

Evidence of circulation of West Nile virus in Culex pipiens mosquitoes and horses in Morocco

Najlaa Assaid, Laurence Mousson, Sara Moutailler, Soukaina Arich, Khadija Akarid, Maëlle Monier, Cécile Beck, Sylvie Lecollinet, Anna-Bella Failloux, M'Hammed Sarih

▶ To cite this version:

Najlaa Assaid, Laurence Mousson, Sara Moutailler, Soukaina Arich, Khadija Akarid, et al.. Evidence of circulation of West Nile virus in Culex pipiens mosquitoes and horses in Morocco. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta:Biomembranes, 2020, 205, 10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105414 . pasteur-02495904

HAL Id: pasteur-02495904 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02495904v1

Submitted on 10 Mar 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Evidence of circulation of West Nile virus in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes and horses in Morocco

3

Najlaa Assaid ^{1,2}, Laurence Mousson³, Sara Moutailler ⁴, Soukaina Arich ¹, Khadija Akarid ²,
Maëlle Monier⁵, Cécile Beck ⁵, Sylvie Lecollinet ⁵, Anna-Bella Failloux ³¶, M'hammed Sarih
¹¶*.

- 7
- ¹Institut Pasteur du Maroc, Service de Parasitologie et des Maladies Vectorielles, Place Louis Pasteur,
 Casablanca 20360, Morocco
- ²Molecular Genetics and Immunophysiopathology Research Team, Health and Environment
 Laboratory, Aïn Chock Faculty of Sciences, University of Hassan II Casablanca (UH2C), Casablanca,
 Morocco.
- ³Institut Pasteur, Department of Virology, Arboviruses and Insect Vectors, 25-28 rue du Docteur
 Roux, Paris 75724, France
- ⁴UMR BIPAR, Animal Health Laboratory, INRAE, ANSES, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort,
 Université Paris-Est, Maisons-Alfort, France.
- ⁵UMR1161 Virologie, INRAE, ANSES, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, Université Paris-Est,
 Maisons-Alfort, France
- 19 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work
- 20 *Corresponding author:
- 21 E-mail: mhammed.sarih@pasteur.ma (MS)
- 22 Emails:
- 23 Najlaa Assaid : <u>najlaa.essaid@gmail.com</u>
- 24 Laurence Mousson : <u>laurence.mousson@pasteur.fr</u>
- 25 Sara Moutailler : <u>sara.moutailler@anses.fr</u>
- 26 Soukaina Arich : <u>arichsoukaina@gmail.com</u>
- 27 Khadija Akarid : <u>kakarid@yahoo.fr</u>
- 28 Cecile Beck : <u>cecile.beck@anses.fr</u>
- 29 Maëlle Monier : <u>maelle.monier@anses.fr</u>
- 30 Sylvie Lecollinet : <u>sylvie.lecollinet@anses.fr</u>
- 31 Anna-Bella Failloux : <u>anna-bella.failloux@pasteur.fr</u>
- 32 M'hammed Sarih : <u>mhammed.sarih@pasteur.ma</u>
- 33

34 Abstract

West Nile virus (WNV) is one of the most widely distributed mosquito-borne viruses in the 35 world. In North Africa, it causes human cases of meningoencephalitis with fatalities in 36 37 Algeria and in Tunisia, whereas only horses were affected in Morocco. The aims of this study were to detect WNV in mosquitoes and to determine seroprevalence of WNV in Moroccan 38 horses by the detection of IgG antibodies. A total of 1,455 mosquitoes belonging to four 39 different species were grouped by collection site, date, and sex with 10 specimens per pool 40 41 and tested for 38 arboviruses using a high-throughput chip based on the BioMark Dynamic array system. Out of 146 mosquito pools tested, one pool was positive for WNV. This 42 positive pool was confirmed by real time RT-PCR. The serosurvey showed that 33.7% 43 (31/92) of horses were positive for competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 44 (cELISA) test. The flavivirus-sphere microsphere immnoassay (MIA) test, targeting three 45 flaviviruses (WNV, Usutu virus (USUV) and Tick borne encephalitic virus (TBEV)) showed 46 that 23 sera out of 31 were positive for WNV, two for USUV, two for USUV or WNV, and 47 four for an undetermined flavivirus. Virus neutralization tests with USUV and WNV showed 48 that 28 of 31 sera were positive for WNV and all sera were negative for USUV. This study 49 reports, for the first time, the detection of WNV from Culex pipiens mosquitoes in Morocco 50 and its circulation among horses. This highlights that the detection of arboviruses in 51 mosquitoes could serve as an early warning signal of a viral activity to prevent future 52 outbreaks in animals and humans. 53

Keywords: Mosquito-borne-viruses; *Culex pipiens*; Horses; WNV; RT-PCR; Serological
 technics

- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

61 **1. Introduction**

Arboviruses (Arthropod-borne viruses) circulate primarily within an enzootic cycle among 62 wild animals, and spillover transmission to humans and domestic animals have been more 63 frequently reported since two decades (Weaver and Reisen, 2010). Some viruses such as 64 dengue (DENV), chikungunya (CHIKV) and Zika, do not longer need to amplify in animal 65 species to trigger urban epidemic cycles and produce extensive epidemics (Weaver et al., 66 2018). Factors contributing to these changes are diverse but all point out the preponderant role 67 68 of human activities in creating ecological niches suitable to vector-borne pathogens, worsened by climate changes offering larger regions suitable to mosquito development (Sutherst, 2004). 69 70 Among these arboviruses, West Nile virus (WNV; Flaviviridae, Flavivirus) is maintained in nature in an enzootic transmission cycle between birds and *Culex* mosquitoes. It also infects 71 72 humans and other animals causing a serious disease and death (Hayes, 2001; Murgue et al., 73 2001). Birds are considered to be the most important hosts of WNV because they can develop 74 a sufficient viremia to infect mosquitoes after a blood supply (Komar et al., 2003). Humans and horses develop weak and short-term viremia and are considered as dead end hosts 75 76 (Bowen and Nemeth, 2007). Since its discovery in 1937 in Uganda (Smithburn, 1942), WNV has circulated in Africa mainly associated with mild symptoms (Benjelloun et al., 2016; Sule 77 et al., 2018). The first outbreak describing neuroinvasive symptoms in humans was reported 78 79 in Israel in 1951 (Murgue et al., 2001). After a silence of more than 30 years, a new emergence, in Romania in 1996 then in Italy and in France respectively in 1998 and in 2000. 80 Since 2004, new strain of the line2 circulates in Europe (Lecollinet et al., 2020). In 1999, 81 WNV was first detected in the Unites States, in New York (Nash et al., 2001) and then spread 82 83 to the West coast, to Canada and to Central and South America (Banet-Noach et al., 2003; Charrel et al., 2003). 84

85 Currently, WNV is present in Africa, the Middle East, Europe, Asia, Australia and America 86 and has become the most widely distributed of the encephalitic flaviviruses (Chancey et al., 2015). It possesses one of the highest potentials for re-emergence in North Africa; WNV 87 circulates actively in the region based on two lines of evidence: (i) repeated reports of WNV 88 outbreaks in this region and (ii) the vector Cx. pipiens widely present. WNV has been 89 circulating for a very long time in the Mediterranean region (Murgue et al., 2001) affecting 90 91 mainly humans and horses (Benjelloun et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2018; Papa, 2019). In Morocco, several outbreaks of WNV have been reported: (i) in 1996 with 94 equine cases 92 including 42 deaths and only one human case (El Harrack et al., 1997; Tber Abdelhag, 1996), 93

(ii) in September and October of 2003 when WNV circulated among horses in Kenitra 94 (Schuffenecker et al., 2005), (iii) in 2010 in horses (World Animal Health Information 95 Database, 2010; Benjelloun et al., 2017; Durand et al., 2016; El Rhaffouli et al., 2013). The 96 two strains of WNV (outbreaks in 1996 and 2003) belonged to clade 1a of lineage 1 97 (schuffenecker et al., 2005). The principal vector of WNV in Europe, Northern USA and 98 North Africa is *Culex pipiens* which is the most widespread vector in temperate regions 99 including North Africa (Amraoui et al., 2012b; Romo et al., 2018). Moreover, two coexisting 100 101 Culex pipiens forms (pipiens and molestus) are distinguished in temperate areas and correspond to different behavioral, physiological and genetic forms (Harbach, 2012). The 102 form *pipiens* is ornithophilic while *molestus* bites humans and other mammals (Fonseca et al., 103 104 2004). These two forms have been proven to hybridize in some regions such as the United States (Fonseca et al., 2004), South Europe (Gomes et al., 2009) and North Africa (Amraoui 105 106 et al., 2012a; Krida et al., 2015). Hybrids show intermediate trophic preferences assigning them the role of bridge vector for a viral transmission from animals to humans (Fonseca et al., 107 2004). Targeted surveillance for WNV within mosquito populations offers an opportunity to 108 detect virus prior to the emergence of disease in equine species or human populations 109 (Calzolari et al., 2012). To date, no virological data concerning WNV in mosquitoes from 110 Morocco are available. The aims of this study are: (i) Evaluation of the circulation of 111 mosquito-borne arboviruses in Morocco using a new high-throughput tool based on the 112 BioMark TM Dynamic matrix system capable of screening a large panel of arboviruses in a 113 single experiment. (ii) Detection of WNV past circulation in equids through the confirmation 114 of anti-WNV antibodies in horse serum samples by three serological techniques: cELISA, 115 116 MIA and microneutralization test (MNT).

117

¹¹⁸ **2. Materials and methods**


119 **2.1. Study sites**

The three regions selected for the study are: (i) Mohammedia, a large city located on the coast of the Atlantic Ocean, at 24 km northeast from Casablanca (33°40'25.3"N7°26'42.5"W), where WNV cases were reported in horses in 2010; (ii) Moulay Bouselham 34°52'28.7"N6°17'14.5"W, where WNV cases were reported in horses in 2010 and (iii) Tanger 35°46'44.3N5°50'50.1W located on the North of Morocco, where WNV cases were

reported in horses in 1996". Sites of mosquito captures were identified based on records of past circulation areas for WNV (at a period corresponding to a season of high potential transmission risk with high densities of mosquitoes, presence of migratory birds, case reports of meningoencephalitis ...).

129 **2.2. Mosquito collection**

Adult mosquito collections were conducted over four consecutive nights in September and October 2018 using six CDC light traps (Communicable Disease Centers of Atlanta, USA) supplemented with dry ice as a source of CO2 (from 7:00 pm to 7:00 am) in three regions (Figure 1). The traps were placed approximately 1.5 meters above ground. Traps were dispersed inside houses and near stables and lakes. Live mosquitoes were transported in cages to the laboratory to be identified using identification keys (Brunhes et al., 2000). The identification was carried out on living mosquitoes asleep by the cold on a freeze pack.



137

138

Fig.1. Map of the study area showing the location of mosquito collection cities (●) (Tanger, Moulay Bouselham, Mohammedia) and horse serum collection sites (□) (Casablanca, Mohammedia, Benslimane).

140

139

¹⁴¹ 2.3. Mosquito dissection and RNA extraction

After morphological identification, mosquitoes were dissected on a freeze pack to isolate the
 abdomen from the remaining parts of the body. Abdomens of the same species were grouped

in pools of 10 individuals and the rest of mosquito bodies were stored individually at -80°C
until RNA extraction.

¹⁴⁶ Total RNAs were extracted from each pool using Nucleospin RNA kit according to the ¹⁴⁷ manufacturer's instructions. Pools were grinded in 350 μ L Lysis Buffer and 3.5 μ L β -¹⁴⁸ mercaptoethanol using piston stirrer. Total RNA per pool was eluted in 60 μ L of RNase free ¹⁴⁹ water and stored at -80 °C until use.

150 2.4. Reverse Transcription and cDNA Pre-Amplification

151 RNAs were transcribed to cDNA by reverse transcription using the qScript cDNA Supermix 152 kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Quanta Biosciences, Beverly, USA). For 153 cDNA pre-amplification, the Perfecta Preamp Supermix (Quanta Biosciences, Beverly, USA) 154 was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. These two procedures were performed 155 according to the protocols described by Moutailler et al., 2019.

156 2.5. High-throughput real-time PCR system

A high throughput epidemiological surveillance method based on a microfluidic system 157 158 (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA, USA) was used according to the protocol described by Moutailler et al., 2019. This technic is more sensitive than real time PCR. This method allows 159 160 to perform real-time PCR using 96.96 chips, resulting in 9216 individual reactions. The primer sequences / probe sets used in this study are available in Moutailler et al. 2019. A 161 negative water control has been included for each chip. A positive control with cDNA (virus 162 reference material) or DNA (Plasmid) was used. To determine if inhibitors could be present in 163 the sample, DNA from the Escherichia coli strain EDL933 was added to each sample as an 164 internal inhibition control, using gene-specific primers and probes E. coli eae (Nielsen & 165 Andersen, 2003). Data were acquired on the BioMarkTM real-time PCR system and analyzed 166 using Fluidigm real-time PCR analysis software to obtain Ct values (Michelet and al., 2014). 167

¹⁶⁸ 2.6. Real time PCR

¹⁶⁹ RNA from WNV positive mosquito pool was screened by real-time PCRs on a StepOne ¹⁷⁰ Instrument (Applied Biosystem, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France). Real-time RT-¹⁷¹ PCR assay targeting a different segment of the WNV genome (5'UTR and part of the capsid ¹⁷² gene) (Linke et al., 2007) was performed in a final volume of 25 μ L using 5 μ L of RNA and ¹⁷³ AgPath-IDTM One-Step RT-PCR Reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific, France) containing primers and probes at 400 nM and 200nM respectively. Thermal cycling conditions were as
follows: 45°C for 10 minutes, 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

176 2.7. Blood sampling and recovery of horse sera

A total of 92 horses were sampled in five different equestrian clubs, located in the region of Casablanca-Mohammedia-Benslimane (area where was declared the last WNV epizootic in Morocco in 2010) (figure1). Horses sampled were more than 2 years old, male and female, and of different breeds; they showed no clinical signs associated with West Nile disease. Each blood sample was collected in dry tubes and transported at +4 ° C to the laboratory where samples were centrifuged. The sera were aliquoted, separated and stored at -20 ° C until use.

183 **2.8. Serological test**

184 2.8.1. Competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA)

The serological diagnosis was made using a cELISA test (ID Screen® West Nile Competition 185 ELISA Kit, ID Vet, France) to give an indication of the presence or absence of anti-WNV 186 antibodies in horses sera. Analysis and interpretation were performed according to the 187 188 manufacturer's instructions. The optical density was observed and the S/N ratio (optical density of the sample / optical density of the negative control * 100) was calculated. Samples 189 190 with a S/N ratio of 40% or less were considered positive while those with a S/N ratio comprised between 40 and 50% were considered doubtful, and those with a S/N ratio higher 191 192 than 50% were considered negative. This test has been used to give an indication of the presence or absence of anti-WNV antibodies in sera, but cross-reactions with other 193 194 flaviviruses may occur (Beck et al., 2017).

195 **2.8.2.** Microsphere immunoassay

196 Flavivirus microsphere immunoassay (MIA) was performed on cELISA positive samples as described by Beck et al. (2015). Briefly, recombinant soluble ectodomain of WNV envelope 197 (E) glycoprotein (WNV.sE) and the recombinant E domains III (rEDIIIs) of WNV, USUV 198 and TBEV containing virus-specific epitopes were covalently bound to fluorescent beads 199 following the protocol previously described in (Beck et al., 2015; Vanhomwegen et al., 2017). 200 Reactivity against WNV.sE is indicative of the presence of anti-flavivirus antibodies (as with 201 202 the IDVET cELISA), and WNV.EDIII, TBEV.EDIII and USUV.EDIII allows to distinguish 203 between these flaviviruses.

The cut-offs of WNV.sE, WNV.EDIII, and TBEV.EDIII antigens were found to be 17, 54 and 204 61, respectively, as described in (Beck et al., 2015). For USUV, due to no positive horse 205 206 serum against this disease, the cut-off was determined from the mean of median of fluorescence (MFI) values of 66 negative horse sera plus 3 standard deviations of the mean. 207 The 66 sera used to determine the cut-off were sampled in Poland (35 sera) and Ireland (31 208 sera) and were all found negative with the ID screen West Nile competition kit (IDVet). The 209 MIA results were interpreted according to the following algorithm : (i) a serum was 210 considered positive for WNV (or alternatively for USUV) if it reacted against WNV.sE and 211 WNV.EDIII (of alternatively USUV.EDIII) (ii) In case of positive reactions with several 212 rEDIIIs for viruses belonging to the Japanese encephalitis serocomplex (i.e. USUV and 213 WNV) an animal was considered infected with a specific flavivirus if the corresponding bead 214 coupled to rEDIII generated an MFI at least two-fold greater than that generated with the 215 216 other beads. If a 2 fold difference could not be achieved, the animal was considered to be infected with WNV or USUV (iii) since TBEV belongs to another serocomplex, a serum was 217 218 considered positive for TBEV when the TBEV.EDIII MFI was above the cut off (iiii) finally, a sample was considered positive for an undetermined flavivirus if it reacted with WNV.sE 219 220 but not with any of the EDIIIs.

221 **2.8.3.** Seroneutralization tests

Flaviviruses identified by MIA and all undetermined ELISA-positive flavivirus samples wereinvestigated using MNT against WNV and USUV.

224 Neutralizing antibody titers against WNV and USUV were determined by MNT on Vero cells in 96-well cell culture plates, following the protocol described in (Beck et al., 2015), using 225 WNV strain IS-98-ST1 (Genbank ID AF481864.1, provided by P. Desprès, IPP) and USUV 226 strain France 2018. The MNT results were interpreted according to the following rules: (i) A 227 serum was considered positive if cells were protected at the 1:10 serum dilution for WNV and 228 229 USUV. Owing cross-neutralization between flaviviruses especially in the same serocomplex, we identified the infecting flavivirus by considering the virus with the highest neutralization 230 231 capacity, and with neutralization titers that differ by at least a four-fold factor (Beck et al 2015). 232

233

²³⁴ **3. Results**

235 **3.1. High-throughput screening of mosquito-borne viruses**

A total of 1,455 mosquitoes were analyzed. 670 mosquitos were collected from Mohamedia region, all belonging to *Culex pipiens* species, and 780 mosquitoes collected from regions of northern Morocco (Moulay Bouselham-Larache (n = 595) and Tangier (n = 190)) belonging to *Aedes detritus* species (n = 320), *Aedes caspius* (n = 110), *Anopheles maculipennis* (n = 5) and *Culex pipiens* species (n = 160). Among tested pools (146), one pool from Mohammedia area was positive for WNV (see table 1). On the other hand, all the pooled mosquitoes from Tanger and Moulay Bouselham regions were negative (Table 1).

²⁴³ **3.2. Real time RT-PCR**

The positive pool for WNV was confirmed by a real time RT-PCR targeting a different fragment of the WNV genome.

²⁴⁶ **3.3. Detection of anti-WNV antibodies in horses**

In order to determine the WNV seroprevalence in 92 horses, we used three techniques:
cELISA which detects anti-flavivirus antibodies, the MIA test which allows to distinguish
between the three flavivirus: WNV, USUV and TBEV, and the MNT which allows the
confirmation of the presence of anti-WNV antibodies and anti-USUV antibodies.

²⁵¹ The seroprevalence by cELISA was 33.7% (31/92). The MIA test showed that 25.0% (23/92)

were positive for WNV, 2.1% (2/92) were positive for USUV, 4.3% (4/92) were positive for
undetermined flavivirus and 2.1% (2/92) were positive for both WNV and USUV (Table 2).

The MNT showed that 30.4% (28/92) were positive for WNV with titers between 20 to superior to 320. The results of the two methods were in accordance for the 23 MIA WNV positives. Conversely, 2 samples positive for USUV, 2 positives for both WNV and USUV and one for undetermined flavivirus by MIA were found WNV positive by MNT. All sera were negative for USUV in MNT (Table 3).

259 **3.** Discussion

This study reports, for the first time, the detection of WNV in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes and high seroprevalence rate of WNV infection in horses from Morocco (30% by MNT test). We used the new high-throughput screening method, which examines 38 arboviruses (94 different

genotypes/serotypes) in a single experiment (Moutailler et al., 2019). In Morocco, WNV was 263 isolated and sequenced only once during the epizootic of 2003. The viral isolation was 264 performed from a cerebral biopsy of a dead horse (Schuffenecker et al., 2005). In our study, 265 266 WNV-infected mosquitoes were only found in Mohammedia region and not in Moulay Bouselhame region, probably owing to the low number of specimens examined: 160 267 individuals in Moulay Bouselhame compared to 670 tested in Mohammedia region. We 268 reported a low Cx. pipiens infection rate (0.15% (1/670), a result in agreement with other 269 investigations (Engler et al., 2013): 1.2% for Cx. pipiens in Tunisia (Monastiri et al., 2018), 270 271 0.56% for Cx. perexiguus in Algeria (Benbetka et al., 2018), 0.24% for Cx. interrogator and 0.28% for Cx. nigripalpus in Chiapas, México (Ulloa et al., 2009). Anti-flavivirus antibodies 272 have been detected by cELISA in 34% (31/92) of horse sera and 100% (31/31) of cELISA 273 positive sera were found reactive to the WNV.sE bead by MIA. These two independent 274 275 methods corroborated the detection of anti-flavivirus antibodies in horses (Beck et al., 2015). To improve the specificity of flavivirus serological screening, flavivirus positive samples 276 277 were tested by MIA using WNV.EDIII, USUV.EDIII and TBEV.EDIII antigens which contain virus-specific-epitopes (Beasley et al., 2004; Beck et al., 2015) and by MNT against 278 279 WNV and USUV. A significant proportion of horses (23/28) were found to be WNV positive by the two technics. Two samples were found positive against USUV in MIA but positive for 280 WNV in MNT. Such discordant results could originate from a lower specificity of the 281 flavivirus EDIII MIA technique. The fact that these sera were tested by MIA with WNV and 282 USUV nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) and were found positive for WNV (data not given) 283 supports this hypothesis. We did not identify the flavivirus involved in 9.7% (3/31) of horse 284 infections evidenced by positive cELISA reactions. As already mentioned by several authors 285 (Beck et al., 2015), WNV cELISA tests lack specificity in the diagnosis of WNV infection. Of 286 31 sera tested positive for anti-WNV IgG by ELISA, only 28 had specific neutralizing 287 antibodies. Benjelloun and his collaborators studied seroprevalence of WNV in different 288 regions of Morocco in 2011. They found a seroprevalence rate of WNV similar to our study 289 35% and 31% respectively by cELISA and MNT (Benjelloun et al., 2017). Another study 290 carried out with 297 military working horses and 231 dogs in Morocco have described, 291 respectively, a rate of 60% and 62% of seroprevalence by cELISA (Durand et al., 2016). This 292 high rate of WNV seroprevalence has also been reported by other team in Tunises and Algeria 293 (Ben Hassine et al., 2014; Lafri et al., 2017). USUV and WNV have been shown to co 294 circulate and share the same vectors (Zannoli and Sambri, 2019); however, we did not detect 295 296 USUV either in mosquitoes nor in horse sera, while USUV has been shown to circulate in

Tunisia and in Morocco (Ayadi et al., 2019; Ben Hassine et al., 2014; Figuerola et al., 2009). 297 For the sera found positive with the ELISA test and negative in WNV and USUV MNTs 298 299 (3/31), such reactions could correspond to low WNV antibody responses under the detection 300 MNT threshold or to animals infected with other flaviviruses (yellow fever virus, ...). Recently, cELISA positive and WNV MNT negative horses on French pacific islands turned 301 out to be Dengue and Zika-infected. The serological results highlighted the importance of 302 taking into account the risk of circulation of other arboviruses such as dengue, chikungunya 303 and zika viruses, since the vector of these arboviruses, Ae. albopictus, was recently 304 305 established in Morocco (Bennouna et al., 2017) and their ability to transmit these viruses 306 efficiently has been experimentally proven (Amraoui et al., 2019).

Our results are in agreement with many arguments for active circulation of WNV in this area 307 308 of Morocco that are: (i) high abundance of Cx. pipiens mosquitoes in a region hosting migratory birds (Jourdain et al., 2007), and (ii) their ability to experimentally transmit WNV 309 310 (Amraoui et al. 2012). The water reservoirs present in this area would constitute ideal ecological niches for repeated contacts between domestic or migratory birds and mosquitoes, 311 312 thus allowing the amplification of the virus in an enzootic cycle (Zeller and Murgue, 2001). WNV has been responsible for sporadic outbreaks of disease in countries around the 313 Mediterranean sea since the 1960 (Hubálek and Halouzka, 1999). These have involved 314 infections in humans and/or horses (Zeller et al., 2004). All outbreaks were reported between 315 July and September. The principal vector of WNV in Europe and USA is Culex pipiens 316 (Esteves et al., 2005; Kilpatrick et al., 2005). These countries have favorable conditions for 317 maintaining the WNV transmission cycle such as environmental factors and climatic 318 conditions (Rogers and Randolph, 2006). These conditions support virus circulation, and 319 when the density of vector mosquitoes is enhanced and sensitive hosts are available, 320 outbreaks are observed. The high prevalence of antibodies among horses also suggest that 321 substantial circulation of the virus may have occurred during the previous seasons. The 322 323 observed titer of the positive sera is probably due to re-infections during the previous 2010 outbreak or a prolonged and repeated exposure to viruses in endemics areas. These results 324 325 suggest that WNV was present in Morocco in 2018 without resulting in disease outbreaks 326 among humans or horses, as opposed to in 1996, 2003 and 2010, when cases did occur (El 327 Harrack et al., 1997; El Rhaffouli et al., 2012; Schuffenecker et al., 2005). Absence of outbreaks despite the circulation of the virus could be explained by the acquisition of a 328

protective immunity after the first infection by humans and horses and, possibly by the lack ofentomological virus surveillance and asymptomatic underreported cases.

Our results strongly suggest that WNV remains silent and spillover events to humans occurs 331 only under favorable ecological conditions. Therefore, understanding ecological factors and 332 environmental conditions leading to WNV outbreak is of major importance. Persistence of 333 334 WNV in over wintering Cx. pipiens is an important mechanism in the maintenance of this arbovirus (Nasci et al., 2001). Thus, WNV is likely to cause future sporadic and foreseeable 335 outbreaks not only in Morocco but along the migratory flyways of birds between Africa and 336 Europe. Virus circulation becomes permanent and is probably maintained by mosquito vector 337 movements and reintroduction of migratory birds. 338

This study brings important data about an active WNV circulation in mosquitoes in Morocco. It is suggested that public health authorities should implement WNV surveillance activities and setting up an entomological surveillance as an early alert system around the Mediterranean Basin to prevent future outbreaks is highly needed.

343 Acknowledgements

- This study was funded by Institut Pasteur of Morocco and Pasteur Institute in Paris. We thank Mr.
 Abbani Labaoui for his help in the field work and also the managers of the equestrian clubs for the
- 347 **Author contributions**
- 348 **Conceptualization**: Anna-Bella Failloux, M'hammed Sarih
- 349 Formal analysis: Najlaa Assaid.

blood samples from horses.

- Investigation: Najlaa Assaid, Laurence Mousson, sara Moutailler, Soukaina Arich, Khadija Akharid,
 Cécile Beck, Sylvie lecollinet,
- Methodology: Anna-Bella Failloux, Laurence Mousson, sara Moutailler, Soukaina Arich, Khadija
 Akharid, Cécile Beck, Sylvie Lecollinet, Najlaa Assaid, M'hammed Sarih
- 354 Supervision: Anna-Bella Failloux, M'hammed Sarih, Sylvie lecollinet
- 355 Validation: Anna-Bella Failloux, M'hammed Sarih, Sylvie lecollinet
- 356 Writing-original draft: Najlaa Assaid, M'hammed Sarih
- 357 Writing-review & editing: Anna-Bella Failloux, sara Moutailler, Cécile Beck, Sylvie Lecollinet
- 358

346

359

360 **References**

- Amraoui, F., Ayed, W.B., Madec, Y., Faraj, C., Himmi, O., Btissam, A., Sarih, M., Failloux, A.-B., 2019.
 Potential of *Aedes albopictus* to cause the emergence of arboviruses in Morocco. PLoS Negl.
 Trop. Dis. 13, e0006997.
- Amraoui, F., Krida, G., Bouattour, A., Rhim, A., Daaboub, J., Harrat, Z., Boubidi, S.-C., Tijane, M., Sarih,
 M., Failloux, A.-B., 2012a. *Culex pipiens*, an experimental efficient vector of West Nile and Rift
 Valley fever viruses in the Maghreb region. PloS One 7, e36757.
- Amraoui, F., Tijane, M., Sarih, M., Failloux, A.-B., 2012b. Molecular evidence of *Culex pipiens* form
 molestus and hybrids pipiens/molestus in Morocco, North Africa. Parasit. Vectors 5, 83.
- Ayadi, T., Hammouda, A., Beck, C., Boulinier, T., Lecollinet, S., Selmi, S., 2019. Flaviviruses in
 migratory passerines during spring stopover in a desert oasis. Zoonoses Public Health.
- Banet-Noach, Ca., Simanov, L., Malkinson, M., 2003. Direct (non-vector) transmission of West Nile
 virus in geese. Avian Pathol. 32, 489–494.
- Beasley, D.W., Holbrook, M.R., Da Rosa, A.P.T., Coffey, L., Carrara, A.-S., Phillippi-Falkenstein, K.,
 Bohm, R.P., Ratterree, M.S., Lillibridge, K.M., Ludwig, G.V., 2004. Use of a recombinant
 envelope protein subunit antigen for specific serological diagnosis of West Nile virus
 infection. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42, 2759–2765.
- Beck, C., Desprès, P., Paulous, S., Vanhomwegen, J., Lowenski, S., Nowotny, N., Durand, B., Garnier,
 A., Blaise-Boisseau, S., Guitton, E., Yamanaka, T., Zientara, S., Lecollinet, S., 2015. A High Performance Multiplex Immunoassay for Serodiagnosis of Flavivirus-Associated Neurological
 Diseases in Horses. BioMed Res. Int. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/678084
- Beck, C., Lowenski, S., Durand, B., Bahuon, C., Zientara, S., Lecollinet, S., 2017. Improved reliability of
 serological tools for the diagnosis of West Nile fever in horses within Europe. PLoS Negl.
 Trop. Dis. 11, e0005936.
- Ben Hassine, T., De Massis, F., Calistri, P., Savini, G., BelHaj Mohamed, B., Ranen, A., Di Gennaro, A.,
 Sghaier, S., Hammami, S., 2014. First detection of co-circulation of West Nile and Usutu
 viruses in equids in the South-west of Tunisia. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 61, 385–389.
- Benbetka, S., Hachid, A., Benallal, K.E., Benbetka, C., Khaldi, A., Bitam, I., Harrat, Z., 2018. First field
 evidence infection of *Culex perexiguus* by West Nile virus in Sahara Oasis of Algeria. J. Vector
 Borne Dis. 55, 305.
- Benjelloun, A., El Harrak, M., Belkadi, B., 2016. West Nile disease epidemiology in North-West Africa:
 bibliographical review. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 63, e153–e159.
- Benjelloun, A., El Harrak, M., Calistri, P., Loutfi, C., Kabbaj, H., Conte, A., Ippoliti, C., Danzetta, M.L.,
 Belkadi, B., 2017. Seroprevalence of West Nile virus in horses in different Moroccan regions.
 Vet. Med. Sci. 3, 198–207.
- Bennouna, A., Balenghien, T., El Rhaffouli, H., Schaffner, F., Garros, C., Gardes, L., Lhor, Y.,
 Hammoumi, S., Chlyeh, G., Fassi Fihri, O., 2017. First record of *Stegomyia albopicta* (= *Aedes albopictus*) in Morocco: a major threat to public health in North Africa? Med. Vet. Entomol.
 31, 102–106.
- Bowen, R.A., Nemeth, N.M., 2007. Experimental infections with West Nile virus. Curr. Opin. Infect.
 Dis. 20, 293–297.
- Brunhes, J., Rhaim, A., Geoffroy, B., Angel, G., Hervy, J.-P., 2000. Les moustiques de l'Afrique
 méditerranéenne: logiciel d'identification et d'enseignement.
- Calzolari, M., Gaibani, P., Bellini, R., Defilippo, F., Pierro, A., Albieri, A., Maioli, G., Luppi, A., Rossini,
 G., Balzani, A., 2012. Mosquito, bird and human surveillance of West Nile and Usutu viruses
 in Emilia-Romagna Region (Italy) in 2010. PLoS One 7, e38058.
- Chancey, C., Grinev, A., Volkova, E., Rios, M., 2015. The global ecology and epidemiology of West Nile
 virus. BioMed Res. Int. 2015.
- Charrel, R.N., Brault, A.C., Gallian, P., Lemasson, J.-J., Murgue, B., Murri, S., Pastorino, B., Zeller, H.,
 De Chesse, R., De Micco, P., 2003. Evolutionary relationship between Old World West Nile

- virus strains: evidence for viral gene flow between Africa, the Middle East, and Europe.
 Virology 315, 381–388.
- Durand, B., Haskouri, H., Lowenski, S., Vachiéry, N., Beck, C., Lecollinet, S., 2016. Seroprevalence of
 West Nile and Usutu viruses in military working horses and dogs, Morocco, 2012: dog as an
 alternative WNV sentinel species? Epidemiol. Infect. 144, 1857–1864.
- El Harrack, M., Le Guenno, B., Gounon, P., 1997. Isolement du virus West Nile au Maroc. Virologie 1,
 248–9.
- El Rhaffouli, H., El Harrak, M., Lotfi, C., El Boukhrissi, F., Bajjou, T., Laraqui, A., Hilali, F., Kenfaoui, M.,
 Lahlou-Amine, I., 2012. Serologic evidence of West Nile virus infection among humans,
 Morocco. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18, 880.
- El Rhaffouli, H., Lahlou-Amine, I., Loutfi, C., Laraqui, A., Bajjou, T., Fassi-Fihri, O., El Harrak, M., 2013.
 Serological evidence of West Nile Virus infection among humans in the southern Provinces of Morocco. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 7, 999–1002.
- 423 Engler, O., Savini, G., Papa, A., Figuerola, J., Groschup, M.H., Kampen, H., Medlock, J., Vaux, A.,
 424 Wilson, A.J., Werner, D., 2013. European surveillance for West Nile virus in mosquito
 425 populations. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health 10, 4869–4895.
- Esteves, A., Almeida, A.P.G., Galão, R.P., Parreira, R., Piedade, J., Rodrigues, J.C., Sousa, C.A., Novo,
 M.T., 2005. West Nile virus in southern Portugal, 2004. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 5, 410–
 413.
- Figuerola, J., Baouab, R.E., Soriguer, R., Fassi-Fihri, O., Llorente, F., Jímenez-Clavero, M.A., 2009. West
 Nile virus antibodies in wild birds, Morocco, 2008. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15, 1651.
- Fonseca, D.M., Keyghobadi, N., Malcolm, C.A., Mehmet, C., Schaffner, F., Mogi, M., Fleischer, R.C.,
 Wilkerson, R.C., 2004. Emerging vectors in the *Culex pipiens* complex. Science 303, 1535–
 1538.
- Gomes, B., Sousa, C.A., Novo, M.T., Freitas, F.B., Alves, R., Côrte-Real, A.R., Salgueiro, P., Donnelly,
 M.J., Almeida, A.P., Pinto, J., 2009. Asymmetric introgression between sympatric molestus
 and pipiens forms of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Comporta region, Portugal. BMC
 Evol. Biol. 9, 262.
- 438Harbach, R.E., 2012. Culex pipiens: species versus species complex-taxonomic history and439perspective. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 28, 10–23.
- Hayes, C.G., 2001. West Nile virus: Uganda, 1937, to New York City, 1999. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 951,
 25–37.
- Hubálek, Z., Halouzka, J., 1999. West Nile fever–a reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in
 Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 5, 643.
- Johnson, N., Fernández de Marco, M., Giovannini, A., Ippoliti, C., Danzetta, M., Svartz, G., Erster, O.,
 Groschup, M., Ziegler, U., Mirazimi, A., 2018. Emerging mosquito-borne threats and the
 response from European and Eastern Mediterranean Countries. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public.
 Health 15, 2775.
- Jourdain, E., Schuffenecker, I., Korimbocus, J., Reynard, S., Murri, S., Kayser, Y., Gauthier-Clerc, M.,
 Sabatier, P., Zeller, H.G., 2007. West Nile virus in wild resident birds, Southern France, 2004.
 Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 7, 448–452.
- Kilpatrick, A.M., Kramer, L.D., Campbell, S.R., Alleyne, E.O., Dobson, A.P., Daszak, P., 2005. West Nile
 virus risk assessment and the bridge vector paradigm. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11, 425.
- Komar, N., Langevin, S., Hinten, S., Nemeth, N., Edwards, E., Hettler, D., Davis, B., Bowen, R.,
 Bunning, M., 2003. Experimental infection of North American birds with the New York 1999
 strain of West Nile virus. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9, 311.
- Krida, G., Rhim, A., Daaboub, J., Failloux, A.-B., Bouattour, A., 2015. New evidence for the potential
 role of *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes in the transmission cycle of West Nile virus in Tunisia. Med.
 Vet. Entomol. 29, 124–128.
- Lafri, I., Prat, C.M., Bitam, I., Gravier, P., Besbaci, M., Zeroual, F., Ben-Mahdi, M.H., Davoust, B.,
 Leparc-Goffart, I., 2017. Seroprevalence of West Nile virus antibodies in equids in the North-

- 461 East of Algeria and detection of virus circulation in 2014. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect.
 462 Dis. 50, 8–12.
- Lecollinet, S., Pronost, S., Coulpier, M., Beck, C., Gonzalez, G., Leblond, A., Tritz, P., 2020. Viral Equine
 Encephalitis, a Growing Threat to the Horse Population in Europe? Viruses 12, 23.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/v12010023
- Linke, S., Ellerbrok, H., Niedrig, M., Nitsche, A., Pauli, G., 2007. Detection of West Nile virus lineages 1
 and 2 by real-time PCR. J. Virol. Methods 146, 355–358.
- Michelet, L., Delannoy, S., Devillers, E., Umhang, G., Aspan, A., Juremalm, M., Chirico, J., van der Wal,
 F.J., Sprong, H., Boye Pihl, T.P., 2014. High-throughput screening of tick-borne pathogens in
 Europe. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 4, 103.
- Monastiri, A., Mechri, B., Vázquez-González, A., Ar Gouilh, M., Chakroun, M., Loussaief, C., Mastouri,
 M., Dimassi, N., Boughzala, L., Aouni, M., 2018. A four-year survey (2011–2014) of West Nile
 virus infection in humans, mosquitoes and birds, including the 2012 meningoencephalitis
 outbreak in Tunisia. Emerg. Microbes Infect. 7, 1–10.
- Moutailler, S., Yousfi, L., Mousson, L., Devillers, E., Vazeille, M., Vega-Rúa, A., Perrin, Y., Jourdain, F.,
 Chandre, F., Cannet, A., 2019. A new high-throughput tool to screen mosquito-borne viruses
 in Zika virus endemic/epidemic areas. Viruses 11, 904.
- 478 Murgue, B., Murri, S., Triki, H., Deubel, V., Zeller, H.G., 2001. West Nile in the Mediterranean Basin:
 479 1950-2000. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 951, 117–126.
- 480 Nasci, R.S., Savage, H.M., White, D.J., Miller, J.R., Cropp, B.C., Godsey, M.S., Kerst, A.J., Bennett, P.,
 481 Gottfried, K., Lanciotti, R.S., 2001. West Nile virus in overwintering *Culex* mosquitoes, New
 482 York City, 2000. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7, 742.
- Nash, D., Mostashari, F., Fine, A., Miller, J., O'Leary, D., Murray, K., Huang, A., Rosenberg, A.,
 Greenberg, A., Sherman, M., 2001. The outbreak of West Nile virus infection in the New York
 City area in 1999. N. Engl. J. Med. 344, 1807–1814.
- 486 Nielsen, E.M., Andersen, M.T., 2003. Detection and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing
 487 Escherichia coli by automated 5' nuclease PCR assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41, 2884–2893.
- Papa, A., 2019. Emerging arboviruses of medical importance in the Mediterranean region. J. Clin.
 Virol. 115, 5–10.
- 490 Rogers, D.J., Randolph, S.E., 2006. Climate change and vector-borne diseases. Adv. Parasitol. 62, 345–
 491 381.
- Romo, H., Papa, A., Kading, R., Clark, R., Delorey, M., Brault, A.C., 2018. Comparative vector
 competence of North American *Culex pipiens* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* for African and
 European lineage 2 West Nile viruses. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 98, 1863–1869.
- Schuffenecker, I., Peyrefitte, C.N., El Harrak, M., Murri, S., Leblond, A., Zeller, H.G., 2005. West Nile
 virus in Morocco, 2003. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11, 306.
- Smithburn, K.C., 1942. Differentiation of the West Nile Virus from the Viruses of St. Louis and
 Japanese B Encephalitis. J. Immunol. 43, 25–31.
- Sule, W.F., Oluwayelu, D.O., Hernández-Triana, L.M., Fooks, A.R., Venter, M., Johnson, N., 2018.
 Epidemiology and ecology of West Nile virus in sub-Saharan Africa. Parasit. Vectors 11, 414.
- Sutherst, R.W., 2004. Global change and human vulnerability to vector-borne diseases. Clin.
 Microbiol. Rev. 17, 136–173.
- 503 Tber Abdelhaq, A., 1996. West Nile fever in horses in Morocco. Bull. Off. Int. Epizoot. 108, 867–869.
- 504 Ulloa, A., Ferguson, H.H., Méndez-Sánchez, J.D., Danis-Lozano, R., Casas-Martínez, M., Bond, J.G.,
 505 García-Zebadúa, J.C., Orozco-Bonilla, A., Juárez-Ordaz, J.A., Farfan-Ale, J.A., 2009. West Nile
 506 virus activity in mosquitoes and domestic animals in Chiapas, México. Vector-Borne Zoonotic
 507 Dis. 9, 555–560.
- Vanhomwegen, J., Beck, C., Despres, P., Figuerola, A., García, R., Lecollinet, S., López-Roig, M.,
 Manuguerra, J.-C., Serra-Cobo, J., 2017. Circulation of Zoonotic Arboviruses in Equine
 Populations of Mallorca Island (Spain). Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 17, 340–346.
- 511 Weaver, S.C., Charlier, C., Vasilakis, N., Lecuit, M., 2018. Zika, chikungunya, and other emerging 512 vector-borne viral diseases. Annu. Rev. Med. 69, 395–408.

- 513 Weaver, S.C., Reisen, W.K., 2010. Present and future arboviral threats. Antiviral Res. 85, 328–345.
- Zannoli, S., Sambri, V., 2019. West Nile virus and Usutu virus co-circulation in Europe: epidemiology
 and implications. Microorganisms 7, 184.
- Zeller, H., Zientara, S., Hars, J., Languille, J., Mailles, A., Tolou, H., Paty, M.-C., Schaffner, F.,
 Armengaud, A., Gaillan, P., 2004. West Nile outbreak in horses in southern France:
 September 2004. Eurosurveillance Wkly. 8, 07.
- Zeller, H.G., Murgue, B., 2001. The role of migrating birds in the West Nile virus epidemiology. Med.
 Mal. Infect. 31, 1685–174S.

521

Table 1: Mosquito species, number of mosquitoes collected and virus detected by high-throuput chip based on the BioMark Dynamic arrays system.

| Collection Site | « GPS » coordinates | Biotope | Mosquito Species | Number of mosquitoes screened | Number of pools | Virus Detected through Microfluidic System | Type of confirmation Performed |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Mohammedia | 33°38'22.4N 7°26'08.8W | Peri - urban | Culex pipiens | 670 | 67 | WNV* | WNV confirmed by Real time RT- PCR |
| Tanger | 35°46'44.3N 5°50'50.1W | Urban | Culex pipiens | 190 | 19 | _ | _ |
| Moulay bouselham | 34°52'28.7"N 6°17'14.5"W | Rural | anoh detritus, Aedes caspius, culex pipiens, Anophele maculipennis | 320 110 160 5 | 32 11 16 1 | _ | _ |
| Total | | | | 1455 | 146 | | |

WNV., West Nile virus; CHIKV., Chikungunya virus. (-) no virus detected and no confirmation performed. *WNV detected in one pool of *Cx.pipiens*.

| Number of samples | cELISA | | | MIA confirmation of positive cELISA results | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|------------|---|----------|------|------------|-------------|--|
| Number of horses sampled | negative | Doubtful | Positive | WNV | USUV | TBEV | Flavivirus | WNV or USUV | |
| 92 | 61 | 0 | 31(33.7%)* | 23 (25%)* | 2(2.1%)* | 0 | 4 (4.3%)* | 2 (2.1%)* | |

Table 2: cELISA flavivirus results and WNV, USUV and TBEV results by MIA

* The percentage was based on total sample numbers (assuming all cELISA negative would also be negative by MIA)

| Number of samples | USUV | undetermined flavivirus | WNV | Distribution of WNV positive samples by titer (%) | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------|-------------------------|------------|---|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|--|
| 31 | 0 | 3 | 28 (30.4%) | I:20 | I:40 | I:80 | I:160 | I:320 | >1:320 | |
| | | | | | | | 11 | | 7 | |
| | | | | 3 (3.3%)* | 2 (2,3%)* | 1 (1%)* | (11,9%)* | 4 (4.3%)* | (7.6%)* | |

 Table 3: MNT confirmation of positive cELISA against WNV and USUV

* The percentage was based on total sample numbers (assuming all cELISA negative would also be negative by MNT)