



HAL
open science

Experimenting with Trinucleotide Repeats: Facts and Technical Issues

Guy-Franck Richard

► **To cite this version:**

Guy-Franck Richard. Experimenting with Trinucleotide Repeats: Facts and Technical Issues. Guy-Franck Richard. Trinucleotide Repeats: Methods and Protocols, 2056, Springer Science; Business Media, LLC, pp.1-10, 2019, 978-1-4939-9783-1. 10.1007/978-1-4939-9784-8_1 . pasteur-02864611

HAL Id: pasteur-02864611

<https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02864611v1>

Submitted on 17 Aug 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Experimenting with Trinucleotide Repeats: Facts and Technical Issues	
Copyright Year	2020	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Richard
	Particle	
	Given Name	Guy-Franck
	Suffix	
	Division	Department Genomes & Genetics, Institut Pasteur
	Organization/University	CNRS, UMR3525
	Address	Paris, France
	Email	guy-franck.richard@pasteur.fr
Abstract	<p>Trinucleotide repeats are a peculiar class of microsatellites involved in many neurological as well as developmental disorders. Their propensity to generate very large expansions over time is supposedly due to their capacity to form specific secondary structures, such as imperfect hairpins, triple helices, or G-quadruplexes. These unusual structures were proposed to trigger expansions in vivo. Here, I review known technical issues linked to these structures, such as slippage during polymerase chain reaction and aberrant migration of long trinucleotide repeats during agarose gel electrophoresis. Our current understanding of interactions between trinucleotide repeat secondary structures and the mismatch-repair machinery is also quickly reviewed, and critical questions relevant to these interactions are addressed.</p>	
Keywords (separated by “ - ”)	Trinucleotide repeat - Secondary structure - PCR - Agarose gel electrophoresis - Mismatch repair	

Experimenting with Trinucleotide Repeats: Facts and Technical Issues

Guy-Franck Richard 

Abstract

Trinucleotide repeats are a peculiar class of microsatellites involved in many neurological as well as developmental disorders. Their propensity to generate very large expansions over time is supposedly due to their capacity to form specific secondary structures, such as imperfect hairpins, triple helices, or G-quadruplexes. These unusual structures were proposed to trigger expansions *in vivo*. Here, I review known technical issues linked to these structures, such as slippage during polymerase chain reaction and aberrant migration of long trinucleotide repeats during agarose gel electrophoresis. Our current understanding of interactions between trinucleotide repeat secondary structures and the mismatch-repair machinery is also quickly reviewed, and critical questions relevant to these interactions are addressed.

Key words Trinucleotide repeat, Secondary structure, PCR, Agarose gel electrophoresis, Mismatch repair

1 Introduction

Trinucleotide repeats are a peculiar class of microsatellites, extremely frequent in all eukaryotic genomes sequenced so far (reviewed in [1]). They became more famous almost 30 years ago when it was demonstrated that the large expansion of trinucleotide repeat tracts was linked to some neurological human pathologies [2–4] at the present time, trinucleotide repeat expansions are involved in more than two dozen human neurological disorders, including Huntington disease, Steinert disease (myotonic dystrophy type 1), fragile X syndrome, and Friedreich’s ataxia. These disorders are monogenic, one single locus is responsible for the disease in association studies, although *cis*- and *trans*-acting genetic factors are known to modulate trinucleotide repeat instability in human cells as well as in model systems (reviewed in [1, 5–9]). Therefore, each disease is associated to the expansion of one single trinucleotide repeat tract at one single genomic location; for example, CTG triplets are expanded in Steinert disease, GAA in

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Friedreich's ataxia, CGG in fragile X syndrome, etc. (Fig. 1). Interestingly, other microsatellites besides trinucleotide repeats were also found to be responsible for several disorders: CCTG tetranucleotide repeats in myotonic dystrophy type 2, ATTCT and TGGAA pentanucleotides or GGCCTG hexanucleotides in spinocerebellar ataxias type 10, 31, and 36, respectively, and GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Fig. 1). Note also that the expansion of a 12-bp GC-rich minisatellite is associated to progressive myoclonic epilepsy, a rare epileptic syndrome including seizures together with progressive neurological decline.

The molecular mechanism responsible for these large expansions is not totally understood, but past experiments in model systems (bacteria, yeast, mouse, and human cells) showed that de novo repeat-templated DNA synthesis was prone to generate contractions and expansions of the repeat tract: S-phase replication, double-strand break repair, nucleotide excision repair, and base




Fig. 1 Microsatellite expansion disorders. For each microsatellite, the corresponding disease(s) are indicated, as well as normal (in blue) and pathological allele lengths (in red), on a logarithmic scale. Abbreviations used: *DRPLA* dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy, *SBMA* spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy, *SCA* spinocerebellar ataxia, *Fragile X POI* Fragile X-associated primary ovarian insufficiency, *Fragile X TAS* Fragile X-tremor/ataxia syndrome, *FRAXE* Fragile X mental retardation syndrome. (1) The TGGAA pentanucleotide repeat is inserted within a low complexity (TAGAA)_n (TAAATAGAA)_n repeat. (2) The expanded sequence in EPM1 is not a microsatellite but is technically considered to be a minisatellite (base motif >10 bp)

50 excision repair all induce trinucleotide repeat instability in various
51 experimental systems. It is not the purpose of the present chapter
52 to describe molecular mechanisms involved in trinucleotide repeat
53 instability; those have been thoughtfully reviewed in many places
54 [1, 5–8]. I will rather try to focus on the peculiar properties of such
55 sequences and on the technical issues raised by their study.

56 2 Trinucleotide Repeats Form Secondary Structures In Vitro

57 In a seminal article by the McMurray laboratory, it was early demon-
58 strated that CAG, CTG, and CGG DNA repeats prone to expan-
59 sions exhibited the property to form stable imperfect hairpins
60 in vitro [10] (Fig. 2a, b). This work was rapidly followed by others
61 studying hairpin properties of single-stranded CTG [11], CAG and
62 GAC [12], GTC [13], and CGG [14] trinucleotide repeats. It must
63 be noted that RNA molecules containing CAG, CCG, CGG, or
64 CUG triplet repeats also fold into stable hairpins in a test tube [15,
65 16]. Subsequent studies showed that GAA/TTC repeats, involved
66 in Friedreich’s ataxia, were shown to form a triple helix, containing
67 both Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen bonds (Fig. 2c) [17, 18], a spe-
68 cific structure common to all polypurine-polypyrimidine tracts, as
69 demonstrated by Sergei Mirkin more than 30 years ago [19]. In
70 addition, CGG as well as GCC triplet repeats are able to fold into
71 DNA tetraplex (or G4, or quadruplex), similar to structures formed
72 at the end of human telomeres [20, 21]. Formation of a CAG or
73 CTG hairpin on one DNA strand leads to a slipped-stranded struc-
74 ture in which one or more mung bean nuclease-sensitive hairpin(s)
75 are visible as bulges by electron microscopy [22]. More recently,
76 atomic force microscopy showed that very long CAG trinucleotide
77 repeats of various lengths (111–415 triplets) exhibited unusual
78 structural features such as convolutions as well as single and multi-
79 ple protrusions, suggesting that these structures were most proba-
80 bly multiple hairpins [23]. In all the above cases, the stability of the
81 repeat-containing secondary structure increased with repeat length,
82 making long trinucleotide repeats more prone to fold than shorter
83 ones. Since the propensity to expansion was known to be tightly
84 correlated to repeat size (the formerly called “Sherman paradox”
85 [3]), soon emerged the idea that secondary structures could be
86 triggering the expansion process [24].

87 3 Secondary DNA Structures and Mismatch Repair

88 The mismatch-repair system (hereafter abbreviated MMR), is con-
89 served from bacteria to man [25] and is involved in detecting syn-
90 thesis mistakes made by polymerases and signaling them to the
91 repair machinery. In its absence, microsatellite instability exhibits




Fig. 2 Secondary structures formed by different trinucleotide repeats. **(a)** CAG, CTG, and CCG hairpins formed by odd number triplets. Unpaired bases are colored. **(b)** CAG, CTG, and CCG hairpins formed by an even number of triplets. **(c)** Triple helix formed a GAA repeat tract. Watson–Crick pairings are shown by double lines, Hoogsteen pairings by single lines. **(d)** Tetraplex structure formed by CCG repeats. Guanosine quartets are shown in black. (Figure originally published by G.-F. Richard and reprinted with permission from the American Society for Microbiology [1])

92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

several hundredfold to thousandfold increases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [26–28] and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [29]. Two kinds of damage are recognized by the MMR: base substitution and insertions or deletions (indels). They are processed by two slightly different machineries. The MSH2-MSH6 heterodimeric complex (MutS α) recognizes single base mismatches and small indels of 1–2 nucleotides, whereas the MSH2-MSH3 heterodimer (MutS β) recognizes larger indels. Bound MutS complexes subsequently recruit MutL complexes, the EXO1 exonuclease and the DNA synthesis machinery to repair the error (reviewed in [30]). When the first trinucleotide repeat disorders were discovered, mismatch repair became the first obvious candidate for a possible role in large repeat expansions. Soon, experimental assays were designed in yeast to detect large trinucleotide repeat length alterations. Very surprisingly, it was shown that short CAG or CTG repeat tracts (25 triplets) were not significantly more expanded or contracted in an

108 *msh2* mutant as compared to wild type [31]. When slightly longer
109 CAG repeat tracts were assayed, a modest twofold increase in con-
110 tractions was observed in an *msh2* strain, far from the thousandfold
111 destabilization of microsatellites in the absence of MMR [32, 33].
112 It must be noted here that trinucleotide repeats, like all microsatel-
113 lites, exhibit small length changes in MMR mutants, mainly +1
114 or -1 triplet [34], but larger expansions or contractions did not
115 seem to be significantly more elevated in MMR-deficient back-
116 grounds. However, when it comes to trinucleotide repeats, things
117 are often more complicated than they seemed at first. In 1997,
118 Christopher Pearson and Richard Sinden published a remarkable
119 article in which they showed that purified MSH2 protein bound
120 in vitro to CAG/CTG slipped-stranded structured DNA [35]. It
121 was subsequently established that the MSH2-MSH3 complex
122 bound CAG hairpins [36, 37], and that CAG and CTG repeats
123 when more prone to contractions in transgenic mice deficient for
124 the MSH2 protein [38, 39]. It was later demonstrated that the
125 MSH2 ATPase activity was essential to generate repeat expansions,
126 strongly suggesting that a functional MMR was indeed required to
127 promote them [40]. Reinvestigation of CAG/CTG repeat tract
128 dynamics in yeast showed that these sequences accumulated small
129 incremental expansions over time, that were suppressed in an
130 *msh3* Δ mutant background [41]. Finally, Msh2p was shown to be
131 enriched in yeast cells at a CAG or CTG long repeat tract, in an
132 *MSH3*-dependent manner [42]. All these data point to a role for
133 the MutS β complex in CAG/CTG repeat expansions. Similar
134 results were observed for CGG/CCG repeats in a fragile X pre-
135 mutation mouse model [43] and for GGA/TTC repeats in a
136 Friedreich's ataxia mouse model [44], but several questions remain
137 open. Does MutS β hairpin binding plays a stabilizing role, protect-
138 ing them from degradation or repair by the cellular machinery? Is
139 the MMR also involved in repeat expansion for other microsatel-
140 lites? How do MutS β -bound secondary structures interact with
141 histones and with replication, recombination, and repair machin-
142 eries? Is the expansion mechanism promoted by MutS β iterative or
143 saltatory? In other words, does it happen once or more than once
144 in a short period of time? In any case, it seems that trinucleotide
145 repeat-forming secondary structures activate the mismatch-repair
146 machinery in such a way that it promotes their expansion, by a
147 mechanism that still needs to be clarified.

148 4 Technical Issues to Be Considered When Trying to Amplify CAG/CTG 149 Trinucleotide Repeats by PCR

150 A convenient way to analyze trinucleotide repeat length is by using
151 two flanking primers to amplify the repeat tract by PCR. However,
152 this approach is might be laborious due to possible slippage of the




Fig. 3 PCR amplification of wild-type and CTG repeat-containing locus. The same genomic DNA was used as a template in triplicate PCR reactions (labeled 1, 2, and 3). A sharp unique band was obtained when the locus that does not contain a CTG repeat was amplified (*SUP4*). Nonreproducible bands and a smear were obtained when the CTG repeat-containing locus was amplified (*SUP4::CTG₉₀*). Similar results were obtained with other primer sets located close to the repeat tract

153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175

newly synthesized strand over its template during the PCR reaction itself, leading to amplification products of aberrant apparent sizes. One such example is shown in (Fig. 3). A (CTG)₉₀ repeat was integrated into a yeast chromosome, at the *SUP4* locus, and amplified by PCR using primers located upstream and downstream, close to the repeat tract. The PCR reaction was run in triplicate using the same genomic DNA as a template. In the three reactions, shorter products around 300 bp were detected as a smear migrating below the expected size of 496 bp. In addition, in reaction #3 an additional band was visible below the main product, suggesting that slippage of a few repeat units occurred in one of the earliest PCR cycles (Fig. 3). This very frequent problem could be reduced by designing primers further away from the repeat tract, but in that case the total length of the final PCR product would become the limiting factor. With very large expansions, such as those observed in DM1, DM2, Friedreich's ataxia, or ALS, the repeat tract length itself may be too large to be amplified by PCR, independently of the position of flanking primers and one has to use alternative methods such as Southern blot [42] or exotic PCR reactions [45] to estimate tract length. An example of a Southern blot used to analyzed trinucleotide repeat length is shown in (Fig. 4).

Another caveat of PCR amplification of trinucleotide repeat tracts results from allele length heterogeneity in template genomic




Fig. 4 Southern blot to determine CTG repeat tract length. DNA was prepared and the gel was run, transferred, and hybridized as previously described [42]. The repeat tract length of 12 independent yeast clones was analyzed (#1 to 12). To the right is a molecular weight ladder corresponding to the number of expected triplets. In this strain, the CTG repeat tract should normally be around 100 triplets. This is the case for clones #1, 2, 8, and 10, all other clones exhibiting contractions of variable lengths. Note that clone #1 shows a number of faint discrete bands of lower molecular weight, indicating repeat tract mosaicism

176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190

DNA. This is specially an issue if DNA was extracted from a tissue or a mix of tissues, and was not amplified clonally from a single cell (or a very small number of cells). In that case, one particular allele may be preferentially amplified, usually but not necessarily the shortest one, most often the most frequent allele in the population considered. This tends to underrepresent rare alleles present in the population and therefore gives a biased picture of trinucleotide repeat tract instability in the corresponding tissue or culture. To palliate this problem, small-pool PCR protocols were designed and optimized to amplify trinucleotide repeat tracts. In short, template DNA is diluted to a small number of genomes per reaction (typically ~5–10) and PCR is carried out on many individual reactions at the same time. This allows to determine more precisely the amount of trinucleotide repeat length variability within a DNA sample [46, 47].

191

5 Aberrant Trinucleotide Repeat Tract Mobility on Agarose Gels

192
193
194
195

In addition to artifacts due to PCR slippage, trinucleotide repeats may show abnormal mobility in agarose gels. This has been known for a long time, since more than 20 years ago it was shown that migration of an expanded CGG allele from a fragile X patient

196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241

(>200 CGG triplets) in an agarose gel was aberrant when the gel and running buffer were supplemented with 5 µg/ml ethidium bromide during electrophoresis. When the gel was stained after migration the CGG-containing band migrated at the expected position according to its molecular weight. However, when staining occurred during gel electrophoresis, the expected band migrated as a diffuse smear of molecules [48]. The author concluded that when precise CGG repeat tract length determination was important, staining of agarose gels should be performed after the migration, for unambiguous results.

Quite surprisingly, the opposite observation was made with CTG repeats. Recently, Gomes-Pereira and Monckton showed that PCR products amplified from CTG-containing templates migrated in agarose gels as discrete bands when electrophoresis was performed in the presence of 0.2 µg/ml ethidium bromide. This held true for repeat lengths ranging from five to 200 CTG triplets. On the contrary, when electrophoresis was performed without ethidium bromide and the gel was stained afterward, smeary additional bands of higher molecular weights were detected above the expected repeat size [49]. Additional experiments supported the hypothesis that these smears corresponded to slipped-stranded molecules formed during sequential cycles of denaturation-polymerization performed during the PCR reaction. It was not completely clear, though, how the presence of ethidium bromide during electrophoresis completely suppressed the detection of such molecules. Nevertheless, the authors concluded that precise repeat tract length determination by electrophoresis in agarose gels of CTG trinucleotide repeats should always be performed in the presence of ethidium bromide, to avoid such artifacts.

GAA trinucleotide repeats form triple helices (Fig. 2c) and plasmids carrying such repeats were shown to exhibit aberrant mobility in agarose gels, a retarded band of high molecular weight being detected at different ethidium bromide concentrations [50]. At the present time, it is unclear whether other microsatellites expanded in human disorders also exhibit similar properties, but it should be kept in mind that precise size determination of trinucleotide repeat tract length by agarose gel electrophoresis should be considered with extreme care.

In conclusion, all studies on trinucleotide repeats had to face these technically challenging features: frequent repeat size changes, stable secondary structure formation, and aberrant mobility in agarose gels. Most of the analytical molecular methods developed to study trinucleotide repeats aimed at circumventing these obstacles. Several of them are extensively described in the present book and will hopefully be useful to researchers in the many areas of this fascinating field.

242

Acknowledgments

243

Work in my lab is generously supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and by the Institut Pasteur.

244

References

- 246 1. Richard G-F, Kerrest A, Dujon B (2008) Comparative genomics and molecular dynamics of DNA repeats in eukaryotes. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 72:686–727
- 247
- 248 2. Brook JD et al (1992) Molecular basis of myotonic dystrophy: expansion of a trinucleotide (CTG) repeat at the 3' end of a transcript encoding a protein kinase family member. *Cell* 68:799–808
- 249
- 250 3. Fu Y-H et al (1991) Variation of the CGG repeat at the fragile X site results in genetic instability: resolution of the Sherman paradox. *Cell* 67:1047–1058
- 251
- 252 4. Fu YH et al (1992) An unstable triplet repeat in a gene related to myotonic muscular dystrophy. *Science* 255:1256–1258
- 253
- 254 5. McMurray CT (2010) Mechanisms of trinucleotide repeat instability during human development. *Nat Rev Genet* 11:786–799
- 255
- 256 6. Mirkin SM (2007) Expandable DNA repeats and human disease. *Nature* 447:932–940
- 257
- 258 7. Mosbach V, Poggi L, Richard G-F (2018) Trinucleotide repeat instability during double-strand break repair: from mechanisms to gene therapy. *Curr Genet*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-018-0865-1>
- 259
- 260 8. Pearson CE, Edamura KN, Cleary JD (2005) Repeat instability: mechanisms of dynamic mutations. *Nat Rev Genet* 6:729–742
- 261
- 262 9. Usdin K, House NC, Freudenreich CH (2015) Repeat instability during DNA repair: insights from model systems. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10409238.2014.999192>
- 263
- 264 10. Gacy AM, Goellner G, Juranic N, Macura S, McMurray CT (1995) Trinucleotide repeats that expand in human disease form hairpin structures in vitro. *Cell* 81:533–540
- 265
- 266 11. Mitas M et al (1995) Hairpin properties of single-stranded DNA containing a GC-rich triplet repeat: (CTG)₁₅. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23:1050–1059
- 267
- 268 12. Yu A, Mitas M (1995) The purine-rich trinucleotide repeat sequences d(CAG)₁₅ and d(GAC)₁₅ form hairpins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23:4055–4057
- 269
- 270 13. Yu A et al (1995) The trinucleotide repeat sequence d(GTC)₁₅ adopts a hairpin conformation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23:2706–2714
- 271
- 272 14. Nadel Y, Weisman-Shomer P, Fry M (1995) The fragile X syndrome single strand d(CGG)_n nucleotide repeats readily fold back to form unimolecular hairpin structures. *J Biol Chem* 270:28970–28977
- 273
- 274 15. Pinheiro P et al (2002) Structures of CUG repeats in RNA. *J Biol Chem* 277:35183–35190
- 275
- 276 16. Sobczak K, de Mezer M, Michlewski G, Krol J, Krzyzosiak WJ (2003) RNA structure of trinucleotide repeats associated with human neurological diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31:5469–5482
- 277
- 278 17. Mariappan SV, Catasti P, Silks LA 3rd, Bradbury EM, Gupta G (1999) The high-resolution structure of the triplex formed by the GAA/TTC triplet repeat associated with Friedreich's ataxia. *J Mol Biol* 285:2035–2052
- 279
- 280 18. Suen IS et al (1999) Structural properties of Friedreich's ataxia d(GAA)_n repeats. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1444:14–24
- 281
- 282 19. Mirkin SM et al (1987) DNA H form requires a homopurine-homopyrimidine mirror repeat. *Nature* 330:495–497
- 283
- 284 20. Fojtik P, Vorlickova M (2001) The fragile X chromosome (GCC) repeat folds into a DNA tetraplex at neutral pH. *Nucleic Acids Res* 29:4684–4690
- 285
- 286 21. Fry M, Loeb LA (1994) The fragile X syndrome d(CGG)_n nucleotide repeats form a stable tetrahelical structure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91:4950–4954
- 287
- 288 22. Pearson CE et al (2002) Slipped-strand DNAs formed by long (CAG)_n(CTG)_n repeats: slipped-out repeats and slip-out junctions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 30:4534–4547
- 289
- 290 23. Duzdevich D et al (2011) Unusual structures are present in DNA fragments containing super-long huntingtin CAG repeats. *PLoS One* 6:e17119
- 291
- 292 24. McMurray CT (1999) DNA secondary structure: a common and causative factor for expansion in human disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96:1823–1825
- 293
- 294
- 295
- 296
- 297
- 298
- 299
- 300
- 301
- 302
- 303
- 304
- 305
- 306
- 307
- 308
- 309
- 310
- 311
- 312
- 313
- 314
- 315
- 316
- 317
- 318
- 319
- 320
- 321
- 322
- 323
- 324
- 325
- 326
- 327
- 328
- 329
- 330
- 331
- 332
- 333
- 334
- 335
- 336
- 337

- 338 25. Larrea AA, Lujan SA, Kunkel TA (2010) 391
339 SnapShot: DNA mismatch repair. *Cell* 392
340 141:730 e1 393
- 341 26. Harfe BD, Jinks-Robertson S (2000) Sequence 394
342 composition and context effects on the genera- 395
343 tion and repair of frameshift intermediates in 396
344 mononucleotide runs in *Saccharomyces cerevi-* 397
345 *siae*. *Genetics* 156:571–578 398
- 346 27. Strand M, Prolla TA, Liskay RM, Petes TD 399
347 (1993) Destabilization of tracts of simple 400
348 repetitive DNA in yeast by mutations affecting 401
349 DNA mismatch repair. *Nature* 365:274–276 402
- 350 28. Tran HT, Keen JD, Kricker M, Resnick MA, 403
351 Gordenin DA (1997) Hypermutability of 404
352 homonucleotide runs in mismatch repair and 405
353 DNA polymerase proofreading yeast mutants. 406
354 *Mol Cell Biol* 17:2859–2865 407
- 355 29. Mansour AA, Tornier C, Lehmann E, Darmon 408
356 M, Fleck O (2001) Control of GT repeat sta- 409
357 bility in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* by mis- 410
358 match repair factors. *Genetics* 158:77–85 411
- 359 30. Jiricny J (2006) The multifaceted mismatch- 412
360 repair system. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7:335–346 413
- 361 31. Miret JJ, Pessoa-Brandão L, Lahue RS (1998) 414
362 Orientation-dependent and sequence-specific 415
363 expansions of CTG/CAG trinucleotide repeats 416
364 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc Natl Acad* 417
365 *Sci U S A* 95:12438–12443 418
- 366 32. Miret JJ, Pessoa-Brandao L, Lahue RS (1997) 419
367 Instability of CAG and CTG trinucleotide 420
368 repeats in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell* 421
369 *Biol* 17:3382–3387 422
- 370 33. Richard G-F, Dujon B, Haber JE (1999) 423
371 Double-strand break repair can lead to high 424
372 frequencies of deletions within short CAG/ 425
373 CTG trinucleotide repeats. *Mol Gen Genet* 426
374 261:871–882 427
- 375 34. Schweitzer JK, Livingston DM (1997) 428
376 Destabilization of CAG trinucleotide repeat 429
377 tracts by mismatch repair mutations in yeast. 430
378 *Hum Mol Genet* 6:349–355 431
- 379 35. Pearson CE, Ewel A, Acharya S, Fishel RA, 432
380 Sinden RR (1997) Human MSH2 binds to 433
381 trinucleotide repeat DNA structures associated 434
382 with neurodegenerative diseases. *Hum Mol* 435
383 *Genet* 6:1117–1123 436
- 384 36. Owen BA et al (2005) (CAG)(n)-hairpin DNA 437
385 binds to Msh2-Msh3 and changes properties 438
386 of mismatch recognition. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 439
387 12:663–670 440
- 388 37. Tian L et al (2009) Mismatch recognition pro- 441
389 tein MutSbeta does not hijack (CAG)n hairpin 442
390 repair in vitro. *J Biol Chem* 284:20452–20456 443
38. Manley K, Shirley TL, Flaherty L, Messer 391
A (1999) Msh2 deficiency prevents in vivo 392
somatic instability of the CAG repeat in 393
Huntington disease transgenic mice. *Nat* 394
Genet 23:471–473 395
39. Savouret C et al (2003) CTG repeat instabil- 396
ity and size variation timing in DNA repair- 397
deficient mice. *EMBO J* 22:2264–2273 398
40. Tome S et al (2009) MSH2 ATPase domain 399
mutation affects CTG* CAG repeat instability 400
in transgenic mice. *PLoS Genet* 5:e1000482 401
41. Williams GM, Surtees JA (2015) MSH3 pro- 402
motes dynamic behavior of trinucleotide repeat 403
tracts in vivo. *Genetics* 200:737–754 404
42. Viterbo D, Michoud G, Mosbach V, Dujon B, 405
Richard G-F (2016) Replication stalling and 406
heteroduplex formation within CAG/CTG 407
trinucleotide repeats by mismatch repair. *DNA* 408
Repair 42:94–106 409
43. Lokanga RA, Zhao XN, Usdin K (2014) The 410
mismatch repair protein MSH2 is rate limiting 411
for repeat expansion in a fragile X premutation 412
mouse model. *Hum Mutat* 35:129–136 413
44. Ezzatizadeh V et al (2012) The mismatch 414
repair system protects against intergenerational 415
GAA repeat instability in a Friedreich ataxia 416
mouse model. *Neurobiol Dis* 46:165–171 417
45. Dandelot E, Gourdon G (2018) The flash- 418
small-pool PCR: how to transform blotting 419
and numerous hybridization steps into a simple 420
denatured PCR. *BioTechniques* 64:262–265 421
46. Gomes-Pereira M, Bidichandani SI, Monckton 422
DG (2004) Analysis of unstable triplet repeats 423
using small-pool polymerase chain reaction. In: 424
Kohwi Y (ed) *Trinucleotide repeat protocols*. 425
Humana Press, New York, pp 61–76. <https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-804-8:061> 426
427
47. Tome S, Nicole A, Gomes-Pereira M, Gourdon 428
G (2014) Non-radioactive detection of trinucleotide 429
repeat size variability. *PLoS Curr* 6 430
[1002121](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcur.1002121) 431
48. Cummins JH (1997) The unique alteration of 432
electrophoretic mobility of fragile-X-expanded 433
fragments in the presence of ethidium bro- 434
mide. *Tech Tips Online* 2:84–86 435
49. Gomes-Pereira M, Monckton DG (2017) 436
Ethidium bromide modifies the agarose elec- 437
trophoretic mobility of CAG-CTG alternative 438
DNA structures generated by PCR. *Front Cell* 439
Neurosci 11:153 440
50. Sakamoto N et al (1999) Sticky DNA: self- 441
association properties of long GAA.TTC 442
repeats in R.R.Y triplex structures from 443
Friedreich's ataxia. *Mol Cell* 3:465–475 444

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 1 0004441418

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check whether the author name and affiliation are presented correctly.	
AU2	Please provide page range for Ref. [47].	

Uncorrected Proof