

Genetic Structure of a Local Population of the Anopheles gambiae Complex in Burkina Faso.

Kyriacos Markianos, Emmanuel Bischoff, Christian Mitri, Wamdaogo Guelbéogo, Awa Gneme, Karin Eiglmeier, Inge Holm, N'fale Sagnon, Kenneth D Vernick, Michelle Riehle

To cite this version:

Kyriacos Markianos, Emmanuel Bischoff, Christian Mitri, Wamdaogo Guelbéogo, Awa Gneme, et al.. Genetic Structure of a Local Population of the Anopheles gambiae Complex in Burkina Faso.. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11 (1), pp.e0145308. $10.1371/journal.pone.0145308$. pasteur-02008317

HAL Id: pasteur-02008317 <https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02008317v1>

Submitted on 5 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Markianos K, Bischoff E, Mitri C, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, et al. (2016) Genetic Structure of a Local Population of the Anopheles gambiae Complex in Burkina Faso. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0145308. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0145308

Editor: Basil Brooke, National Institute for Communicable Diseases/NHLS, SOUTH AFRICA

Received: July 9, 2015

Accepted: December 1, 2015

Published: January 5, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Markianos et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work received financial support to KDV from the European Commission ([http://ec.](http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp7/index_en.cfm) [europa.eu/research/fp7/index_en.cfm\)](http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp7/index_en.cfm), FP7 Infrastructures #228421 Infravec; European Research Council [\(http://erc.europa.eu/funding-and](http://erc.europa.eu/funding-and-grants)[grants\)](http://erc.europa.eu/funding-and-grants), Support for frontier research, Advanced Grant #323173; National Institutes of Health ([http://](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/index.html?CFID=49130899&CFTOKEN=42657567&jsessionid=f630163215e4bea230912b25e13534c77157) [grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/index.html?CFID=](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/index.html?CFID=49130899&CFTOKEN=42657567&jsessionid=f630163215e4bea230912b25e13534c77157) [49130899&CFTOKEN=42657567&jsessionid=](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/index.html?CFID=49130899&CFTOKEN=42657567&jsessionid=f630163215e4bea230912b25e13534c77157) [f630163215e4bea230912b25e13534c77157\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/index.html?CFID=49130899&CFTOKEN=42657567&jsessionid=f630163215e4bea230912b25e13534c77157), NIAID RESEARCH ARTICLE

Genetic Structure of a Local Population of the Anopheles gambiae Complex in Burkina Faso

Kyriacos Markianos¹, Emmanuel Bischoff^{2,3}, Christian Mitri^{2,3}, Wamdaogo M. Guelbeogo⁴, Awa Gneme⁴, Karin Eiglmeier^{2,3}, Inge Holm^{2,3}, N'Fale Sagnon⁴, Kenneth D. Vernick^{2,3,5‡}*, Michelle M. Riehle^{5‡}

1 Program in Genomics, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, United States of America, 2 Institut Pasteur, Unit of Insect Vector Genetics and Genomics, Department of Parasites and Insect Vectors, CNRS Unit of Hosts, Vectors and Pathogens (URA3012), Paris, 75015, France, 3 CNRS, Unit of Hosts, Vectors and Pathogens (URA3012), 28 rue du Docteur Roux, Paris, 75015, France, 4 Centre National de Recherche et de Formation sur le Paludisme, 01 BP 2208 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, 5 University of Minnesota, Department of Microbiology, Saint Paul, Minnesota, 55108, United States of America

‡ KDV and MMR are joint senior authors on this work. * kvernick@pasteur.fr

Abstract

Members of the Anopheles gambiae species complex are primary vectors of human malaria in Africa. Population heterogeneities for ecological and behavioral attributes expand and stabilize malaria transmission over space and time, and populations may change in response to vector control, urbanization and other factors. There is a need for approaches to comprehensively describe the structure and characteristics of a sympatric local mosquito population, because incomplete knowledge of vector population composition may hinder control efforts. To this end, we used a genome-wide custom SNP typing array to analyze a population collection from a single geographic region in West Africa. The combination of sample depth ($n = 456$) and marker density ($n = 1536$) unambiguously resolved population subgroups, which were also compared for their relative susceptibility to natural genotypes of Plasmodium falciparum malaria. The population subgroups display fluctuating patterns of differentiation or sharing across the genome. Analysis of linkage disequilibrium identified 19 new candidate genes for association with underlying population divergence between sister taxa, A. coluzzii (M-form) and A. gambiae (S-form).

Introduction

Throughout sub-Saharan Africa, members of the Anopheles gambiae species complex are primary vectors of the human malaria parasite, Plasmodium falciparum, which is responsible for extensive human morbidity and mortality. Heterogeneity within the A. gambiae complex for ecological preference, feeding behavior, and Plasmodium susceptibility stabilize and expand the malaria vectorial system in nature $[1, 2]$. Phenotypic differences for these traits can vary between population subgroups or among individuals within a subgroup, and are influenced by genetic variation $[3-9]$.

R01 #AI073685; and French Laboratoire d'Excellence [\(http://www.enseignementsup-recherche.gouv.fr/](http://www.enseignementsup-recherche.gouv.fr/cid55551/investissements-avenir-projets-labex-par-region-domaine.html) [cid55551/investissements-avenir-projets-labex-par](http://www.enseignementsup-recherche.gouv.fr/cid55551/investissements-avenir-projets-labex-par-region-domaine.html)[region-domaine.html](http://www.enseignementsup-recherche.gouv.fr/cid55551/investissements-avenir-projets-labex-par-region-domaine.html)), "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" #ANR-10-LABX-62- IBEID. The funders had no role in study design, data

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or

preparation of the manuscript.

Previous studies have characterized population structure of the A, gambiae species complex, focusing on the 'chromosomal forms' carrying non-random combinations of segregating paracentric inversions [6–8], and also on the reproductively isolated subgroups originally named the M and S molecular forms $[10-12]$. The latter were recently renamed as A. *coluzzii* and A. gambiae, respectively, sister taxa within the A. gambiae species complex that also contains 6 additional species $[13]$. To date, most studies have examined population structure by genotype analysis of candidate loci using panels of microsatellite markers [11, 14, 15]. Genotyping using single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array technology was first explored in a study of genomic regions that are differentiated between sympatric A. coluzzii and A. gambiae, termed speciation islands (SI) [16–18], although the role of these islands in population differentiation or speciation remains unresolved [19]. A custom SNP array, similar to the one used here but focusing mainly on candidate insecticide resistance loci, was used to screen large numbers of samples for novel insecticide resistance loci as well as for assessment of population subdivision [18, 20].

The distribution of A. coluzzii and A. gambiae across West Africa is correlated with ecological factors $[21-23]$, and the two species display different frequencies of the *kdr* insecticideresistance allele, a coding SNP (variant L1014F) of the para gene encoding a voltage-gated sodium ion channel [24]. Genetic analysis reveals additional levels of substructure within A. *coluzzii* [11] and A. *gambiae* populations [15], which has not yet been fully characterized. A. coluzzii and A. gambiae were initially thought to be highly reproductively isolated, but elevated rates of hybridization between them were described in certain geographic zones $[25-27]$, and more recent work has shown that introgression between the two sister species is widespread and extensive [28] as is introgression with another closely related species, A. arabiensis [29].

Mosquito sampling strategies for studies of vector populations vary widely, from punctual collections representative of a particular geographic location at one time point (e.g. [30]) to repeated sampling of a site over time (e.g. $[28]$). Most population studies of the A. *gambiae* species complex have sampled broadly across geography but not deeply, that is, sample sizes per site tend to be relatively small [21, 31]. As our goal was comprehensive analysis of a local mosquito population, we generated large collections from a single local population in Burkina Faso over two transmission seasons. Initial analysis of this population using a limited number of microsatellite loci identified, in addition to A. *coluzzii* and A. *gambiae*, a novel subgroup named Goundry $[14]$, an apparent founder population that may have originated by introgression between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae $[28]$, followed by establishment of mating barriers between Goundry and the sympatric A. coluzzii and A. gambiae. The latter two species share extensive variation, cluster closely together [29], and display a deeper separation from the Goundry subgroup than from each other $[14, 28]$.

Here, we designed a custom SNP array using the Illumina Golden Gate genotyping platform to analyze additional population samples and their metadata, including resting behavior and malaria susceptibility. Resting behavior is important because most vector control tools target indoor-resting mosquitoes [7]. Our goal was a comprehensive analysis of population structure within a deeply sampled local vector population. Given the higher density of SNP markers used as compared to a previous analysis of the same local population $[14]$, we were also able to examine variation of differentiation patterns across the genome. Genotyping of a medium density SNP marker set $(n = 1536)$ in a large number of individual samples occupies an efficient analytical niche that balances cost and effort. A high-density Affymetrix array [32] or whole genome sequencing provide more information per sample, but high per-sample cost limits the practical sample size and may diminish the attractiveness of these approaches for populationbased studies. The feature density of the current study was more than sufficient for

identification of population substructure. We present an approach to acquire genome-wide variation data from deep samples, while balancing cost and effort.

Results

Comprehensive detection of subdivision in a local population

Using a custom designed SNP chip we analyzed population subdivision in a deeply sampled local vector population in Burkina Faso. We first hybridized a pilot ($n = 96$) and then an expanded $(n = 384)$ set of samples. The first 96 samples were used to validate array performance and included duplicates ($n = 24$) to verify reproducibility of genotype calls. The 72 unique samples in the pilot set included indoor-resting collections of A. coluzzii ($n = 11$), A. gambiae (n = 12), larval collections of Goundry (n = 19) as well as sibling species A. arabiensis (n = 30). Importantly, the expanded set of 384 samples were chosen based solely on their participation in a successful experimental feeding on malaria-infective blood and thus, aside from taking a bloodmeal, constituted an unbiased set of population samples.

Genotypes generated by the uniformly-spaced genome-wide marker set revealed four distinct clusters when analyzed by principal component analysis (PCA). Overlay of species diagnostic results ($Fig 1A$) indicates the presence of A. coluzzii, A. gambiae, A. arabiensis, and a cluster where both A. coluzzii and A. gambiae species markers are present, the Goundry form, a discrete group with undetermined taxonomic status $[14, 28]$. Behavioral metadata (Fig 1B) indicate that the clusters of pure A. coluzzii and A. gambiae mosquitoes include individuals captured both from larval pools and as indoor-resting adults, while mosquitoes of the Goundry form were found in larval pools but were absent from collections of indoor-resting adults, consistent with their apparently exophilic behavior $[14]$. Samples were also overlaid with the karyotype of the paracentric 2La inversion (Fig 1C) as determined by a molecular diagnostic assay [33], and the genotype for the nucleotide mutation of the *para* gene associated with pyrethroid insecticide resistance $(kdr, Fig 1D)$ [34]. The same four major population groups are detected using half the number of markers ($n = 400$ randomly chosen SNPs, Fig 2). Similarly, analysis of samples by individual year (i.e., malaria transmission season) yields the same population clusters (Fig 3) with no detectable difference in the relative proportions of the three population groups across the two transmission seasons (chi-square = 0.457 , df = 2, p = 0.796). The stability of the PCA results indicates that identification of major subgroups for this local population is comprehensive, and that it is unlikely that other major genome wide subdivision is present in the population sample.

Genetic association for susceptibility to P. falciparum

The Goundry subgroup displays significantly higher susceptibility to infection with wild P. fal*ciparum* as compared to A. *coluzzii* and A. gambiae (p<1 $*10^{-4}$), consistent with previous observations [14] but here confirmed with independent samples. We also find no difference for P. falciparum infection susceptibility between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae ($p = 0.31$), which is in accord with multiple published reports [35–39].

Genomic patterns of LD and recombination within population subgroups

Genome-wide marker density in the current study is substantially higher than the density of microsatellites previously employed in population-level studies using similarly large sample sizes $[11, 14]$, and consequently permits examination of finer patterns of genomic differentiation between taxa. Markers on chromosome 3 have been previously employed as essentially neutral loci to estimate genome-wide differentiation, independent of potentially confounding

Fig 1. A comprehensive image of population structure is provided by genome-wide SNP typing in a local Anopheles population. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on 812 genome-wide, uniformly spaced SNPs typed in 422 individual mosquitoes collected in the village of Goundry, Burkina Faso over two years. A-F, Symbol color represents genetic attributes determined by molecular assays. A) species, B) collection method, C) genotype of 2La inversion, and D) genotype of kdr insecticide resistance-associated SNP. Axis labels for (A-D) as in (A). E) The cumulative variance of the PCA explained as a function of the number of principal components. The first two components explain greater than 25% of the variation. F) Distribution of SNP markers across the genome. Vertical blue bars indicate the number of SNPs per Mb, vertical black bars indicate the breakpoints between chromosome arms. The circled cluster in all panels indicates those individuals belonging to the Goundry form.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g001

features such as inversions or major A. *coluzzii/gambiae*-related elements such as SI $[10, 11, 14,$ 40]. Non-overlapping sliding window analysis of uniformly spaced SNPs across chromosome 3 indicates that there is little or no differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae across most of the genome ($Fig 4A$ and $4D$), consistent with reports of extensive gene flow between them $[14, 16, 17, 32, 41]$. The greatest levels of differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gam*biae* are localized in the centromeric SI ($Fig 4B$ and $4C$). In distinction, the Goundry group diverges sharply from A. coluzzii and A. gambiae across the genome, even in the windows that do not separate A. coluzzii and A. gambiae (Fig 4A and 4D).

We scanned the genomes of the A. coluzzii and A. gambiae for signals of population genetic differentiation, in order to identify positions displaying long-range LD beyond the well-studied SI of the centromeric regions. Local correlation due to physical linkage on the chromosome is evident across centromeric regions ($Fig 5$, boxes), consistent with the low recombination rates in centromeres. Marked linkage disequilibrium is also detected across chromosomes between physically unlinked sites (Fig 5, circles), consistent with locations of the centromeric SI [42]. Because the X-chromosome SI is the main driver of the observed genome-wide disequilibrium between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae ($[28]$ and $Fig 5$) we screened for genome-wide SNPs that

Fig 2. Identical population substructure is detected with half the number of SNPs. The same PCA analyses as in Fig 1 were repeated with 400 randomly sub-sampled SNPs, revealing the same four population subgroups. Panel labels (A-F) as in Fig 1. Note the Principal Component 2 is in opposite polarity to Fig 1, hence the presence of the A. arabiensis cluster in the lower right hand corner. Circled cluster indicates individuals belonging to the Goundry form.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g002

PLOS ONE

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g003

Fig 4. Sliding window PCA indicates that differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae is restricted to centromeric chromosome regions. Chromosome 3 is analyzed as four non-overlapping windows of 115 uniformly spaced SNPs each, as follows: A) Telomeric and central region of chromosome 3R, B) centromeric region of chromosome 3R, C) centromeric region of chromosome 3L, and D) central and telomeric region of chromosome 3L. Sliding window analysis indicates that A. coluzzii (blue) and A. gambiae mosquitoes (red) cluster together as an apparently panmictic population when typed using non-centromeric markers. In contrast, the Goundry form (turquoise) is distinct from A. coluzzii and A. gambiae across the entire length of both chromosome arms, at both centromeric and non-centromeric sites.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g004

display high r^2 values with the subgroup-diagnostic X-chromosome SI. Measuring LD of genome-wide SNPs with positions highly diagnostic for the underlying population subdivision should be more informative than simple genome-wide F_{ST} measurement. We identified 66 SNPs that met the selection and quality criteria ($Fig 5$ and $S2$ Table). The candidates are distributed over 45 genes, thus some genes carry multiple SNPs. Of these, 24 SNPs in 20 genes lie outside the previously identified centromeric SIs. Only one of these genes ($Tep3$) has been previously implicated in A. gambiae/A. coluzzii differentiation $[43]$, and thus the other 19 represent novel candidate genes associated with population differentiation between the two species. Known or predicted gene functional categories include immunity, nervous system and development (S2 Table), and offer multiple plausible candidates for follow-up studies, including testing within A. coluzzii and A gambiae populations at other sites where they are sympatric. In

Fig 5. Signals of population differentiation between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii. We screened for genome-wide linkage disequilibrium (LD) outside the centromeric Speciation Islands (SI). The individual SNP that is the most informative for the observed genome-wide disequilibrium between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae is position X.23852135, located within the X-chromosome SI (see Methods). This SNP was tested for LD with all other genome wide SNPs at an $r^2 > 0.5$, minor allele frequency ≥ 10 %. The plot indicates SNPs highly correlated with X.23852135 under these parameters. 66 SNPs outside of centromeric SI met selection and quality criteria as new candidate markers of subgroup/sister taxa differentiation (S2 Table). Circles highlight linkage patterns across chromosomes, while squares indicate the high-LD centromeric regions of each chromosome.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g005

contrast to the above among-subgroup analysis, LD signals within population subgroups appeared as expected for the SNP marker density, detectable mainly at centromeres and segregating inversions (Fig 6).

Candidate diagnostic SNPs for molecular attributes

We identified a set of 21 candidate SNPs that were highly informative for the detection of mosquito genetic attributes. Seven highly informative SNPs were identified for each attribute, i) karyotype of the 2La inversion, ii) genotype of the *para* gene *kdr* mutation associated with pyrethroid resistance, and iii) A. gambiae/A. coluzzii differentiation. Sequenom genotyping assays were developed and 80 individual samples were genotyped (S3 Table). Genotype calls from Illumina and Sequenom were highly concordant. The SNPs represent a candidate diagnostic set highly efficient for the local population in Burkina Faso, but as yet untested for samples from other geographic sites. Diagnostic utility of these candidate SNPs for the research community will thus require additional confirmation in other populations.

Discussion

Population structure determined by local population sampling

We sampled a local West African mosquito population over time and genotyped it with a large number of genome-wide markers selected for information content, but without regard to gene functional category. This approach yielded a comprehensive characterization of local population substructure, an important prerequisite for accurate assessment of vector control interventions, as well as for association studies linking measured phenotype to underlying genotype. The use of 800 markers in a \sim 280 Mb genome was more than sufficient to detect the level of population subdivision that, if left undetected, would likely lead to spurious results in a genome wide association study $[45]$. As few as 400 random markers (~2 markers/Mb) were adequate to detect the same major subdivisions.

Although whole-genome resequencing has become more accessible, nevertheless the analysis of >400 mosquitoes from one geographic site by resequencing for a single project would be costly. The SNP genotyping results obtained here have been used to identify small numbers of candidate ancestry-informative SNPs for different attributes (S3 Table). However, general applicability of this SNP set for other mosquito populations will require additional validation using samples collected over the species/attribute range. In the end, simplified, ideally field deployable assays allow routine acquisition of deep population genetic information from largescale field surveys done for biological studies or evaluation of vector control.

Regarding the Goundry form, the desirable SNPs for a diagnostic assay would be the fixed differences present in Goundry and absent from non-Goundry individuals. SNPs identified from the current study were ascertained from available A. gambiae and A. coluzzii genome sequence. Some of these variants display under or over enrichment in Goundry and can be used for a partially-efficient probabilistic assay, but by definition the Goundry fixed differences that would be most informative tool cannot be identified from non-Goundry sequence, and must await whole genome sequences from Goundry mosquitoes.

New candidate loci for population differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae

The mechanisms of mating isolation and assortative mating between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae are not known, but appear to be largely prezygotic because the species hybridize in the laboratory $[46, 47]$. The known genomic regions of highest genetic differentiation between A.

 $\sf B$

Fig 6. Genome wide linkage disequilibrium within population subgroups. LD was measured by r^2 for A) A. coluzzii, B) A. gambiae and C) the Goundry form. At the study site, the 2La inversion is nearly fixed in A. coluzzii and A. gambiae but segregates in the Goundry form, hence the detectable LD across the 2La inversion only in Goundry. Also, the centromeric region of the second chromosome carrying the insecticide resistance mutation, kdr of the para gene [44] is largely fixed in A. gambiae but segregates in both A. coluzzii and Goundry forms. These plots include all SNPs that passed quality control and were not fixed within population taxa.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.g006

coluzzii and A. *gambiae* are the SI in the centromeres $[17, 32]$, but this likely stems from ascertainment bias because previous studies used minimal marker density and/or sample depth, and under those conditions the power to detect differentiation is largely limited to regions of extended LD, such as centromeres. It is also likely that centromeric regions will retain a historic signal of differentiation longer due to the diminished rates of recombination. We now find 24 SNPs in 20 genes outside of the centromeric regions that highly correlate with the X chromosome diagnostic for A. coluzzii and A. gambiae. None of these SNPs occur in the 2R non-centromeric island published by Turner et al. [17]. Five of these SNPs occur in a single gene, Tep3, and a 100kb genomic region containing Tep3 was previously highlighted as differentiated between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii by White et al. [43]. Thus, we report previously unrecognized cases of 19 genes that contain a significantly differentiated SNP and represent new candidate loci for association with population differentiation phenomena such as reproductive isolation and subgroup-specific adaptation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae mosquitoes.

Of the 19 newly-identified non-centromeric genes ($S2$ Table), one has predicted function in wing imaginal disc development. There are reported differences in wing morphology between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae mosquitoes that are proposed to underlie the production of different wingbeat harmonic frequencies, thus permitting mate discrimination by A. coluzzii and A. gambiae mosquitoes [48, 49]. Two new candidates have established roles in immunity (Toll1A, SRPN4 [50–53]), along with Tep 3. These immune genes could be associated with the previously hypothesized exposure of the population subgroups to distinct pathogen profiles in different ecological habitats [43, 54, 55]. Finally, four other candidates with predicted central nervous system functions could underlie observed behavioral differences tied to ecological specialization between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae for oviposition site choice, formation of mating swarms, or other phenotypes [21, 23, 56]. The twelve other candidate genes have little functional data. Together, these genes represent new candidate loci located outside the previously-studied centromeric SI intervals, potentially associated with features of population differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae. Because we analyzed sympatric mosquitoes collected from a single defined geographic region, geographic variables do not underlie the differentiation signal, although the results cannot necessarily be generalized to populations in other regions of West Africa without sampling and testing at other sympatric sites.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito sampling and P. falciparum infection

Mosquitoes were sampled as larvae using the standard dipping method or as adults by aspirator catch, as previously described in detail [14]. Mosquitoes were collected in the Sudan Savanna region of Burkina Faso in the village of Goundry (12°30´N, 1°20´W), 30 km N of the capital city, Ouagadougou, across months of the rainy season during the 2007 and 2008 malaria transmission seasons [57]. Permission was obtained from Goundry village authorities to collect mosquitoes in the village. Larval-caught A. gambiae species complex mosquitoes were brought to the insectary in Ouagadougou where they were raised under standard laboratory rearing conditions to adulthood. Following emergence, 3 day old adults were challenged with wild P. falciparum by experimental infection. Feeding was done on an artificial membrane in a waterjacketed feeding device as described previously using gametocytemic blood obtained from study participants [35]. Unfed mosquitoes were excluded from analysis and infection levels for fed mosquitoes were determined by counting midgut oocysts 7–8 days post infection. Genomic DNA was extracted from carcasses for genotyping.

Illumina chip design and hybridization

To design the custom SNP chip, polymorphism data were combined from individual sources [54, 55, 58] as well as an analysis of the A. coluzzii and A. gambiae genome sequences available at Vector Base. At the time of the chip design, the A. coluzzii and A. gambiae genome assemblies were not available at VectorBase and raw sequence read data was used for SNP design. SNPs were identified by alignment of the A. coluzzii and A. gambiae sequence reads against the assembled genome of the PEST strain using BLAST. We summarized all high confidence alignments in a simple frequency table. For every position in the PEST genome we recorded the number of A,G,C,T nucleotides observed for that position. To be considered viable for inclusion on the chip, a SNP had to meet the following criteria: i) have a minimum read depth of 10, ii) be surrounded by \sim 200 bp of SNP free-sequence, iii) be variable across any set of samples used for SNP ascertainment, iv) have a minor allele frequency of at least 15%. We submitted to Illumina 5995 candidates, 4840 from shotgun sequence and 1155 from 3 independent deep resequencing projects. The final catalog of 1536 SNPs was selected from 3394 SNPs that passed Illumina design criteria, 1358 from shotgun sequence and 178 from deep sequencing projects. The complete set of SNPs typed on the Illumina chip and their primers is available in S1 Table. The chip includes a uniformly-spaced genome-wide marker set $(n = 812)$, as well as additional marker coverage ($n = 724$) within certain genomic features such as chromosomal Speciation Islands (SI). Overall, the chip types 1536 SNPs, with an average density of 1 marker every ~340 kb for the uniformly-spaced set. The chip is thus well-powered for accurate and comprehensive detection of population stratification and related genome features, although not for genomewide association given that linkage disequilibrium (LD) in A. *gambiae* decays to uninformative levels on average within \lt 500 bp [54]. Hybridization of the chips was done using standard Illumina procedures in the Boston Children's Hospital Molecular Genetics Core Facility (IDDRC).

Genotyping and data analysis

Due to the low quantity of DNA available from individual mosquitoes, all DNA samples were subjected to whole genome amplification (Genomiphi, GE Health Sciences) using supplied protocols. DNA was then ethanol precipitated, concentrations determined by the Picogreen method [59] and 500 ng submittted for Illumina chip hybridization. We used a two stage approach, hybridizing a pilot ($n = 96$) and an expanded ($n = 384$) set of samples. The first 96 samples were used to validate array performance and included duplicates ($n = 24$) to verify reproducibility of genotype calls and provide quality control metrics. All mosquitoes genotyped in the larger expanded set of samples came from five successful experimental infections as defined previously $[4, 60]$, briefly, sessions with oocyst infection prevalence \geq 30% and oocyst intensity in at least one individual mosquito in the infected group of \geq 10 oocysts. This infection quality-control cutoff assures that all analyzed individuals were exposed to an experimental infection with the power to distinguish levels of susceptibility, free from confounding technical or other factors influencing infection success. Of the 456 unique samples genotyped here, only 160 samples (35%) were previously genotyped and analyzed, using <10 microsatellites on chromosome 3 [14]. Thus, genotyping in the current study was carried out at much higher marker density than in the previous study.

Data were analyzed using the BeadStudio package (Illumina) following the manufacturer's guidelines [61]. Quality control was carried out in two steps: i) Manual curation. Following standards recommended by the manufacturer, boundaries of poorly clustered SNPs were either manually redefined or the SNPs were removed. Because we expected distinct population subgroups segregating within our overall sample, we used Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) statistics as a trigger for manual inspection but we did not reject well-clustered SNPs violating

HWE. In addition, samples with low call rate were removed, which left more than 88% of samples showing a call rate higher than 85%. ii) SNP call rate. SNPs were removed if they failed in more than 25% of the mosquitoes, which resulted in removal from the analysis of only 89 SNPs (~6%). After application of all QC filters, high-quality data remained for 422 mosquito samples for 1447 genome-wide SNPs, yielding a 94% SNP conversion rate. These 422 samples included 56 A. coluzzii, 52 A. gambiae, 284 Goundry form, and 30 A. arabiensis. The distribution of GenTrain scores, a metric of genotype quality for GoldenGate assays (produced by an algorithm implemented in the Illumina software application, BeadArray GenCall [61]) is shown for SNPs passing the above QC filters (S1 Fig). For PCA analyses presented in Figs $1-4$, standard multidimensional scaling as implemented in R (cmdscale in the Stats package) was used for clustering.

A subset of samples $(n = 24)$ were hybridized in duplicate, and over 99% of called genotypes were concordant. For additional validation of genotype calls using an independent technology and to test a set of SNPs with high informative value for molecular attributes, a subset of 21 SNPs were converted to Sequenom assays and 80 mosquito samples genotyped by this independent method. Across all 21 SNPs, the genotype concordance between Illumina and Sequenom averaged 95.5%, ranging from 89% to 99% ($S2$ Fig). Sequenom Mass Array genotyping was done at the University of Minnesota Genomics Center.

Analysis of infection phenotypes

To test for differences in infection susceptibility across subgroups, analyses were carried out with infection as a blocking factor, and p-values were determined for each individual infection using the Chi Square test and combined p-values across infections via the method of R.A. Fisher $[62]$. Most of the individuals in the expanded sample set (n = 335) had accompanying infection phenotype data. The phenotyped sample set of 335 were generated from five independent experimental infections, with each infection averaging 67 individuals (range 39–89 individuals). Each experimental infection included individuals from each of the 3 population groups, A. gambiae, A. coluzzii and the Goundry form.

Population subgroup differentiation and detection of differentiated SNPs

Linkage disequilibrium (LD), as analyzed and depicted in Figs 5 and 6, was computed using the LD() function from the genetics package in the R statistical package. For plotting the LD map, the image() function was used. The scale bar was drawn with the function image.plot() from within the fields package in R.

To identify SNP genetic correlation across chromosomes as shown in the centromeric regions (boxes in Fig 5), a selection filter was applied to all A. *coluzzii* and A. *gambiae* mosquitoes. Centromeric regions were defined as +/-5Mb from the centromere for a total area of 10Mb, 5Mb on each chromosome arm. Initially, we determined the individual SNP that was in LD $(r2>0.8)$ with the maximum number of other SNPs across the genome, imposing a SNP inclusion cutoff at minor allele frequency \geq 10%. This SNP was on the X chromosome at position 23852135. This region of the X chromosome is the most informative for assignment of A. coluzzii and A. gambiae [28]. This SNP was then used in a second screen to find all other genome wide SNPs in LD with this SNP (X.23852135) at an r^2 >0.5, minor allele frequency \geq 10%. These SNPs, each individually highly correlated with the X.23852135, are presented in S2 Table. The 66 SNPs that mark differentiation outside speciation islands were specifically quality-controlled by examining the distribution of their GenTrain scores, and there was no difference between the distribution of these 66 markers and the rest of the markers that passed controls (Wilcoxon rank test $p = 0.26$ and $S1$ Fig).

Ethical considerations

For collection of blood from P. falciparum gametocyte carriers for experimental membrane feeder infection of mosquitoes, the study protocol was reviewed and approved by the national health ethical review board IRB (Commission Nationale d'Ethique en Santé) of Burkina Faso, which issued ethical protocol N° 2006–032 for the described studies. The study procedures, benefits and risks were explained to subjects and their written informed consent was obtained. The consent procedure was approved by the IRB. Subjects who had given consent were brought to CNRFP the day of the experiment for gametocyte carrier screening. All children were followed and symptomatic subjects were treated with the combination of artemether-lumefantrine (Coartem) according to relevant regulations of the Burkina Faso Ministry of Health.

Supporting Information

[S1 Fig](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s001). Distribution of GenTrain scores for SNPs passing QC filters and used in subsequent analyses. SNPs indicated in red are the set of 66 that show greatest differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae (see $S2$ Table). (EPS)

[S2 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s002) High concordance of genotype calls for SNPs typed by Illumina chip and Sequenom mass array. Twenty-one SNPs were typed on a set of 80 individual mosquito samples. Individual SNPs are shown on the x-axis, and concordance rates between genotype calls from the two distinct technologies are indicated on the y axis. (EPS)

[S1 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s003). Catalogue of polymorphic SNPs typed by Illumina Golden Gate Assays. (XLSX)

[S2 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s004). Catalogue of genome wide SNPs displaying maximum r2 with the X chromosome speciation island SNP most diagnostic for differentiation of A. coluzzii and A. gambiae. (DOCX)

[S3 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s005). SNPs derived from Illumina chip data with high informative value for detection of mosquito attributes.

(DOCX)

[S4 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0145308.s006). Genotype data for mosquito individuals typed on the Illumina chip. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Xuanzhong Li for assistance with bioinformatic analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KM EB NS KDV MMR. Performed the experiments: KM EB CM WMG AG KE IH MMR. Analyzed the data: KM EB KE IH WMG AG MMR. Wrote the paper: KM EB KDV MMR.

References

- 1. Coetzee M, Craig M, le Sueur D. Distribution of African malaria mosquitoes belonging to the Anopheles gambiae complex. Parasitology today. 2000; 16(2):74–7. PMID: [10652493.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10652493)
- 2. Derua YA, Alifrangis M, Hosea KM, Meyrowitsch DW, Magesa SM, Pedersen EM, et al. Change in composition of the Anopheles gambiae complex and its possible implications for the transmission of

malaria and lymphatic filariasis in north-eastern Tanzania. Malaria journal. 2012; 11:188. doi: [10.1186/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-188) [1475-2875-11-188](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-188) PMID: [22681999;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22681999) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3469399.

- 3. Takken W, Verhulst NO. Host preferences of blood-feeding mosquitoes. Annual review of entomology. 2013; 58:433–53. doi: [10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153618](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153618) PMID: [23020619](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23020619).
- 4. Riehle MM, Markianos K, Niare O, Xu J, Li J, Toure AM, et al. Natural malaria infection in Anopheles gambiae is regulated by a single genomic control region. Science (New York, NY. 2006; 312 (5773):577–9. doi: [10.1126/science.1124153](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1124153) PMID: [16645095.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16645095)
- 5. Githeko AK, Service MW, Mbogo CM, Atieli FK. Resting behaviour, ecology and genetics of malaria vectors in large scale agricultural areas of Western Kenya. Parassitologia. 1996; 38(3):481–9. PMID: [9257337.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9257337)
- 6. Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, della Torre A, Di Deco MA, Petrarca V. A polytene chromosome analysis of the Anopheles gambiae species complex. Science (New York, NY. 2002; 298(5597):1415–8. doi: [10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1077769) [science.1077769](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1077769) PMID: [12364623.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12364623)
- 7. Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Angela Di Deco M. Behavioural divergences between mosquitoes with different inversion karyotypes in polymorphic populations of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Nature. 1977; 266(5605):832–3. PMID: [865604](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/865604)
- 8. Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Di Deco MA. Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles gambiae complex. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1979; 73(5):483–97. PMID: [394408.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/394408)
- 9. Gimonneau G, Pombi M, Choisy M, Morand S, Dabire RK, Simard F. Larval habitat segregation between the molecular forms of the mosquito Anopheles gambiae in a rice field area of Burkina Faso, West Africa. Medical and veterinary entomology. 2012; 26(1):9–17. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.00957.x) [00957.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.00957.x) PMID: [21501199;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21501199) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3140611.
- 10. Lee Y, Cornel AJ, Meneses CR, Fofana A, Andrianarivo AG, McAbee RD, et al. Ecological and genetic relationships of the Forest-M form among chromosomal and molecular forms of the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto. Malaria journal. 2009; 8:75. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-8-75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-8-75) PMID: [19383163](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19383163); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2680901.
- 11. Slotman MA, Tripet F, Cornel AJ, Meneses CR, Lee Y, Reimer LJ, et al. Evidence for subdivision within the M molecular form of Anopheles gambiae. Molecular ecology. 2007; 16(3):639–49. doi: [10.1111/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03172.x) [1365-294X.2006.03172.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03172.x) PMID: [17257119](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17257119).
- 12. Wondji C, Simard F, Fontenille D. Evidence for genetic differentiation between the molecular forms M and S within the Forest chromosomal form of Anopheles gambiae in an area of sympatry. Insect Mol Biol. 2002; 11(1):11–9. PMID: [11841498.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11841498)
- 13. Coetzee M, Hunt RH, Wilkerson R, Della Torre A, Coulibaly MB, Besansky NJ. Anopheles coluzzii and Anopheles amharicus, new members of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Zootaxa. 2013; 3619 (3):246–74. doi: [10.11646/zootaxa.3619.3.2](http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3619.3.2) PMID: [WOS:000315436200002.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/WOS:000315436200002)
- 14. Riehle MM, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, Holm I, Bischoff E, et al. A cryptic subgroup of Anopheles gambiae is highly susceptible to human malaria parasites. Science (New York, NY. 2011; 331(6017):596–8. doi: [10.1126/science.1196759](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1196759) PMID: [21292978;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21292978) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3065189.
- 15. Wang-Sattler R, Blandin S, Ning Y, Blass C, Dolo G, Toure YT, et al. Mosaic genome architecture of the Anopheles gambiae species complex. PloS one. 2007; 2(11):e1249. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001249) [0001249](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001249) PMID: [18043756](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18043756); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2082662.
- 16. Turner TL, Hahn MW. Genomic islands of speciation or genomic islands and speciation? Molecular ecology. 2010; 19(5):848–50. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04532.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04532.x) PMID: [20456221](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20456221).
- 17. Turner TL, Hahn MW, Nuzhdin SV. Genomic islands of speciation in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS biology. 2005; 3(9):e285. doi: [10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285) PMID: [16076241;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16076241) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1182689.
- 18. Weetman D, Wilding CS, Steen K, Morgan JC, Simard F, Donnelly MJ. Association mapping of insecticide resistance in wild Anopheles gambiae populations: major variants identified in a low-linkage disequilbrium genome. PloS one. 2010; 5(10):e13140. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0013140](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013140) PMID: [20976111](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20976111); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2956759.
- 19. Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Molecular ecology. 2014; 23(13):3133-57. doi: [10.1111/mec.12796](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12796) PMID: [24845075.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24845075)
- 20. Weetman D, Wilding CS, Steen K, Pinto J, Donnelly MJ. Gene flow-dependent genomic divergence between Anopheles gambiae M and S forms. Molecular biology and evolution. 2012; 29(1):279–91. doi: [10.1093/molbev/msr199](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr199) PMID: [21836185;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21836185) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3259608.
- 21. Costantini C, Ayala D, Guelbeogo WM, Pombi M, Some CY, Bassole IH, et al. Living at the edge: biogeographic patterns of habitat segregation conform to speciation by niche expansion in Anopheles gambiae. BMC ecology. 2009; 9:16. doi: [10.1186/1472-6785-9-16](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-9-16) PMID: [19460144;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19460144) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2702294.
- 22. della Torre A, Tu Z, Petrarca V. On the distribution and genetic differentiation of Anopheles gambiae s. s. molecular forms. Insect biochemistry and molecular biology. 2005; 35(7):755–69. doi: [10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2005.02.006) [ibmb.2005.02.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2005.02.006) PMID: [15894192.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15894192)
- 23. Simard F, Ayala D, Kamdem GC, Pombi M, Etouna J, Ose K, et al. Ecological niche partitioning between Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in Cameroon: the ecological side of speciation. BMC ecology. 2009; 9:17. doi: [10.1186/1472-6785-9-17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-9-17) PMID: [19460146](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19460146); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2698860.
- 24. Santolamazza F, Calzetta M, Etang J, Barrese E, Dia I, Caccone A, et al. Distribution of knock-down resistance mutations in Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in west and west-central Africa. Malaria journal. 2008; 7:74. Epub 2008/05/01. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-7-74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-7-74) PMID: [18445265](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18445265); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2405802.
- 25. Caputo B, Santolamazza F, Vicente JL, Nwakanma DC, Jawara M, Palsson K, et al. The "far-west" of Anopheles gambiae molecular forms. PloS one. 2011; 6(2):e16415. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016415) [0016415](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016415) PMID: [21347223](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21347223); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3039643.
- 26. Marsden CD, Lee Y, Nieman CC, Sanford MR, Dinis J, Martins C, et al. Asymmetric introgression between the M and S forms of the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae, maintains divergence despite extensive hybridization. Molecular ecology. 2011; 20(23):4983–94. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05339.x) [05339.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05339.x) PMID: [22059383;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22059383) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3222736.
- 27. Nwakanma DC, Neafsey DE, Jawara M, Adiamoh M, Lund E, Rodrigues A, et al. Breakdown in the process of incipient speciation in Anopheles gambiae. Genetics. 2013; 193(4):1221–31. doi: [10.1534/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.112.148718) [genetics.112.148718](http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.112.148718) PMID: [23335339](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23335339); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3606099.
- 28. Lee Y, Marsden CD, Norris LC, Collier TC, Main BJ, Fofana A, et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene flow and hybrid fitness between the M and S forms of the malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110(49):19854– 9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1316851110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316851110) PMID: [24248386;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24248386) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3856788.
- 29. Fontaine MC, Pease JB, Steele A, Waterhouse RM, Neafsey DE, Sharakhov IV, et al. Mosquito genomics. Extensive introgression in a malaria vector species complex revealed by phylogenomics. Science (New York, NY. 2015; 347(6217):1258524. doi: [10.1126/science.1258524](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1258524) PMID: [25431491;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25431491) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4380269.
- 30. Lehmann T, Licht M, Elissa N, Maega BT, Chimumbwa JM, Watsenga FT, et al. Population Structure of Anopheles gambiae in Africa. The Journal of heredity. 2003; 94(2):133–47. PMID: [12721225.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12721225)
- 31. Pinto J, Egyir-Yawson A, Vicente J, Gomes B, Santolamazza F, Moreno M, et al. Geographic population structure of the African malaria vector Anopheles gambiae suggests a role for the forest-savannah biome transition as a barrier to gene flow. Evolutionary applications. 2013; 6(6):910–24. doi: [10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eva.12075) [eva.12075](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eva.12075) PMID: [24062800;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24062800) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3779092.
- 32. Neafsey DE, Lawniczak MK, Park DJ, Redmond SN, Coulibaly MB, Traore SF, et al. SNP genotyping defines complex gene-flow boundaries among African malaria vector mosquitoes. Science (New York, NY. 2010; 330(6003):514–7. doi: [10.1126/science.1193036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1193036) PMID: [20966254](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20966254).
- 33. White BJ, Santolamazza F, Kamau L, Pombi M, Grushko O, Mouline K, et al. Molecular karyotyping of the 2La inversion in Anopheles gambiae. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 76(2):334–9. PMID: [17297045.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17297045)
- 34. Martinez-Torres D, Chandre F, Williamson MS, Darriet F, Berge JB, Devonshire AL, et al. Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria vector Anopheles gambiae s.s. Insect Mol Biol. 1998; 7(2):179–84. PMID: [9535162.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9535162)
- 35. Gneme A, Guelbeogo WM, Riehle MM, Sanou A, Traore A, Zongo S, et al. Equivalent susceptibility of Anopheles gambiae M and S molecular forms and Anopheles arabiensis to Plasmodium falciparum infection in Burkina Faso. Malaria journal. 2013; 12:204. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-12-204](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-204) PMID: [23764031](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23764031); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3687573.
- 36. Fryxell RT, Nieman CC, Fofana A, Lee Y, Traore SF, Cornel AJ, et al. Differential Plasmodium falciparum infection of Anopheles gambiae s.s. molecular and chromosomal forms in Mali. Malaria journal. 2012; 11:133. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-11-133](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-133) PMID: [22540973](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22540973); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3441388.
- 37. Ndiath MO, Brengues C, Konate L, Sokhna C, Boudin C, Trape JF, et al. Dynamics of transmission of Plasmodium falciparum by Anopheles arabiensis and the molecular forms M and S of Anopheles gambiae in Dielmo, Senegal. Malaria journal. 2008; 7:136. Epub 2008/07/25. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-7-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-7-136) [136](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-7-136) PMID: [18651944;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18651944) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2515330.
- 38. Ndiath MO, Cailleau A, Diedhiou SM, Gaye A, Boudin C, Richard V, et al. Effects of the kdr resistance mutation on the susceptibility of wild Anopheles gambiae populations to Plasmodium falciparum: a hindrance for vector control. Malaria journal. 2014; 13(1):340. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-13-340](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-340) PMID: [25176292](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25176292); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4159551.
- 39. Wondji C, Frederic S, Petrarca V, Etang J, Santolamazza F, Della Torre A, et al. Species and populations of the Anopheles gambiae complex in Cameroon with special emphasis on chromosomal and molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae s.s. J Med Entomol. 2005; 42(6):998–1005. Epub 2006/02/10. PMID: [16465741.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16465741)
- 40. Oliveira E, Salgueiro P, Palsson K, Vicente JL, Arez AP, Jaenson TG, et al. High levels of hybridization between molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae from Guinea Bissau. J Med Entomol. 2008; 45 (6):1057–63. Epub 2008/12/09. PMID: [19058629](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19058629).
- 41. White BJ, Hahn MW, Pombi M, Cassone BJ, Lobo NF, Simard F, et al. Localization of candidate regions maintaining a common polymorphic inversion (2La) in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS genetics. 2007; 3(12):e217. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.0030217](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030217) PMID: [18069896;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18069896) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2134946.
- 42. White BJ, Cheng C, Simard F, Costantini C, Besansky NJ. Genetic association of physically unlinked islands of genomic divergence in incipient species of Anopheles gambiae. Molecular ecology. 2010; 19 (5):925–39. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04531.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04531.x) PMID: [20149091;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20149091) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3683534.
- 43. White BJ, Lawniczak MK, Cheng C, Coulibaly MB, Wilson MD, Sagnon N, et al. Adaptive divergence between incipient species of Anopheles gambiae increases resistance to Plasmodium. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011; 108(1):244–9. Epub 2010/ 12/22. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1013648108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013648108) PMID: [21173248;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173248) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3017163.
- 44. Clarkson CS, Weetman D, Essandoh J, Yawson AE, Maslen G, Manske M, et al. Adaptive introgression between Anopheles sibling species eliminates a major genomic island but not reproductive isolation. Nature communications. 2014; 5:4248. doi: [10.1038/ncomms5248](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5248) PMID: [24963649;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24963649) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4086683.
- 45. Price AL, Zaitlen NA, Reich D, Patterson N. New approaches to population stratification in genomewide association studies. Nat Rev Genet. 2010; 11(7):459–63. doi: [10.1038/nrg2813](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg2813) PMID: [20548291](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20548291); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2975875.
- 46. Tripet F, Toure YT, Dolo G, Lanzaro GC. Frequency of multiple inseminations in field-collected Anopheles gambiae females revealed by DNA analysis of transferred sperm. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2003; 68 (1):1–5. Epub 2003/01/31. PMID: [12556139](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12556139).
- 47. Diabate A, Dabire RK, Millogo N, Lehmann T. Evaluating the effect of postmating isolation between molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 2007; 44(1):60–4. PMID: [17294921](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17294921).
- 48. Pennetier C, Warren B, Dabire KR, Russell IJ, Gibson G. "Singing on the wing" as a mechanism for species recognition in the malarial mosquito Anopheles gambiae. Curr Biol. 2010; 20(2):131–6. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.040) [1016/j.cub.2009.11.040](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.040) PMID: [20045329](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20045329).
- 49. Sanford MR, Demirci B, Marsden CD, Lee Y, Cornel AJ, Lanzaro GC. Morphological differentiation may mediate mate-choice between incipient species of Anopheles gambiae s.s. PloS one. 2011; 6(11): e27920. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0027920](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027920) PMID: [22132169;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22132169) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3221689.
- 50. Suwanchaichinda C, Kanost MR. The serpin gene family in Anopheles gambiae. Gene. 2009; 442(1– 2):47–54. doi: [10.1016/j.gene.2009.04.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.04.013) PMID: [19394412;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19394412) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2716094.
- 51. Stathopoulos S, Neafsey DE, Lawniczak MK, Muskavitch MA, Christophides GK. Genetic dissection of Anopheles gambiae gut epithelial responses to Serratia marcescens. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10(3): e1003897. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1003897](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003897) PMID: [24603764](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24603764); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3946313.
- 52. Povelones M, Upton LM, Sala KA, Christophides GK. Structure-function analysis of the Anopheles gambiae LRIM1/APL1C complex and its interaction with complement C3-like protein TEP1. PLoS Pathog. 2011; 7(4):e1002023. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1002023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002023) PMID: [21533217;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21533217) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3077365.
- 53. Christophides GK, Zdobnov E, Barillas-Mury C, Birney E, Blandin S, Blass C, et al. Immunity-related genes and gene families in Anopheles gambiae. Science (New York, NY. 2002; 298(5591):159–65. doi: [10.1126/science.1077136](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1077136) PMID: [12364793.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12364793)
- 54. Crawford JE, Bischoff E, Garnier T, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, Holm I, et al. Evidence for population-specific positive selection on immune genes of Anopheles gambiae. G3. 2012; 2(12):1505–19. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.004473) [1534/g3.112.004473](http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.004473) PMID: [23275874](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23275874); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3516473.
- 55. Rottschaefer SM, Riehle MM, Coulibaly B, Sacko M, Niare O, Morlais I, et al. Exceptional diversity, maintenance of polymorphism, and recent directional selection on the APL1 malaria resistance genes

of Anopheles gambiae. PLoS biology. 2011; 9(3):e1000600. Epub 2011/03/17. doi: [10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000600) [pbio.1000600](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000600) PMID: [21408087;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21408087) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3050937.

- 56. della Torre A, Fanello C, Akogbeto M, Dossou-yovo J, Favia G, Petrarca V, et al. Molecular evidence of incipient speciation within Anopheles gambiae s.s. in West Africa. Insect Mol Biol. 2001; 10(1):9–18. Epub 2001/03/10. doi: imb235 [pii]. PMID: [11240632.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11240632)
- 57. Gneme A, Guelbeogo WM, Riehle MM, Tiono AB, Diarra A, Kabre GB, et al. Plasmodium species occurrence, temporal distribution and interaction in a child-aged population in rural Burkina Faso. Malaria journal. 2013; 12:67. Epub 2013/02/21. doi: [10.1186/1475-2875-12-67](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-67) PMID: [23421809;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23421809) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3583752.
- 58. Cohuet A, Krishnakumar S, Simard F, Morlais I, Koutsos A, Fontenille D, et al. SNP discovery and molecular evolution in Anopheles gambiae, with special emphasis on innate immune system. BMC genomics. 2008; 9:227. doi: [10.1186/1471-2164-9-227](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-227) PMID: [18489733](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18489733); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2405807.
- 59. Singer VL, Jones LJ, Yue ST, Haugland RP. Characterization of PicoGreen reagent and development of a fluorescence-based solution assay for double-stranded DNA quantitation. Anal Biochem. 1997; 249(2):228–38. doi: [10.1006/abio.1997.2177](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abio.1997.2177) PMID: [9212875](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9212875).
- 60. Niare O, Markianos K, Volz J, Oduol F, Toure A, Bagayoko M, et al. Genetic loci affecting resistance to human malaria parasites in a West African mosquito vector population. Science (New York, NY. 2002; 298(5591):213–6. doi: [10.1126/science.1073420](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1073420) PMID: [12364806.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12364806)
- 61. Illumina. GenomeStudio Genotyping Module User Guide (PDF document), [http://support.illumina.com/](http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf) [content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/](http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf) [genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf](http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf) [PDF document]. 2008 [12/1/2014]. Available: [http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-](http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf) [4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf](http://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/myillumina/d2c2c169-36c7-4613-89d6-bf34588a7624/genomestudio_gt_module_v1.0_ug_11319113_reva.pdf).
- 62. Fisher RA. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd; 1954. 356 p.