

## Genetic origins, singularity, and heterogeneity of Basques

André Flores-Bello, Frédéric Bauduer, Jasone Salaberria, Bernard Beñat, B. Oyharçabal, Francesc Calafell, Jaume Bertranpetit, Lluis Quintana-Murci, David Comas

### ▶ To cite this version:

André Flores-Bello, Frédéric Bauduer, Jasone Salaberria, Bernard Beñat, B. Oyharçabal, Francesc Calafell, et al.. Genetic origins, singularity, and heterogeneity of Basques. Current Biology - CB, 2021, 10.1016/j.cub.2021.03.010. pasteur-03199264

## HAL Id: pasteur-03199264 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-03199264v1

Submitted on 6 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

### 1 Genetic origins, singularity and heterogeneity of Basques

André Flores-Bello,<sup>1</sup> Frédéric Bauduer,<sup>2</sup> Jasone Salaberria,<sup>3</sup> Bernard Oyharçabal,<sup>3</sup> Francesc
 Calafell,<sup>1</sup> Jaume Bertranpetit,<sup>1</sup> Lluis Quintana-Murci,<sup>4,5</sup> David Comas<sup>1</sup>\*

4

<sup>1</sup>Departament de Ciències de la Salut i de la Vida, Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (CSIC-UPF),
Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, 08003, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire PACEA UMR 5199 CNRS,
Université de Bordeaux, Pessac, 33615, France; <sup>3</sup>Centre de Recherche sur la Langue et les
Textes Basques, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR5478 IKER, 64100,
Bayonne, France; <sup>4</sup>Human Evolutionary Genetics Unit, Institut Pasteur, Centre National de la
Recherche Scientifique, UMR 2000, Paris, 75015, France; <sup>5</sup>Human Genomics and Evolution,
Collège de France, Paris, France.

12 \*Correspondence: <u>david.comas@upf.edu</u>

13

### 14 Summary

15 Basques have historically lived along the Western Pyrenees, in the Franco-Cantabrian region, 16 straddling the current Spanish and French territories. Over the last decades, they have been the 17 focus of intense research due to their singular cultural and biological traits that, with high 18 controversy, placed them as a heterogeneous, isolated, and unique population. Their non-Indo-19 European language, Euskara, is thought to be a major factor shaping the genetic landscape of 20 the Basques. Yet, there is still a lively debate about their history and assumed singularity due to 21 the limitations of previous studies. Here, we analyze genome-wide data of Basque and 22 surrounding groups that do not speak Euskara at a micro-geographical level. A total of 23 ~629,000 genome-wide variants were analyzed in 1,970 modern and ancient samples, including 24 190 new individuals from 18 sampling locations in the Basque area. For the first time, local- and 25 wide-scale analyses from genome-wide data have been performed covering the whole Franco-26 Cantabrian region, combining allele frequency and haplotype-based methods. Our results show 27 a clear differentiation of Basques from the surrounding populations, with the non-Euskara-28 speaking Franco-Cantabrians located in an intermediate position. Moreover, a sharp genetic 29 heterogeneity within Basques is observed with significant correlation with geography. Finally,

30 the detected Basque differentiation cannot be attributed to an external origin compared to other 31 Iberian and surrounding populations. Instead, we show that such differentiation results from 32 genetic continuity since the Iron Age, characterized by periods of isolation and lack of recent 33 gene flow that might have been reinforced by the language barrier.

34

### 35 Keywords

Basques, Euskara, Franco-Cantabrian, population structure, isolated population, demographic
 history, genome-wide data

38

### 39 Introduction

40 The Franco-Cantabrian region, which includes the western part of the actual border of Spain 41 and France through the Pyrenees, has drawn the attention of several disciplines due to its 42 relevant role in European human history. This region was one of the most densely populated 43 glacial refugia in Europe during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), and it is related to pivotal 44 archaeological discoveries, especially the oldest known European cave paintings<sup>1</sup>. One of the 45 most interesting features of the region is the presence of the Basques. They have been 46 historically distributed along the western edge of the Pyrenees, spanning across the present 47 Spanish and the French territories currently organized in seven provinces: Gipuzkoa, Bizkaia, 48 Araba and Nafarroa in the southern side of the Pyrenees; and Zuberoa, Lapurdi, and Nafarroa 49 Beherea located in the northern side. Basques have presumably stood out due to their 50 historical, anthropological, and biological traits that define their singularity and isolation within 51 the European context. A remarkable feature is Euskara, with its five main dialects (Figures 1, S1 52 and Table 1), which is a non-Indo-European language isolate with no close relationship to any other extant language<sup>2,3</sup>. Beyond its current distribution, Euskara was historically spoken in the 53 54 seven present provinces, before its geographic regression due to the pressures of Romance 55 languages<sup>4</sup>. Moreover, an archaic Euskara-related language is suggested to have been spoken 56 in a much wider area in earlier periods. This area would include the neighboring Northern Spanish areas and the Southern half of Aquitaine in France<sup>5</sup> (Figure S1). Whereas Euskara has 57 58 been pointed as a possible cultural barrier between Basques and neighboring populations, its

dialects might have also acted as an internal barrier<sup>6</sup>. They show reduced interintelligibility and
a standard language (*batua* in Euskara) was not established until 1968 and was widely used
only since the 1980s.

62

63 Although numerous studies have focused on the genetics of Basques, a lively debate on their population history is still ongoing (see, for instance, Laayouni et al.<sup>7</sup> and Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et 64 65 al.<sup>8</sup>). Such an interest in the genetics of Basques started with the remarkable observation of a high frequency of the Rh-negative blood group<sup>9</sup>, a genetic variant associated with the hemolytic 66 disease of the newborn, which was confirmed in following studies<sup>10</sup>. Subsequent studies using 67 68 more genetic markers revealed that Basques were particularly differentiated within the 69 European genetic context<sup>11,12</sup>. These results, together with archaeological, cultural, and 70 linguistic data, were interpreted as Basques descending from an ancient population that 71 remained isolated in the region<sup>13</sup>. However, other studies have not provided evidence of a 72 genetic distinctiveness for the Basque population, suggesting genetic homogeneity through 73 Europe<sup>14</sup>.

74

75 The origins of Basques have also been controversial. Some studies based on uniparentally-76 inherited markers have proposed that Basques represent isolated Pre-Neolithic European 77 groups that stayed in the region after the resettlement of Europe from the glacial refugia in the 78 post-LGM periods<sup>15,16</sup>. Conversely, other studies have shown the influence of the Neolithic 79 migrations in the Basque area, refuting the Paleolithic genetic continuity<sup>17</sup>. Exhaustive analysis 80 of uniparental genomes showed a continuity since Pre-Neolithic times and a pre-Roman genetic 81 structure in Basques<sup>6,18</sup>. In support of this, ancient DNA data has suggested that Basques can 82 actually be explained as a common Iberian Iron Age population, with an important genetic 83 influence of the post-Neolithic Steppe pastoralists ancestries, but lacking admixture from 84 subsequent incoming populations such as Romans or North Africans<sup>19</sup>.

85

The controversies about the Basques have not only been focused on their distinctiveness and their origins, but also on their internal genetic heterogeneity. Genome-wide data in Basque groups have shown contradictory results, with some studies suggesting that French Basques

are markedly different from Spanish Basques, being the latter similar to other Iberian
 populations <sup>7</sup>; whereas other data were interpreted as showing internal homogeneity within
 Basques and marked genetic differentiation from non-Basque groups<sup>8</sup>.

92

93 These remarkable contradictory results might be explained by a limited methodology and 94 resolution. The low number of samples used in these analyses to represent the Basque groups 95 and their neighboring areas has supposed the major limiting factor. Furthermore, they have 96 been based on the allele frequencies of classical genetic markers, the phylogeny of uniparental genomes, or a reduced number of sample and markers<sup>13</sup>. To overcome the limitations in the 97 98 previous studies, we adopt a robust genome-wide study design that enable us to unravel the 99 controversial population history of Basques, including their uniqueness, their origins, and their 100 genetic structure. The unique and exhaustive dataset of the whole Franco-Cantabrian region 101 presented here (Figure 1, S1 and Table 1) limit any possible sampling biases affecting the 102 previous studies and affords an exhaustive analysis at micro-geographical and wide-scale level. 103 Moreover, the ethno-linguistic information considered in our sampling allowed us to interpret the 104 results beyond the genetic data, considering how cultural factors could be involved in shaping 105 the genetics of the Basques and surrounding populations. Finally, we leverage the more precise haplotype-based methods to uncover fine-grained genetic structure and admixture patterns<sup>20,21</sup>. 106

107

### 108 Results

### 109 Basques display marked differentiation in the European/Mediterranean landscape

110 We first studied Basques in a wide-scale context to assess their genetic variability within a large 111 and diverse population panel, which includes a complete dataset of West Eurasia and North 112 African samples. In a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) the Basque samples fall in the 113 opposite edge of the North African samples and in the periphery of Europe, similarly to 114 Sardinians, with the Peri-Basque groups (surrounding traditionally Gascon- and Spanish-115 speaking areas; see sample collection in STAR ★ METHODS) being in an intermediate position 116 (Figure 2A). Using admixture analyses, considering the global genetic ancestry components of 117 these populations (Figure 2B), a differential genetic pattern is observed in Basques. In K=6, 118 Basques present mainly two components: a major component (green), which is also present in 119 European samples and it is found at low frequencies in the Middle East/Caucasus and North 120 Africa; plus, a minor component (pink) found at high frequencies in Central/Eastern Europe. The 121 other components found in the rest of European groups are not present in Basques 122 (frequencies <1%). The Peri-Basque samples show a similar pattern to Basques but with low 123 frequencies of other external components absent in Basques. From K=7 onwards a new specific 124 component appears, maximized in Basques and with frequencies over 50% in Peri-Basques. 125 This component is also observed in Spanish and French samples, while it is virtually absent in 126 the other external European samples.

127

128 Using the haplotype-based analyses implemented in fineSTRUCTURE, we detected marked 129 levels of differentiation in Basques (Figures 3, S2 and Table S1). First, the Basque groups 130 cluster together within the large European branch, but in a differentiated external cluster 131 (Figures 3A and S2). This result points to low haplotype sharing between this cluster and the 132 rest of European groups, showing a clear internal and specific genetic profile of Basques. 133 Second, the Peri-Basque groups also exhibit a differentiation from the other external 134 populations, clustering internally with Europeans, but forming a specific branch with the 135 exception of the Cantabrian samples (gCAN), which cluster with Spanish samples (Figures 3A 136 and S2). To discard putative artifacts due to the overrepresentation of Franco-Cantabrian 137 samples in the fineSTRUCTURE analysis, we performed a random sampling of the Franco-138 Cantabrian region (50 samples including Basques and Peri-Basques), and obtained similar 139 results (data not shown). Furthermore, the ancestry profile calculated in the Non-negative least 140 squares (NNLS) analysis mirrored the results above (Figure 3B). Basques share haplotypes 141 exclusively with the internal groups in the Franco-Cantabrian region. Peri-Basques mainly share 142 haplotypes internally with the groups in the region but also with the non-Franco-Cantabrian 143 Spanish and French groups, acting as a buffer zone between Basques and the surrounding 144 external populations. The intermediate ancestry profile observed in Peri-Basques suggests 145 gene flow between the Franco-Cantabrian region and the external groups. Therefore, potential 146 admixture events were tested in Peri-Basques by using GLOBETROTTER, considering 147 Basques and all the external clusters inferred by fineSTRUCTURE as surrogates (Figures S2D,

148 S2E and S2F). Single admixture events involving two sources were detected for all Peri-Basque targets, with close dates between the 11<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> centuries. Similar sources were described in 149 150 each target cluster: a major source represented by mainly Basque and Spanish ancestries; and 151 a minor source that is predominantly represented by Spanish ancestry. Moreover, the 152 confidence intervals for the dates estimated from bootstrapping resulted in overlapped and quite 153 large ranges in each Peri-Basque target, especially in Bigorre (gBIG) (Figures S2E and S2F). 154 This might evoke a single, but continuous, pulse of admixture in the Peri-Basques, and a 155 general large-scale demographic event that affected the area in recent historical times, between 156 the 11<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> centuries.

157

158 To explore further the genetic differentiation of Basques, we performed an analysis of runs of 159 homozygosity (ROHs). Basques show the overall highest total number (NROH) and total length (SROH) of ROHs, even higher than Sardinians, which are reported to carry long ROHs<sup>22</sup> and 160 161 show ROH values slightly above the European average<sup>23</sup>, and followed by the Peri-Basque 162 groups (Figures 3C and S3A). In the intermediate ROH categories, the total proportion of 163 samples represented in the external populations is very small (Figure S3A). This shows that 164 these categories are more common in the isolated groups, Basques and Sardinians, as well as 165 in the Peri-Basques, while in the external groups the values observed could be more related to 166 cryptically inbred outliers<sup>24</sup>. These results are in agreement with the exploration of the 167 proportion of identity-by-descent sharing between samples (PI HAT) within the groups (Figure 168 S3B). Moreover, the estimation of the effective population size (Ne) over time showed Basques 169 with low and stable values, whereas external groups (i.e., Spanish and French) show a dramatic 170 increase around one thousand generations ago (Figure S3C). These results suggest a pattern 171 of isolation together with inbreeding in Basques, and to a lower extent in Peri-Basques. Such 172 patterns of isolation, which by all other evidence is much more recent (see Discussion), seems 173 to have been deep enough to erase the traces of an apparent Ne Paleolithic growth in the 174 surrounding populations.

175

### 176 **Post-Iron Age demographic processes explain the genetic uniqueness of Basques**

177 Then, analyses including ancient samples were performed to throw light on the origin of the 178 genetic singularity of Basques. The PCA projection of ancient samples shows Basques closer to 179 Pre-Neolithic hunter-gatherers and European Neolithic farmers, but also to some post-Neolithic 180 Steppe herders associated to the Pontic-Caspian Steppe Yamnaya ancestry (Figure S4A). 181 ADMIXTURE analysis (K=4, lowest cross-validation error, Figure S4B) show Basques and Peri-182 Basques with the lowest proportions of a Levant- and Iran-related Neolithic components, 183 together with a slightly higher proportion of the Anatolian/European farmer component 184 compared to other European populations. When testing for the shared drift with ancient 185 samples, outgroup f3-statistics show high shared drift between Basques and the three major 186 ancient components in Europe (i.e., Paleolithic hunter-gatherers, Neolithic farmers, and post-187 Neolithic Steppe herders related to the Yamnaya ancestry) (Figure S4C). We then modeled 188 Franco-Cantabrian groups and other European populations with these ancient samples by using 189 qpGraph (Figure S4D). The model fit each tested European population, with Z-scores close to 0 190 for all the 100 permutations performed. The inferred admixture proportions for the three ancient 191 components do not show differences of Basques compared to the general European context 192 (Figure S4E), following the Northern-Southern European expected cline of these ancient 193 components<sup>25</sup>. Moreover, no internal differences regarding the proportions of these ancient 194 components were observed when modeling the Franco-Cantabrian groups individually (Figure 195 S4F). This suggests that the genetic singularity of Basques might rely on demographic 196 processes after the Iron Age, such as recent historical influences in Roman and Islamic periods. 197 Therefore, qpAdm analysis was performed to formally test plausible post-Iron Age admixture 198 models that might explain the singularity of Basques. This analysis shows that Basques can be 199 mostly explained with the Iron Age samples from the Iberian Peninsula<sup>19</sup>, with very limited influence of Roman Empire samples<sup>26</sup> in some groups next to Peri-Basques (Figure 4 and Table 200 201 S2). These Roman-related proportions increase as farther the target populations are from the 202 Franco-Cantabrian region. Moreover, no significant results were observed for any model considering North African samples<sup>27</sup> in the region (Figure 4 and Table S2). Overall, these 203 204 results suggest that Basques might have received limited gene flow during recent historical 205 events in the Iberian Peninsula.

### 207 Franco-Cantabrians show a heterogeneous genetic landscape correlated to geography

208 Since one of the major controversies regarding Basques is their internal genetic heterogeneity, 209 we focused our analyses on the Franco-Cantabrian region to explore the internal genetic 210 diversity in the region. A first PC separates all Franco-Cantabrian groups through a genetic 211 cline, with all Basques in one extreme, the Spanish and French non-Franco-Cantabrians in the 212 opposite one, and the Peri-Basques in an intermediate position (Figure 5A). The second PC, in 213 turn, separates the regions from the western and eastern areas of the Franco-Cantabrian 214 region. The PCA shows a remarkable micro-geographical genetic structure and clustering of the 215 Basque groups. To obtain an external reference of similar size and sampling density at a micro-216 geographical scale such as the present study, the Catalan samples from Biagini et al.<sup>28</sup> were 217 compared to our dataset. The PCA of Catalans does not show any geographical structure 218 comparable to that of Basques and Peri-Basques (Figure S5), which may imply that the 219 clustering observed in Basques is specific to them and unrelated to the sample strategy. To 220 quantify and compare the genetic differentiation among the Franco-Cantabrian and Catalan 221 groups, F<sub>ST</sub> distances for each pairwise combination were estimated and shown in a MDS plot 222 (Figure S6). Again, Franco-Cantabrians show a clear internal differentiation with distances in a 223 range of 10<sup>-2</sup>, whereas Catalans showed no evidence of genetic structure or extreme internal 224 differentiation with distances in the range of 10<sup>-3</sup>. Moreover, heterogeneity was tested within the 225 region by performing an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) analyses at different strata in 226 the geography. Though the explained genetic variance was small, all the results were 227 statistically significant, pointing to an internal differentiation of the region and especially in the 228 Basque groups (Figure S7). In fact, the same analysis in Catalonia shows lower explained 229 variance in all comparisons (Figure S7D).

230

The analysis of genetic components performed in the region with ADMIXTURE mirrors the results described above (Figure 5B). At K=2 (best K with the lowest cross-validation error), Basques present a main component (green) that is also present at substantial proportions in the Peri-Basque groups and marginally found in the external samples. At K=3 and K=4, internal different components appear within Basques. In K=3, the Basque-related component splits in two specific components: a Western component (blue) and an Eastern component (green). 237 These components are barely presented in the non-Franco-Cantabrian samples. Finally, at K=4 238 another component arises, maximized in Araba and the surrounding groups (pink). Thus, these 239 four components could be summarized in: non-Franco-Cantabrian (orange), Eastern-related 240 Basque (green), central-related Basque (blue), and Western-related Basque (pink) components. 241 The distribution of these components among the samples evidences the correlation between 242 genetics and geography in the region. To formally test for this correlation, we performed an 243 isolation by distance (IBD) analysis. A Mantel test was applied between the F<sub>ST</sub> values and the geographic distances, resulting in a positive and clear statistically significant result (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.242, 244 245 p-value = 0.0163) (Figure S5B). Then, the spatially explicit statistical method, EEMS, showed a 246 well-defined internal pattern of barriers (Figure S5A), both between the Basque and Peri-247 Basque area, as well as within them. In fact, the pattern of the corridors with higher migration 248 rate mirrors the observed relationships in the ADMIXTURE analysis and the PCA, between 249 groups with overlapping standard deviations (Figures 5 and S5C). The same analyses were 250 performed for the Catalan samples and despite being a geographical region of similar size, the 251 results show a non-significant and negative trend for the Mantel test ( $R^2 = -0.151$ , p-value = 252 0.749), and the absence of barriers in the EEMS analysis (Figures S5A and S5B).

253

254 Finally, to refine the relationships between the populations within the area, we applied 255 haplotype-based methods, and similar patterns of internal heterogeneity were observed 256 (Figures 3, 6 and Table S1). Besides the differentiation of the Basque cluster in the 257 fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram (Figures 3A, 3B and S2), several internal clusters in the region 258 can be defined mostly related to geography and language. On the one hand, three clusters are 259 shown in the Basque branch (Figure 6A right): one that encompasses the Central Basques, plus 260 an Eastern Basque and a Western Basque cluster. On the other hand, two clusters are shown 261 in the Peri-Basque branch (Figure 6A left): a Western and an Eastern Peri-Basque clusters, 262 besides the Cantabrian samples (gCAN) that fall within the external Spanish cluster. Similar 263 clusters are observed when performing the analysis reducing the dataset (Figures S2B and 264 S2C). The differences found in Basques are also shown in the ancestry profiles calculated in 265 the NNLS analysis (Figures 3B and 6B). Basque clusters are formed by exclusively Basque or 266 Peri-Basque components, whereas Peri-Basques show also external ancestries. Focusing on Basques, the Central group is defined exclusively by Basque ancestry, whereas Eastern and Western Basques present ~25% of Peri-Basque ancestry. Despite some traces of Spanish and French ancestries in the Western Basque group, other external ancestries are absent in Basques, suggesting haplotype sharing within the region without external contributions.

271

### 272 Discussion

273 Our results show a clear genetic distinctiveness of the Basques within the European landscape 274 in all the analyses performed, with evidence of continuous inbreeding reflected in their small Ne 275 values, the large number and length of ROHs and PI\_HAT values (Figures 3C and S3), which 276 suggests a pattern of genetic isolation in Basques in their recent history. In contrast, Peri-277 Basques show a transition between the Basques and the external Spanish and French 278 populations (Figures 3, 5, 6 and S6A), as an example of intermediate area between open and 279 isolated populations<sup>29</sup>. Indeed, Basques do not show evidence of recent admixture events with 280 non-Franco-Cantabrian groups, whereas Peri-Basques show gene flow at least since Medieval 281 times (Figures 3B, 6B, S2E and S2F). The inferred admixture events in these groups, involving 282 potential Franco-Cantabrian-related and Spanish-related sources, mainly dated back to the so-283 called period of the Reconquista and the immediate next centuries. This was a long period 284 characterized by the conflicts between the Islamic troops and the Christian kingdoms to 285 maintain their territories in the Iberian Peninsula, expanding from the alleged Battle of 286 Covadonga in 718 until the end of the Iberian Islamic rule with the fall of the Nasrid Kingdom of 287 Granada in 1492<sup>30</sup>. It led to a complex political and administrative situation in the history of the 288 Iberian Peninsula, so these results might be echoing the consequences of the recurrent 289 reorganization of the territory during these centuries along the Franco-Cantabrian region and 290 specially the Peri-Basque area.

291

Nevertheless, our analyses support the notion that the genetic uniqueness of Basques cannot be attributed to a different origin relative to other Iberian populations, but instead to a reduced and irregular external gene flow since the Iron Age as suggested by Olalde et al.<sup>19</sup>. The observed clines of post-Iron Age gene flow in the region suggest that the specific genetic profile of Basques might be explained by the lack of recent gene flow received. Our analyses confirm

297 that Basques were influenced by the major migration waves in Europe until the Iron Age, in a 298 similar pattern as their surrounding populations. At that time, Basques experienced a process of 299 isolation, characterized by an extremely low admixture with the posterior population movements 300 that affected the Iberian Peninsula, such as the Romanization or the Islamic rule, as observed in 301 the present genetic landscape (Figures 3B, 4, S4 and Table S2). This does not exclude 302 plausible previous periods of isolation as attested by the presence of short ROHs and small Ne 303 values (Figure S3) that support signals of ancient inbreeding in the region, even higher than in 304 Sardinia, which is suggested to be isolated after Neolithic times. Thus, the increase of the Ne 305 observed only in the external groups about 1000 generations ago might be potentially linked to 306 the role of the Franco-Cantabrian region as glacial refugium during Last Glacial Maximum 307 periods and the subsequent expansion <sup>31</sup>. Although our results support the genetic continuity from the Iron Age<sup>19</sup> in most of the present-day Basques, those located in the periphery of the 308 309 Basque core area show signals of contacts compatible with the Roman Empire presence in the 310 Iberian Peninsula (Figure 4 and Table S2). These results are in agreement with archaeological 311 and historical records. An important presence of the Roman Empire has been reported in the 312 whole Franco-Cantabrian region, but the scholars suggest a much higher impact in the 313 peripheral areas of the southern side, specially Nafarroa and Araba<sup>32</sup>. Otherwise, North African 314 influence only fit the models where southern and northwestern Iberians are included (Figure 4 315 and Table S2). This confirms the reduced gene flow between the eastern and northern areas of 316 the Iberian Peninsula with the North African incomers during the Islamic rule, as already reported by using uniparental markers<sup>33</sup> and more recently through genome-wide data and 317 318 haplotype-based methods<sup>34</sup>.

319

Language might play a major role in the demographic processes of the populations, and in the present study, given the extraordinary traits of Euskara, the ethno-linguistic scenario of the region should be considered in the interpretation of the analyses performed. Our results are compatible with the Euskara as one of the main factors preventing major gene flow after the lron Age and shaping the genetic panorama of the Basque region. The genetic continuity of Basques since the Iron Age also supports the hypothesis that the expansion of the Steppe ancestry did not completely erase Pre-Indo-European languages in Western Europe, as

previously suggested in other studies<sup>19</sup>. Before the arrival of the Romans to the Iberian 327 328 Peninsula, the Euskara coexisted with other pre-Indo-European languages, such as Iberian. 329 The contact with the Romans, and thus with Latin, was earlier and stronger in the 330 Mediterranean watershed of the Iberian Peninsula followed by their expansion towards the Atlantic coast, with a later and lower impact in the Franco-Cantabrian area<sup>35</sup> as confirmed in our 331 332 analyses (Figure 4 and Table S2). Thus, it is expected that Latin had a deeper effect in the 333 areas with stronger Roman rule, speeding the language replacement, whereas Euskara was 334 scarcely affected. Once Latin was established in most of the Iberian Peninsula, Euskara might 335 have acted as a cultural barrier to gene flow, leading to a genetic differentiation of the Basques and a low influence of the linguistic Romance substratum in Euskara <sup>4,5</sup>. 336

337

338 Together with a positive strong correlation with geography (Figure S5), our results confirm a 339 clear internal heterogeneity in Basques and Peri-Basques, where East-West genetic clusters 340 are evident along the Franco-Cantabrian region, showing a genetic cline from the core to the 341 external areas with higher gene flow among the closest groups. This genetic substructure is 342 more complex than the Northern-Southern orographic and administrative limits between the 343 present-day Spanish and French territories, separated by the Pyrenees Mountains. Instead, 344 haplotype-based methods enabled to accurately define a central Basque cluster, plus a 345 Western and an Eastern Basque and Peri-Basque genetic clusters (Figures 3B, 5 and 6); 346 clarifying previous results that barely suggested this pattern in the Franco-Cantabrian region by using classical markers<sup>36,37</sup>. Whereas the Eastern and the Central Basques do not show 347 348 relevant gene flow with external sources, the groups from the Western Basque cluster present 349 small levels of gene flow with them (Figures 3B, 4, 5 and 6). This genetic substructure reflects 350 the historical and linguistic context between the Basques and the neighboring areas. Those 351 from the Central region are the most differentiated, due to the smaller influence from external sources along history regarding its farthest location<sup>37,38</sup>. Moreover, the linguistic, political and 352 353 administrative situation in the Central and the Eastern areas have been quite stable along 354 history<sup>39</sup>. However, the Western areas are characterized by a complex scenario, related to the 355 reorganization of the administrative limits and the regression of Euskara due to the strong influence of surrounding Romance languages and mainly Spanish<sup>32,39,40</sup>. 356

358 Finally, the role of the Euskara dialects on the present-day Basque genetic substructure is more 359 difficult to assess. Most linguist scholars agree on a Western-Eastern dialectal discontinuity with small distances among the closest dialects<sup>41,42</sup>, resembling the genetic clusters observed in the 360 361 present analysis. The most accepted hypothesis about their origin is that they emerged during the medieval period<sup>4,42,43</sup>. Yet, our results reveal a clearly defined genetic structure within 362 363 Basques that might have been formed in earlier times. Indeed, a pre-Roman genetic 364 substructure has been already suggested based on uniparental markers<sup>6</sup>. Therefore, the 365 diversification of the dialects and the genetic heterogeneity within the Basques might have in 366 common their correlation to geography and it might be worth to reconsider an earlier origin of 367 the Euskara dialects in linguistic studies.

368

369 In this study, we disentangle long-standing controversies about the genetic uniqueness of 370 Basques from a new perspective, providing finer and more reliable conclusions that help to 371 resolve the debate on the demographic history of Basques. This contributes to demystify the so 372 often assumed genetic characteristics of Basques, even though little clear evidence was 373 present to support their position as some sort of Proto-Europeans. Moreover, some new lines of 374 evidence are presented to the Archaeology and Anthropology fields that can be further pursued. 375 The genetic results presented here give support to the anthropological and chronological 376 connections with the ancient remains, as well as the historical and linguistic relationships 377 around the Euskara and its dialects. It is pivotal to emphasize the importance of a 378 multidisciplinary approach when studying the evolution and demographic history of populations 379 to contextualize the study, test hypotheses and interpret the results. In this sense, it is crucial to 380 encourage the integration and cooperative research among the different areas of knowledge 381 with the aim of boosting complete, contrasted, and reliable studies.

382

### 383 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge all the volunteers who kindly accepted to participate in the study. This study was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MCIU) and the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) grant number PID2019-106485GB-

387 I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and "Unidad de Excelencia María de Maeztu" (AEI,CEX2018-388 000792-M). A.F-B was supported with a FI fellowship from the Generalitat de Catalunya 389 (2016FI\_B 00446). The sampling work was supported by the HIPVAL (Histoire des populations 390 et variation linguistique dans les Pyrénées de l'Ouest) project. The HIPVAL project was made 391 possible by grants from the "Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine, the Conseil Général des Pyrénées-392 Atlantiques, the Conseil des Elus du Pays-Basque', the Centre National de la Recherche 393 Scientifique (CNRS) (interdisciplinary programme), OHLL (Origine de l'Homme, des Langues et 394 du Langage), and Association Sang 64". We are indebted to all the people contributing samples 395 to this study. Especially to Estibaliz Montoya, David Basterot, Tristan Carrère, Mònica Vallés, 396 and Stéphanie Plaza.

397

### **398** Author Contributions

A.F-B. and D.C. designed and performed research; F.B., J.S., B.O. collected and provided the
biological and ethno-linguistic data; A.F-B. processed and analyzed the data; A.F-B., F.C., J.B.,
L. Q-M. and D.C. contributed to the interpretation of results. A.F-B. and D.C. wrote the paper; all

402 authors revised the final version of the manuscript.

403

### 404 **Declaration of Interests**

405 The authors declare no competing interests.

406

### 407 Figures and Table Legends

### 408 Table 1. Information of the Franco-Cantabrian samples reported in this study.

409 Our dataset includes a total of 190 samples from 18 areas in the present-day Spanish and 410 French territories of the Franco-Cantabrian region. Both Euskara and surrounding Romance 411 speaking groups were considered. All four grandparents of the individuals were born in the 412 same geographical region of the collected sample. N represents the number of individuals 413 genotyped for each region. See also Figures 1 and S1.

# Figure 1. Geographic distribution of the Franco-Cantabrian region and the areas includedin the study.

417 ALA, Araba; BBA, Bizkaia; BOC, Western Bizkaia; GUI, Central Gipuzkoa; GSO, Southwestern 418 Gipuzkoa; NNO, Northwestern Nafarroa; NCO, Central Western Nafarroa; ZMX, 419 Lapurdi/Baztan; NLA, Lapurdi Nafarroa; SOU, Zuberoa; RON, Roncal; CAN, Cantabria; BUR, 420 Northern Burgos; RIO, Rioja; NAR, Northern Aragon; CHA, Chalosse; BEA, Béarn; BIG, 421 Bigorre. The dark shaded area represents the current extension of the main Euskara-speaking 422 zone. The colors represent the ethno-linguistic information of the sample set: in green, the 423 sampled areas where the Euskara was spoken in historical times and at least until the 19th 424 century; here referred as Basques. In pink and blue, the sampled areas where Spanish and 425 Gascon/French are spoken today, respectively; referred as Spanish (the former) and French 426 (the latter) Peri-Basques in this study. See sample collection in STAR \* METHODS for detailed 427 information. Km, Kilometers. See also Table 1 and Figure S1.

428

### 429 Figure 2. Contextualizing the Franco-Cantabrian region.

430 (A) Principal component analysis including all modern samples analyzed in the present study. 431 (B) ADMIXTURE results with similar and lowest cross-validation errors (K=6 and K=7).  $\star$ , 432 Spanish Basque and Peri-Basque; •, French Basque and Peri-Basque. In the large 433 Caucasus/Middle East section, the two populations with a maximized component are Bedouin 434 (light green) and Druze (gold). In the North African section, the purple component is maximized 435 in Mozabite. See also Figure S2.

436

### 437 Figure 3. Haplotype-based analyses.

(A) European branch of the fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram inferred for the whole modern dataset. The complete dendrogram is shown in Figure S2A. The Franco-Cantabrian, other Spanish, and other French clusters are highlighted in color. (B) Inferred proportions of shared ancestry among genetic clusters using the NNLS method from GLOBETROTTER. Ancestries that are neither Spanish nor French were pooled and labeled "external". (C) Whisker plots representing the individual information of number (NROH, left) and length (SROH, right) of Runs of Homozygosity for Basques, Peri-Basques, French and Spanish. Sardinian, Central Western Europeans (CEU), and sub-Saharan Africans (YRI) were also included as reference populations
with well-known demographic histories. Mb, Megabases. For the sake of clarity, the name of
those genetically homogeneous clusters inferred from fineSTRUCTURE are referred with "g".
See also Figures S2, S3 and Table S1.

449

### 450 Figure 4. Modelling potential post-Iron Age gene flow in the Iberian Peninsula.

(A) Geographic distribution of the inferred proportions in the map. Shadings for proportions are
scaled according to the maximum and minimum proportions of each source. (B) Representation
of the admixture proportions in each target population based on the statistically significant
models obtained with gpAdm. See also Figure S4 and Table S2. Km, Kilometers.

455

### 456 Figure 5. Population stratification of the Franco-Cantabrian region.

(A) PCA using the samples from the Iberian Peninsula and France. The PCA average and
standard deviation values of the different geographic groups were plotted. (B) ADMIXTURE
results from K=2 to K=4. The lowest cross-validation error in the analysis was K=2. The
asterisks represent "Spanish\_Pais\_Vasco\_IBS" and "French\_Bearn". PB, Peri-Basque. See
also Figures S5, S6 and S7.

462

### 463 Figure 6. Haplotype distribution and sharing within the Franco-Cantabrian region.

464 (A) Internal Franco-Cantabrian clusters inferred with fineSTRUCTURE. Left and right 465 dendrograms represent a zoom of the Peri-Basque and Basque specific branches, respectively, 466 in the complete dendrogram from Figure 3. The central map shows the geographic distribution 467 of the haplotypes inferred in the fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram. Each pie chart represents the 468 proportion of the clusters in the corresponding region. (B) Inferred proportions of shared 469 ancestry among the Franco-Cantabrian groups. This plot is in continuity with the Figure 3B. 470 Here, the internal proportions of haplotype sharing in the Franco-Cantabrian region are 471 dissected. Wavy lines represent external proportions. See also Figures S2, S5, S6, S7, and 472 Table S1.

473	STAR★METHODS
474	KEY RESOURCES TABLE
475	
476	RESOURCES AVAILABILITY
477	Lead Contact
478	Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the
479	Lead Contact, David Comas ( <u>david.comas@upf.edu</u> ).
480	Materials Availability
481	This study did not generate new unique reagents.
482	Data and Code Availability
483	The original dataset generated during this study is available for download at Figshare:
484	https://figshare.com/s/61a54472b63fd0101859
485	
486	
487	EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
488	Sample Collection
489	A total of 190 individual samples were collected from 18 microgeographical areas in the
490	present-day Spanish and French territories of the Franco-Cantabrian region, including Basque
491	and Romance (Spanish, French, Aragonese and Gascon) speaking groups (Table 1 and Figure
492	1). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants, who were interviewed to
493	determine their local dialect and to confirm that their four grandparents were born in the same
494	microgeographical area (Figures 1, S1 and Table 1). The collection procedures were approved
495	by the CCPPRB (Comité Consultatif de Protection des Personnes dans la Recherche

Biomédicale d'Aquitaine) and the IRBs at Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Institut Pasteur and Université Michel de Montaigne Bordeaux 3. The dataset includes seven areas where Euskara is spoken at present<sup>6,18</sup> (Central Gipuzkoa, Southwestern Gipuzkoa, Bizkaia, and Northwestern Nafarroa in the southern side of the Pyrenees; the zone of Lapurdi/Baztan, Lapurdi/Nafarroa Beherea, and Zuberoa in the northern side), besides three regions where it was spoken at least until the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century<sup>39,44</sup> (Central Western Nafarroa, Roncal, and Araba). For the sake of clarity, the previous ten regions where Euskara was spoken in historical times will be 503 referred as "Basque" groups. The dataset also includes i) five surrounding Spanish-speaking 504 areas (Cantabria, Northern Burgos, La Rioja, Northern Aragon and Western Bizkaia; in the case 505 of Northern Aragon, a relict local language, Aragonese, is still spoken) and ii) three traditionally Gascon-speaking areas (Bigorre, Béarn and Chalosse)<sup>4,39-41</sup>. In the latter, influences of 506 507 Euskara-related archaic languages have been suggested<sup>5</sup> (Figure S1), but records of spoken 508 Euskara are absent. Moreover, Gascon has been replaced extensively by French, with less 509 than half of the population in Béarn being fluent in Gascon. We refer to these two groups of 510 populations as Spanish and French Peri-Basques, respectively.

511

### 512 METHOD DETAILS

### 513 Sample Genotyping

514 DNA was extracted from blood samples using standard methods, and genotyped with the 515 Axiom<sup>TM</sup> Genome-Wide Human Origins Array (~629,443 variants)<sup>45</sup>. Genotype calling was 516 performed by using the software Axiom<sup>TM</sup> Analysis Suite 3.1.51.0 through the Affymetrix Best 517 Practices Workflow. All samples passed the genotype calling process with an average quality 518 control call rate of 99.8%. After exporting those variants that properly passed the recommended 519 thresholds, 597,638 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) remained in the dataset.

520

### 521 Data Quality Control

522 PLINK 1.946 was used to apply the quality control filters detailed below, after excluding 523 uniparental markers and the X chromosome. A filter for more than 10% missing SNPs per 524 sample resulted in no sample exclusion. A total of 434,664 SNPs remained after removing 525 SNPs that were missing in more than 5% of the samples, with an extreme deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $p < 10^{-5}$ ), and a minor allele-frequency (MAF) below 0.05. For those 526 527 analyses that required linkage equilibrium between SNPs, linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruning 528 was performed using a window size of 200 SNPs, shifting by 25 SNPs and a maximum pairwise LD threshold (r<sup>2</sup>) of 0.5. A total of 171,275 SNPs remained after LD pruning. Two individual 529 530 samples (one from the Lapurdi/Baztan zone and one from Zuberoa) were discarded from the 531 dataset due to their high genetic relatedness to other samples in the study (PI HAT>0.125).

### 533 Merging Data

534 Our Franco-Cantabrian samples were merged with public available data genotyped with the same array: samples from Catalonia, Valencia, and Balearic Islands<sup>28</sup>; plus modern and ancient 535 North African and Western Eurasian samples<sup>19,26,27,47</sup>. Modern Basque samples from Lazaridis 536 537 et al.<sup>27</sup> were included in the analyses in the Basque category as general "Spanish" and "French" 538 Basques due to the lack of information about their microgeographical origin. Samples from 539 Eivissa (within the Balearic Islands dataset) were removed from most of the analyses since it 540 has been reported to be an isolated population differentiated from the Iberian mainland 541 context<sup>28</sup>. Thus, the final dataset contained 1,970 samples, as well as 434,664 SNPs in the 542 dataset used for haplotype-based methods (modern data) and 171,275 SNPs after linkage 543 disequilibrium pruning in the dataset used for allele frequency methods (both modern and 544 ancient data).

545

### 546 **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

### 547 Allele frequency methods

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) eigenvectors were computed by using SmartPCA v13050
 from EIGENSOFT v6.0.1<sup>48</sup>. For the PCA including ancient samples, these were projected to the
 PCA space obtained with the modern samples.

551

In order to explore the population structure in the region, Weir and Cockerham's pairwise  $F_{ST}$ indexes were calculated between the different groups by using the R package stAMPP<sup>49</sup>, with confidence intervals after 1,000 bootstrap repetitions, and represented in a multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot. Then, an AMOVA was performed with the R package poppr<sup>50</sup>. For that, 1,000 permutations as described by Excoffier et al.<sup>51</sup> were carried out with ade4 R package<sup>52</sup>, in order to obtain an empirical distribution under the null hypothesis.

558

559 To test the correlation between the genetic and geographic distances among groups, an 560 isolation by distance (IBD) analysis was performed. Geographic Distance Matrix Generator 561 v1.2.3 software<sup>53</sup> was used to calculate a geographic distance matrix between the groups 562 included in the analysis. Then, a Mantel test was performed between the genetic and 563 geographic distance matrices with the mantel.rtest function in the ade4 R package<sup>52</sup>.

564

565 Effective migration and diversity rates were modeled in the Franco-Cantabrian region dataset using the estimated effective migration surfaces (EEMS) software<sup>54</sup>. The number of nDemes 566 567 was set to 300, and four simultaneous EEMS analyses were run with four different random 568 seeds. Next, the MCMC chain that converged with the highest final log-posterior value was 569 continued with four new runs. This process was repeated three more times, taking the best 570 chain after the previous set of runs in order to be confident that the MCMC chain had converged 571 with the optimal log-posterior value. For every set of four runs, a total of 10 million iterations 572 each were performed, with 5 million discarded as burn-in and sampling every 50,000 iterations.

573

To explore patterns of population structure, Model-based individual ancestries were defined by using ADMIXTURE v1.3.0<sup>55</sup>. The unsupervised method was run for 10 independent iterations, both for the present-day and ancient samples analyses, and with K ancestral clusters ranging from 2 to 12. Then, PONG v1.4.7<sup>56</sup> was used to combine the result of the 10 different iterations, obtain the major modes of the ADMIXTURE results and plot them in a consensus graph.

579

580 AdmixTools package<sup>45</sup> was used to study the relationship between modern and ancient 581 samples. Outgroup f3-statistics were performed with the gp3Pop program to calculate the 582 shared drift between each potential ancient group and all the modern groups in the dataset. The 583 analysis was performed in the form f3(Mbuti; Ancient, Modern), with Mbuti set as outgroup. Then, the qpGraph program was used to model the modern European samples to estimate the 584 three major ancestral proportions in Europe as in Haak et al<sup>25</sup>: Western Pre-Neolithic hunter-585 586 gatherer, European Neolithic farmer, and Yamnaya from Post-Neolithic Pontic-Caspian Steppe. Based on previous studies<sup>25,27,57</sup> and our results, WHG, Europe\_EN and Steppe\_EMBA 587 588 samples from Lazaridis et al.<sup>27</sup> were used as proxies, respectively. A total of 100 replicates were 589 performed for each target modern population, and the average and the 95% confidence 590 intervals of the replicates were calculated. Furthermore, the gpAdm program was run to 591 explicitly test potential admixture models including representatives of the main post-Iron Age

migrations that might have affected the Iberian Peninsula, i.e., the influence of the Roman Empire and North African expansions. 1-way, 2-way and 3-way admixture models were tested by considering Iberian Iron Age<sup>19</sup>, Imperial/Late Antiquity Roman<sup>26</sup> and North African<sup>27</sup> samples as proxies (See Table S2 for further details about the modeling process).

596

### 597 Haplotype-based analyses

Runs of Homozygosity (ROHs) were estimated with PLINK 1.9<sup>46</sup>, including some external 598 599 populations, in order to test for inbreeding. The ROH analysis was performed in sliding windows 600 of 50 SNPs across the genome, allowing for 1 heterozygous call and 5 missing calls per 601 window. The minimum hit rate of all scanning windows containing the SNP to be included in a 602 ROH was set to 0.05. Only ROHs with a minimum length of 500 kilobases (kb) and containing at 603 least 50 SNPs were included in the analysis. One SNP per 50 kb was set as the minimum 604 density threshold and a maximum gap of 100 kb was allowed between two consecutive SNPs in 605 a tract. Due to their isolated nature, the Canary and Balearic Islands<sup>27</sup> samples were removed 606 from the dataset for this analysis. In addition, internal genetic relationships were explored within 607 populations. To that, the PI HAT values (i.e., proportion of segments shared between two 608 individuals due to identity-by-descent) were inferred with PLINK 1.9<sup>46</sup>.

609

610 SHAPEIT  $v2^{58}$  was used to phase the data, with the population-averaged genetic map from 611 HapMap phase III<sup>59</sup> and the available 1000 genomes data as reference panel<sup>60</sup>. The data was 612 first aligned to the reference and the mismatched SNPs were removed, then the proper phase 613 inference was performed.

614

Haplotype sharing between individual modern samples was estimated with ChromoPainter<sup>61</sup>. It depicts individually the haplotypes of each "recipient" sample, without population specification, as a haplotype combination of all the other samples, treated as "donor". Thus, it estimates the total number and length of haplotype fragments (chunks) in the recipient's genome that shares the most common recent ancestor with every donor sample. First, ChromoPainter was run to estimate the global mutation probability and the switch rate parameters. Thus, the expectedmaximization (EM) algorithm implemented in ChromoPainter was used over chromosomes 1, 4,

622 17 and 20 for all individuals, with 10 iterations and parameters -in -iM. Then, the inferred values 623 were averaged across the four chromosomes and individuals, weighting by the number of SNPs 624 per chromosome. It resulted in 0.000661 and 222.54421 for the global mutation (M) and switch 625 rates (n), respectively. Finally, ChromoPainter was run for all chromosomes and individuals 626 fixing the previously estimated parameters to obtain the final count and length sharing 627 coancestry matrices. Then ChromoCombine was used to sum the matrices across 628 chromosomes and obtain the copying profile for each individual, as well as the C parameter 629 (C=0.281698702) needed for running fineSTRUCTURE.

630

FineSTRUCTURE v2.1.0<sup>61</sup> was run to cluster the data provided by ChromoPainter into 631 homogeneous genetic groups following Leslie et al.<sup>62</sup>. The chunkcount coancestry matrix of the 632 633 total number of chunks copied among individuals was used as input. A total of 2 million MCMC 634 iterations were performed, with 1 million burn-in iterations and sampling values from the 635 posterior probability every 10,000 iterations. The FineSTRUCTURE dendrogram was built with 636 the default parameters -m T. The analysis was repeated for three different seeds to check 637 consistency among the dendrograms. Major differences were not observed among them, except 638 the relocation of a few individuals to other genetically close clusters. When confusion between 639 sampling and genetic definitions of the groups might exist, the homogeneous genetic clusters 640 inferred with fineSTRUCTURE were explicitly named by adding a "g" to the group label (as 641 show in Table S1).

642

643 GLOBETROTTER<sup>63</sup> was used following the recommended protocol to estimate the ancestry 644 profile of all the modern samples in the dataset, and test for admixture events in the target 645 populations that showed interesting patterns of admixture. To perform this analysis, 646 ChromoPainter was run first, but classifying the individuals based on the previous clustering 647 results from fineSTRUCTURE. All of them were included in the analysis as donors and 648 recipients. Next, the non-negative least squares (NNLS) method included in GLOBETROTTER 649 was applied to infer the haplotype sharing proportions between the different clusters. Thus, 650 prop.ind parameter was set to 1, null.ind to 0 and num.mixing.iterations to 0. A different NNLS 651 analysis was performed for each cluster as recipient and the others as donors, disallowing self-

652 copying from the recipient itself. Then, GLOBETROTTER was run to check if some admixture 653 events could be detected in our target clusters, using the other clusters as surrogates. The 654 copying vectors and the painting samples from the second run of ChromoPainter were used to 655 run this analysis. As recommended, null.ind parameter was set to 1 when testing for plausible 656 admixture events. This accounts for uncommon patterns in the linkage disequilibrium decay that 657 could show false signals of admixture. Then, null.ind parameter was set to 0 when estimating 658 admixture proportions, dates, and sources. The confidence intervals for the date estimates were 659 inferred by performing 100 bootstrap iterations, for one-date and two-date admixture models.

660

661 Finally, in order to show how population dynamics can explain the genetic diversity observed in 662 our analyses, effective population size (Ne) was estimated for the Basques, Peri-Basques, Spanish and French groups. The NeON R package<sup>64</sup> was used to calculate the effective 663 664 population size across generations in the target groups, by considering the relationship between 665 Ne and the population-specific patterns of linkage disequilibrium from genome-wide SNP data. The recommended guidelines were followed to perform the analysis and interpret the results<sup>64</sup>. 666 667 First, linkage was estimated between markers considering sliding windows of 500 kb and a 668 maximum of 10,000 confrontations in each by using the NeLD function. Then, Ne was estimated 669 with the function Nestimate, setting a minimum and maximum  $r^2$  values to 0.001 and 0.999 in 670 each comparison, respectively. Quantiles of the distribution and median for the long-term Ne (5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles) were estimated by using the functions *Ne CI* and *Ne Med*. 671

672

### 673 References

- 1. Pike, A.W.G., Hoffmann, D.L., Garcia-Diez, M., Pettitt, P.B., Alcolea, J., De Balbin, R.,
- 675 Gonzalez-Sainz, C., de las Heras, C., Lasheras, J.A., Montes, R., et al. (2012). U-Series 676 Dating of Paleolithic Art in 11 Caves in Spain. Science (80-. ). 336, 1409–1413.
- 677 2. Eberhard, M., D., Simons, G.F., and Fennig, C.D. eds. (2020). Ethnologue: Languages
  678 of the World 23rd ed. (SIL International).
- 679 3. Hammarström, H., Forkel, R., Haspelmath, M., and Bank, S. (2020). Glottolog 4.3 (Max
  680 Planck Institute for the Science of Human History).
- 4. Zuazo, K. (2010). El euskera y sus dialectos (Alberdania S. L.).

682	5.	Núñez Astrain, L. (2007). El euskera arcaico: extensión y parentescos (Txalaparta).				
683	6.	Martínez-Cruz, B., Harmant, C., Platt, D.E., Haak, W., Manry, J., Ramos-Luis, E., Soria-				
684		Hernanz, D.F., Bauduer, F., Salaberria, J., Oyharçabal, B., et al. (2012). Evidence of				
685		pre-roman tribal genetic structure in basques from uniparentally inherited markers. Mol.				
686		Biol. Evol. 29, 2211–2222.				
687	7.	Laayouni, H., Calafell, F., and Bertranpetit, J. (2010). A genome-wide survey does not				
688		show the genetic distinctiveness of Basques. Hum. Genet. 127, 455-458.				
689	8.	Rodríguez-Ezpeleta, N., Álvarez-Busto, J., Imaz, L., Regueiro, M., Azcárate, M.N.,				
690		Bilbao, R., Iriondo, M., Gil, A., Estonba, A., and Aransay, A.M. (2010). High-density SNP				
691		genotyping detects homogeneity of Spanish and French Basques, and confirms their				
692		genomic distinctiveness from other European populations. Hum. Genet. 128, 113–117.				
693	9.	Etcheverry, M.A. (1945). El factor rhesus: Su genética y su importancia clínica. Dia Med.				
694		17, 1237–1259.				
695	10.	Flores-Bello, A., Mas-Ponte, D., Rosu, M.E.M.E., Bosch, E., Calafell, F., and Comas, D.				
696		(2018). Sequence diversity of the Rh blood group system in Basques. Eur. J. Hum.				
697		Genet. 26, 1859–1866.				
698	11.	Bertranpetit, J., and Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. (1991). A genetic reconstruction of the history of				
699		the population of the Iberian Peninsula. Ann. Hum. Genet. 55, 51–67.				
700	12.	Calafell, F., and Bertranpetit, J. (1994). Principal component analysis of gene				
701		frequencies and the origin of Basques. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 93, 201–215.				
702	13.	López, S., and Alonso, S. (2013). Genetics and the History of the Basque People. In eLS				
703		(John Wiley & Sons, Ltd).				
704	14.	Pérez-Lezaun, A., Calafell, F., Mateu, E., Comas, D., Ruiz-Pacheco, R., and				
705		Bertranpetit, J. (1996). Microsatellite variation and the differentiation of modern humans.				
706		Hum. Genet. <i>99</i> , 1–7.				
707	15.	Izagirre, N., and de la Rúa, C. (1999). An mtDNA analysis in ancient Basque				
708		populations: implications for haplogroup V as a marker for a major paleolithic expansion				
709		from southwestern europe. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 65, 199–207.				
710	16.	Lopez-Parra, A.M., Gusmão, L., Tavares, L., Baeza, C., Amorim, A., Mesa, M.S., Prata,				
711		M.J., and Arroyo-Pardo, E. (2009). In search of the Pre- and Post-Neolithic Genetic				

- Substrates in Iberia: Evidence from Y-Chromosome in Pyrenean Populations. Ann. Hum.
  Genet. 73, 42–53.
- 714 17. Günther, T., Valdiosera, C., Malmström, H., Ureña, I., Rodriguez-Varela, R.,
- 715 Sverrisdóttir, Ó.O., Daskalaki, E.A., Skoglund, P., Naidoo, T., Svensson, E.M., et al.
- 716 (2015). Ancient genomes link early farmers from Atapuerca in Spain to modern-day
- 717 Basques. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *112*, 11917–11922.
- 718 18. Behar, D.M., Harmant, C., Manry, J., Van Oven, M., Haak, W., Martinez-Cruz, B.,
- 719 Salaberria, J., Oyharabal, B., Bauduer, F., Comas, D., et al. (2012). The Basque
- 720 paradigm: Genetic evidence of a maternal continuity in the Franco-Cantabrian region

since pre-neolithic times. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *90*, 486–493.

- 19. Olalde, I., Mallick, S., Patterson, N., Rohland, N., Villalba-Mouco, V., Silva, M., Dulias,
- K., Edwards, C.J., Gandini, F., Pala, M., et al. (2019). The genomic history of the Iberian
  Peninsula over the past 8000 years. Science (80-.). 363, 1230–1234.
- Gattepaille, L.M., and Jakobsson, M. (2012). Combining markers into haplotypes can
  improve population structure inference. Genetics *190*, 159–174.
- Wangkumhang, P., and Hellenthal, G. (2018). Statistical methods for detecting
  admixture. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 53, 121–127.
- 729 22. Di Gaetano, C., Fiorito, G., Ortu, M.F., Rosa, F., Guarrera, S., Pardini, B., CCusi, D.,
- 730 Frau, F., Barlassina, C., Troffa, C., et al. (2014). Sardinians genetic background
- explained by runs of homozygosity and genomic regions under positive selection. PLoSOne 9.
- Pemberton, T.J., Absher, D., Feldman, M.W., Myers, R.M., Rosenberg, N.A., and Li, J.Z.
  (2012). Genomic patterns of homozygosity in worldwide human populations. Am. J.
  Hum. Genet. *91*, 275–292.
- 736 24. Kirin, M., McQuillan, R., Franklin, C.S., Campbell, H., McKeigue, P.M., and Wilson, J.F.
- 737 (2010). Genomic Runs of Homozygosity Record Population History and Consanguinity.
  738 PLoS One *5*, e13996.
- Haak, W., Lazaridis, I., Patterson, N., Rohland, N., Mallick, S., Llamas, B., Brandt, G.,
  Nordenfelt, S., Harney, E., Stewardson, K., et al. (2015). Massive migration from the
- 741steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. Nature 522, 207–211.

742	26.	Antonio, M.L., Gao, Z., Moots, H.M., Lucci, M., Candilio, F., Sawyer, S., Oberreiter, V.,
743		Calderon, D., Devitofranceschi, K., Aikens, R.C., et al. (2019). Ancient Rome: A genetic
744		crossroads of Europe and the Mediterranean. Science (80). 366, 708–714.
745	27.	Lazaridis, I., Nadel, D., Rollefson, G., Merrett, D.C., Rohland, N., Mallick, S., Fernandes,
746		D., Novak, M., Gamarra, B., Sirak, K., et al. (2016). Genomic insights into the origin of
747		farming in the ancient Near East. Nature 536, 419–424.
748	28.	Biagini, S.A., Solé-Morata, N., Matisoo-Smith, E., Zalloua, P., Comas, D., and Calafell,
749		F. (2019). People from Ibiza: an unexpected isolate in the Western Mediterranean. Eur.
750		J. Hum. Genet. 27, 941–951.
751	29.	Anagnostou, P., Dominici, V., Battaggia, C., Pagani, L., Vilar, M., Wells, R.S., Pettener,
752		D., Sarno, S., Boattini, A., Francalacci, P., et al. (2017). Overcoming the dichotomy
753		between open and isolated populations using genomic data from a large European
754		dataset. Sci. Rep. 7, 41614.
755	30.	Melo Carrasco, D., and Francisco, V.C. (2012). A 1300 años de la conquista de al-
756		Andalus (711-2011): Historia, cultura y legado del Islam en la Península Ibérica. (Centro
757		Mohammed VI para el diálogo de civilizaciones).
758	31.	Gómez-Carballa, A., Olivieri, A., Behar, D.M., Achilli, A., Torroni, A., and Salas, A.
759		(2012). Genetic continuity in the franco-cantabrian region: New clues from
760		autochthonous mitogenomes. PLoS One 7.
761	32.	Montero, M. (2008). Historia general del País Vasco (Txertoa).
762	33.	Bosch, E., Calafell, F., Comas, D., Oefner, P.J., Underhill, P.A., and Bertranpetit, J.
763		(2001). High-Resolution Analysis of Human Y-Chromosome Variation Shows a Sharp
764		Discontinuity and Limited Gene Flow between Northwestern Africa and the Iberian
765		Peninsula. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68, 1019–1029.
766	34.	Bycroft, C., Fernandez-Rozadilla, C., Ruiz-Ponte, C., Quintela, I., Carracedo, A.,
767		Donnelly, P., and Myers, S. (2019). Patterns of genetic differentiation and the footprints
768		of historical migrations in the Iberian Peninsula. Nat. Commun. 10, 551.
769	35.	Garcia Sinner, A., and Velaza, J. eds. (2019). Palaeohispanic Languages and
770		Epigraphies (Oxford University Press).
771	36.	Calafell, F., and Bertranpetit, J. (1994). Mountains and genes: population history of the

- 772 Pyrenees. Hum. Biol. *66*, 823–42.
- 37. Iriondo, M., Barbero, M.C., and Manzano, C. (2003). DNA polymorphisms detect ancient
  barriers to gene flow in basques. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. *122*, 73–84.
- 775 38. Manzano, C., Aguirre, A.I., Iriondo, M., Martín, M., Osaba, L., and de la Rúa, C. (1996).
- Genetic polymorphisms of the Basques from Gipuzkoa: genetic heterogeneity of the
  Basque population. Ann. Hum. Biol. 23, 285–296.
- 39. Abasolo, C.C. (2002). Las fronteras de la lengua vasca a lo largo de la historia. Rev.
  Filol. Románica *19*, 15–36.
- 40. Borrás, H.K. (2008). El euskera en tierras del romance: Rioja alavesa, La Rioja, Burgos,
  781 Encartaciones. Huarte San Juan . Filol. y Didáctica la Leng. *10*, 163–171.
- Abaitua, J. (2018). Patrones geolingüísticos, áreas dialectales y cronologías absolutas
  del EHHA. Fontes linguae Vascon. Stud. Doc. *50*, 283–322.
- 42. Igartua, I., and Zabaltza, X. (2016). A Brief History of the Basque Language (Etxepare
  Euskal Institutua).
- Mitxelena Elissalt, L. (1981). Lengua común y dialectos vascos. Int. J. Basqu. Linguist.
  Philol. *15*, 289–313.
- Zuazo, K. (1996). The Basque Country and the Basque language: an overview of the
  external history of the basque language. In Towards a History of the Basque Language,
  J. I. Hualde, J. A. Lakarra, and R. L. Trask, eds. (John Benjamins Publishing Company),
- 791 p. 5.
- Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y., Genschoreck, T.,
  Webster, T., and Reich, D. (2012). Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics *192*,
  1065–93.
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.R., Bender, D., Maller,
  J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I.W., Daly, M.J., et al. (2007). PLINK: A Tool Set for WholeGenome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *81*,
- 798 559–575.
- van de Loosdrecht, M., Bouzouggar, A., Humphrey, L., Posth, C., Barton, N., AximuPetri, A., Nickel, B., Nagel, S., Talbi, E.H., El Hajraoui, M.A., et al. (2018). Pleistocene
- 801 North African genomes link Near Eastern and sub-Saharan African human populations.

- 802 Science (80-. ). *360*, 548–552.
- 803 48. Patterson, N., Price, A.L., and Reich, D. (2006). Population Structure and Eigenanalysis.
  804 PLoS Genet. 2, e190.
- 49. Pembleton, L.W., Cogan, N.O.I., and Forster, J.W. (2013). StAMPP: an R package for
  calculation of genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. Mol.
  807 Ecol. Resour. *13*, 946–952.
- Kamvar, Z.N., Tabima, J.F., and Grünwald, N.J. (2014). Poppr : an R package for
  genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction.
  PeerJ 2, e281.
- 51. Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., and Quattro, J.M. (1992). Analysis of Molecular Variance
  Inferred from Metric Distances among DNA Haplotypes: Application to Human
  Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Data. Genetics *131*, 479–491.
- 52. Dray, S., and Dufour, A.-B. (2007). The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality
- 815 Diagram for Ecologists. J. Stat. Softw. 22, 1–20.
- 816 53. Ersts, P.J. (2014). Geographic Distance Matrix Generator (version 1.2.3). American
  817 Museum of Natural History, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation.
- 818 54. Petkova, D., Novembre, J., and Stephens, M. (2016). Visualizing spatial population
- 819 structure with estimated effective migration surfaces. Nat. Genet. *48*, 94–100.
- Alexander, D.H., Novembre, J., and Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of
  ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome Res. *19*, 1655–1664.
- 822 56. Behr, A.A., Liu, K.Z., Liu-Fang, G., Nakka, P., and Ramachandran, S. (2016). pong: fast
  823 analysis and visualization of latent clusters in population genetic data. Bioinformatics *32*,
  824 2817–2823.
- S7. Chiang, C.W.K., Marcus, J.H., Sidore, C., Biddanda, A., Al-Asadi, H., Zoledziewska, M.,
  Pitzalis, M., Busonero, F., Maschio, A., Pistis, G., et al. (2018). Genomic history of the
  Sardinian population. Nat. Genet. *50*, 1426–1434.
- 828 58. O'Connell, J., Gurdasani, D., Delaneau, O., Pirastu, N., Ulivi, S., Cocca, M., Traglia, M.,
- 829 Huang, J., Huffman, J.E., Rudan, I., et al. (2014). A General Approach for Haplotype
- 830 Phasing across the Full Spectrum of Relatedness. PLoS Genet. *10*, e1004234.
- 831 59. The International HapMap Consortium (2003). The International HapMap Project. Nature

- **426**, **789–796**.
- 833 60. Consortium, T. 1000 G.P. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature
  834 526, 68–74.
- 835 61. Lawson, D.J., Hellenthal, G., Myers, S., and Falush, D. (2012). Inference of Population
  836 Structure using Dense Haplotype Data. PLoS Genet. *8*, e1002453.
- 837 62. Leslie, S., Winney, B., Hellenthal, G., Davison, D., Boumertit, A., Day, T., Hutnik, K.,
- 838 Royrvik, E.C., Cunliffe, B., Lawson, D.J., et al. (2015). The fine-scale genetic structure of
- the British population. Nature *519*, 309–314.
- 840 63. Hellenthal, G., Busby, G.B.J., Band, G., Wilson, J.F., Capelli, C., Falush, D., and Myers,
- 841 S. (2014). A genetic atlas of human admixture history. Science 343, 747–751.
- 842 64. Mezzavilla, M., and Ghirotto, S. (2015). Neon: An R Package to Estimate Human
- 843 Effective Population Size and Divergence Time from Patterns of Linkage Disequilibrium
- between SNPS. J. Comput. Sci. Syst. Biol. *8*, 37–44.
- 845
- 846
- 847 **Table 1**
- 848

Label	Location	Territory	Main language/dialect	Ν
BIG	Bigorre	France	French	10
BEA	Béarn	France	French	10
CHA	Chalosse	France	French	10
ZMX	Lapurdi/Baztan	France	Euskara - Upper Navarrese/Lapurdian	11
NLA	Lapurdi Nafarroa	France	Euskara - Lower Navarrese	11
SOU	Zuberoa	France	Euskara - Zuberoan	11
RON	Roncal	Spain	Euskara - Roncalese (now Spanish)	11
NCO	Central Western	Spain	Euskara - Upper Navarrese (now	11
	Nafarroa		Spanish)	
NNO	Northwestern Nafarroa	Spain	Euskara - Upper Navarrese	11
GUI	Central Gipuzkoa	Spain	Euskara - Gipuzkoan	11
GSO	Southwestern Gipuzkoa	Spain	Euskara - Biscayan	11
ALA	Araba	Spain	Euskara - Biscayan (now Spanish)	11
BBA	Bizkaia	Spain	Euskara - Biscayan	11
BOC	Western Bizkaia	Spain	Spanish	10
CAN	Cantabria	Spain	Spanish	10
BUR	Northern Burgos	Spain	Spanish	10
RIO	Rioja	Spain	Spanish	10
NAR	Northern Aragon	Spain	Spanish	10

851









