

Associations between consumption of dietary fibers and the risk of cardiovascular diseases, cancers, type 2 diabetes, and mortality in the prospective NutriNet-Santé cohort

Valentin Partula, Mélanie Deschasaux, Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo, Paule Latino-Martel, Elisa Desmetz, Eloi Chazelas, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, Chantal Julia, Léopold Fezeu, Pilar Galan, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Valentin Partula, Mélanie Deschasaux, Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo, Paule Latino-Martel, Elisa Desmetz, et al.. Associations between consumption of dietary fibers and the risk of cardiovascular diseases, cancers, type 2 diabetes, and mortality in the prospective NutriNet-Santé cohort. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2020, 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa063. pasteur-02641647v2

HAL Id: pasteur-02641647 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02641647v2

Submitted on 25 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Associations between consumption of dietary fibers and the risk of cardiovascular diseases, cancers, type 2 diabetes, and mortality in the prospective NutriNet-Santé cohort

Valentin PARTULA*, Mélanie DESCHASAUX*, Nathalie DRUESNE-PECOLLO, Paule LATINO-MARTEL, Elisa DESMETZ, Eloi CHAZELAS, Emmanuelle KESSE-GUYOT, Chantal JULIA, Léopold K. FEZEU, Pilar GALAN, Serge HERCBERG, Stanislas MONDOT, Olivier LANTZ, Lluis QUINTANA-MURCI, Matthew L. ALBERT, Darragh DUFFY, The Milieu Intérieur Consortium, Bernard SROUR, Mathilde TOUVIER, *equally contributed

AFFILIATIONS

Paris 13 University, Inserm, Inra, Cnam, Nutritional Epidemiology Research Team (EREN), Epidemiology and Statistics Research Centre – University of Paris (CRESS), Bobigny, France (VP, MD, NDP, PLM, ED, EC, EKG, CJ, LKF, PG, SH, BS, MT)

Université de Paris, Université Paris-VII Denis Diderot, Paris, France (VP)

MICALIS (INRA/Université Paris-Saclay – AgroParisTech), Jouy-en-Josas, France (SM)

Department of Public Health, Hôpital Avicenne (Hôpitaux Universitaires 93/AP-HP), Bobigny, France (CJ, SH)

Human Evolutionary Genetics laboratory (CNRS URA3012/Institut Pasteur), Paris, France (LQM)

Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Insitro, San Fransisco, CA 94080, USA (MLA)

Immunobiology of Dendritic Cells laboratory (INSERM U1223/Institut Pasteur), Paris, France (DD)

Curie Institute, PSL University, INSERM U932, Paris, France (OL)

Clinical Investigation Center CIC-BT1428 (Institut Gustave Roussy/Institut Curie), INSERM, Paris, France (OL)

The Milieu Intérieur Consortium₁: Laurent ABEL (Hôpital Necker); Andres ALCOVER; Hugues ASCHARD; Kalla ASTROM (Lund University); Philippe BOUSSO; Pierre BRUHNS; Ana CUMANO; Caroline DEMANGEL; Ludovic DERIANO; James DI SANTO; Françoise DROMER; Gérard EBERL; Jost ENNINGA; Jacques FELLAY (EPFL, Lausanne); Odile GELPI; Ivo GOMPERTS-BONECA; Milena HASAN; Serge HERCBERG (Université Paris-XIII Nord); Olivier LANTZ (Institut Curie); Claude LECLERC; Hugo MOUQUET; Etienne PATIN; Sandra PELLEGRINI; Stanislas POL (Hôpital Cochin); Antonio RAUSELL (INSERM U1163 – Institut Imagine); Lars ROGGE; Anavaj SAKUNTABHAI; Olivier SCHWARTZ; Benno SCHWIKOWSKI; Spencer SHORTE; Vassili SOUMELIS (Institut Curie); Frédéric TANGY; Eric TARTOUR (Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou); Antoine TOUBERT (Hôpital Saint-Louis); Mathilde TOUVIER (Université Paris-XIII Nord); Marie-Noëlle UNGEHEUER; Matthew L. ALBERT (Insitro)§; Darragh DUFFY§; Lluis QUINTANA-MURCI§. ¶Unless otherwise indicated, partners are located at Institut Pasteur, Paris, France. § Coordinator of the Milieu Intérieur Consortium Additional information can be found at: <u>http://www.milieuinterieur.fr/en</u>

AUTHORS' LAST NAMES:

PARTULA, DESCHASAUX, DRUESNE-PECOLLO, LATINO-MARTEL, DESMETZ, CHAZELAS, KESSE-GUYOT, JULIA, FEZEU, GALAN, HERCBERG, MONDOT, LANTZ, QUINTANA-MURCI, ALBERT, DUFFY, The Milieu Intérieur Consortium, SROUR, TOUVIER

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Mélanie DESCHASAUX EREN – UFR SMBH – Université Paris-XIII Nord, 74 rue Marcel Cachin, F-93017 Bobigny Cedex, France m.deschasaux@eren.smbh.univ-paris13.fr phone : +33 1 48 38 89 77

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

The NutriNet-Santé study was supported by the following French public institutions: Ministère de la Santé, Santé Publique France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (CNAM) and Université Paris-XIII Nord. The Milieu Intérieur Consortium was supported by French Government's Investissement d'Avenir Program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Milieu Intérieur" Grant ANR-10-LABX-69-01. Valentin Partula was funded by a Ph.D. grant from the Labex Milieu Interieur, and is provided financial support from École doctorale 474 Frontières de l'Innovation en Recherche et Education – Programme Bettencourt. Mélanie Deschasaux was supported by a grant from the *Fondation Recherche Médicale* (ARF201809007046).

The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, or in the writing of the manuscript.

SHORT RUNNING HEAD

Dietary fiber, chronic diseases and mortality

ABBREVIATIONS

BMI, body-mass index; CépiDc, Centre d'épidémiologie sur les causes médicales de décès ; CI, confidence interval ; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DF, dietary fiber; GBD, Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study; HR, hazard ratio; IF, insoluble fiber; PCA, principal component analysis; SF, soluble fiber; TDF, total dietary fiber; T2D, type 2-diabetes.

TRIAL REGISTRY

The NutriNet-Santé protocol is registered under ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03335644 - https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03335644?term=NCT03335644&rank=1).

DATA SHARING

Data described in the manuscript (limited to summary data per participant consent), code book, and analytic code will be made available upon reasonable request pending application and approval.

1 ABSTRACT

2 Background

- 3 Mounting evidence yet with varying levels of proof suggests that dietary fibers (DFs) may
- 4 exert a protective role against various chronic diseases, but this might depend on the DF
- 5 type and source.

6 **Objective**

- 7 Our objectives were to assess the associations between the intake of DFs of different types
- 8 (total (TDF), soluble (SF), insoluble (IF)) and from different sources (fruits, vegetables, whole
- 9 grains, legumes, potatoes-tubers) and the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), cancer,
- 10 type 2 diabetes (T2D), and mortality in the large-scale NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort
- 11 (2009-2019).

12 Design

- 13 Overall, 107,377 participants were included. Usual DF intake was estimated from validated
- 14 repeated 24-hour dietary records over the two first years following inclusion in the cohort.
- 15 Associations between sex-specific quintiles of DF intake and the risk of chronic diseases and
- 16 mortality were assessed using multi-adjusted Cox proportional hazards models.

17 Results

- 18 T2D risk was inversely associated with TDFs (HR for quintile 5 vs quintile 1: 0.59 (95%CI:
- 19 0.42,0.82), P-trend<0.001), SFs (HR: 0.77 (0.56,1.08); P-trend=0.02) and IFs (HR: 0.69
- 20 (0.50,0.96); P-trend=0.004). SFs were associated with a decreased risk of CVD (HR: 0.80
- 21 (0.66,0.98); P-trend=0.01) and colorectal cancer (HR: 0.41 (0.21,0.79); P-trend=0.01). IFs
- 22 were inversely associated with mortality from cancer or cardiovascular diseases (HR: 0.65
- 23 (0.45,0.94); P-trend=0.02). TDF intake was associated with a decreased risk of breast cancer
- 24 (HR: 0.79 (0.54,1.13); P for trend=0.04). DF intakes from fruit associated with the risk of
- 25 several chronic diseases.

26 Conclusions

- 27 Our results suggest that DF intake especially SFs and DFs from fruits was inversely
- associated with the risk of several chronic diseases and with mortality. Further studies are
- 29 needed, involving different types and sources of fiber. Meanwhile, more emphasis should be
- 30 put on DFs in public health nutrition policies, as DF intake remains below the recommended
- 31 levels in many countries.

32 Keywords

- 33 Dietary fibers, cardiovascular diseases, cancers, type 2-diabetes, mortality, prospective
- 34 cohort

35 **INTRODUCTION**

Non-communicable diseases (e.g., cardiovascular diseases (CVD), cancer, type 2 diabetes 36 37 (T2D)) are estimated to be responsible for 70% of deaths worldwide, thus representing the leading cause of mortality. In a recent study, the Global Burden of Diseases (GBD) 38 39 consortium concluded that suboptimal diet is responsible for more deaths than any other risk factor globally, including tobacco smoking (1). Promoting the consumption of dietary 40 41 components for which intakes are less than the optimal level was thus suggested to be an efficient way to mitigate the disease burden related to dietary risks (1). 42 In this context, dietary fibers (DFs) represent a highly promising target: the intake of DFs has 43 indeed consistently been reported as inadequate when compared to the recommended 44 45 intakes, regardless the country (2). Consumption of DFs has been associated with a variety of health benefits, on both the short- (e.g. reduction of intestinal transit time, reduction of 46 post-prandial blood glucose level (2)), and the longer term, with mounting evidence 47 suggesting a role of DFs in the prevention of mortality (2,3) and chronic diseases such as 48 49 CVDs (1,4) and T2D (5,6). Regarding cancer, the current evidence supports a "probable" 50 inverse relationship between DFs and colorectal cancer, but is less clear regarding other 51 cancer types, as stated in the latest World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for 52 Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR) report (7). 53 The generic term "dietary fibers" refers to a heterogeneous group of highly diversified

54 compounds which vary in terms of structure and physicochemical characteristics (e.g.,

solubility, viscosity, fermentability) (2,8). Overall, previous studies suggest that DFs may be

56 differentially associated with health outcomes depending on their solubility or sources (9–16)

and this may be related to corresponding differential physiological effects. For instance,

some SFs form a viscous gel in the intestinal tract; in contrast, IFs do not exhibit viscosity but

are characterized by a fecal-bulking ability (8). Likewise, the main sources of DFs – fruit,

60 vegetables, whole grains, potatoes, tubers, and legumes (17) each provide a distinctive mix

of different types of DF compounds with their specific properties (2,8).

In that context, our objectives were to assess the associations between the intake of dietary
fibers of different types (total dietary fibers (TDFs), SFs, and IFs) and from different sources
(fruits, vegetables, whole grain cereals, potatoes and tubers, and legumes), and the risk of
CVD, cancer, T2D and mortality, in a large prospective cohort of French adults.

66 SUBJECTS, MATERIAL, AND METHODS

67 Study population

68 This study was based on the NutriNet-Santé cohort, a web-based prospective study with 69 primary aim to investigate the associations between nutrition and health, as well as dietary 70 behaviors and their determinants. The recruitment of participants aged at least 18 years old, 71 speaking fluent French and having regular access to the Internet started in May 2009 72 through vast multimedia campaigns and is still ongoing (open cohort). Participants were 73 asked to register online on the dedicated web interface. More details on the study rationale, 74 design, and methods can be found elsewhere (18). The NutriNet-Santé study is conducted 75 according to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines and was approved by the institutional 76 review board of the French Institute for Health and Medical Research (IRB Inserm 77 0000388FWA00005831) and the Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés (CNIL 908450/909216). The protocol is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03335644). 78 Electronic informed consent is obtained from each participant. 79

80 Data collection

Participants are regularly invited to fulfill guestionnaires directly on the study website. More 81 82 specifically, at inclusion, participants were required to fill in questionnaires collecting information related to socio-demographic and lifestyle factors (e.g. educational level, 83 smoking status), anthropometrics (height, weight), physical activity (measured through the 84 French version of the validated International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) (19)), 85 86 and health status (e.g. personal and family medical history, medical treatments, reproductive life for women). Upon inclusion and every 6 months thereafter, dietary data was collected 87 through 3 non-consecutive web-based 24-hour dietary records (validated against traditional 88

89 methods and biomarkers (20-22)), randomly assigned over a 2-week period (2 weekdays 90 and 1 weekend day). For each dietary record, participants were asked to list all subsequent foods and beverages ingested, from midnight to midnight, through main meals (breakfast, 91 92 lunch, dinner) and any other eating occasion. Participants then estimated the portion size for all items previously listed using photographs from a validated picture booklet (23), or through 93 standard measurements (home containers, grams indicated on the package, etc.). Dietary 94 intakes (in energy, alcohol, macro- and micronutrients, etc.) were inferred using the 95 96 published NutriNet-Santé food composition database (24) which is regularly updated, and currently comprises nutritional values for more than 3.500 food items. DF composition in 97 TDFs, SFs, and IFs for all food items (TDFs = SFs + IFs) was inferred using Finnish Fineli 98 99 and Turkish Türkomp databases as well as reference books (25–27) following an additional 100 ad hoc literature search.

In this prospective study, mean dietary intakes from all 24-hour dietary records available
 during the first 2 years following participant's inclusion in the cohort (between 2 and 15
 records) were considered as baseline usual dietary intakes.

104 Case ascertainment

105 Participants were asked to declare CVD or cancer events through the yearly health 106 questionnaire, through a quarterly specific questionnaire, or at any time using a specific 107 interface on the study website. Upon the declaration of such health event, the study 108 physicians started a validation process to confirm the event: participants were invited to send 109 all medical records and anatomic pathology reports corroborating the diagnosis; if necessary, physicians from the NutriNet-Santé study contacted the participants' physicians or relevant 110 medical structures to collect additional information. Besides, data collected within the 111 NutriNet-Santé study were linked to the SNIIRAM database (medico-administrative 112 database) from the French national health insurance system (Caisse Nationale de 113 114 l'Assurance Maladie), which limits potential bias for participants who may not report their disease to the study investigators. CVD and cancer cases were classified according to the 115

International Chronic Diseases Classification, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10). 116 117 All cancers except basal-cell carcinomas were included, and the CVDs included acute coronary syndrome (ACS), angina pectoris, angioplasty, myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, 118 119 and transitory ischemic attack (TIA). CVDs were further classified into coronary heart diseases (MI, ACS, angina pectoris, and angioplasty) and cerebrovascular diseases (stroke 120 and TIA). All T2D cases were primarily detected through the declaration by the participants of 121 a T2D diagnosed by a physician and/or of diabetes medication use in the quarterly and 122 123 yearly health questionnaires. Data from the SNIIRAM database (reimbursement of T2D 124 medication detected or not) were also considered for confirmation (ICD-10 codes E11). More 125 details regarding CVD, cancer and T2D case ascertainment are available in Supplementary methods. 126

Data on participants' deaths were retrieved from the French National cause-specific mortality registry (CépiDC) which includes both dates and causes of death and is accessible freely for all French citizens. Mortality from cancer (ICD codes C00-C97 and D37-D48) or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases (ICD codes 100-199) was more specifically considered.

All first incident cancers, CVDs and T2D, as well as deaths occurring between baseline (end
of completion of dietary data, two years after entry in the cohort) and February 2019 were
considered as cases.

134 Statistical analysis

135 To be included in the present work, participants needed to have provided at least 2 24-hour 136 dietary records during the first 2 years following their entry in the NutriNet-Santé cohort and not to be classified as energy under-reporters (investigated using the method described by 137 Black (28), see more details in Supplementary methods). For each disease-specific analysis, 138 prevalent cases of the corresponding disease were excluded. A Flowchart is shown in 139 Figure 1. In the T2D track, prevalent and incident cases of type 1-diabetes were also 140 141 excluded. For all covariates except physical activity, <5% of values were missing. For physical activity, the proportion of missing values was 14%. These missing data were 142

handled using Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE) by fully conditional

specification (FCS, 20 imputed datasets) for the following variables: body-mass index,

145 physical activity and educational level (29).

146 DF intakes (TDFs, SFs, IFs, as well as DFs from fruits, vegetables, legumes, and potatoes 147 and tubers) were computed as sex-specific quintiles to ensure the same sex-ratio between 148 all quintiles and limit a potential residual confounding due to sex (since women tend to eat 149 less than men and for this reason would tend to be more often found in the lowest quintiles). 150 For DF intake from legumes and whole grains, sex-specific quintiles could not be created 151 due to limited number of consumers; a non-consumer category was set, and sex-specific 152 guartiles were computed in consumers. P for trends were derived from tests performed with the median of DF intake within each category. Cox proportional hazards models were used 153 154 to evaluate the association between DF intakes and the incidence of CVD (overall, coronary 155 heart diseases (CHD) and cerebrovascular diseases), cancers (overall, breast, prostate, and 156 colorectal cancers), T2D, and mortality from all causes and from cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases. Participants contributed person-time from 2 years after their 157 158 inclusion in the cohort (allowing to disjoint the follow-up period from the diet assessment period) until the date of the studied health event, the date at which the last questionnaire was 159 completed, the date of death, or February 22nd 2019, whichever occurred first. In specific 160 161 cancer or CVD models, participants were censored upon the declaration of another cancer or 162 CVD type than the one studied (e.g. breast cancer in the colorectal cancer model) and were 163 not considered as cases. For each model, hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals 164 (CI) were computed. Age was used as the primary time-scale, and main models were 165 adjusted for the following potential confounders (established or suspected risk factors for the 166 studied health outcomes that also relate to diet) pertaining to socio-demographic 167 characteristics: age, sex, educational level (<high-school degree, <2 years of higher 168 education, ≥ 2 years of higher education); lifestyle: physical activity (low, moderate, high; 169 following IPAQ guidelines (19)), smoking status (current smoker, ex-smoker, non-smoker), 170 alcohol intake (in g/d), energy intake (in kcal/d); anthropometrics: body-mass index (BMI, in

kg/m²), height (for cancer analyses, in cm); study design: number of 24-hour dietary records 171 172 (continuous); family history of diseases (yes/no): CVD (for CVD and mortality analyses), cancer (for cancer and mortality analyses) and T2D (for T2D analyses); and personal history 173 174 of CVD, cancer, and T2D (for mortality analyses, yes/no). When dealing with DF from different sources, models were further adjusted for the intake of DF from all other sources 175 than the one under study. Schoenfeld residuals were computed in order to confirm risk 176 proportionality assumptions (Supplementary Figure 1). Spearman correlation coefficients 177 178 confirmed the absence of collinearity between the continuous variables included in the 179 models (Supplementary Table 1). Potential non-linear associations were assessed using 180 restricted cubic spline analyses (SAS %macro developed by Desquilbet et al. (30)). P for 181 non-linear associations are shown in Supplementary Table 5.

182 Sensitivity analyses were performed to test the robustness of our results. Model 2 was 183 further adjusted for metabolic risk factors (dyslipidemia, hypertriglyceridemia, hypertension and prevalent T2D at inclusion (yes/no) and their related medications (yes/no). Further 184 adjustments for the quality of the diet were tested in model 3 (intakes of saturated fatty acids 185 186 (in g/d), sodium (in mg/d), and added sugars (in g/d)), model 4 (intakes of vitamin C (in mg/d), vitamin E (in mg/d), zinc (in mg/d), and selenium (in mg/d)) and model 5 ("healthy" 187 and "Western" dietary patterns derived by principal component analysis on 20 food groups; 188 see further details in Supplementary methods). Model 6 excluded participants providing less 189 190 than 3 24-hour dietary records during the first two years of follow-up to improve the overall 191 accuracy of the dietary data. Model 7 excluded participants with prevalent CVD, cancer or T2D at inclusion, to limit a potential reverse causality bias. Finally, model 8 excluded 192 participants with missing data on covariates ("complete cases" analysis). In the CVD track, 193 194 an additional analysis was run in which TIA and angina pectoris were not considered as CVD 195 cases. Indeed, TIA and angina pectoris have not always been considered as 'major' CVD 196 events in previous studies as they are lighter events than myocardial infarction or stroke, not 197 necessarily leading to a hospitalization and more likely to be missed/undiagnosed and 198 therefore not reported.

- Interactions were tested between DF intake (TDF, SF, IF) and the following variables on all
 outcomes: sex, age, BMI, "healthy" and "Western" dietary patterns. P for interaction was
 obtained as the P-value of the product of the corresponding two variables introduced in the
 model. For statistically significant interactions, corresponding subgroup analyses were
 performed.
 SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute) was used for the analyses, and tests were considered statistically
- significant when p-value was <0.05.

206 **RESULTS**

207 **Description of the study population**

208 A total of 107,377 participants were eligible, from which were excluded prevalent cases of 209 the disease under study as well as participants with less than two years of follow-up. 210 Characteristics of these participants according to quintiles of TDF intakes are presented in **Table 1**. The mean ± SD age at inclusion was 42.8±14.6 years. Mean number of dietary 211 212 records per participant was 6 (range: 2-15). On average, DF intakes were19.5±7.2 g/day for TDFs, 5.7±2.6 g/day for SFs, and 13.8±5.1 g/day for IFs. Distributions of the consumption of 213 TDFs, IFs, and SFs, in the sample as well as their seasonal variations are presented in 214 Supplementary Figure 2. Strikingly, 92.5% of individuals (85.4% of men and 94.4% of 215 216 women) did not meet the French daily recommended TDF intake (i.e. 30 g of DFs per day). Associations between DF intakes and mortality or the risk of chronic diseases 217 In mortality analyses, median follow-up (starting 2 years post-inclusion in the cohort) was 5.0 218 years (418,009 person-years) and 635 deaths occurred - among which 408 were attributed 219 220 to cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases. No association was observed for all-221 cause mortality (Table 2). However, IF intake was inversely associated with mortality from 222 cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases (HR for quintile 5 vs quintile 1: 0.65; 95% CI: 223 0.45, 0.94; P for trend=0.02), even when adjusted for SF intake (Table 2). 224 In the CVD track, 131 MIs, 114 strokes, 54 ACSs, 678 angioplasties, 620 TIAs and 303 225 angina pectoris - representing 1554 first incident cases - occurred during follow-up. SFs 226 were inversely associated with the risk of overall CVD (HRQ5 vs Q1: 0.80; 0.66, 0.98; P for trend=0.01, median follow-up: 4.7 years, 385,028 person-years; Table 2), even when 227 228 adjusted for IF intake. This association was still observed when TIA and angina pectoris were not considered as CVD cases (HRQ5 vs Q1: 0.70; 0.54, 0.91; P for trend=0.005; data not 229 tabulated) and was more particularly observed for the risk of CHD (HRQ5 vs Q1: 0.74; 0.58, 230 0.96; P for trend=0.004, median follow-up: 4.8 years, 387,199 person-years; Table 2). DFs 231 232 from fruit (Figure 2) were associated with a tendency for a decreased risk of overall CVD

(HRQ5 vs Q1: 0.83; 0.69, 1.01; P for trend=0.07; HR per 1-SD increment: 0.93; 0.88, 0.98, P for 233 234 trend=0.009), and of cerebrovascular diseases (HR $_{Q5 vs} Q_1$: 0.71; 0.54, 0.92, P for trend=0.07; HR per 1-SD increment: 0.90; 0.83, 0.98, P for trend=0.02, median follow-up: 4.8 years, 388,487 235 236 person-years). 237 In the cancer track, 1,711 first incident cancers occurred, among which 529 breast, 218 prostate, and 127 colorectal cancer cases. No association was detected between any type 238 and source of DFs and overall cancer or prostate cancer (Table 2). SFs were associated with 239 a decreased risk of colorectal cancer (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.41; 0.21, 0.79; P for trend=0.01, median 240 follow-up: 4.7 years, 368,402 person-years), even when adjusted for IF intake (Table 2), and 241 242 as were DFs from fruit (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.42; 0.21, 0.81; P for trend=0.01; Figure 2; 243 Supplementary Table 2). TDFs were associated with a decreased risk of breast cancer (HR 244 Q5 vs Q1: 0.79; 0.54, 1.13; P for trend=0.04; HR per 1-SD increment: 0.86; 0.75, 0.97, P for trend=0.02 median follow-up: 4.7 years, 288,015 person-years; Table 2), as were IFs (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.93; 245 0.64, 1.35; P for trend=0.10; HR per 1-SD increment: 0.85; 0.75, 0.96, P for trend=0.01; Table 2), 246 even when adjusted for soluble fiber intake. These associations were more particularly 247 248 observed for pre-menopausal breast cancer (Supplementary Table 3). In the T2D track, 544 incident cases of T2D occurred during follow-up. A decreased risk of 249 T2D (median follow-up: 4.8 years, 388,205 person-years) was observed for TDFs (HR Q5 vs Q1: 250 0.59; 0.42, 0.82; P for trend<0.001), SFs (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.77; 0.56, 1.08; P for trend=0.02), but 251 252 not when adjusted for IF intake, and IFs (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.69; 0.50, 0.96; P for trend=0.004), 253 even when adjusted for SF intake (Table 2). T2D risk was also inversely associated with DF intake from fruit (HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.68; 0.50, 0.92; P for trend=0.004; Figure 2). 254 Results were overall robust across all sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Table 4). 255 256 No interaction was detected except for T2D between IF intake and age (P for 257 interaction=0.02), with a stronger association in older participants (\geq 41.5y, i.e. the median; HR Q5 vs Q1: 0.63; 0.44, 0.91; P for trend<0.001 versus HR Q5 vs Q1: 1.32; 0.57, 3.04; P for 258 259 trend=0.44 for younger participants); and between IF intake and the "healthy" dietary pattern

score (P for interaction=0.01), with a stronger association for participants with a score above

260

- trend=0.01 versus HR _{Q5 vs Q1}: 0.76; 0.35, 1.66; P for trend=0.73 for participants with a score
- below the median).

264 **DISCUSSION**

In this large prospective cohort of French adults, a higher intake of dietary fibers was
associated with a decrease in chronic disease incidence and mortality. Specifically, TDFs,
SFs and IFs were inversely associated with T2D risk, TDFs were also inversely associated
with breast cancer risk, SFs with the risk of CVD (overall and CHD) and colorectal cancer,
and IFs with mortality from cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases. Amongst the
different sources of DFs, DFs from fruit were inversely associated with the risk of CVD,

colorectal cancer and T2D.

272 Recent meta-analyses of prospective studies concluded that higher intakes of DFs were 273 inversely associated with mortality from all cause and from cancer or CVD (6,12,31). Inverse 274 associations were also reported for both IFs and SFs with CVD mortality (6,12,31), for DF 275 from cereals and mortality from all causes and cancer (6,12), for DF from cereals, fruit and legumes and mortality from CVD (6,12), for DF from fruit and vegetables and mortality from 276 CHD (6,12). Beyond DFs, the consumption of whole grains was also associated with overall 277 mortality, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality (6). In our study, associations with DFs were 278 279 only observed for mortality from cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases, consistent with their established sensitivity to nutritional factors (1), and only for IFs. 280

With regard to CVDs, meta-analyses of cohort studies reported inverse associations between 281 282 TDF, IF and SF intakes and the risk of overall CVD (6,13), CHD (6,13,15) or stroke (6), and 283 between DF from cereals, fruit and vegetables and the incidence of CVD (6,13), CHD 284 (6,13,15) or stroke (6). In our study, we report inverse associations between SFs and the risk 285 of CVD (overall and CHD), and between DFs from fruit and the risk of CVD (tendency for 286 associations for overall and cerebrovascular diseases), but not with TDF, IF or DF from 287 cereals or vegetables. Interestingly, SF were strongly inversely associated with CHD risk, 288 with or without adjustment for IF. In contrast, results on IF tended to be reinforced by 289 adjustment for SF. This suggests that SF may be an important confounder in this association 290 and that it is important to assess the link between IF and CHD at similar levels of SF intakes. 291 These results can be further discussed in light of a meta-analysis of randomized controlled

292 trials, which concluded that SF supplementation was significantly associated with a reduction 293 of both systolic and diastolic blood pressures (32), which are risk factors for CVDs. 294 The current body of evidence links DF intake with a decreased risk of colorectal cancer with 295 a strong level of proof (6,7,33). Still, evidence is needed on potential distinct associations according to DF types (SFs and IFs) or sources, with a recent meta-analysis suggesting a 296 297 role for DFs from cereals (6). In the present work, we observed an inverse association between the intake of SFs (P-trend=0.07 for TDFs) and DFs from fruit and the risk of 298 299 colorectal cancer. Regarding other cancer types, TDFs were associated with a decreased 300 breast cancer risk in meta-analyses of epidemiological studies (6,34). In particular, analyses 301 conducted by our group within the SU.VI.MAX cohort observed inverse associations between 302 DFs from vegetables, but not TDFs, and breast cancer risk (9), and between TDFs, IFs, and 303 DFs from legumes and prostate cancer risk (10). However, the evidence linking breast or 304 prostate cancers to DFs remain unclear, preventing the WCRF/AICR to reach a conclusion in 305 its latest report (7). Here we observed an inverse association between TDFs and breast cancer, supporting previous results, but no association with prostate cancer. 306 307 Finally we reported here that all 3 types of DF, as well as DFs from fruit were inversely

associated with the risk of T2D, echoing several meta-analyses of prospective studies where
the intakes of TDFs, IFs and DFs from cereals (6,11,16), SFs (6) and DFs from fruit (6,16)
were inversely associated with the risk of T2D.

311 Overall, our findings were consistent with current knowledge suggesting that higher intakes 312 of DFs were associated with decreased risk of chronic diseases and mortality, while adding to the body of evidence (limited so far) regarding SFs or IFs and DFs from several dietary 313 314 sources. Still, our results only partially reproduced the conclusions from prior meta-analyses, 315 as some associations were not observed. This could be linked to population differences 316 (sociodemographic, country, age, sex ratio, etc.), and therefore differences in food 317 consumption patterns and contribution of the sources to DF intake. In addition, the level of 318 DF intake in our population was quite low, and possibly below the amounts providing clear 319 health benefits (corresponding to the recommended 30g/d of TDFs, reached by only a limited proportion of participants in our cohort) which may have weakened the associations. Finally,
 the number of available studies included in the meta-analyses on different DF types and
 sources was limited, DF from cereals being the most studied. More studies dissecting these
 associations are therefore required.

324 The interactions observed in our study suggested that DF may be an important component of a "healthy" dietary pattern for T2D prevention; interaction between IF and age was likely 325 326 explained by the fact that older participants were more at risk compared to younger ones. 327 DFs are not consumed alone but are rather brought by several sources (fruit, vegetables, 328 legumes, cereals) also providing other compounds of interest (e.g. antioxidants, etc.) and are 329 also usually part of healthy dietary patterns. Adjusting for antioxidant vitamins and minerals 330 (vitamins C and E, selenium and zinc) or overall dietary patterns in our study did not modify 331 the findings, arguing for a specific effect of dietary fibers. Additionally, several mechanistic hypotheses support our results. DFs are indeed associated with improved blood glucose 332 levels (increased viscosity of the intestinal bulk and decreased transit time thus limiting the 333 nutrient absorption rate (35)), improved insulin response (36-39) and a reduction of total and 334 335 LDL serum cholesterol (37,40,41). Besides, the bulk-increasing capability of DFs may dilute fecal carcinogens and reduce transit time and thus, duration of exposure to these 336 carcinogens (42). Finally, the potential protective role of DFs for the prevention of chronic 337 338 diseases could be mediated by the gut microbial production of short-chain fatty acids 339 (SCFAs) from the fermentation of undigestible DFs reaching the colon (43–45). SCFAs may 340 play a role in maintaining the metabolic health of the human host through local and systemic effects on gut barrier integrity, inflammatory and immune response in the gut, glucose 341 homeostasis and lipid metabolism in several tissues (46,47). Differential associations 342 343 observed according to DF solubility or sources may reflect the specific properties of the 344 various compounds coined as 'dietary fibers' (2). For instance, SF, especially when viscous, 345 are recognized for their blood-glucose lowering or blood lipid-modifying effects and may be 346 more quickly fermented into SCFAs. These properties are also particularly recognized for 347 DFs characteristic of fruit, such as pectin (2).

In addition to its prospective design and the large sample size, the main strength of our study 348 349 pertained to the detailed collection of dietary and nutrient intake, collected through repeated validated 24 hour-dietary records based on an extensive database comprising 3,500 food 350 351 items. This allowed us to examine the associations between different types and sources of DFs and a variety of chronic conditions. However, some limitations should be acknowledged. 352 Although our models were adjusted for a variety of potential confounders, residual 353 354 confounding cannot be entirely ruled out. Still, the sensitivity analyses we performed 355 confirmed the overall robustness of our results. In our study, the detection of diseases was 356 primarily based on self-report, with a validation procedure based on medical records and/or 357 linkage to national databases. Despite this robust approach, misclassification bias cannot be ruled out, especially when cases may not be reported: e.g., in the case of under-diagnosis for 358 359 diseases such as T2D (20% in France (48)) or in the case of a lack of awareness in the population for diseases such as peripheral arterial disease (consequently not included as 360 CVD in our study). Yet, given the prospective design, such bias is unlikely to be differential 361 and would therefore result in weakened associations. Furthermore, the statistical power was 362 363 limited for colorectal cancer analyses and was too limited to perform separate analyses for other cancer locations than the one reported here. Finally, compared to the general French 364 population, participants had higher socio-professional and educational levels (49) and 365 healthier lifestyle and dietary habits (50). This may limit the generalizability of our findings 366 367 and might also have resulted in an underrepresentation of cases compared to the general 368 French population (for instance, at inclusion, 5.7% of prevalent cancer, 2.4% of prevalent CVD and 1.4% of prevalent T2D in our sample compared to national data: prevalence of 369 370 cancer of 7.2% (51), of CVD of 4.9% (52,53) and of T2D of around 5% (54)), and a smaller 371 contrast in dietary intakes between compared groups, thus a loss of statistical power. 372 Nonetheless, the average TDF intake in our study (19.5±7.2 g/day) was comparable to the 373 average TDF intake of 19.6±7.4 g/day observed in a French nationally representative study 374 (17).

- 375 Overall, this large prospective study supports a potential benefit of dietary fiber intake,
- 376 especially soluble fibers and fibers from fruits, in the prevention of various chronic diseases
- and mortality, consistently with mechanistic data. Dietary fiber intake is still far below
- 378 recommended levels in many Western countries and thus represent a key target for public
- health nutrition policies, with appropriate actions needed to foster the consumption of DFs
- through a large variety of sources in the population.

381 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 382 The authors thank Cédric Agaesse, Vristi Desan and Cynthia Perlin (dietitians); Younes
- 383 Esseddik, Thi Hong Van Duong, Paul Flanzy, Régis Gatibelza, Jagatjit Mohinder and Aladi
- Timera (computer scientists); Fabien Szabo de Edelenyi, PhD, Nathalie Arnault, Julien
- Allegre, and Laurent Bourhis (data-manager/statisticians) for their technical contribution to
- the NutriNet-Santé study. We thank all the volunteers of the NutriNet-Santé cohort.

387 CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

388 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

389 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

- 390 The authors' contributions were as follows: VP, MD, BS, MT, designed the research; SH, PG,
- 391 MT, EKG, CJ, LF, NDP, LQM, MLA, DD, OL, MI Consortium: conducted the research; BS,
- 392 VP, performed data curation; VP: performed statistical analysis and wrote the original draft;
- 393 MD: revised the manuscript (statistical analyses and writing); MT: supervised statistical
- 394 analyses and manuscript writing; all authors: contributed to data interpretation and revised
- 395 each draft for important intellectual content; MT had primary responsibility for the final
- 396 content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, Cornaby L, Ferrara G, Salama JS, Mullany EC, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abebe Z, et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2019;393:1958–72.
- Stephen AM, Champ MM-J, Cloran SJ, Fleith M, van Lieshout L, Mejborn H, Burley VJ. Dietary fibre in Europe: current state of knowledge on definitions, sources, recommendations, intakes and relationships to health. Nutrition Research Reviews. 2017;30:149–90.
- Veronese N, Solmi M, Caruso MG, Giannelli G, Osella AR, Evangelou E, Maggi S, Fontana L, Stubbs B, Tzoulaki I. Dietary fiber and health outcomes: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2018;107:436–44.
- 4. Mozaffarian D. Dietary and Policy Priorities for Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, and Obesity: A Comprehensive Review. Circulation. 2016;133:187–225.
- 5. Forouhi NG, Misra A, Mohan V, Taylor R, Yancy W. Dietary and nutritional approaches for prevention and management of type 2 diabetes. BMJ. 2018;k2234.
- 6. Reynolds A, Mann J, Cummings J, Winter N, Mete E, Te Morenga L. Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The Lancet. 2019;393:434–45.
- WCRF/AICR. Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Recommendations and public health and policy implications. 2018;
- 8. Davidson MH, McDonald A. Fiber: Forms and functions. Nutrition Research. 1998;18:617–24.
- Deschasaux M, Zelek L, Pouchieu C, His M, Hercberg S, Galan P, Latino-Martel P, Touvier M. Prospective Association between Dietary Fiber Intake and Breast Cancer Risk. PLoSOne. 2013;8:e79718.
- Deschasaux M, Pouchieu C, His M, Hercberg S, Latino-Martel P, Touvier M. Dietary total and insoluble fiber intakes are inversely associated with prostate cancer risk. J Nutr. 2014;144:504–10.
- 11. The InterAct Consortium. Dietary fibre and incidence of type 2 diabetes in eight European countries: the EPIC-InterAct Study and a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Diabetologia. 2015;58:1394–408.
- Kim Y, Je Y. Dietary fibre intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all cancers: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases. 2016;109:39–54.
- 13. Threapleton DE, Greenwood DC, Evans CEL, Cleghorn CL, Nykjaer C, Woodhead C, Cade JE, Gale CP, Burley VJ. Dietary fibre intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013;347:f6879–f6879.
- 14. Weickert MO, Pfeiffer AF. Impact of Dietary Fiber Consumption on Insulin Resistance and the Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. The Journal of Nutrition. 2018;148:7–12.

- 15. Wu Y, Qian Y, Pan Y, Li P, Yang J, Ye X, Xu G. Association between dietary fiber intake and risk of coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis. Clinical Nutrition. 2015;34:603–11.
- Yao B, Fang H, Xu W, Yan Y, Xu H, Liu Y, Mo M, Zhang H, Zhao Y. Dietary fiber intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a dose–response analysis of prospective studies. European Journal of Epidemiology. 2014;29:79–88.
- 17. Anses. Etude Individuelle Nationale des Consommations Alimentaires 3 (INCA 3). 2017.
- Hercberg S, Castetbon K, Czernichow S, Malon A, Mejean C, Kesse E, Touvier M, Galan P. The Nutrinet-Santé Study: a web-based prospective study on the relationship between nutrition and health and determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:242.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, SjÖstrÖm M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, et al. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12country Reliability and Validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003;35:1381–95.
- Touvier M, Kesse-Guyot E, Mejean C, Pollet C, Malon A, Castetbon K, Hercberg S. Comparison between an interactive web-based self-administered 24 h dietary record and an interview by a dietitian for large-scale epidemiological studies. BrJNutr. 2011;105:1055–64.
- Lassale C, Castetbon K, Laporte F, Camilleri GM, Deschamps V, Vernay M, Faure P, Hercberg S, Galan P, Kesse-Guyot E. Validation of a Web-based, self-administered, non-consecutive-day dietary record tool against urinary biomarkers. Br J Nutr. 2015;113:953–62.
- 22. Lassale C, Castetbon K, Laporte F, Deschamps V, Vernay M, Camilleri GM, Faure P, Hercberg S, Galan P, Kesse-Guyot E. Correlations between Fruit, Vegetables, Fish, Vitamins, and Fatty Acids Estimated by Web-Based Nonconsecutive Dietary Records and Respective Biomarkers of Nutritional Status. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2016;116:427–38.
- Ie Moullec N, Deheeger M, Preziosi P, Monteiro P, Valeix P, Rolland M, Potier De Courcy G, Christides JP, Cherouvrier F, Galan P, et al. Validation du Manuel-photos utilisé pour l'enquête alimentaire de l'étude SU.VI.MAX. Cah Nutr Diet. 1996;31:158–64.
- 24. Table de composition des aliments, étude NutriNet-Santé [Food composition table, NutriNet-Santé study]. Les éditions INSERM/Economica, 2013. Etude Nutrinet Santé;
- 25. Holland B, Unwin I, Buss D. Cereals and Cereal Products. The Third Supplement to McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods (4th Edition). 1988.
- 26. Holland B, Unwin I, Buss D. Vegetables, Herbs and Spices. The Fifth Supplement to McCance & Widdowson's The Composition of Foods (4th Edition). 1992.
- 27. Holland B, Unwin I, Buss D. Fruit and Nuts. First Supplement to the Fifth Edition of McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods. 1993.
- 28. Black AE. Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. IntJObesRelat Metab Disord. 2000;24:1119–30.
- 29. van Buuren S. Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. Statistical Methods in Medical Research. 2007;16:219–42.

- 30. Desquilbet L, Mariotti F. Dose-response analyses using restricted cubic spline functions in public health research. Stat Med. 2010;29:1037–57.
- Liu L, Wang S, Liu J. Fiber consumption and all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortalities: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research. 2015;59:139–46.
- Khan K, Jovanovski E, Ho HVT, Marques ACR, Zurbau A, Mejia SB, Sievenpiper JL, Vuksan V. The effect of viscous soluble fiber on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases. 2018;28:3–13.
- Gianfredi V, Salvatori T, Villarini M, Moretti M, Nucci D, Realdon S. Is dietary fibre truly protective against colon cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition. 2018;69:904–15.
- 34. Chen S, Chen Y, Ma S, Zheng R, Zhao P, Zhang L, Liu Y, Yu Q, Deng Q, Zhang K. Dietary fibre intake and risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. Oncotarget [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Oct 31];7. Available from: http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/13140
- 35. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Leeds AR, Gassull MA, Haisman P, Dilawari J, Goff DV, Metz GL, Alberti KG. Dietary fibres, fibre analogues, and glucose tolerance: importance of viscosity. BMJ. 1978;1:1392–4.
- Weickert MO, Mohlig M, Koebnick C, Holst JJ, Namsolleck P, Ristow M, Osterhoff M, Rochlitz H, Rudovich N, Spranger J, et al. Impact of cereal fibre on glucose-regulating factors. Diabetologia. 2005;48:2343–53.
- 37. Chandalia M, Garg A, Lutjohann D, von Bergmann K, Grundy SM, Brinkley LJ. Beneficial Effects of High Dietary Fiber Intake in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. New England Journal of Medicine. 2000;342:1392–8.
- Robertson MD, Bickerton AS, Dennis AL, Vidal H, Frayn KN. Insulin-sensitizing effects of dietary resistant starch and effects on skeletal muscle and adipose tissue metabolism. AmJClinNutr. 2005;82:559–67.
- Probst-Hensch NM, Wang H, Goh VHH, Seow A, Lee H-P, Yu MC. Determinants of circulating insulin-like growth factor I and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 concentrations in a cohort of Singapore men and women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003;12:739–46.
- 40. Kirby RW, Anderson JW, Sieling B, Rees ED, Chen WJ, Miller RE, Kay RM. Oat-bran intake selectively lowers serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations of hypercholesterolemic men. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1981;34:824–9.
- 41. Brown L, Rosner B, Willett WW, Sacks FM. Cholesterol-lowering effects of dietary fiber: a meta-analysis. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1999;69:30–42.
- 42. Lipkin M, Reddy B, Newmark H, Lamprecht SA. DIETARY FACTORS IN HUMAN COLORECTAL CANCER. Annual Review of Nutrition. 1999;19:545–86.
- 43. Hu G-X, Chen G-R, Xu H, Ge R-S, Lin J. Activation of the AMP activated protein kinase by short-chain fatty acids is the main mechanism underlying the beneficial effect of a high fiber diet on the metabolic syndrome. Medical Hypotheses. 2010;74:123–6.

- 44. Tang Y, Chen Y, Jiang H, Robbins GT, Nie D. G-protein-coupled receptor for short-chain fatty acids suppresses colon cancer. International Journal of Cancer. 2011;128:847–56.
- 45. Hamer HM, Jonkers D, Venema K, Vanhoutvin S, Troost FJ, Brummer R-J. Review article: the role of butyrate on colonic function: REVIEW: ROLE OF BUTYRATE ON COLONIC FUNCTION. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2007;27:104–19.
- 46. den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud D-J, Bakker BM. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. Journal of Lipid Research. 2013;54:2325–40.
- 47. Makki K, Deehan EC, Walter J, Bäckhed F. The Impact of Dietary Fiber on Gut Microbiota in Host Health and Disease. Cell Host & Microbe. 2018;23:705–15.
- 48. Fagot-Campagna A, Fosse S, Roudier C, Romon I. Prévalence et incidence du diabète, et mortalité liée au diabète en France [Internet]. 2010 Nov. Available from: http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr/Publications-et-outils/Rapports-et-syntheses/Maladieschroniques-et-traumatismes/2010/Prevalence-et-incidence-du-diabete-et-mortalite-lieeau-diabete-en-France
- 49. Andreeva VA, Salanave B, Castetbon K, Deschamps V, Vernay M, Kesse-Guyot E, Hercberg S. Comparison of the sociodemographic characteristics of the large NutriNet-Sante e-cohort with French Census data: the issue of volunteer bias revisited. JEpidemiolCommunity Health. 2015;69:893–8.
- 50. Andreeva VA, Deschamps V, Salanave B, Castetbon K, Verdot C, Kesse-Guyot E, Hercberg S. Comparison of Dietary Intakes Between a Large Online Cohort Study (Etude NutriNet-Santé) and a Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Study (Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé) in France: Addressing the Issue of Generalizability in E-Epidemiology. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2016;184:660–9.
- 51. INCa. Les cancers en France, l'essentiel des faits et chiffres / Édition 2019.
- 52. De Peretti C, Grimaud O, Tuppin P, Chin F, Woimant F. Prevalence of stroke, sequelae and difficulties in activity of daily living: French Disabilities and Health studies 2008-2009. BEH. 2012;1.
- 53. De Peretti C, Pérel C, Tuppin P, Iliou M, Juillière Y, Gabet A, Olié V, Danet S, Danchin N. Prevalence and functional status of coronary heart diseases and heart failure in the adult population in France: contribution of the "disabilities and health" cross-sectional surveys. BEH. 2014;9–10:172–81.
- 54. Santé Publique France. Diabète [Internet]. Available from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/maladies-et-traumatismes/diabete/le-scan/#tabs

	All	Quintiles of total dietary fiber intake ¹							
	(N=107,377)	Quintile 1 (N=21,474)	Quintile 2 (N=21,476)	Quintile 3 (N=21,476)	Quintile 4 (N=21,476)	Quintile 5 (N=21,475)			
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)			
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD			
Age at inclusion (y)	42.8±14.6	37.5±13.7	41±14.4	43.5±14.5	45.7±14.3	46.6±14.2			
Sex									
Male	22838 (21.3)	4567 (21.3)	4568 (21.3)	4568 (21.3)	4568 (21.3)	4567 (21.3)			
Female	84539 (78.7)	16907 (78.7)	16908 (78.7)	16908 (78.7)	16908 (78.7)	16908 (78.7)			
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.7±4.5	23.7±4.6	23.8±4.4	23.9±4.5	23.8±4.4	23.3±4.4			
Educational level									
< High-school diploma	18774 (17.5)	4312 (20.1)	3688 (17.2)	3709 (17.3)	3691 (17.2)	3374 (15.7)			
< 2 years of higher education	18372 (17.1)	4679 (21.8)	3883 (18.1)	3441 (16.0)	3220 (15.0)	3149 (14.7)			
≥ 2 years of higher education	70231 (65.4)	12483 (58.1)	13905 (64.8)	14326 (66.7)	14565 (67.8)	14952 (69.6			
Smoking status									
Current smoker	18362 (17.1)	5969 (27.8)	4119 (19.2)	3286 (15.3)	2761 (12.9)	2227 (10.4)			
Ex-smoker	35400 (33)	5737 (26.7)	6845 (31.9)	7184 (33.5)	7750 (36.1)	7884 (36.7)			
Non-smoker	53615 (49.9)	9768 (45.5)	10512 (49)	11006 (51.3)	10965 (51.1)	11364 (52.9			
Physical activity level (IPAQ) ²									
High	30164 (28.1)	4846 (22.6)	5379 (25.1)	5923 (27.6)	6430 (29.9)	7586 (35.3)			
Moderate	39680 (37)	7224 (33.6)	7949 (37)	8157 (38)	8240 (38.4)	8110 (37.8)			
Low	22585 (21)	5567 (25.9)	5003 (23.3)	4448 (20.7)	4150 (19.3)	3417 (15.9)			
Family history of CVD, yes	34044 (31.7)	5813 (27.1)	6343 (29.5)	6937 (32.3)	7375 (34.3)	7576 (35.3)			
Family history of cancer, yes	40783 (38.0)	6949 (32.4)	7622 (35.5)	8315 (38.7)	8820 (41.1)	9077 (42.3)			
Family history of T2D, yes	22774 (21.2)	4402 (20.5)	4441 (20.7)	4612 (21.5)	4729 (22.0)	4590 (21.4)			
Prevalent CVD, yes	2573 (2.4)	646 (3.0)	503 (2.3)	448 (2.1)	479 (2.2)	497 (2.3)			
Prevalent cancer, yes	6099 (5.7)	1109 (5.2)	1029 (4.8)	1218 (5.7)	1317 (6.1)	1426 (6.6)			
Prevalent T2D, yes	1542 (1.4)	219 (1.0)	301 (1.4)	338 (1.6)	346 (1.6)	338 (1.6)			
Total dietary fiber intake (g/d)	19.5±7.2	11.3±2.2	15.5±1.4	18.6±1.6	22.1±2	30.1±6.6			
Soluble fiber intake (g/d)	5.7±2.6	3.1±1.1	4.4±1.1	5.4±1.3	6.5±1.5	9.0±2.8			
Insoluble fiber intake (g/d)	13.8±5.1	8.2±1.7	11.1±1.4	13.2±1.6	15.6±1.9	21.0±4.8			
Fruit fiber intake (g/d)	3.6±2.9	1.4±1.2	2.5±1.6	3.3±1.9	4.3±2.2	6.4±3.9			
Vegetable fiber intake (g/d)	4.9±2.8	2.6±1.5	3.9±1.7	4.7±1.9	5.7±2.2	7.6±3.5			
Whole grain fiber intake (g/d)	2±2.6	0.6±1	1.1±1.5	1.6±1.8	2.3±2.3	4.1±3.9			
Legume fiber intake (g/d)	0.7±1.4	0.2±0.6	0.4±0.8	0.6±1	0.7±1.2	1.4±2.2			
Potato and tuber fiber intake (g/d)	0.9±0.9	0.7±0.7	0.8±0.8	0.9±0.8	0.9±0.8	1.0±1.1			
Energy intake (kcal/d)	1902±469	1631±395	1822±393	1914±418	1998±441	2145±522			
Alcohol intake (g/d)	7.8±11.9	8.6±14.2	8.6±12.5	8.2±11.6	7.5±10.6	6.2±9.9			
Total carbohydrate intake (g/d)	198.1±57.5	158.6±45.2	184.6±44.7	198.1±47.5	211.4±51	238±64.1			
Added sugar intake (g/d)	38.4±23.7	36.9±24.5	39±23.1	39.3±22.9	38.8±22.9	38.1±24.9			
Total fatty acid intake (g/d)	81.5±25.3	72.4±22.4	79.5±22.4	82.4±23.6	84.8±24.9	88.5±29.4			
Saturated fatty acid intake (g/d)	33.2±12.1	30.5±10.9	33.4±11.1	34.2±11.6	34.4±12.2	33.4±14			
Total protein intake (g/d)	78.8±21.5	69.9±20.3	76±18.8	79.4±19.5	82.6±20.3	86.4±24.4			
Sodium intake (mg/d)	2718±886	2318±770	2610±768	2761±818	2881±863	3020±1020			
Vitamin C intake (mg/d)	116.1±72.5	79±68.4	101.3±60.2	114.3±55.6	129.1±71.3	157.1±79.7			
Vitamin E intake (mg/d)	11.7±4.7	9.1±3.8	101.5±00.2 10.5±3.7	11.4±3.8	129.1±71.3 12.5±4.1	157.1±79.7 15.1±5.6			
Selenium intake (mg/d)	69.1±24.8	9.1±3.8 57.9±21.6	64.9±21.3	69.2±22.1	73.3±23.4	80.1±28.9			
Zinc intake (mg/d)	10.7±3.3	9.1±3.1	10.1±2.9	10.6±3	11.2±3.1	12.3±3.7			
1 Cut offe for the sex specific quinti						12.010.7			

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population at inclusion in the NutriNet-Santé cohort, according to sex-specific quintiles of total dietary fiber intakes, France, 2009-2019.

¹ Cut-offs for the sex-specific quintiles of total dietary fiber intake were 15.7/19.3/22.9/27.9 g/day for men and 13.4/16.4/19.4/23.4 g/day for women.

² Available for 92,429 participants.

P-values for the comparisons of covariables across quintiles, from χ^2 test (categorical variables) or ANOVA (continuous variable), were all <0.001 (except for sex, P=1.00)

Table 2. Associations between consumption of total, soluble and insoluble dietary fibers, mortality (all cause and from chronic diseases) and the risk of cardiovascular diseases (overall, coronary heart diseases, cerebrovascular diseases), cancer (overall, colorectal, breast and prostate cancer), and type 2 diabetes, from multi-adjusted Cox proportional hazard models¹, NutriNet-Santé cohort, France, 2009-2019

	Quintiles ²					Per 1-SD	P-value	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	P-trend	increment	P-value
Mortality, all causes								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	98/68,722	130/83,161	133/88,651	132/90,291	142/87,183		635 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.79,1.35)	0.95 (0.72,1.25)	0.86 (0.64,1.15)	0.98 (0.72,1.33)	0.69	1.01 (0.91,1.12)	0.81
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	81/68,681	117/81,976	135/87,655	144/91,031	158/88,666		635 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.77,1.37)	1.01 (0.76,1.34)	0.92 (0.69,1.23)	1.04 (0.77,1.41)	0.90	1.01 (0.93,1.11)	0.76
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.02 (0.77,1.37)	1.00 (0.75,1.34)	0.92 (0.68,1.24)	1.04 (0.74,1.44)	0.96	1.01 (0.91,1.13)	0.79
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	115/69,595	126/84,012	136/88,413	127/90,214	131/85,775		635 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.95 (0.73,1.23)	0.95 (0.73,1.23)	0.84 (0.63,1.10)	0.90 (0.67,1.21)	0.38	1.01 (0.91,1.11)	0.87
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.72,1.22)	0.93 (0.71,1.21)	0.81 (0.60,1.08)	0.84 (0.60,1.18)	0.25	1.00 (0.89,1.13)	0.99
Mortality from cancer or cardio- and	× 7		X				,	
cerebrovascular diseases								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	71/68,722	86/83,161	80/88,651	88/90,291	83/87,183		408 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.68,1.30)	0.77 (0.55,1.08)	0.76 (0.54,1.08)	0.74 (0.51,1.09)	0.10	0.91 (0.80,1.04)	0.18
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	55/68,681	77/81,976	86/87,655	97/91,031	93/88,666		408 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.98 (0.69,1.40)	0.95 (0.67,1.35)	0.91 (0.64,1.30)	0.89 (0.61,1.29)	0.46	0.95 (0.85,1.07)	0.43
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (0.70,1.43)	0.99 (0.69,1.41)	0.97 (0.67,1.39)	0.99 (0.66,1.51)	0.95	1.00 (0.87,1.15)	0.99
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	83/69,595	86/84,012	80/88,413	84/90,214	75/85775		408 / 418,009	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.87 (0.64,1.19)	0.73 (0.53,1.01)	0.71 (0.51,1.00)	0.65 (0.45,0.94)	0.02	0.91 (0.80,1.04)	0.15
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.86 (0.63,1.18)	0.72 (0.52,1.01)	0.70 (0.49,0.99)	0.63 (0.41,0.95)	0.03	0.91 (0.78,1.06)	0.23
Cardiovascular diseases, overall								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	207/62892	278/76694	349/81546	381/83563	339/80333		1554 / 385,028	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.91 (0.76,1.10)	0.96 (0.80,1.15)	0.94 (0.78,1.14)	0.86 (0.70,1.06)	0.19	0.93 (0.87,1.00)	0.04
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	194/63269	292/75491	336/80876	375/83827	357/81565		1554 / 385,028	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.80,1.16)	0.90 (0.75,1.08)	0.85 (0.71,1.03)	0.80 (0.66,0.98)	0.01	0.90 (0.85,0.96)	0.001
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.80,1.16)	0.90 (0.75,1.08)	0.85 (0.70,1.03)	0.80 (0.64,0.99)	0.02	0.89 (0.82,0.96)	0.002
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	225/63464	284/77503	347/81571	361/83556	337/78934		1554 / 385,028	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.92 (0.77,1.10)	1.01 (0.84,1.20)	0.96 (0.80,1.15)	0.95 (0.78,1.15)	0.77	0.96 (0.90,1.03)	0.25
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.80,1.15)	1.08 (0.90,1.30)	1.07 (0.88,1.30)	1.14 (0.91,1.42)	0.13	1.03 (0.96,1.12)	0.40
Coronary heart diseases								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	120/63164	169/77034	209/82095	243/84012	204/80895		945 / 387,199	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.77,1.24)	1.01 (0.80,1.28)	1.06 (0.83,1.35)	0.91 (0.70,1.19)	0.51	0.93 (0.86,1.02)	0.11
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	120/63497	182/75863	212/81331	222/84378	209/82130		945 / 387,199	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.76,1.22)	0.91 (0.72,1.15)	0.80 (0.63,1.02)	0.74 (0.58,0.96)	0.004	0.88 (0.81,0.95)	0.001
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.76,1.21)	0.89 (0.70,1.13)	0.78 (0.61,1.00)	0.70 (0.53,0.92)	0.002	0.84 (0.76,0.92)	<0.001
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	131/63765	165/77894	216/82038	222/84029	211/79474		945 / 387,199	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.74,1.18)	1.11 (0.88,1.39)	1.05 (0.83,1.33)	1.05 (0.82,1.36)	0.54	0.98 (0.90,1.07)	0.65
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (0.79,1.26)	1.23 (0.97,1.55)	1.23 (0.96,1.57)	1.38 (1.04,1.84)	0.01	1.09 (0.99,1.20)	0.09
Cerebrovascular diseases								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	102/63295	127/77332	169/82386	176/84399	160/81074		734 / 388,487	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.82 (0.63,1.07)	0.90 (0.69,1.17)	0.85 (0.65,1.11)	0.79 (0.59,1.06)	0.22	0.93 (0.84,1.02)	0.13
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	88/63651	133/76131	143/81750	191/84643	179/82313		734 / 388,487	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.95 (0.73,1.26)	0.83 (0.63,1.09)	0.95 (0.72,1.24)	0.89 (0.67,1.18)	0.59	0.94 (0.85,1.03)	0.16
		. ,	-	. ,	. ,			

Main model, adjusted for insoluble fibers	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.74,1.28)	0.85 (0.64,1.13)	0.99 (0.75,1.31)	0.97 (0.71,1.33)	0.88	0.96 (0.86,1.07)	0.44
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	106/63941	149/78093	159/82398	165/84351	155/79704		734 / 388,487	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (0.77,1.28)	0.94 (0.73,1.22)	0.89 (0.69,1.17)	0.89 (0.66,1.18)	0.30	0.94 (0.85,1.03)	0.18
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.01 (0.78,1.31)	0.97 (0.74,1.26)	0.94 (0.71,1.24)	0.96 (0.69,1.33)	0.70	0.96 (0.85,1.08)	0.51
Type 2-diabetes				/				
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	84/63477	119/77209	115/82230	121/84133	105/81155		544 / 388,205	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.70,1.25)	0.69 (0.51,0.93)	0.61 (0.45,0.84)	0.59 (0.42,0.82)	<0.001	0.81 (0.72,0.92)	<0.001
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	70/63836	115/76024	118/81521	121/84509	120/82315		544 / 388,205	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.06 (0.78,1.43)	0.84 (0.62,1.14)	0.69 (0.51,0.95)	0.77 (0.56,1.08)	0.02	0.85 (0.76,0.95)	0.005
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.09 (0.81,1.48)	0.90 (0.66,1.23)	0.77 (0.56,1.08)	0.95 (0.65,1.37)	0.40	0.92 (0.80,1.05)	0.19
nsoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	88/64061	126/77950	110/82230	112/84088	108/79875		544 / 388,205	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.78,1.36)	0.73 (0.55,0.99)	0.64 (0.47,0.87)	0.69 (0.50,0.96)	0.004	0.83 (0.74,0.93)	0.002
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.07 (0.81,1.42)	0.78 (0.58,1.06)	0.70 (0.50,0.97)	0.81 (0.56,1.17)	0.09	0.87 (0.76,1.01)	0.06
Cancer, overall								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	88/64061	126/77950	110/82230	112/84088	108/79875		544 / 388,205	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.86,1.24)	1.10 (0.92,1.31)	1.09 (0.91,1.31)	0.97 (0.79,1.18)	0.49	0.99 (0.93,1.05)	0.65
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	201/61627	294/72845	368/77675	419/79519	429/76735		1711 / 368,402	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.78,1.13)	0.94 (0.79,1.13)	0.93 (0.78,1.11)	0.94 (0.78,1.13)	0.65	0.97 (0.92,1.03)	0.39
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.94 (0.78,1.13)	0.94 (0.79,1.13)	0.93 (0.77,1.12)	0.93 (0.76,1.15)	0.66	0.97 (0.90,1.04)	0.34
nsoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	217/61760	323/74555	382/77455	412/79552	377/75080		1711 / 368,402	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.09 (0.92,1.30)	1.16 (0.97,1.38)	1.12 (0.93,1.33)	1.06 (0.87,1.28)	0.95	1.00 (0.94,1.06)	0.88
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.10 (0.92,1.32)	1.18 (0.99,1.41)	1.15 (0.95,1.38)	1.11 (0.90,1.38)	0.57	1.02 (0.94,1.10)	0.67
Colorectal cancer								
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	16/61127	30/74245	30/77701	24/79259	27/76070		127 / 368,402	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.14 (0.61,2.12)	0.92 (0.49,1.74)	0.62 (0.31,1.23)	0.68 (0.33,1.39)	0.08	0.81 (0.64,1.04)	0.10
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	19/61627	23/72845	28/77675	32/79519	25/76735		127 / 368,402	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	0.66 (0.35,1.22)	0.61 (0.33,1.12)	0.57 (0.31,1.04)	0.41 (0.21,0.79)	0.01	0.73 (0.58,0.93)	0.009
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	0.65 (0.35,1.21)	0.60 (0.32,1.11)	0.55 (0.29,1.03)	0.38 (0.18,0.80)	0.02	0.69 (0.53,0.91)	0.01
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	17/61760	27/74555	34/77455	23/79552	26/75080		127 / 368,402	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.12 (0.60,2.08)	1.23 (0.67,2.26)	0.72 (0.37,1.41)	0.83 (0.41,1.68)	0.25	0.90 (0.72,1.14)	0.40
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.25 (0.67,2.33)	1.46 (0.78,2.74)	0.94 (0.46,1.90)	1.29 (0.59,2.83)	0.85	1.11 (0.85,1.46)	0.45
Breast cancer					(0000,2000)		(0.00,	
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	59/48022	99/58219	130/60691	136/62101	105/58981		529 / 288,015	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.08 (0.78,1.50)	1.17 (0.85,1.61)	1.04 (0.75,1.45)	0.79 (0.54,1.13)	0.04	0.86 (0.75,0.97)	0.02
Main model with further adjustments ³	1.00 (ref)	1.08 (0.78,1.50)	1.17 (0.85,1.61)	1.05 (0.75,1.46)	0.79 (0.55,1.14)	0.04	0.86 (0.76,0.97)	0.02
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years	58/48361	101/57105	108/60889	137/61968	125/59692	0.01	529 / 288.015	0.02
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.10 (0.79,1.52)	0.92 (0.67,1.28)	1.02 (0.74,1.41)	0.89 (0.63,1.25)	0.30	0.91 (0.81,1.03)	0.13
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.14 (0.82,1.59)	0.99 (0.71,1.39)	1.14 (0.81,1.60)	1.10 (0.75,1.61)	0.74	1.00 (0.87,1.15)	0.99
Main model with further adjustments	1.00 (ref)	1.10 (0.79,1.52)	0.92 (0.67,1.28)	1.02 (0.74,1.41)	0.89 (0.63,1.26)	0.30	0.91 (0.81,1.03)	0.00
Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	56/48346	112/58556	127/60635	133/62168	101/58310	0.00	529 / 288.015	0.10
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.37 (0.99,1.90)	1.35 (0.97,1.87)	1.23 (0.88,1.72)	0.93 (0.64,1.35)	0.10	0.85 (0.75,0.96)	0.01
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.39 (1.00,1.93)	1.39 (0.99,1.94)	1.28 (0.90,1.83)	1.00 (0.66,1.52)	0.10	0.85 (0.73,0.99)	0.04
Main model with further adjustments	1.00 (ref)	1.37 (0.99,1.90)	1.36 (0.98,1.84)	1.23 (0.88,1.73)	0.94 (0.65,1.36)	0.31	0.85 (0.75,0.97)	0.04
Prostate cancer	1.00 (161)	1.37 (0.33,1.30)	1.50 (0.90, 1.00)	1.23 (0.00,1.73)	0.94 (0.00,1.00)	0.10	0.03 (0.73,0.97)	0.01
Total dietary fibers, N cases / person-years	17/13104	42/16026	46/17010	61/17158	52/17089		218 / 80,387	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.44 (0.81,2.55)	1.27 (0.71,2.26)	1.43 (0.80,2.55)		0.92	1.01 (0.86,1.18)	0.04
	1.00 (ref) 18/13267	1.44 (0.81,2.55) 37/15740	44/16787	1.43 (0.80,2.55) 54/17550	1.19 (0.64,2.23) 65/17043	0.92		0.94
Soluble fibers, N cases / person-years							218 / 80,387	0.70
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.02 (0.58,1.81)	0.91 (0.52,1.60)	0.90 (0.52,1.58)	1.11 (0.63,1.97)	0.55	1.03 (0.89,1.18)	0.70
Main model, adjusted for insoluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.58,1.83)	0.93 (0.53,1.63)	0.93 (0.53,1.65)	1.18 (0.64,2.18)	0.47	1.05 (0.88,1.24)	0.59

Insoluble fibers, N cases / person-years	22/13414	39/15999	48/16820	56/17384	53/16770		218 / 80,387	
Main model	1.00 (ref)	1.24 (0.73,2.12)	1.33 (0.79,2.24)	1.36 (0.80,2.30)	1.27 (0.71,2.26)	0.61	0.99 (0.85,1.16)	0.91
Main model, adjusted for soluble fiber intake	1.00 (ref)	1.24 (0.72,2.11)	1.31 (0.77,2.24)	1.34 (0.77,2.31)	1.24 (0.66,2.31)	0.70	0.96 (0.80,1.16)	0.70

¹ For all outcomes, the main model was adjusted for age (timescale), sex, educational level, body-mass index, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol intake, energy intake and number of 24hour dietary records. For mortality outcomes, the main model was additionally adjusted for the family history of cancer and CVD, and the personal history of cancer, CVD and T2D. For CVD outcomes, the main model was additionally adjusted for the family history of CVD. For cancer outcomes, the main model was additionally adjusted for the family history of cancer; For T2D, the main model was additionally adjusted for the family history of diabetes

² Cut-offs for sex-specific quintiles were as follows: for TDFs 15.7/19.3/22.9/27.9 in men and 13.4/16.4/19.4/23.4 in women, for SFs 4.10/5.48/6.86/8.72 in men and 3.47/4.57/5.67/7.16 in women, for IFs 11.0/13.6/16.1/19.6 in men 9.5/11.7/13.8/16.6 in women

³ The following further adjustments were included in the main model: number of biological children (continuous), menopausal status (premenopause/postmenopause), use of hormonal replacement therapy (yes/no) and use of contraceptive pill (yes/no)

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Participants flow-chart. NutriNet-Santé cohort, France, 2009-2019.

Figure 2. Associations between consumption of dietary fibers from different sources and (A) mortality (all cause and from cancer or cardio- and cerebrovascular diseases; N=89,896), (B) cardiovascular disease risk (overall, coronary heart diseases, cerebrovascular diseases; N=87,278), (C) cancer risk (overall and colorectal cancer; N=83,877), and (D) the risk of type 2 diabetes (N=87,295), from multi-adjusted Cox proportional hazard models, NutriNet-Santé cohort, France, 2009-2019. Diamond points and associated horizontal line represent the HR and 95%CI for quintile 5 vs quintile 1 of the intake from the corresponding fiber source. For legume and whole grain fibers, sex-specific quintiles could not be created due to limited number of consumers. The displayed HR and 95%CI are therefore for quartile 4 in consumers (sex-specific) vs non-consumers. All HR and 95% CI are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Significant P for trend across quintiles are indicated with an asterisk (*)