

Visualization of Arenavirus RNA Species in Individual Cells by Single-Molecule Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Suggests a Model of Cyclical Infection and Clearance during Persistence

Benjamin R King, Aubin Samacoits, Philip L Eisenhauer, Christopher M. Ziegler, Emily A Bruce, Daniel Zenklusen, Christophe Zimmer, Florian Mueller, Jason Botten

▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin R King, Aubin Samacoits, Philip L Eisenhauer, Christopher M. Ziegler, Emily A Bruce, et al.. Visualization of Arenavirus RNA Species in Individual Cells by Single-Molecule Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Suggests a Model of Cyclical Infection and Clearance during Persistence. Journal of Virology, 2018, 92 (12), pp.e2241-17. 10.1128/JVI.02241-17. pasteur-02075021

HAL Id: pasteur-02075021 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02075021

Submitted on 9 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Visualization of arenavirus RNA species in individual cells by single-molecule fluorescence in
- 2 situ hybridization (smFISH) suggests a model of cyclical infection and clearance during
- 3 persistence
- 4
- 5 Benjamin R. King^{1,2, §}, Aubin Samacoits^{3,4}, Philip L. Eisenhauer¹, Christopher M. Ziegler¹,
- 6 Emily A. Bruce¹, Daniel Zenklusen⁵, Christophe Zimmer^{3,4}, Florian Mueller^{3,4}, and Jason
 7 Botten^{1,6#}
- ¹Department of Medicine, Division of Immunobiology, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT
 05405, USA
- 10 ²Cellular, Molecular, and Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of Vermont,
- 11 Burlington, VT 05405, USA
- ³Unité Imagerie et Modélisation, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
- ⁴C3BI, USR 3756 IP CNRS, Paris, France
- 14 ⁵Departement de Biochimie et Médecine Moléculaire, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC
- 15 H3T 1J4, Canada
- ⁶Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT
- 17 05405, USA
- 18 [§]Current address: Institute for Systems Genetics, New York University School of Medicine, New
- 19 York, NY, 10016, USA
- 20 #Corresponding author
- 21 E-mail: jbotten@uvm.edu

- 22 **Running Title:** Cyclical LCMV infection and clearance in persistence
- 23 Word count (Abstract): 250
- 24 Word count (text): 6,781

25 ABSTRACT

26 Lymphocytic choriomeningitis mammarenvirus (LCMV) is an enveloped, negative-strand RNA virus that causes serious disease in humans but establishes an asymptomatic, lifelong infection in 27 28 reservoir rodents. Different models have been proposed to describe how arenaviruses regulate the replication and transcription of their bisegmented, single-stranded RNA genomes, 29 particularly during persistent infection. However, these models were largely based on viral RNA 30 profiling data derived from entire populations of cells. To better understand LCMV replication 31 32 and transcription at the single-cell level, we established a high-throughput, single-molecule (sm)FISH image acquisition and analysis pipeline and examined viral RNA species at discrete 33 time points from viral entry through the late stages of persistent infection in vitro. We observed 34 transcription of viral nucleoprotein and polymerase mRNAs from the incoming S and L segment 35 genomic RNAs, respectively, within 1 hr of infection, whereas transcription of glycoprotein 36 mRNA from the S segment antigenome required ~4-6 hr. This confirms the temporal separation 37 38 of viral gene expression expected due to the ambisense coding strategy of arenaviruses and also 39 suggests that antigenomic RNA contained in virions is not transcriptionally active upon entry. 40 Viral replication and transcription peaked at 36 hours post-infection, followed by a progressive loss of viral RNAs over the next several days. During persistence, the majority of cells showed 41 repeating cyclical waves of viral transcription and replication followed by clearance of viral 42 RNA. Thus, our data support a model of LCMV persistence whereby infected cells can 43 spontaneously clear infection and become reinfected by viral reservoir cells that remain in the 44 45 population.

46

47

49 Arenaviruses are human pathogens that can establish asymptomatic, life-long infections in their rodent reservoirs. Several models have been proposed to explain how arenavirus spread is 50 restricted within host rodents, including the periodic accumulation and loss of replication 51 competent, but transcriptionally incompetent, viral genomes. A limitation of previous studies 52 was the inability to enumerate viral RNA species at the single cell level. We developed a high 53 throughput, single-molecule RNA (sm)FISH assay and used it to quantitate lymphocytic 54 55 choriomeningitis mammarenavirus (LCMV) replicative and transcriptional RNA species in individual cells at distinct time points following infection. Our findings support a model whereby 56 productively infected cells can clear infection, including viral RNAs and antigen, and later be 57 reinfected. This information improves our understanding of the timing and possible regulation of 58 LCMV genome replication and transcription during infection. Importantly, the smFISH assay 59 and data analysis pipeline developed here is easily adaptable to other RNA viruses. 60

61

62 INTRODUCTION

63 Several members of the arenavirus family are significant threats to human health. Lassa virus 64 and Junín virus cause hemorrhagic fever syndromes while lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus 65 (LCMV), the prototypic member of the family, is a well-known cause of severe birth defects and 66 is highly lethal in immunocompromised individuals (1, 2). A critical imperative to better 67 understand the key steps of the arenavirus life cycle is made evident by the fact that there are no 68 FDA-approved vaccines to prevent arenavirus transmission and only a very limited repertoire of

Journal of Virology

∑ ∕

lournal of Virology

antivirals (3, 4). New strategies to prevent and treat arenavirus infections will likely hinge uponan improved understanding of key phases of the life cycle of these important human pathogens.

Arenaviruses are enveloped viruses that have a single-stranded, bisegmented, negative-71 72 sense RNA genome. The ~3.5 kb small (S) and ~7.2 kb large (L) genomic RNA segments each encode two viral open reading frames in ambisense orientation (Fig. 1A) (1). The nucleoprotein 73 74 (NP) and polymerase (L) genes are encoded in typical negative-sense orientation on genomic RNA while the glycoprotein (GPC) and matrix protein (Z) genes are encoded in pseudo positive-75 76 sense orientation. The canonical sequence of genetic events following the release of arenavirus 77 genomic RNA into the cytoplasm of a newly infected cell is (i) primary transcription of the NP and L mRNAs from the viral S and L genomic segments, respectively, followed by (ii) full 78 length replication of the S and L segment antigenomic RNAs and subsequent transcription of the 79 GPC and Z mRNAs from the S and L antigenomic RNAs, respectively, and (iv) replication of 80 additional full-length genomic RNAs from the antigenomic RNA templates (Fig. 1A) (1, 5). 81

82 While rodent-borne arenaviruses cause severe diseases in humans, they are thought to be asymptomatic in their sylvatic hosts, where they can establish a persistent, life-long infection (1). 83 84 LCMV is carried by the common house mouse and can be transmitted vertically from mother to pup (6-8). The pups are born infected but never mount an effective immune response to clear the 85 virus as viral proteins are seen as self-antigens by the pup's developing immune system (6-8). 86 Paradoxically, while LCMV can infect most cells in the host rodent, it tightly regulates its spread 87 and therefore does not overrun its host. Several hypotheses have been proposed for how LCMV 88 restricts its spread, including through (i) the production of defective interfering (DI) particles (9-89 90 11), which can enter susceptible host cells and make them refractory to productive infection (12, 13) and (ii) the accumulation of transcriptionally-defective genomic and antigenomic RNAs, 91

which limit viral protein expression and infectious virus production (5, 14, 15). It has also been
proposed that LCMV can establish a cyclical, transient pattern of infection such that susceptible
cells are productively infected for a short time before clearing the virus and once again becoming
susceptible to reinfection by neighboring cells that remain productively infected (16-19).

A current gap in our knowledge of how arenaviruses restrict their dissemination is that 96 we lack a detailed understanding of how the events of viral genome replication and transcription 97 are regulated during the acute and persistent phases of infection. Previous studies examining the 98 99 genetic events of arenavirus replication and transcription, including those described above 100 regarding the accumulation of transcriptionally defective RNAs (5, 14, 15), relied on techniques 101 such as Northern blot or quantititative RT-PCR. Both are powerful techniques used to examine 102 RNA. Quantitative RT-PCR is exquisitely sensitive (20), and Northern blot is able to specifically 103 distinguish between each of the viral RNA species (15, 21-29). However, both techniques measure RNA at a population level and thus provide population average data. Variability in 104 105 RNA expression between individual cells in a heterogeneous population cannot be evaluated 106 using these approaches. Single-molecule RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH) can 107 bridge this technical gap to allow for detection of RNAs with single-copy sensitivity in individual cells by fluorescence microscopy (30). In the present study, we designed specific 108 smFISH probe sets to fluorescently-label different LCMV RNA species (Fig. 1A) and to 109 quantitatively characterize their expression in single cells at discrete time points throughout the 110 111 acute and persistent phases of arenavirus infection in an *in vitro* model. Our studies confirm the 112 temporal separation of LCMV negative-sense and pseudo positive-sense gene expression and show a pattern of cyclical loss and reappearance of viral RNA in most cells during persistence in 113 a cell culture model of infection. Our studies provide insight into the functional genetic 114

composition of infectious virions, the kinetics of transcription and replication in the hours immediately following initial infection, and support a model of cyclical viral replication and transcription during persistence. Further, the image acquisition and analysis pipeline developed here is easily adaptable to other viruses.

119

120 **RESULTS**

Visualization of LCMV RNA species in infected cells. To visualize LCMV RNAs in 121 122 cells by fluorescence microscopy, we designed smFISH probe sets complementary to different 123 viral RNA species (see overview in Fig. 1A). An important feature of smRNA FISH is the ability to detect single RNA molecules using multiple, singly-labeled oligonucleotide probes (30). The 124 probe set binding to a specific target RNA causes single RNAs to appear as bright spots. To 125 126 validate our ability to specifically label arenavirus RNAs, we used a cellular mRNA smFISH 127 probe set specific for the housekeeping gene MDN1 as a control (Fig. 1B) for comparison with a smFISH probe set designed to target both the viral S genome RNA and GPC mRNA (Fig. 1C). 128 129 MDN1 probes detect cytoplasmic mRNAs as well as sites of active transcription in the nucleus (Fig. 1B). Next, we confirmed that the viral RNA smFISH probe set is highly specific as 130 131 fluorescent signal was absent in uninfected cells, but bright spots were detected in LCMV-132 infected cells fixed at 24 hpi (Fig. 1C). Moreover, similar to smFISH staining obtained with our 133 control MDN1, individual smFISH spots were homogeneous in size, shape, and fluorescence intensity (Fig. 1B and C) consistent with the detection of single RNAs, as shown previously (30, 134 31). Furthermore, in contrast to the nuclear transcribed MDN1 mRNAs, viral RNAs were largely 135 excluded from the nucleus, consistent with the cytoplasmic viral life cycle (Fig. 1B to C). 136

137

138	staining. We designed multiple smFISH probe sets to have specificity for different RNA species
139	produced during the course of the LCMV life cycle (Fig. 1A). Specifically, these probe sets
140	target (i) S genome only, (ii) GPC mRNA and S genome, (iii) NP mRNA and S antigenome, or
141	(iv) L mRNA and L antigenome. When infected cells were stained with probe sets
142	complementary to "S genome and GPC mRNA" (referred to as "GPC mRNA/S genome" from
143	this point forward), we noted high quality staining with the GPC mRNA/S genome probes as
144	evidenced by homogeneity in spot size, shape, and intensity (Fig. 2A) and high signal-to-noise
145	ratio (Fig. 3). The NP mRNA/S antigenome and L mRNA/L antigenome probe sets yielded
146	similar high quality staining as evidenced by high signal-to-noise ratios (Fig. 3). However, we
147	noted lower quality staining with the "S genome only" probes as evidenced by the dimmer
148	staining (Fig. 2) and low signal-to-noise ratio (Fig. 3). Moreover, the "S genome only" probes
149	yielded greater non-specific staining in uninfected cells, potentially leading to detection of false-
150	positive spurious events (Fig. 2C) - perhaps an artifact of the long exposure times and high light
151	intensity needed to detect this less sensitive probe set binding to its target. Similarly low signal-
152	to-noise ratios were observed with probe sets specific for "S antigenome only" or "L genome
153	only" (data not shown). It is possible that the encapsidation of genome and antigenome by viral
154	nucleoprotein partially occludes smFISH probe hybridization with these target RNA sequences
155	and thus leads to the lower signal-to-noise ratio observed with these probe sets. Therefore, use of
156	these probe sets with cells containing small numbers of viral RNAs would be problematic due to
157	the level of background staining observed (Fig. 2C). However, these probe sets are effective
158	when paired with cells containing abundant copies of viral genome or antigenome (Fig. 2B and
159	data not shown) (32), which easily exceeds the quantity of background spots observed in mock-

smFISH probes complementary to viral mRNA species provide high signal-to-noise

Σ

infected control cells (Fig. 2C). Because the probe sets that targeted an mRNA plus either
genome or antigenome provided the highest quality staining and sensitivity, we elected to use
these probe sets to follow the kinetics of viral transcription and replication events in infected
cells.

smFISH spot detection and quantification in individual LCMV-infected cells. A 164 165 primary goal of our study was to globally describe the kinetics of transcription and replication of the LCMV genome from the early hours following viral entry through the late stages of 166 167 persistence. Ideally, we would be able to infect cells at a high multiplicity of infection (MOI) and 168 take snapshots of a population of synchronously infected cells at time points throughout the 169 entire course of arenavirus infection. However, we were obliged to infect cells at a low MOI due 170 to the characteristic high prevalence of DI particles present in LCMV stocks (33). Because only a small proportion of cells would be productively infected upon viral inoculation, we needed to 171 image a large population of cells at each time point tested to provide an accurate portrait of the 172 173 heterogeneity present in a population of asynchronously infected cells. Thus, it was important for 174 this study to both image and quantitatively characterize the smFISH staining of viral RNAs in a 175 high-throughput fashion. To accomplish this goal, we automatically segmented the nuclei using DAPI and cell outlines using CellMask Green fluorescent staining with CellProfiler software 176 (34) (Fig. 4A). Next, smFISH-labeled viral RNAs were detected using FISH-quant software (35) 177 (Fig. 4B). We were able to image two distinct RNA smFISH probe sets labeled with spectrally-178 179 distinct fluorophores in individual cells. This allowed us to characterize relative viral RNA 180 expression levels and compare localization of different viral RNAs (Figs. 4B to C). We were able to robustly quantify viral RNAs using FISH-quant across a range of expression levels. We 181 182 observed a direct relationship between the number of detected spots and the total fluorescence

183

signal in the smFISH channel up to approximately 1,000 RNAs/cell, after which the number of 184 detected viral RNAs reached a plateau (Fig. 4D). This represents the point at which smFISH spots are so dense, that we were no longer able to accurately distinguish closely spaced RNAs. 185 Examples of a cell displaying moderate levels of viral RNAs where identification of diffraction 186 limited spots was robust (Fig. 4B) and a cell with very high expression of viral RNAs where 187 188 overcrowded spots are unable to be effectively spatially resolved (Fig. 4C) are shown for 189 reference. Thus, when viral RNA levels are relatively low (less than a thousand copies per cell) we have high confidence in the accuracy of the quantification provided by FISH-quant. 190 However, when viral RNA levels are at their peak and RNAs are very dense, quantification is an 191 192 underestimate of RNA expression levels and may complicate our ability to assess relative levels of different RNA species when both are expressed at high levels. 193

194 Viral RNA transcription and replication following viral entry. We next aimed to monitor the early events of viral genomic transcription and replication immediately following 195 196 viral entry. Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.1, fixed at multiple time points, 197 stained for NP mRNA/S antigenome, GPC mRNA/S genome, or L mRNA/L antigenome, and 198 several hundred cells were imaged and analyzed at each time point. As discussed above in relation to Fig. 2, our probes sets specific for only genome or antigenome (but not an additional 199 complementary viral mRNA) have low signal-to-noise ratios and sensitivity when compared to 200 201 probe sets that also target a viral mRNA. Importantly, FISH-quant was unable to detect viral 202 genome or antigenome spots in cells that had been infected with LCMV for less than 8 h (Fig. 2 203 and data not shown). However, by 8 hpi and later, genome and antigenome spots become 204 detectable with these probe sets (Fig. 2) (32). Therefore, in this set of experiments, smFISH spots 205 detected with the NP mRNA/S antigenome, GPC mRNA/S genome, or L mRNA/L antigenome

probe sets prior to 8 hpi are presumed to represent only the designated mRNA target in eachcase, whereas at 8 hpi and later it is possible to detect a mixture of the targeted RNAs.

208 Representative images of cells infected from 0 to 6 hpi are shown (Fig. 5A to B). 209 Notably, transcription of the NP mRNA and L mRNA is detected as early as 1 hour following infection (Fig. 5 and 6A to B) indicating primary transcription of the S and L genomic RNA 210 occurs soon after entry and uncoating of arenavirus virions. The GPC mRNA, on the other hand, 211 212 is first detected at 6 hours following infection (Fig. 5A and 6A and C). This delayed appearance 213 of the GPC mRNA suggests that transcriptionally competent S antigenomic RNA is not 214 delivered into cells by incoming virions. Further, it suggests that a 4-6 hour lag is required for 215 the production of S antigenomic RNA, which serves as the template for transcription of GPC mRNA (Fig. 1A). This result is in agreement with previous studies that examined arenavirus 216 mRNA synthesis via Northern blot (5, 26). 217

When examining the subcellular localization of NP mRNA and GPC mRNA or NP mRNA and L mRNA pairs at 6 hpi or earlier, no overt colocalization between viral mRNAs was noted (Fig. 5A to B).

Disproportionate transcription of S segment genes early after infection. For each 221 222 probe set used in the experiments shown in Figs. 5 and 6, the number of false-positive viral 223 RNAs detected in mock-infected cells was used to establish a threshold to classify cells as either "positive" or "negative" for each of the tested viral RNA species. At 6 hpi (a time point before 224 225 virus in the initially infected cells could have completed its life cycle and spread to adjacent, initially uninfected cells (36-39), we observed that 65-90% of cells were positive for NP mRNA 226 and 40% were positive for GPC mRNA (Fig. 6C to D). This high frequency of cells containing S 227 segment-derived transcripts was surprising given the fact we initially infected cells at an MOI of 228

0.1, and thus would have expected approximately only 10% of cells to have been expressing viral RNAs at this early time point. However, at this same early time point, only 8% of cells were positive for L segment-derived L mRNA (Fig. 6D), which is consistent with the expected frequency of viral RNA-positive cells based on the initial MOI. This result may suggest that a high proportion of viral particles either fail to package the L genome or alternatively deliver a transcriptionally-defective L genome.

Viral RNA replication and transcription at peak of acute infection. To profile LCMV 235 236 RNAs at the peak of infectious virus release during acute infection, cells were infected with 237 LCMV at an MOI of 0.01, fixed at various time points between 12 and 96 hpi, stained for NP mRNA/S antigenome, GPC mRNA/S genome, or L mRNA/L antigenome, and several hundred 238 239 cells were imaged and analyzed at each time point. Levels of viral RNAs detected by each probe 240 set rapidly increased over the first 24 hours of infection (Fig. 7A to B and 8A to B). The proportion of cells positive for these viral RNAs also rapidly increased over the first 24 hours of 241 242 infection such that almost all cells had substantial levels of all viral RNAs (Fig. 8C to D). Peak 243 viral transcription and replication occurred at 36 hours post infection (Fig. 7A to B and 8A to B). 244 At this time point, viral smFISH signal was very dense and true levels of viral NP mRNA and GPC mRNA were likely underestimated due to inability of FISH-quant to accurately count 245 tightly packed viral RNAs in the cytoplasm of infected cells (Fig. 4B to C, 7A to B, and 8A to 246 B). Nevertheless, it is clear that RNAs detected by the S segment-specific probe sets greatly 247 248 exceeded those detected by the L segment-specific probe set (at least 10-35 fold greater) between 249 12 and 96 hpi (Fig. 8A to B, Table 1). Following peak viral transcription and replication at 36 hours post-infection, levels of viral RNAs began to decrease (Fig. 7A to B and 8A to B). The 250 251 proportion of cells positive for L mRNA/L antigenome expression decreased steadily beginning

at 48 hours post-infection (Fig. 8D). In contrast, all cells maintained NP mRNA/S antigenome
 and GPC mRNA/S expression over this entire time period (Fig. 8C to D).
 Cyclical patterns of infectious virus production and antigen expression during

Cyclical patterns of infectious virus production and antigen expression during 255 persistent infection. A key feature of arenavirus infection in cell culture is the cyclical pattern of infectious virus release observed during the persistent phase of infection (16, 19, 40-42). We 256 were particularly interested in using smFISH to assess how viral gene expression programs 257 change during persistence and to examine how this correlated with production of infectious virus 258 259 and translation of viral antigens. Toward this goal, cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 260 0.01, supernatants were collected and cells were fixed at multiple time points between 1.5 and 30 days (d)pi. As shown in Fig. 9A, A549 cells persistently infected with LCMV cyclically released 261 262 waves of infectious virus over the first 30 days (Fig. 9A). We were curious how much viral 263 antigen and viral RNA would be expressed in persistently infected cells at time points when cells were releasing high levels of infectious viral particles but also how much viral material was 264 265 expressed at time points when cells released very little infectious virus. Therefore, we performed 266 IFA to visualize NP or GPC and smFISH to visualize NP mRNA/S antigenome and GPC 267 mRNA/S in cells at multiple time points that corresponded to high and low points in infectious viral release (Fig. 9B to C). At 1.5 (d)pi, all cells expressed NP and GPC protein (Fig. 9B to C). 268 Viral antigen expression mirrored the cycles of infectious virus release as seen in Fig. 9A. Viral 269 270 antigen was expressed in fewer cells and/or at lower levels at 8 and 27 (d)pi (time points with 271 low levels of infectious viral release) compared to 13 and 30 (d)pi (time points with higher levels 272 of infectious viral release) (Fig. 9B to C). While our goal was to visualize both viral RNA and viral antigen in infected cells by fluorescence microscopy, the sensitivity of smFISH was greatly 273 274 reduced when combined with IFA – as evidenced by higher levels of background and lower

signal intensity (Fig. 9B to C). However, taking into account the lower sensitivity of smFISH in this particular experiment, we did see a correlation between the presence of viral RNA within cells and the expression of viral proteins (Fig. 9B to C). Thus, these results suggest that cells infected with LCMV can clear the infection as evidenced by the majority of cells at specific time points (e.g. 8 and 27 dpi) that lack viral RNA or protein and produce little infectious virus.

280 Cyclical patterns of genome transcription and replication during persistent infection. As smFISH sensitivity in our hands is diminished when performed in conjunction with 281 282 immunofluorescent staining, we next used smFISH alone to examine the transcription and 283 replication dynamics of arenavirus genomic RNA during the persistent phase of infection at the 284 level of individual RNA molecules. Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.01, fixed at 285 multiple time points between 1.5 and 41 days (d)pi, stained for NP mRNA/S antigenome, GPC 286 mRNA/S genome, or L mRNA/L antigenome, and several hundred cells were imaged and analyzed at each time point. Note that our smFISH assay does not allow us to follow particular 287 288 cells over time, but instead to capture the viral RNA signature of individual cells at specific time 289 points during infection. Following peak RNA transcription and replication at 36 hpi, we 290 observed decreased levels of NP mRNA/S antigenome, GPC mRNA/S genome, or L mRNA/L 291 antigenome over the next several days such that at 8 dpi, the majority of cells examined were negative for all of these viral RNAs (Fig. 10A to F). However, by 13 dpi, the levels of viral 292 293 RNAs detected by each probe set were increased and the majority of cells were again positive for 294 all viral RNAs (Fig. 10C and F). Viral RNA levels again dropped and many cells were no longer 295 positive for viral RNA by 16 dpi. (Fig. 10C and F). This pattern of viral RNA clearance followed by increased levels of viral RNA expression and increased frequency of viral RNA expressing 296 297 cells in the population repeated in a cyclical fashion multiple times over the first 41 days

lournal of Virology

following infection (Fig. 10). In summary, the sequential loss and reappearance of viral gene expression observed in these studies may represent a genetic signature of the previously recognized phenomenon of cyclical production of infectious LCMV virions over time in cell culture models of persistent infection (16-18).

302 Throughout the time course of persistence examined in this study, NP mRNA/S antigenome was generally expressed at higher levels than GPC mRNA/S genome (up to 5-fold 303 higher levels) (Fig. 10B and Table 1). The ratio between levels of NP mRNA/S antigenome and 304 305 L mRNA/L antigenome over this time period was more variable. At time points such as 13 and 306 20 dpi, when most cells in the culture are positively expressing all viral RNA species, NP mRNA/S antigenome greatly outnumbered L mRNA/L antigenome (~25-fold higher levels) (Fig. 307 308 10E and Table 1). However, at other times such as 8, 16, 27, and 34 dpi, when substantial 309 proportions of cells had lost expression of one or more viral RNAs, the ratio between NP mRNA/S antigenome and L mRNA/L antigenome in the double-positive cells was greatly 310 311 reduced (~2-fold higher levels of NP than L mRNA) (Fig. 10E and Table 1). Notably, the 312 magnitude of viral RNA expression during persistence never reached the high levels observed at 313 the peak of acute infection (Fig. 10).

314

315 **DISCUSSION**

In the current study, we developed a high throughput smFISH assay that allowed us to visualize single copies of LCMV RNAs in individual cells. Taking advantage of the sensitivity and quantitative aspect of this assay, we tracked the dynamics of viral replication and transcription spanning early time points following initial virus entry to late times during

320 persistent infection. We observed that transcription of the negative-sense encoded NP and L 321 mRNAs precede that of the pseudo positive-sense encoded GPC mRNA, confirming the temporal separation of gene expression predicted by the ambisense coding strategy of the 322 arenaviruses and suggesting that antigenomic RNA in virions is not transcriptionally active 323 following release into a newly infected cell. Our studies demonstrated a hierarchal pattern of 324 325 expression among viral RNAs and indicate that many infecting virus particles may lack L 326 genomic RNA. Finally, over the course of persistent infection, we observed repeated cycles whereby cells appeared to transition from supporting active viral replication and transcription to 327 a state where viral RNA is undetectable by smFISH. Collectively, these studies improve our 328 329 understanding of the natural history of arenavirus replication and transcription during acute and 330 persistent infection.

The smFISH assay developed here provided us with an opportunity to build upon prior 331 studies and examine arenavirus genome replication and transcription with greater sensitivity and 332 333 detail. Previous studies aimed at elucidating the early events of arenavirus transcription and 334 genome replication used Northern blot to visualize individual viral RNA species (23, 25, 26). 335 Analysis of RNA from cells infected with LCMV or the New World arenavirus Pichinde failed to detect viral RNA from infected cells prior to 9 hpi (23) or 12 hpi (25), respectively. In the 336 setting of infection with the New World arenavirus Tacaribe, Franze-Fernandez et al. detected S 337 genomic RNA and NP mRNA at 2 hpi and S antigenomic RNA at 4 hpi, while GPC mRNA 338 339 appeared several hours following the synthesis of S antigenomic RNA (26). The earliest the viral 340 L segment has been observed was at 12 hpi (23). In the current study, we are able to detect viral NP and L mRNAs at 1 hpi. Our data supports previous observations that viral NP mRNA 341 expression occurs immediately following infection, and that GPC mRNA expression occurs 342

following a lag of several hours (5, 26). By probing single cells, we build upon this prior work by demonstrating that GPC mRNA expression is not detected, even at low levels, in the first hours following infection. In light of previous observations that antigenomic L and S segment RNAs are packaged in viral particles (20, 26), our inability to observe GPC mRNA in cells immediately following viral entry suggests that S antigenomic RNA packaged in virions is unable to be transcribed. Further, it suggests that GPC mRNAs are not packaged into viral particles, as has been suggested for Z mRNA (43).

350 An interesting observation from our study was that, despite infecting cells at an MOI of 351 0.1, ~65-90% of cells expressed one or more genes encoded on the S genomic RNA segment at 6 hpi. Because it takes ~ 8 h for an infected cell to make new infectious progeny (36-39), we were 352 353 surprised to see such a high frequency of cells expressing these viral mRNAs at a time when the originally-infected cells could not yet have spread virus to additional uninfected cells in the 354 monolayer. Notably, at this same 6 hpi time point, approximately 8% of cells expressed viral L 355 356 mRNAs, which is consistent with the utilized MOI. One possible explanation for this observation 357 could be that, within the viral stock, there may be a significant population of incomplete viral 358 particles that possess the S segment but lack the L segment genomic RNA or a functional copy of this RNA. Indeed, this phenomenon has been observed for influenza A and Rift Valley Fever 359 virus (44-46). Considering that the genetic basis for how arenavirus DI particles block the 360 361 propagation of infectious virus particles is unknown, these results may provide clues for future 362 studies to define the mechanism at work. Further examination will be necessary to explain the 363 functional significance of this observation.

A hallmark characteristic of LCMV infection is the ability to establish an asymptomatic,
persistent infection in reservoir rodents (47). Further, it is possible to recapitulate key aspects of

366

367 characteristic of cell culture models of LCMV infection is the cyclical rise and fall of release of 368 infectious virus seen during persistence (16, 19, 40-42). Several models have been proposed to explain how LCMV restricts its spread to establish and maintain a noncytopathic persistent 369 370 infection, both in vitro and in vivo. The first suggests that DI particles, which are produced in 371 abundance by LCMV, can enter permissive host cells and interfere with the ability of standard 372 infectious virus particles to successfully infect and complete the viral life cycle (9-13). Hotchin proposed a second model that he termed cyclical, transient infection. In this model, cells infected 373 374 with LCMV are initially productive in making infectious virus particles, but later become 375 refractory to superinfection and ultimately clear virus (as evidenced by loss of antigen expression and infectious virus production), only to once again become susceptible to reinfection by the 376 small number of cells that remain productively infected (16-19). Southern and colleagues 377 378 proposed a third model that was based upon the dynamics and genetic identity of viral RNA 379 species profiled during acute and persistent infection. In particular, they demonstrated by 380 Northern blot that LCMV RNAs (genome, antigenome, and mRNAs) accumulate to high levels during persistence, both in vitro and in vivo (5, 14, 15, 22). Further, they showed that a 381 382 proportion of these genomic and antigenomic RNAs, but not mRNAs, contained short deletions 383 in the untranslated regions at their termini (5, 14, 15, 49). They proposed that these deleted 384 RNAs were replication competent, but transcriptionally incompetent. This data suggested a model where, during persistence, viral protein expression and infectious virus production are 385 386 inhibited due to the accumulation of high levels of transcriptionally defective genomic and 387 antigenomic RNAs. Further, because these deleted RNAs were found in virions, it was proposed 388 that they serve as the molecular basis for DI particle interference. Finally, it was proposed that

this persistent infection in cell culture models of infection (5, 40, 41, 48). One notable

389 these deleted RNAs can be repaired by the viral polymerase to initiate bursts of productive 390 replication/infectious virus production during persistence. Each of these models, whether acting 391 independently or in combination, would presumably restrict virus spread, allowing the virus to minimize its impact on host fitness while retaining its ability to propagate and ultimately 392 393 maintain itself in nature. A potential caveat to the Southern model comes from the finding that 394 the 3' and 5' terminal sequences of the LCMV and LASV genomic RNA species were critical 395 for both the transcription and replication of RNAs in a minigenome reporter assay (50, 51). Thus, the functional significance of these terminally truncated viral RNAs remains to be further 396 397 elucidated.

The smFISH approach employed in this study can provide insights to build on this prior 398 work. First, our study suggests that cells do not maintain high levels of genomic and antigenomic 399 400 RNAs throughout persistence. The most likely explanation for the observation of high levels of genome/antigenome during persistence by Southern and colleagues (5, 14, 15, 22) is that they 401 402 measured viral RNAs from a fraction of the total cells within a population at a particular time 403 point. Second, our findings of large numbers of cells lacking viral RNAs at several persistent 404 time points (which correlates with loss of infectious virus release and antigen expression) suggest that the antigen-negative cells observed by Hotchin et al. (16-19) had either cleared 405 infection or contained undetectable levels of RNA (by smFISH) as opposed to carrying 406 replication-competent, transcriptionally-incompetent, 407 but terminally-deleted 408 genomic/antigenomic RNAs (as the Southern model would suggest (5, 14, 15, 49)). Our smFISH 409 probe sets consisted of pools of 48 short oligo nucleotide probes complementary to different regions spanning entire viral gene sequences. Therefore, even if genomic segments contained 410 short terminal deletions, the majority of smFISH probes would bind their targets, and obvious 411

smFISH signal would be present by fluorescence microscopy. Our findings also raise several new questions that require further study. For example, it will be important to define the molecular mechanism by which cells clear virus following infection and the specific host machinery responsible. Additionally, it would be particularly interesting to investigate the possibility that cycles of reinfection are jump-started from cells maintaining a reservoir of intracellular infectious virus as has been documented in the literature (52, 53).

In summary, we have used fluorescence microscopy to visualize fluorescently-labeled 418 419 arenavirus RNA molecules in infected cells. Further, we have described a flexible labeling, 420 imaging, and image analysis pipeline that could be easily adapted to interrogate the events of transcription or genomic replication of any RNA virus, particularly where it is critical to image 421 and quantify RNA levels in hundreds to thousands of cells per experimental condition. We have 422 taken advantage of this pipeline to examine the transcription and replication kinetics of LCMV 423 RNAs over the course of infection. In particular, our data support the transient, cyclical infection 424 425 model originally proposed by Hotchin (16-19) and suggest that, following a period of productive 426 infection, cells can clear infection, including viral genetic material and antigen, before becoming 427 susceptible to reinfection. Further, our data provides some support for the ideas that viral antigenomic RNA in virions may not be transcriptionally functional upon virus entry and that a 428 significant fraction of virus particles may lack functional L genomic RNA, an observation that 429 remains to be fully explored. Developing the ability to label genomic and antigenomic RNAs 430 431 with greater sensitivity will be an important next step toward the construction of a quantitative 432 model of the regulation of viral RNA replication and transcription over time with the goal of explaining the oscillatory behavior of viral RNA synthesis during persistence. While the cell 433 culture model of persistent infection has provided interesting observations, it will be particularly 434

435 important to examine how the genetic events of transcription and replication are regulated in an

436 *in vivo* model of persistent infection in future studies.

437

438 MATERIALS AND METHODS

439 Cells and Viruses. A549 (CCL-185) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). A549 cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 (11320-033, 440 Thermo Fisher), containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (15140-163, 441 442 Thermo Fisher). LCMV Armstrong 53b was provided by J. L. Whitton (The Scripps Research institute, La Jolla, CA). A549 cells were infected with LCMV Arm53b at an MOI of 0.1 (Fig. 5 443 to 6) or an MOI of 0.01 (Fig. 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 10). For experiments examining late, persistent 444 time points following infections, a T25 tissue culture flask of A549 cells was infected. The flask 445 of infected cells was trypsinized and cells were plated on glass coverslips 24 hours prior to the 446 reported time points where cover slips were fixed, stained, and imaged as described below (Fig. 447 448 10). Remaining cells were diluted and re-plated in a T25 flask until 24 hours before the next examined time point where this process was repeated. Standard plaque assay on Vero E6 cells 449 was used to determine the titer of infectious LCMV in collected supernatants. No cytopathic 450 451 effect was observed in persistently infected cultures.

452 Single molecule RNA-FISH. Cells were plated on 14 mm round #1 glass coverslips.
453 Following infection, cells were briefly washed in room temperature DPBS (with Calcium and
454 Magnesium) (14040133, Thermo Fisher) and fixed in 4% PFA in 1x PBS for 10 minutes at room
455 temperature. Coverslips were washed twice in room temperature PBS and fixed again at -20° C
456 with 70% ethanol for at least two hours. Coverslips were washed twice with 2x SSC (AM9770,

457

458 smFISH probes to different viral RNA species (Fig. 1A) were designed using the Stellaris Probe 459 Designer at https://www.biosearchtech.com/ (Table S1). Unlabeled smFISH probes had a 3' modified base with an amine functional group. Pools of 48 individual smFISH probes to a 460 particular target RNA were combined at equimolar ratios and were covalently labeled with Cy3 461 462 (PA23001, GE Healthcare), AlexaFluor 568 (A20003, Thermo Fisher), or Cy5 (PA25001, GE 463 Healthcare) as previously described (54). Coverslips were placed face down on a 100 ul drop of hybridization mix containing 75 ng of smFISH probe dissolved in hybridization buffer composed 464 of 10% dextran sulfate (D8906, Sigma-Aldrich), 2x SSC, and 10% Formamide. Hybridization 465 occurred in a humidified chamber at 37° C overnight. Coverslips were washed twice in 2x SSC, 466 10% formamide at 37° C for 30 minutes. Coverslips were then washed once in 1x PBS. For 467 cellular segmentation, cells were stained with HCS CellMask[™] Green stain (H32714, Thermo 468 469 Fisher) diluted at 50 ng/ml in PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature (note: this is significantly 470 more dilute than recommended in the product information, but we found it necessary to prevent 471 overstaining cells and thus to prevent spectral bleed through into the AlexaFluor 568 fluorescence channel). Nuclei were stained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole hydrochloride 472 30 (DAPI) (D9542, Sigma-Aldrich) at 1 µg/ml in PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature. Cells 473 474 were washed a final time in PBS, briefly washed in water, dried and mounted with ProLong Gold 475 Antifade Reagent (P36934, Thermo Fisher).

Thermo Fisher) and washed once with 2x SSC and 10% Formamide (BP227, Fisher Scientific).

Combined immunofluorescence and smFISH staining protocols were performed as
previously described (55). LCMV nucleoprotein (NP) was labeled with antibody 1-1.3 and
glyocoprotein (GPC) was labeled with antibody 33.6 (both from M. Buchmeier, University of

479 California Irvine). Primary antibodies were visualized with a secondary Alexa Fluor 488480 conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (A-11029, Thermo Scientific).

Image Acquisition. Wide-field fluorescent Z-stacks were acquired using a Nikon Ti Eclipse microscope with a 60 × 1.4 NA objective. Samples were illuminated with an LED lightsource (Lumencor Spectra X light engine) with appropriate filter sets and images were captured with a Hamamatsu Orca flash 4.0 LT sCMOS camera. Z-stacks were captured at 300 nm increments, and the microscope was controlled by Nikon NIS Elements software. Captured ND2 images were converted to Tiffs using the open source Bio-formats tool kit (http://www.openmicroscopy.org/) (56).

Image Segmentation and Analysis. DAPI and CellMask Green Z-stacks were projected using a focus-based projection method as previously described (57). Projected DAPI images were used for automatic nuclear segmentation in CellProfiler (Broad Institute) (34) and served as the seed for automatic secondary cellular segmentation using the projected CellMask Green images (Fig. 4A). Statistics including average pixel intensity within the regions defined by primary and secondary segmentation were extracted from maximum intensity projections of smFISH Z-stacks using CellProfiler (Fig. 4B to D).

Single smFISH labeled RNAs were detected and localized in 3D using FISH-quant (35).
Briefly, smFISH Z-stacks were filtered using the "Dual Gaussian Filter" and spots were detected
using the "Local Maximum" method. As a large number of acquired images required analysis,
images were analyzed in "Batch Mode" with settings determined to give low rates of false
positive detections. The signal-to-noise ratio of different smFISH probe sets was determined as
the average signal amplitude of identified smFISH spots in an individual cell divided by the

standard deviation of the fluorescent signal in a region of the same cell where smFISH spotswere absent (Fig 3).

Box and whisker plots were created using the ggplot2 package in R. The box represents the interquartile range of the data with the center line representing the median. Individual dots represent cells that are more than 1.5 times the interquartile range away from the median of the data.

507

508 FUNDING INFORMATION

We also gratefully acknowledge funding support from NIH grants T32 HL076122-10 (BRK), 509 510 T32 AI055402 (CMZ), R21 AI088059 (JB), and P20RR021905 & P30GM118228 (Immunobiology and Infectious Disease COBRE awards) (JB). DZ is supported by the Canadian 511 512 Institute for Health Research (Project Grant-366682), Fond de recherche du Quebec (Chercheur-513 boursier Junior 2), and the Canadian Foundation for Innovation. CZ, FM, and AS were supported by Institut Pasteur and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM). BRK was supported 514 by a Chateaubriand fellowship from the Office for Science & Technology at the Embassy of 515 516 France in the United States. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. 517

518

519 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge J. Lindsay Whitton for providing us with LCMV strain Arm53b and
Samir Rahman, Philippe Clerc, Christian Weber, and Sophie Abélanet for technical assistance.

We thank Pablo Navarro and Jason Stumpff for graciously offering the use of their microscopy
equipment and for providing their expertise and Jean-Michel Arbona and Wei Ouyang for
helpful discussions.

525

526 **REFERENCES**

- Buchmeier MJ, de la Torre JC, Peters CJ. 2007. Arenaviridae: The Viruses and Their
 Replication, p 1791-1827. *In* Knipe DM, Howley PM, Griffin DE, Lamb RA, Martin
 MA, Roizman B, Straus SE (ed), Fields Virology. Wolters Kluwer Heath/Lippincott
 Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- 531 2. Fischer SA, Graham MB, Kuehnert MJ, Kotton CN, Srinivasan A, Marty FM, Comer JA,
- 532 Guarner J, Paddock CD, DeMeo DL, Shieh WJ, Erickson BR, Bandy U, DeMaria A, Jr.,
- 533 Davis JP, Delmonico FL, Pavlin B, Likos A, Vincent MJ, Sealy TK, Goldsmith CS,
- 534 Jernigan DB, Rollin PE, Packard MM, Patel M, Rowland C, Helfand RF, Nichol ST,
- Fishman JA, Ksiazek T, Zaki SR, Team LiTRI. 2006. Transmission of lymphocytic
 choriomeningitis virus by organ transplantation. N Engl J Med 354:2235-49.
- Enria DA, Briggiler AM, Sanchez Z. 2008. Treatment of Argentine hemorrhagic fever.
 Antiviral Res 78:132-9.
- McCormick JB, King IJ, Webb PA, Scribner CL, Craven RB, Johnson KM, Elliott LH,
 Belmont-Williams R. 1986. Lassa fever. Effective therapy with ribavirin. N Engl J Med
 314:20-6.
- 542 5. Meyer BJ, de la Torre JC, Southern PJ. 2002. Arenaviruses: genomic RNAs,
- transcription, and replication. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 262:139-57.

Journal of Virology

544

6.

545		Ahmed R, Chen I (ed), Persistent viral infections. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester ; New
546		York.
547	7.	Buchmeier MJ, Welsh RM, Dutko FJ, Oldstone MB. 1980. The virology and
548		immunobiology of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection. Adv Immunol 30:275-
549		331.
550	8.	Lehmann-Grube F, Martinez Peralta LM, Bruns M, Lohler J. 1983. Persistent infection of
551		mice with the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, p 43-103. In Fraenkel-Conrat H,
552		Wagner RR (ed), Virus-host interactions, receptors, persistence, and neurological
553		diseases. Plenum Press, New York.
554	9.	Burns JW, Buchmeier MJ. 1993. Glycoproteins of the arenaviruses, p 17-35. In Salvato
555		MS (ed), The Arenaviridae. Plenum Press, New York.
556	10.	Huang AS, Baltimore D. 1970. Defective viral particles and viral disease processes.
557		Nature 226:325-7.
558	11.	Oldstone MB. 1998. Viral persistence: mechanisms and consequences. Curr Opin
559		Microbiol 1:436-41.
560	12.	Huang AS. 1973. Defective interfering viruses. Annu Rev Microbiol 27:101-17.
561	13.	Welsh RM, O'Connell CM, Pfau CJ. 1972. Properties of defective lymphocytic
562		choriomeningitis virus. J Gen Virol 17:355-9.
563	14.	Meyer BJ, Southern PJ. 1994. Sequence heterogeneity in the termini of lymphocytic
564		choriomeningitis virus genomic and antigenomic RNAs. J Virol 68:7659-64.

Buchmeier MJ, Zajac AJ. 1999. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, p x, 725 p. In

Σ

565	15.	Meyer BJ, Southern PJ. 1997. A novel type of defective viral genome suggests a unique
566		strategy to establish and maintain persistent lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus
567		infections. J Virol 71:6757-64.
568	16.	Hotchin J. 1974. Cyclical phenomena in persistent virus infection. J Reticuloendothel Soc
569		15:304-11.
570	17.	Hotchin J. 1974. The role of transient infection in arenavirus persistence. Prog Med Virol
571		18:81-93.
572	18.	Hotchin J. 1973. Transient virus infection: spontaneous recovery mechanism of
573		lymphocytic choriomeningitis virrus-infected cells. Nat New Biol 241:270-2.
574	19.	Hotchin J, Kinch W, Benson L, Sikora E. 1975. Role of substrains in persistent
575		lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection. Bull World Health Organ 52:457-63.
576	20.	Haist K, Ziegler C, Botten J. 2015. Strand-Specific Quantitative Reverse Transcription-
577		Polymerase Chain Reaction Assay for Measurement of Arenavirus Genomic and
578		Antigenomic RNAs. PLoS One 10:e0120043.
579	21.	Francis SJ, Southern PJ. 1988. Deleted viral RNAs and lymphocytic choriomeningitis
580		virus persistence in vitro. J Gen Virol 69 (Pt 8):1893-902.
581	22.	Francis SJ, Southern PJ. 1988. Molecular analysis of viral RNAs in mice persistently
582		infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. J Virol 62:1251-7.
583	23.	Fuller-Pace FV, Southern PJ. 1988. Temporal analysis of transcription and replication
584		during acute infection with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. Virology 162:260-3.
585	24.	Southern PJ, Singh MK, Riviere Y, Jacoby DR, Buchmeier MJ, Oldstone MB. 1987.
586		Molecular characterization of the genomic S RNA segment from lymphocytic
587		choriomeningitis virus. Virology 157:145-55.

25.

588

589

590	26.	Franze-Fernandez MT, Zetina C, Iapalucci S, Lucero MA, Bouissou C, Lopez R, Rey O,				
591		Daheli M, Cohen GN, Zakin MM. 1987. Molecular structure and early events in the				
592		replication of Tacaribe arenavirus S RNA. Virus Res 7:309-24.				
593	27.	Auperin DD, Romanowski V, Galinski M, Bishop DH. 1984. Sequencing studies of				
594		pichinde arenavirus S RNA indicate a novel coding strategy, an ambisense viral S RNA. J				
595		Virol 52:897-904.				
596	28.	Polyak SJ, Zheng S, Harnish DG. 1995. Analysis of Pichinde arenavirus transcription and				
597		replication in human THP-1 monocytic cells. Virus Res 36:37-48.				
598	29.	Fuller-Pace FV, Southern PJ. 1989. Detection of virus-specific RNA-dependent RNA				
599		polymerase activity in extracts from cells infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis				
600		virus: in vitro synthesis of full-length viral RNA species. J Virol 63:1938-44.				
601	30.	Raj A, van den Bogaard P, Rifkin SA, van Oudenaarden A, Tyagi S. 2008. Imaging				
602		individual mRNA molecules using multiple singly labeled probes. Nat Methods 5:877-9.				
603	31.	Zenklusen D, Larson DR, Singer RH. 2008. Single-RNA counting reveals alternative				
604		modes of gene expression in yeast. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15:1263-71.				
605	32.	King BR, Kellner S, Eisenhauer PL, Bruce EA, Ziegler CM, Zenklusen D, Botten JW.				
606		2017. Visualization of the lymphocytic choriomeningitis mammarenavirus (LCMV)				
607		genome reveals the early endosome as a possible site for genome replication and viral				
608		particle pre-assembly. J Gen Virol doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000930.				
609	33.	Ziegler CM, Eisenhauer P, Bruce EA, Weir ME, King BR, Klaus JP, Krementsov DN,				
610		Shirley DJ, Ballif BA, Botten J. 2016. The Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus Matrix				

Shivaprakash M, Harnish D, Rawls W. 1988. Characterization of temperature-sensitive

mutants of Pichinde virus. J Virol 62:4037-43.

611

612		PLoS Pathog 12:e1005501.
613	34.	Kamentsky L, Jones TR, Fraser A, Bray MA, Logan DJ, Madden KL, Ljosa V, Rueden
614		C, Eliceiri KW, Carpenter AE. 2011. Improved structure, function and compatibility for
615		CellProfiler: modular high-throughput image analysis software. Bioinformatics 27:1179-
616		80.
617	35.	Mueller F, Senecal A, Tantale K, Marie-Nelly H, Ly N, Collin O, Basyuk E, Bertrand E,
618		Darzacq X, Zimmer C. 2013. FISH-quant: automatic counting of transcripts in 3D FISH
619		images. Nat Methods 10:277-8.
620	36.	Buchmeier MJ, Elder JH, Oldstone MB. 1978. Protein structure of lymphocytic
621		choriomeningitis virus: identification of the virus structural and cell associated
622		polypeptides. Virology 89:133-45.
623	37.	Dutko FJ, Pfau CJ. 1978. Arenavirus defective interfering particles mask the cell-killing
624		potential of standard virus. J Gen Virol 38:195-208.
625	38.	Lehmann-Grube F, Popescu M, Schaefer H, Gschwender HH. 1975. LCM virus infection
626		of cells in vitro. Bull World Health Organ 52:443-56.
627	39.	Lehmann-Grube F. 1971. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, vol 10. Springer-Verlag,
628		Wien.
629	40.	Lehmann-Grube F. 1967. A carrier state of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus in L cell
630		cultures. Nature 213:770-3.
631	41.	Lehmann-Grube F, Slenczka W, Tees R. 1969. A persistent and inapparent infection of L
632		cells with the virus of lymphocytic choriomeningitis. J Gen Virol 5:63-81.

Protein PPXY Late Domain Drives the Production of Defective Interfering Particles.

42.

633

634

635		choriomeningitis viruses. Infect Immun 6:444-50.
636	43.	Salvato MS, Shimomaye EM. 1989. The completed sequence of lymphocytic
637		choriomeningitis virus reveals a unique RNA structure and a gene for a zinc finger
638		protein. Virology 173:1-10.
639	44.	Fonville JM, Marshall N, Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2015. Influenza Virus Reassortment
640		Is Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering
641		Particles. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005204.
642	45.	Wichgers Schreur PJ, Kortekaas J. 2016. Single-Molecule FISH Reveals Non-selective
643		Packaging of Rift Valley Fever Virus Genome Segments. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005800.
644	46.	Brooke CB, Ince WL, Wrammert J, Ahmed R, Wilson PC, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW.
645		2013. Most influenza a virions fail to express at least one essential viral protein. J Virol
646		87:3155-62.
647	47.	Francis SJ, Southern PJ, Valsamakis A, Oldstone MB. 1987. State of viral genome and
648		proteins during persistent lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection. Curr Top
649		Microbiol Immunol 133:67-88.
650	48.	Oldstone MB, Buchmeier MJ. 1982. Restricted expression of viral glycoprotein in cells
651		of persistently infected mice. Nature 300:360-2.
652	49.	Meyer BJ, Southern PJ. 1993. Concurrent sequence analysis of 5' and 3' RNA termini by
653		intramolecular circularization reveals 5' nontemplated bases and 3' terminal heterogeneity
654		for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus mRNAs. J Virol 67:2621-7.

Staneck LD, Trowbridge RS, Welsh RM, Wright EA, Pfau CJ. 1972. Arenaviruses:

cellular response to long-term in vitro infection with parana and lymphocytic

 \sum

655	50.	Perez M, de la Torre JC. 2003. Characterization of the genomic promoter of the
656		prototypic arenavirus lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. J Virol 77:1184-94.
657	51.	Hass M, Westerkofsky M, Muller S, Becker-Ziaja B, Busch C, Gunther S. 2006.
658		Mutational analysis of the lassa virus promoter. J Virol 80:12414-9.
659	52.	van der Zeijst BA, Noyes BE, Mirault ME, Parker B, Osterhaus AD, Swyryd EA,
660		Bleumink N, Horzinek MC, Stark GR. 1983. Persistent infection of some standard cell
661		lines by lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: transmission of infection by an intracellular
662		agent. J Virol 48:249-61.
663	53.	Stanwick TL, Kirk BE. 1976. Analysis of baby hamster kidney cells persistently infected
664		with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. J Gen Virol 32:361-7.
665	54.	Zenklusen D, Singer RH. 2010. Analyzing mRNA expression using single mRNA
666		resolution fluorescent in situ hybridization. Methods Enzymol 470:641-59.
667	55.	Song T, Zheng Y, Wang Y, Katz Z, Liu X, Chen S, Singer RH, Gu W. 2015. Specific
668		interaction of KIF11 with ZBP1 regulates the transport of beta-actin mRNA and cell
669		motility. J Cell Sci 128:1001-10.
670	56.	Goldberg IG, Allan C, Burel JM, Creager D, Falconi A, Hochheiser H, Johnston J,
671		Mellen J, Sorger PK, Swedlow JR. 2005. The Open Microscopy Environment (OME)
672		Data Model and XML file: open tools for informatics and quantitative analysis in
673		biological imaging. Genome Biol 6:R47.
674	57.	Tsanov N, Samacoits A, Chouaib R, Traboulsi AM, Gostan T, Weber C, Zimmer C,
675		Zibara K, Walter T, Peter M, Bertrand E, Mueller F. 2016. smiFISH and FISH-quant - a
676		flexible single RNA detection approach with super-resolution capability. Nucleic Acids
677		Res 44:e165.

Journal of Virology

678

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Journal of Virology

679 FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG 1 LCMV RNA species can be specifically visualized using multiple, singly-labeledoligonucleotide smFISH probes.

(A) Overview of the scheme used by arenaviruses to transcribe and replicate their single-682 683 stranded, ambisense, bisegmented genome. smFISH probes that recognize the S segment genomic RNA are shown in gray, probes that recognize the S segment genome and GPC mRNA 684 685 are shown in red, probes that recognize the S segment antigenome and NP mRNA are shown in green, and probes that recognize the L segment antigenome and L mRNA are shown in pink. 686 smFISH probe sets consist of pools of 48 individual 20mer oligonucleotides each labeled with a 687 single fluorophore at their 3' terminus. (B) Uninfected cells were stained with a control smFISH 688 689 probe set specific to the cellular mRNA MDN1 labeled with Cy3. (C) Cells were either infected 690 with LCMV at an MOI of 0.01 or, as a control, remained uninfected (mock). Cells were fixed at 691 24 hpi and stained with a Cy5-labeled smFISH probe set specific for S segment genomic RNA 692 and GPC mRNA. Boxed regions of the cell are magnified and shown in columns labeled 693 "Zoom". Green arrows indicate example smFISH stained spots most likely representing single 694 labeled RNAs. Nuclear (hatched line) and cytoplasmic (solid line) boundaries are shown in blue. 695 The same intensity levels for a particular probe set were applied to all images of mock- and 696 LCMV-infected cells to permit comparisons. Scale bars are 10 µm.

697

698 FIG 2 smFISH probe sets recognizing viral mRNA species exhibit high signal-to-noise staining.

699 Mock- or LCMV-infected cells (24 hr pi) were simultaneously stained with smFISH probe sets 700 specific for either GPC mRNA and S genome (Cy5; green) or S genome only (AlexaFluor 568; 701 red). Representative LCMV infected cells with moderate (A) or high (B) levels of viral RNA as 702 well as a representative mock-infected cell (C) are displayed. Multiple Z stacks were acquired 703 spanning the thickness of the cell and max intensity projections are displayed. Boxed regions of 704 the cell are magnified and shown in rows labeled "Zoom". Nuclear (hatched line) and 705 cytoplasmic (solid line) boundaries are shown in blue. (A to C) The same intensity levels for a particular probe set were applied to all images of mock- and LCMV-infected cells to permit 706 707 comparisons. Scale bars are 10 µm.

708

FIG 3. smFISH probe sets recognizing viral mRNA species exhibit high signal-to-noise staining
Signal-to-noise ratio of different smFISH probe sets labeled with the indicated fluorophores.
Signal-to-noise ratio was calculated as average amplitude of detected smFISH spots divided by
the standard deviation of signal in a region of the cell with no detected spots. The signal-to-noise
ratio of 20 cells per smFISH probe set labeled with the indicated fluorophore was calculated, and
the mean and standard deviation are graphed.

715

FIG 4 Automated detection and quantitation of LCMV RNAs labeled with spectrally distinctfluorophores.

(A) Cell nuclei and cytoplasms were automatically segmented using focus-based projections of
DAPI (nuclei) or CellMask Green (cytoplasm) Z stacks acquired through the thickness of the
cell. Note that pixel intensities of the CellMask Green projection displayed here have been log

transformed to aid visualization. Nuclear (hatched line) and cytoplasmic (solid line) boundaries
are shown in white. The scale bar is 10 µm.

723 (B and C) Maximum intensity projections of LCMV-infected cells were fixed 24 hpi and stained 724 with smFISH probe sets to the NP mRNA/S antigenome (Cy5; green) and GPC mRNA/S 725 genome (A568; red). The boxed region of each cell is magnified and shown in the row labeled "Zoom". Cells were segmented based on DAPI and CellMask Green staining (see panel A) and 726 spots were detected and localized in 3D using FISH-quant. Individually detected RNAs are 727 728 circled in green (NP mRNA/S antigenome) or red (GPC mRNA/S genome). The "Spots only" 729 column shows only the position of detected spots in relation to the cell boundaries defined by segmentation. Nuclear (hatched line) and cytoplasmic (solid line) boundaries are shown in blue. 730 731 The same intensity levels for a particular probe set were applied to both images of LCMVinfected cells to permit comparisons. The scale bar is 10 µm. 732

(D) Scatter plot shows the relationship between the fluorescence intensity in the smFISH channel
in the maximum intensity projection of smFISH images and the number of smFISH spots
detected by FISH-quant for LCMV-infected cells fixed 24 hpi and stained with the Cy5-labeled
smFISH probes specific for NP mRNA/S antigenome.

737

FIG 5 Transcription of NP and L genes is detectable soon following infection while GPCtranscription exclusively occurs after a several hour lag.

Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.1, fixed at various times following infection, and
stained for NP mRNA (green) using a Cy5-labeled NP mRNA/S antigenome probe set, GPC
mRNA (red) using an A568-labled GPC mRNA/S genome probe set (A) or NP mRNA (green)

743 using an A568-labeled NP mRNA/S antigenome probe set and L mRNA (magenta) using a 744 Quasar 670-labeled L mRNA/L antigenome probe set (B). Note that for the time points shown (less than 8 hpi), genomic and antigenomic RNAs are not detectable by smFISH probe sets with 745 exclusive specificity for these RNAs (data not shown). Therefore, spots detected in this figure 746 are presumed to represent only the mRNAs, but not the genome or antigenome, targeted by each 747 748 respective probe set. Nuclear (hatched line) and cytoplasmic (solid line) boundaries as 749 determined by Cell Profiler are shown in blue. Identified spots are outline by circles that are green for NP mRNA, red for GPC mRNA, and magenta for L mRNA. (A and B) The same 750 751 intensity levels for a particular probe set were applied to all images of mock- and LCMV-752 infected cells across the time course to permit comparisons. Representative maximum intensity projections from 1 of 2 independent experiments are shown. Scale bar is 10 µm. 753

754

FIG 6 Transcription of NP and L genes is detectable immediately upon infection while GPC
transcription exclusively occurs after a several hour lag.

757 Related to Fig. 5. (A and B) Boxplots represent the number of viral RNAs detected in cells at early time points following infection with LCMV (see Figure 5). (C and D) Stacked bar graphs 758 759 show the proportion of cells expressing RNAs detected by one, both, or neither viral RNA 760 smFISH probe set. Between 620 and 1316 cells were examined at each time point. In each case 761 RNAs identified by specific probe sets are designated by color (green for NP mRNA/S 762 antigenome probes, red for GPC mRNA/S genome probes, and magenta for L mRNA/L antigenome probes). Note that for time points prior to 8 hpi, genomic and antigenomic RNAs are 763 not detectable by smFISH probe sets with exclusive specificity for these RNAs (data not shown). 764 Therefore, spots detected before 8 hpi are presumed to represent only the mRNAs, but not the 765

genome or antigenome, recognized by each respective probe set. Spots detected at 8 hpi or later
are presumed to be a mixture of all RNAs recognized by a particular probe set (e.g. mRNA and
genome or antigenome).

769

FIG 7 Peak viral RNA replication and transcription occurs 36 hpi and is slowly lost frominfected cells over the following days.

772 Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.01, fixed at various times following infection, 773 and stained using smFISH probe sets specific for NP mRNA/S antigenome (Cy5; green) and 774 GPC mRNA/S genome (A568; red) (A) or NP mRNA/S antigenome (A568; green) and L mRNA/L antigenome (Quasar 670; magenta) (B). (A and B) Representative maximum intensity 775 776 projections of fields of infected cells at various time points from 1 of 2 independent experiments are shown. Each probe set is shown in its own row to highlight the difference in levels to which 777 778 these RNAs accumulate. The same intensity levels for a particular probe set were applied to all 779 images of mock- and LCMV-infected cells across the time course to permit comparisons. Scale 780 bars = $10 \,\mu m$.

781

FIG 8 Peak viral RNA replication and transcription occurs 36 hpi and is slowly lost frominfected cells over the following days.

Related to Fig. 7. (A and B) Boxplots represent the number of mRNAs detected in cells at time
points during the peak period of LCMV infection. (C and D) Stacked bar graph shows the
proportion of cells expressing RNAs detected by one, both, or neither viral smFISH probe set.
Between 480 and 1659 cells were examined at each time point. RNAs identified by specific

788 probe sets are designated by color (green for NP mRNA/S antigenome probes, red for GPC mRNA/S genome probes, and magenta for L mRNA/L antigenome probes). 789

790

791 FIG 9 Cyclic periods of infectious virus particle release and antigen expression during 792 persistence.

Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.01. (A) Supernatants from infected cells were 793 794 collected at indicated time points post infection, titered, and the data are presented as mean 795 PFU/ml ± SD from 3 independent experiments. (B to C) Cells were fixed at the indicated time 796 points following infection and stained using smFISH probe sets specific for NP mRNA/S antigenome (A568; red), GPC mRNA/S genome (Cy5; magenta), and NP protein (Alexa 488; 797 798 green) (B) or GPC protein (Alexa 488; green) (C). A single Z slice of a representative field of infected cells at various time points is shown. Each antibody or probe set is shown in its own row 799 800 and the same intensity levels were applied to all images of mock- and LCMV-infected cells 801 across the time course to permit comparisons. Scale bar is 10 µm.

802

FIG 10 Cyclic periods of viral RNA production and viral RNA loss occur during persistence. 803

Cells were infected with LCMV at an MOI of 0.01, fixed at the indicated time points following 804 infection, and stained using smFISH probe sets specific for NP mRNA/S antigenome (Cy5; 805 green) and GPC mRNA/S genome (A568; red) (A) or NP mRNA/S antigenome (A568; green) 806 807 and L mRNA/L antigenome (Quasar 670; magenta) (D). (A and D) Representative maximum intensity projections of fields of infected cells at various time points from 1 of 2 independent 808 809 experiments are shown. Each probe set is shown in its own row to highlight the difference in 810 levels to which these RNAs accumulate. The same intensity levels for a particular probe set were 811 applied to all images of mock- and LCMV-infected cells across the time course to permit comparisons. Scale bar is 10 µm. (B and E) Line graphs show the average number of the 812 813 indicated viral RNAs detected in cells at time points during the persistent phase of LCMV 814 infection. (C and F) Stacked bar graph shows the proportion of cells expressing RNAs detected 815 by one, both, or neither viral smFISH probe set. Between 316 and 1218 cells were examined at 816 each time point.

					-		
	Time	Ratio NP mRNA and S			Ratio NP mRNA and S		
	points	antigenome /GPC mRNA and S			antigenome /L mRNA and		
E auto timo a	0.5	genome (± SD)			L antigenome (± SD)		
Early time	0.5		ND			ND	
MOI = 0.1	1		ND			ND	
11.01 0.1	2		ND			ND	
	3		ND			ND	
	4 ^a	4.3	±	3.4		ND	
	6ª	6.0	±	4.0	14.7	±	9.5
	8	4.9	±	4.1	14.8	±	12.1
	10	5.6	±	5.4	12.5	±	9.7
	12	5.0	±	4.9	10.8	±	9.5
Peak time	12	4.6	±	4.1	8.8	±	6.7
points (hpi)	24	3.5	±	3.4	14.8	±	7.2
MOI = 0.01	36	2.3	±	1.9	18.0	±	12.8
	48	2.2	±	1.2	28.7	±	14.8
	60	2.9	±	1.2	34.1	±	13.3
	72	2.9	±	1.1	30.1	±	16.2
	96	4.0	±	1.9	24.5	±	13.4
Persistent	1.5	2.3	±	1.6	10.2	±	12.3
time (dpi)	4	4.3	±	2.0	10.5	±	10.4
MOI = 0.01	6	3.9	±	2.4	4.9	±	6.8
	8	1.0	±	0.7	2.0	±	3.0
	13	4.4	±	2.8	24.1	±	23.9
	16	1.8	±	1.7	2.4	±	3.5
	20	6.2	±	3.7	28.1	±	23.5
	23	3.2	±	2.0	5.8	±	7.3
	27	4.9	±	4.2	2.7	±	4.5
	30	4.0	±	2.3	9.1	±	8.9
	34	0.7	±	1.2	2.2	±	3.4
	37	4.5	±	3.8	6.1	±	9.1
	41	1.0	±	1.3	7.3	±	9.0

817 Table 1: Ratio in the expression levels of viral mRNAs in individual infected cells.

^aNote that for time points prior to 8 hpi, genomic and antigenomic RNAs are not detectable by

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on March 6, 2020 at NYU LANGONE MED CTR-SCH OF MED

819 smFISH probe sets with exclusive specificity for these RNAs (data not shown). Therefore, spots
820 detected before 8 hpi are presumed to represent only the mRNAs, but not the genome or
821 antigenome, recognized by each respective probe set. Spots detect at 8 hpi or later are presumed

 \leq

to be a mixture of all RNAs recognized by a particular probe set (e.g. mRNA and genome or

antigenome).

824

Journal of Virology

Α

5'-

3'-

5'-

В

MDN1 Mock

С

NP

9V

NP

3

Transcription

Ø

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on March 6, 2020 at NYU LANGONE MED CTR-SCH OF MED



S Segment



mRNA

Genome



5'

3'-

5'



3'

L Segment

Transcription

Replication

Q 0









Journal of Virology

Z



Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on March 6, 2020 at NYU LANGONE MED CTR-SCH OF MED



Z



Journal of Virology

Α

hpi:

0

*

4

9

NP mRNA

00

0

0

0

00

GPC mRNA

0

0

Merge

0



Α

С

proportion cells

1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.00

Ò

0.5 1 2

10000

1000

100

10

• Ò 0.5

1

2 3 4 time (hpi)

6 8 10 12

viral RNAs / cell

NP mRNA/ S antigenome

GPC mRNA/ S genome

1 2 3 4 6 8 hours post infection

10

Negative

GPC mRNA/ S genome positive

NP mRNA S antigenome positive

Both Positive

12

8



1 2 3 4 6 8 hours post infection

2 3 4 time (hpi)

2

1

8

10 12

6

В

D

proportion cells

viral RNAs / cell

12

Negative

L mRNA/ L antigenome positive

NP mRNA/ S antigenor positive

Both Positive

10

8



Journal of Virology



Σ

Journal of Virology



Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on March 6, 2020 at NYU LANGONE MED CTR-SCH OF MED











 $\overline{\leq}$



 $\overline{\leq}$