelium discoideum* or *Oryza sativa*, code for larger proteins of 55-68 kDa which display only weak homology 77 with yeast Atg16 in their N-terminus, and were therefore designated as Atg16-like proteins (ATG16L) 78 (Figure 1 A and B). Most notably, ATG16L proteins has a carboxy-terminal extension, including a domain 79 made of seven WD40 repeats, that forms a β -propeller, which we will refer to as the WDD [4,9]. Genomes of mammals code for two ATG16L proteins, called ATG16L1 and ATG16L2 [10]. ATG16L2 80 81 also forms complexes with ATG12-ATG5, but displays only limited sequence similarity with ATG16L1, 82 and lacks LC3-lipidation-stimulating activity during canonical autophagy [10]. Recently, generation of Atg16/2 knock-out mice revealed that that ATG16L1 and ATG16L2 contribute very distinctly to 83 84 autophagy and cellular ontogeny in myeloid, lymphoid, and epithelial lineages, and ATG16L2 functions 85 will not be discussed in this review [11]. The α and β isoforms are two isoforms of ATG16L1 which are 86 largely expressed, with some tissue specificity, with the latter (also called isoform 1) carrying an 18 87 amino acid insertion. These isoforms are also expressed in mice, with more than 90% sequence identity. Mice express an additional isoform, γ , which carries a 16 amino acid insertion that has no equivalent in 88 humans [4,10]. Other isoforms might be expressed, lacking for instance the coiled-coil domain or the 89 90 WDD domain, but their expression in specific tissues remains to be demonstrated [12].

91 Two independent genome wide association studies identified a susceptibility variant for Crohn's 92 disease in ATG16L1, corresponding to a single nucleotide substitution (A to G, rs2241880) [5,6]. This 93 substitution leads to the presence of an alanine residue at position 300, instead of threonine (T300A, equivalent to T316A for the γ isoform in mice). It was later shown that amino acids 296–299 of ATG16L1 94 95 constitute a caspase cleavage motif, and that the T300A variant significantly increased ATG16L1 96 sensitization to caspase-3-mediated processing [13]. The sensitivity to caspase-3 cleavage suggests 97 that possession of the 300A allele might, under certain circumstances, result in reduced expression of full-length ATG16L1, and increased expression of cleaved fragments such as the WDD, possibly with 98 99 dominant negative effects. This intriguing possibility that the T300A variant may display dominant 100 negative activity on the wild type variant is supported by the observation that mice bearing a wild-type 101 Atg16/1 allele and a null allele exhibit a phenotype equivalent to that of wild type mice, while mice 102 expressing the T300A variant, on either one or two alleles, do not [14]. However, it is important to keep 103 in mind that the T300A variant is very common in the human population [5], and that heterozygosity at 104 this locus (GA genotype) was not associated to a higher risk of developing ileal Crohn's disease [15]. 105 Nevertheless, contradictory results on this question were reported when studying pediatric cohorts [16-106 18]. Furthermore, individuals heterozygotes and homozygotes with the risk allele (GA or GG 107 genotypes) display increased endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in their Paneth cells [19]. A 108 positive correlation between the cumulative number of ATG16L1 risk alleles and the proportion of abnormal Paneth cells has been reported in two North American cohorts of Crohn's disease 109 110 patients, but not in a Japanese cohort [20,21]. Finally, urothelial vesicular abnormalities were 111 associated with the GA and GG genotypes both in mouse and human bladder urothelium samples [22]. These data support the hypothesis that the expression of the T300A variant may induce 112 113 phenotypic changes, even in an heterozygous context, and this question deserves further 114 investigation.

116 I-B. ATG16L1 is mainly expressed as part of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex

117 ATG16L1 forms a homo-dimer through its coiled-coil region, and potentially through interactions with the WDD (Figure 1 A) [4,9,23,24]. Its amino-terminal end binds to ATG5, which is itself covalently bound 118 119 to ATG12 (through a conjugation reaction mediated by ATG7 and ATG10). Differential centrifugation 120 analysis showed that ATG16L1 mostly migrates as part of an 800 kDa complex, likely containing eight sets of ATG12-ATG5 and ATG16L1 [4]. This behavior is similar to that observed in yeast, where Atg16 121 122 forms a ~350 kDa multimeric complex with Atg12-Atg5 [25]. However, the existence of this multimeric 123 form remains to be confirmed. Most importantly, monomeric ATG16L1 or ATG12-ATG5 conjugates are 124 not detected, meaning that ATG16L1 is mainly, possibly exclusively, expressed as part of the ATG12-125 ATG5/ATG16L1 complex. The interdependence of the three proteins is also revealed by the effect of 126 knocking-out the expression of just one of the partners on the expression of the others. In Atg5^{-/-} cells, 127 ATG16L1 is almost undetectable [26]. Mice KO for Atg7 in epithelial cells, which is necessary for ATG12 128 conjugation to ATG5, exhibit a total loss of ATG12 and ATG16L1, and a strong reduction in ATG5 129 expression [27]. One possible explanation is that these proteins have a short half-life, unless they 130 stabilize each other once assembled in the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex [26]. Also, it is possible 131 that the loss of autophagy in these experimental set-ups leads to an aberrant over-activation of anabolic 132 pathways such as mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), which controls the expression of multiple 133 autophagy genes [28,29]. In any case, it is important to keep in mind when interpreting loss of function 134 data that the absence of single components of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex, or of the proteins 135 implicated in the formation of the complex, affects the expression of all subunits. Thus, the phenotypes 136 observed are not necessarily due to a loss in autophagy, but could be due to the loss of autophagy-137 independent activities of each component of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex.

138

139 I-C. Transcriptional and post-translational regulation of ATG16L1 expression and activity

ATG16L1 is present in all tissues, though specific transcription factors regulating *ATG16L1* expression have not yet been described. In *C. elegans* the transcription factor HLH-30 (TFEB in mammals) regulates the transcription of a number of autophagy genes, among which is *atg16.2* in response to *Staphylococcus aureus* infection [30]. Whether this transcriptional control is conserved in mammalian cells is unknown. BRG1, an ATPase subunit of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex, was shown to regulate the transcription of several autophagy genes, including *Atg16l1* in intestinal epithelial cells [31].

Several microRNAs, including miR-142-3p, miR223, mir-106b, miR93, miR-96, miR-410, miR-20a,
and miR-874, were reported to target the 3'-untranslated region of the *ATG16L1* gene and regulate its
transcription, in different tissues [32-38]. The post-transcriptional regulator Human antigen R (HuR) was
proposed to regulate hypoxia-induced autophagy by enhancing the expression of *ATG7* and *ATG16L1*[39]. Post-transcriptional regulation of *Atg16l1* translation was also recently reported in intestinal
epithelial cells [40].

Post-translational modifications of ATG16L1 have also been shown to regulate its activity.
 Phosphorylation at Ser139 and methylation at Lys151 are antagonistic marks that affect ATG16L1

155 binding to the ATG12-ATG5 conjugate [41,42]. Methylation occurred upon hypoxia/reoxygenation in 156 cardiomyocytes, indicating that these post-translational modifications allow to adjust the activity of the 157 ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to oxygen availability. In endothelial cells, the cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) reduces ATG16L1 half-life by phosphorylation of Ser268, thereby tuning down 158 159 endothelial autophagy and contributing to the regulation of angiogenesis [43]. In intestinal epithelial cells 160 IKKα-driven phosphorylation at a different residue, Ser278, has the opposite, stabilizing, effect, by 161 protecting ATG16L1 from caspase-dependent degradation [44]. However, this result was later disputed 162 by the observation that, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, phosphorylation of this Ser278 residue still 163 occurred in the absence of IKK α , but required another kinase, ULK1, in conditions of starvation or in the 164 context of an infection [45]. Phosphorylation by ULK1 at Ser278 enhances ATG16L1 engagement in 165 xenophagy (discussed further below), and this modification was recently proposed as a readout for 166 autophagy level [46]. Finally, Gigaxonin is an E3 ligase that binds ATG16L1 through its WDD, poly-167 ubiquitinates ATG16L1 and controls its degradation through the proteasome and lysosomal pathways 168 [47]. Gigaxonin depletion results in the accumulation of ATG16L1 and impairs LC3 lipidation. This 169 phenocopies the inhibition of starvation-induced LC3 lipidation upon overexpression of ATG16L1 or 170 ATG12 [48].

171

172 I-D. Role of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex in LC3 lipidation reaction

173 The ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex forms the core machinery which catalyzes the addition of 174 phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) to the homologs of yeast Atg8, globally referred to as LC3 (Figure 2). 175 Mammalian cells express six paralogs of LC3, (LC3A, LC3B, LC3C, GABARAP, GABARAPL1 and 176 GABARAPL2), that require processing by ATG4 cysteine proteases (ATG4A, B, C, and D) prior to 177 activation by ATG7 (E1 ligase). Cleaved LC3 is then transferred to ATG3 (E2 ligase). The ATG12-178 ATG5/ATG16L1 complex subsequently serves as a noncanonical E3 ligase by attaching LC3 to PE, 179 thereby anchoring LC3 to the target membrane. All these proteins implicated in LC3-PE conjugation are 180 globally designated as the LC3 conjugation machinery [49]. LC3-PE possesses fusogenic properties 181 and mediates the growth of the phagophore and its closure to form the autophagosome. 182 Autophagosomes engulf cytosolic components that eventually fuse with lysosomes for degradation of 183 the cargo. The cargo itself can be bulk cytoplasmic components, or specifically selected components 184 via autophagy receptors such as the ubiquitin-binding protein p62 (SQSTM1), optineurin (OPTN) and 185 the calcium-binding and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 2 (NDP52). Autophagy thereby has been 186 linked to a number of essential functions that conserve cell homeostasis such as: the recycling of 187 organelles and protein aggregates which protects from neurodegeneration, the control of cell signaling, 188 cell metabolism and defense against invading pathogens [49]. In vitro, ATG16L1 might be dispensable to the enzymatic reaction of lipidation per se, by analogy to the observation that the Atg5-Atg12 189 190 conjugate is able to bind to Atg3 and to catalyze the transfer of PE to activated LC3 [8,50]. Yeast Atg16 191 core function is to localize multimers of Atg5-12 to the PAS and enhance Atg8 lipidation [3,51]. 192 Furthermore, the association of Atg8–PE with Atg12–Atg5/Atg16 forms a membrane scaffold, that was 193 proposed to be critical for phagophore biogenesis [52]. In vitro studies were also performed with purified

mammalian proteins and showed that ATG16L1 is required for efficient LC3 conjugation [53]. In 194 195 mammalian cells, the lipidated form of LC3 is completely lost in the absence of ATG16L1, a phenotype 196 also observed in yeast [26,54]. We will see below that, in higher eukaryotes, the role of ATG16L1 in 197 targeting the LC3 conjugation machinery to target membranes became more versatile than in yeast. Indeed, additional domains, and in particular the acquisition of the WDD, one of the most abundant 198 199 protein interaction domains in the human proteome [55], increased the levels of regulation for the 200 location of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to autophagosomes, and opened the possibility to 201 target the LC3 conjugation machinery to other kinds of membranes (Table 1).

- 202
- 203 II- ATG16L1 drives LC3 lipidation to target membranes through its lipid
- and protein binding modules.
- 205

206 II-A. ATG16L1's contributions to directing the LC3 conjugation machinery to the PAS

207 Several features in ATG16L1 contribute to the recruitment of the LC3 conjugation machinery to the 208 PAS. Autophagy is turned off under basal conditions by mTOR. Starvation, oxidative stress, and other environmental perturbations inhibit mTOR and activate a complex made of ULK1, FIP200, ATG13, and 209 210 ATG101. The ULK1 complex activates the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase catalytic subunit type 3 211 (PI3KC3) complex (including Beclin-1, ATG14, VPS15 (PI3KR4), and VPS34 (PI3KC3)), which is 212 recruited to the PAS. The PI3KC3 complex produces phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate to mark the 213 location for autophagosome biogenesis and create a platform for recruiting lipid binding proteins such 214 as WIPI2b. WIPI2b and FIP200 recruit the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to the PAS through their 215 affinity for adjacent sites located between the coiled-coil domain and the WDD of ATG16L1 [56-58] 216 (Table 1). Other membrane proteins described to interact directly with ATG16L1 and thereby contribute 217 to its recruitment to the PAS include the membrane interacting protein SNX18 [59] and the 218 transmembrane protein TMEM166/EVA1[60]. In addition, association of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 219 complex to membranes is favored by ATG16L1's intrinsic membrane-binding features [53,61]. One of 220 those is a conserved N-terminal amphipathic helix adjacent to the ATG5 binding site, required for membrane binding but dispensable for PAS targeting [53]. A second membrane-binding region present 221 222 only in the β isoform is dispensable for binding to highly curved membranes, but becomes important 223 when membrane curvature is low [53]. Interestingly, this C-terminal membrane-binding region of 224 ATG16L1 is dispensable for canonical autophagy but essential for LC3B lipidation at perturbed endosomes (see below). Finally, the coiled-coil domain also seems to contribute to lipid binding and 225 226 recruitment to the PAS [61]. Once located at the PAS, ATG16L1's propensity to oligomerize favors the 227 local amplification of LC3 conjugation to PE.

229 II-B. ATG16L1's contributions to cargo selection

230 As the phagophore grows through the coordinated action of autophagy proteins, cargos are 231 incorporated into it. Many cargos such as invasive microbes or damaged organelles are marked with 232 ubiquitin. Autophagy receptors, that contain both ubiquitin binding domains and a LC3-intreracting 233 region (LIR), make the link between ubiguitinated cargo and the phagophore [49]. ATG16L1 can also be implicated in the recognition of ubiquitinated cargo, as its WDD binds directly to ubiquitin, decorating 234 235 damaged endosomes containing Salmonella [62]. A recent study revealed that phosphorylation of ATG16L1 at Ser278, catalyzed by ULK1, was necessary to target ATG16L1 to intracellular bacteria in 236 237 fibroblasts [45].

238 Complement component C3 was also shown to also interact with ATG16L1, thereby contributing to 239 the clearance of C3-coated invasive bacteria [63]. The interaction between C3 and ATG16L1 was 240 confirmed in a different physiological context, with the discovery of its contribution to cytoprotective 241 autophagy in pancreatic β cells [64].

242 Another axis between invasive bacteria and ATG16L1 mobilization was discovered a decade ago 243 with several concomitant studies reporting on the contribution of the intracellular sensors NOD1 and 244 NOD2 to the autophagic response to invasive bacteria [65-67]. NOD-like receptors (NLRs) are part of 245 the cytosolic surveillance system for the detection of intracellular pathogens. The founding members, 246 NOD1 and NOD2, detect intracellular bacteria through their ability to sense bacterial peptidoglycan. 247 Activation of NOD1 and NOD2 initiates a proinflammatory response dependent mainly on activation of 248 the transcription factor NF-kB and on recruitment of the adaptor protein RIP2. Both sensors were shown 249 to recruit ATG16L1 at the bacterial entry site, and to co-immunoprecipitate with ATG16L1 [65,68]. We 250 will see below that the interaction between ATG16L1 and NOD proteins is complex, as it was later shown 251 that ATG16L1 suppresses NOD driven inflammation [69].

Recently, it was also reported that ATG16L1 was recruited to damaged vacuoles bearing internalized bacteria via a direct interaction between its WDD and one subunit of the vacuolar ATPase [70]. The WDD alone did not interact with this protein, and the data indicate that ATG16L1 oligomerization, mediated by its coiled-coil domain, was needed.

256

257 II-C. ATG16L1's WDD recruits the LC3-conjugation machinery to lipidate PE at specific

single membranes.

259 Multi-layered phagophores and autophagosomes are not the only sites of LC3 conjugation to PE. 260 "Non-canonical autophagy" is often used to designate processes that lead to LC3 lipidation on single, 261 non-autophagosomal membranes. Examples of this non-canonical autophagy pathway include LC3-262 associated phagocytosis (LAP), where LC3 is lipidated at single-membrane phagosomes following the 263 engulfment of bacterial and fungal pathogens or apoptotic and necrotic cells (review in [71]), and LC3 264 lipidation at damaged endosomal membranes (experimentally provoked by drugs possessing lysosomotropic or ionophore properties). Unlike in canonical autophagy, the ULK1 complex is 265 266 dispensable for LAP, which depends on PI3P generation, and on Rubicon, a Beclin-1-binding protein 267 [72,73]. The molecular requirements for LC3 lipidation at damaged endosomes have been less 268 investigated than in LAP, but one thing that is common to the two situations is that ATG16L1 WDD is 269 required for lipidation to occur [53,74]. Point mutations in amino acids F467 and K490 impaired 270 ATG16L1 recruitment to latex bead-containing single-membrane phagosomes upon monensin 271 treatment, indicating that these residues, located on the top face of the WDD, are implicated. 272 Importantly, the WDD alone was not recruited to phagosome membranes, indicating that the domain is 273 necessary but not sufficient for the recruitment of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex [74]. The 274 membrane-binding properties of ATG16L1 (and in particular its central membrane-binding region, which 275 is dispensable for canonical autophagy but essential for LC3B lipidation at perturbed endosomes [53]), 276 together with the possibility that the WDD behaves as a protein binding platform, amplified by ATG16L1 277 oligomerization, might be sufficient to target the LC3 lipidation machinery to cognate membranes. A 278 number of potential WDD interacting proteins have been identified (Table 1) and one can speculate that 279 one or several WDD binding partners, possibly transmembrane proteins, may contribute to LAP activity 280 by recruiting ATG16L1 to single membrane compartments. One transmembrane protein containing a 281 WDD binding domain is TMEM59, that is associated with several compartments, including the Golgi 282 apparatus and late endocytic compartments [68,75]. Overexpression of TMEM59 is sufficient to induce 283 LC3 lipidation of the compartment in which the protein resides, through its ability to attract ATG16L1 284 [68]. Furthermore, TMEM59 depletion prevented LAP induction upon Staphylococcus aureus infection 285 [68]. The Pimentel-Muiños' laboratory identified in TMEM59's short cytoplasmic tail a WDD binding motif 286 defined as [YW]-X3-[ED]-X4-[YWF]-X2-L [68]. This motif was found in several other proteins that bind 287 to ATG16L1, such as TLR2 and the CARD domain of NOD2, both possibly involved in LAP. However, 288 a role for TMEM59 in LAP remains questionable since the T300A variant of ATG16L1 displays impaired 289 TMEM59 trafficking and binding to ATG16L1 [76] but has no impact on LAP [74].

290 A different pathway for LC3B lipidation on single membrane was described very recently [77]. The 291 detection of cytosolic DNA by Stimulator of Interferon Genes (STING) resulted in LC3B lipidation onto 292 single membrane perinuclear vesicles. LC3 lipidation required the recruitment of ATG16L1, via its 293 WD40 domain, and bypassed canonical autophagy players. Instead, it implicated V-ATPase complexes, 294 i.e. proton pumps that are localized throughout the secretory pathway and regulate pH in various 295 vesicular and organellar compartments. This process was distinct from LAP as it did not require PI3K 296 activity and formation of a phagocytic vacuole, and was called VAIL for V-ATPase-ATG16L1-induced 297 LC3B lipidation [77]. The dissection of the VAIL pathway benefited from the previous identification of a 298 bacterial effector required for Salmonella typhimurium virulence, SopF, that inhibited LC3B lipidation of 299 the Salmonella-containing vacuole by ADP-ribosylation of the C subunit in the V0 complex of the V-300 ATPase, and could then be used as a tool to impair V-ATPase-mediated LC3B lipidation without 301 disrupting lysosomal acidification [70]. This earlier study showed that the V-ATPase co-302 immunoprecipitated with ATG5 and ATG16L1, and that the interaction was mediated by the WDD of 303 ATG16L1, illustrating the role of the WDD in directing the LC3 lipidation machinery to a variety of 304 membranes in different contexts [70]. The recent discovery of the VAIL pathway led its authors to 305 propose that the emergence of the ATG16L1's WDD in metazoa allowed to mediate the recruitment of the LC3B conjugation machinery independently of autophagy, with the VAIL pathway representing an
 evolutionarily ancient mechanism of cell-autonomous innate immunity [77].

Proteomic studies suggest that the WDD interactome has considerable cell type and developmental state specificity [78,79]. It is likely that other WDD binding proteins remain to be discovered, whose roles are to recruit the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to drive LC3 lipidation of specific membranes. Importantly, most of the interactions listed in Table 1 were detected in systems using protein overexpression and remain to be confirmed in more physiological systems.

313

314 II-D. Variation on this theme: the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex is required to direct

315 INFy-driven anti-microbial responses to the microbial niche

316 Among the most prominently IFN-induced proteins are dynamin-like GTPases, that are classified in 317 four families [80]. Among those, immunity-related GTPases (IRGs, in mice) and guanylate-binding 318 proteins (GBPs, in mice and humans) are targeted to the membrane of cytoplasmic vacuoles containing 319 bacteria, protists, or fungi, to orchestrate their destruction. One of the best studied examples of this is 320 the parasitophorous vacuole of the protist Toxoplasma gondii. The ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex is 321 required for the localization of LC3, and of several members of the IRG and GBP families, to the 322 parasitophorous vacuole. What recruits the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex to this compartment is not 323 known, apart from the fact that neither the autophagy cascade nor IFN are necessary. Only a small 324 proportion of parasitophorous vacuoles are positive for LC3 or IFN-induced GTPases at any given time, 325 indicating that the parasite may partially counteract this defense pathway [81]. Several parallels can be 326 drawn between these observations and those made in a very different context, i.e IFN_γ-mediated 327 defense against murine norovirus (MNV) infection. The MNV replication complex, which consists in an 328 arrangements of convoluted and sometimes closely apposed membranes without a concentric double 329 membraned structure [82]. The ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex localizes to this site, allowing for the 330 LC3 lipidation on these membranes, even in the absence of IFN. IFN γ -exposure leads to the expression 331 INF-inducible GTPases, which are recruited to the MNV replication complex in an ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 dependent manner, and eventually lead to the disappearance of the site of viral 332 333 replication [82,83]. Here again what brings the ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex to the viral replication 334 membranes remains to be elucidated.

335

336 III – ATG16L1 acts as a break on inflammation.

337 III-A. ATG16L1 suppresses type I interferon signaling

The first insight of the potential for ATG16L1 to suppress inflammation came from virology studies. Following viral infection, autophagy is often initiated to curtail infection by delivering viral particles for lysosomal degradation and further integrating with innate pattern recognition receptor signaling to induce type I interferon (IFN-I)-mediated viral clearance. In parallel, some viruses have evolved antiautophagy strategies to escape host immunity and to promote viral replication [84]. Mechanistic studies
have revealed a variety of mechanisms by which proteins engaged in the autophagy cascade interfere
with the IFN-I response of the infected cells (reviewed in [85]). Here we will focus on investigations of
the consequences of ATG16L1 deficiencies on IFN-I production. We will see that the suppressive effect
exerted by ATG16L1, initially observed in viral infections, also applies to other physiological contexts.

347 Upon infection with a RNA virus, virus-related RNA species are recognized by retinoic acid inducible 348 gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), including RIG-I and MDA5 (melanoma differentiation associated 349 gene 5) The ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex regulates the RLR signaling pathway and negatively 350 impacts IFN-I activation during viral infection. This was first demonstrated through the resistance of 351 ATG5-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) to vesicular stomatitis virus replication, which was 352 largely due to hyperproduction of IFN-I in response to immunostimulatory RNA. Molecular interaction 353 studies indicated that the ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex negatively regulated the IFN-I production 354 pathway by direct association of ATG5 with the retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and the 355 mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS, also called IPS-1), a signaling intermediate that 356 aggregates at the mitochondria to activate TBK-1 (tank-binding kinase 1) and IRF3 (interferon regulatory 357 factor 3) downstream of the cytosolic RNA sensors [86]. An alternative, non-exclusive explanation for 358 the amplification of RLR signaling in ATG5 deficient cells builds on the accumulation of mitochondria in 359 the absence of functional autophagy, resulting in increased levels of reactive oxygen species that are 360 sufficient to potentiate RLR signaling [87].

361 Because of the potential detrimental effects of IFN-I, several molecular machineries keep the RLR 362 pathway in check. A unique member of the nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) and leucine-rich-repeats 363 (LRRs)-containing proteins (NLRs), NLRX1, resides in the mitochondria and attenuates the activation 364 of MAVS by binding to its CARD domain, possibly precluding its engagement with RIG-I [88]. One link 365 between cytosolic RNA sensors and the autophagic machinery is provided by the mitochondrial Tu 366 translation elongation factor (TUFM), through its interactions with the ATG5-ATG12/ATG16L1 complex 367 (the precise partner was not solved) and with NLRX1 [89]. Like NLRX1, TUFM negatively regulates 368 RLR-induced IFN-I and promotes autophagy during viral infection.

These, and many other studies on the interplay between autophagy and the immune response to 369 370 viral infection, converge to a suppressive role exerted by autophagy on excess IFN-I production in 371 response to viral nucleic acids. Two recent works investigated how this relates to the outcome of host-372 microbiote interaction at the intestinal barrier [90,91]. Using several mouse models, the first study 373 showed that mice deficient in autophagy proteins were protected from the intestinal bacterial pathogen 374 Citrobacter rodentium in a manner dependent on IFN-I signaling and nucleic acid sensing pathways. Importantly, increased IFN-I signaling in *Atg16l1* hypomorphic (*Atg16l1*^{HM}) mice was observed even in 375 376 the absence of C. rodentium infection and was lost in germ free animals, indicating that the IFN-I 377 signaling is a spontaneous response of epithelial cells to the microbiota, which is normally suppressed 378 by the autophagy machinery. Strikingly, the same machinery that senses viral nucleic acids is required to confer the resistance to C. rodentium infection, as Atg16I1^{HM}Mavs^{-/-} mice, or Atg16I1^{HM}Sting^{-/-} mice 379 380 lose the protection conferred by ATG16L1 deficiency, indicating that both DNA and RNA moieties from 381 the microbiota (possibly from its viral component) are sensed. The second study, using mice lacking 382 ATG16L1 in myeloid cells, also showed increased clearance of intestinal Salmonella typhimurium in an 383 IFNR-dependent manner [91]. Mechanistically, the authors showed that loss of ATG16L1 promoted 384 accumulation of the adaptor TRIF, and identified the proteins TaxBP1 and SQSTM1 (p62) as 385 intermediates between TRIF and the autophagy machinery. Knockdown of Tax1bp1 phenocopied the 386 effect of ATG16L1 depletion, i.e. increased production of the cytokines IFN-ß and IL-1ß upon 387 engagement of TLR3 or TLR4, indicating that the phenotype of ATG16L1 deficient cells is due to the 388 impairment of the core autophagy machinery, resulting in sustained signaling downstream of TRIF. Mice 389 lacking ATG16L1 in myeloid cells succumbed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-mediated sepsis, 390 emphasizing the importance of ATG16L1 for an adequate, balanced immune response.

391 The dampening action of ATG16L1 on INF-I signaling was also recently illustrated by the role of this 392 protein in tuning IL-22 signaling in the intestinal epithelium [92]. IL-22 is a protective cytokine of the IL-393 10 cytokine family that contributes to intestinal immune response towards pathogen infection and 394 epithelial wound healing. However, IL-22 stimulation leads to transient ER stress [92]. One model to 395 simulate ER stress, and trigger the consequent unfolded protein response, is to deplete cells of the 396 transcription factor X-box binding protein-1 (Xbp1). This model was used to demonstrate the 397 compensatory roles played by the unfolded protein response (UPR) and autophagy to resolve ER stress 398 [27]. As expected, mice deficient for both ATG16L1 and Xbp1 in their intestinal epithelial cells presented 399 at baseline with significant ileal inflammation and cell death. This phenotype was aggravated upon IL-400 22 stimulation, with a strong increase in INF- β and, subsequently, TNF expression. These findings may 401 explain why, under certain circumstances, IL-22 may aggravate intestinal inflammation rather than 402 resolve it [92]. Importantly, IL-22 induced INF-I signaling was completely abrogated in the absence of 403 STING or of cGAS, two molecules implicated in the detection of cytosolic DNA sensing, demonstrating 404 that, like in the viral context, IFN-I signaling upon IL-22 stimulation proceeds in a STING-dependent 405 manner, although the nature and origin of the signal(s) that triggers this signaling pathway remains 406 unclear. Also, the part played by the ATG5/ATG12/ATG16L1 complex is not fully understood. 407 Pharmacological inhibition of autophagy with bafilomycin A phenocopied the enhanced IL-22-induced 408 ISG induction in wild type organoids, indicating that autophagy plays a role, but additional autophagy-409 independent modes of action of ATG16L1 cannot be excluded.

410

411 III-B. ATG16L1 deficiency stimulates interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) production in macrophages

412 The second insight on an anti-inflammatory role of ATG16L1 came from the observation that 413 macrophages expressing a truncated form of ATG16L1 lacking the coiled coil domain produced high 414 amounts of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18, two proteins that are processed into a mature 415 form by cleavage by caspase 1 [93]. The regulation was likely post translational since the level of 416 immature pro-IL-1 β was almost unaffected. Indeed, LPS stimulation led to an increase in caspase-1 417 activation and IL-1ß production in ATG16L1-deficient cells compared to control cells. ATG7-deficient 418 macrophages, and overexpression of ATG4B, which inhibits LC3 lipidation, also enhanced LPS-induced 419 IL-1^β production, indicating that the phenotype observed in the ATG16L1-deficient macrophages is 420 linked to the loss of LC3 lipidation capacity [93].

421 The dampening of IL-1 β mediated inflammation exerted by ATG16L1 was further illustrated in an infectious context, with the demonstration that Atg16/1^{HM} mice cleared urinary tract infection by 422 423 uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) more rapidly and thoroughly than the control animals [94]. 424 Mechanistic studies confirmed that loss of ATG16L1 enhanced the release of IL-1ß in response to UPEC 425 in a caspase-1 and NLRP3 inflammasome-dependent manner, and that augmented IL-1ß signaling is 426 the primary mechanism responsible for enhanced clearance of UPEC from the urinary tract in ATG16L1-427 deficient mice [95]. These data argue for a suppressive role of ATG16L1 on the IL-1^β response in 428 macrophages, that would otherwise protect the host from UPEC infections, in line with the more recent 429 report showing that absence of ATG16L1 in the myeloid lineage leads to increased production of IL-430 1β and INF- β downstream of TRIF [91].

431

432 III-C. ATG16L1 is required for a balanced dendritic cell response to infection

433 Similar to what was reported on macrophages, ATG16L1 deficiency is associated to dendritic cell 434 (DC) hyperactivity. This was first described in a mouse model of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell 435 transplantation model, in which ATG16L1-deficiency led to increased DC numbers and alloreactive T 436 cell proliferation [96]. Antibody-mediated blockade of IL-1 ß or its receptor did not affect the increased capacity of Atg16l1^{HM} DCs to stimulate alloreactive T cell proliferation, indicating that a mechanism 437 distinct from the above-mentioned overstimulation of IL-1 β production in *Atg16l1*^{HM} macrophages was 438 439 at work. One phenotype of the Atg16l1^{HM} DCs was an increase in lysosomal structures, indicative of 440 impaired lysosomal functions. The authors also reported an increase in Laptm5, a lysosomal 441 transmembrane protein that promotes the degradation of several targets involved in immune signaling 442 including the anti-inflammatory, ubiquitin-editing enzyme A20 in Atg16/1^{HM} DCs. Interestingly, it was 443 recently shown that A20 is an ATG16L1 WD40-binding protein, and that, in epithelial cells, absence of 444 A20 promoted ATG16L1 accumulation, while elimination of ATG16L1 stabilized A20 [79]. The reverse observation was made in Atg16/1^{HM} DCs, in which A20 abundance also decreased [96], indicating that 445 446 the outcome of the interplay between these two proteins is cell-type dependent.

447 A second illustration of the importance of ATG16L1 in regulating DC-mediated stimulation of the 448 immune system came from the study of the response of DCs to immunostimulatory molecules present in Bacteroides fragilis outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) [97]. ATG16L1-deficient dendritic cells failed to 449 450 induce regulatory T cells (Treas) upon OMV stimulation. The same was observed in Rubicon^{-/-} DCs, while 451 other proteins involved in canonical autophagy upstream of the ATG5-ATG12-ATG16L1 complex were 452 dispensable, indicating that defective LAP is implicated in the lack of response to OMVs in ATG16L1-453 deficient DCs. Importantly, stimulation with OMVs resulted in an increased transcription of multiple 454 proinflammatory cytokines in ATG16L1-deficient DCs compared to WT cells, consistent with an 455 hyperinflammatory response. Altogether, these data suggested that ATG16L1 deficiency in DCs altered 456 the quality of the T cell response to OMVs, with a failure to induce T_{regs} and to suppress mucosal 457 inflammation.

459 III-D. ATG16L1 is a negative regulator of NOD signaling

460 Beyond its contribution to tagging invading bacteria with LC3, the interaction of ATG16L1 with NOD proteins has a strong anti-inflammatory role, independent of its engagement in autophagy. Indeed, 461 462 knock down of ATG16L1 expression, but not that of ATG5 or ATG9a, specifically enhanced NOD-driven 463 cytokine production, indicating that ATG16L1 had a suppressive role on NOD signaling. Mechanistically, ATG16L1 interfered with the recruitment of the adaptor protein RIP2 into large signaling complexes, 464 465 thus dampening the downstream signaling [69]. Interestingly, while both NOD1 and NOD2 interact with ATG16L1, different domains are implicated as only NOD2 CARD domain is able to co-466 immunoprecipitate with the WDD [68]. 467

468

469 III-E A perilous equilibrium

470 All these studies show that the level of ATG16L1 conditions the amplitude of the innate immune 471 response to an injury or an infection. Globally, the emerging view is that the innate response is 472 exacerbated when ATG16L1 is low or absent, implicating that, overall, ATG16L1 suppresses 473 inflammation. In many of the experimental systems used, the phenotypes associated with loss of 474 ATG16L1 result from impaired autophagy, but it has not always been investigated in detail, and has 475 sometimes been demonstrated that a non-autophagy related function of ATG16L1 was implicated. 476 Notwithstanding the mechanism(s) at work, the outcome is that the presence of ATG16L1 appears to 477 have an adverse effect in some situations, since its absence helps clear certain infections or injuries. 478 First of all, this is not always the case; we emphasized earlier the beneficial role of ATG16L1 in bacterial 479 clearance through xenophagy for instance. Secondly, the adverse effects of the suppression of 480 inflammation by ATG16L1 likely comes in exchange of the benefit of preventing deleterious over-481 activation of this pathway. This was demonstrated upon several circumstances, such as the 482 susceptibility of mice lacking ATG16L1 in myeloid cells to LPS-mediated sepsis [91] or to Chlamydia 483 pneumoniae infection [98]. In that last example, the bacterial burden was the same in ATG16L1 deficient 484 and control mice, indicating that mortality occurred as a consequence of the loss of control normally 485 exerted by ATG16L1 over inflammation rather than failure to clear the infection. Still, there are 486 circumstances under which lower expression of ATG16L1 is advantageous. This protective effect of 487 ATG16L1 deficiency might have contributed to the selection of the T300A ATG16L1 allele in a large 488 proportion of the population (Table 2).

489

490 IV –ATG16L1, an actor in the regulation of intracellular trafficking

491 IV-A. ATG16L1 participates to secretory autophagy

Secretory autophagy facilitates extracellular release of cytosolic cargo such as leaderless cytosolic
 proteins, aggregate-forming proteins, or organelle material (reviewed in [99]). Several molecules
 identified for their contribution to autophagy contribute to these non-degradative trafficking pathways.

495 This includes ATG16L1, whose hypomorphic expression in intestinal cells provided the first cue of this 496 unexpected role for "autophagy proteins" in intracellular traffic (Figure 3). Indeed, Paneth cells of 497 Atg16/1^{HM} mice exhibit notable abnormalities in the granule exocytosis pathway and a notable lack of 498 lysozyme staining in the mucus, whereas other intestinal epithelial cells appeared normal [100]. A later 499 study confirmed the role of secretory autophagy for the secretion of lysozyme by Paneth cells during 500 bacterial infection, and identified some of the extrinsic signals involved in this process [101]. 501 Ultrastructure analysis of Paneth cells of infected mice contained lysozyme filled large LC3-positive 502 granules surrounded by a double membrane, while granules from uninfected mice were small and 503 surrounded by a single membrane. Secretory autophagy was triggered in Paneth cells by bacteria-504 induced ER stress and required extrinsic signals from innate lymphoid cells. Another illustration of the 505 role of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex in specialized lysosome secretion was provided by the 506 directional release of lysosome-residing resorptive molecules by osteoclasts [102]. However, in that 507 case secretory lysosomes remained single membraned and did not appear to be LC3 coated, meaning 508 the qualification of "secretory autophagy" might not be appropriate here, and the phenomenon might be 509 more related to the pathways described below.

510

511 IV-B. ATG16L1 participates to secretory pathways that are not related to autophagy

512 The best documented demonstration that ATG16L1 can participate to secretion independently of 513 autophagy was made by the study of hormone secretion by neuroendocrine PC12 cells [103]. ATG16L1 514 is localized on hormone-containing dense-core vesicles in the neurites of these cells. LC3 is not enriched 515 on these granules, and ATG16L1 recruitment depends on its interaction with the small GTPase Rab33A. 516 Knockdown of ATG16L1 causes a dramatic reduction in the level of hormone secretion, while a ULK1 517 kinase-dead mutant or an ATG4B mutant have no effect, demonstrating that ATG16L1 does not act 518 downstream of the canonical autophagy cascade. Rab33A is abundantly expressed in the brain, 519 lymphocytic system, and hematopoietic system, indicating that ATG16L1 could contribute to cell-specific 520 secretion events in these tissues.

521 More recently it was shown that the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex was necessary for plasma 522 membrane repair upon bacterial toxin-mediated damage [104]. Here again, ATG16L1 promoted 523 lysosomal exocytosis at the plasma membrane, but loss of the autophagy components Rubicon, ATG3, 524 ATG9, and ATG14 did not affect toxin resistance, demonstrating that canonical autophagy was not 525 implicated. The precise role of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex in plasma membrane repair 526 remains to be determined. The WDD was required to suppress toxin damage, indicating that WDD 527 binding protein(s) are implicated. Absence of ATG16L1 caused intracellular cholesterol accumulation, 528 which in itself might be sufficient to impair repair upon toxin injury.

529

530 IV-C. ATG16L1 is associated to Rab6 dependent intracellular traffic

531 A recent study showed that, in normal conditions, TMEM59 positive compartments capture vesicles 532 positive for the small GTPase Rab6 in a ATG16L1 dependent manner [105]. Interestingly, the 533 intracellular pathogen, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, secretes a protein, TaiP, that binds the ATG16L1's WDD, thereby competing with TMEM59. Secretion of TaiP allows the bacteria to hijack the Rab6 positive pool of vesicles to support the growth of the compartment in which the bacteria develop, the inclusion. In its absence, ATG16L1 restricts inclusion growth, and the effect is independent of the role of ATG16L1 in autophagy since a construct encompassing the WDD (aa 266-607) is sufficient for this effect, while a truncated form lacking the WDD is not.

539

540 IV-D. Loss of ATG16L1 or expression of the T300A variant affects endocytic events

541 This role of ATG16L1 in trafficking indicates that ATG16L1 deficiency (or expression of the T300A 542 variant) might affect trafficking events. This has not been thoroughly investigated, but some 543 observations suggest that it is indeed the case (Table 2). Morphological studies showed that the 544 architecture of urothelial cells of T300A mice, or of urothelial cell devoid of ATG16L1, was different from 545 that in wild type mice, for instance they were observed to have a higher density of multivesicular bodies 546 [22]. This phenotype was not observed in cells lacking ATG14, a component of the PI3KC3 complex 547 (see II.A), or EPG5, that regulates lysosome degradative capacity [106]. These observations indicate 548 that defects in autophagy might not account for the morphological changes observed in ATG16L1 549 deficient urothelial cells [22]. The T300A variant is associated with increased expression of several small 550 GTPase of the Rab family including Rab33B, an ATG16L1 interactant. The T300A variant restricts the ability for the UPEC to establish persistent intracellular reservoirs, thereby protecting the mice against 551 552 urinary tract infections. An earlier study had shown that macrophages expressing low levels of ATG16L1 553 engulfed more UPEC within one hour than the wild type cells, indicating that ATG16L1 level might also 554 affect phagocytosis efficiency and subsequent bacterial clearance [95]. On the other hand, the entry 555 rate of the bacterium Salmonella in epithelial cells, measured 10 minutes after infection, showed a two-556 fold reduction in the absence of ATG16L1, or when the T300A variant was expressed, indicating that 557 the overall effect of ATG16L1 on endocytosis/phagocytosis depends on the cell type and cargo [107].

558 Another illustration of the consequences of the absence of ATG16L1 on intracellular traffic comes 559 from the observation that exosome production was strongly reduced in cells lacking Atg5 or Atg16/1, 560 but not in cells lacking Atg7, implicating that this phenotype was independent of canonical autophagy. The scenario proposed in that study involved the ATG5/ATG16L1 dependent dissociation of a subunit 561 562 of the V-ATPase, and its degradation in exosomes, thereby controlling the acidification of exosomes 563 and their release [108]. The interaction between the WDD and the V-ATPase observed in the context of 564 an infection and discussed in II.C might be implicated in the mechanism by which ATG5 and ATG16L1 565 regulate exosome maturation and release [70,77]

Finally, recent work in the amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum* confirmed the essential function of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex in canonical autophagy, but also supported an autophagyindependent functions of the complex and its individual components in the regulation of phagocytosis and pinocytosis. The data indicate that engagement of the complex in endocytic events might have evolved early [109].

572 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

573 Most, if not all, components of the molecular machinery for autophagy also mediate autophagy-574 independent functions [110]. ATG16L1 gives a strong illustration of this diversification of activities, 575 mostly mediated by its carboxy-terminal extension, in particular the WDD. However, mice lacking the 576 linker domain and the WDD of ATG16L1 survive neonatal starvation, are fertile, and show no obvious 577 phenotype in several examined tissues [111]. These observations revealed that, unlike the core 578 "autophagy"-module, the carboxy-terminal extension in ATG16L1 was dispensable for development. In 579 vitro experiments reported in this review indicate that the carboxy-terminal extension might function 580 redundantly with other pathways, for instance in the regulation of intracellular trafficking, and becomes 581 important when cells need to respond to a disruption of host cell homeostasis such as an infection or 582 an injury. This novel animal model will allow for further testing of this hypothesis. Regarding the roles of 583 ATG16L1 in intracellular trafficking, which rely, at least in part, on WDD interactions with small GTPases 584 or transmembrane proteins, more detailed investigation of the consequence of the loss of the linker and 585 WDD in specific cell types are needed, while keeping in mind that compensatory pathways might explain 586 a lack of phenotypes.

587 The allele variant associated to Crohn's disease, T300A, affects an amino acid that is beyond the 588 coiled-coil domain, and is thus not expected to influence canonical autophagy. This is indeed what most 589 experimental set-ups observed, although some cell type specificity might arise (Table 2). In contrast, 590 most, but not all, studies report on a defect in bacterial clearance associated with the T300A allele. 591 Discrepancies might be due to the different models used, as residual ATG16L1 expression might have 592 confounded interpretation of some of the early results, before knock-out or knock-in models became the 593 norm. Also, because of the ability for ATG16L1 to dimerize, it is important to work in an ATG16L1 KO 594 background when re-expressing different forms of the molecule. At this stage, a variety of phenotypes, 595 in different cell types, have been associated to the T300A allele, and it remains unclear as to which are 596 relevant to the susceptibility to Crohn's disease (Table 2). In many instances, the phenotype observed 597 in homozygous T300A cells or individuals had the same trend as what was seen with low or no ATG16L1 598 expression. This supports the possibility that decreased ATG16L1 expression in individuals expressing 599 the T300A allele (due to increased cleavage by caspase 3) explains the T300A phenotype. However, 600 this is not systematically the case, and when heterozygous populations have been investigated, which 601 is not very often, they are sometimes grouped with the T300A homozygous genotype, and sometimes 602 with the "wild-type" homozygous phenotype. Also, as mentioned earlier, comparison of the phenotypes of Atg16/1^{KO/+} and Atg16/1^{T300A/+} bone marrow derived macrophages argue for a dominant negative 603 604 activity of the T300A variant over the wild type variant [14]. This possibility should be more systematically 605 investigated, for instance by looking at the complementation of ATG16L1 KO cells with the two 606 fragments resulting from the caspase 3 cleavage, individually or together. Along that line, the carboxy-607 terminal domain of ATG16L1 was recently found to be necessary and sufficient to recapitulate the 608 activity of the full-length protein, thus supporting the idea that this domain might have physiological 609 activity [105]. The same study showed that a bacterial protein evolved to counteract ATG16L1's activity 610 on intracellular trafficking, not on autophagy as one might have expected, emphasizing that these

- 611 "secondary" activities of ATG16L1 may be more important than initially thought. Given the quality of the
- 612 tools available (various knock-in mice, or cell-specific KO, complementation with various forms,
- 613 including the WDD only) our understanding of the contributions of ATG16L1 to the maintenance of
- 614 homeostasis should continue to progress rapidly.
- 615

616 Author contributions

- 617 DH and AS wrote the MS, DH drew the figures.
- 618

619 Acknowledgements

- 620 We thank Chloé Charendoff for critical reading of this manuscript. Work in the AS lab is supported
- by the Institut Pasteur and the CNRS. This work was supported by a grant from the Pasteur-Weizmann
- 622 Collaborative Research Funds and by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (SPF20170938695).

623 REFERENCES

624 625	1.	Levine, B., and Klionsky, D. J. (2017) Autophagy wins the 2016 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine: Breakthroughs in baker's yeast fuel advances in biomedical research. <i>Proceedings</i>
626		of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 201-205
627	2.	Kametaka, S., et al. (1996) Structural and functional analyses of APG5, a gene involved in
628		autophagy in yeast. Gene 178, 139-143
629	3.	Nakatogawa, H., et al. (2009) Dynamics and diversity in autophagy mechanisms: lessons from
630		yeast. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10, 458-467
631	4.	Mizushima, N., et al. (2003) Mouse Apg16L, a novel WD-repeat protein, targets to the
632		autophagic isolation membrane with the Apg12-Apg5 conjugate. J. Cell Sci. 116, 1679-1688
633	5.	Hampe, J., et al. (2007) A genome-wide association scan of nonsynonymous SNPs identifies a
634		susceptibility variant for Crohn disease in ATG16L1. Nat. Genet. 39, 207-211
635	6.	Rioux, J. D., et al. (2007) Genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for
636		Crohn disease and implicates autophagy in disease pathogenesis. Nat. Genet. 39, 596-604
637	7.	Gammoh, N. (2020) The multifaceted functions of ATG16L1 in autophagy and related
638		processes. J. Cell Sci. 133
639	8.	Mizushima, N., Noda, T., and Ohsumi, Y. (1999) Apg16p is required for the function of the
640		Apg12p-Apg5p conjugate in the yeast autophagy pathway. EMBO J. 18, 3888-3896
641	9.	Bajagic, M., et al. (2017) Structure of the WD40-domain of human ATG16L1. Protein Sci. 26,
642		1828-1837
643	10.	Ishibashi, K., et al. (2011) Atg16L2, a novel isoform of mammalian Atg16L that is not essential
644		for canonical autophagy despite forming an Atg12–5-16L2 complex. Autophagy 7, 1500-1513
645	11.	Khor, B., et al. (2019) Distinct Tissue-Specific Roles for the Disease-Associated Autophagy
646		Genes ATG16L2 and ATG16L1. J. Immunol. 203, 1820-1829
647	12.	Jiang, T., et al. (2013) Three isoforms of the Atg16L1 protein contribute different autophagic
648		properties. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 378, 257-266
649	13.	Murthy, A., et al. (2014) A Crohn's disease variant in Atg16l1 enhances its degradation by
650		caspase 3. Nature 506 , 456-462
651	14.	Gao, P., et al. (2017) The Inflammatory Bowel Disease-Associated Autophagy Gene
652		Atg16L1T300A Acts as a Dominant Negative Variant in Mice. J. Immunol. 198, 2457-2467
653	15.	Prescott, N. J., et al. (2007) A nonsynonymous SNP in ATG16L1 predisposes to ileal Crohn's
654		disease and is independent of CARD15 and IBD5. Gastroenterology 132, 1665-1671
655	16.	Baldassano, R. N., et al. (2007) Association of the T300A non-synonymous variant of the
656		ATG16L1 gene with susceptibility to paediatric Crohn's disease. Gut 56, 1171-1173
657	17.	Amre, D. K., et al. (2009) Autophagy gene ATG16L1 but not IRGM is associated with Crohn's
658		disease in Canadian children. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 15, 501-507
659	18.	Strisciuglio, C., et al. (2014) Autophagy genes variants and paediatric Crohn's disease
660		phenotype: a single-centre experience. Digestive and liver disease : official journal of the Italian
661		Society of Gastroenterology and the Italian Association for the Study of the Liver 46, 512-517

- 66219.Deuring, J. J., et al. (2014) Genomic ATG16L1 risk allele-restricted Paneth cell ER stress in
quiescent Crohn's disease. *Gut* 63, 1081-1091
- VanDussen, K. L., et al. (2014) Genetic variants synthesize to produce paneth cell phenotypes
 that define subtypes of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 146, 200-209
- Liu, T. C., et al. (2017) LRRK2 but not ATG16L1 is associated with Paneth cell defect in Japanese Crohn's disease patients. *JCI insight* 2, e91917
- Wang, C., et al. (2019) A non-canonical autophagy-dependent role of the ATG16L1(T300A)
 variant in urothelial vesicular trafficking and uropathogenic Escherichia coli persistence. *Autophagy* 15, 527-542
- Fujioka, Y., et al. (2010) Dimeric coiled-coil structure of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Atg16 and
 its functional significance in autophagy. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 1508-1515
- Parkhouse, R., et al. (2013) The N-Terminal Region of the Human Autophagy Protein ATG16L1
 Contains a Domain That Folds into a Helical Structure Consistent with Formation of a CoiledCoil. *PLOS ONE* 8, e76237
- Kuma, A., et al. (2002) Formation of the approximately 350-kDa Apg12-Apg5.Apg16 multimeric
 complex, mediated by Apg16 oligomerization, is essential for autophagy in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.*277, 18619-18625
- Fujita, N., et al. (2009) Differential involvement of Atg16L1 in Crohn disease and canonical autophagy: analysis of the organization of the Atg16L1 complex in fibroblasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 32602-32609
- Adolph, T. E., et al. (2013) Paneth cells as a site of origin for intestinal inflammation. *Nature* **503**, 272-276
- 68428.Laplante, M., and Sabatini, D. M. (2013) Regulation of mTORC1 and its impact on gene685expression at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 126, 1713-1719
- Levine, B., and Kroemer, G. (2019) Biological Functions of Autophagy Genes: A Disease
 Perspective. *Cell* **176**, 11-42
- 68830.Visvikis, O., et al. (2014) Innate host defense requires TFEB-mediated transcription of
cytoprotective and antimicrobial genes. *Immunity* **40**, 896-909
- 69031.Liu, M., et al. (2019) BRG1 attenuates colonic inflammation and tumorigenesis through691autophagy-dependent oxidative stress sequestration. Nature Comm. 10, 4614

69232.Lu, C., et al. (2014) MIR106B and MIR93 prevent removal of bacteria from epithelial cells by693disrupting ATG16L1-mediated autophagy. Gastroenterology 146, 188-199

- 69433.Zhai, Z., et al. (2014) Human autophagy gene ATG16L1 is post-transcriptionally regulated by695MIR142-3p. Autophagy 10, 468-479
- 69634.Sun, K. T., et al. (2015) MicroRNA-20a regulates autophagy related protein-ATG16L1 in697hypoxia-induced osteoclast differentiation. Bone 73, 145-153
- 69835.Gan, J., et al. (2017) miR-96 attenuates status epilepticus-induced brain injury by directly
targeting Atg7 and Atg16L1. Sci Rep 7, 10270
- Chen, R., et al. (2017) MicroRNA-410 regulates autophagy-related gene ATG16L1 expression and enhances chemosensitivity via autophagy inhibition in osteosarcoma. *Mol Med Rep* 15, 1326-1334
- 37. Li, Y., et al. (2019) Mir223 restrains autophagy and promotes CNS inflammation by targeting
 ATG16L1. *Autophagy* 15, 478-492
- 70538.Huang, H., et al. (2018) miR-874 regulates multiple-drug resistance in gastric cancer by
targeting ATG16L1. Int. J. Oncol. 53, 2769-2779
- Palanisamy, K., et al. (2019) RNA-binding protein, human antigen R regulates hypoxia-induced autophagy by targeting ATG7/ATG16L1 expressions and autophagosome formation. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 234, 7448-7458
- 71040.Li, X. X., et al. (2020) Interaction between HuR and circPABPN1 Modulates Autophagy in the711Intestinal Epithelium by Altering ATG16L1 Translation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 40, e00492-00419
- Song, H., et al. (2015) ATG16L1 phosphorylation is oppositely regulated by CSNK2/casein kinase 2 and PPP1/protein phosphatase 1 which determines the fate of cardiomyocytes during hypoxia/reoxygenation. *Autophagy* 11, 1308-1325
- Song, H., et al. (2018) Crosstalk between lysine methylation and phosphorylation of ATG16L1
 dictates the apoptosis of hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced cardiomyocytes. *Autophagy* 14, 825844

718 43. Zhao, X., et al. (2019) Endothelial PKA activity regulates angiogenesis by limiting autophagy
 719 through phosphorylation of ATG16L1. *Elife* 8, e46380

Diamanti, M. A., et al. (2017) IKKα controls ATG16L1 degradation to prevent ER stress during
 inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* 214, 423-437

722 45. Alsaadi, R. M., et al. (2019) ULK1-mediated phosphorylation of ATG16L1 promotes xenophagy, 723 but destabilizes the ATG16L1 Crohn's mutant. EMBO reports 20, e46885-e46885 724 46. Tian, W., et al. (2020) An antibody for analysis of autophagy induction. Nature Methods 17, 232-725 239 726 47. Scrivo, A., Codogno, P., and Bomont, P. (2019) Gigaxonin E3 ligase governs ATG16L1 turnover 727 to control autophagosome production. Nature communications 10, 780 728 48. Fujita, N., et al. (2008) The Atg16L complex specifies the site of LC3 lipidation for membrane 729 biogenesis in autophagy. Mol. Biol. Cell 19, 2092-2100 730 49. Matsuzawa-Ishimoto, Y., Hwang, S., and Cadwell, K. (2018) Autophagy and Inflammation. 731 Annu. Rev. Immunol. 36, 73-101 Hanada, T., et al. (2007) The Atg12-Atg5 Conjugate Has a Novel E3-like Activity for Protein 732 50. 733 Lipidation in Autophagy. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 37298-37302 734 Suzuki, K., et al. (2001) The pre-autophagosomal structure organized by concerted functions of 51. 735 APG genes is essential for autophagosome formation. EMBO J. 20, 5971-5981 Kaufmann, A., et al. (2014) Molecular mechanism of autophagic membrane-scaffold assembly 736 52. 737 and disassembly. Cell 156, 469-481 738 53. Lystad, A. H., et al. (2019) Distinct functions of ATG16L1 isoforms in membrane binding and 739 LC3B lipidation in autophagy-related processes, Nat Cell Biol 21, 372-383 740 54. Cao, Y., et al. (2008) In vivo reconstitution of autophagy in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell 741 Biol. 182, 703-713 742 55. Schapira, M., et al. (2017) WD40 repeat domain proteins: a novel target class? Nature Reviews 743 Drug Discovery 16, 773-786 744 56. Nishimura, T., et al. (2013) FIP200 regulates targeting of Atg16L1 to the isolation membrane. 745 EMBO Reports 14, 284-291 746 Gammoh, N., et al. (2013) Interaction between FIP200 and ATG16L1 distinguishes ULK1 57. 747 complex-dependent and -independent autophagy. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20, 144-149 748 58. Dooley, H. C., Wilson, M. I., and Tooze, S. A. (2015) WIPI2B links PtdIns3P to LC3 lipidation 749 through binding ATG16L1. Autophagy 11, 190-191 750 59. Knævelsrud, H., et al. (2013) Membrane remodeling by the PX-BAR protein SNX18 promotes 751 autophagosome formation. J. Cell Biol. 202, 331-349 752 Hu, J., et al. (2016) TMEM166/EVA1A interacts with ATG16L1 and induces autophagosome 60. 753 formation and cell death. Cell death & disease 7, e2323 754 Dudley, L. J., et al. (2019) Intrinsic lipid binding activity of ATG16L1 supports efficient membrane 61. 755 anchoring and autophagy. EMBO J. 38, e100554 Fujita, N., et al. (2013) Recruitment of the autophagic machinery to endosomes during infection 756 62. 757 is mediated by ubiquitin. J. Cell Biol. 203, 115-128 758 63. Sorbara, M. T., et al. (2018) Complement C3 Drives Autophagy-Dependent Restriction of Cyto-759 invasive Bacteria. Cell Host Microbe 23, 644-652.e645 760 64. King, B. C., Renstrom, E., and Blom, A. M. (2019) Intracellular cytosolic complement component 761 C3 regulates cytoprotective autophagy in pancreatic beta cells by interaction with ATG16L1. 762 Autophagy 15, 919-921 763 65. Travassos, L. H., et al. (2010) Nod1 and Nod2 direct autophagy by recruiting ATG16L1 to the 764 plasma membrane at the site of bacterial entry. Nat Immunol 11, 55-62 765 Homer, C. R., et al. (2010) ATG16L1 and NOD2 interact in an autophagy-dependent 66. 766 antibacterial pathway implicated in Crohn's disease pathogenesis. Gastroenterology 139, 1630-767 1641, 1641.e1631-1632 768 67. Cooney, R., et al. (2010) NOD2 stimulation induces autophagy in dendritic cells influencing 769 bacterial handling and antigen presentation. Nat. Med. 16, 90-97 770 68. Boada-Romero, E., et al. (2013) TMEM59 defines a novel ATG16L1-binding motif that promotes 771 local activation of LC3. The EMBO Journal 32, 566-582 772 Sorbara, M. T., et al. (2013) The Protein ATG16L1 Suppresses Inflammatory Cytokines Induced 69. by the Intracellular Sensors Nod1 and Nod2 in an Autophagy-Independent Manner. Immunity 773 774 **39**, 858-873 775 70. Xu, Y., et al. (2019) A Bacterial Effector Reveals the V-ATPase-ATG16L1 Axis that Initiates Xenophagy. Cell 178, 552-566.e520 776 Upadhyay, S., and Philips, J. A. (2019) LC3-associated phagocytosis: host defense and 777 71. 778 microbial response. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 60, 81-90 779 72. Martinez, J., et al. (2015) Molecular characterization of LC3-associated phagocytosis reveals 780 distinct roles for Rubicon, NOX2 and autophagy proteins. Nat. Cell Biol. 17, 893-906

781 73. Wong, S. W., Sil, P., and Martinez, J. (2018) Rubicon: LC3-associated phagocytosis and 782 beyond. The FEBS journal 285, 1379-1388 783 74. Fletcher, K., et al. (2018) The WD40 domain of ATG16L1 is required for its non-canonical role 784 in lipidation of LC3 at single membranes. EMBO J. 37, e97840 Ullrich, S., et al. (2010) The novel membrane protein TMEM59 modulates complex 785 75. 786 glycosylation, cell surface expression, and secretion of the amyloid precursor protein. J. Biol. 787 Chem. 285, 20664-20674 Boada-Romero, E., et al. (2016) The T300A Crohn's disease risk polymorphism impairs function 788 76. 789 of the WD40 domain of ATG16L1. Nature communications 7, 11821 790 77. Fischer, T. D., et al. (2020) STING induces LC3B lipidation onto single-membrane vesicles via 791 the V-ATPase and ATG16L1-WD40 domain. J. Cell Biol. 219 792 78. Lassen, K. G., et al. (2014) Atg16L1 T300A variant decreases selective autophagy resulting in 793 altered cytokine signaling and decreased antibacterial defense. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 794 7741-7746 795 79. Slowicka, K., et al. (2019) Physical and functional interaction between A20 and ATG16L1-WD40 796 domain in the control of intestinal homeostasis. Nature communications 10, 1834 797 80. Pilla-Moffett, D., et al. (2016) Interferon-Inducible GTPases in Host Resistance, Inflammation 798 and Disease, J. Mol. Biol. 428, 3495-3513 799 81. Choi, J., et al. (2014) The parasitophorous vacuole membrane of Toxoplasma gondii is targeted 800 for disruption by ubiquitin-like conjugation systems of autophagy. Immunity 40, 924-935 801 82. Hwang, S., et al. (2012) Nondegradative Role of Atg5-Atg12/ Atg16L1 Autophagy Protein 802 Complex in Antiviral Activity of Interferon Gamma. Cell Host and Microbe 11, 397-409 803 83. Biering, S. B., et al. (2017) Viral Replication Complexes Are Targeted by LC3-Guided Interferon-804 Inducible GTPases. Cell Host Microbe 22, 74-85.e77 805 Mao, J., et al. (2019) Autophagy and Viral Infection. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1209, 55-78 84. 806 Tian, Y., Wang, M. L., and Zhao, J. (2019) Crosstalk between Autophagy and Type I Interferon 85. 807 Responses in Innate Antiviral Immunity. Viruses 11, 132 Jounai, N., et al. (2007) The Atg5 Atg12 conjugate associates with innate antiviral immune 808 86. 809 responses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 14050-14055 810 Tal, M. C., et al. (2009) Absence of autophagy results in reactive oxygen species-dependent 87. amplification of RLR signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 2770-2775 811 812 88. Moore, C. B., et al. (2008) NLRX1 is a regulator of mitochondrial antiviral immunity. Nature 451, 813 573-577 814 89. Lei, Y., et al. (2012) The Mitochondrial Proteins NLRX1 and TUFM Form a Complex that Regulates Type I Interferon and Autophagy. Immunity 36, 933-946 815 816 90. Martin, P. K., et al. (2018) Autophagy proteins suppress protective type I interferon signalling in 817 response to the murine gut microbiota. Nat Microbiol 3, 1131-1141 818 91. Samie, M., et al. (2018) Selective autophagy of the adaptor TRIF regulates innate inflammatory 819 signaling. Nat Immunol 19, 246-254 820 92. Aden, K., et al. (2018) ATG16L1 orchestrates interleukin-22 signaling in the intestinal epithelium 821 via cGAS-STING. J. Exp. Med. 215, 2868-2886 822 93. Saitoh, T., et al. (2008) Loss of the autophagy protein Atg16L1 enhances endotoxin-induced IL-823 1beta production. Nature 456, 264-268 824 Wang, C., et al. (2012) Atg16L1 deficiency confers protection from uropathogenic Escherichia 94. 825 coli infection in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 11008-11013 826 95. Symington, J. W., et al. (2015) ATG16L1 deficiency in macrophages drives clearance of 827 uropathogenic E. coli in an IL-1beta-dependent manner. Mucosal immunology 8, 1388-1399 828 Hubbard-Lucey, V. M., et al. (2014) Autophagy Gene Atg16l1 Prevents Lethal T Cell 96. 829 Alloreactivity Mediated by Dendritic Cells. Immunity 41, 579-591 830 97. Chu, H., et al. (2016) Gene-microbiota interactions contribute to the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Science 352, 1116-1120 831 Crother, T. R., et al. (2019) Autophagy Limits Inflammasome During Chlamydia pneumoniae 832 98. 833 Infection. Frontiers in immunology 10, 754 834 99. Ponpuak, M., et al. (2015) Secretory autophagy. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 35, 106-116 Cadwell, K., et al. (2008) A key role for autophagy and the autophagy gene Atg16l1 in mouse 835 100. and human intestinal Paneth cells. Nature 456, 259-263 836 837 101. Bel, S., et al. (2017) Paneth cells secrete lysozyme via secretory autophagy during bacterial 838 infection of the intestine. Science 357, 1047-1052 839 102. DeSelm, Carl J., et al. (2011) Autophagy Proteins Regulate the Secretory Component of 840 Osteoclastic Bone Resorption. Developmental cell 21, 966-974

- Ishibashi, K., et al. (2012) Atg16L1, an essential factor for canonical autophagy, participates in hormone secretion from PC12 cells independently of autophagic activity. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 23, 3193-3202
- 844104.Tan, J. M. J., et al. (2018) An ATG16L1-dependent pathway promotes plasma membrane repair845and limits Listeria monocytogenes cell-to-cell spread. Nat Microbiol 3, 1472-1485

846105.Hamaoui, D., et al. (2020) The Chlamydia effector CT622/TaiP targets a non autophagy related847function of ATG16L1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 26784-26794

- Tian, Y., et al. (2010) C. elegans screen identifies autophagy genes specific to multicellular
 organisms. *Cell* 141, 1042-1055
- Messer, J. S., et al. (2013) The Crohn's disease: associated ATG16L1 variant and Salmonella
 invasion. *BMJ open* 3, e002790
- 852108.Guo, H., et al. (2017) Atg5 Disassociates the V1V0-ATPase to Promote Exosome Production
and Tumor Metastasis Independent of Canonical Macroautophagy. Developmental cell 43, 716-
730.e717
- Karow, M., et al. (2020) Functional Characterisation of the Autophagy ATG12~5/16 Complex in
 Dictyostelium discoideum. *Cells* 9, 1179
- B57 110. Galluzzi, L., and Green, D. R. (2019) Autophagy-Independent Functions of the Autophagy
 B58 Machinery. *Cell* **177**, 1682-1699
- Rai, S., et al. (2019) The ATG5-binding and coiled coil domains of ATG16L1 maintain autophagy
 and tissue homeostasis in mice independently of the WD domain required for LC3-associated
 phagocytosis. *Autophagy* 15, 599-612
- 112. Chandra, M., Saran, R., and Datta, S. (2016) Deciphering the role of Atg5 in nucleotide
 dependent interaction of Rab33B with the dimeric complex, Atg5-Atg16L1. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 473, 8-16
- 113. Chauhan, S., Mandell, Michael A., and Deretic, V. (2015) IRGM Governs the Core Autophagy
 Machinery to Conduct Antimicrobial Defense. *Mol. Cell* 58, 507-521
- 867 114. Binotti, B., et al. (2015) The GTPase Rab26 links synaptic vesicles to the autophagy pathway.
 868 eLife 4, e05597
- 869 115. Itoh, T., et al. (2008) Golgi-resident Small GTPase Rab33B Interacts with Atg16L and Modulates
 870 Autophagosome Formation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 2916-2925
- 871 116. Sun, Y., et al. (2017) TMEM74 promotes tumor cell survival by inducing autophagy via interactions with ATG16L1 and ATG9A. *Cell death & disease* 8, e3031
- Kimura, T., et al. (2015) TRIM-mediated precision autophagy targets cytoplasmic regulators of
 innate immunity. *J. Cell Biol.* 210, 973-989
- 875 118. Søreng, K., et al. (2018) SNX18 regulates ATG9A trafficking from recycling endosomes by recruiting Dynamin-2. *EMBO Rep* 19
- Kuballa, P., et al. (2008) Impaired autophagy of an intracellular pathogen induced by a Crohn's disease associated ATG16L1 variant. *PLoS One* 3, e3391
- Biggin Stress and St
- Kleinnijenhuis, J., et al. (2011) Autophagy modulates the Mycobacterium tuberculosis-induced cytokine response. *Immunology* 134, 341-348
- 883 122. Strisciuglio, C., et al. (2013) T300A variant of autophagy ATG16L1 gene is associated with decreased antigen sampling and processing by dendritic cells in pediatric Crohn's disease.
 885 Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 19, 2339-2348
- Salem, M., et al. (2015) Impact of T300A Variant of ATG16L1 on Antibacterial Response, Risk
 of Culture Positive Infections, and Clinical Course of Crohn's Disease. *Clinical and translational gastroenterology* 6, e122
- 889124.Matsuzawa-Ishimoto, Y., et al. (2017) Autophagy protein ATG16L1 prevents necroptosis in the890intestinal epithelium. J. Exp. Med. 214, 3687-3705
- 891 125. Tschurtschenthaler, M., et al. (2017) Defective ATG16L1-mediated removal of IRE1α drives
 892 Crohn's disease-like ileitis. *J. Exp. Med.* 214, 401-422
- Lavoie, S., et al. (2019) The Crohn's disease polymorphism, ATG16L1 T300A, alters the gut
 microbiota and enhances the local Th1/Th17 response. *Elife* 8
- 127. Varma, M., et al. (2020) Cell Type- and Stimulation-Dependent Transcriptional Programs Regulated by Atg16L1 and Its Crohn's Disease Risk Variant T300A. *J. Immunol.* 205, 414-424
 128. Liu, W., et al. (2015) IBS: an illustrator for the presentation and visualization of biological sequences. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3359-3361

900
901

Table 1: ATG16L1 binding proteins

Name	Interaction domain	Proposed function	Ref.
A20	WDD (320-607)	Control of intestinal homeostasis	[79]
ATG16L1	126-207 (Coiled-coil)	Dimerization	[4,9,23,24]
ATG5	11-28	Formation of the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex	[4,112]
C3	not determined	Targeting to autophagy	[63,64]
DEDD2	not tested, presumably WDD	unknown	[68]
FIP200	230-246 (and 247-607)	Recruitment to PAS	[56 ,57 ,62]
IRGM	85-341 and WDD (286-607)	Coupling to innate immunity receptors	[113]
Nod1	not determined	Negative regulation on cytokine response	[68]
Nod2	WDD (320-607)	Negative regulation on cytokine response	[68,69]
Rab26	1-265 (murine)	Promotion of selective autophagy of synaptic vesicles	[114]
Rab33B & Rab33A	141-265	Modulation of autophagosome formation/Contribution to hormone secretion	[103,115]
ТЗЈАМ	not tested, presumably WDD	unknown	[68]
TaiP	WDD (266-607)	Competition with TMEM59 binding	[105]
TLR2	WDD (320-607)	unknown	[68]
TMEM166/EVA1	WDD (301-588)	Promotion of autophagy and cell death	[60]
TMEM59	WDD (320-607)	Recruitment to TMEM59 positive compartment	[68]
TMEM74	WDD (320-607)	Promotion of autophagy in tumor cells	[116]
TRIM20	85-341 and WDD (266-607)	Target inflammasome components to autophagic degradation	[117]
Ubiquitin	WDD (245-607)	Increased xenophagy	[62]
V-ATPase	139-588 (WDD necessary but not sufficient)	Recruitment to pathogen-damaged vacuoles	[70]
WIPI2b	207-230	Recruitment to PI3P rich membrane	[58]
Gigaxonin	WDD (266-623)	Ubiquitination of ATG16L1 for targeting to degradation	[47]
SNX18	not determined	Production of ATG9A and ATG16L1 vesicles for delivery to PAS	[59,118]

903 Table 2: Phenotypic manifestations of the expression of ATG16L1 T300A variant. The studies

are presented in chronological order. Approaches using overexpression were progressively replaced in

905 many laboratories by more accurate models involving knock-in cells or patient-derived primary cells.

Subject, tissue or cell type	Model	Read-out	Phenotype observed in 300A variant compared to the 300T allele	Ref.
Human monocytes and epithelial cell line (HT29)	Monocytesderived from homozygous or heterozygous subjects Re-expression of either WT or T300A ATG16L1 in siRNA treated HT29 cells	 TNF-α secretion upon MDP- stimulation Salmonella clearance upon MDP stimulation (gentamycin protection assay) 	No difference in primary macrophages, impaired MDP stimulated <i>Salmonella</i> clearance in epithelial cells (no comparison of expression levels)	[66]
Human MDAMC epithelial cells	Re-expression of either WT or T300A ATG16L1 in ATG16L1 KO cells	Rescue of the exaggerated IL-8 expression observed in the absence of ATG16L1	Partial rescue with WT form, not with the T300A form	[69]
Human epithelial cell lines (HeLa, Caco-2)	Silencing of endogenous ATG16L1 expression and complementation with WT or T300A ATG16L1	 Salmonella in LC3 positive compartment LC3 lipidation 	 2-fold decrease in <i>Salmonella</i> contained in LC3 positive compartment No effect on basal LC3 lipidation flux 	[119]
Mouse fibroblasts	MEFs expressing ATG16L1- ∆CCD complemented with WT or T300A ATG16L1	LC3 positive compartments and Salmonella survival	 No effect on canonical autophagy No effect on the elimination of <i>Salmonella</i> 	[26]
Human monocytes	Monocytes derived from homozygous subjects	 In vitro infection with Mycobacterium avium Cytokine production 	 No difference in bacterial growth Elevated production of IL-6 and IL-10, no difference for IL-8 or TNFα 	[120]
Human monocytes	Monocytes derived from subjects homozygous or heterozygous subjects	Cytokine production upon contact with Mycobacterium tuberculosis	No difference in TNF α or IL-1b production (slightly less INF γ production)	[121]
Human epithelial cell line (HCT116)	Knock-in cells	 S.typhimurium invasion (10 min) Bacterial clearance (8h) 	Reduced invasion capacityDefective bacterial clearance	[107]
Human DCs	Monocytes derived DC from pediatric homozygous or heterozygous patients	 E. coli bioparticles uptake DC maturation (HLA-DR and CD86 expression upon exposure to bacteria particles) Formation of protrusions through CaCo2 epithelial layers 	 Reduced bacterial particle uptake and DC maturation in heterozygous or T300A homozygous DC Defective protrusion formation for the T300A homozygous DCs, not for the heterozygous DC 	[122]
Human PBMC and mouse macrophages	 PBMC derived macrophages from human homozygous donors Macrophages isolated from knock-in mice 	 Sensitivity to caspase- mediated cleavage at the D299- T300 scissile site Clearance of Yersinia enterocolitica infection by macrophages in vitro Cytokine production 	 Increased sensitivity to caspase cleavage (induced by TNF-α) No difference for LC3-lipidation upon rapamycin treatment Impaired Yersinia clearance by macrophages (but no defect in phagocytosis per se), resulting in elevated inflammatory cytokine response 	[13]
Mice, MEFs, primary CD11b+ cells	Knock-in mice and derived cells for <i>in vitro</i> assays	 Morphological analysis Autophagy flux in MEFs IL-1β levels in culture supernatants of CD11+ cells stimulated with LPS and MDP or with <i>Shigella flexneri</i> Infection of mice with <i>S.</i> <i>typhimurium</i> 	 Abnormalities in Paneth cell lysozyme distribution and in goblet cell morphology in the colonic epithelium (not in the small intestinal epithelium) Modest effects on basal autophagy Decreased bacterial clearance and increased IL-1β production in primary cells and <i>in vivo</i> 	[78]
Human PBMC Humans	 PBMC derived from healthy controls and CD patients CD patient cohort 	 TNF-α and IL-1β production upon stimulation with 5 different pathogenic bacterial species Clinical data 	 Increase in IL-1β production for all tested pathogens and in TNF-α production for 3 out of 5 bacterial species tested (only in homozygous T300A) No significant association between disease-specific infectious complications and genotypes, or non-disease-specific infections and genotype 	[123]
HCT116, MEFs	Knock-in cells or complemention with WT or T300A variant Purified proteins	Number of TMEM59-GFP positive vesicles/cell Pull-down of different ATG16L1 WDD partners (full length or peptides) LC3-positive phagosomes containing S. aureus, bacterial cfu, ATG16L1/TMEM59 co- immunoprecipitation (2 hpi)	 Altered TMEM59-GFP trafficking Some WDD partners (i.e. TMEM59, DEDD2, T3JAM) pulled down less efficiently with the A300 variant while others (TLR2, NOD2) did equally well or better. Reduced ability to respond to bacterial infection 	[76]
derived DCs Mice	• Bone marrow derived DCs from knock-in mice	Ireg reponse to outer membrane vesicles	 Impaired Lieg response No protection against colitis 	[a1]

	Human DCs from	Protection from chemically induced colitie		
Bone marrow derived macrophages	 Homozygous subjects Homozygous and heterozygous knock-in mice Comparison of Atg16L1^{T300A/+} with Atg16L1^{KO/+} 	LC3 lipidation LC3 lipidation IL-16 production upon various stimulations Clearance of ingested bacteria in vitro and in vivo Susceptibility to Caspase 3 cleavage	 T300A homozygous and heterozygous have defect in canonical autophagy in macrophages, increased IL-1β production, increased susceptibility to cleavage Atg16L1^{KO/+} behaves as WT, not like Atg16L1^{T300A/+} or Atg16L1^{T300A/T300A} 	[14]
Mice	Knock-in mice	Susceptibility to <i>C. rodentium</i> infection upon Caspase-3 activation Susceptibility to murine norovirus induced pathology in the intestinal epithelium	 Decreased susceptibility to <i>C. rodentium</i> infection Increased susceptibility to murine norovirus induced pathology in the intestinal epithelium 	[90,124]
	Healthy and CD patients, homozygous and heterozygous	IRE1 α expression in noninflamed areas of the ileum	Increase in the percentage of IRE1 α positive crypts on biopsies (not in heterozygous individuals)	[125]
Resections specimens	Japanese and North American CD patients	Paneth cell morphology	No correlation between the number of T300A allele and the percentage of abnormal Paneth cells in the Japanese cohort, in contrast with North American cohort	[21]
Macrophages	Monocytes derived macrophages from healthy homozygous donors	IFN- β and IL-1 β production upon LPS or Poly(I:C) stimulation	Increased IFN- β and IL-1 β production	[91]
Fibroblasts	MEFs expressing ATG16L1- ∆CCD complemented with WT or T300A ATG16L1	 Resistance to toxin mediated plasma membrane damage Cell to cell spread by <i>Listeria</i> <i>monocytogenes</i> 	 Decreased resistance to damage Increased cell to cell spread 	[104]
Mice, bone-marrow derived macrophages, human biopsies	 Knock-in mice with wild-type or T300A variant Human bladder tissue biopsies (homozygous or heterozygous for ATG16L1) 	 Quantification of quiescent intracellular reservoirs (QIRs) of UPEC Architecture of superficial urothelial cells IL-6, TNF and IL-1β production Expression of Rab GTPases 	 No difference in infection or early colonization but decrease in QIRs Change in urothelial cell morphology in mice, and in human biopsies (T300A homozygous and heterozygous group together) No change in the cytokine response to infection Increase expression of some Rab proteins 	[22]
Mice	 Knock-in mice with wild-type or T300A variant Oral human stool inoculation 	Gut microbial composition Composition of the population of immune cells	 Different microbiota at steady state and upon gut-induced inflammation Human microbiota from CD patients show susceptibility to the presence of T300A (not the case for microbiota from ulcerative colitis patients) Enhanced presence of Th17 and Th1 cells when <i>Bacteroides ovatus</i> added to the flora 	[126]
Hek293, HCT116	Overexpression of ATG16L1 WT or T300Ai n <i>ATG16L1</i> KO cells	ATG16L1 cleavage upon ULK1 overexpression or upon Salmonella infection	Increased cleavage upon infection or metabolic stress, dependent on ATG16L1 phosphorylation at S278 by ULK1	[45]
T cells, DCs, mast cells	ATG16L1 T300A knock-in mice and mice KO for ATG16L1 in specific lineages (DCs, T cells, mast cells)	Transcriptional profile of different cell types at basal level or upon different stimulation	Stimulation-dependent and cell-type dependent programs regulated by the <i>Atg16l1</i> genotype.	[127]

909 Fig. 1:ATG16L1 and its partners

- 910 A- Schematic representation of ATG16L1. Binding sites for some of its interactors and the caspase 911 cleavage site are indicated. Ser designates serine residues 139, 268 and 278 that undergo 912 phosphorylation and Lys indicates lysine 151 that can be methylated. LB stands for lipid binding. 913 The WD40 domain forms a 7-blade beta-propeller. Residue numbers correspond to the β 914 isoform of human ATG16L1, the second lipid binding domain (266-284) is absent from the α 915 isoform. This figure was prepared using the IBS illustrator [128]
- B- Comparison of ATG16L1 orthologs in different species. Domains were defined using PFAM.
 The peptide sequences (Uniprot) used were Q676U5 (*H. sapiens*), Q8C0J2 (*M. musculus*),
 A0A0R4IBY0 (*D. rerio*), B7Z0R7 (*D. melanogaster*) and Q03818 (*S. cerevisiae*). The
 percentage of similarity compared to the equivalent domain in *H. sapiens* ATG16L1 is indicated.
- 921 Fig. 2: The LC3 conjugation machinery.
- ATG12 is activated by the E1-ligase protein ATG7 and conjugated to ATG5 through the E2-like
 activity of ATG10. ATG16L1 binds to ATG5, leading to the formation of the ATG12 ATG5/ATG16L1 complex
 - LC3 is activated by the cysteine protease ATG4 (exposing a glycine residue on its C-terminal domain).
- 927
 3- ATG7 transfers activated LC3 to the E2-like protein ATG3, followed with the catalysis, by the ATG12-ATG5/ATG16L1 complex, of the transfer of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) to LC3, resulting in the synthesis of lipidated LC3. ATG16L1 orchestrates the spatiotemporal targeting of the LC3 conjugation machinery to specific membranes.
 931
 4- The LC3 conjugation machinery operates on double membranes in canonical autophagy, and
 - 4- The LC3 conjugation machinery operates on double membranes in canonical autophagy, and on a variety of single membranes. The two processes display several differences, in particular the WDD is dispensable for canonical autophagy but not for LC3-lipidation on single membranes.

935 936 937

942

943

944

945

946

947

932

933

934

925

926

Fig. 3: ATG16L1 regulates intracellular trafficking events independently of autophagy.

- A- ATG16L1 regulates the exocytosis of lysozyme positive stress granules in Paneth Cells.
 Hypomorphic mice with highly reduced expression of ATG16L1 exhibit defects in lysozyme secretion leading to chronic infection by enteric pathogens in the gut.
 B- ATG16L1 regulates the secretion of cholesterol rich compartments to the plasma membrane in
 - B- ATG16L1 regulates the secretion of cholesterol rich compartments to the plasma membrane in case of damage. This activity limits L. *monocytogenes* cell to cell dissemination.
 - C- ATG16L1 controls the flux of Rab6 positive vesicles to TMEM59 positive compartments. The pathogen *C. trachomatis* hijacks Rab6 trafficking by secreting a bacterial proteins that blocks the interaction between ATG16L1 and TMEM59.
 - D- The secretion of hormone-containing dense core vesicles in neuroendocrine PC12 cells is dependent on ATG16L1, presumably through its interaction with Rab33a. The loss of ATG16L1 drastically reduces hormone secretion.
- 948 949

950







On double membranes (canonical autophagy)	On phaogosomes (LC3 associated phagocytosis)	Other single membranes
 VPS34 dependent Beclin dependent WIPI2B dependent WDD independent 	 Single membrane phagosomes VPS34 independent Beclin dependent WDD dependent 	 Damaged endosomes Vesicular remnants after phagosomal escape LC3 associated endocytosis.

Figure 3

A. Exocytosis of anti microbial peptides	B. Membrane repair	C. Rab6 trafficking to TMEM59 compartments	D. Hormone secretion
Plasma membrane	Plasma membrane	Rab6	
Lysozyme filled granules	Cholesterol rich lysosomes		Hormone positive vesicles