

Clostridium difficile forms variable biofilms on abiotic surface

Véronique Pantaleon, Marc Monot, Catherine Eckert, Sandra Hoys, Anne Collignon, Claire Janoir, Thomas Candela

▶ To cite this version:

Véronique Pantaleon, Marc Monot, Catherine Eckert, Sandra Hoys, Anne Collignon, et al.. Clostridium difficile forms variable biofilms on abiotic surface. Anaerobe, 2018, 53, pp.34-37. 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.05.006. pasteur-02549240

HAL Id: pasteur-02549240 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02549240

Submitted on 13 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 Clostridium difficile forms variable biofilms on abiotic surface

- 3 Pantaléon V.¹, Monot M.^{2,3,4}, Eckert C.^{5,6}, Hoys S.¹, Collignon A.¹, Janoir C.¹, Candela T.^{1*}
- ¹EA4043 Unité Bactéries Pathogènes et Santé (UBaPS), Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-
- 5 Saclay, 92290 Châtenay-Malabry, France.
- 6 ²Département de Microbiologie, Institut Pasteur, Laboratoire Pathogenèse des bactéries
- 7 anaérobies, Paris, France

2

- 8 ³Département de Microbiologie et d'infectiologie, Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences de la
- 9 Santé, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada.
- ⁴Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France.
- ⁵National Reference Laboratory for *C. difficile*, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris,
- 12 Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Paris, France.
- 13 ⁶Sorbonne Université, Centre d'immunologie et des maladies infectieuses-Paris, Cimi-Paris,
- Département de Bactériologie, AP-HP, Hôpitaux Universitaires de l'Est Parisien, F-75012
- 15 Paris, France

17

16 *Corresponding author

18 Keywords : *Clostridium difficile*; biofilm; motility

19 Abstract

Clostridium difficile can form biofilms. Thirty-seven strains were characterized for their ability to form a biofilm, adhesion on an inert surface and hydrophobicity. No correlation between the ability to form a biofilm and the strain virulence was highlighted. However, non-motile strains were not able to form a high biofilm.

Clostridium difficile, an anaerobic Gram-positive spore-forming bacterium, is responsible for a wide spectrum of infections ranging from mild/severe diarrhea to life-threatening pseudomembranous colitis. The evolution of C. difficile infection (CDI) depends on both strain virulence and host response. C. difficile clinical relevance has significantly increased since 2003 with the emergence of hypervirulent PCR-ribotype 027 strains that have been involved in outbreaks, and that have been associated with severe disease in both North America and Europe. C. difficile causes at least 450,000 infections leading to 29,000 deaths per year in the United States of America (USA) [1]. The US Centers for Disease Control has designated this pathogen as the pre-eminent of three "Urgent Threats" to US healthcare. The increasing incidence of CDI and the high rate of recurrent disease have a major impact on healthcare costs. Because patient contamination occurs from C. difficile spores present in the environment, increased spore dissemination during outbreaks significantly complicates approaches to control infection [2, 3]. Antibiotic exposure leading to dysbiosis of gut microbiota is with advanced age and hospitalization a major risk factor associated with CDI [4]. The major challenge is the recurrence of CDI. Up to 35% of patients may undergo a recurrence after the first CDI episode [5]. This rate increases after first recurrence. In case of relapse with the same C. difficile strain, reinfection or persistence of C. difficile in the intestine may occur. Persistence may be the result of germination/sporulation cycles or biofilm formation [6, 7]. Recently, C. difficile was proposed to form a biofilm structure in the caecum and colon in a mono-associated mouse model [7]. In addition, C. difficile is also able to reside in multicellular communities in mice, but also in human periodontal-disease-associated biofilm [6, 8]. Similarly, in vitro C. difficile is able to form a thick biofilm in the presence of *Finegoldia magna* [9]. In vitro, biofilm may protect C. difficile from different antibiotics, such as vancomycine and metronidazole [10, 11]. In contrary, some antibiotics, such as surotomycin and fidaxomicin, were shown to be able to disrupt the biofilm structure [12]. Biofilm matrix is composed of eDNA, polysaccharides and

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

proteins. Toxins were found in the biofilm matrix and may facilitate infection [10, 13]. *C. difficile* has also been shown to sporulate in biofilm [13]. Moreover, spores were reported to be found preferentially within biofilm structures in a chemostat gut model [14]. *C. difficile* life in biofilm may therefore be crucial for its in vivo persistence. However, in vivo and in vitro biofilm studies of *C. difficile* are still poorly explored with respect to other bacterial species (for reviews [15, 16]) [15]. In vitro, only 7 different strains of *C. difficile* were described (mostly the 630 and the R20291) to form a qualitatively weak biofilm [13, 17-22]. Here, we tested 37 strains from different origins to assess their ability to form in vitro biofilms to detect any correlation with strain characteristics.

All the strains used in this study were isolated from infected patients except 2 that came from poultry or rabbit (Table 1). They were all tested for their capacity to form a biofilm (72h at 37°C, in 24-well polystyrene plates) on abiotic surface as described in Pantaleon *et al.* [19] and quantified by crystal violet staining (Figure 1). Data are representative of three independent experiments each performed in triplicate. According to their ability to form a biofilm, strains were classed into three groups that are significantly different (Bartlett test of homogeneity of variances, http://marne.u707.jussieu.fr/biostatgv/): the low-biofilm formers (OD_{570} <1.1), the moderate-biofilm formers (1.1<0 D_{570} <3.5) and the high-biofilm formers (OD_{570} >3.5). The strain 94-1155 formed the highest biofilm with a mean OD_{570} =10.759 and the strain T5 formed the smallest biofilm with a mean OD_{570} =0.218. 48.5% of the strains form a low-biofilm, 21.5% a medium-biofilm and 30% a high-biofilm. Three strains were isolated from patient with relapse (95-1078, 96-631 and 94-1155), and we note that they belong to the medium and high-biofilm formers. These results suggest that biofilm is highly variable among *C. difficile* strains.

To investigate whether the ability to form a biofilm may be due to their PCR-ribotype and sequence type (ST), they were determined for each strain (Table 1). The PCR-ribotype was performed according to the protocol of Bidet *et al* [23] and the multi-locus sequence type (MLST) was performed as previously described [24]. The toxinotype when known was also reported in Table 1. Some strains were closely related, according to their ST, ribotype and toxinotype. However, they have differences in their sequences and are therefore different: R20291/CD196 [25], E16/T61, E15/T11, T15/E25 [26]. No statistical test could be performed due to the low number of strains available for each typing method (ribotype and ST). However, our results suggest that biofilm is variable among *C. difficile* strains, e.g. ribotypes 005 or 020 formed medium and high biofilms; strains belonging to ST19 formed low, medium and high biofilms. Similarly, our results suggest that there is no correlation between the *C. difficile* strain clinical symptoms during infection and the ability to form a biofilm.

The initial adhesion on an inert support may be involved in biofilm formation [27]. Initial adhesion of the 37 strains was therefore assessed on an abiotic surface (24-well polystyrene plates, 1h at 37°C) as described in Pantaleon *et al.* (Figure 2) [19]. Data are representative of three independent experiments each performed in triplicate. The mean of adhesion for all the tested strains was 2.56% with a maximum of 8.89% (T6 strain) and a minimum of 0.41% (T42 strain). No correlation was observed between adhesion of the strains and their ability to form a biofilm (Pearson method: correlation coefficient = 0.01, pvalue = 0.96).

The surface hydrophobicity properties of bacteria are also usually involved in the adhesion and the biofilm formation [28]. The properties of surface hydrophobicity of the 37 *C. difficile* strains were studied using the hexadecane method [19] (Table 1). The mean of hydrophobicity was 18.74% with a maximum of 71.95% (VPII1186 strain) and a minimum of 6.84% (CD1 strain). The three strains forming the smallest biofilms (T5, 4485 and T20) and the three strains forming the highest biofilms (T14, 3751 and 94-1155) had a similar surface hydrophobicity mean. This result suggests that the surface hydrophobicity of *C. difficile* do not correlate (Pearson method: correlation coefficient = 0.07, pvalue = 0.67) with their ability to form biofilms.

The motility of bacteria has also been described as a feature of biofilm formation [29]. The motility of all strains was analysed using BHI agar 0.3% in test tubes. The agar medium was inoculated with one colony and placed in anaerobic chamber at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours. As expected, all the strains were motile except 6 (E1, T5, T20, IT1106, CD15 and 4485) which belong to the 078, 079 and 126 ribotypes. These six strains belong to the ST49 (Table 1). The strains E1, T5 and T20 have been sequenced [26] and they all possess the *fliC* and *fliD* genes, but not the F3 locus, which does code for the motor and basal body of the flagellum. The six non-motile strains belong to the low-biofilm former group. This result suggests that motility or

the flagellum presence is involved in biofilm formation after 3 days (Pearson method: correlation coefficient = 0.33, pvalue = 0.05). Other studies, using mutant strains affecting directly or indirectly either the flagellum or the motility have shown that biofilm was increased in the mutant in comparison with the parental strains [21, 22, 30]. This apparent discrepancy may be due to a difference in the global regulation due to the absence of the F3 region, and probably *fliC* expression which may also impact the regulatory mechanisms and formation of biofilm. Indeed, FliC has been proposed to be responsible for pleiotropic gene regulation [31].

In conclusion, our study presents the ability to form a biofilm of 37 different strains. The importance of motility in *C. difficile* biofilm was highlighted. However, no correlation between their ability to form a biofilm with neither the origin nor the clinical symptoms of *C. difficile* strains was observed.

- 122 <u>Acknowledgments</u>
- 123 S. Lambert is acknowledged for her technical help.

- 126 [1] F.C. Lessa, Y. Mu, W.M. Bamberg, Z.G. Beldavs, G.K. Dumyati, J.R. Dunn, et al. Burden
- of *Clostridium difficile* infection in the United States. The New England journal of medicine
- 128 372 (2015) 825-34.
- 129 [2] M.J. Alam, A. Anu, S.T. Walk, K.W. Garey. Investigation of potentially pathogenic
- 130 *Clostridium difficile* contamination in household environs. Anaerobe 27 (2014) 31-3.
- 131 [3] M.P. Bauer, E.J. Kuijper. Potential sources of *Clostridium difficile* in human infection.
- 132 Infectious disease clinics of North America 29 (2015) 29-35.
- 133 [4] A.M. Seekatz, V.B. Young. Clostridium difficile and the microbiota. The Journal of
- 134 clinical investigation 124 (2014) 4182-9.
- 135 [5] J.W. Marsh, R. Arora, J.L. Schlackman, K.A. Shutt, S.R. Curry, L.H. Harrison.
- $136 \quad Association of relapse of \textit{Clostridium difficile} \ disease with BI/NAP1/027. \ Journal of clinical$
- 137 microbiology 50 (2012) 4078-82.
- 138 [6] E.G. Semenyuk, V.A. Poroyko, P.F. Johnston, S.E. Jones, K.L. Knight, D.N. Gerding, et
- al. Analysis of Bacterial Communities during *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the Mouse.
- 140 Infection and immunity 83 (2015) 4383-91.
- 141 [7] A.P. Soavelomandroso, F. Gaudin, S. Hoys, V. Nicolas, G. Vedantam, C. Janoir, et al.
- 142 Biofilm Structures in a Mono-Associated Mouse Model of *Clostridium difficile* Infection.
- 143 Frontiers in microbiology 8 (2017) 2086.
- 144 [8] A.P. Vieira Colombo, C.B. Magalhaes, F.A. Hartenbach, R. Martins do Souto, C. Maciel
- da Silva-Boghossian. Periodontal-disease-associated biofilm: A reservoir for pathogens of
- medical importance. Microbial pathogenesis 94 (2016) 27-34.
- 147 [9] G. Donelli, C. Vuotto, R. Cardines, P. Mastrantonio. Biofilm-growing intestinal
- anaerobic bacteria. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 65 (2012) 318-25.
- 149 [10] T. Dapa, M. Unnikrishnan. Biofilm formation by *Clostridium difficile*. Gut microbes
- 150 4 (2013) 397-402.
- 151 [11] C. Vuotto, I. Moura, F. Barbanti, G. Donelli, P. Spigaglia. Subinhibitory
- 152 concentrations of metronidazole increase biofilm formation in *Clostridium difficile* strains.
- 153 Pathogens and disease 74 (2016).
- 154 [12] G.A. James, L. Chesnel, L. Boegli, E. deLancey Pulcini, S. Fisher, P.S. Stewart. Analysis
- of Clostridium difficile biofilms: imaging and antimicrobial treatment. The Journal of
- antimicrobial chemotherapy 73 (2018) 102-8.
- 157 [13] E.G. Semenyuk, M.L. Laning, J. Foley, P.F. Johnston, K.L. Knight, D.N. Gerding, et al.
- 158 Spore formation and toxin production in *Clostridium difficile* biofilms. PLoS One 9 (2014)
- 159 e87757.
- 160 [14] G.S. Crowther, C.H. Chilton, S.L. Todhunter, S. Nicholson, J. Freeman, S.D. Baines, et
- al. Development and validation of a chemostat gut model to study both planktonic and
- biofilm modes of growth of *Clostridium difficile* and human microbiota. PLoS One 9 (2014)
- 163 e88396.
- 164 [15] V. Pantaleon, S. Bouttier, A.P. Soavelomandroso, C. Janoir, T. Candela. Biofilms of
- 165 *Clostridium* species. Anaerobe 30 (2014) 193-8.
- 166 [16] C. Vuotto, G. Donelli, A. Buckley, C. Chilton. *Clostridium difficile* Biofilm. Advances
- in experimental medicine and biology 1050 (2018) 97-115.
- 168 [17] T. Dapa, R. Leuzzi, Y.K. Ng, S.T. Baban, R. Adamo, S.A. Kuehne, et al. Multiple factors
- modulate biofilm formation by the anaerobic pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. I Bacteriol
- 170 195 (2013) 545-55.

- 171 [18] G.A. Maldarelli, K.H. Piepenbrink, A.J. Scott, J.A. Freiberg, Y. Song, Y. Achermann, et
- al. Type IV pili promote early biofilm formation by Clostridium difficile. Pathogens and
- 173 disease 74 (2016).
- 174 [19] V. Pantaleon, A.P. Soavelomandroso, S. Bouttier, R. Briandet, B. Roxas, M. Chu, et al.
- 175 The Clostridium difficile Protease Cwp84 Modulates both Biofilm Formation and Cell-
- 176 Surface Properties. PLoS One 10 (2015) e0124971.
- 177 [20] E.B. Purcell, R.W. McKee, D.S. Courson, E.M. Garrett, S.M. McBride, R.E. Cheney, et
- 178 al. A Nutrient-Regulated Cyclic Diguanylate Phosphodiesterase Controls Clostridium
- 179 *difficile* Biofilm and Toxin Production during Stationary Phase. Infection and immunity 85
- 180 (2017).
- 181 [21] E. Valiente, L. Bouche, P. Hitchen, A. Faulds-Pain, M. Songane, L.F. Dawson, et al.
- Role of Glycosyltransferases Modifying Type B Flagellin of Emerging Hypervirulent
- 183 Clostridium difficile Lineages and Their Impact on Motility and Biofilm Formation. The
- 184 Journal of biological chemistry 291 (2016) 25450-61.
- 185 [22] B.M. Walter, S.T. Cartman, N.P. Minton, M. Butala, M. Rupnik. The SOS Response
- Master Regulator LexA Is Associated with Sporulation, Motility and Biofilm Formation in
- 187 *Clostridium difficile*. PLoS One 10 (2015) e0144763.
- 188 [23] P. Bidet, F. Barbut, V. Lalande, B. Burghoffer, J.C. Petit. Development of a new PCR-
- ribotyping method for *Clostridium difficile* based on ribosomal RNA gene sequencing.
- 190 FEMS Microbiol Lett 175 (1999) 261-6.
- 191 [24] L. Lemee, A. Dhalluin, M. Pestel-Caron, J.F. Lemeland, J.L. Pons. Multilocus sequence
- 192 typing analysis of human and animal Clostridium difficile isolates of various toxigenic
- types. Journal of clinical microbiology 42 (2004) 2609-17.
- 194 [25] R.A. Stabler, M. He, L. Dawson, M. Martin, E. Valiente, C. Corton, et al. Comparative
- 195 genome and phenotypic analysis of *Clostridium difficile* 027 strains provides insight into
- the evolution of a hypervirulent bacterium. Genome biology 10 (2009) R102.
- 197 [26] H. Kurka, A. Ehrenreich, W. Ludwig, M. Monot, M. Rupnik, F. Barbut, et al. Sequence
- 198 similarity of *Clostridium difficile* strains by analysis of conserved genes and genome
- content is reflected by their ribotype affiliation. PLoS One 9 (2014) e86535.
- 200 [27] V. Nguyen, E. Karunakaran, G. Collins, C.A. Biggs. Physicochemical analysis of initial
- adhesion and biofilm formation of *Methanosarcina barkeri* on polymer support material.
- 202 Colloids and surfaces B, Biointerfaces 143 (2016) 518-25.
- 203 [28] A. Krasowska, K. Sigler. How microorganisms use hydrophobicity and what does
- this mean for human needs? Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology 4 (2014) 112.
- 205 [29] A. Houry, R. Briandet, S. Aymerich, M. Gohar. Involvement of motility and flagella
- in *Bacillus cereus* biofilm formation. Microbiology 156 (2010) 1009-18.
- 207 [30] S. Jain, D. Smyth, B.M.G. O'Hagan, J.T. Heap, G. McMullan, N.P. Minton, et al.
- 208 Inactivation of the dnaK gene in Clostridium difficile 630 Deltaerm yields a temperature-
- sensitive phenotype and increases biofilm-forming ability. Scientific reports 7 (2017)
- 210 17522.
- 211 [31] A. Barketi-Klai, M. Monot, S. Hoys, S. Lambert-Bordes, S.A. Kuehne, N. Minton, et al.
- 212 The flagellin FliC of *Clostridium difficile* is responsible for pleiotropic gene regulation
- during in vivo infection. PLoS One 9 (2014) e96876.
- 214 [32] M. Sebaihia, B.W. Wren, P. Mullany, N.F. Fairweather, N. Minton, R. Stabler, et al.
- The multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has a highly mobile, mosaic
- 216 genome. Nature genetics 38 (2006) 779-86.
- 217 [33] P. Spigaglia, A. Barketi-Klai, A. Collignon, P. Mastrantonio, F. Barbanti, M. Rupnik,
- et al. Surface-layer (S-layer) of human and animal Clostridium difficile strains and their

- behaviour in adherence to epithelial cells and intestinal colonization. J Med Microbiol 62
- 220 (2013) 1386-93.

224

- 221 [34] M. Rupnik, V. Avesani, M. Janc, C. von Eichel-Streiber, M. Delmee. A novel
- toxinotyping scheme and correlation of toxinotypes with serogroups of *Clostridium*
- difficile isolates. Journal of clinical microbiology 36 (1998) 2240-7.

Table 1

Strains	Origin (geography)	Origin (ecology)	Symptoms	Biofilm biomass (OD ₅₇₀)	Strain typing					
					MLST	PCR- ribotype	Toxinotype	Hydrophobicity	Motility	Références
E23	Europe	human	SCDI	0.359	36	001; 001/072*	0	12.30%	+	[26]
CD268	Italy	rabbit	/	0.648	33	001	ND	20.40%	+	Lab Collection
FM17	unknow	unknow	Unknown	0.314	19	002	ND	9.80%	+	Lab Collection
96-631	France	human	Relapse	3.509	21	003	ND	10.19%	+	Lab Collection
T15	France	human	Diarrhoea	1.15	19	005	0	15.51%	+	[26]
E25	France	human	Sepsis, Col	2.917	19	005	0	30.18%	+	[26]
94-1155	France	human	Relapse	10.759	19	005	ND	16.55%	+	Lab Collection
CD630	UK	human	PMC	1.039	27	012*	0*	18.50%	+	[32]
E14	Europe	human	SCDI	3.803	33	014	0	14.19%	+	[26]
P30	Italy	poultry	/	5.370	34	014/020*	0*	15.36%	+	[33]
4684/08	Italy	human	Unknown	5.599	33	014/020*	0*	15.90%	+	<u>[33]</u>
1064	Italy	human	Unknown	7.670	33	014/020*	0*	23.49%	+	<u>[33]</u>
CD49	France	human	Carriage	0.607	33	014/020/077	ND	22.58%	+	Lab Collection
E16	France	human	Perf, Peri	0.586	1	015	0	13.19%	+	[26]
T61	France	human	Diarrhoea	0.963	1	015	0	11.98%	+	[26]
E24	France	human (community)	SS	1.675	33	020	0	16.57%	+	[26]
T42	France	human	Diarrhoea	4.871	33	020	0	16.98%	+	Accession Number: PRJEB216

CD196	France	human	CDI, PMC	1.630	3	027*	III*	22.59%	+	[26]
R20291	UK	human	CDI	2.471	3	027*	III*	19.04%	+	[20]
VPI11186	USA	Unknown	Unknown	1.884	16	038*	ND	70.00%	+	Lab Collection
E15	France	human	MG, SS, Col	0.4	5	075	III	18.67%	+	[26]
T11	France	human	Diarrhoea	0.662	5	075	III	9.18%	+	[26]
T20	Ireland	human	CDI	0.312	49	078	V	20.16%	-	[26]
IT1106	Italy	human	Unknown	0.704	49	078*	V*	17.96%	-	[33]
4485	France	human	PMC	0.264	49	078/126	ND	14.54%	-	Lab Collection
CD15	France	human (HIV+)	Diarrhoea	0.561	49	078/126	ND	23.29%	-	Lab Collection
T5	Italy	human	CDI	0.218	49	079	V	22.66%	-	<u>[26]</u>
T6	Hungary	human	CDI,	4.255	33	095	0	11.61%	+	<u>[26]</u>
E12	UK	human	SCDI	0.502	26	106	0	19.87%	+	[26]
E1	Austria	human	SCDI	1.004	49	126	V	14.41%	-	[26]
T14	Ireland	human	CDI	7.747	26	156	0	32.51%	+	[26]
T10	Germany	human	CDI	3.115	36	165	0	11.13%	+	[26]
VPI10463	USA	human	PMC	1.047	11	ND	0*	11.04%	+	[34]
94-1458	France	human	Unknown	0.575	1	other	ND	19.86%	+	Lab Collection
95-1078	France	human	Relapse	2.517	~20	other	ND	18.50%	+	Lab Collection

Table 1: strains and characteristics

The upper part of the table reports the low-biofilm former, the middle part reports the medium-biofilm formers forming an intermediate biofilm and the lower part reports the high-biofilm formers. ND, not determined; CDI *Clostridium difficile* infection; SCDI Severe *Clostridium difficile* infection; Col, colectomy; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; MG, Megacolon; Peri, Peritonitis; Perf, perforation; PMC, Pseudomembranous Colitis; SS, septic shock. * corresponds to the characteristics of the strains described in other studies (see references in the text). other: PCR-ribotypes that are not among the following ,001, 015, 017, 023, 056, 081, 087, 014/020/077, 003, 019, 046, 050, 117, 005, 018, 106, 131, 012, 029, 053, 075, 002, 070, 078/126

Figure legend

Figure 1: ability of the 37 strains to form a biofilm

Biomass of the 37 strains after 72h of incubation. Strains are divided (dashed lines) in three categories, low, medium and high-biofilm formers.

Figure 2: adhesion of the 37 strains on an inert surface

Percentage of initial adhesion of the 37 strains to a polystyrene inert surface. Error bars represent standard deviation. Strains are classed by low, medium and high-biofilm formers.