

A framework to monitor changes in transmission and epidemiology of emerging pathogens: Lessons from Nipah virus

Birgit Nikolay, Henrik Salje, A. K. M. Dawlat Khan, Hossain M. S. Sazzad, Syed M. Satter, Mahmudur Rahman, Stephanie Doan, Barbara Knust, Meerjady Sabrina Flora, Stephen P. Luby, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Birgit Nikolay, Henrik Salje, A. K. M. Dawlat Khan, Hossain M. S. Sazzad, Syed M. Satter, et al.. A framework to monitor changes in transmission and epidemiology of emerging pathogens: Lessons from Nipah virus. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2020, 221 (Supplement_4), pp.S363-S369. 10.1093/infdis/jiaa074. pasteur-03338803

HAL Id: pasteur-03338803 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-03338803

Submitted on 9 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

- 1 A framework to monitor changes in transmission and epidemiology of emerging pathogens:
- 2 Lessons from Nipah virus
- 3 Running title: Monitoring Nipah virus epidemiology
- 4 Birgit Nikolay¹, Henrik Salje¹, A.K.M. Dawlat Khan², Hossain M.S. Sazzad², Syed M Satter², Mahmudur
- 5 Rahman², Stephanie Doan^{3§}, Barbara Knust^{3§}, Meerjady Sabrina Flora⁴, Stephen P Luby⁵, Simon
- 6 Cauchemez^{*1}, Emily S Gurley^{*2,6}
- ¹Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases Unit, Institut Pasteur, UMR2000, CNRS, 75015 Paris,
 France.
- 9 ²Infectious Diseases Division, icddr,b, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- 10 ³Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
- ⁴Institute of Epidemiology Disease Control and Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- ⁵Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine Division, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA
- ⁶Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore,
- 14 Maryland, USA
- 15 *co-senior authors
- [§]The opinions expressed in this article are the authors' own and do not reflect the view of the
- 17 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Department of Health and Human Services, or the
- 18 United States government.
- 19 All co-authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 20 Corresponding author: Henrik Salje (<u>hsalje@pasteur.fr</u>)
- 21 Word count: Abstract 144 words; Main text: 2769 words

23 Abstract

24 It is of uttermost importance that the global health community develops the surveillance capability 25 to effectively monitor emerging zoonotic pathogens that constitute a major and evolving threat for 26 human health. Here, we propose a comprehensive framework to measure changes in (i) spillover risk, 27 (ii) interhuman transmission, and (iii) morbidity/mortality associated with infections based on six 28 epidemiological key indicators derived from routine surveillance. We demonstrate the indicators' 29 value for the retrospective or real-time assessment of changes in transmission and epidemiological 30 characteristics using data collected through a long-standing, systematic, hospital-based surveillance 31 system for Nipah virus in Bangladesh. We show that while interhuman transmission and 32 morbidity/mortality indicators were stable, the number and geographic extent of spillovers varied 33 significantly over time. Such combination of systematic surveillance and active tracking of 34 transmission and epidemiological indicators should be applied to other high risk emerging pathogens 35 to prevent public health emergencies. 36 Key words: Nipah virus, Emerging pathogens, Surveillance, Monitoring

37

39 Introduction

40 Emerging zoonotic pathogens represent an important and growing risk to humans. As cross-species 41 interactions increase at the human-animal interface, more opportunities arise for pathogens to 42 spillover. Large-scale epidemics of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Ebola, or Middle East 43 respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) can trace their roots back to spillovers from a 44 zoonotic reservoir [1]. The timely deployment of targeted interventions to prevent public health 45 emergencies would clearly benefit from the real-time evaluation of temporal trends suggestive of 46 altered spillover risk or evolutionary changes linked to increased transmission or disease. Given that 47 many emerging pathogens spillover infrequently, changes in pathogen characteristics may go 48 undetected unless there exist systematic efforts to track these changes. Enhanced monitoring 49 approaches that go beyond tracking case numbers to identify changes in disease risk and underlying mechanisms would therefore help improve global preparedness and response capacities. The 50 51 International Health Regulations and Global Health Security Agenda both call for stronger 52 surveillance and trend monitoring [2,3]. It has however rarely been possible to develop a 53 comprehensive monitoring framework for emerging zoonotic pathogens due to the lack of stable 54 surveillance collecting detailed case information. 55 Nipah virus (NiV) is an emerging zoonotic pathogen found in fruit bats throughout South and

56 Southeast Asia. NiV is considered by the World Health Organization as an important health threat to 57 humans due to the severity of disease, the absence of treatments or vaccines, and its ability to be 58 transmitted between people [4,5]. Bangladesh is the only country reporting regular spillovers of NiV, 59 which are nearly exclusively detected through a systematic, hospital-based surveillance system, 60 implemented in 2007 following the ad-hoc identification of ~100 cases during 2001-2006 [6]. The 61 surveillance system is based on three sentinel hospitals where all meningoencephalitis cases are 62 routinely tested for NiV. Identification of a NiV case triggers detailed investigations in affected 63 communities including the identification of transmission networks and the tracing of contacts. The

64 hospital-based surveillance system leverages clinical services and is therefore less expensive and

65 easier to maintain than other population-based surveillance systems.

66 Here we propose six epidemiological indicators of emerging zoonotic pathogens and their 67 transmission that can be derived from such routine surveillance data to measure changes in (i) 68 spillover risk, (ii) interhuman transmission, and (iii) morbidity/mortality associated with infections 69 (Figure 1A). Although many of these measures are commonly used in epidemiological studies, their 70 role in the routine monitoring of emerging infectious diseases is not yet defined. The NiV surveillance 71 system in Bangladesh provides a unique opportunity to collect comparable data on cases and their 72 characteristics over time. The objective of this study was to demonstrate how these indicators can be 73 obtained from routine surveillance data and used to monitor changes in disease transmission and 74 epidemiology to inform future public health policy and practice.

75 Methods

76 Establishing baseline measures and assessing historical changes

77 We used data from the systematic NiV surveillance system collected during 2007-2018 to establish 78 baseline measures of the six indicators and assess historical changes over time. Details of the 79 surveillance methods have been previously published [7,8], but in short, at three tertiary care 80 hospitals, all patients admitted with signs and symptoms consistent with febrile neurological illness 81 during December - March had clinical and epidemiological information and serum collected for 82 testing for IgM antibodies against NiV. We further assessed all six indicators using data collected 83 during 2001-2006, a time period before routine hospital-based surveillance was implemented and 84 cases were not systematically detected (see Supplementary Material). During this time period, cases 85 were detected through community investigations following the notification of case clusters and NiV 86 cases not associated with case clusters were likely to be missed.

87 1. Spillover risk

88 In Bangladesh, humans usually acquire NiV through drinking date palm sap contaminated with the 89 virus by fruit bats [4]. It is important to monitor spillover frequency since any increase may result in 90 higher disease burden, or may indicate emergence of new transmission pathways. In addition, each 91 spillover event represents an opportunity for more transmissible or virulent strains to emerge. A 92 spillover event is defined as a single case infected from the reservoir or a cluster of cases that can be 93 traced back to a single spillover source (i.e., one or several individuals infected through a 94 contaminated palm sap pot plus subsequent cases infected by interhuman transmission) and the 95 annual spillover rate as the number of spillover events in a given transmission season (December of 96 the previous year until May of the given year). We assumed that spillover events follow a Poisson 97 distribution and estimated the spillover rate observed in a given year with Poisson exact 95% 98 confidence intervals (95%CI) and the average annual spillover rate during a given time period (i.e. for 99 the duration of stable surveillance or time periods between change points) with approximate Poisson 100 95%CIs. We tested the statistical significance of changes in spillover frequency pre and post a specific 101 time point using exact Poisson test, where we moved the time point by one-year increments over the 102 duration of stable surveillance. If several time points resulted in significant differences, we selected 103 the time point resulting in the lowest p-value. We further assessed linear changes in annual spillover 104 rates using Poisson regression; we evaluated statistical significance of linear changes using a 105 likelihood ratio test and compare this model to step-change models using the Akaike Information 106 Criterion (AIC).

107 2. Geographic extent

The geographic extent of case occurrence informs the size and location of the population at risk.
Moreover, pathogen introductions into new ecological areas or populations (e.g. higher population density, mobility) can affect transmission dynamics and potentially boost the spread and impact of the pathogen [9]. Using surveillance data on geographic location of cases we can monitor the geographic extent of NiV risk. The geographic extent of case reports is defined as the number of

districts from which cases are reported, we quantified the geographic extent using 3-year sliding

114 windows over the duration of the surveillance dataset and assessed spatial patterns based on

administrative boundaries obtained from the Database of Global Administrative Areas

116 (<u>www.gadm.org</u>).

117 3. Cluster size

118 The NiV surveillance data can also be used to monitor indicators for changes in spillover mechanisms. 119 The number of individuals who are infected from the reservoir during each spillover event, may vary 120 depending on the spillover source. For example, clusters associated with drinking palm sap may 121 differ in size from clusters associated with pig exposure, which was the main spillover route in the 122 Malaysian NiV outbreak [10]. Cluster sizes are also affected by interhuman transmission through the 123 number of secondary cases associated with a spillover event (see section below). We defined a 124 cluster of cases as individuals who acquired infection through a single spillover event (either through 125 one or more bat-to-human transmission events in one time and place or through subsequent 126 interhuman transmission). We estimated the median and interquartile range (IQR) of cluster sizes for 127 a given year, or a given time period. We used Wilcoxon rank sum test to assess changes in outbreak 128 sizes by comparing cluster size distributions pre and post a specific time point that we moved along 129 the time of stable surveillance.

130 4. Reproduction number

The reproduction number R (i.e., the average number of individuals infected by a case) is the standard measure of the interhuman transmission potential of a pathogen [11]. While sustained transmission of a pathogen in a population can occur only if $R \ge 1$, even increases in R to values <1 can lead to larger cluster sizes with transmission ceasing only after a substantial number of transmission generations. Tracking this parameter can therefore provide an early warning sign of a change in transmission [12–14]. For NiV in Bangladesh, this essential parameter can be directly estimated from the transmission trees that are reconstructed during outbreak investigations. We estimated R and

95%Cls based on the observed number of secondary cases caused by a case, assuming that the
number of secondary cases follows a negative binomial distribution with mean R and a dispersion
parameter k [14]. We used a likelihood ratio test to assess changes in R pre and post a specific time
point that we moved along the duration of stable surveillance. We further assessed linear changes in
R by year using negative binomial regression.

143 5. Proportion of cases who transmit infection

144 Interhuman transmission of emerging zoonotic pathogens is often highly heterogeneous, meaning 145 that a large part of secondary cases originates from only a few superspreading events as previously 146 noted for NiV [8,15]. The mechanisms leading to superspreading events can be of social (e.g., a 147 higher number of contacts resulting in more transmission opportunities) or biological nature (e.g., 148 higher levels of virus shedding or stronger symptoms facilitating transmission) [14]. The extent of 149 transmission heterogeneity has important implications for the optimisation of control strategies [14]. 150 Where detailed information on transmission trees is available, we can quantify transmission 151 heterogeneity as the percentage of cases who transmit the pathogen [14]. For a given reproduction 152 number, a smaller percentage of cases who transmit will indicate a stronger transmission 153 heterogeneity [14]. We estimated the proportion of spreaders among NiV cases and exact binomial 154 95%Cls for a given year, or a given time period. We used an exact binomial test to assess changes in 155 the proportion of spreaders pre and post a specific time point that we moved along the time of 156 stable surveillance. We further assessed linear changes in proportion spreaders by year using logistic 157 regression. We also assessed the probability of observing multiple superspreading events (i.e. a NiV 158 case infecting ≥5 individuals) during outbreaks of various sizes (Supplementary material).

159 *6. Morbidity and mortality*

160 Changes in the case fatality ratio (CFR) and the prevalence of specific symptoms such as difficulty 161 breathing (a symptom previously found associated with interhuman transmission[6]), can indicate an 162 adaptation of a pathogen to the human host. We estimated the case fatality ratio (i.e. the proportion

of cases who died among cases) and exact binomial 95%CIs for a given year, or a given time period, separately for cases infected by the reservoir (primary cases) and cases infected through interhuman transmission (secondary cases). We used an exact binomial test to assess changes in the case fatality rate pre and post a specific time point that we moved along the time of stable surveillance. We further assessed linear changes in the case fatality rate by year using logistic regression. We applied the same methods to assess changes in the proportion of cases with difficulty breathing.

169 *Real-time monitoring of indicators*

Changes in the transmission and epidemiology of NiV can also be assessed in real-time using the proposed framework by plotting new observations against baseline distributions of indicators established above. To facilitate identification of epidemiologic changes prospectively within this system, we identified thresholds for "highly unlikely" events by quantifying the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of these baseline distributions.

175 Results

176 Monitoring spillover risk

177 During 2007-2018, 76 NiV spillover events were detected in Bangladesh resulting in 166 NiV cases

178 (Figure 1B). We observed that the average annual number of spillovers detected in Bangladesh

179 varied significantly over time; it increased from 2.2 (95%CI 1.3-3.7) in 2007-2009 to 10.5 (95%CI 8.2-

13.4) in 2010-2015, and returned to the lower level in 2016-2018 (Figure 2A).

181 Coinciding with the temporary increase in spillover frequency, we found that the number of districts

182 reporting cases was more than two times greater in 2011-2015 compared to the other time windows

183 (Figure 2B). This increase however does not seem to reflect a gradual spread of NiV into previously

184 unaffected areas; instead there were more regular spillovers in geographic regions where NiV had

previously been observed (Figure S1). Two NiV cases who acquired infections in rural areas were

186 reported in Dhaka, the capital city of Bangladesh.

- 187 We observed a constant median cluster size of 1 case (Interquartile range [IQR] 1-2) over time (Figure
- 188 2C). The median cluster size was higher in 2008 (with two clusters of 6 and 4 spillover cases,

189 respectively) than in other years.

- 190 Monitoring interhuman transmission
- 191 Transmission potential of NiV did not vary significantly in Bangladesh during 2007-2018, with an
- average reproduction number of 0.20 (95%Cl 0.10-0.40) (Figure 2D). No interhuman transmission
- events were observed in 2008-2009 and 2015-2018, which is consistent with the estimated average
- 194 reproduction number and may be explained by a smaller number of NiV cases during these years
- 195 (Figure S2).
- 196 Eight percent (95%CI 5-14) of cases transmitted NiV to another person, which was stable over time
- 197 (Figure 2E). During 2007-2018, two outbreaks were driven by a superspreading event. The

198 occurrence of more than one superspreading event in a single outbreak has never been observed

and is unlikely given the current reproduction number (Figure S3).

200 Monitoring morbidity/mortality associated with infections

- 201 We observed a stable CFR over time among cases infected by the reservoir (86%, 95%CI 79-92)
- 202 (Figure 2F) and those infected through interhuman transmission (46%, 95%Cl 29-63) (Figure S4A).
- 203 The percentage of NiV cases who developed breathing difficulties also remained constant over time
- with an average of 57% (95%Cl 49-64) (Figure S4B). No significant difference in the proportion with
- 205 breathing difficulties were detected between cases infected by the reservoir and those infected
- through interhuman transmission (chi-squared p-value= 0.37). The CFR was higher among cases with
- 207 breathing difficulty (90%) than those without (61%, chi-squared p-value <0.001).
- 208 Based on data collected during 2001-2006, a period before routine hospital-based surveillance was
- 209 implemented and cases were not systematically detected, we observed a much higher variability in

some of these indicators (in particular for spillover frequency, outbreak size, and reproductionnumber) (Figure S5).

212 *Real-time monitoring of indicators*

The baseline measures for these six indicators that we established here can be used by public health officials to detect future changes in NiV characteristics (Figure S6). Such changes can be evaluated in real-time by comparing expected values (e.g., percentiles of estimated distributions) to new observations, either for a single outbreak or for a transmission season. For example, based on current estimates of spillover frequency, observing more than 17 spillovers in a season is very unlikely (based on the 97.5th percentile of the high spillover frequency period), while half of the time, more than 10 spillovers are expected to occur (Figure S6A).

220 Discussion

Systematic NiV surveillance in Bangladesh has been an important step forward in the response to the emerging threat of NiV. These routinely collected data enable the establishment of baseline measures that comprehensively describe current NiV spillover risk, interhuman transmission and morbidity/mortality, which can now be used by public health authorities to guide rapid and reliable decisions to respond to NiV outbreaks. Using these baseline measures in real-time assessments allows the detection of deviations from these at early stages of a transmission season or an ongoing outbreak.

While interhuman transmission and morbidity/mortality of NiV has been stable since the start of
systematic surveillance, frequency and geographic extent of spillovers temporarily increased during
that time period before returning to initial levels. The temporary increase may reflect NiV
transmission and shedding dynamics in bat populations [16], changes in bat behaviour (e.g., moving
to new areas to look for food), or changes in human behaviour (e.g., sap drinking, access to
hospitals). A study of weather associations with spillovers between 2007 – 2013 identified a
significant correlation between increasing spillovers and colder winter temperatures, suggesting that

235 climatic factors could be driving some of these patterns over longer time scales [17]. The monitoring 236 framework has thereby provided insights that can form the basis of studies to test different 237 hypotheses of what drives these patterns, and measure changes in patterns that could result from 238 primary or secondary prevention programs. Continuing surveillance efforts to monitor time trends or 239 cyclical dynamics in this disease system are critical and should be prioritized for funding. 240 Observed cluster sizes were stable over time, suggesting that no changes in spillover mechanisms 241 had occurred during the time period of surveillance. This finding is consistent with other 242 epidemiological evidence that identified palm sap as main spillover route over time, including during 243 spillovers in 2008 that were larger in size [18,19]. No increase in the interhuman transmission 244 potential has been suggested by any of the indicators and the reproduction number currently is 245 lower than what is required for a large outbreak. Based on the established baseline measures, the 246 occurrence of multiple superspreading events during a single outbreak is highly unlikely and may 247 therefore represent an early warning sign for the emergence of a more transmissible strain. For 248 monitoring situations where details on transmission networks are unavailable, R could also be 249 derived from the distribution of cluster sizes or the proportion of cases infected by the reservoir 250 [13,20]. The detection of two NiV cases in Dhaka, the capital of Bangladesh, demonstrates the risk of 251 virus introductions into densely populated areas where large-scale outbreaks may be more likely to 252 occur. The observed heterogeneity in some indicators during 2001-2006, a time period before 253 hospital-based surveillance started in Bangladesh, highlights that systematic surveillance for NiV, as 254 introduced in Bangladesh in 2007, is key for establishing reliable baseline estimates of transmission 255 characteristics and will remain crucial for the detection of departures from these trends in the future. 256 Based on established estimates of R it would however be highly unlikely to observe superspreading 257 events as reported before 2007 (with 11 and 22 secondary cases generated by a NiV case) (Figure

258 S6C).

259 The analysis of the collected epidemiological data also provided other insights that can be 260 investigated in future studies, such as the difference in the CFR between cases infected through the 261 reservoir and those infected through interhuman transmission. This difference may be due to a 262 higher dose of virus received through date palm sap than through contact with a patient. Developing 263 capacities to efficiently detect and respond to unusual public health events is key for improved global 264 epidemic preparedness [2,3]. The World Health Organization in 2015 therefore advocated for 265 prioritizing a number of emerging zoonotic diseases for urgent research and development that would 266 allow for improved disease control. In addition to NiV, these diseases included Crimean Congo 267 haemorrhagic fever, Ebola, Marburg, Lassa fever, Middle East respiratory syndrome and other 268 coronaviruses, and Rift Valley fever [5]. We believe that systematic surveillance for these diseases, as 269 exemplified by NiV surveillance in Bangladesh, should be implemented to enable the collection of 270 comparable and reliable data over time. The framework proposed here would also allow tracking 271 outbreaks of these diseases and targeting disease control measures. The global community would be 272 much better prepared for these threats through investments in systematic surveillance coupled with 273 active tracking and reporting of transmission and epidemiological indicators.

274

275 Acknowledgements

276 icddr, b acknowledges with gratitude the commitment of the National Science Foundation, the 277 National Institutes of Health (NIH) (NIH grant for Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Diseases under 278 grant no. 2R01-TW005869), and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US CDC) to its 279 research efforts. icddr,b is thankful to the Governments of Bangladesh, Canada, Sweden, and the UK for providing core/unrestricted support. B. N., H. S., and S. C. acknowledge the support of the 280 281 Laboratory of Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases (Grant ANR-10-LABX-62-282 IBEID), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study 283 Initiative, the AXA Research Fund and the INCEPTION project (PIA/ANR-16-CONV-0005). The donors 284 had no role in preparation or review of this manuscript.

285

286 References

- Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, Diamond J. Origins of major human infectious diseases. Nature. 2007;
 447(7142):279–283.
- WHO | International Health Regulations (IHR) [Internet]. WHO. [cited 2019 Feb 18]. Available
 from: http://www.who.int/topics/international_health_regulations/en/
- 291 3. About | Global Health Security Agenda [Internet]. [cited 2019 Feb 18]. Available from:
- 292 https://www.ghsagenda.org/about
- Luby SP, Gurley ES, Hossain MJ. Transmission of human infection with Nipah virus. Clin Infect
 Dis. 2009; 49(11):1743–1748.
- WHO | List of Blueprint priority diseases [Internet]. WHO. [cited 2018 Dec 28]. Available from:
 http://www.who.int/blueprint/priority-diseases/en/
- 297 6. Luby SP, Hossain MJ, Gurley ES, et al. Recurrent zoonotic transmission of Nipah virus into
- 298 humans, Bangladesh, 2001-2007. Emerging Infect Dis. **2009**; 15(8):1229–1235.
- 299 7. Naser AM, Hossain MJ, Sazzad HMS, et al. Integrated cluster- and case-based surveillance for
- 300 detecting stage III zoonotic pathogens: an example of Nipah virus surveillance in Bangladesh.
- 301 Epidemiol Infect. **2015**; 143(9):1922–1930.
- Nikolay B, Salje H, Hossain MJ, et al. Transmission of Nipah Virus 14 Years of Investigations in
 Bangladesh. N Engl J Med. **2019**; 380(19):1804–1814.
- 304 9. Alexander KA, Sanderson CE, Marathe M, et al. What factors might have led to the emergence
 305 of Ebola in West Africa? PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(6):e0003652.

- Parashar UD, Sunn LM, Ong F, et al. Case-control study of risk factors for human infection with
 a new zoonotic paramyxovirus, Nipah virus, during a 1998-1999 outbreak of severe encephalitis
 in Malaysia. J Infect Dis. 2000; 181(5):1755–1759.
- 309 11. Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control. Oxford
 310 University Press; 1991.
- Ferguson NM, Fraser C, Donnelly CA, Ghani AC, Anderson RM. Public health. Public health risk
 from the avian H5N1 influenza epidemic. Science. 2004; 304(5673):968–969.
- 313 13. Blumberg S, Lloyd-Smith JO. Inference of R(0) and transmission heterogeneity from the size

distribution of stuttering chains. PLoS Comput Biol. **2013**; 9(5):e1002993.

- 14. Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM. Superspreading and the effect of individual
 variation on disease emergence. Nature. 2005; 438(7066):355–359.
- 15. Arunkumar G, Chandni R, Mourya DT, et al. Outbreak investigation of Nipah Virus Disease in

318 Kerala, India, 2018. J Infect Dis [Internet]. [cited 2018 Nov 5]; . Available from:

- 319 https://academic.oup.com/jid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiy612/5144922
- 320 16. Plowright RK, Parrish CR, McCallum H, et al. Pathways to zoonotic spillover. Nat Rev Microbiol.
 321 2017; 15(8):502–510.
- 322 17. Cortes MC, Cauchemez S, Lefrancq N, et al. Characterization of the Spatial and Temporal
- 323 Distribution of Nipah Virus Spillover Events in Bangladesh, 2007-2013. J Infect Dis. 2018;
 324 217(9):1390–1394.
- 18. Hegde ST, Sazzad HMS, Hossain MJ, et al. Investigating Rare Risk Factors for Nipah Virus in
 Bangladesh: 2001–2012. Ecohealth. 2016; 13(4):720–728.

- 19. Rahman MA, Hossain MJ, Sultana S, et al. Date palm sap linked to Nipah virus outbreak in
- Bangladesh, 2008. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. **2012**; 12(1):65–72.
- 20. Cauchemez S, Epperson S, Biggerstaff M, Swerdlow D, Finelli L, Ferguson NM. Using routine
- 330 surveillance data to estimate the epidemic potential of emerging zoonoses: application to the
- emergence of US swine origin influenza A H3N2v virus. PLoS Med. **2013**; 10(3):e1001399.

- 333 Figure legends
- 334 Figure 1. (A) Indicators for changes in spillover risk, interhuman transmission and
- 335 morbidity/mortality of Nipah virus and other zoonotic pathogens. (B) Total number of Nipah cases
- ever reported by districts in Bangladesh (2007 to 2018).
- 337
- 338 Figure 2. Baseline estimates and historical changes of key indicators to monitor Nipah virus
- epidemiology and transmission. Average number of spillovers (Poisson exact 95%CI) (A), number of
- 340 districts reporting NiV cases within 3 year window (time windows are indicated as grey bars) (B),
- 341 median cluster size (interquartile range) (C), reproduction number (negative binomial 95%CI) (D),
- proportion spreaders (binomial exact 95%CI) (E), CFR among primary cases, i.e. cases infected by the
- 343 reservoir (binomial exact 95%CI) (F). The year represents a transmission season, which lasts from
- 344 December of the previous year until May of the indicated year.



Longitude



346	Supplementary Material		
347 348	A framework to monitor changes in transmission and epidemiology of emerging pathogens: Lessons from Nipah virus	:	
349	Table of Contents		
250	1 Drobability of no enroadors and superspreadors	17	

350	1.	Probability of no spreaders and superspreaders	1/
351	2.	Importance of systematic surveillance for baseline establishment	17
352	3.	Supplementary Figures	18

353 Erreur ! Signet non défini.

354

355 **1.** Probability of no spreaders and superspreaders

The probability of not observing any NiV spreading events by increasing number of cases is shown in Figure S2. For example, the probability of not observing any spreading event is 41% among 10 NiV cases and 17% among 20 cases.

The probability of observing at least one, at least two, or at least three superspreading events (i.e. a NiV case infecting ≥5 individuals) is shown in Figure S3. Among 10 cases, the probability of observing at least one superspreading event is 10%; however as low as 0.4% and 0.01% for observing at least 2 or 3 superspreading events.

2. Importance of systematic surveillance for baseline establishment

We also estimated the indicators for each year during 2001-2006, a time period before routine hospital-based surveillance was implemented and cases were not systematically detected. During 2001-2006, we observe a much higher variability in some of these key measures: the observed annual spillover frequency ranged from 0 to 14, annual median outbreak sizes from 1 to 13, and the annual estimated reproduction number from 0 to 0.85 (Figure S5). This demonstrates the difficulties for establishing some of these baseline measures in the absence of stable surveillance.

370 3. Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Location of districts reporting Nipah cases within moving 3-year time intervals during 2007-2018.





Figure S2. Probability of observing zero spreading events by number of cases.

- 375
- 376
- Figure S3. Probability of observing at least 1, at least 2, and at least 3 superspreading events by number of cases.



Figure S4. Baseline estimates and historical changes of key indicators to monitor Nipah virus epidemiology and transmission- additional measures. CFR among secondary cases, i.e. cases infected by interhuman transmission (binomial exact 95%CI); no secondary cases occurred during 2015-2018 (A), proportion of cases with difficulty breathing (binomial exact 95%CI) (B). The year represents a transmission season, which lasts from December of the previous year until May of the indicated year.



Figure S5. Comparing key indicators before (2001-2006) and after implementation of systematic hospital-based surveillance (2007- 2018). Average number of spillovers (Poisson exact 95%CI) (A), number of districts reporting NiV cases within 3 year window (time windows are indicated as grey bars) (B), median cluster size (interquartile range) (C), reproduction number (negative binomial 95%CI) (D), proportion spreaders (binomial exact 95%CI) (E), CFR among primary cases, i.e. cases infected by the reservoir (binomial exact 95%CI) (F). The year represents a transmission season, which lasts from December of the previous year until May of the indicated year.



407

409

Figure S6. Detecting outliers in Nipah virus transmission and epidemiology using baseline estimates. Distribution of annual number of outbreaks (A). Empirical distribution of observed outbreak sizes (B). Distribution of number of individuals infected by a case (C). Distribution of number of spreaders among 20 cases (D). Distribution of number of deaths among 20 primary cases (E). Distribution of number of cases with difficulty breathing among 20 cases (F). The dotted lines indicate the 50th percentile; the dashed lines indicate the 2.5th and 97.5th percentile.



416 417