



**HAL**  
open science

## Shank2 Mutant Mice Display Hyperactivity Insensitive to Methylphenidate and Reduced Flexibility in Social Motivation, but Normal Social Recognition

Elodie Ey, Nicolas Torquet, Fabrice de Chaumont, Julie Lévi-Strauss, Allain-Thibeault Ferhat, Anne-Marie Le Sourd, Tobias M. Boeckers, Thomas Bourgeron

► **To cite this version:**

Elodie Ey, Nicolas Torquet, Fabrice de Chaumont, Julie Lévi-Strauss, Allain-Thibeault Ferhat, et al.. Shank2 Mutant Mice Display Hyperactivity Insensitive to Methylphenidate and Reduced Flexibility in Social Motivation, but Normal Social Recognition. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, 2018, 11, pp.365. 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00365 . pasteur-02344332

**HAL Id: pasteur-02344332**

**<https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02344332>**

Submitted on 4 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Shank2 Mutant Mice Display Hyperactivity Insensitive to Methylphenidate and Reduced Flexibility in Social Motivation, but Normal Social Recognition

Elodie Ey<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicolas Torquet<sup>2</sup>, Fabrice de Chaumont<sup>3</sup>, Julie Lévi-Strauss<sup>1</sup>, Allain-Thibeault Ferhat<sup>1</sup>, Anne-Marie Le Sourd<sup>1</sup>, Tobias M. Boeckers<sup>4</sup> and Thomas Bourgeron<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CNRS UMR 3571, Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>2</sup> Sorbonne Université, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSERM, CNRS, Neuroscience Paris Seine – Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (NPS – IBPS), Paris, France, <sup>3</sup> CNRS UMR 3691, Biologie Analysis, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>4</sup> Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany, <sup>5</sup> Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, Paris, France

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Markus Wöhr,  
Philipps-Universität Marburg,  
Germany

### Reviewed by:

Rolf Sprengel,  
Max-Planck-Institut für Medizinische  
Forschung, Germany  
Maria Passafaro,  
Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

### \*Correspondence:

Elodie Ey  
elodie.ey@pasteur.fr;  
eey@pasteur.fr

Received: 12 July 2018

Accepted: 13 September 2018

Published: 04 October 2018

### Citation:

Ey E, Torquet N, de Chaumont F, Lévi-Strauss J, Ferhat A-T, Le Sourd A-M, Boeckers TM and Bourgeron T (2018) Shank2 Mutant Mice Display Hyperactivity Insensitive to Methylphenidate and Reduced Flexibility in Social Motivation, but Normal Social Recognition. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:365. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00365

Mouse models of autism can be used to study evolutionarily conserved mechanisms underlying behavioral abnormalities in social communication and repetitive behaviors. *SHANK* genes code for synaptic scaffolding proteins at excitatory synapses and mutations in all *SHANK* genes have been associated with autism. Here, we present three behavioral aspects of the mutant mice deleted for exon 16 in *Shank2*. First, we treated *Shank2* mutant mice with methylphenidate to rescue the hyperactivity. Our failure to do so suggests that the hyperactivity displayed by *Shank2* mutant mice is not related to the one displayed by the typical mouse models of hyperactivity, and might be more closely related to manic-like behaviors. Second, by testing the effect of group housing and social isolation on social interest, we highlighted that *Shank2* mutant mice lack the typical flexibility to modulate social interest, in comparison with wild-type littermates. Finally, we established a new protocol to test for social recognition in a social context. We used this protocol to show that *Shank2* mutant mice were able to discriminate familiar and unknown conspecifics in free interactions. Altogether, these studies shed some light on specific aspects of the behavioral defects displayed by the *Shank2* mouse model. Such information could be used to orient therapeutic strategies and to design more specific tests to characterize the complex behavior of mouse models of autism.

**Keywords:** mouse models, autism, *Shank2*, hyperactivity, methylphenidate, social motivation, ultrasonic vocalization, social recognition

## INTRODUCTION

Autism spectrum conditions (ASCs; henceforth autism) are characterized by atypical social communication, including social interactions and verbal and non-verbal communication, as well as stereotyped and repeated behaviors and restricted interests (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). More than 80 genes have been robustly associated with autism (Abrahams et al., 2013).

Among these genes, the *SHANK* family is of interest since mutations in each of the three members of this family (*SHANK1*, *PROSAP1/SHANK2*, and *PROSAP2/SHANK3*) have been identified in patients with autism, but with a gradient of severity (Leblond et al., 2014). Patients carrying a mutation in *SHANK1* display a mild phenotype in social communication and stereotypes, while patients carrying *SHANK2* mutations are more affected in their social interactions and repetitive behaviors. Patients with *SHANK3* mutations are even more severely affected and are also in the great majority of cases diagnosed with intellectual disability.

In the present study, we focused our experiments on mice lacking the *Shank2* protein. To date, three different genetic constructions of the model exist (reviewed in Eltokhi et al., 2018): deletion of exon 16 [knock out (Schmeisser et al., 2012; Ey et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2016), conditional knock out in Purkinje cells (Peter et al., 2016)], deletion of exons 15 and 16 [knock out (Won et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2016), conditional knock out in Purkinje cells (Ha et al., 2016), conditional knock out in excitatory neurons (Kim et al., 2018), conditional knock out in inhibitory neurons (Kim et al., 2018), conditional knock out in parvalbumin-positive neurons (Lee et al., 2018)], deletion of exon 24 [knock out (Pappas et al., 2017), conditional knock out in Purkinje cells (Pappas et al., 2017), conditional knock out in excitatory neurons of neocortex and hippocampus (Pappas et al., 2017)]. All these models display hyperactivity, except the mice mutated conditionally only in Purkinje cells (Ha et al., 2016; Peter et al., 2016; Pappas et al., 2017). As proxies for the core symptoms of autism, a number of studies identified subtle abnormalities in the social domain [reduced interest for social interactions (Schmeisser et al., 2012; Won et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2015; Peter et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018)] [but not in Ha et al. (2016); Lim et al. (2016); Pappas et al. (2017); and Lee et al. (2018)], reduced interest for social novelty (Schmeisser et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2015; Peter et al., 2016) [but not in Won et al. (2012) and Kim et al. (2018)], atypical ultrasonic communication (Schmeisser et al., 2012; Won et al., 2012; Ey et al., 2013; Ha et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018), and increased stereotyped behaviors (Ha et al., 2016; Peter et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2018) [but not in Lee et al. (2015); Pappas et al. (2017); and Kim et al. (2018)].

In this study, we aimed at modulating the behavioral phenotype of the *Shank2* <sup>$\Delta$ ex16-/-</sup> [hereafter *Shank2* (MGI: 5435698; Schmeisser et al., 2012)] mice using pharmacological treatment or social isolation. For the pharmacological treatment, we used methylphenidate (commercially available for medical use under the name Ritalin®), a treatment for individuals diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Stepanova et al., 2017). To test for social interest, we modulated the motivation to interact with another mouse by including a period of social isolation prior to the social interaction test. Finally, a review of the existing protocols for social recognition in mice (see **Supplementary Material** – Review of social recognition protocols) highlighted that the habituation-dishabituation protocol was ethologically relevant. We adapted this protocol by testing simultaneously the mutant mouse and the wild-type mouse to control rigorously

the investigation of social recognition in *Shank2* mutant mice.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Modulation Through Pharmacological Treatment

We tested males (placebo: 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>; treatment: 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup>, and 10 *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>) and females (placebo: 9 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>; treatment: 9 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup>, 10 *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>) aged of 4–6 months. Female mice were housed in groups of 2–4 per cage, while males were single-housed because of high aggressiveness. *Shank2* mutant mice were initially described in Schmeisser et al. (2012), backcrossed for more than 10 generations on C57Bl/6J. We injected the animals with methylphenidate (MPH; 30 mg/kg; intra-peritoneal injection) or saline solution, 1 h before the test. Whether mice received saline or MPH was randomly chosen before starting the experiment. We tested the animals in the openfield. One hour after the injection, the animals were left to freely explore a round openfield (1 m of diameter) for 30 min (100 lux). We measured the distance traveled and compared it between wild-type, *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice treated with either saline solution or methylphenidate using non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U-tests given the small sample size and the non-normal distribution of the data.

### Modulation Through Social Isolation

We tested 16 *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and 13 *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> adult females of 4–6 months of age (Schmeisser et al., 2012). We did not use *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> mice in the remaining parts of the paper, given the subtlety of social defects in this genotype (Schmeisser et al., 2012). *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were tested twice in the occupant-new comer test (Ferhat et al., 2016). On the first time, they were group-housed (2–4 mice per cage) from weaning on. On the second time 3 weeks later, they were isolated for 3 days before the test; this last data had been presented in the original study (Schmeisser et al., 2012). In the occupant-new comer test, a female mouse (occupant) was placed in a test cage (Plexiglas, 50 cm × 25 cm × 30 cm, 100 lux, with fresh bedding) in a soundproof chamber for 30 min habituation. After this time, an unfamiliar group-housed C57Bl/6J adult female (new comer) was introduced. Social interactions were recorded continuously (high-resolution Sony XCD-SX90CR video camera). Ultrasonic vocalizations were recorded simultaneously with a condenser ultrasound microphone Polaroid/CMPA, the interface UltraSoundGate 416-200 and the software Avisoft-SASLab Pro Recorded from Avisoft Bioacoustics (sampling frequency: 300 kHz; FFT-length: 1024 points; 16-bit format). Ultrasonic vocalizations were recorded for the pair of mice tested (one C57Bl/6J new comer and one from the *Shank2* strain, either a wild-type one or a mutant one) since we cannot distinguish the identity of the caller in such a setting. Nevertheless, previous studies suggested that the contribution of the new comer is minor in comparison with that of the

occupant (Hammerschmidt et al., 2012). We recorded manually the time spent in contact and the latency for the first contact. We also measured manually the latency for the first ultrasonic vocalizations and the total number of ultrasonic vocalizations emitted. We manually established the distribution of ultrasonic vocalizations among the following call types (see Ey et al., 2013):

- “short”: call duration was less than 5 ms;
- “simple”: call duration was longer than 5 ms and the frequency range was smaller than 6.25 kHz (flat) or call duration was longer than 5 ms and the frequency range was larger than 6.25 kHz and there was only one direction of frequency modulation (upward or downward);
- “complex”: frequency modulations in more than one direction and frequency range larger than 6.25 kHz (modulated), or inclusion of one or more additional frequency components (harmonic or non-linear phenomena, but no saturation) but no constraint on frequency range (complex);
- “unstructured”: no pure tone component, “noisy” calls;
- “frequency jumps” (fq jumps): presence of one or more jump(s) in frequency, without time gap between the consecutive elements; it can include noisy parts within the pure tone call or not.

We used unpaired non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare the latency for the first contact, the total time spent in contact, the latency for the first call and the call rate between genotypes within the social condition (either grouped or isolated). We used paired non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare the latency for the first contact, the total time spent in contact, the latency for the first call and the call rate between social conditions (grouped or isolated) within genotypes. We used Chi-squared (with a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing) tests to compare the proportions of calls within and between social conditions or genotypes.

### New Protocol for Social Recognition

We set up a new habituation-dishabituation test. After carefully reviewing the different protocols available to test social recognition, we found three protocols using free social interactions: two-trial social recognition, social discrimination, habituation-dishabituation (see **Supplementary Material** – Review of social recognition protocols). We adapted the habituation-dishabituation protocol by testing a pair of female mice (one *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mouse and one *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse) with a juvenile C57Bl/6J female mouse as the stimulus mouse. By testing paired mice, we collected data from the mutant and its control mouse in exactly the same conditions. We tested 12 pairs of one *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> adult female and one *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> adult female (6 months of age), paired together at least 1 week before testing. Juvenile females were housed in groups of four upon arrival at the animal facility (3 weeks of age) and until the end of the experiment. Mice were identified through RFID chips implanted under isoflurane anesthesia and after local sub-cutaneous injection of lidocaine (at 4 weeks of age for the juveniles and at weaning for the tested mice). On the day of

testing, mice were habituated to the testing room 20 min before the test. We then introduced the pair of *Shank2* mice in a test cage (50 cm × 50 cm × 30 cm, fresh bedding). The pair of mice was left to explore freely the test cage for 20 min. After this habituation time, we introduced an unknown C57Bl/6J juvenile female mouse (5 weeks of age) and let the mice freely interact for 2 min. After this first encounter, we took out of the cage the juvenile mouse and left it alone in a housing cage for 5 min. We then re-introduced it in the cage for 2 min. We repeated these steps for four encounters with the same juvenile. On the fifth encounter, we introduced another unknown C57Bl/6J juvenile female mouse (5 weeks of age) from another housing cage. During each encounter, a tracking system, Live Mouse Tracker, allowed to individually follow each of the three mice and to automatically extract the time spent in contact with the juvenile for each tested mouse (de Chaumont et al., 2018). We used unpaired non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare the total time spent in contact.

We used paired non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare the total time spent in contact between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (within each pair) for each juvenile encounter. We used paired non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare the total time spent in contact within each genotype between the fourth encounter and the fifth one.

### Ethic Approval Statement


All experiments involving animals complied with the European ethical regulation, and were validated by the ethical committee CETEA n°89, Institut Pasteur, Paris. These procedures were realized within the project APAFIS#7706-20 161 12317252460 v2.

## RESULTS

### The Effects of Methylphenidate on Hyperactivity in *Shank2* Mutant Mice

*Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice are highly hyperactive in comparison with their wild-type littermates (Schmeisser et al., 2012). Here, we injected adult males and females with methylphenidate (MPH) or saline solution, 1 h before the openfield test. The saline-injected *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice traveled significantly longer distances in comparison with saline-injected *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice (males:  $W = 0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; females:  $W = 10.5$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ) and *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> mice (males:  $W = 7$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; females:  $W = 0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Figure 1**). In addition, *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> mice also displayed hyperactivity but to a lower extent in comparison with their wild-type littermates (males:  $W = 21.5$ ,  $p = 0.034$ ; females:  $W = 0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). These results confirmed the initially described gene dosage-related hyperactivity in this model (Schmeisser et al., 2012). MPH treatment increased significantly the distance traveled by *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice (males:  $W = 10$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ; females:  $W = 4.5$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ) and by *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> mice (males:  $W = 10$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ; females:  $W = 5$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) in comparison with saline-injected mice of the same genotypes in both sexes. The MPH treatment also increased significantly the distance traveled by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice in comparison with saline-injected *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice





( $W = 14$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ; **Figure 1B**). The difference in *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> male mice in comparison with saline-injected *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> males was not significant ( $W = 36$ ,  $p = 0.307$ ; **Figure 1A**), but the hyperactivity was already very high and we might have a saturation effect. In both sexes, MPH-injected *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice still traveled significantly longer distances in comparison with MPH-injected *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice (males:  $W = 8$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ; females:  $W = 2$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

Overall, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup>, and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice reacted similarly to the injection of MPH, with an increase in their locomotor activity. MPH was therefore not efficient to rescue hyperactivity in this model.

## The Effects of Social Isolation on Social Behavior in *Shank2* Mutant Mice

Many mouse models of autism display only subtle abnormalities in classical behavioral tests for social interest (reviewed in Ferhat et al., 2017). One hypothesis is that the main social problem might reside in the social reward system, which can impair behavioral flexibility [see for instance the lack of social modulation of ultrasonic vocalizations in *Shank1* mutant mice (Wöhr et al., 2011)].

In this study, we therefore compared social interactions and ultrasonic vocalizations in the occupant-new comer test between group-housed and isolated adult female mice from the *Shank2* mutant strain. When females were group-housed, there were no significant differences between *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice in the latency for the first contact ( $W = 120.5$ ,  $p = 0.482$ ; **Figure 2A**), in the time spent in contact ( $W = 98.5$ ,  $p = 0.826$ ; **Figure 2B**), in the latency for the first call ( $W = 95$ ,  $p = 0.714$ ; **Figure 2C**), and in the vocal repertoire used (**Figures 3A,B**).


The only difference was found in the number of vocalizations emitted, with less vocalizations emitted by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice in comparison with wild-type mice ( $W = 150$ ,  $p = 0.046$ ; **Figure 2D**).

When females were isolated for 3 days, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice spent significantly shorter time in contact with the new comer ( $W = 165$ ,  $p = 0.008$ ; **Figure 2B**) and emitted less ultrasonic vocalizations in comparison with *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice ( $W = 171$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ; **Figure 2D**). Interestingly, when considering the ultrasonic vocalization repertoire used, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice emitted significantly more calls from the short and unstructured categories than *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice in the isolated condition (**Figures 3A,B**). In contrast, the duration of the calls for each call type did not differ significantly between social conditions or between genotypes (**Figures 3C,D**).

Overall, significant differences in social interaction and communication emerged between *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice only after social isolation, and not when animals were group-housed. These results suggested that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were impaired in their modulation of social motivation.

## Social Recognition in a Social Context in *Shank2* Mutant Mice

In their initial characterization, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice displayed impairments in social recognition in the three-chambered test, with no significant preference for an unknown conspecific in comparison with a familiar conspecific (Schmeisser et al., 2012; Peter et al., 2016). However, in other studies using the *Shank2*<sup>Δex15-16</sup> mice, social recognition in the three-chambered test did not differ significantly between homozygous mutant and wild-type (Won et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2018). In



the present study, we aimed at testing whether social recognition was impaired when mice were freely interacting. We established a habituation-dishabituation test with a pair of adult female mice (one *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mouse and one *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse, familiar to each other for at least 1 week before the test) interacting repeatedly with a C57Bl/6J juvenile female. After four encounters with the same juvenile, the pair of mice encountered an unknown juvenile on the fifth encounter.

The social recognition protocol appeared to be valid. Indeed, the time that *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice spent in contact with the juvenile decreased over the four successive expositions to the same juvenile (Figure 4, blue line and dots). Then, they spent a significantly increased time in contact with the second juvenile presented for the fifth encounter ( $W = 1$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ), suggesting that they were able to discriminate their juvenile conspecifics. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice followed a parallel profile despite the fact that they tended to spend shorter time in contact with the juveniles in comparison with their wild-type littermates (encounter 2:  $W = 65$ ,  $p = 0.042$ ; encounter 3:  $W = 66$ ,  $p = 0.034$ ; encounter 5:  $W = 65$ ,  $p = 0.042$ ; Figure 4, orange line and dots). As in


*Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, the time that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice spent in contact with the juvenile between the fourth and the fifth encounters also increased significantly ( $W = 0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

Overall, the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice spent shorter time in contact with the juvenile in comparison with wild-type mice but remained able to differentiate two individuals.

## DISCUSSION

### Methylphenidate Does Not Rescue the Hyperactivity of the Adult *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> Mice


The psychostimulant methylphenidate inhibits the reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine (increase of dopamine and norepinephrine extracellular levels) (de la Peña et al., 2018). It is known to be an effective treatment for a subset of patients diagnosed with hyperactivity in humans (reviewed in Stepanova et al., 2017). It appeared to improve inattention, distractibility,



hyperactivity and impulsivity more efficiently than placebo in patients with autism or neurodevelopmental disorders (Quintana et al., 1995; Handen et al., 2000; Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network, 2005; Kim et al., 2017). For instance, in a large study conducted by the Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network, about half of the patients with autism or neurodevelopmental disorders and hyperactivity responded to methylphenidate treatment (Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network, 2005). Nevertheless, methylphenidate appeared to be less efficient and to present more adverse effects (e.g., social withdrawal) in patients with autism than in children developing typical ADHD (Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network, 2005; Stepanova et al., 2017). Methylphenidate also tended to increase social withdrawal in patients with ADHD

(Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network, 2005).

In mice, methylphenidate is used to test the predictive validity of mouse models for ADHD, since it can rescue the hyperactivity of several of these mouse models (de la Peña et al., 2018). In mouse models of autism, methylphenidate did not rescue hyperactivity in *Fmr1*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Wrenn et al., 2015). In the first part of the present study, we highlighted that an injection of methylphenidate increased the activity of *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, as expected in wild-type mice, but also increased the activity of *Shank2*<sup>+/-</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. These results show that the hyperactivity of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> adult mice is not attenuated by methylphenidate, and therefore might not be related to “ADHD-like hyperactivity.” Our results are consistent with those from Pappas et al. (2017) who also observed an



increased hyperactivity in *Shank2*<sup>Δex24</sup> mutant mice treated with amphetamine (2 mg/kg), while this hyperactivity was rescued by the mood stabilizers valproic acid or lithium (Pappas et al., 2017). These results suggest that the hyperactive phenotype in *Shank2* mutant mice could therefore be reminiscent of the manic-like phenotype of a mouse model for bipolar disorder. To further investigate this parallel with manic-like behavior, future studies on *Shank2* mouse models should include analyses on sleep-wake cycles, impulsivity and preference for reward stimuli (Beyer and Freund, 2017). Investigating the dopaminergic pathway in this model might also provide information on the relatedness of the phenotype of *Shank2* mice with a manic-like phenotype. With preliminary data in an eight-arm radial maze for spatial learning, we already noticed an increased arousal of *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice when seeking for the sweet reward in comparison with their wild-type littermates (unpublished data), suggesting an atypical reward seeking behavior. In parallel, clioquinol, a zinc chelator and a ionophore mobilizing trans-synaptic zinc has been shown to rescue the reduced social interest in the three-chambered test in mouse models of autism, but could not rescue the hyperactivity observed in the *Shank2*<sup>Δex15–16</sup> model (Lee et al., 2015).

### Prior Isolation Increases Social Motivation for Conspecific, but Not in the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> Mice

A reduction of social interactions might originate from abnormalities in the neuronal circuits involved in social reward. The strength of social interactions might then be modulated by the motivation of the individual to interact with peers. We

aimed at testing whether mice lacking *Shank2* have the ability to modulate their interest in social interactions. We therefore manipulated their motivation to interact by housing them in groups or isolated.

While wild-type *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice housed in isolation increased their social motivation with more time spent in contact and higher call rate compared to the group-housed condition, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant mice behaved similarly, no matter whether in the isolated or group-housed conditions. Their level of behavioral flexibility in the social domain appeared to be lower in comparison with wild-type mice. Unfortunately, this mouse model has never been tested in a reversal learning task, to assess its cognitive flexibility. Other *Shank2* mouse models were tested for learning and memory but not in a reversal learning task, except the conditional, parvalbumin cell-type specific *Shank2*<sup>Δex15–16</sup> knock-out mice that displayed typical learning and reversal learning in the Morris water maze task (Lee et al., 2018). Therefore, the cognitive flexibility of *Shank2* mutant mice remains to be further documented to understand whether the present lack of social flexibility is related to a reduced cognitive flexibility.

We could also hypothesize that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice might consider social interactions as more aversive and therefore less rewarding than wild-type *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice do. Indeed, our previous work showed that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice emit ultrasonic vocalizations with lower peak frequency in comparison with wild-type littermates (Ey et al., 2013). Interestingly, ultrasonic vocalizations emitted by adult males usually displayed a lower peak frequency in an aversive context (contention stress) than in affiliative social interactions (Chabout et al., 2012). Further experiments will focus on the modulation of the spontaneous home cage behavior between *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice to gather robust data on a more complete behavioral repertoire. Long-term group studies are now possible using a newly developed tracking system, Live Mouse Tracker (de Chaumont et al., 2018). The first experiments with mixed-genotype groups suggest that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> female mice and their wild-type littermates differ in their involvement in complex social configurations (de Chaumont et al., 2018).

This reduced social reward could be in line with the hypothesis reviewed by Chevallier et al. (2012), in which social deficits in autism might come from social motivation deficits and therefore trigger social cognition deficits, and not the reverse. However, such an approach is still under discussion since evidences are mixed (reviewed in Bottini, 2018).

### *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> Mice Are Able to Integrate Social Cues for Recognition of Conspecific

The three-chamber test provides a binary way to measure the preference for social novelty versus social familiarity: it is a yes/no test (Crawley, 2004). It does not permit to disentangle whether the animal has a reduced motivation for (i.e., is not interested in) social novelty or whether it cannot distinguish the two different mice presented. The paradigm presented here tried to distinguish these two possibilities.



We showed that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice might be less motivated to interact with an unknown conspecific since they tend to spend shorter time in contact with the juvenile in comparison with their wild-type littermates. Nevertheless, they did not display social recognition impairments in this protocol: they still manage to gather information about the identity of the conspecific. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice therefore are able to differentiate individuals from one another, i.e., to perceive and analyze social cues.

This is reminiscent of a series of experiments conducted in patients with autism. During social scene observation, toddlers with autism are less focused on eyes in comparison with neurotypical toddlers (Constantino et al., 2017), but they can be faster at identifying facial information compared with typically developed individuals (Gharib et al., 2015).

Whether the behavior of the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse is influenced by the behavior of its paired wild-type conspecific is unknown. If there were an influence of the behavior of the *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mouse on the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse, this would mean that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice have the ability to socially imitate its paired conspecific, which depicts some interesting social competencies. If *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice behave totally independently from the paired *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, this would mean that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice own social recognition ability. To disentangle these two possibilities, it would be interesting to try this protocol of social recognition with different types of pairings (*Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> vs. *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup>, *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> vs. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>, and *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup> vs. *Shank2*<sup>+/+</sup>) and also with isolated mice.

## CONCLUSION

To summarize, the present study gathers new pieces of information on specific aspects of the behavior of the *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. It shows that the hyperactivity displayed by this model cannot be reduced by methylphenidate. It also highlights that the social deficits displayed by this model might stem from a lack of flexibility in the social motivation. Finally, it provides more details on the social recognition deficits, which were not visible during direct social interactions with a conspecific, i.e., when the tested mice had access to all identity cues of the conspecifics. Interestingly, the reduced social interaction displayed by *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice might therefore be misinterpreted as a problem in social cognition. We propose an alternative hypothesis that *Shank2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice have high capacity to integrate social cues, but once these social cues are integrated, the mice display less social motivation to interact. Such information could

be used to orient therapeutic strategies and to design more specific behavioral tests to characterize mouse models of autism.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusion of the manuscript will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EE, NT, A-TF, and JL-S performed and analyzed the experiments. A-MLS performed the genotyping of the mice. FdC created the tracking system for the social recognition experiments. TMB generated the mouse model. EE and TB conceived the project and wrote the manuscript.

## FUNDING

This work was partially funded by the Institut Pasteur, the Bettencourt-Schueller Foundation, the Fondation de France, the Orange Foundation, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the University Paris Diderot, and the BioPsy LabEX. The research leading to these results has also received support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no. 115300, resources of which are composed of financial contribution from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) and EFPIA companies' in kind contribution. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the reviewers for helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol.2018.00365/full#supplementary-material>

## REFERENCES

- Abrahams, B. S., Arking, D. E., Campbell, D. B., Mefford, H. C., Morrow, E. M., Weiss, L. A., et al. (2013). SFARI Gene 2.0: a community-driven knowledgebase for the autism spectrum disorders (ASDs). *Mol. Autism* 4:36. doi: 10.1186/2040-2392-4-36
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association. doi: 10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- Beyer, D. K. E., and Freund, N. (2017). Animal models for bipolar disorder: from bedside to the cage. *Int. J. Bipolar Disord.* 5:35. doi: 10.1186/s40345-017-0104-6
- Bottini, S. (2018). Social reward processing in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review of the social motivation hypothesis. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* 45, 9–26. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2017.10.001
- Chabout, J., Serreau, P., Ey, E., Bellier, L., Aubin, T., Bourgeron, T., et al. (2012). Adult male mice emit context-specific ultrasonic vocalizations that are modulated by prior isolation or group rearing environment. *PLoS One* 7:e29401. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029401

- Chevallier, C., Kohls, G., Troiani, V., Brodtkin, E. S., and Schultz, R. T. (2012). The social motivation theory of autism. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 231–239. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.02.007
- Constantino, J. N., Kennon-McGill, S., Weichselbaum, C., Marrus, N., Haider, A., Glowinski, A. L., et al. (2017). Infant viewing of social scenes is under genetic control and is atypical in autism. *Nature* 547, 340–344. doi: 10.1038/nature22999
- Crawley, J. N. (2004). Designing mouse behavioral tasks relevant to autistic-like behaviors. *Ment. Retard. Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* 10, 248–258. doi: 10.1002/mrdd.20039
- de Chaumont, F., Ey, E., Torquet, N., Lagache, T., Dallongeville, S., Imbert, A., et al. (2018). Live mouse tracker: real-time behavioral analysis of groups of mice. *bioRxiv* [Pre print]. doi: 10.1101/345132
- de la Peña, J. B., dela Peña, I. J., Custodio, R. J., Botanas, C. J., Kim, H. J., and Cheong, J. H. (2018). Exploring the validity of proposed transgenic animal models of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Mol. Neurobiol.* 55, 3739–3754. doi: 10.1007/s12035-017-0608-1
- Eltokhi, A., Rappold, G., and Sprengel, R. (2018). Distinct phenotypes of *shank2* mouse models reflect neuropsychiatric spectrum disorders of human patients with *shank2* variants. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:240. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00240
- Ey, E., Torquet, N., Le Sourd, A.-M., Leblond, C. S., Boeckers, T. M., Faure, P., et al. (2013). The Autism ProSAP1/Shank2 mouse model displays quantitative and structural abnormalities in ultrasonic vocalizations. *Behav. Brain Res.* 256, 677–689. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.08.031
- Ferhat, A.-T., Halbedl, S., Schmeisser, M. J., Kas, M. J., Bourgeron, T., and Ey, E. (2017). Behavioural phenotypes and neural circuit dysfunctions in mouse models of autism spectrum disorder. *Adv. Anat. Embryol. Cell Biol.* 224, 85–101. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-52498-6-5
- Ferhat, A.-T., Torquet, N., Le Sourd, A.-M., de Chaumont, F., Olivo-Marin, J.-C., Faure, P., et al. (2016). Recording mouse ultrasonic vocalizations to evaluate social communication. *J. Vis. Exp.* 112:53871. doi: 10.3791/53871
- Gharib, A., Mier, D., Adolphs, R., and Shimojo, S. (2015). Eyetracking of social preference choices reveals normal but faster processing in autism. *Neuropsychologia* 72, 70–79. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.04.027
- Ha, S., Lee, D., Cho, Y. S., Chung, C., Yoo, Y.-E., Kim, J., et al. (2016). Cerebellar *shank2* regulates excitatory synapse density, motor coordination, and specific repetitive and anxiety-like behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 12129–12143. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1849-16.2016
- Hammerschmidt, K., Radyushkin, K., Ehrenreich, H., and Fischer, J. (2012). The Structure and usage of female and male mouse ultrasonic vocalizations reveal only minor differences. *PLoS One* 7:e41133. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041133
- Handen, B. L., Johnson, C. R., and Lubetsky, M. (2000). Efficacy of methylphenidate among children with autism and symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 30, 245–255. doi: 10.1023/A:1005548619694
- Kim, R., Kim, J., Chung, C., Ha, S., Lee, S., Lee, E., et al. (2018). Cell-type-specific *shank2* deletion in mice leads to differential synaptic and behavioral phenotypes. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 4076–4092. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2684-17.2018
- Kim, S.-J., Shonka, S., French, W. P., Strickland, J., Miller, L., and Stein, M. A. (2017). Dose-response effects of long-acting liquid methylphenidate in children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): a pilot study. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 47, 2307–2313. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3125-1
- Leblond, C. S., Nava, C., Polge, A., Gauthier, J., Huguet, G., Lumbroso, S., et al. (2014). Meta-analysis of shank mutations in autism spectrum disorders: a gradient of severity in cognitive impairments. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004580. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004580
- Lee, E.-J., Lee, H., Huang, T.-N., Chung, C., Shin, W., Kim, K., et al. (2015). Trans-synaptic zinc mobilization improves social interaction in two mouse models of autism through NMDAR activation. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7168. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8168
- Lee, S., Lee, E., Kim, R., Kim, J., Lee, S., Park, H., et al. (2018). *Shank2* deletion in parvalbumin neurons leads to moderate hyperactivity, enhanced self-grooming and suppressed seizure susceptibility in mice. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:209. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00209
- Lim, C.-S., Kim, H., Yu, N.-K., Kang, S. J., Kim, T., Ko, H.-G., et al. (2016). Enhancing inhibitory synaptic function reverses spatial memory deficits in *shank2* mutant mice. *Neuropharmacology* 112(Pt A), 104–112. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.08.016
- Pappas, A. L., Bey, A. L., Wang, X., Rossi, M., Kim, Y. H., Yan, H., et al. (2017). Deficiency of *Shank2* causes mania-like behavior that responds to mood stabilizers. *JCI Insight* 2:92052. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.92052
- Peter, S., ten Brinke, M. M., Stedehouder, J., Reinelt, C. M., Wu, B., Zhou, H., et al. (2016). Dysfunctional cerebellar Purkinje cells contribute to autism-like behaviour in *Shank2*-deficient mice. *Nat. Commun.* 7:12627. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12627
- Quintana, H., Birmaher, B., Stedje, D., Lennon, S., Freed, J., Bridge, J., et al. (1995). Use of methylphenidate in the treatment of children with autistic disorder. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 25, 283–294. doi: 10.1007/BF02179289
- Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network. (2005). Randomized, controlled, crossover trial of methylphenidate in pervasive developmental disorders with hyperactivity. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 62, 1266–1274. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.11.1266
- Schmeisser, M. J., Ey, E., Wegener, S., Bockmann, J., Stempel, A. V., Kuebler, A., et al. (2012). Autistic-like behaviours and hyperactivity in mice lacking ProSAP1/Shank2. *Nature* 486, 256–260. doi: 10.1038/nature11015
- Stepanova, E., Dowling, S., Phelps, M., and Findling, R. L. (2017). Pharmacotherapy of emotional and behavioral symptoms associated with autism spectrum disorder in children and adolescents. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 19, 395–402.
- Wöhr, M., Rouillet, F. I., Hung, A. Y., Sheng, M., and Crawley, J. N. (2011). Communication impairments in mice lacking shank1: reduced levels of ultrasonic vocalizations and scent marking behavior. *PLoS One* 6:e20631. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020631
- Won, H., Lee, H.-R., Gee, H. Y., Mah, W., Kim, J.-I., Lee, J., et al. (2012). Autistic-like social behaviour in *Shank2*-mutant mice improved by restoring NMDA receptor function. *Nature* 486, 261–265. doi: 10.1038/nature11208
- Wrenn, C. C., Heitzer, A. M., Roth, A. K., Nawrocki, L., and Valdivinos, M. G. (2015). Effects of clonidine and methylphenidate on motor activity in *Fmr1* knockout mice. *Neurosci. Lett.* 585, 109–113. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.11.035

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Ey, Torquet, de Chaumont, Lévi-Strauss, Ferhat, Le Sourd, Boeckers and Bourgeron. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.