

Human herpesvirus 8 molecular mimicry of ephrin ligands facilitates cell entry and triggers EphA2 signaling

Taylor P. Light, Delphine Brun, Pablo Guardado-Calvo, Riccardo Pederzoli, Ahmed Haouz, Frank Neipel, Felix Rey, Kalina Hristova, Marija Backovic

► To cite this version:

Taylor P. Light, Delphine Brun, Pablo Guardado-Calvo, Riccardo Pederzoli, Ahmed Haouz, et al.. Human herpesvirus 8 molecular mimicry of ephrin ligands facilitates cell entry and triggers EphA2 signaling. 2021. pasteur-03388352v1

HAL Id: pasteur-03388352 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-03388352v1

Preprint submitted on 14 Jun 2021 (v1), last revised 20 Oct 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	
3	Human herpesvirus 8 molecular mimicry of ephrin ligands
4	facilitates cell entry and triggers EphA2 signaling
5	
6	
7	
8	Taylor P. Light ¹ , Delphine Brun ² , Pablo Guardado-Calvo ² , Riccardo Pederzoli ² ,
9	Ahmed Haouz ³ , Frank Neipel ⁴ , Felix Rey ² , Kalina Hristova ^{1*} , Marija Backovic ^{2*}
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	¹ Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Institute for NanoBioTechnology, John Hopkins
15	University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
16	² Unité de Virologie Structurale, Institut Pasteur, Département de Virologie, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75015
17	Paris, France; CNRS, UMR3569, Paris, France.
18	³ Crystallography Platform C2RT, Institut Pasteur, 25 rue du Dr. Roux, Paris 75015, Paris, France; CNRS
19	UMR 3528
20	⁴ Virologisches Institut, Universitaetsklinikum Erlangen, Schlossgarten 4, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany.
21	

22 *Corresponding authors

23 ABSTRACT

24 Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8) is an oncogenic virus that enters cells by fusion of the viral and 25 endosomal cellular membranes in a process mediated by viral surface glycoproteins. One of the cellular 26 receptors hijacked by HHV-8 to gain access to cells is the EphA2 tyrosine kinase receptor, and the 27 mechanistic basis of EphA2-mediated viral entry remains unclear. Using X-ray structure analysis, 28 targeted mutagenesis and binding studies, we here show that the HHV-8 envelope glycoprotein 29 complex gH/gL binds with sub-nanomolar affinity to EphA2 via molecular mimicry of the receptor's 30 cellular ligands, ephrins, revealing a pivotal role for the conserved gH residue E52 and the aminoterminal peptide of gL. Using FSI-FRET and cell contraction assays, we further demonstrate that the 31 32 gH/gL complex also functionally mimics ephrin ligand by inducing EphA2 receptor association via its dimerization interface, thus triggering receptor signaling for cytoskeleton remodeling. These results 33 now provide novel insight into the entry mechanism of HHV-8, opening avenues for the search of 34 therapeutic agents that could interfere with HHV-8 related diseases. 35

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437132; this version posted March 28, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

INTRODUCTION 36

37

38

Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), also known as Kaposi's sarcoma-associated virus, is a member 39 of *Rhadinovirus* genus that belongs to the *Gammaherpesvirinae* subfamily of *Herpesviridae*¹. HHV-8 is 40 an oncogenic virus and etiological agent of Kaposi's sarcoma (KS), malignancy of endothelial cells 41 named after the Hungarian dermatologist who first described the disease in 1872². Because KS has 42 different clinical manifestations, two main forms are distinguished - the classic KS that is a relatively indolent and rare tumor, appearing as skin lesions mostly in elderly men, and the epidemic or HIV-43 44 associated KS, an aggressive form that spreads extensively through skin, lymph nodes, intestines and lungs ³. The KS affects up to 30% of untreated HIV-positive individuals ^{4,5}, and is nowadays one of the 45 most frequent malignancies in men and children in subequatorial African countries 6. 46

47 Behind the ability of HHV-8 to spread to diverse tissues lies its wide tropism demonstrated in vivo for epithelial and endothelial cells, fibroblasts, B- and T-lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages 48 and dendritic cells (reviewed in ⁷). As other herpesviruses, HHV-8 attaches to cells via its envelope 49 glycoproteins that engage in numerous low affinity interactions with ubiquitous cellular factors such 50 as heparan sulfate proteoglycans⁸. Following these initial contacts, the viral envelope glycoprotein(s) 51 52 bind to specific cell receptor(s) in an interaction that provides trigger for membrane fusion. The 53 envelope glycoproteins B (gB) and the non-covalent heterodimer made of glycoproteins H and L 54 (gH/gL) constitute the conserved core fusion machinery of all herpesviruses, whose function is to 55 induce merger of the viral and cellular membranes. The release of herpesvirus capsids occurs at the 56 level of plasma membrane or as in the case of HHV-8, after endocytosis, by fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes ⁹. In all herpesviruses gB is the fusogen protein, while gH/gL plays a role in 57 regulation of gB activity ¹⁰. What is particular to HHV-8 is the simultaneous employment of several viral 58 59 glycoproteins - HHV-8-specific K8.1A glycoprotein, as well as the core fusion machinery components -60 that engage diverse cellular receptors (gB binds to integrins and DC-SIGN, gH/gL to EphA receptors) 61 increasing the HHV-8 target repertoire and providing the virus with a set of tools for well-orchestrated 62 entry (reviewed in ¹¹).

63 The X-ray structures of gH/gL from alpha-, beta- and gammaherpesviruses ¹²⁻¹⁵, revealed a 64 tightly bound protein complex, in which the gH ectodomain, composed of four domains forms a tight complex with gL via its N-terminal, membrane-distal domain. Despite low gH and gL sequence 65 conservation across the herpesvirus family, the structures are remarkably similar, indicating 66 67 conservation of a function. The current fusion model posits that upon binding of the virus to the cellular receptor (via gH/gL, gB, or a virus-specific glycoprotein), gH/gL in a still unknown way relays 68 the signal and switches gB fusion activity on, setting membrane fusion in motion ¹⁶. As mentioned 69 70 above, HHV-8 gH/gL also functions as a receptor binding protein that interacts with cellular Eph

receptors that belong to a superfamily of transmembrane tyrosine kinases, named Eph for their
 expression in erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line ¹⁷.

73 The physiological ligands of Eph receptors are membrane-tethered proteins called ephrins 74 (acronym for Eph family receptor interacting proteins). Ephrin-A ligands are attached to the membrane 75 by glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors and bind to Eph receptors classified as type-A Eph receptors, 76 while ephrin-B ligands possess a transmembrane and an intracellular PDZ domain and interact with receptors designated as Eph type-B receptors ^{18,19}. There are 5 ephrin-A ligands and 9 Eph type-A 77 78 receptors in humans. HHV-8 binds with the highest affinity to EphA2, and less to the related EphA4 79 and EphA7 receptors ²⁰⁻²². In addition, the EphA2 receptor serves as a receptor for two other gammaherpesviruses - human herpesvirus 4 (HHV-4 also known as Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and rhesus 80 81 monkey rhadinovirus ^{23,24}. The interactions are in all cases established via gH/gL. Unrelated pathogens 82 such as Hepatitis C virus, some bacteria and yeast also depend on EphA2 receptor for entry ²⁵⁻²⁸.

83 Eph receptor-ephrin ligand interactions mediate short-distance cell-cell communications and lead to cytoskeleton rearrangements and rapid changes in cell mobility and / or morphology²⁹. Some 84 of the typical outcomes of ephrin-A1 ligand activation of EphA2 receptor are cell retraction ³⁰⁻³³ and 85 86 endocytosis of receptor-ligand complexes ³⁴. These processes are especially active and important 87 during development, and in adulthood many of the same circuits get repurposed for functions in bone homeostasis, angiogenesis, synaptic plasticity (reviewed in ²⁹). Since motility and angiogenesis 88 89 contribute to tumorigenesis and other pathologies, Eph receptors and ephrin ligands are in the 90 spotlight as targets for therapeutic intervention ^{35,36}.

91 EphA2 was identified as HHV-8 entry receptor by Hahn et al. who showed that deletion of the 92 EphA2 gene abolished infection of endothelial cells, and that binding of gH/gL to EphA2 on cells led to 93 increased EphA2 phosphorylation and endocytosis facilitating viral entry. The presence of the 94 intracellular kinase domain was shown to be important for HHV-8 entry in epithelial 293 cells ²⁰. In 95 this respect, HHV-8 gH/gL does not play the role of a classical herpesvirus receptor binding protein, 96 directly activating gB upon binding to a cellular receptor to induce fusion of the viral and plasma membranes, such as gD in alphaherpesviruses. The merger of the HHV-8 and cellular membranes 97 occurs at low pH within an endosome, and is spatially and temporally separated from the gH/gL 98 99 interactions with EphA2 that occur at the cell surface. HHV-8 gH/gL instead activates EphA2 receptors 100 that initiate signaling pathways leading to rapid internalization of the virus and cytoskeletal 101 rearrangements that create a cellular environment conducive for the virus and capsid intracellular transport ³⁷. 102

All Eph receptors contain an elongated ectodomain made of – as beads on a string - a ligandbinding domain (LBD), a cysteine-rich domain (CRD) and two fibronectin (FN) domains, followed by a transmembrane anchor, a short juxtamembrane region containing several conserved tyrosine residues, an intracellular Tyr kinase domain, a sterile alpha motif (SAM) that has a propensity to

107 oligomerize, and a PDZ domain involved in protein-protein interactions ³⁸. The LBD consists of a rigid, 108 conserved β -sandwich, decorated with variable loops that dictate ligand specificity ^{39,40}. In a similar fashion, the protruding flexible loops of ephrin ligands are arranged around a compact, eight-stranded 109 central β -barrel⁴¹. We employ the accepted nomenclature for the secondary structure elements for 110 Eph receptors ⁴² and ephrin ligands throughout the text ⁴¹. In both cases single letters designate β -111 112 strands and helices, and double letters are used to label loops that connect the secondary structure 113 elements (Fig. S1). The ephrin ligand interactions with the Eph receptors are driven by an 18-residue 114 long and mostly hydrophobic loop that connects strands G and H – the GH loop – of the ligand, which 115 inserts into a complementary hydrophobic cavity presented at the surface of the receptor LBD ⁴². The 116 ephrin ligand GH loop carries a conserved E119 that establishes polar interactions, critical for high 117 affinity binding, with a strictly conserved R103 on the loop of the Eph type-A receptors. This R103 happens to be in the loop connecting strands G and H in EphA receptors and is also designated as GH 118 119 loop ⁴³. To avoid confusion, we use superscripts to indicate the molecule of the residue or feature described (R103^{EphA2}, E119^{ephrin}, GH^{EphA2}, GH^{ephrin} etc). 120

121 At the molecular level, as in the case of other receptor tyrosine kinases, ephrin ligand binding 122 induces oligomerization of Eph receptors, promoting trans-phosphorylation and signal transduction 123 into the cell ¹⁹. Structural and functional studies revealed that ephrin ligand binding to Eph receptors 124 results first in formation of so-called tetramers made of two 'Eph-ephrin' complexes in which each Eph 125 receptor interacts with 2 ephrin molecules – its cognate ligand with high affinity, and via low affinity interactions with ephrin from the other complex ¹⁸ (Fig. S2). In such tetrameric arrangement that is 126 127 brought about by ligands, two Eph receptors are arranged into a dimer (also known as homodimer), 128 stabilized by contacts at the EphA2 LBD dimerization interface (DIN). Eph-ephrin tetramers can polymerize into clusters via the interface in the downstream CRD referred to as the clustering interface 129 130 (CIN) ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Since the clustering involves an EphA2 region outside of the LBD, this interface is known as 131 ligand independent. Indeed EphA2 receptor was shown to exist on cells in the absence of ligand in a 132 monomer-dimer equilibrium ⁴⁷, with the unliganded dimers stabilized via the CIN (Fig. S2).

133 The cellular response to EphA2 receptor activation is ligand- and cell-type dependent and modulated by factors such as the spatial distribution of the receptor in the membrane ⁴⁸, residues in 134 135 the intracellular domain that are phosphorylated, size and type of the EphA2 receptor oligomers, to just name some ²⁹. EphA2 receptor dimers were shown to be already active ⁴⁹, and there is evidence 136 137 that oligomers made of 6-8 EphA2 receptor molecules activate, while larger aggregates may dampen the signaling in some cell types ⁵⁰. Different ligands (monomeric, dimeric ephrin-A1, and agonist or 138 antagonist peptides) were shown to stabilize distinct dimeric or oligomeric EphA2 receptor assemblies 139 140 (Fig. S2), further indicating that the signaling properties may be defined by the nature of the EphA2 141 dimers and oligomers ^{47,51}.

142 The structures of several Eph receptor – ephrin ligand complexes have been determined ^{39,40,42,44,45}, but how viral antigens such as HHV-8 gH/gL interact with EphA2 was completely unknown 143 144 until recently. The 3.2Å X-ray structure of a HHV8 gH/gL-EphA2 complex was published while we were 145 preparing this manuscript ⁵². Our goal has been to explore the events that emulate the early stages of 146 HHV-8 entry. We south to obtain the structural details on the gH/gL-EphA2 complex, and to determine if and how HHV-8 gH/gL affects assembly of EphA2 receptors in the membranes of living cells, taking 147 148 advantage of the FSI-FRET system that allows following lateral interactions of membrane proteins in 149 vivo ⁵³. We report here a 2.7Å resolution X-ray structure of the HHV-8 gH/gL ectodomain bound to the 150 LBD of EphA2 together with results of structure-guided mutagenesis and cell-based studies that 151 highlight E52^{gH} as a key residue for high-affinity binding to the receptor. Based on our analyses and the similarities we observed between the binding modes of gH/gL and the ephrin ligand to EphA2, we 152 153 provide evidence that this structural similarity extends into functional mimicry - soluble gH/gL induces 154 cell contraction and stabilizes the same type of EphA2 receptor dimers on cells, as was shown for the ephrin-A1 ligand ⁴⁷. This is the first time that a non-ephrin protein in its monomeric form has been 155 shown to activate Eph receptors, underscoring that signaling via EphA2 dimers, and not large 156 157 oligomers, may be more common than thought. The results presented here now lay a path for further 158 exploration of downstream events and the investigation of whether HHV-8 activation of Eph receptors may play a role beyond ensuring a productive infection, for example contributing to virus 159 160 oncogenicity/oncogenic transformation of the cell.

161 RESULTS

162

163 Structure determination of the EphA2 LBD - gH/gL tertiary complex

164 Recombinant HHV-8 gH/gL ectodomains and EphA2 LBD were expressed in insect cells and the 165 proteins were purified as described in detail in Material and Methods (MM). To maximize the tertiary 166 complex (gH/gL-bound to EphA2 LBD) formation, the gH/gL was mixed with an excess of LBD which 167 was then removed by size exclusion chromatography. Multiangle light scattering measurements ⁵⁴ 168 demonstrated a 1:1:1 tertiary complex stoichiometry for gL/gH bound to EphA2 LBD, as well as to the 169 EphA2 ectodomain (Fig. S3).

170 The tertiary complex crystallized in an orthorhombic space group (C $2 2 2_1$) and the crystals, which contained one molecule per asymmetric unit, diffracted to 2.7Å. The initial phases were 171 172 calculated by molecular replacement using the EphA2 LBD (PDB: 3HEI) and an HHV-8 gH/gL theoretical 173 model derived from the EBV gH/gL X-ray structure (PDB: 3PHF) using the Phyre2 program for protein modelling and structure prediction ⁵⁵. The partial molecular replacement solution was extended by 174 175 iterative cycles of auto- and manual building as explained in MM. The final map displayed clear electron 176 density for residues 27-200 of EphA2, residues 21-128 for gL, and residues 35-696 gH with the exception of several short regions of poor density that precluded unambiguous placement of the 177 178 polypeptide chain (Fig. 1A). The N-terminus of gL contained two additional residues (Arg and Ser) carried over from the expression vector. Around 40 gL residues at its C-terminus were not resolved 179 and are likely to be disordered as predicted by IUPred2A server ^{56,57}. The atomic model was refined to 180 181 a R_{work}/R_{free} of 0.22/24 (Table S1).

182

183 The gH/gL-EphA2 LBD complex structure

The tertiary complex forms an extended structure 15 nm long and around 4.6 nm across its 184 185 widest part, in the gH region (Fig. 1B). EphA2 LBD adopts a jelly-roll fold as originally described ³⁹ – its 186 N- and C-termini point in the same direction and away from the gH/gL binding site, consistent with the expected location of the remaining EphA2 domains. Two antiparallel 5-stranded β -sheets pack into a 187 compact β -sandwich, with loops of different lengths connecting the strands. The HI^{EphA2} loop is well 188 ordered and forms the DIN, while the JK^{EphA2} loop, which carries a short J' helix, is not resolved in our 189 190 tertiary complex structure likely due to its already reported structural plasticity ⁴⁰ and / or displacement by gL (Fig.1B). Apart from the JK^{EphA2} loop, the EphA2 LBD does not change conformation 191 192 upon binding to gH/gL.

193 The gH/gL complex has an architecture already described for other herpesvirus orthologs – the γ -194 herpesvirus EBV gH/gL ¹⁵, β -herpesvirus human CMV ¹³, and α -herpesviruses HSV-2, PrV and VZV ^{14,58,59}.

195 The N-terminal domain I (DI) of gH is separated by a linker (hinge) helix from the rest of the ectodomain 196 (domains II, III and the membrane-proximal domain IV) (Fig. 1B). The HHV-8 gH/gL resembles the most 197 its EBV counterpart, consistent with the highest sequence conservation between the two, followed by 198 the β -herpesvirus CMV gH/gL complex and less so the α -herpesvirus complexes (Fig. S4). The rmsd values and Z-scores calculated from the superimposition of individual gH domains and gL are given in 199 200 Fig. S4 (superimposing the entire gH/gL ectodomains is not informative because of the different 201 orientations of the domains with respect to each other). Clear electron density was observed at 4 Nlinked glycosylation sites (N46gH, N267gH, N688gH, and N118gL) allowing placement of 1 or 2 N-202 203 acetylglucosamine residues.

204

205 Binding interface between gH/gL and EphA2

206 The EphA2 LBD and gH/gL form an intricate interface structure made of a seven-stranded 207 mixed β -sheet containing strands contributed by all 3 proteins. The N-terminal segment of gH co-folds 208 with gL forming a mixed five-stranded β -sheet composed of two gH and three gL β -strands. The third 209 gL β -strand further engages in contacts with the D β -strand of EphA2 (Fig. 2A).

210 gL binds to the EphA2 LBD via its N-terminal segment (residues 21-30) and residues from its 211 β 2 and β 3 strands (Fig. 2A) (the full list of contact residues is given in Table S2 and is represented in Fig. S5). The gL N-terminal segment is restrained by C26 and C27 that form disulfide bonds with C74 212 and C54, respectively. Immediately upstream this anchoring point there is an elongated, hydrophobic 213 214 'tail' (residues 21-25) that inserts into a hydrophobic channel formed by the EphA2 strands D and E 215 and gL strands $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ (the 'roof'). The buried surface area for the gL residues 19-32 is around 480 216 Å². Of the 14 hydrogen bonds formed between gL and EphA2, 7 are contributed by the gL N-terminal segment, 6 by strand β 3 and 1 by the C-terminal η 4 Asn128. 217

Below the gL 'tail', the single gH residue that makes contacts with EphA2 – E52^{gH} – forms a salt
bridge with R103^{EphA2}, clamping the bottom of the tunnel (the 'base'). E52^{gH} is also involved in polar
interactions with residues V22^{gL}, H47^{gL} and F48^{gL}, thus being a center point (a hub) interlaying gL and
EphA2 (Table S2).

222

223 Biolayer Interferometry (BLI) analyses of EphA2 and gH/gL interactions in solution

To investigate the role of the gH/gL and EphA2 residues implicated in the interactions observed in the crystal structure, we tested a series of mutants that were conceived to induce large perturbations into gL and EphA2 by introducing N-glycosylation sites. We resorted to such drastic changes because most EphA2 point mutations already tested in immunoprecipitation assays had only moderate effects on gH/gL binding ⁶⁰. The point mutation R103A^{EphA2} has been reported to abolish the binding to gH/gL⁵² and served as a positive control. Since E52^{gH} is the only gH residue contacting EphA2,
 we also introduced point mutations E52A^{gH} and E52R^{gH} to specifically target this site.

231 The variants with the following N-glycosylation sites were generated: N57^{EphA2}, N190^{EphA2}, N30^{gL} or N68 ^{gL}, and point mutant variants R103A^{EphA2}, E52A^{gH} bound to gL (E52A^{gH}/ gL) and E52R^{gH}/ gL 232 (Fig. 2B). The recombinant proteins were expressed in mammalian cells. The introduced sites N30^{gL} 233 and N68^{gL} were glycosylated as clearly observed by gL shift to a higher molecular weight on SDS-PAGE 234 235 gels, while the change in the migration was harder to detect for EphA2 ectodomains possibly because 236 its larger size and small difference introduced by an additional glycosylation. The N190^{EphA2} mutation 237 was already reported to perturb the interactions with ephrin ligands ⁴⁴. The constructs were engineered so that gL contained a strep tag for complex purification, as before, and gH contained a 238 239 histidine tag at C-terminus for immobilization onto BLI sensors via the end distal to the EphA2 binding 240 site (Fig. S6). Further details on protein production and BLI parameters are given in MM.

We determined the dissociation constant (Kd) <1nM by doing a series of BLI measurements for wild type (WT) gH/gL binding to the EphA2 ectodomain (res. 27 - 534) or its LBD (res. 27-202) (Fig. 2C). The low Kd observed for the WT proteins was dominated by a slow k_{off} rate. We obtained a Kd in the subnanomolar range when the measurements were done at pH 5.5 (Fig. S7A), or when the system was inverted i.e. EphA2 LBD or ectodomains were immobilized via a histidine-tag to the sensor, and gH/gL was in solution (Fig. S7B).

247 Each of the 3 mutations introduced in EphA2 significantly reduced the binding as anticipated 248 (Fig. 2D). The Q30N^{gL} mutation in the gL N-terminal segment also diminished binding, consistent with the presence of a carbohydrate at this position blocking the interactions with the strand D^{EphA2} and 249 250 DE^{EphA2} loop. Introduction of the N-linked carbohydrate at residue N68^{gL} in its β 2- β 3 turn did not affect 251 binding as expected, because of its location proximal to the binding site but in an exposed loop (Fig. 2B). The E52R^{gH}/gL and E52A^{gH}/gL variants resulted in weaker or absence of interactions with EphA2 252 253 ectodomains, respectively (Fig. 2D). These results demonstrated that the binding interface between 254 EphA2 and gH/gL seen in the crystal is in agreement with the one mapped by measurements in 255 solution.

256

257 The gH/gL molecular mimicry of ephrin-A ligands

258 The EphA2 binding site for ephrin-A1 ligand and gH/gL largely overlap, with the former 259 including a more extensive surface area and a larger number of contacts established by EphA2 β -260 strands D and E, CD and DE loops, as well as the LM loop (Fig. 3, Fig. S1 and S5). Comparative analyses 261 of the gH/gL-EphA2 and ephrin-A1-EphA2 complexes further demonstrate that the structural elements 262 employed by gH and gL resemble the ephrin-A1 ligand mode of binding to EphA2 receptor. The GH^{ephrin-} 263 ^{A1} loop, which is the principal interaction region with the EphA2 receptor, occupies the same space as

the gL 'tail', while the salt bridge established between the conserved R103^{EphA2} and E119^{ephrin-A1} is 264 replaced at the same location by a salt bridge between $R103^{EphA2}$ and $E52^{gH}$ (Fig. 3). The conserved 265 E52^{gH} and E119^{ephrin-A1} occupy equivalent position in respect to R103^{EphA2}, but the chain segments 266 267 carrying the conserved E52^{gH} and E119^{ephrin-A1} run in opposite directions so that the following residues, F53^{gH} and F120^{ephrin-A1}, do not superpose. Both F53^{gH} and F120^{ephrin-A1} are engaged in π - π stacking 268 269 interactions with F108 ephrin-A1 and H53^{gL}, respectively; in addition, the F108^{EphA2} establishes π - π 270 interactions with Y21^{gL} or F111^{ephrin-A1}, indicating a common mechanism for stabilization of the loop 271 that presents the critically important glutamic acid residue for interactions with EphA2.

272

273 HHV-8 gH/gL induces constitutive EphA2 dimerization on the cell surface

274 Since binding of ephrin ligands to Eph receptors induces formation of higher-order receptor 275 oligomers, we sought to determine if gH/gL alters EphA2 interactions at the cell surface in a similar 276 fashion. The method we applied was developed to probe the stability and association (stoichiometry) of protein complexes in cell membranes, and is referred to as FSI-FRET (Fully Quantified Spectral 277 Imaging (FSI) Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET)) ⁵³. The measurements are carried out on the 278 279 membranes of live cells containing the proteins of interest tagged with donor or acceptor fluorescent 280 probes at the intracellular end. The lateral interactions of EphA2 molecules in the absence and presence of various ligands were already investigated using this approach ⁴⁷. 281

We performed FSI-FRET measurements in HEK293T cells co-transfected with EphA2-282 283 mTurquoise (donor probe) and EphA2-eYFP (acceptor probe). Recombinant HHV-8 gH/gL was added 284 at the final concentration of 200 nM, significantly exceeding the apparent subnanomolar Kd value (Fig. 285 2C), thus ensuring that all the EphA2 molecules were occupied by gH/gL. The measured FRET efficiencies (corrected for "proximity FRET" as discussed in MM (equation 1), and the concentration of 286 287 donor-tagged and acceptor-tagged EphA2 molecules) were used to construct dimerization curves by fitting with a monomer-dimer equilibrium model ⁵³ (the raw FRET data is shown in Fig. S8). Dimer 288 289 formation is characterized by two parameters: the two-dimensional dissociation constant, $K_{diss.}$ and 290 the structural parameter "Intrinsic FRET", \tilde{E} . The K_{diss} is a measure of the dimerization propensity of 291 EphA2 at the plasma membrane. The Intrinsic FRET is the FRET efficiency in an EphA2 dimer with a 292 donor and an acceptor, which depends on the positioning of the fluorescent proteins (attached to the 293 C-terminus of the intracellular domain) of the EphA2 dimer. The Intrinsic FRET is strictly a structural 294 parameter and therefore does not have any implications on the dimerization propensity of the full 295 length EphA2 receptor [64, 65].

The dimerization curve calculated from the FRET data for EphA2 WT in the presence of gH/gL is shown in Fig. 4A and is compared to the data for EphA2 WT in the absence of ligand. The best-fit K_{diss} and the best-fit Intrinsic FRET, determined from the FRET data, are presented in Table 1. As previously

299 reported ⁵¹, EphA2 WT in the absence of ligand exists in monomer-dimer equilibrium with a K_{diss} is 301 \pm 67 receptors/µm². We found EphA2 in the presence of gH/gL to be 100% dimeric ("constitutive 300 301 dimer") over the EphA2 concentration range observed in the experiments, precluding reliable 302 measurement and calculation of the dissociation constant. In control experiments, we added soluble 303 EphA2 LBD and also precomplexed gH/gL with EphA2 LBD (gH/gL-LBD) (Fig. 4B, C). As anticipated, 304 soluble LBD had no effect on EphA2 dimerization and the effect of gH/gL was also abolished when 305 precomplexed with EphA2 LBD, as the dimerization curves and the best-fit K_{diss} value were 306 indistinguishable from those determined in the absence of gH/gL (Table 1).

307 Along with K_{diss} , which measures the strength of the EphA2 association, these experiments give 308 information about conformational changes that affect the relative disposition of the fluorescent 309 proteins attached to the C-termini of EphA2, inside the cell. This information is contained in the 310 structural parameter "Intrinsic FRET". A lower Intrinsic FRET value is observed upon gH/gL binding, 311 reflecting that the distance (d) between the fluorescent proteins is greater when gH/gL is bound to EphA2. Since the fluorescent proteins are attached to the C-termini of EphA2 via flexible linkers, this 312 is a demonstration of a structural change in the EphA2 dimer induced by gH/gL binding, which is 313 314 transmitted across the membrane to the intracellular domains, involving an apparent increase in the 315 separation between the C-termini of EphA2. This implies that the conformation of the intracellular 316 domains in the EphA2 dimer are altered in response to gH/gL binding. The presence of soluble EphA2 317 LBD and precomplexed gH/gL-LBD had no effect on the Intrinsic FRET values (Table 1).

318 Since FRET has limited utility in discerning the oligomer size, we used Fluorescence Intensity 319 Fluctuation (FIF) to directly assess the oligomer size of EphA2 in the presence of gH/gL. FIF calculates 320 molecular brightness of eYFP-tagged receptors in regions of the cell membrane. The molecular 321 brightness, defined as the ratio of the variance of the fluorescence intensity within a membrane region 322 to the mean fluorescence intensity in this region, is known to scale with the oligomer size ⁶¹. The 323 cumulative (over all measured EphA2 concentrations) distributions of molecular brightness for EphA2 and EphA2 with gH/gL obtained from small sections of the plasma membrane in hundreds of cells are 324 325 compared in Fig. 4D. Consistent with the fact that EphA2 exists in a monomer/dimer equilibrium in the absence of ligand ⁵¹, the EphA2 brightness distribution is between the distributions of LAT (Linker for 326 Activation of T-cells, a monomer control) ⁶² and E-cadherin (a dimer control) ⁶³. The FIF data for these 327 controls have been published previously ⁶⁴ and are shown here for comparison (Fig. 4D). We found 328 329 that gH/gL shifts the maximum of the histogram to higher molecular brightness relative to EphA2 330 (untreated), such that it virtually overlaps with the dimeric E-cadherin distribution. Therefore, the FIF 331 measurements indicate that EphA2 is a constitutive dimer in the presence of gH/gL, consistent with 332 the FRET data. We see no indication for the formation of higher order oligomers, which would have 333 resulted in a brightness distribution shifted to higher values than the ones measured for E-cadherin. Taken together, the FRET and FIF data demonstrate that gH/gL significantly stabilizes EphA2 dimers
but does not induce EphA2 oligomerization.

336

337 Residues E52^{gH} and R103^{EphA2} are critical for EphA2 dimerization on cells

338 To test the importance of residue $E52^{gH}$ for binding of gH/gL to EphA2 in native membranes, 339 FSI-FRET experiments were also performed with the E52R^{gH}/gL recombinant protein, which exhibited 340 significantly reduced binding to the soluble EphA2 ectodomains in BLI experiments (Fig. 2D). The dimerization curve for EphA2 WT in the presence of $E52R^{gH}/gL$ is shown in Figure 5A and the fit 341 342 parameters in Table 1. Constitutive EphA2 receptor dimerization was not observed as we did with 343 gH/gL. Rather, EphA2 interactions were reduced to levels similar to the case of no ligand, indicating 344 that the presence of E52R^{gH}/gL did not result in EphA2 dimer stabilization. This is consistent with the finding that the binding of this E52R^{gH}/gL variant to EphA2 was disrupted, and/or with the idea that 345 346 bound E52R^{gH}/gL did not enhance dimer stability. However, the measured Intrinsic FRET was slightly 347 increased, as compared to no treatment, suggesting a decrease in the separation between the 348 attached fluorescent proteins, and thus between the C-termini of EphA2. This effect could be due to structural perturbations in the EphA2 dimer in response to possible E52R^{gH}/gL binding at the high 349 E52R^{gH}/gL (200nM) concentrations used, which could have propagated to the intracellular domain of 350 EphA2. 351

In addition, we sought to test the importance of the residue R103^{EphA2} for binding to gH/gL 352 using the FSI-FRET method. The dimerization curve when the cells were transfected with EphA2 353 354 harboring the R103E mutation in the gH/gL binding site, in the presence of saturating gH/gL 355 concentrations, is shown in Fig. 5B, and the fit parameters are shown in Table 1. The dimerization propensity for R103E^{EphA2} variant in the presence of gH/gL is the same as for EphA2 in the absence of 356 ligand (Table 1), indicating that either gH/gL binding to the R103E^{EphA2} mutant is disrupted, as also seen 357 in the BLI experiments, and/or that binding did not lead to dimer stabilization. These data further 358 corroborate our findings that R103^{EphA2} plays an essential role in gH/gL binding. Here again, we 359 360 observed an increase in the Intrinsic FRET, which indicates that the fluorescent proteins are in closer 361 proximity, as compared to EphA2 WT in the absence of ligand (Table 1). Similar to the behavior of the E52R^{gH} /gL variant, this effect could be a consequence of R103A^{EphA2} binding to gH/gL, at the high gH/gL 362 363 concentrations used, that would be transmitted to the EphA2 intracellular domains.

364

365 HHV-8 gH/gL induced EphA2 dimers on cell surface are stabilized via the 'dimerization'366 interface

367 We showed that when bound to gH/gL, EphA2 is a constitutive dimer. To determine if the 368 EphA2 dimers form via one of the already described interaction surfaces – the dimerization (DIN) or 369 clustering interface (CIN), as reported previously ⁴⁷ (Fig. S2), we transfected HEK293T cells with the 370 EphA2 variants with perturbed dimerization (G131Y) or clustering (L223R/L254R/V255R) interfaces 371 and treated them with soluble gH/gL ectodomains. The binding of these variants to gH/gL in solution, 372 as measured by BLI, was not affected by the mutations, all of which reside outside of the gH/gL binding site (Fig. S2). The dimerization curves and FRET efficiencies for these mutants in the presence of gH/gL 373 374 are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. S8, respectively, with the fit parameters listed in Table 1. We observed a 375 significant decrease in the dimerization due to the G131Y^{EphA2} mutation (Table 1; Fig. 5C), and a small effect due to the L223R/L254R/V255R^{EphA2} mutations (Table 1; Fig. 5D). Thus, G131^{EphA2} plays an 376 377 important role in the stabilization of EphA2 dimers bound to gH/gL, implying that the EphA2 dimers are formed via DIN. This also supports our finding that gH/gL induces EphA2 dimers and not higher 378 379 order oligomers, as these oligomers are known to engage both the DIN and the CIN (Fig. S2).

380

381 HHV-8 gH/gL binding to EphA2 expressed on cells induces cell contraction

382 The interactions between Eph receptors and ephrin ligands is known to stimulate cell 383 contraction, a signaling response that plays a role in developmental processes including axon guidance 384 and tissue patterning ⁶⁵. To test if the recombinant gH/gL proteins induce similar effects, we performed the assays in HEK293T cells, which express very low amounts of EphA2 (generally below the Western 385 386 blot detection limit⁴⁷). Therefore, we generated a HEK293T cell line that stably expressed EphA2, and 387 measured cell contraction induced by gH/gL and the E52R^{gH}/gL variant that bound weakly to EphA2 388 (Fig. 2D). The EphA2 LBD, alone or precomplexed with gH/gL (gH/gL-LBD) was used as negative control, 389 and dimeric ephrin-A1-Fc as a positive control (described in the MM section). Untransfected HEK293T 390 cells were treated with gH/gL in control experiments. The cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde 391 (PFA), permeabilized, stained for actin and imaged (representative images are displayed in Fig. 6A). 392 Histograms showing the mean cell area measured for each condition show that EphA2-HEK293T cells 393 stimulated with gH/gL were significantly smaller in size compared to every other condition except for 394 cells stimulated with dimeric ephrin-A1-Fc (Fig. 6B). This demonstrates that gH/gL triggered 395 downstream signaling through EphA2, reminiscent to the ephrin-A1 induced signaling. Notably, untransfected HEK293T cells stimulated with gH/gL were also smaller than cells that were not 396 397 stimulated, but not to the same extent as cells that stably express low levels of EphA2. When 398 stimulated with E52R^{gH}/gL, EphA2-HEK293T cells were slightly smaller than untreated cells, but not to 399 the same extent as gH/gL-stimulated cells. The mean cell area determined for EphA2-HEK293T cells in 400 response to E52R^{gH}/gL was not statistically different from the area of untransfected HEK293T cells 401 stimulated with gH/gL. Little to no differences in cell area are observed in EphA2-HEK293T cells upon 402 incubation with EphA2 LBD or with the preformed gH/gL-LBD complex.

To corroborate our findings in the fixed cells and exclude possible artifacts induced by PFA fixation, we performed a cell contraction assay without fixation using live HEK293T cells transiently bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437132; this version posted March 28, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- 405 transfected with full-length EphA2-eYFP. Soluble recombinant ectodomains of gH/gL, EphA2 LBD, or
- 406 the gH/gL-LBD complex were added to the media. As in the fixed cell contraction assay, significant live
- 407 cell area reduction was observed only when free gH/gL was added (Fig. 6C, D). These studies confirm
- 408 that gH/gL mimics ephrin-A1 binding and signaling through EphA2.

409 Discussion

410 The structure of the HHV-8 gH/gL complex bound to EphA2 LBD revealed two major gL elements important for binding - the N-terminal segment (res 22-30) and strands β 2 and β 3, the latter 411 412 forming a mixed β -sheet with the EphA2 LBD (Fig. 2A). The gL residues form extensive van der Waals 413 contacts and 14 hydrogen bonds with the LBD in total (Table S2A). Sequence alignment of gL from the 414 gammaherpesvirus family shows preference for hydrophobic residues (Ala, Ile, Val) in the N-terminal 415 segment (Fig. S10) consistent with the constraints imposed by packing of these side chains within the 416 'channel' formed by the hydrophobic residues from the EphA2 and gL β strands ('roof') (Fig. 2). In a cell-cell fusion assay, Su et al. reported that gH/gL from other gammaherpesvirus genera, the bovine 417 418 Alcelaphine gammaherpesvirus 1 (AIHV-1) from the *Macavirus* genus, and Equid gammaherpesvirus 2 419 (EHV-2) from the Percavirus genus, bind to human EphA2 to trigger fusion, and suggested a potential 420 for the spillover of animal herpesviruses to humans ⁵². They also demonstrated that this was not the 421 case for the gH/gL from murid herpesvirus 4 (MHV68), which with HHV-8 belongs to the Rhadinovirus 422 genus. We performed comparative sequence analyses and found that the N-terminal segment of 423 MHV68 gL contains a number of charged residues (NH₃+-KILPKHCC...), which could preclude it from 424 fitting into the human EphA2 binding 'channel'. The same is true for gL from another rodent 425 herpesvirus, cricetid gammaherpesvirus 2, whose N-terminus (NH₃⁺-IIGSFLARPCC) also contains a 426 charged residue (Fig. S10), and we predict that this gH/gL complex would have weak binding affinity 427 for human EphA2 as well. We therefore propose that the amino acid composition of the N-terminal gL 428 segment can serve as a predictor for the potential for binding to human EphA2, and that the presence 429 of charged or polar amino acids would weaken or abrogate the binding.

The C-terminal gL segment (residues 129-167) was not resolved in the structure, likely because the residues reside within a flexible region that seems to point away from the complex and is not involved in contacts neither with gH nor EphA2. This finding is consistent with the coimmunoprecipitation experiments done with HHV-8 gH co-expressed with the gL Δ 135-164 variant, which could still form a complex with gH and EphA2 ⁶⁶. This segment is absent from the EBV gL (Fig. S10) indicating a possibly virus-specific function.

Based on a series of BLI measurements we determined the Kd for WT HHV-8 gH/gL and EphA2 ectodomains to be in subnanomolar range. Decreasing the pH to 5.5, the endosomal pH at which the viral and endosomal membranes fuse to release the HHV-8 capsids into the cytoplasm ⁶⁷, did not affect the Kd (Fig S7A), suggesting that gH/gL dissociation from EphA2 is not required for fusion. This would imply that gH/gL bound to EphA2 could still activate gB, or that there is a fraction of unliganded gH/gL that could interact with gB. The subnanomolar Kd value was obtained when the entire EphA2 ectodomain instead of the LBD alone was used, demonstrating that the EphA2 binding site for gH/gL 443 resides in the LBD and that gH/gL does not interact with the downstream EphA2 domains. Higher dissociation constants for HHV-8 gH/gL and EphA2 of 3 or 9nM (depending on the orientation of the 444 molecules) and 16nM were reported by two other groups, respectively ^{52,60}. The discrepancies might 445 be due to the overestimation of the gH/gL concentration, which was purified via a tag on gH, resulting 446 in the protein preparation that contained gH/gL and free gH⁶⁰. Su *et al.* measured the affinities by SPR 447 448 with the gH/gL immobilized to the chips by chemical coupling, procedure that modifies the protein 449 surface and thus likely the binding site in a fraction of gH/gL⁵². We designed the experiment to be able 450 to separate the gH/gL complex from free gH by purifying the complex via the affinity tag on gL. The 451 gH/gL was then immobilized to the sensors via the C-terminal affinity tag on gH, which is distal to the EphA2 binding site (Fig. S6). We therefore think that our data represent so far the most accurate 452 453 quantification of the strength of gH/gL EphA2 interactions. The subnanomolar Kd for the WT proteins 454 were obtained also in an inverted system i.e. when the EphA2 ectodomain or LBD were immobilized 455 via affinity tag on its C-terminus and gH/gL was added as analyte (Fig S7B).

456 The residues of gH implicated in interactions with Eph receptors had been identified previously in a mutagenesis study ⁶⁶. In particular, E52^{gH} and F53^{gH} in HHV-8, and the equivalent residues - E54^{gH} 457 458 and F55^{gH} in rhesus RRV gH - had been found essential for EphA2 binding in vitro²⁴. These residues are 459 well conserved in gH of gamma-herpesviruses ²⁴. The recombinant HHV-8 and RRV viruses carrying the 460 mutations in this gH region were still able to attach to cells and infect them, although with one order 461 of magnitude reduction in efficiency, by being re-targeted to other cellular attachment factors and receptors. We noticed that the gH β -turn (SIELEFNGT) including E52^{gH} and F53^{gH} (underlined), carries 462 resemblance to the motif located within a GH^{ephrin-A1} loop that is the main interaction structural 463 464 element inserting into the EphA2 cavity (Fig. 3). A conserved glutamic acid residue in the same GH^{ephrin} 465 ^{A1} loop (E119^{ephrin-A1}) forms salt bridge with the conserved R103 in the GH^{EphA2} loop (Fig. 3B, Fig. S1), 466 which is the most important residue for ephrin binding, as its mutation to glutamic residue entirely abolished the interaction ⁴³. In our structure the R103^{EphA2} forms 2 hydrogen bonds and 1 salt bridge 467 468 with the E52^{gH}, which is substantially less than the gL contribution (14 HB and extensive buried surface area) (Table S2). In EBV gH/gL the equivalent residue E30^{gH} is located away from the EphA2 binding 469 470 interface due to a different organization of the N-terminal part of gH compared to the HHV-8 gH, possibly contributing to the reported weaker affinity with a Kd in the μ M range ⁵² (the EBV gH and 471 472 EphA2 in fact do not form any contacts). To determine to what extent the HHV-8 E52^{gH} influences 473 interactions with EphA2, we performed biophysical (gH/gL and EphA2 ectodomains in solution) and cellular assays (EphA2 full-length membrane bound and gH/gL ectodomains in solution). We produced 474 recombinant gH/gL variants carrying the mutations E52R^{gH} or E52A^{gH} and showed that both had 475 476 reduced binding to EphA2 ectodomains in solution (Fig. 2D). When the cells expressing full-length EphA2 were treated with the recombinant HHV-8 E52R^{gH}/gL, the changes reported for ephrin ligands 477 478 ⁶⁸ and induced by the WT gH/gL, such as increased EphA2 dimerization and cell contraction (Fig. 4A,

Fig. 6), were not observed. Our data therefore demonstrate that the E52^{gH} is an important molecular
determinant for EphA2 binding, further highlighting the gH/gL mimicry with ephrin ligands. While the
single structural element of ephrins, the GH loop, engages in polar as well as hydrophobic interactions
with EphA2, in HHV-8 gH/gL the same contributions are made by E52^{gH} and the N-terminal segment of
gL.

484 EphA2 receptors are present on the cell surface in a dynamic monomer-dimer equilibrium, 485 which shifts towards dimers and dimer-made oligomers as a function of ephrin ligand concentration 486 ^{18,69}. EphA2 signaling oligomers can be stabilized via one of the two opposite interfaces - the DIN and 487 the CIN. In the absence of ligand, the EphA2 dimers are stabilized via CIN ⁴⁷. The initial formation of a tetramer – a pair of EphA2 receptor-ligand complexes - is thought to be driven by the ephrins that 488 489 behave as bivalent ligands interacting with their EphA2 partner molecule through the high-affinity site, 490 and through a secondary site with the other EphA2 molecule from the same tetramer, holding together 491 the whole assembly (Fig. S2). In this arrangement the EphA2 dimers were reported to be stabilized via the GH^{Epha2} loops (DIN), while the larger clusters that form as the ligand concentration increases are 492 493 formed via contacts between adjacent CRD interfaces (CIN) (Fig. S2) 44,45. In other words, the 494 association via DIN results in EphA2 dimers, while CIN interactions can result in EphA2 dimers or larger 495 oligomers. (Fig. S2). Our data indicate that once the gH/gL binds, a structural transition is induced and 496 EphA2 dimers are formed via DIN. To explore why EphA2 dimers and not larger oligomers were 497 observed in our experiments, we constructed a model in which unliganded EphA2 ectodomains (PDB 498 2X10) ²⁹ were superimposed onto the EphA2 LBD bound to the gH/gL, preserving the packing of the 499 LBD-gH/gL we observed in the crystal (Fig. S9). This theoretical model reflects the putative 500 arrangement EphA2 receptors expressed on cells would adopt upon binding to gH/gL, and indicates 501 that the CINs would be too far apart to mediate EphA2 clustering, corroborating our 'gH/gL induced 502 EphA2 dimer' finding.

503 The EphA2 dimers we observe in the crystal structure and in FSI-FRET studies, formed via the 504 DIN, would presume ligand-driven stabilization resembling the formation of the Eph-ephrin tetramers 505 described above. Analyses of the contacts in our structure show however the gH/gL molecules do not 506 make contacts with the second EphA2 from the DIN-stabilized tetramer, but rather with an EphA2 from 507 the neighboring EphA2 tetramer (Fig. S9). This poses question of how the gH/gL-induced EphA2 dimers 508 are stabilized, and why CIN-stabilized EphA2 dimers bound to gH/gL are not observed on the cell-509 surface instead. We analyzed the DINs in unliganded EphA2 receptor and in complex with ephrin 510 ligands and HHV-8 gH/gL. When EphA2 is bound to an ephrin ligand, the DIN is stabilized by increased 511 buried surface area and more hydrogen bonds compared to unliganded EphA2 (Table S3). The values 512 obtained for gH/gL induced EphA2 dimerization (16 HBs and 693 A² interface) are comparable to the 513 stabilization of the same interface when bivalent ephrin ligands are bound, indicating that binding of gH/gL to EphA2 LBD stabilizes the DIN sufficiently even though gH/gL does not seem to behave as a 514

515 bivalent ligand bridging the two EphA2-gH/gL together. Our model would indicate, although this 516 remains speculative, that gH/gL would preferentially bind to the monomeric EphA2 receptors on cells, 517 shifting the equilibrium between the CIN-stabilized, unliganded EphA2 dimers to monomeric EphA2, 518 which once bound to gH/gL would be stabilized via the DIN.

519 Ephrin ligands are expressed on cells as membrane-bound monomers, and Eph receptor 520 clustering and activation at high level are in vitro typically induced by addition of soluble dimeric or 521 pre-clustered ephrin ectodomains that mimic their ephrin high concentration when expressed at the 522 cell surface. Ephrin-A1 ligand was also found as a soluble molecule, being released from cancerous 523 cells by cleavage by cellular proteases. Such soluble, monomeric ephrin (m-ephrin) was demonstrated to be a functional ligand, able to activate the EphA2 receptor by inducing tyrosine phosphorylation ⁷⁰, 524 525 internalization of EphA2 and cell retraction, overall decreasing the cellular oncogenic potential ⁷¹. 526 These beneficial cellular responses are characterized as the outcome of the so-called canonical Eph 527 receptor activation ⁷². The structural and functional mimicry of HHV-8 gH/gL and ephrin-A1 would suggest that the HHV-8 interactions with EphA2 trigger canonical signaling pathways, consistent with 528 the observed increased endocytosis and overall EphA2 phosphorylation upon virus binding ²⁰, as well 529 530 as gH/gL induced cell contraction that we report in Fig. 6. Chen et al. reported a different outcome 531 when ephrin-A1 ligands were presented to EphA2-expressing cells in a polarized manner; the Src 532 mediated signaling was activated instead, promoting cell motility and malignancy via phosphorylation of serine and not tyrosine residues (the so-called non-canonical EphA2 activation)⁴⁸. In that light it is 533 534 interesting that HHV-8 binding to fibroblasts was also reported to result in Src recruitment by androgen 535 receptor, a steroid-activated transcription factor that interacts with the intracellular domain of EphA2. Src activation in this case led to phosphorylation of the S897^{EphA2} in the intracellular EphA2 domain i.e. 536 537 activation of the non-canonical pathway, which was a prerequisite for HHV-8 infection ⁷³. These conflicting observations raise the question of what type of signaling HHV-8 gH/gL activates to enter 538 539 the cells. The canonical and non-canonical pathways were thought to be mutually exclusive, but 540 Barquilla et al. recently reported that the two can co-exists and that the EphA2 canonical signaling can 541 be rewired in prostate cancer cells by androgenic receptors leading to the phosphorylation of the same S897^{EphA2} residue ³⁰ implicated in HHV-8 entry ⁷³. The authors proposed that this EphA2 reprogramming 542 543 could have implications for the disease progression. It will be important to discern if a similar interplay 544 of the two seemingly antagonistic EphA2 pathways exist in cells infected with HHV-8. It is also possible 545 that there is a temporal regulation and that different EphA2 signaling pathways are activated during 546 the primary infection (canonical, which would stimulate virus internalization via endocytosis) and 547 reactivation (non-canonical, which would increase cellular oncogenic potential).

548 In this report we present the structure of the HHV-8 gH/gL bound to EphA2, and show that the 549 gH/gL induces dimerization of EphA2 expressed by cells as well as morphological changes at cellular 550 level, resembling the action mode of ephrin ligands. It is possible that the membrane anchored gH/gL

- at the virion surface could induce EphA2 oligomerization into even larger aggregates, in particular if
- 552 membrane regions with higher local gH/gL concentration exist in virions, emulating the conditions of
- 553 high ephrin ligand concentration. What is clear however is that at the mechanistic level HHV-8 gH/gL
- and ephrin ligands induce formation of the same EphA2 dimers, and that these dimers are already
- 555 functional leading to cytoskeletal rearrangements and cell contraction. How the structural changes
- that gH/gL binding initiates are transmitted to the EphA2 intracellular domain, and which types of
- signaling cascades are elicited and when during the virus life cycle are the questions that need to be
- 558 addressed next.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437132; this version posted March 28, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

559

560 Material and methods

561

562 Protein expression and purification for crystallization

HHV8 gH/gL: The segments coding the ectodomain of HHV8 gH (residues 26 to 704) and the entire gL 563 564 (residues 21 - 167) were each cloned from the already described plasmids ²⁰ into the pT350 vector ⁷⁴ 565 for expression in Schneider S2 Drosophila cells (S2 cells). The Cys58 on gL was predicted to be unpaired 566 based on the sequence alignment with EBV gL and was mutated to Ser to prevent potential formation 567 of disulphide-linked dimers. The pT350 vector contains inducible metallothionein promoter activated 568 by divalent cations, the exogenous Drosophila Bip signal peptide (MKLCILLAVVAFVGLSLG RS), 569 underlined, that drives protein secretion appended to the N-terminus of the protein upstream of the 570 5' cloning site. The RS are vector residues that code for the BglII cloning site. A double strep tag (DST) 571 for affinity purification at the C-terminus was added downstream of the 3' cloning site. The sequence of the DST in our pT350 plasmid is FEDDDDKAGWSHPQFEKGGGSGGGSGGGSWSHPQFEK, where 572 573 DDDDK is the enterokinase cleavage site and the sequences in **bold** correspond to the two strep tags 574 separated by a GS linker (italics). The residues FE come from the vector *BstBl* cloning site.

575 HHV-8 gH can be secreted without being bound to gL⁷⁵, and the complex purification via a gH 576 tag resulted in a mixture of the gH/gL complex and free gH, which were difficult to separate on size 577 exclusion chromatography (SEC) due to the small size difference (gH has a molecular weight of 75 kDa, 578 and gL 20 kDa). This is why it was crucial for gH/gL purification to add the tag for affinity purification 579 only on gL (Fig. 1A). Thus, the gL was cloned to contain the DST at the C-terminus, and gH has a stop 580 codon before the DST. The resulting expression plasmids were named gH^{nt}pT350 and gLC58SstpT350, 581 respectively, where 'nt' and 'st' stand for no tag and strep tag, respectively. Such choice was made to 582 allow affinity purification of the gH/gL complex via gL.

It is worth noting that due to the design of the pT350 vector for cloning via restriction digestion the expressed proteins end up having two extra residues RS (*BglII* site) at the N-terminus. The gLC58SstpT350 and gH^{nt}pT350 both contain the RS before the first authentic residues of the mature gL (Y21) and gH (L26).

The gH^{nt}pT350 and gLC58SstpT350 plasmids were co-transfected in the S2 cells along with the plasmid encoding puromycin resistance, and the stably transfected cell lines were established by puromycin selection during 3-4 weeks following the previously established protocol ⁷⁶. The expression was induced by addition of 0.5mM CuSO₄, and the protein was purified from the supernatant 7-10 days post induction. After purification on Streptactin column (IBA Biosciences) and Superdex S200 16/60 GL column (GE life sciences), around 1 milligram of the gH/gL heterodimer was obtained per liter of cell culture (the same amount of the aggregated protein was present). SEC running buffer was
10 mM Tris, 50mM NaCl pH 8.0.

595

596 **EphA2 LBD:** The EphA2 gene segment that encodes for residues 23-202 was amplified from the vector 597 ²⁰ and cloned into the pT350 vector for expression in S2 cells (EphA2LBDstpT350 construct). The 598 expressed protein contained the DST at the C-terminus. Affinity and SEC purifications were done as 599 described for gH/gL above. Around 10 milligrams of pure EphA2 LBD were obtained from 1 liter of cell 600 culture.

601

602 Preparation and purification of the trimeric complex EphA2 LBD-HHV8 gH/gL for crystallization: HHV-603 8 gH has 14 predicted N-glycosylation sites, gL one and EphA2 LBD none. To increase the probability 604 that the complex would crystallize, the gH/gL complex was enzymatically deglycosylated with 605 recombinant Endoglycosydase D (endo- β -N-acetylglucosaminidase from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* 606 i.e. EndoD ⁷⁷), in 100mM sodium-citrate buffer pH 5.0, 150mM NaCl for 18h at 25°C. The ratio of 607 protein to EndoD was 40 to 1 (w:w). To remove the EndoD and exchange the reaction buffer, the 608 deglycosylated complex was purified on Superdex S200 column in 10 mM Tris, 50mM NaCl pH 8.0, and 609 then mixed with the purified EphA2 LBD in 1:1.3 molar ratio (gH/gL : EphA2 LBD). The complex was 610 incubated at 4°C for 1h to over-night, and then re-purified on Superdex S200 to separate the excess EphA2 LBD. The running buffer in all SEC purifications was 10mM Tris pH 8, 50mM NaCl. The trimeric 611 612 complex was stable on SEC and presence of gH/gL and the EphA2 LBD in the complex peak was verified 613 by SDS-PAGE gel analysis.

614

615 Crystallization

616 The tertiary complex in 10 mM Tris pH 8, 50 mM NaCl was concentrated to 5.1 mg/ml in Vivaspin 617 concentrators with the 10 kDa cut-off. Crystallization screening was performed at the Institut Pasteur Core facility for crystallization ⁷⁸ by vapor diffusion, in sitting drops of 0.4 µl containing equal volumes 618 619 of the protein and reservoir solution. The drops were dispensed in 96-well Greiner plates by a 620 Mosquito robot (TTPLabtech, Melbourn, UK) and images were recorder by a Rock-Imager 1000 621 (Formulatrix, Bedford, MA, USA). Initially, crystals were found in numerous conditions, but none 622 diffracted better than 5Å. To improve these crystals, Hampton additive screen HT (HR2-138) was set 623 up next based on one of the initial hits, and crystals grown in 0.1M Na-malonate pH 5, 14.2% PEG 3350 624 in the presence of 14 mM adenosine-5'-triphosphate disodium salt hydrate diffracted to 2.7 Å. These 625 crystals were transferred into the crystallization solution supplemented by 20% ethylene glycol as a 626 cryo-protectant and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

627

628 Data collection and structure determination

629 Data collected at the Proxima 1 beamline at the French national synchrotron facility (SOLEIL, St Aubin, France) were indexed, integrated, scaled and merged using XDS⁷⁹ and AIMLESS⁸⁰. Molecular 630 replacement was done with Phaser within Phenix^{81 82} using as search models the EphA2 LBD structure 631 632 (Protein Data Bank [PDB] accession number 3HEI) and the HHV8 gH/gL model that was generated in 633 Phyre2 ⁵⁵ based on the sequence similarity with the EBV gH/gL (PDB code 3PHF). The partial solution 634 containing EphA2 LBD and parts of gH was obtained, and was extended by iterative rounds of model building (Autobuild ⁸³ in Phenix and manual building in Coot⁸⁴) and refinement using Buster⁸⁵ and 635 636 Phenix ⁸². The final model converged to R_{work}/R_{free} of 0.22/0.24. The final map displayed clear electron 637 density for residues 27-200 of EphA2 (with a break in the J helix region (residues 148-162) and GH loop 638 (G111)), residues 21-128 for gL, and residues 35-696 gH with the exception of several short regions of 639 poor density that precluded unambiguous placement of the polypeptide chain (Fig. 1A).

The crystallization conditions, crystal parameters, data statistics, and refinement parameters
 are shown in Table S1. Superpositions of structures and all structural figures were generated with
 PyMOL (version 1.3r1)⁸⁶. The atomic coordinates and structure factors for trimeric complex EphA2
 LBD-HHV8 gH/gL have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank with the PDB code 7B7N.

644

645 Expression of HHV8 gH/gL and EphA2 variants in mammalian cells

To avoid lengthy selection of the stably transfected S2 cells expressing recombinant proteins (4-5 weeks), a panel of gH/gL and EphA2 variants to be tested in biophysical assays was ordered as synthetic genes (GenScript) cloned in pcDNA3.1 (+) vector for transient expression in mammalian Expi293 cells (5-7 days). The reason mammalian cells were not used for production of the proteins used for crystallizations is that gH/gL complex is heavily glycosylated and the complex sugars added by mammalian cells are typically detrimental for protein crystallization and cannot be enzymatically removed unlike the simple Endo-D and Endo-H sensitive carbohydrates added by insect cells.

653 The mammalian cell expression constructs for gH and gL (residues 21 – 167, and 26-704 respectively) 654 contained at the N-termini an exogenous murine $\lg \kappa$ -chain leader sequence that targets protein to 655 secretory pathway (Coloma et al., 1992) (METDTLLLWVLLLWVPGSTG). Different affinity tags were 656 added to their C-termini - for gH construct (gH^{his}pcDNA3.1) an enterokinase cleavage site (underlined) 657 flanked by two GS linkers (italics) was followed by an octa-his tag (bold) (GS DDDDK SGS HHHHHHHH), and for gL a DST (gLstpcDNA3.1; the DST sequence is the same as in the gLC58SstpT350 construct 658 659 described above). The EphA2 variants contained the endogenous signal peptide at the N-terminus and ended at residue 534 followed by a DST tag. 660

661

662 Biophysical analyses

SEC-MALS measurements: The complexes were formed by incubating gH^{his}/gLst and EphA2st, both produced in mammalian cells as described above, in 1:1.3 molar ratio for 30 minutes at 4°C (~100 µg of gH/gL were mixed with 80 µg of EphA2 ectodomains or 30 µg of the EphA2 LBD in PBS in total volume of 200 µl). Assembled complexes were injected into Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE life sciences) using 500 µl loop, and run in PBS at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. The samples passed through a Wyatt DAWN Heleos II EOS 18-angle laser photometer coupled to a Wyatt Optilab TrEX differential refractive index detector. Data were analyzed with Astra 6 software (Wyatt Technology Corp).

670

Biolayer interferometry measurements: Biolayer interferometry (BLI) was applied to determine the
effect of gH/gL and EphA2 mutations on the complex dissociation constant (Kd). The measurements
were carried out on an Octet RED384 instrument (ForteBio). Affinity and SEC purified gH^{his}/gLst
produced in mammalian Expi293 cells was immobilized on Ni²⁺-NTA sensors (ForteBio) in PBS. The
loaded and equilibrated biosensors were dipped into analyte solutions containing 250 nM to 1 nM
EphA2 variants in PBS containing 0.2 mg/ml BSA (the assay buffer).

Association and dissociation were monitored for 250 and 500 seconds respectively. Sensor reference measurement was recorded from a sensor not loaded with gH/gL and dipped in PBS. Sample reference was recorded from a sensor loaded with gH/gL that was dipped in the assay buffer. Specific signals were calculated by double-referencing, that is, subtracting non-specific signals obtained for the sensor and sample references from the signals recorded for the gH/gL-loaded sensors dipped in EphA2 analyte solutions. Association and dissociation profiles, as well as steady-state signal versus concentration curves, were fitted assuming a 1:1 binding model.

684 Cell culture used in FRET and contraction experiments

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection
(Manassas, VA; CRL-3216). The cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle
Medium (DMEM; Thermo Scientific; 31600-034) that contained 3.5 g/L D-glucose, 1.5 g/L sodium
bicarbonate, and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich; F4135). The cells were passed up to 25
times and then discarded.

690

691 Fixed cell contraction assay

692 HEK293T cells transiently transfected with WT EphA2-eYFP were selected with 1.6 mg/ml G-418 693 solution (Roche; 4727878001) for 12 days to generate a stable cell line. The concentration of G-418 694 was determined using a kill curve. HEK293T cells or EphA2 HEK293T stable cells were seeded (1 x 10⁴ 695 cells/well) into 8-well tissue culture chambered coverglass slides (Thermo Scientific; 12565338) and 696 cultured for 36 hours. The cells were then washed twice with serum-free, phenol red-free media and

697 were serum starved for 12 hours overnight. The cells were washed twice with PBS, followed by 698 treatment for 10 min at 37°C with PBS or 200 nM gH/gL, 200 nM gH E52R/gL, 200 nM LBD, 200 nM 699 gH/gL-LBD, or 0.5 µg/ml ephrin-A1-Fc in PBS. The cells were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS 700 for 15 min at 37°C, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min 37°C, and incubated with 701 blocking solution (5% FBS, 1% BSA in PBS) for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the cells were stained 702 for actin using rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific; R415) for 90 min at room 703 temperature. The lyophilized phalloidin-rhodamine powder was reconstituted in DMSO to make a 40x 704 stock solution and then diluted with blocking buffer to make a 1x solution which was added to the 705 fixed cells. The cells were washed twice with PBS in between each step. Finally, starvation media was 706 added to each well prior to imaging. Actin-stained fixed cells were imaged with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal 707 microscope equipped with a HyD hybrid detector and a 63x objective. The measurements were 708 performed with a 552 nm excitation diode laser at 0.5% power using the dsRed setting which measures 709 the fluorescence between wavelengths of 562 and 700 nm. The scanning speed was at 200 Hz, the 710 pixel depth at 12-bits, the zoom factor at 1, and the image size at 1024x1024 pixels. Cell area was determined using the ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) by drawing a polygon around the 711 712 membrane of the cells. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by a 713 Tukey's test using the GraphPad Prism software.

714 Live cell contraction assay

HEK293T cells were seeded, transfected with EphA2 WT-eYFP, and serum-starved overnight in the same manner as described in the FRET section. Ten minutes prior to imaging, the media was replaced with starvation media or starvation media containing 200 nM gH/gL, 200 nM LBD, or 200 nM gH/gL-LBD. Cells were imaged with a Zeiss Axio Observer Inverted two-photon microscope using a 63x objective at a wavelength of 960 nm to excite the eYFP fluorophore. Cell area and statistical significance was determined in the same manner used for the fixed cell contraction assay.

721

722 FSI-FRET measurements and analysis

723 For FRET experiments, the cells were seeded in 35 mm glass bottom collagen-coated petri dishes (MatTek Corporation, MA) at a density of 2 x 10⁵ cells/dish and cultured for 24 hours. The cells were 724 725 co-transfected with EphA2-mTurquoise (mTURQ, the donor) and EphA2-enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP, the acceptor) in pcDNA, as described ^{87,88}, using 0.5-2 µg of total DNA and the 726 727 Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, CA). In control experiments, cells were transfected with either EphA2-mTURQ or EphA2-eYFP and used for calibration as described ⁵³. Twelve hours following 728 729 transfection, the cells were washed twice with serum-free, phenol red-free media and serum-starved 730 in the same media for 12 hours overnight. Immediately before imaging, the starvation media was

replaced with hypo-osmotic media (10% starvation media, 90% diH2O, 25 mM HEPES) to 'unwrinkle'
the highly ruffled cell membrane under reversible conditions as described ⁸⁹. The soluble proteins were
premixed with the hypo-osmotic media before adding to the cells. Cells were incubated for 10 minutes
and then imaged under these conditions for approximately 1 hour.

735 Spectral images of HEK293T cells under reversible osmotic conditions were obtained following 736 published protocols ⁵³ with a spectrally resolved two-photon microscope (Zeiss Inverted Axio Observer) 737 equipped with line-scanning capabilities (OptiMis True Line Spectral Imaging system, Aurora Spectral Technologies, WI) ⁹⁰. Fluorophores were excited by a mode-locked laser (MaiTai™, Spectra-Physics, 738 739 Santa Clara, CA) that generates femtosecond pulses between wavelengths 690 nm to 1040 nm. Two 740 images were collected for each cell: one at 840 nm to excite the donor and a second one at 960 nm to 741 primarily excite the acceptor. Solutions of purified soluble fluorescent proteins (mTURQ and eYFP) at known concentrations were produced following a published protocol ⁹¹ and imaged at each of these 742 excitation wavelengths. A linear fit generated from the pixel-level intensities of the solution standards 743 744 was used to calibrate the effective three-dimensional protein concentration which can be converted 745 into two-dimensional membrane protein concentrations in the cell membrane as described ⁵³. The 746 calibration curve along with the cell images were used to calculate the FRET efficiency and the 747 concentration of donors and acceptors present in the cell membrane ⁵³. Regions of the cell membrane 748 not in contact with neighboring cells were selected and analyzed to avoid interactions with proteins 749 on adjacent cells.

The measured FRET efficiencies (E_{app}) were corrected for 'proximity FRET' (E_{prox}) as described previously ⁹². The proximity FRET accounts for donor-tagged molecules and acceptor-tagged molecules coming into close enough proximity to observe a FRET signal (within 100 Å) but not interacting directly. The corrected FRET due to specific interactions between the labeled proteins is given by:

$$FRET = \frac{E_{prox} - E_{app}}{2E_{prox} - E_{prox} E_{app} - 1}$$
(1)

The corrected FRET depends on the fraction of membrane protein dimers, f_D , and on the acceptor fraction, x_A , according to:

$$FRET = f_D x_A \tilde{E}$$
(2)

The 'Intrinsic FRET' (Ē) is a structural parameter that depends on the distance between and orientation
 of the two fluorophores in the dimer but is not dependent on the dimerization propensity ⁹²⁻⁹⁴.

760 When the dimeric fraction is 100% ($f_D = 1$), the corrected FRET does not depend on the concentration 761 of the labeled proteins and thus equation (2) can be simplified further:

$$FRET = x_A \tilde{E}.$$
 (3)

762

The dependence of the Intrinsic FRET on the distance between the fluorescent proteins in the dimer, $d_{\rm r}$ is given by ⁹³:

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{d}{R_0}\right)^6} \tag{4}$$

where R_0 is the Forster radius for the mTurquoise-eYFP FRET pair, 54.5 Å. Since the fluorescent proteins are attached to the C-terminus of the membrane proteins via long flexible linkers, we assume free rotation of the fluorescent proteins.

769 By rearranging equation (2), the dimeric fraction, f_D , can be determined from the corrected FRET 770 efficiencies and concentrations according to:

$$f_D = \frac{FRET}{x_A \tilde{E}}$$
(5)

772 In the case of dimers, the following equation is used to determine the two unknowns K_{diss} and \tilde{E} as 773 described previously ⁵³:

774
$$\frac{FRET}{x_A} = \frac{1}{[R_{total}]} ([R_{total}] - \frac{K_{diss}}{4} (\sqrt{1 + 8[R_{total}]/K_{diss}} - 1) \widetilde{E}$$
(6)

775

776 Fluorescence intensity fluctuations (FIF) spectroscopy measurements and analysis

HEK293T cells were seeded as described in the FRET section at a density of 4 x 10⁵ cells/dish. The cells 777 778 were transiently transfected 24 hours later with 1 µg of EphA2-eYFP using Lipofectamine 3000 and 779 then washed and serum starved twelve hours later. The starvation media was replaced with a 75% 780 hypo-osmotic media (25% starvation media, 75% diH2O, 25 mM HEPES) containing 200 nM gH/gL prior 781 to imaging. A TCS SP8 confocal microscope (Leica) using the photon counting capabilities of the HyD 782 hybrid detector was used to collect images of the cell basolateral membranes. The measurements 783 were performed with a 488 nm excitation diode laser and the emission spectra of YFP were collected from 520-580 nm. The scanning speed was at 20Hz, the pixel depth at 12-bits, the zoom factor at 2, 784 785 and the image size at 1024x1024.

The cell images were analyzed using the FIF software described in 61 . The software performed segmentation of the basolateral membrane into 15x15 pixel regions of interest. Each cell is outlined by researcher prior to segmentation. The segmented data was analyzed using the brightness and concentration calculator in the FIF software 61 . The molecular brightness, ε , was calculated according to:

(7)

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_D^2}{\langle l \rangle}$$

where σ^2 is the variance of fluorescence across segments, σ_D^2 is the variance of the noise of the detector, and $\langle I \rangle$ is the average fluorescence intensity. For a photon-counting detector as used

here, the brightness is ^{64,95}:

795

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle l \rangle} - 1 \tag{8}$$

The brightness values, calculated for thousands of regions of interest, were potted as histograms.

797 REFERENCES

- 7981Pellett, P. E. & Roizman, B. in *Fields Virology* Vol. 2 (eds D.M. Knip & P.M. Howley) Ch. 59,7991802-1823 (Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2013).
- Kaposi, M. Idiopathisches multiples Pigmentsarkom der Haut. Archive für Dermatologieund
 Syphillis 4, 265-173 (1872).
- 8023Board, P. A. T. E. Kaposi Sarcoma Treatment (PDQ(R)): Health Professional Version. (National803Cancer Institute, Bethesda (MD), 2018).
- 8044Centers for Disease, C. Update on acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS)--United805States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **31**, 507-508, 513-504 (1982).
- 8065Beral, V., Peterman, T. A., Berkelman, R. L. & Jaffe, H. W. Kaposi's sarcoma among persons with807AIDS: a sexually transmitted infection? Lancet **335**, 123-128, doi:10.1016/0140-8086736(90)90001-I (1990).
- Mesri, E. A., Cesarman, E. & Boshoff, C. Kaposi's sarcoma and its associated herpesvirus. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10, 707-719, doi:10.1038/nrc2888 (2010).
- 8117Mohl, B. S., Chen, J. & Longnecker, R. Gammaherpesvirus entry and fusion: A tale how two812human pathogenic viruses enter their host cells. Adv Virus Res 104, 313-343,813doi:10.1016/bs.aivir.2019.05.006 (2019).
- 8148Veettil, M. V., Bandyopadhyay, C., Dutta, D. & Chandran, B. Interaction of KSHV with host cell815surface receptors and cell entry. *Viruses* 6, 4024-4046, doi:10.3390/v6104024 (2014).
- 816 9 Dollery, S. J. Towards Understanding KSHV Fusion and Entry. *Viruses-Basel* 11, doi:ARTN 1073
 817 10.3390/v1111073 (2019).
- 81810Connolly, S. A., Jardetzky, T. S. & Longnecker, R. The structural basis of herpesvirus entry. Nat819Rev Microbiol, doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00448-w (2020).
- 820
 11
 Dollery, S. J. Towards
 Understanding
 KSHV
 Fusion
 and
 Entry.
 Viruses
 11,

 821
 doi:10.3390/v11111073 (2019).

 11,

 11,
- Xing, Y. *et al.* A site of varicella-zoster virus vulnerability identified by structural studies of
 neutralizing antibodies bound to the glycoprotein complex gHgL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112,
 6056-6061, doi:10.1073/pnas.1501176112 (2015).
- 82513Ciferri, C. *et al.* Structural and biochemical studies of HCMV gH/gL/gO and Pentamer reveal826mutually exclusive cell entry complexes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 1767-1772,827doi:10.1073/pnas.1424818112 (2015).
- 82814Chowdary, T. K. *et al.* Crystal structure of the conserved herpesvirus fusion regulator complex829gH-gL. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 882-888, doi:10.1038/nsmb.1837
- 830 nsmb.1837 [pii] (2010).
- Matsuura, H., Kirschner, A. N., Longnecker, R. & Jardetzky, T. S. Crystal structure of the EpsteinBarr virus (EBV) glycoprotein H/glycoprotein L (gH/gL) complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**,
 22641-22646, doi:10.1073/pnas.1011806108

834 1011806108 [pii] (2010).

- 83516Sathiyamoorthy, K., Chen, J., Longnecker, R. & Jardetzky, T. S. The COMPLEXity in herpesvirus836entry. Curr Opin Virol 24, 97-104, doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2017.04.006 (2017).
- 83717Unified nomenclature for Eph family receptors and their ligands, the ephrins. Eph838Nomenclature Committee. Cell 90, 403-404, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80500-0 (1997).
- Himanen, J. P. Ectodomain structures of Eph receptors. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 23, 35-42, doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.10.025 (2012).
- 84119Himanen, J. P., Saha, N. & Nikolov, D. B. Cell-cell signaling via Eph receptors and ephrins. Curr842Opin Cell Biol 19, 534-542, doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2007.08.004 (2007).
- 84320Hahn, A. S. *et al.* The ephrin receptor tyrosine kinase A2 is a cellular receptor for Kaposi's844sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. *Nat Med* **18**, 961-966, doi:10.1038/nm.2805

845 nm.2805 [pii] (2012).

Chen, J., Zhang, X., Schaller, S., Jardetzky, T. S. & Longnecker, R. Ephrin Receptor A4 is a New
Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Virus Entry Receptor. *MBio* 10, doi:10.1128/mBio.02892-18 (2019).

849 22 Grosskopf, A. K. *et al.* EphA7 Functions as Receptor on BJAB Cells for Cell-to-Cell Transmission
850 of the Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus and for Cell-Free Infection by the Related
851 Rhesus Monkey Rhadinovirus. *J Virol* **93**, doi:10.1128/JVI.00064-19 (2019).

85223Chen, J. et al. Ephrin receptor A2 is a functional entry receptor for Epstein-Barr virus. Nat853Microbiol **3**, 172-180, doi:10.1038/s41564-017-0081-7 (2018).

- Hahn, A. S. & Desrosiers, R. C. Rhesus monkey rhadinovirus uses eph family receptors for entry
 into B cells and endothelial cells but not fibroblasts. *PLoS Pathog* 9, e1003360,
 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003360 (2013).
- Lupberger, J. *et al.* EGFR and EphA2 are host factors for hepatitis C virus entry and possible
 targets for antiviral therapy. *Nat Med* 17, 589-595, doi:10.1038/nm.2341

859 nm.2341 [pii] (2011).

- Subbarayal, P. *et al.* EphrinA2 receptor (EphA2) is an invasion and intracellular signaling
 receptor for Chlamydia trachomatis. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, e1004846,
 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004846 (2015).
- Aaron, P. A., Jamklang, M., Uhrig, J. P. & Gelli, A. The blood-brain barrier internalises
 Cryptococcus neoformans via the EphA2-tyrosine kinase receptor. *Cell Microbiol* 20, doi:10.1111/cmi.12811 (2018).
- 86628Kaushansky, A. *et al.* Malaria parasites target the hepatocyte receptor EphA2 for successful867host infection. *Science* **350**, 1089-1092, doi:10.1126/science.aad3318 (2015).
- 86829Kania, A. & Klein, R. Mechanisms of ephrin-Eph signalling in development, physiology and869disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17, 240-256, doi:10.1038/nrm.2015.16 (2016).
- 87030Barquilla, A. *et al.* Protein kinase A can block EphA2 receptor-mediated cell repulsion by871increasing EphA2 S897 phosphorylation. *Mol Biol Cell* **27**, 2757-2770, doi:10.1091/mbc.E16-87201-0048 (2016).
- Miao, H., Burnett, E., Kinch, M., Simon, E. & Wang, B. Activation of EphA2 kinase suppresses
 integrin function and causes focal-adhesion-kinase dephosphorylation. *Nat Cell Biol* 2, 62-69,
 doi:10.1038/35000008 (2000).
- Huang, X., Wu, D., Jin, H., Stupack, D. & Wang, J. Y. Induction of cell retraction by the combined
 actions of Abl-CrkII and Rho-ROCK1 signaling. *J Cell Biol* 183, 711-723,
 doi:10.1083/jcb.200801192 (2008).
- 87933Astin, J. W. et al. Competition amongst Eph receptors regulates contact inhibition of880locomotion and invasiveness in prostate cancer cells. Nat Cell Biol 12, 1194-1204,881doi:10.1038/ncb2122 (2010).
- 882 34 Pitulescu, M. E. & Adams, R. H. Eph/ephrin molecules--a hub for signaling and endocytosis.
 883 *Genes Dev* 24, 2480-2492, doi:10.1101/gad.1973910 (2010).
- 88435Saha, N., Robev, D., Mason, E. O., Himanen, J. P. & Nikolov, D. B. Therapeutic potential of885targeting the Eph/ephrin signaling complex. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 105, 123-133,886doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2018.10.006 (2018).
- 88736Barquilla, A. & Pasquale, E. B. Eph receptors and ephrins: therapeutic opportunities. Annu Rev888Pharmacol Toxicol 55, 465-487, doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-011112-140226 (2015).
- 88937Kumar, B. & Chandran, B. KSHV Entry and Trafficking in Target Cells-Hijacking of Cell Signal890Pathways, Actin and Membrane Dynamics. Viruses 8, doi:10.3390/v8110305 (2016).
- 89138Holland, S. J. *et al.* Bidirectional signalling through the EPH-family receptor Nuk and its892transmembrane ligands. *Nature* **383**, 722-725, doi:10.1038/383722a0 (1996).
- 89339Himanen, J. P., Henkemeyer, M. & Nikolov, D. B. Crystal structure of the ligand-binding domain894of the receptor tyrosine kinase EphB2. Nature **396**, 486-491, doi:10.1038/24904 (1998).
- 89540Bowden, T. A. *et al.* Structural Plasticity of Eph-Receptor A4 Facilitates Cross-Class Ephrin896Signaling. *Structure* **17**, 1679, doi:10.1016/j.str.2009.11.004 (2009).

897 41 Toth, J. *et al.* Crystal structure of an ephrin ectodomain. *Dev Cell* 1, 83-92, doi:10.1016/s1534898 5807(01)00002-8 (2001).

901 414933a [pii] (2001).

^{Himanen, J. P.} *et al.* Crystal structure of an Eph receptor-ephrin complex. *Nature* 414, 933-938,
doi:10.1038/414933a

Himanen, J. P. *et al.* Ligand recognition by A-class Eph receptors: crystal structures of the
EphA2 ligand-binding domain and the EphA2/ephrin-A1 complex. *EMBO Rep* 10, 722-728,
doi:10.1038/embor.2009.91 (2009).

- Seiradake, E., Harlos, K., Sutton, G., Aricescu, A. R. & Jones, E. Y. An extracellular steric seeding
 mechanism for Eph-ephrin signaling platform assembly. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 17, 398-402,
 doi:10.1038/nsmb.1782 (2010).
- 908
 45
 Himanen, J. P. *et al.* Architecture of Eph receptor clusters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 10860

 909
 10865, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004148107
- 910 1004148107 [pii] (2010).
- 911 46 Nikolov, D. B., Xu, K. & Himanen, J. P. Eph/ephrin recognition and the role of Eph/ephrin
 912 clusters in signaling initiation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1834, 2160-2165,
 913 doi:10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.04.020 (2013).
- Singh, D. R., Kanvinde, P., King, C., Pasquale, E. B. & Hristova, K. The EphA2 receptor is activated
 through induction of distinct, ligand-dependent oligomeric structures. *Commun Biol* 1, 15,
 doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0017-7 (2018).
- 917 48 Chen, Z. *et al.* Spatially modulated ephrinA1:EphA2 signaling increases local contractility and
 918 global focal adhesion dynamics to promote cell motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E5696919 E5705, doi:10.1073/pnas.1719961115 (2018).
- Singh, D. R., Pasquale, E. B. & Hristova, K. A small peptide promotes EphA2 kinase-dependent
 signaling by stabilizing EphA2 dimers. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1860, 1922-1928,
 doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.06.004 (2016).
- 92350Ojosnegros, S. et al. Eph-ephrin signaling modulated by polymerization and condensation of924receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, 13188-13193, doi:10.1073/pnas.1713564114 (2017).
- 92551Gomez-Soler, M. *et al.* Engineering nanomolar peptide ligands that differentially modulate926EphA2 receptor signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 8791-8805, doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.008213927(2019).
- 92852Su, C. *et al.* Molecular basis of EphA2 recognition by gHgL from gammaherpesviruses. Nat929Commun 11, 5964, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19617-9 (2020).
- 93053King, C., Stoneman, M., Raicu, V. & Hristova, K. Fully quantified spectral imaging reveals in vivo931membrane protein interactions. Integr Biol (Camb) 8, 216-229, doi:10.1039/c5ib00202h932(2016).
- 93354Sahin, E. & Roberts, C. J. Size-exclusion chromatography with multi-angle light scattering for934elucidating protein aggregation mechanisms. Methods Mol Biol 899, 403-423,935doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-921-1_25 (2012).
- 93655Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. The Phyre2 web portal for937protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10, 845-858,938doi:10.1038/nprot.2015.053 (2015).
- 939 56 Meszaros, B., Erdos, G. & Dosztanyi, Z. IUPred2A: context-dependent prediction of protein
 940 disorder as a function of redox state and protein binding. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46, W329-W337,
 941 doi:10.1093/nar/gky384 (2018).
- 942 57 Erdos, G. & Dosztanyi, Z. Analyzing Protein Disorder with IUPred2A. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*943 **70**, e99, doi:10.1002/cpbi.99 (2020).
- 944 58 Backovic, M. et al. Structure of a core fragment of glycoprotein H from pseudorabies virus in 945 complex with antibody. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 22635-22640, doi:10.1073/pnas.1011507107 (2010). 946
- 59 Vleck, S. E. *et al.* Structure-function analysis of varicella-zoster virus glycoprotein H identifies
 by domain-specific roles for fusion and skin tropism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 18412-18417,
 by doi:10.1073/pnas.1111333108 (2011).
- Hahn, A. S. & Desrosiers, R. C. Binding of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus to the
 ephrin binding surface of the EphA2 receptor and its inhibition by a small molecule. *J Virol* 88,
 8724-8734, doi:10.1128/JVI.01392-14 (2014).
- 95361Stoneman, M. R. *et al.* A general method to quantify ligand-driven oligomerization from954fluorescence-based images. Nat Methods 16, 493-496, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0408-9955(2019).

- 95662Adamkova, L. *et al.* Oligomeric Architecture of Mouse Activating Nkrp1 Receptors on Living957Cells. Int J Mol Sci 20, doi:10.3390/ijms20081884 (2019).
- Singh, D. R., Ahmed, F., Sarabipour, S. & Hristova, K. Intracellular Domain Contacts Contribute
 to Ecadherin Constitutive Dimerization in the Plasma Membrane. *J Mol Biol* 429, 2231-2245,
 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2017.05.020 (2017).
- 961 64 Ahmed, F., Zapata-Mercado, E., Rahman, S. & Hristova, K. The Biased Ligands NGF and NT-3
 962 Differentially Stabilize Trk-A Dimers. *Biophys J* 120, 55-63, doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2020.11.2262
 963 (2021).
- 964 65 Pasquale, E. B. Eph receptor signalling casts a wide net on cell behaviour. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*965 6, 462-475, doi:10.1038/nrm1662 (2005).
- 966 66 Grosskopf, A. K. *et al.* A conserved Eph family receptor-binding motif on the gH/gL complex of
 967 Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and rhesus monkey rhadinovirus. *PLoS Pathog* 14,
 968 e1006912, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006912 (2018).
- Akula, S. M. *et al.* Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (human herpesvirus 8) infection of
 human fibroblast cells occurs through endocytosis. *J Virol* **77**, 7978-7990,
 doi:10.1128/jvi.77.14.7978-7990.2003 (2003).
- 97268Himanen, J. P. *et al.* Repelling class discrimination: ephrin-A5 binds to and activates EphB2973receptor signaling. *Nat Neurosci* **7**, 501-509, doi:10.1038/nn1237 (2004).
- 97469Nikolov, D. B., Xu, K. & Himanen, J. P. Homotypic receptor-receptor interactions regulating Eph975signaling. Cell adhesion & migration 8, 360-365, doi:10.4161/19336918.2014.971684 (2014).
- 97670Wykosky, J. *et al.* Soluble monomeric EphrinA1 is released from tumor cells and is a functional977ligand for the EphA2 receptor. *Oncogene* **27**, 7260-7273, doi:10.1038/onc.2008.328 (2008).
- 97871Beauchamp, A. & Debinski, W. Ephs and ephrins in cancer: ephrin-A1 signalling. Semin Cell Dev979Biol 23, 109-115, doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.10.019 (2012).
- Miao, H. *et al.* EphA2 mediates ligand-dependent inhibition and ligand-independent
 promotion of cell migration and invasion via a reciprocal regulatory loop with Akt. *Cancer Cell* **16**, 9-20, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2009.04.009 (2009).
- 983 73 Wang, X. *et al.* Male hormones activate EphA2 to facilitate Kaposi's sarcoma-associated
 984 herpesvirus infection: Implications for gender disparity in Kaposi's sarcoma. *PLoS Pathog* 13,
 985 e1006580, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006580 (2017).
- 98674Krey, T. *et al.* The disulfide bonds in glycoprotein E2 of hepatitis C virus reveal the tertiary987organization of the molecule. *PLoS Pathog* 6, e1000762 (2010).
- 98875Hahn, A. et al. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus gH/gL: glycoprotein export and989interaction with cellular receptors. J Virol 83, 396-407, doi:10.1128/JVI.01170-08 (2009).
- 99076Backovic, M. & Krey, T. Stable Drosophila Cell Lines: An Alternative Approach to Exogenous991Protein Expression. Methods Mol. Biol. 1350, 349-358, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3043-2_17992(2016).
- Fan, S. Q., Huang, W. & Wang, L. X. Remarkable transglycosylation activity of glycosynthase
 mutants of endo-D, an endo-beta-N-acetylglucosaminidase from Streptococcus pneumoniae. *J Biol Chem* 287, 11272-11281, doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.340497 (2012).
- 99678Weber, P. *et al.* High-Throughput Crystallization Pipeline at the Crystallography Core Facility of997the Institut Pasteur. *Molecules* 24, doi:10.3390/molecules24244451 (2019).
- 998 79 Kabsch, W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 125-132 (2010).
- 99980The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 50,1000760-763 (1994).
- 100181Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular1002structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 213-221,1003doi:10.1107/S0907444909052925 (2010).
- 100482Liebschner, D. *et al.* Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and1005electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol **75**, 861-877,1006doi:10.1107/S2059798319011471 (2019).
- 100783Terwilliger, T. C. *et al.* Iterative model building, structure refinement and density modification1008with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 64, 61-69,1009doi:10.1107/S090744490705024X (2008).

- 101084Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D1011Biol Crystallogr 60, 2126-2132 (2004).
- 1012 85 BUSTER v. 2.8.0 (Global Phasing Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2009).
- 1013 86 The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System (DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA, USA, 2002).
- 101487Singh, D. R. et al. EphA2 Receptor Unliganded Dimers Suppress EphA2 Pro-tumorigenic1015Signaling. J Biol Chem 290, 27271-27279, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.676866 (2015).
- Singh, D. R., Kanvinde, P., King, C., Pasquale, E. B. & Hristova, K. The EphA2 receptor is activated
 through induction of distinct, ligand-dependent oligomeric structures. *Commun Biol* 1, 15,
 doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0017-7 (2018).
- 101989Sinha, B. *et al.* Cells respond to mechanical stress by rapid disassembly of caveolae. *Cell* 144,1020402-413, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.031 (2011).
- 102190Biener, G. et al. Development and experimental testing of an optical micro-spectroscopic1022technique incorporating true line-scan excitation. Int J Mol Sci 15, 261-276,1023doi:10.3390/ijms15010261 (2013).
- 102491Sarabipour, S., King, C. & Hristova, K. Uninduced high-yield bacterial expression of fluorescent1025proteins. Anal Biochem 449, 155-157, doi:10.1016/j.ab.2013.12.027 (2014).
- 102692King, C., Raicu, V. & Hristova, K. Understanding the FRET Signatures of Interacting Membrane1027Proteins. J Biol Chem 292, 5291-5310, doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.764282 (2017).
- 1028 93 Chen, L., Novicky, L., Merzlyakov, M., Hristov, T. & Hristova, K. Measuring the energetics of
 1029 membrane protein dimerization in mammalian membranes. *J Am Chem Soc* 132, 3628-3635,
 1030 doi:10.1021/ja910692u (2010).
- 103194Sarabipour, S., Del Piccolo, N. & Hristova, K. Characterization of membrane protein1032interactions in plasma membrane derived vesicles with quantitative imaging Forster1033resonance energy transfer. Acc Chem Res 48, 2262-2269, doi:10.1021/acs.accounts.5b002381034(2015).
- 1035 95 Fox, M. *Quantum optics: an introduction*. Vol. 15 (Oxford University Press, 2006).

1037 Acknowledgements

We thank Patrick Weber and Cédric Pissis the Crystallogenesis core facility at the Institut Pasteur for
assistance with crystallization trials, and to the staff at the beamlines Proxima 1 and Proxima 2 at the
French national synchrotron facility (SOLEIL, St Aubin, France) in particular to Leo Chavas and Bill
Shepard for help with data collection and processing. We are grateful to Ignacio Fernandez and Jan
Hellert for reading the manuscript and for their suggestions. This project has been supported via the
recurrent funding from Institut Pasteur (MB, FR, PGC, RP, DB) and grants from NIH GM068619 and NSF
MCB 1712740 (TL, KH).

1045

1046 Contributions

1047 Conceived and designed the experiments (MB, KH, FR), produced reagents (MB, DB, AH), collected the

1048 data (MB, PGC, TL), performed the data analyses (TL, PGC, RP, KH, MB), wrote the paper (MB, KH, TL,

1049 FR, PGC, RP, AH, FN).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437132; this version posted March 28, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figures and figure legends

1051	Table of Contents
1052	Table 1: Summary of the dimerization models fit to FRET data
1053	Figure 1: Schematic representation of HHV-8 gH, gL and EphA2 and structure of
1054	the gH/gL-EphA2 LBD complex36
1055	Figure 2: The binding interface between EphA2 LBD and HHV-8 gH/gL
1056	Figure 3: Structural mimicry between HHV-8 gH/gL and ephrin ligands
1057	Figure 4: HHV-8 gH/gL induces constitutive EphA2 dimerization
1058	Figure 5: The gH/gL induced EphA2 dimers on cells engage the 'dimerization' interface 41
1059	Figure 6: HHV-8 gH/gL stimulates EphA2-induced cell contraction
1060	References
1061	
1062	

1063

1050

1064 Table 1: Summary of the dimerization models fit to FRET data

1065

EphA2 construct	Soluble protein	K _{diss} (receptors/μm²)	Intrinsic FRET, Ê	distance, d (Å)
WT	-	302 ± 68	0.53 ± 0.02	53.6 ± 0.7
WT	gH/gL	100% dimer	0.31 ± 0.01	62.3 ± 0.2
WT	LBD	348 ± 130	0.50 ± 0.03	54.6 ± 1.2
WT	gH/gL-LBD	233 ± 103	0.55 ± 0.03	52.7 ± 1.2
WT	gH E52R/gL	300 ± 71	0.66 ± 0.03	48.9 ± 1.0
R103E	gH/gL	310 ± 124	0.76 ± 0.03	45.0 ± 1.5
G131Y	gH/gL	251 ± 103	0.58 ± 0.03	51.4 ± 1.2
L223R/L254R/V255R	gH/gL	17 ± 12	0.43 ± 0.02	57.1 ± 0.5

1066

1067 Summary of the best-fit values for the dissociation constant (K_{diss}), the structural parameter Intrinsic 1068 FRET (\tilde{E}), and the distance between fluorophores (d), obtained by fitting dimerization models to the 1069 FRET data. K_{diss} and \tilde{E} are determined by a two-parameter fit using equation (6) and the distance *d* is

1070 calculated using equation (4).

1071

1072 Figure 1: Schematic representation of HHV-8 gH, gL and EphA21073 and structure of the gH/gL-EphA2 LBD complex



1075

1076 Figure 1 legend

1077 A) Schematic representation of the EphA2 receptor, HHV-8 gL and gH, highlighting the protein segments that were expressed as recombinant proteins for crystallization, and the residues resolved 1078 in the structure. The short fragments that could not be built in EphA2 LBD and gH because of the poor 1079 1080 electron density are marked with dotted lines and labeled as breaks (b) corresponding to the missing 1081 residues: b^1 (111), b^2 (148-162) in EphA2 LBD, and b^3 (127-131), b^4 (212-216), b^5 (521-526), b^6 (547-550), 1082 b^{7} (558-559), b^{8} (627-629) in gH. The disulfide bonds are indicated with yellow numbers, and N-linked 1083 glycosylation sites with hexagons (green with black border - built in our structure; green with gray 1084 border – built in the PBD accession code 7CZF [1]; white, with black borders – remaining, predicted 1085 sites). Signal peptides at the start of each protein are represented as white boxes with grey lines, transmembrane anchor domains in EphA2 and gH as dark grey boxes, and double strep tag for affinity 1086 1087 purification on gL and EphA2 LBD as half circles. B) The structure of the tertiary complex is represented as molecular surface and cartoon model (EphA2 LBD in purple, gL in blue and gH in grey). The N- and 1088 1089 C- termini of each protein are labelled with letters "N" and "C", respectively. The four domains of gH 1090 are marked with roman numbers on the left side, and putative locations of the viral and cellular 1091 membranes with dashed arrows (black and purple, respectively). The hinge / linker region on gH is 1092 indicated with a grey arrow, and putative position of the unresolved J helix in the LBD with a pink * 1093 symbol.

Figure 2: The binding interface between EphA2 LBD and HHV-8 gH/gL 1094



1096

1097 Figure 2 legend

1098 **A)** The mixed β -sheet formed by strands of gH, gL and EphA2. The strands in gH and gL are labeled as 1099 β_{number} , while the EphA2 LBD strands are marked using the single-letter nomenclature assigned for the 1100 first solved structure of the EphB2 LBD 1KGY [2]. The same coloring scheme as in Figure 1 is applied 1101 (left panel). View are the gH/gL and EphA2 binding interface from the other side with the inlet 1102 illustrating the channel formed by the EphA2 and gL strands ('roof') that accommodates the gL N-

- 1103 terminal 'tail', reinforced by polar interactions between R103^{EphA2} and E52^{gH} ('base') (right panel).
- B) Locations of the point mutations introduced in EphA2 (R103A) and gH (E52A, E52R), and N-linked
 glycosylation sites in EphA2 (N57, A190N) and gL (Q30N, D68N) are indicated, and their side chains are
 shown as sticks. The same coloring scheme as in Figure 1B is applied.
- 1107 **C)** Sensorgrams recorded for WT EphA2 ectodomain of LBD binding to immobilized gH/gL by biolayer 1108 interferometry. A series of measurements using a range of concentrations for EphA2 ectodomain and 1109 LBD, respectively, was carried out to obtain the Kd for the WT proteins. Experimental curves (colored 1110 traces) were fit using a 1:1 binding model (black traces) to derive equilibrium K_d values.
- 1111 **D)** Sensorgrams recorded for EphA2 variants binding to immobilized gH/gL variants by biolayer
- 1112 interferometry. Single experimental curves obtained for EphA2 ectodomain concentration of 62.5 nM
- 1113 plotted to show the effect of the, respectively, EphA2 mutations, gL mutations, and gH mutations on
- 1114 binding.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.26.437132; this version posted March 28, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1115 Figure 3: Structural mimicry between HHV-8 gH/gL and ephrin ligands



1120 The EphA2 LBD from the EphA2 LBD – ephrin-A1 complex structure (PDB 3HEI) was superimposed onto 1121 the EphA2 LBD from our complex. The same coloring scheme for gH/gL and EphA2 is applied as in the 1122 previous figures, with the GH^{ephrin-A1} loop shown in pink. For clarity, only the elements participating in 1123 the interactions are shown. The E119 ^{ephrin-A1} is indicated. Sequence alignment of a GH loop segment of 1124 ephrin-A ligands, and the HHV-8 gH sequence is displayed to highlight the conservation of the glutamic 1125 acid that forms SBs with the EphA2^{R103} (E52^{gH} and E119^{ephrin-A1}).

¹¹¹⁹ Figure 3 legend

1126 Figure 4: HHV-8 gH/gL induces constitutive EphA2 dimerization.





1129 Figure 4 legend

1130 The FSI-FRET data measured in HEK293T cells (Fig. S8) were fit to dimerization models to generate 1131 dimerization curves by plotting the calculated dimeric fraction as a function of the total EphA2 1132 concentration. The binned dimeric fractions are shown along with the best-fit curve. The data 1133 measured for EphA2 WT in the presence of (A) 200 nM gH/gL, (B) 200 nM LBD, and (C) 200 nM gH/gL-1134 LBD are compared to EphA2 WT data in the absence of ligand, which was previously reported [3]. Soluble gH/gL induces constitutive EphA2 dimerization, as evidenced by the dimeric fraction of 1 at all 1135 1136 measured EphA2 concentrations. Little to no difference in the dimerization curves were observed when in the presence of LBD and precomplexed gH/gL-LBD compared to untreated EphA2 WT which 1137 1138 suggests that EphA2 LBD blocks the effect of gH/gL on EphA2 dimerization. (D) Fluorescence Intensity 1139 Fluctuation (FIF) measurements in HEK293T cells reporting on EphA2 WT-eYFP oligomer size in the 1140 absence or presence of 200 nM gH/gL. Histograms of molecular brightness (ε), measured in small 1141 regions of the basolateral membrane over all measured receptor concentrations, are compared to the 1142 published FIF data for the monomer control (LAT) and dimer control (E-cadherin). The maximum of the 1143 histogram for EphA2 WT in the presence of gH/gL shifts to higher brightness than for EphA2 WT in the 1144 absence of ligand and is very similar to that of the E-cadherin dimer control, which suggests that EphA2 1145 is a constitutive dimer in the presence of gH/gL, consistent with the FSI-FRET data.

Figure 5: The gH/gL induced EphA2 dimers on cells engage the 1146 'dimerization' interface. 1147

1148

1149



1151

1150

Dimerization curves calculated from the FSI-FRET data for (A) EphA2 WT in the presence of 200 nM gH 1153 1154 E52R/gL mutant with mutation in EphA2 binding, and for the EphA2 mutants (B) R103E^{EphA2} mutant impaired in ligand binding, **(C)** G131Y^{EphA2} mutant with mutation in DIN, and **(D)** 1155 L223R/L254R/V255R^{EphA2} mutant with mutations in CIN. The data in A are compared to EphA2 WT data 1156 1157 in the absence of ligand. The data in B-D were collected in the presence of 200 nM gH/gL and are 1158 compared to EphA2 WT in the presence of gH/gL (Fig. S8). No difference in the dimerization curve is 1159 observed with the mutated gH E52R/gL and thus does not induce constitutive EphA2 dimers as gH/gL 1160 does, which suggests impaired binding to EphA2. Large differences in the dimerization curves are observed for the R103E^{EphA2} and G131Y^{EphA2} mutants, but the effect of the triple 1161 1162 L223R/L254R/V255R^{EphA2} mutation is modest. This data indicates that gH/gL-bound EphA2 dimers 1163 interact mainly via the DIN (where G131 is engaged) but not via the CIN (where L223/L254/V255 are engaged) and that R103^{EphA2} is important for gH/gL binding. 1164

¹¹⁵² Figure 5 legend





1166

1167 Figure 6 legend

- A) Images of fixed HEK293T cells stained for actin with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin. The six images on the left were collected with HEK293T cells stably expressing EphA2 WT-eYFP and the two images on the right with HEK293T cells which do not express EphA2. Cells were stimulated with PBS (no ligand), 200 nM gH/gL, 200 nM gH E52R/gL, 200 nM LBD, 200 nM gH/gL-LBD complex, or 500 ng/mL ephrin-A1-Fc for 10 min prior to fixing with paraformaldehyde. Scale bar is 10 μm.
- B) Histograms of the average cell areas and the standard errors determined from the images of fixed 1173 1174 cells shown in panel A. In the presence of saturating gH/gL concentrations, the EphA2-eYFPexpressing cells are significantly smaller in size compared to the cases of no ligand, +gH E52R/gL, 1175 1176 +LBD, and +gH/gL-LBD, but are larger than cells stimulated with ephrin-A1-Fc. Untransfected 1177 HEK293T cells experience a slight decrease in average cell area in the presence of gH/gL but not to 1178 the same extent as cells expressing EphA2. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way 1179 ANOVA and a Tukey's multiple comparison using the GraphPad Prism software (P < 0.0001 = ****, $P < 0.001 = ***, P < 0.01 = **, P < 0.1 = *, P \ge 0.1 = n.s.$). Statistics results in grey are compared to 1180 1181 EphA2 no ligand and those in navy are compared to EphA2 + gH/gL.
- 1182 C) Images of live HEK293T cells transiently transfected with EphA2 WT-eYFP in the absence or presence of 200 nM gH/gL, 200 nM LBD, or 200 nM gH/gL-LBD. Scale bar is 10 μm.
- 1184D)Histograms showing the average cell areas and the standard errors determined from the live cell1185images shown in panel C. In the presence of saturating gH/gL concentrations, the EphA2-eYFP-1186expressing cells are smaller in size compared to cells with no ligand, with LBD, and with gH/gL-LBD.1187Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA and a Tukey's multiple comparison1188using the GraphPad Prism software (P < 0.0001 = ****, P < 0.001 = ***, P < 0.01 = **, P < 0.1 = *,</td>1189P ≥ 0.1 = n.s.). Statistics results shown in gray when comparison is made compared to unliganded1190EphA2, and those in navy in comparison to EphA2 + gH/gL.

1191 References

1192

- 1193 1. Su, C., et al., *Molecular basis of EphA2 recognition by gHgL from gammaherpesviruses.* Nat 1194 Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 5964.
- 1195 2. Himanen, J.P., et al., *Crystal structure of an Eph receptor-ephrin complex.* Nature, 2001.
 1196 414(6866): p. 933-8.
- 11973.Gomez-Soler, M., et al., Engineering nanomolar peptide ligands that differentially modulate1198EphA2 receptor signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2019. 294(22): p. 8791-8805.
- 1199 4. Singh, D.R., et al., *The SAM domain inhibits EphA2 interactions in the plasma membrane.*
- 1200 Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res, 2017. **1864**(1): p. 31-38.
- 12015.Singh, D.R., et al., Intracellular Domain Contacts Contribute to Ecadherin Constitutive1202Dimerization in the Plasma Membrane. J Mol Biol, 2017. 429(14): p. 2231-2245.
- 1203