

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated by bacterial toxins and cellular systems

Emmanuel Lemichez, Amel Mettouchi, Michel Robert Popoff

▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuel Lemichez, Amel Mettouchi, Michel Robert Popoff. Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated by bacterial toxins and cellular systems. Philippe Fort (French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), France); Anne Blangy (French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), France). Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease, WORLD SCIENTIFIC, pp.97-118, 2018, 978-981-3228-80-1. 10.1142/9789813228795_0006 . pasteur-02524806

HAL Id: pasteur-02524806 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02524806

Submitted on 3 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

6 7

8

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

(�)

"9x6"

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated by bacterial toxins and cellular systems

Emmanuel Lemichez^{*,†,§}, Amel Mettouchi^{*,†}, and Michel Robert Popoff[‡] *Université Nice Côte d'Azur, Inserm, U1065, C3M, 151 route Saint Antoine de Ginestière, BP 2 3194, Nice 06204 Cedex 3, France [†]Equipe labélisée ligue contre le cancer [‡]Bactéries Anaérobies et Toxines, Institut Pasteur, Paris 75015, France [§]emmanuel.lemichez@inserm.fr

Keywords: Bacteria, toxins, actin cytoskeleton, vesicle trafficking, small GTPases, Rho, Rab, Ras, posttranslational modification.

6.1. Introduction

27 Extensive studies carried on Rho proteins have unveiled several layers of 28 regulations of these small GTPases including posttranslational modifications 29 (PTMs) (Hodge and Ridley, 2016; Popoff, 2014; Aktories, 2011). Rho GTPases are 30 molecular switches that undergo a GTP/GDP-based cycle that is described in 31 other chapters and reviewed in (Hodge and Ridley, 2016). Briefly, they bind and 32 hydrolyze the guanosine triphosphate (GTP) into guanosine diphosphate (GDP) 33 thereby oscillating between a GTP-bound active form and a GDP-bound inactive 34 form (Wittinghofer and Vetter, 2011). The switch imposes conformational changes into two flexible loops or switch regions that are involved in effector binding and 35 GTP hydrolysis. Transitions between both forms are controlled by guanine 36

97

(�)

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), and guanosine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDIs) protein families (see Chapter 3 by Amin and Ahmadian). The GTP-bound form can contact several effector proteins at the interface of membranes to coordinate the spatiotemporal organization of protein complexes that transduce signals. A series of PTMs of the CAXX-box terminal part are essential to the function of small GTPases (Olson, 2016). This stabilizes the anchorage of the GTP-bound active form in membranes. For Rho GTPases, this commonly involves a first step of geranylgeranylation of the cysteine residue of the CAXX motif, depicted in Fig. 1, that is followed by the endoproteolytic removal of the last three amino-acids and subsequent carboxymethylation of the cysteine; see Liu *et al.* (2012) for review. In addition, a reversible palmitoylation of the Cys-178, located up-stream of the CAXX-motif, in Rac1 targets this GTPase to cholesterol-rich liquid ordered membrane microdomains, where it is stabilized in its active form to control actin cytoskeleton dynamic and thereby membrane organization (Navarro-Lérida *et al.*, 2012).

Rho proteins are essential signaling hubs that belong to the superfamily of p21 15 Ras-related small GTPases. They share a high degree of amino-acid sequence 16 identity that witnesses a high selective pressure on critical amino acids directly 17 involved in the binding and hydrolysis of the guanine nucleotides, as well as their 18 control of essential cellular functions (Olson, 2016; Hodge and Ridley, 2016). 19 Apart from the highly conserved GTPase scaffold, Rho GTPase members display 20 specific amino-acid sequences in particular in the switch-I region and at their 21 carboxy-terminal end. At the difference of other small GTPases, Rho proteins 22 display an insert region (amino acids 124-136 in RhoA). These variations of 23 primary amino-acid sequence confer to Rho proteins their identity of interaction 24 with regulators and effectors, as well as their specificity of localization at the 25 membrane interface (Olson, 2016; Hodge and Ridley, 2016). In humans, there are 20 Rho GTPase members that can be subdivided into four evolutionary conserved 26 clusters, comprising Rac, Rho, RhoH, and RhoBTB (Olson, 2016; Boureux et al., 27 2007) (see Chapters 1 and 2 by Fort and Aspenström). The three most studied Rho 28 proteins, RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42, are critical regulators of the architecture and 29 dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton that sculpts cell shape and provides contractile 30 forces, thereby conferring to the cells their capacity to adhere to the matrix, form 31 junctions in cell monolayers, as well as migrate and phagocyte microbes (Ridley 32 and Hall, 1992; Ridley et al., 1992; Nobes and Hall, 1995; Caron and Hall, 1998). 33 Remarkably, Rho members are the target of PTMs catalyzed by an impressive 34 array of bacterial and cellular factors discussed in this chapter.

35 Deconstructing our understanding of the regulation and functions of small
 36 Rho GTPase by means of studying bacterial toxins is a strategy that provides an

()



Figure 1. Examples of cosubtrates involved in PTMs. The figure depicts in orange the part of the molecule coupled to amino acid residues on the target: (a) This example of S-prenylation corresponds to the cross-linking of the 20-carbon backbone of geranylgeranyl to the cysteine residue of the CAXX-box motif. (b), (c), (d) ATP, NAD⁺, and UDP-glucose cosubtrates involved in reactions of Adenynylation (or AMPylation), mono-ADP-ribosylation, and gluco-sylation, respectively. (e) Reaction of glutamine deamidation.

26

27

28

29

(�)

invaluable source of information on these molecular switches (Visvikis *et al.*, 2010;
Lemichez and Aktories, 2013). This prolific research in cellular microbiology has
unveiled an unprecedented convergence of bacterial virulence toward host
GTPases notably of the Rho family. The study of *C. botulinum* C3 exoenzyme was
the pioneer investigation of Rho protein in the control of actin cytoskeleton
(Chardin *et al.*, 1989; Paterson *et al.*, 1990). Here, we review this intimate cross talk

()

"9X6"

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

100 Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease

between bacteria and Rho proteins. This comprises newly described PTMs of small GTPases other than Rho proteins given that it broadens our knowledge of the repertoire of possibilities. In addition, we discuss examples of PTMs of Rho GTPases by the cellular machinery.

6.2. Post-translational modifications of small Rho GTPases

6.2.1. Bacterial effector-mediated posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases

10 Modulation of Rho GTPase activity by PTMs is a strategy exploited by bacteria to 11 breach host barriers and defenses (Lemichez and Aktories, 2013). They produce 12 virulence factors that are either AB-type toxins, endowed with the capacity to enter 13 cells via endocytosis and translocate their enzymatic part into the cytosol 14 (Lemichez and Barbieri, 2013), or factors directly injected through syringe-like 15 secretion systems into the cytosol by cell-bound bacteria, here referred to as injected effectors (Galan, 2009). PTMs of Rho proteins catalyzed by bacterial 16 factors irreversibly activate or inactivate small GTPases and promote major patho-17 physiological outcomes (Lemichez and Aktories, 2013). The unregulated activation 18 or inhibition of Rho proteins by bacterial factors can produce similar effects such 19 as efficient disruption of epithelial and endothelial barriers (Lemichez and 20 Aktories, 2013). Another example is provided by recent findings showing that 21 bacteria-induced inhibition of RhoA or activation of Rac1 both trigger Caspase-22 1-mediated processing of pro-IL-1ß (Xu et al., 2014; Aubert et al., 2016; Eitel 23 et al., 2012; Diabate et al., 2015; Zhao and Shao, 2016). On the other hand, the 24 bidirectional modulation of Rho GTPase activity by PTMs can produce antagonist 25 effects. For example, although the activation of Rho proteins, notably Racl, by 26 Gram-negative enteric bacteria foster their entry into epithelial cells by conferring 27 to epithelial cells phagocytic-like properties (Boquet and Lemichez, 2003), the inhibition of Rho proteins is a strategy used by Yersinia spp. or Pseudomonas to 28 block phagocytosis (Popoff, 2014; Caron and Hall, 1998). Thus, the bidirectional 29 modulation of Rho protein activities combined with a targeting of different 30 combinations of small GTPases confer general and specific pathogenic features to 31 bacteria.

A large repertoire of PTMs of Rho GTPases has been characterized over the past 20 years, clarifying the mode of action of essential virulence factors from several major human pathogens, such as *Clostridium* spp., *Yersinia* spp. and *Escherichia coli* (Popoff, 2014; Lemichez and Aktories, 2013; Aktories, 2011) (Table 1). As discussed below and reviewed in Aktories (2011), these PTMs ()

PTMs Modified amino-acids & targets Examples of virulence factors R. Deamidation Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in RacL/Cdc42) CNF1 from <i>E. coli</i> Schmid Deamidation Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in RacL/Cdc42) CNF1 from <i>E. coli</i> Schmid Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in RacL/Cdc42) DNT from <i>B. cenucepacia</i> Auber Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in RacL/Cdc42) DNT from <i>B. orancepacia</i> Auber Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) DNT from <i>Borlatella</i> spp Masuc Glucosylation with UDP-Au- T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) a-toxin from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) o-toxin from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) o-toxin from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) opple from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) opple from <i>C. novyi</i> Sclare AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in RacL/Cdc42) Nopp from <i>V. novyi</i> Noh <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr<>				
Deamidation Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) CNF1 from E. coli Flatuation (195 N41 in Ril Schmid Schmid Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from B. cenucepacia Aubert Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from B. cenucepacia Aubert Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from B. cenucepacia Aubert Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from B. cenucepacia Scizer Glucosylation with UDP-N- T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from C. nocyi Scizer Glucosylation with UDP-N- T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TecA from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) Post from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) Post from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation Table (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) Post from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) Post from C. nocyi Scizer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) Post from C. nocyi Post from C. nocyi Post from C. nocyi AMPylation	PTMs	Modified amino-acids & targets	<mark>Examples of</mark> virulence factors	References
N+1 in RHO TecA from B. cencepacia Auber Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) DNT from Bordetella spp. Masud Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from C. Just et Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from C. Just et Glucosylation with UDP-N- T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. noryi Selzer Glycosylation T137 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) VopS from V. Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) VopS from V. (200) AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) NopS from V. (200) AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) NopS from V. (200) AMPylation T37 in RhoA T37 in RhoA (735 in Rac1/Cdc42) (200) AMPylation T37 in RhoA T37 in RhoA (735 in Rac1/Cdc42) (200) Polopototolination T37 in RhoA T37 in RhoA (201) (201) Polopototolination S79 in RhoA S79 in RhoA (201) (201) <td>Deamidation</td> <td>Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42)</td> <td>CNF1 from E. coli</td> <td>Flatau <i>et al.</i> (1997); Schmidt <i>et al.</i> (1997)</td>	Deamidation	Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42)	CNF1 from E. coli	Flatau <i>et al.</i> (1997); Schmidt <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Transglutamination Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42) DNT from Bordetella spp. Masud Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from C. Just et difficile difficile Selzer diversion T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from C. Just et diversion difficile Selzer Selzer diversion T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. nozyi Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbrc AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbrc AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbrc AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbrc AmPylation T37 in RhoA Yap1 (Tom Xersinia spp. (20) Amoproteolysis C200 in RhoA Yap1 (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila Phosphocholination S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila (20) Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylated-ubiquitin fransfer to SdeA from L. pneumophila (20) Rab3h Rab3h SdeA from L. pneumophila (20)		N41 in <mark>RI XA</mark>	TecA from B. cenocepacia	Aubert et al. (2016)
Glucosylation with UDP-glucose T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) TcdA & TcdB from C. Just et difficile Selzer Glycosylation with UDP-N- T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. novyi Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. novyi Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. novyi Selzer AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbro AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbro AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbro AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbro AmPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. Yarbro AmPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Racl/Cdc42) vopS from V. (20) Phosphocholination S79 in RhoA YopT from Yersinia spp. Sho e Ubiquitin cross-linking AnbP-ribosylation of ubiquitin fransfer to SdcA from L. pneumophila Qiu et phosphora Didutitin transfer to RhoA SdcA from L. pneumophila Qiu et phosphora	Transglutamination	Q63 in RhoA (Q61 in Rac1/Cdc42)	DNT from Bordetella spp.	Masuda <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Glycosylation with UDP-N- T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) a-toxin from C. nocyi Selzer acctylglucosamine 200 NoPA YopS from V. Yarbrc AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) VopS from V. Yarbrc Yarbrc AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) VopS from V. Yarbrc 200 Endoproteolysis C200 in RhoA YopT from Yersinia spp. Shao e Phosphocholination S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila Mukh Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylation of ubiquitin followed by SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et Bhoga Rah33h SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et	Glucosylation with UDP-glucose	T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42)	TcdA & TcdB from C. difficile	Just <i>et al.</i> (1995) <mark>;</mark> Selzer <i>et al.</i> (1996)
AMPylation T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42) VopS from V: Yarbro Endoproteolysis 200 Endoproteolysis C200 in RhoA YopT from Yersinia spp. Shao e Phosphocholination S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila Mukh Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylation of ubiquitin followed by SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et Rah33h Rah33h SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et	Glycosylation with UDP-N- acetylglucosamine	T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42)	a-toxin from C. novyi	Selzer et al. (1996)
Endoproteolysis C200 in RhoA Yop T from Yersinia spp. Shao e Phosphocholination S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila Mukh Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylation of ubiquitin followed by phosphoribosylated-ubiquitin fransfer to Rah33h SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et	AMPylation	T37 in RhoA (T35 in Rac1/Cdc42)	VopS from V. parahaemolyticus	Yarbrough <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Phosphocholination S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B) AnkX from L. pneumophila Mukh (201 (201 Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylation of ubiquitin followed by SdeA from L. pneumophila Qiu et Bhoga Rah33h (201	Endoproteolysis	C200 in RhoA	YopT from Yersinia spp.	Shao et al. (2003)
Ubiquitin cross-linking ADP-ribosylation of <mark>ubiquitin</mark> followed by SdeA from <i>L. pneumophila</i> Qiu <i>et</i> phosphoribosylated-ubiquitin transfer to Bhoga Rah33h	Phosphocholination	S79 in Rab1A (S77 Rab1B)	AnkX from <i>L. pneumophila</i>	Mukherjee <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Ubiquitin cross-linking	ADP-ribosylation of <mark>ubiquitin</mark> followed by phosphoribosylated-ubiquitin <mark>transfer</mark> to Rab33b	SdeA from L. pneumophila	Qiu <i>et al.</i> (2016); Bhogaraju <i>et al.</i> (2016)
	7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	567890123456	0 1 2 3 4	

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 101

۲

1 irreversibly switch on or off Rho proteins. There is only one way to short-circuit 2 the GTP/GDP cycle that consists in blocking the intrinsic GTPase activity. This can be achieved by PTMs of a critical glutamine (Q) residue that positions the 3 water molecule for the nucleophilic attack of the γ -phosphate (Wittinghofer and 4 Vetter, 2011; Aktories, 2011). PTMs go from a discrete deamidation of this 5 glutamine residue into a glutamic acid (Flatau et al., 1997; Schmidt et al., 1997), 6 up to the covalent attachment of bulky chemical groups, such as lysine and poly-7 amines (Masuda et al., 2000) or the ADP-ribose moiety from NAD⁺ (Lang et al., 8 2010) (Fig. 1). In contrast, PTMs of several critical amino-acid residues of the 9 switch-I domain, or of the carboxy-terminal part of Rho protein, can block signal 10 transduction mediated by Rho proteins. Modifications of the switch-I domain 11 encompass by order of discovery mono-ADP-ribosylation (Chardin et al., 1989; 12 Sekine et al., 1989), glucosylation (Just et al., 1995), endoproteolysis (Shao et al., 13 2003), and AMPylation earlier known as adenylylation (Yarbrough et al., 2009; 14 Worby et al., 2009) (Fig. 1). Several amino-acid residues in the switch-I domain are the targets of PTMs catalyzed by bacterial effectors. This comprises Tyr-34, 15 Thr-37, Thr-39, and Asn-41 of RhoA. For example, large glycosylating toxins 16 from Clostridia target a threonine residue located at the middle of the switch-I 17 domain of several Rho GTPases (Thr-35/37 for Rac1/RhoA) using either uridine 18 diphosphate (UDP)-glucose or UDP-N-acetylglucosamine, as cosubtrates (Table 19 1). All known toxins modify only one specific amino acid of Rho but commonly 20 several Rho members. Only, C3-like exoenzymes from several Gram-positive bac-21 teria (Aktories, 2011) are relatively specific of a Rho GTPase, namely RhoA. 22 Amino acids such as Asn-41 and Tyr-34 in RhoA undergo different types of PTMs 23 catalyzed by unrelated bacterial factors. Indeed, Asn-41 can be either mono-ADP-24 ribosylated by C3-like exoenzymes or deaminated into an aspartic acid by TecA 25 from Burkholderia cenocepacia (Aubert et al., 2016). Similarly, the Tyr-34 of RhoA can be either AMPvlated by IbpA from Histophilus somni or glycosylated by PaTox 26 from *Photorhabdus asymbiotica*, a toxin that uses UDP-N-acetylglucosamine as 27 cosubstrate (Worby et al., 2009; Jank et al., 2013). 28

Taken collectively, this convergence of virulence toward a group of host proteins 29 certainly highlights the critical function of Rho GTPases in host defenses for a broad 30 array of host organisms and notably protozoan natural bacterial predators. Pathogens 31 frequently combine the attack of Rho GTPases together with that of actin molecules. 32 For example, virulent strains of C. difficile produce both a two-component toxin 33 (CDT) that mono-ADP-ribosylates actin and large glucosylating toxins (TcdA and 34 TcdB) that target RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 (Aktories et al., 2011). The Tc toxin from 35 Photorhabdus luminens ADP-ribosylates Rho and actin thereby disrupting the archi-36 tecture of the actin cytoskeleton into F-actin clusters (Lang et al., 2010). These ()

"9x6"

1

2

3

4

()

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 103

examples suggest that the targeting of both actin and Rho GTPases likely concurs to an efficient disruption the actin cytoskeleton. Nevertheless, a likely hypothesis is that such complementary virulence might also sign the importance of targeting several functions controlled by Rho GTPase apart from the control of actin cytoskeleton regulation.

5 Some pathogens inject into host cells an array of virulence effectors that 6 display different biochemical activities toward various subsets of Rho proteins. 7 This is probably best illustrated by Yersinia pestis, the agent of the bubonic plague, 8 and other Yersinia species that trigger lymphadenopathy or acute enteritis. Here, 9 virulence effectors targeting Rho proteins act in concert to freeze phagocytic func-10 tion of macrophages. Indeed, Yersinia injects several Yop factors targeting Rho 11 GTPases, comprising (i) the endoprotease YopT that cleaves the carboxy-terminal 12 part of RhoA (Shao et al., 2003), (ii) YopE that displays a GAP-like activity on Rho proteins, comprising RhoA (Aili et al., 2006) and (iii) YpkA (YopO) that contains a 13 Rho GDI-like domain and a kinase domain targeting heterotrimeric Gaq to pro-14 duce an indirect inhibition of RhoA (Navarro et al., 2007; Prehna et al., 2006). 15 Unexpectedly, inhibition of RhoA in macrophages leads in turn to an activation of 16 pyrin inflammasome for IL-1 β secretion and the induction of pyroptotic cell death 17 (Xu et al., 2014). Remarkably, the bacterium injects another effector to tamper 18 innate immune responses that would otherwise result from pyrin inflammasome 19 activation (Trosky et al., 2008). Indeed, in nonintoxicated cells, RhoA activates the 20 serine/threonine protein kinase C-related kinases (PRK or PKN isoforms 1 and 2) 21 that bind to and phosphorylate pyrin to promote its trapping by 14-3-3. This 22 sequestration keeps pyrin inflammasome silent (Park et al., 2016). Interestingly, 23 Yersinia spp. opposes the induction of pyrin inflammasome by coinjecting YopM 24 (Chung et al., 2014, 2016; Schoberle et al., 2016). Molecularly, YopM binds to PRK to promote a RhoA-independent phosphorylation of pyrin that restores its 25 interaction with 14-3-3 for inactivation (Aubert et al., 2016; Chung et al., 2016). 26 PTM-driven activation of Rac1 by the CNF1 toxin from E. coli also triggers cas-27 pase-1 mediated IL-1 β secretion (Diabate *et al.*, 2015). Collectively, these findings 28 point for inflammasome complexes as sensors of RhoA and Rac1 activities. 29

6.2.2. Extended diversity of small GTPase PTMs

It is intriguing why GTPases (small, heterotrimeric, or large GTPases, such as elongation (factor) EF) are frequent substrates of various toxins and injected effectors (Lemichez and Barbieri, 2013). Bacterial effectors do not induce a unique type of modification but selectively modify GTPases through diverse enzymatic reactions. Novel toxin-dependent PTMs of small GTPases of the Rab subfamily

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

1 have been recently uncovered (Table 1). It is interesting to briefly discuss these 2 PTMs, considering the diverted use of enzyme reactions found in nature. Indeed, the control of small GTPases by injected virulence effectors is not a peculiarity of 3 Rho proteins. For example, the mono-ADP-ribosyl transferase activity of ExoS 4 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa inactivates several Ras small GTPases; among them 5 are Ras, RalA, as well as Rab5 and several other Rab members (Fravlick et al., 6 2002). The subfamily of Rab GTPases are critical regulators of membrane 7 trafficking and thereby targets of choice for bacteria that thrive in intracellular 8 compartments (Wandinger-Ness and Zerial, 2014; López de Armentia et al., 2016). 9 The activity of ExoS from Pseudomonas aeruginosa is, for example, essential for the 10 bacterium to avoid the reaching of lytic compartments once it gets internalized into 11 epithelial cells (Angus et al., 2010). Exciting new studies unravel that bacterial 12 effectors from Legionella pneumophila modify various cellular substrates including 13 Rab GTPases, notably Rab1 family members. This intracellular pathogen injects 14 into host cells more than 300 effectors that confer to bacteria versatile capacities to replicate in a broad number of host species, notably the fresh water amoebas, natu-15 ral hosts, or humans leading to Legionnaire's disease. Bacteria replicate in a 16 Legionella containing vacuole (LCV) at the membrane of which it recruits Rab1 17 family members in order to hijack vesicles derived from the Golgi and the endo-18 plasmic reticulum. The injected virulence effector AnkX catalyzes a new type of 19 PTM. This consists in the transfer of the phosphocholine moiety of cytidine 20 diphosphorylcholine (CDP) on the Ser-79 of Rab1A (S76 in Rab1B) that is located 21 in the switch-II domain (Mukherjee et al., 2011). In the host cell, AnkX produces 22 an enlargement of early endosomes and impairs alkaline phosphatase secretion as 23 does expression of Rab1 S79A. In addition, Rab1 is the target of the glutamine 24 synthetase adenylyl transferase (GS-ATase)-like domain of DrrA (SidM) that 25 AMPylates Tyr-77 of Rab1A (Y80 in Rab1B) to promote its activation (Müller et al., 2010). This indicates that several amino-acid residues in the switch-II can be 26 modified to promote the activation of a small GTPase. Interestingly, the phospho-27 cholination and AMPylation of Rab1 can be reverted by the action of Lem3 and 28 SidD effectors, respectively (Tan and Luo, 2011; Tan et al., 2011). The PTMs of 29 Rab GTPases catalyzed by injected effectors from Legionella contrast with known 30 PTMs of Rho proteins that are irreversible. This highlights the importance of 31 keeping on and off Rab1 cycling to successfully hijack its functions. This idea is 32 reinforced by the finding that the GDI displacement and GEF domains of DrrA 33 can work cooperatively with the GAP activity of LepB to foster the recruitment 34 and activation of Rab1 family members to the LCV (Ingmundson et al., 2007). 35 How precisely these factors cooperate to corrupt the spatiotemporal cycling of Rab 36 GTPases is a challenging question to be addressed. One element, brought to our

۲

()

"9x6'

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 105

comprehensive view is that the phosphocholination of Rab tamed the GTP/GDP cycle by blocking the GEF activity of the host onnecdenn (Dennd1A) protein, while allowing the binding of GEF-domain of DrrA to promote Rab1 cycling to the LCV (Mukherjee et al., 2011).

The repertoire of PTMs of small GTPases has been extended recently with the description of an atypical cross-linking of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitin on Rab33b. This PTM is catalyzed the SidE effector family from Legionella pneu*mophila* (Qiu *et al.*, 2016; Bhogaraju *et al.*, 2016). SdeA contains several domains notably a phosphodiesterase (PPE) and a mono-ADP-ribosyltransferase (mART). SdeA catalyzes the ADP-ribosylation of the Arg-42 of ubiquitin using NAD⁺ as cosubstrate (Qiu et al., 2016; Bhogaraju et al., 2016) (Fig. 1). This PTM of ubiquitin is followed by the cleavage of the phosphodiesterase bond of mono-ADP-ribosylated ubiquitin to produce phosphoribosyl-Ub (pUb) or the transfer of pUb to serine residues in Rab33b (Bhogaraju et al., 2016). Canonical reaction of ubiquitination involves a first step of ubiquitin activation by an E1 enzyme followed by the transfer of ubiquitin from E1 to an E2 enzyme, prior E3-mediated direct or indirect cross-linking of ubiquitin to target proteins (Swatek and Komander, 2016). The phosphoribosyl-Ub poisons all conventional transfer reactions of ubiquitin. It works in concert with the amino-terminal deubiquitinase domain DUB of SdeA to disengage host protein modulation by ubiquitination (Bhogaraju *et al.*, 2016). The freezing of the host ubiquitination machinery likely plays a dominant role, over Rab modification, in term of virulence.

6.2.3. Host reaction to bacteria-mediated PTM of Rho GTPases

25 The study of Rho GTPase activation by the CNF1 toxin from pathogenic 26 Escherichia coli has unveiled a new mode of regulation of Rho proteins by ubiquitin-27 mediated proteasomal degradation (Doye et al., 2002; Mettouchi and Lemichez, 2012). The CNF1 toxin is produced by pathogenic strains of E. coli of the phylo-28 genic group B2. These bacteria reside in the normal gut flora of healthy human 29 carriers while promoting extraintestinal infections such as urinary tract infection 30 and bacteremia (Welch, 2016; Buc et al., 2013). The CNF1 toxin is a paradigm of 31 deamidase toxin and virulence effector targeting Rho GTPases found in a broad 32 array of bacterial pathogens. CNF1 catalyzes a point mutation of Rho GTPases. 33 This consists in a deamidation of the Gln-63 into a glutamic acid (61 in Rac1 and 34 Cdc42) (Flatau et al., 1997; Schmidt et al., 1997; Lerm et al., 1999). Mutation of 35 Gln-63/61 of RhoA/Rac1 impairs the intrinsic GTPase activities thereby turning 36 on permanently Rho proteins (Flatau et al., 1997; Schmidt et al., 1997; Lerm et al.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

()

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease 106

1999). Cells can react to this unregulated activation of Rho GTPases by promoting their polyubiquitination for targeting to degradation by the 26S proteasome (Doye et al., 2002). The HECT-domain and ankyrin-repeats containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 (HACE1) catalyzes the polyubiquitination of activated Rac1 on Lys-147 (Castillo-Lluva et al., 2013; Torrino et al., 2011). The depletion of HACE1 leads to an increase of total and active Rac1 cellular levels in intoxicated but also nonintoxicated cells (Castillo-Lluva et al., 2013; Torrino et al., 2011). HACE1 is therefore a critical element in the control of (i) Rac1-dependent NADPH oxidase-mediated production of reactive oxygen species, (ii) cyclin-D1 expression and cell cycle progression and (iii) autophagic clearance of protein 10 aggregates (Daugaard et al., 2013; Rotblat et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). 11

These guard functions of HACE1 on cell homeostasis and the control of Rac1-12 mediated cell cycle progression likely account in part for its critical tumor 13 suppressor function (Zhang et al., 2007). Moreover, HACE1 regulates the tumor 14 necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-1 (TNFR-1) signaling by shifting the balance of TNF signaling toward apoptosis instead of necroptosis and the ensuing inflamma-15 tion (Ellerbroek et al., 2003). Mice knocked out for HACE1 display colitis when 16 the intestinal epithelium barrier is compromised by treatment with the chemical 17 irritant (DSS) and show a significant lower resistance to infection by Listeria mono-18 cytogenes (Tortola et al., 2016). A growing number of studies have established the 19 importance of ubiquitin and proteasome systems (UPSs) in the control of Rho 20 protein function (Table 2). Specific degradation of Rho proteins secures the spatial 21 control of Rho activities and adapts the cellular levels of Rho to directly impact the 22 extent of Rho proteins entering the GEF/GAP cycle (Wang et al., 2003; Chen 23 et al., 2009; Torrino et al., 2011).

24

25 6.3. Cellular PTMs of Rho GTPases 26

27 Rho proteins are highly conserved from protozoan to humans. Most likely, the cel-28 lular PTMs of small GTPases represent a necessary adaptation to increasing levels of complexity found in multicellular organisms (Hodge and Ridley, 2016). Initially, 29 Rho GTPases have been identified as membrane proximal regulators of the actin 30 cytoskeleton in response to engagement of growth factors and G protein-coupled 31 proteins' receptors, as well as integrin matrix-adhesion receptors (Ridley and Hall, 32 1992; Ridley et al., 1992; Nobes and Hall, 1995). The articulation of signals 33 between receptor-coupled kinases and small GTPases involves the phosphoryla-34 tion of GEF factors and the interplay of pleckstrin homology domains with 35 phosphoinositides (Hodge and Ridley, 2016). As exemplified in Table 2 and 36 reviewed in Hodge and Ridley (2016), several kinases can directly phosphorylate ()

	Table 2.	Examples of PTMs of Rho GTPases cata	lyzed by cellular factors.	
GTPases	PTMs & amino acid targets	PTM Effects	Enzymes	References
Rac1	Phosphorylation T108	Induces nuclear translocation	ERK	Tong et al. (2013)
	Phosphorylation Y64	Inhibition	Src and FAK	Chang et al. (2011)
	Phosphorylation S71	Inhibits GTP binding	AKT	Kwon et al. (2000)
	Ubiquitylation K147	Proteasomal degradation	HACE1	Torrino <i>et al.</i> (2011); Castillo-Lluva <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Ubiquitylation K166	Proteasomal degradation of S71 phosphorylated Rac1 (also Rac3)	SCF-FBXL19	Zhao et al. (2013)
	Sumoylation (carboxy- terminal region)	Stabilizes the GTP-bound form	PIAS3	Castillo-Lluva et al. (2010)
RhoA	Phosphorylation S188	GDI interaction & impaired proteasomal degradation	PKA, PKG, PKC (also T127), SLK	Lang <i>et al.</i> (1996); Ellerbroek <i>et al.</i> (2003); Su <i>et al.</i> (2013); Guilluy <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Ubiquitylation K6, K7 an K51	d Proteasomal degradation	Smurf1 and Smurf2	Ozdamar <i>et al.</i> (2005); Lu <i>et al.</i> (2011); Bryan <i>et al.</i> (2005)
				(Continued)
33 34 35 36	26 27 28 29 30 31 32	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated

107

۲

Che	Cul3-BACUBD	Proteasomal degradation	I Ibianitylation
	Enzymes	PTM Effects	PTMs & amino acid targets
		Table 2. (Continued)	

JTPases	PTMs & amino acid targets	PTM Effects	Enzymes	References
	Ubiquitylation	Proteasomal degradation	Cul3-BACURD	Chen et al. (2009)
	Ubiquitylation K135	Degradation	SCF-FBXL19	Wei et al. (2013)
	Serotonylation Q63 for RhoA	Activation	Transglutaminase	Walther et al. (2003)
	Nitration Y34	Activation by decreasing GDP binding	Addition of a nitro group from peroxynitrite to tyrosine residue	Rafikov <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Cdc42	Phosphorylation Y64	Inhibition by promoting association with GDI	Src	Tu et al. (2003)
	Phosphorylation S185	Inhibition by promoting association with GDI	PKA	Forget et al. (2002)
8hoU/ WRCH1	Phosphorylation Y254	Inhibition by relocalization to endosomal membrane	Src	Alan <i>et al</i> . (2010)
	Ubiquitylation K177, K248	Proteasomal degradation	Rab40A-Cullin5	Dart et al. (2015)

"9x6"

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 109

Rho GTPases. Despite progress made, much remains to be uncovered on PTMs of Rho GTPases if one considers the catalogue of PTMs defined by proteomic that encompass phosphorylation, ubiquitination, methylation, acetylation, and succinylation (http://www.phosphosite.org).

6.3.1. Cellular and bacterial effector shared PTMs of Rho GTPases

Human Fic-domain containing huntingtin yeast-interacting protein E (HYPE) displays in vitro and in vivo adenylylation activity toward RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 (Worby et al., 2009). The cellular activity of HYPE is probably highly regulated in cells considering that its ectopic expression does not produce a disruption of actin cytoskeleton and a rounding of cells as does the immunoglobulin-binding protein A (IbpA) from *Histophilus somni* (Worby et al., 2009). Note that IbpA also AMPylates LyGDI and blocks its phosphorylation by Src tyrosine protein kinase (Yu et al., 2014). Mammalian transglutaminases catalyze the serotonylation of the Gln-63 of RhoA leading to its permanent activation (Walther et al., 2003). Serotonin is a neurotransmitter in the central and peripheral nervous system and a ubiquitous hormone synthetized by tryptophan hydroxylase isoenzymes to control vasoconstriction and platelet function. Mice deficient in peripheral serotonin synthesis exhibit impaired hemostasis with high risk of thrombosis and thromboembolism, while the structure of platelets is not affected. Serotonylation of RhoA and other small GTPases promotes the exocytosis of α -granules from platelets by a receptorindependent mechanism thereby favoring their adherence to tissue lesions.

6.3.2. Unique cellular PTMs of Rho GTPases

In addition to the control of GTP/GDP cycle and membrane/cytosol shuttling, cells can modulate Rho GTPases by phosphorylation (Table 2). As discussed below, phosphorylation occurs both in the carboxy-terminal part and in the switch-II domain of small GTPases thereby controlling their cellular localization and guanine nucleotide loading, respectively. Although phosphorylation can be rapidly reverted, little is known on phosphatases that contribute to this balance. In contrast, progress has been made in identifying kinases targeting Rho proteins. 27 28 29 30 31 31 32

Two amino-acid residues in the switch-II domain of Rac1 can be phosphory-33lated thereby stabilizing the GDP-bound form. These PTMs comprise the34phosphorylation of Ser-71 of Rac1 mediated by AKT; and Tyr-64, by Src or FAK35(Chang *et al.*, 2011; Tu *et al.*, 2003; Kwon *et al.*, 2000). The phosphorylation of36

۲

b3058_Ch-06.indd 109

28-08-2017 08:41:39 PM

1 Rac1 by Src modulates the spreading of cells on fibronectin (Chang et al., 2011). 2 Expression of the phosphonull mutant Racl-Y64F increases the pool of GTPbound Rac1 and the spreading area of cells. Phosphorylation of amino-acid 3 residues in the carboxy-terminal region of Rho proteins can modulate their locali-4 zation. For example, the ERK-mediated phosphorylation of Rac1 on Thr-108 5 promotes its translocation into the nucleus, thereby compartmentalizing Rac1 away 6 from components of its signaling cascade to down-modulate its activity (Tong 7 et al., 2013). PTMs of Rho GTPases also contribute to modify their surface of 8 interaction with regulatory proteins and effectors. Phosphorylation of Ser-188 of 9 RhoA, among Rho phosphorylations, provides us with such an example (Lang 10 et al., 1996) (Table 2). In several cell types, the broad signaling cyclic nucleotides 11 cAMP or cGMP promote a PKA or PKG-dependent phosphorylation of RhoA on 12 Ser-188 (Lang et al., 1996; Ellerbroek et al., 2003; Rolli-Derkinderen et al., 2005). 13 PKA also phosphorylates the equivalent Ser-185 in Cdc42 that is not present in 14 Rac1 (Forget et al., 2002). Phospho-Ser-188 can promote variations in the repertoire of activated effectors by excluding ROCK as compared to mDIA-1 and PKN 15 (Nusser et al., 2006). In addition, phosphorylation of RhoA increases its capacity 16 to interact with GDI, thereby altering its accessibility for activation and protects 17 RhoA from proteasomal degradation mediated by Smad ubiquitylation regulatory 18 factor 1 (Smurf1) E3 ubiquitin ligase (Forget et al., 2002; Rolli-Derkinderen et al., 19 2005). In contrast, phosphorylation of Rac1 on Ser-71 promotes its degradation by 20 SCF-FBXL19 (Zhao et al., 2013). RhoA and Rac1 provide examples of cross talk 21 between phosphorylation and ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation. 22

While ubiquitination of Rho GTPases and notably Rac1 promotes their degradation, the SUMOylation of Rac1 carboxy-terminal-located lysines provides us with an example of cellular PTM that reinforces the stability of the GTP-bound form (Castillo-Lluva *et al.*, 2010).

It is of great importance to further define how cellular PTMs of Rho GTPases contribute to their essential function in physiology. For example, the knockdown of HACE1 predisposes mice to colonic inflammation and cancer onset during aging (Tortola *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2007). Yet to be demonstrated, growing evidence support a critical role of this regulation to tone down the oncogenic and inflammatory potential of Rac1 (Daugaard *et al.*, 2013; Mettouchi and Lemichez, 2012; Goka and Lippman, 2015; Andrio *et al.*, 2017).

32 33

26

27

28

29

30

31

34

6.3.3. Regulation of atypical Rho GTPases by PTMs

Some members of the Rho protein family, referred to as atypical small GTPases,
 display high intrinsic GDP exchange activities or substitutions in the GTPase

()

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

()

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 111

domain, which alter their capacity to hydrolyze the GTP. For these GTPases that escape to the canonical switch regulation by GEF/GAP factors, PTMs are a prominent way to regulate their signaling via compartmentalization and stability. RhoU (also known as WRCH1) provides us with an example of atypical Rho GTPase regulated both by phosphorylation and ubiquitylation. Although RhoU belongs to the Cdc42 branch of small Rho GTPases, it is thought to be constitutively active (Saras et al., 2004). This critical regulator of focal-adhesion dynamics is controlled by the 7 kinase activity of Src, which catalyzes the phosphorylation Tyr-254 (Alan et al., 2010). Such modification of the carboxy-terminal part of RhoU promotes a shift in 9 its subcellular localization from the plasma membrane to endosomal membranes, that is concomitant with a reduction of active protein levels likely through the segregation of RhoU from its GEF factors. In addition, RhoU is polyubiquitylated on Lys-177 and Lys-248 (Dart et al., 2015). This involves a recognition of Rab40A by RhoU. Over-expression of Rab40A promotes RhoU ubiquitylation and reduces its cellular level. By analogy with known homologues in *Xenopus*, control of RhoU stability by Rab40A likely involves an ElonginB/C and Cullin5 E3 ubiquitin ligase complex.

6.4. Conclusions

Despite their flexible regulation by GTP/GDP-based switches, the small Rho 19 GTPases are controlled by PTMs that engage or disengage GTPase signaling in a 20 dominant manner. A large spectrum of biochemical modifications of these GTPases 21 has been unveiled going from deamidation of glutamine and arginine residues up to 22 the addition of bulky chemical groups. These posttranslational modifications affect 23 critical amino-acid residues of both switch-I and II domains and the carboxy-terminal 24 region. The posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases by virulence factors is 25 a strategy widely exploited by bacterial pathogens to disrupt or usurp cell signaling, 26 thereby conferring pathogens a better fitness. Cells can also react to PTMs of Rho 27 GTPases by inducing inflammasome signaling or limit activation of these GTPases via their degradation. PTMs catalyzed by virulence effectors from L. pneumophila 28 let us glimpse other types of modifications of Rho GTPases to be uncovered. This 29 rich cross talk between bacterial virulence effectors and small GTPases continues to 30 unveil critical elements of cell signaling especially those implicated in human dis-31 eases, such as infection, inflammation, and cancer. 32

Acknowledgments

35 We are grateful to Grégory Michel for assistance in the preparation of Fig. 1. 36 We thank Monica Rolando for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was

33

34

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

112 Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease

supported by fundings from INSERM, CNRS, the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer (LNCC, équipe labellisée), the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC-SFI20111203659 and ARC-SFI20111203671), and the "Investments for the Future" LABEX SIGNALIFE ANR-11-LABX-0028-01.

- References
- Aili, M., Isaksson, E.L., Hallberg, B., Wolf-Watz, H., and Rosqvist, R. (2006). Functional analysis of the YopE GTPase-activating protein (GAP) activity of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Cell Microbiol. 8, 1020–1033.
- Aktories, K. (2011). Bacterial protein toxins that modify host regulatory GTPases. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 9, 487–498.
- Aktories, K., Lang, A.E., Schwan, C., and Mannherz, H.G. (2011). Actin as target for modi fication by bacterial protein toxins. FEBS J. 278, 4526–4543.
- Alan, J.K., Berzat, A.C., Dewar, B. J., Graves, L.M., and Cox, A.D. (2010). Regulation of the Rho family small GTPase Wrch-1/RhoU by C-terminal tyrosine phosphorylation requires Src. Mol. Cell Biol. *30*, 4324–4338.
- Andrio, E., Lotte, R., Hamaoui, D., Cherfils, J., Doye, A., Daugaard, M., Sorensen, P.H.,
 Bost, F., Ruimy, R., Mettouchi, A., and Lemichez, E. (2017). Identification of
 cancer-associated missense mutations in hace1 that impair cell growth control and
 Rac1 ubiquitylation. Sci. Rep. 7, 44779.
- Angus, A.A., Evans, D.J., Barbieri, J.T., and Fleiszig, S.M. (2010). The ADP-ribosylation domain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ExoS is required for membrane bleb niche formation and bacterial survival within epithelial cells. Infect. Immun. 78, 4500–4510.
- Aubert, D.F., Xu, H., Yang, J., Shi, X., Gao, W., Li, L., Bisaro, F., Chen, S., Valvano, M.A.,
 and Shao, F. (2016). A *Burkholderia* type VI effector deamidates Rho GTPases to activate
 the pyrin inflammasome and trigger inflammation. Cell Host Microbe *19*, 1–11.
- Bhogaraju, S., Kalayil, S., Liu, Y., Bonn, F., Colby, T., Matic, I., and Dikic, I. (2016).
 Phosphoribosylation of ubiquitin promotes serine ubiquitination and impairs conventional ubiquitination. Cell 167, 1636–1649.
- 27 Boquet, P. and Lemichez, E. (2003). Bacterial virulence factors targeting Rho GTPases:
 28 parasitism or symbiosis? Trends Cell Biol. *13*, 238–246.
- Boureux, A., Vignal, E., Faure, S., and Fort, P. (2007). Evolution of the Rho family of
 ras-like GTPases in eukaryotes. Mol Biol Evol 24, 203-216.
- Bryan, B., Cai, Y., Wrighton, K., Wu, G., Feng, X.H., and Liu, M. (2005). Ubiquitination
 of RhoA by Smurf1 promotes neurite outgrowth. FEBS Lett. 579, 1015–1019.
- Buc, E., Dubois, D., Sauvanet, P., Raisch, J., Delmas, J., Darfeuille-Michaud, A., Pezet, D.,
 and Bonnet, R. (2013). High prevalence of mucosa-associated *E. coli* producing cyclomodulin and genotoxin in colon cancer. PLoS One *8*, e56964.
- Caron, E. and Hall, A. (1998). Identification of two distinct mechanisms of phagocytosis
 controlled by different Rho GTPases. Science 282, 1717–1721.

()

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

'9x6"

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 113

- Castillo-Lluva, S., Tan, C.T., Daugaard, M., Sorensen, P.H., and Malliri, A. (2013). The tumour suppressor HACE1 controls cell migration by regulating Rac1 degradation. Oncogene *32*, 1735–1742.
- Castillo-Lluva, S., Tatham, M.H., Jones, R.C., Jaffray, E.G., Edmondson, R.D., Hay, R.T., and Malliri, A. (2010). SUMOylation of the GTPase Rac1 is required for optimal cell migration. Nat. Cell Biol. 12, 1078–1085.
- Chang, F., Lemmon, C., Lietha, D., Eck, M., and Romer, L. (2011). Tyrosine phosphorylation of Rac1: a role in regulation of cell spreading. PLoS One *6*, e28587.
- Chardin, P., Boquet, P., Madaule, P., Popoff, M.R., Rubin, E.J., and Gill, D.M. (1989). The mammalian G protein rhoC is ADP-ribosylated by *Clostridium botulinum* exoenzyme C3 and affects actin microfilaments in Vero cells. EMBO J. *8*, 1087–1092.
- Chen, Y., Yang, Z., Meng, M., Zhao, Y., Dong, N., Yan, H., Liu, L., Ding, M., Peng, H.B., and Shao, F. (2009). Cullin mediates degradation of RhoA through evolutionarily conserved BTB adaptors to control actin cytoskeleton structure and cell movement. Mol. Cell 35, 841–855.
- Chung, L.K., Park, Y.H., Zheng, Y., Brodsky, I.E., Hearing, P., Kastner, D.L., Chae, J.J., and Bliska, J. B. (2016). The *Yersinia* virulence factor YopM hijacks host kinases to inhibit type III effector-triggered activation of the pyrin inflammasome. Cell Host Microbe 20, 296–306.
 Chung I. K. Philip N.H. Schmidt V.A. Koller A. Strowig T. Elavell R.A. Brodsky.
- Chung, L.K., Philip, N.H., Schmidt, V.A., Koller, A., Strowig, T., Flavell, R.A., Brodsky, I. E., and Bliska, J. B. (2014). IQGAP1 is important for activation of caspase-1 in macrophages and is targeted by *Yersinia pestis* type III effector YopM. MBio 5, e01402–14.
- Dart, A. E., Box, G. M., Court, W., Gale, M. E., Brown, J. P., Pinder, S. E., Eccles, S. A., and Wells, C. M. (2015). PAK4 promotes kinase-independent stabilization of RhoU to modulate cell adhesion. J. Cell Biol. 211, 863–879.
- Daugaard, M., Nitsch, R., Razaghi, B., McDonald, L., Jarrar, A., Torrino, S., Castillo-Lluva, S., Rotblat, B., Li, L., Malliri, A., Lemichez, E., Mettouchi, A., Berman, J. N., Penninger, J. M., and Sorensen, P. H. (2013). Hacel controls ROS generation of vertebrate Rac1-dependent NADPH oxidase complexes. Nat. Commun. 4, 2180.
 23
- Diabate, M., Munro, P., Garcia, E., Jacquel, A., Michel, G., Obba, S., Goncalves, D., Luci,
 C., Marchetti, S., Demon, D., *et al.* (2015). Escherichia coli alpha-Hemolysin
 counteracts the anti-virulence innate immune response triggered by the Rho GTPase
 activating Toxin CNF1 during Bacteremia. PLoS Pathog. 11, e1004732.
- Doye, A., Mettouchi, A., Bossis, G., Clement, R., Buisson-Touati, C., Flatau, G., Gagnoux,
 L., Piechaczyk, M., Boquet, P., and Lemichez, E. (2002). CNF1 exploits the ubiquitin proteasome machinery to restrict Rho GTPase activation for bacterial host cell invasion. Cell 111, 553–564.
- Bith Cen III, 555 501.
 Bitel, J., Meixenberger, K., van Laak, C., Orlovski, C., Hocke, A., Schmeck, B., Hippenstiel,
 S., N'Guessan, P.D., Suttorp, N., and Opitz, B. (2012). Rac1 regulates the NLRP3 inflammasome which mediates IL-1beta production in *Chlamydophila pneumoniae* infected human mononuclear cells. PLoS One 7, e30379.

b3058_Ch-06.indd 113

28-08-2017 08:41:39 PM

()

	114 ■ Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease ■
1	Ellerbroek, S.M., Wennerberg, K., and Burridge, K. (2003). Serine phosphorylation
2	negatively regulates RhoA in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 19023–19031.
3	Flatau, G., Lemichez, E., Gauthier, M., Chardin, P., Paris, S., Fiorentini, C., and Boquet, P.
4	(1997). Toxin-induced activation of the G protein p21 Kho by deamidation of glutamine. Nature 387, 729–733.
5	Forget, M.A., Desrosiers, R.R., Gingras, D., and Beliveau, R. (2002). Phosphorylation
6	states of Cdc42 and RhoA regulate their interactions with Rho GDP dissociation
7	inhibitor and their extraction from biological membranes. Biochem. J. 361, 243-254.
8	Fraylick, J.E., Rucks, E.A., Greene, D.M., Vincent, T.S., and Olson, J.C. (2002). Eukaryotic
9	cell determination of ExoS ADP-ribosyltransferase substrate specificity. Biochem.
10	Biophys. Res. Commun. 291, 91–100.
11	Host Microbe 5, 571–579
12	Goka, E.T. and Lippman, M.E. (2015). Loss of the E3 ubiquitin ligase HACE1 results in
13	enhanced Racl signaling contributing to breast cancer progression. Oncogene 34,
14	5395–5405.
15	Guilluy, C., Rolli-Derkinderen, M., Loufrani, L., Bourge, A., Henrion, D., Sabourin, L.,
16	Loirand, G., and Pacaud, P. (2008). Ste20-related kinase SLK phosphorylates Ser188
10	of RhoA to induce vasodilation in response to angiotensin II type 2 receptor activation.
1/	Circ. Res. 102, 1265–1274.
18	Hodge, R.G. and Ridley, A.J. (2016). Regulating Rho GTPases and their regulators. Nat.
19	Key. Mol. Cell Biol. 17, 490–510.
20	manhila proteins that regulate Rahl membrane cycling Nature 450, 365–369
21	Jank, T., Bogdanovic, X., Wirth, C., Haaf, E., Spoerner, M., Bohmer, K. E., Steinemann,
22	M., Orth, J. H., Kalbitzer, H.R., Warscheid, B., Hunte, C., and Aktories, K. (2013). A
23	bacterial toxin catalyzing tyrosine glycosylation of Rho and deamidation of Gq and Gi
24	proteins. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20, 1273-1280.
25	Just, I., Selzer, J., Wilm, M., von Eichel-Streiber, C., Mann, M., and Aktories, K. (1995).
26	Glucosylation of Rho proteins by Clostridium difficile toxin B. Nature 375, 500-503.
27	Kwon, T., Kwon, D.Y., Chun, J., Kim, J.H., and Kang, S.S. (2000). Akt protein kinase
28	inhibits Racl-GTP binding through phosphorylation at serine 71 of Racl. J. Biol.
20	Chem. 2/5, 423–428.
20	Lang, A.E., Schnidt, G., Schlösser, A., Hey, I.D., Larrinua, I.M., Sneets, J.J., Mannnerz, H.G. and Altories K. (2010). <i>Photorhabdus luminoscens</i> toxins ADP ribosylate actin
21	and RhoA to force actin clustering. Science 327, 1139–1142
31	Lang, P., Gesbert, F., Delespine-Carmagnat, M., Stancou, R., Pouchelet, M., and
32	Bertoglio, J. (1996). Protein kinase A phosphorylation of RhoA mediates the
33	morphological and functional effects of cyclic AMP in cytotoxic lymphocytes.
34	EMBO J. 15, 510–519.
35	Lemichez, E. and Aktories, K. (2013). Hijacking of Rho GTPases during bacterial infection.
36	Exp. Cell Res. 319, 2329–2336.

۲

1st Reading

115

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 115	
Lemichez, E., and Barbieri, J.T. (2013). General aspects and recent advances on bacterial	1
Form M. Solver, I. Haffmann, A. Barr, U.B. Altania, K. and Sahmidt, C. (1000).	2
Deemidation of Cda(2 and Bachy Exploring factor 1) active	3
tion of c_lun N_terminal kinase in HeI a cells Infect Immun 67 496-503	4
Liu M Bi F Zhou X and Zheng Y (2012) Rho GTPase regulation by miRNAs and	5
covalent modifications. Trends Cell Biol. 22, 365–373.	6
López de Armentia, M.M., Amaya, C., and Colombo, M.I. (2016). Rab GTPases and the	7
autophagy pathway: bacterial targets for a suitable biogenesis and trafficking of their	8
own vacuoles. Cells 5, E11.	9
Lu, K., Li, P., Zhang, M., Xing, G., Li, X., Zhou, W., Bartlam, M., Zhang, L., Kao, Z., and	10
selection. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 16861–16870.	11
Masuda, M., Betancourt, L., Matsuzawa, T., Kashimoto, T., Takao, T., Shimonishi, Y., and	12
Horiguchi, Y. (2000). Activation of rho through a cross-link with polyamines catalyzed	13
by Bordetella dermonecrotizing toxin. EMBO J. 19, 521-530.	14
Mettouchi, A. and Lemichez, E. (2012). Ubiquitylation of active Rac1 by the E3 ubiquitin- ligram HACE1. Small CTBacca 2, 102, 106	15
Mukhariaa S. Liu X. Arasaki K. McDanaugh I. Galán I.F. and Pay C.P. (2011)	16
Modulation of Rab GTPase function by a protein phosphocholine transferase. Nature	17
477. 103–106.	18
Müller, M.P., Peters, H., Blümer, J., Blankenfeldt, W., Goody, R.S., and Itzen, A. (2010).	19
The Legionella effector protein DrrA AMPylates the membrane traffic regulator	20
Rab1b. Science 329, 946–949.	21
Navarro-Lérida, I., Sánchez-Perales, S., Calvo, M., Rentero, C., Zheng, Y., Enrich, C., and	21
Del Pozo, M. A. (2012). A palmitoylation switch mechanism regulates Rac1 function	22
and membrane organization. EMBO J. 37, 534–551.	23
Navarro, L., Koller, A., Nordfelth, R., Wolf-Watz, H., Taylor, S., and Dixon, J.E. (2007).	24
Identification of a molecular target for the <i>Yersinia</i> protein kinase A. Mol. Cell 26,	25
+00-+77. Nobes C.D. and Hall A (1005) Pho. res. and $cdc/2$ GTPasses regulate the assembly of	26
multimolecular facal complexes associated with actin stress fibers, lamellinodia, and	27
filonodia Cell 81 53–62	28
Nusser, N., Gosmanova, E., Makarova, N., Fujiwara, Y., Yang, L., Guo, F., Luo, Y., Zheng, Y.,	29
and Tigyi, G. (2006). Serine phosphorylation differentially affects RhoA binding to	30
effectors: implications to NGF-induced neurite outgrowth. Cell Signal. 18, 704–714.	31
Olson, M.F. (2016). Rho GTPases, their post-translational modifications, disease-associated	32
mutations and pharmacological inhibitors. Small GTPases 1–13. URL: http://dx.doi.	33
Ordamar B. Bose R. Barrios-Rodiles M. Wang H.R. Zhang V. and Wrang H.I. (2005)	34
Regulation of the polarity protein Part by TGFbeta recentors controls enithelial cell	35
plasticity. Science 307, 1603–1609.	36

۲

۲

"9X6"

	116 Rho GTPases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease
1 2 2	Park, Y.H., Wood, G., Kastner, D.L., and Chae, J.J. (2016). Pyrin inflammasome activation and RhoA signaling in the autoinflammatory diseases FMF and HIDS. Nat. Immunol. <i>17</i> , 914–921.
5 5	Paterson, H.F., Self, A.J., Garrett, M.D., Just, I., Aktories, K., and Hall, A. (1990). Microinjection of recombinant p21rho induces rapid changes in cell morphology. J. Cell Biol 111, 1001–1007
6 7	Popoff, M.R. (2014). Bacterial factors exploit eukaryotic Rho GTPase signaling cascades to promote invasion and proliferation within their host. Small GTPases 5, e28209.
8 9	Prehna, G., Ivanov, M.I., Bliska, J.B., and Stebbins, C.E. (2006). Yersinia virulence depends on mimicry of host Rho-family nucleotide dissociation inhibitors. Cell <i>126</i> , 869–880.
10 11 12	 Qiu, J., Sheedlo, M.J., Yu, K., Tan, Y., Nakayasu, E.S., Das, C., Liu, X., and Luo, Z.Q. (2016). Ubiquitination independent of E1 and E2 enzymes by bacterial effectors. Nature 533 120–124
13 14 15	 Rafikov, R., Dimitropoulou, C., Aggarwal, S., Kangath, A., Gross, C., Pardo, D., Sharma, S., Jezierska-Drutel, A., Patel, V., Snead, C., <i>et al.</i>, (2014). Lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury involves the nitration-mediated activation of RhoA. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 4710, 4722
16 17 18	Ridley, A.J. and Hall, A. (1992). The small GTP-binding protein rho regulates the assembly of focal adhesions and actin stress fibers in response to growth factors. Cell <i>70</i> , 389–399.
19 20	Ridley, A.J., Paterson, H.F., Johnston, C.L., Diekmann, D., and Hall, A. (1992). The small GTP-binding protein rac regulates growth factor-induced membrane ruffling. Cell <i>70</i> , 401–410.
21 22 23	Rolli-Derkinderen, M., Sauzeau, V., Boyer, L., Lemichez, E., Baron, C., Henrion, D., Loirand, G., and Pacaud, P. (2005). Phosphorylation of serine 188 protects RhoA from ubiquitin/proteasome-mediated degradation in vascular smooth muscle cells. Circ. D (1152-116)
24 25 26 27	 Res. 96, 1152–1160. Rotblat, B., Southwell, A.L., Ehrnhoefer, D.E., Skotte, N.H., Metzler, M., Franciosi, S., Leprivier, G., Somasekharan, S.P., Barokas, A., Deng, Y., <i>et al.</i> (2014). HACE1 reduces oxidative stress and mutant Huntingtin toxicity by promoting the NRF2 response.
28 29 30	Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 3032–3037. Saras, J., Wollberg, P., and Aspenström, P. (2004). Wrch1 is a GTPase-deficient Cdc42-like protein with unusual binding characteristics and cellular effects. Exp. Cell Res. 299, 356–369.
31 32	Schmidt, G., Sehr, P., Wilm, M., Selzer, J., Mann, M., and Aktories, K. (1997). Gln 63 of Rho is deamidated by <i>Escherichia coli</i> cytotoxic necrotizing factor-1. Nature 387, 725–729.
33 34 35 36	Schoberle, T.J., Chung, L.K., McPhee, J.B., Bogin, B., and Bliska, J. B. (2016). Uncovering an important role for YopJ in the inhibition of caspase-1 in activated macrophages and promoting <i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i> virulence. Infect. Immun. 84, 1062–1072.

۲

Posttranslational modifications of Rho GTPases mediated 117	
Sekine, A., Fujiwara, M., and Narumiya, S. (1989). Asparagine residue in the rho gene	1
product is the modification site for botulinum ADP-ribosyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. <i>264</i> , 8602–8605.	2
Selzer, J., Hofmann, F., Rex, G., Wilm, M., Mann, M., Just, I., and Aktories, K. (1996).	3
<i>Clostridium novyi</i> alpha-toxin-catalyzed incorporation of GlcNAc into Rho subfamily proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 25173–25177.	5
Shao, F., Vacratsis, P. O., Bao, Z., Bowers, K. E., Fierke, C. A., and Dixon, J. E. (2003).	6
Biochemical characterization of the Yersinia YopT protease: cleavage site and recogni-	7
tion elements in Rho GTPases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 904–909.	8
Su, I., Straight, S., Bao, L., Xie, X., Lenner, C. L., Cavey, G.S., Teknos, I.N., and Pan, Q. (2013). PKC ε phosphorylates and mediates the cell membrane localization of RhoA. ISRN Oncol 2013 329063	9 10
Swatek, K.N. and Komander, D. (2016). Ubiquitin modifications. Cell Res. 26, 399–422.	11
Tan, Y., Arnold, R.J., and Luo, Z.Q. (2011). Legionella pneumophila regulates the small	12
GTPase Rab1 activity by reversible phosphorylcholination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.	13
USA 108, 21212–21217.	14
Tan, Y. and Luo, Z.Q. (2011). <i>Legionella pneumophila</i> SidD is a deAMPylase that modifies Rab1 Nature 475 506–509	15
Tong, J., Li, L., Ballermann, B., and Wang, Z. (2013). Phosphorylation of Rac1 T108 by	16
extracellular signal-regulated kinase in response to epidermal growth factor: a novel	17
mechanism to regulate Rac1 function. Mol. Cell Biol. 33, 4538-4551.	18
Torrino, S., Visvikis, O., Doye, A., Boyer, L., Stefani, C., Munro, P., Bertoglio, J.,	19
Gacon, G., Mettouchi, A., and Lemichez, E. (2011). The E3 ubiquitin-ligase HACE1 catalyzes the ubiquitylation of active Rac1. Dev. Cell <i>21</i> , 959–965.	20
Tortola, L., Nitsch, R., Bertrand, M.J., Kogler, M., Redouane, Y., Kozieradzki, I.,	21
Uribesalgo, I., Fennell, L.M., Daugaard, M., Klug, H., et al. (2016). The tumor sup-	22
pressor Hace1 is a critical regulator of TNFR1-mediated cell fate. Cell Rep. 15,	23
1481–1492.	24
Trosky, J.E., Liverman, A.D., and Orth, K. (2008). Yersima outer proteins: Yops. Cell Microbiol. 10, 557–565.	25 26
Tu, S., Wu, W.J., Wang, J., and Cerione, R.A. (2003). Epidermal growth factor-dependent	20
regulation of Cdc42 is mediated by the Src tyrosine kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 49293–49300.	28
Visvikis, O., Maddugoda, M. P., and Lemichez, E. (2010). Direct modifications of Rho	29
Welther D.L. Beter, L.L. Winter, S. Heltie, M. Baulmann, N. Grohmann, M.	30
Vowinckel I Alamo-Bethencourt V Wilhelm C.S. Ahnert-Hilger G <i>et al.</i>	31
(2003). Serotonylation of small GTPases is a signal transduction pathway that trig-	32
gers platelet alpha-granule release. Cell 115, 851–862.	33
Wandinger-Ness, A. and Zerial, M. (2014). Rab proteins and the compartmentalization of	34
the endosomal system. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 6, a022616.	35

118 Rho G I Pases: Molecular Biology in Health and Disease
Wang, H.R., Zhang, Y., Ozdamar, B., Ogunjimi, A.A., Alexandrova, E., Thomsen, G.H., and Wrana, J.L. (2003). Regulation of cell polarity and protrusion formation by
targeting KhoA for degradation. Science 302, 1/15–1/19.
A new mechanism of PhoA ubiquitination and degradation: roles of SCE/EBVI 10)
E3 ligase and Erk2. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1833, 2757–2764.
Welch, R. A. (2016). Uropathogenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> -associated exotoxins. Microbiol. Spectr. 4. doi:10.1128.
Wittinghofer, A. and Vetter, I. R. (2011). Structure-function relationships of the G domain,
a canonical switch motif. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 80, 943–971.
Worby, C.A., Mattoo, S., Kruger, R.P., Corbeil, L.B., Koller, A., Mendez, J.C., Zekarias, B.,
Lazar, C., and Dixon, J. E. (2009). The fic domain: regulation of cell signaling by
adenylylation. Mol. Cell 34, 93–103.
Xu, H., Yang, J., Gao, W., Li, L., Li, P., Zhang, L., Gong, Y. N., Peng, X., Xi, J.J., Chen, S., et al. (2014). Innate immune sensing of bacterial modifications of Rho GTPases by the
pyrin inflammasome. Nature 513, 237–241.
Yarbrough, M.L., Li, Y., Kinch, L.N., Grishin, N.V., Ball, H.L., and Orth, K. (2009).
AMPylation of Rho GTPases by <i>Vibrio</i> VopS disrupts effector binding and down-
Stream signaling. Science 525, 209–272. Yu X Woolery A.R. Luong P. Hao Y.H. Grammel M. Westcott N. Park I. Wang
I Bian X Demirkan G <i>et al</i> (2014) Conner-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition
(click chemistry)-based detection of global pathogen-host AMPyletion on self-
assembled human protein microarrays. Mol. Cell Proteom. 13, 3164–31/6.
Znang, L., Anglesio, M.S., O Sullivan, M., Znang, F., Tang, G., Sarao, K., Mai, P.N.,
chomin, S., Hara, H., Mennyk, N., <i>et al.</i> (2007). The ES ligase HACET is a children chromosome (a21 tumor suppressor involved in multiple cancers. Net. Mod. 13
1060–1069
Zhang, L., Chen, X., Sharma, P., Moon, M., Sheftel, A.D., Dawood, F., Nghiem, M.P., Wu,
J., Li, R.K., Gramolini, A.O., et al. (2014). HACE1-dependent protein degradation
provides cardiac protection in response to haemodynamic stress. Nat. Commun. 5,
3430. Zhao I. Mialli, D.V. Wei, I. Coop. T.A. Zou, C. Chop. D.D. Mallemanili, D. V. and
Zhao, Y. (2013) SCE E3 ligase E box protein complex SCE(EBXI 10) regulates cell
migration by mediating Rac1 ubiquitination and degradation. FASEB I. 27.
2611–2619.
Zhao, Y. and Shao, F. (2016). Diverse mechanisms for inflammasome sensing of cytosolic
bacteria and bacterial virulence. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 29, 37-42.

۲