

Dengue virus replicates and accumulates in Aedes aegypti salivary glands

Vincent Raquin, Louis Lambrechts

▶ To cite this version:

Vincent Raquin, Louis Lambrechts. Dengue virus replicates and accumulates in Aedes aegypti salivary glands. Virology, 2017, 507, pp.75-81. 10.1016/j.virol.2017.04.009. pasteur-01976225

HAL Id: pasteur-01976225 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01976225

Submitted on 9 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	1	Dengue virus replicates and accumulates in <i>Aedes aegypti</i> salivary		
2 3 1	2	glands		
5	3			
7	4	Vincent Raquin ^{a,b,1,*} , Louis Lambrechts ^{a,b,*}		
9 0	5			
1 2	6	^a Insect-Virus Interactions Group, Department of Genomes and Genetics, Institut Pasteur, 75015 Paris,		
- 3 4	7	France		
5 6	8	^b Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité de Recherche Associée 3012, 75015 Paris,		
7 8	9	France		
9 0	10			
1 2	11	* Corresponding authors.		
3 4	12	E-mail addresses: louis.lambrechts@pasteur.fr (L.L.); vincent.raquin@univ-lyon1.fr (V.R.)		
5 6	13			
7 8	14	Keywords:		
9 0	15	Dengue virus		
1 2	16	Aedes aegypti		
3 4	17	mosquito		
5 6	18	salivary glands		
8	19	virus replication		
9 0 1	20	strand-specific RT-qPCR		
1 2 3	21			
2 4 5	22			
6 7	23			
, 8 9	24			
0 1	25			
- 2 3	26			
4 5	27			
6 7	28			
8 9	29			
0 1 2 3	¹ Present address: Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérie Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 69364 07, France			



Highlights

- Strand-specific RT-qPCR allows accurate quantification of DENV (-) RNA in mosquito tissues
- Detection of DENV (-) RNA in salivary glands provides evidence of viral replication in this tissue
- Viral replication in salivary glands likely replenishes DENV genetic diversity prior to transmission

Abstract

Dengue virus (DENV) is an RNA virus transmitted among humans by mosquito vectors, mainly Aedes aegypti. DENV transmission requires viral dissemination from the mosquito midgut to the salivary glands. During this process the virus undergoes several population bottlenecks, which are stochastic reductions in population size that restrict intra-host viral genetic diversity and limit the efficiency of natural selection. Despite the implications for virus transmission and evolution, DENV replication in salivary glands has not been directly demonstrated. Here, we used a strand-specific quantitative RT-PCR assay to demonstrate that negative-strand DENV RNA is produced in Ae. aegypti salivary glands, providing conclusive evidence that viral replication occurs in this tissue. Furthermore, we showed that the concentration of DENV genomic RNA in salivary glands increases significantly over time, indicating that active replication likely replenishes DENV genetic diversity prior to transmission. These findings improve our understanding of the biological determinants of DENV fitness and evolution.

1. Introduction

Dengue virus (DENV) is responsible for an estimated 390 million human infections each year, mostly in tropical and sub-tropical regions (Bhatt et al., 2013). Over the last few decades, DENV has become the most prevalent arthropod-borne virus (arbovirus) of humans (Guzman and Harris, 2015). DENV is a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the genus *Flavivirus* in the family Flaviviridae. It consists of four closely related serotypes (DENV-1 to -4) that are loosely antigenically distinct (Katzelnick et al., 2015). Each DENV serotype can be subdivided into different genotypes based on the divergence of viral gene sequences (Holmes and Twiddy, 2003). In the absence of specific therapeutics, dengue prevention is limited to vector control, which has been largely unsuccessful to date (Bowman et al., 2016). The current lack of antiviral therapeutics is partly due to knowledge gaps in DENV biology (Diamond and Pierson, 2015).

The DENV infection cycle initiates with virus attachment to the target cell through interactions between the viral envelope (E) protein and various cell surface receptors (Cruz-Oliveira et al., 2015). Following receptor-mediated endocytosis, DENV genomic RNA is released into the cytoplasm and

translated into a polyprotein of ~3,400 amino acids that is further cleaved by viral and host proteases into three structural (C, M and E) and seven nonstructural (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5) proteins (Murray et al., 2008). DENV replication occurs with the formation of a membrane-bound replication complex that incorporates viral genomic RNA, viral proteins and host factors (Welsch et al., 2009). The DENV positive-strand (+) genomic RNA serves as a template to synthetize a negative-strand (-) complementary RNA, which, in turn, is used for the production of multiple (+) RNA genomes available for translation, initiation of new replication cycles or packaging into virions (Westaway et al., 1999). DENV (-) RNA is considered a hallmark of viral replication in infected cells, thereby providing a useful proxy to identify an active virus infection (Vaughan et al., 2002).

The primary DENV vector in nature is the mosquito Aedes aegypti (Lambrechts et al., 2010). Following a blood meal on a viremic human, DENV initially establishes infection in the mosquito midgut, before it disseminates systemically through the hoemocel (*i.e.*, the insect's body cavity) where it can invade secondary tissues. Infection of the salivary glands and release of virions into the saliva is the last step prior to virus transmission to the next human host. The time it takes between initial midgut infection and subsequent transmission of DENV by Ae. aegypti, called the extrinsic incubation period, ranges from 7 to 14 days at 25-30°C (Tjaden et al., 2013). Despite the critical role of mosquitoes in DENV transmission and their potential as targets for control strategies, several aspects of DENV-mosquito interactions are still poorly documented.

Several anatomical barriers impede DENV propagation in the mosquito, causing viral population bottlenecks (Black et al., 2002). Such bottlenecks are stochastic drops in population size, which combine with natural selection to shape the genetic composition of intra-host viral populations (Lambrechts and Lequime, 2016; Lequime et al., 2016). Despite these population bottlenecks, arboviruses typically maintain high levels of genetic diversity during transmission by mosquitoes (Forrester et al., 2014). For example, while DENV populations undergo strong genetic drift due to a tight population bottleneck during initial midgut mosquito infection, they subsequently display high levels of genetic diversity in salivary glands (Lambrechts and Leguime, 2016; Leguime et al., 2016). Replenishment of genetic diversity is thought to occur through *de novo* mutations during population expansion, but the specific underlying mechanisms are unclear. In particular, it has long been assumed that DENV replicates in Ae. aegypti salivary glands but, to the best of our knowledge, it has never been unequivocally demonstrated.

The common assumption that DENV replicates in salivary glands derives from the observation during experimental infections that DENV genomic RNA and DENV antigens accumulate over time in Ae. aegypti salivary glands (Anderson and Rico-Hesse, 2006; Luplertlop et al., 2011; Salazar et al., 2007). However, viral RNA or antigen accumulation in salivary glands could simply result from a continuous influx of virions from other tissues where the virus replicates. Direct evidence for viral replication usually relies on detection of replicative intermediates such as (-) RNA or (+)/(-) dsRNA duplex (Westaway et al., 1999). Because specific quantification of one RNA strand can be biased during the reverse transcription reaction by false-priming events (*i.e.*, reverse transcription in the absence of specific primer), tagged RT-PCR techniques have been developed to overcome this issue (Bessaud et al., 2008; Horsington and Zhang, 2007; Kawakami et al., 2011; Peyrefitte et al., 2003; Purcell et al., 2006). Here, we adapted a previously developed tag-based, strand-specific quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) method to provide direct evidence that DENV replicates in the salivary glands of Ae. aegypti based on detection and quantification of both (+) RNA and (-) RNA.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Ethical statement

The Institut Pasteur animal facility has received accreditation from the French Ministry of Agriculture to perform experiments on live animals in compliance with the French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals. Rabbit blood draws performed during the course of this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Institut Pasteur under protocol number 2015-0032.

2.2. Mosquitoes

All experiments were carried out with adult *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes derived from a field population originally sampled in 2013 in Thep Nakorn, Kamphaeng Phet Province, Thailand, where dengue is endemic (Endy et al., 2002; Fansiri et al., 2013). Experiments took place within 6 generations of laboratory colonization. The insectary conditions for mosquito maintenance were 28°C, 70% relative humidity (RH) and a 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle. Eggs were hatched in dechlorinated tap water and 120 larvae were reared in 24×34×9 cm plastic trays with a standard diet of Tetramin fish food (Tetra).
121 Adults were maintained in 30×30×30 cm plastic cages (~500 individuals/cage) with permanent access
122 to 10% sucrose solution. Adult females were offered commercial rabbit blood (BCL) twice a week
123 through a membrane feeding system (Hemotek Ltd.) using pig intestine as the membrane. Eggs were
124 collected on blotting paper and stored for less than 4 months under insectary conditions.

2.3. Virus

A wild-type, low-passage DENV-1 isolate (KDH0030A) was obtained in 2010 from the serum of a dengue patient attending Kamphaeng Phet Provincial Hospital, Thailand, as previously described (Fansiri et al., 2013). Informed consent of the patient was not necessary because the virus isolated in laboratory cell culture was no longer considered a human sample. Prior to its use in this study, the virus isolate was passaged three times in C6/36 mosquito cells cultivated in Leibovitz's L-15 culture medium (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific), 1% non-essential amino acids (Life Technologies) and 0.1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) at 28°C. The full-length consensus genome sequence of the KDH0030A isolate is available from GenBank under accession number HG316482. Virus stock was prepared as previously described (Fontaine et al., 2016). Infectious titer of the viral stock was estimated at 8.5 x 10⁷ focus-forming units (FFU) per mL using a standard focus-forming assay in C6/36 cells as previously described (Fontaine et al., 2016).

2.4. Mosquito exposure to DENV

Oral mosquito exposure to DENV was conducted as previously described (Fontaine et al., 2016). Briefly, eggs were hatched synchronously in a low-pressure chamber for 1 h. After hatching, first-instar larvae were distributed into 24×34×9 cm plastic trays filled with 1.5 L of dechlorinated tap water at a density of 200±10 larvae per tray. Larvae were fed with 0.1 mg of Tetramin fish food (Tetra) per tray every two days. Pupae were transferred to 30×30×30 cm plastic cages (~500 individuals/cage) to emerge. Adults were maintained with 10% sucrose solution *ad libitum*. The day prior to oral virus exposure, mosquitoes were deprived of sucrose and four- to 7-day-old females were transferred to a biosafety level-3 insectary where they were maintained at 28°C, 70% RH and under a 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle. On the day of the infectious blood meal, washed rabbit erythrocytes resuspended in

150 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, ThermoFisher Scientific) were mixed 2:1 with pre-diluted DENV-1 viral stock and supplemented with 10 mM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich). The viral stock was pre-diluted in L-15 medium with 10% FBS, 0.1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 1% sodium bicarbonate (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) to reach an infectious titer of 1 x 10⁷ FFU/mL of blood meal. A control blood meal was prepared identically except that the supernatant of mock-inoculated C6/36 cells replaced the viral suspension. Mosquitoes were offered the infectious or mock blood meal for 30 min through an artificial blood feeding system (Hemotek Ltd.) set at 37°C with a piece of desalted porcine intestine as the membrane. A sample of the blood meal was saved and stored at -80°C for later titration. Following the blood meal, fully engorged females were transferred to 1-pint cardboard boxes (20-30 females per cup), with a minimum of two boxes per condition. Mosquitoes were incubated in an environmental cabinet (Binder) at 28°C, 70% RH and under a 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle with permanent access to

2.5. Dissections and RNA isolation

On day 2, 7, 14 and 21 post DENV exposure, females were immobilized on ice and their midgut and salivary glands were dissected under a magnifying glass on a clean glass slide, in a drop of 0.22 µm-filtered 1X PBS (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific). Midgut and salivary glands were rinsed twice in a drop of 1X PBS prior to RNA isolation, in order to prevent contamination by viral RNA from adjacent tissues or hemolymph. Special care was given to completely eliminate fat body surrounding salivary glands. Dissection tools were decontaminated between each individual using Surfa'Safe (Anios) and RNase AWAY (Life Technologies) to avoid cross contamination. Individual organs were transferred to a tube containing 300 µL of RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen) and ~20 glass beads of 1 mm in diameter (Biospec). Samples were homogenized for 30 sec at 6,000 rpm in a Precellys homogenizer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and stored at -80°C. Total RNA was isolated using QIAamp viral kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions and eluted in 35 µL of RNase-free water (Gibco, ThermoFisher

2.6. In vitro transcription of strand-specific viral RNA

A 101 bp-fragment (position 1,102 to 1,203) of DENV-1 E gene was cloned into pCR II-TOPO Dual Promoter vector (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) using one-shot E. coli TOP10 chemically competent

180 cells (ThermoFisher Scientific). Plasmid was recovered from a single transformant clone using Mini-181 Prep kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and sequenced by the Sanger method to determine the orientation 182 of the insert. Strand-specific DENV-1 RNA was synthetized in two separate *in vitro* transcription 183 reactions with the MEGAscript kit (Ambion, ThermoFisher Scientific) using 1.5 µg of linearized 184 recombinant plasmid and either T7 or Sp6 RNA polymerases. Contaminant DNA was removed using 185 TURBO DNA-*free* kit (Ambion, ThermoFisher Scientific) and synthetic DENV-1 (+) and (-) RNA were 186 purified on Qiagen RNeasy columns following manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was 187 measured with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. Purified synthetic RNA was diluted to 1 x 10¹² 188 copies/µL, aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

2.7. Strand-specific RT-qPCR of viral RNA

Specific DENV-1 E primers containing a 21-nt tag sequence at the 5' end absent from both DENV and Ae. aegypti genomes (Table 1) were designed as previously described (Plaskon et al., 2009). To specifically incorporate the tag sequence into cDNA generated from (+) or (-) DENV-1 RNA, only the tag-D1EnvR or the tag-D1EnvF were added during RT, respectively. First-strand cDNA synthesis reaction contained 0.5 µM of primers, 0.2 mM of dNTP mix, 10 µL of template RNA and RNase-free water up to 14.5 µL. After incubation at 65°C for 15 min to denature dsRNA complexes, samples were chilled on ice for 5 min. Each reaction consisted of 4 µL of 5X First-Strand buffer, 1 µL of 0.1 mM Dithiothreitol, 40 units of RNase-OUT and 100 units of MML-V reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) in a final volume of 20 µL. cDNA synthesis was conducted at 37°C for 50 min, then reaction was inactivated at 70°C for 15 min. Unincorporated primers were digested with 20 units of Exonuclease I (New England Biolabs) to avoid any primer competition during PCR. cDNA was quantified using SYBR-green based quantitative PCR (qPCR). D1EnvR and D1EnvF qPCR primers include a 12-bp helper sequence at their 5' end to increase fluorescence signal and facilitate cDNA 204 detection (Table 1). Strand-specific cDNA quantification was performed by using a tag-specific primer 205 (Table 1) mixed with either D1EnvF or D1EnvR primer for (+) or (-) cDNA, respectively. The qPCR mix 206 contained 800 nM of each primer, 10 µL of 2X SYBR-green I Master Mix (Roche), 2 µL of cDNA 207 template and PCR-grade water up to a final volume of 20 µL. After an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 208 min, cDNA amplification consisted of 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 sec, 60°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 10 sec. Melting curve was obtained by heating at 95°C for 5 sec, 65°C for 60 sec and performing continuous fluorescence acquisition up to 97°C with a ramp rate of 0.2°C/sec. Serial dilutions of (-) and (+) synthetic RNA transcripts from 1 x 10^8 to 1 x 10^1 copies/µL were used as standards, in duplicate. Each RT-qPCR plate included a negative control RNA (from mosquitoes fed on an uninfected blood meal), an exonuclease control (only one qPCR primer added) as well as a notemplate control.

Table 1. Primers used for strand-specific RT-qPCR

Oligonucleotide name	Reaction	Sequence (5'-3') ¹⁻²
DENV (-) strand detection		
tag-D1EnvF	RT	GGCCGTCATGGTGGCGAATAATGCGCAAACTGTGCATTGAAG
D1EnvR	qPCR	aataaatcataaGTTCGCGTCTTGTTCTTCCA
tag	qPCR	aataaatcataaGGCCGTCATGGTGGCGAATAA
DENV (+) strand detection		
tag-D1EnvR	RT	GGCCGTCATGGTGGCGAATAAGTTCGCGTCTTGTTCTTCCA
D1EnvF	qPCR	aataaatcataaTGCGCAAACTGTGCATTGAAG
tag	qPCR	(see sequence above)

'The helper sequence is shown in lowercase

²The tag sequence is shown in bold font

2.8. Fluorescence microscopy of DENV-infected salivary glands

Dissected salivary glands still attached to the mosquito head were directly transferred to the fixative to avoid any damage, thereby keeping small fragments of fat body surrounding the tissue. Salivary glands were fixed for 24 h at 4°C in a 1.5-mL microtube containing 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich) prepared in 1X PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich),. After three washes of 10 min each in 1X PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T), tissues were incubated for 1 h at 4°C in a blocking buffer consisting of 1X PBS-T supplemented with 5% bovine serum albumin, 5% FBS and 0.1% Triton-X 100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Tissues were incubated in 100 μL of anti-DENV complex primary antibody (MAB8705, Millipore) diluted 1:200 in blocking buffer, for 24 h at 4°C, followed by three washes in 1X PBS-T. After removing PBS-T, 100 μL of Alexa488-conjugated secondary antibody (Molecular probes, Invitrogen) diluted 1:1,000 in blocking buffer were added and tissues were incubated at 23°C for 2 h. After three washes in 1X PBS-T, heads were removed under a magnifying glass and salivary glands placed on a glass slide in 10 μL of ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, dihydrochloride (DAPI). The preparation was covered with a 22×22 mm coverslip and sealed with nail polish. Tissue observation was conducted under an EVOS fluorescence microscope (ThermoFisher Scientific). Salivary glands dissected from mosquitoes fed on an uninfected blood meal were used as a control.

2.9. Statistical analyses

The number of DENV RNA copies measured by strand-specific RT-qPCR was log₁₀-transformed and analyzed as a function of time by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The (+) / (-) DENV RNA ratios were analyzed by ANOVA as a function of time, tissue and their interaction. Time post virus exposure was considered an ordinal variable. Normal distribution of the ANOVA residuals was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Pairwise differences of viral load or RNA ratios between time points were determined by multiple t-tests with Holm correction for multiple testing. All statistical analyses were performed in the R environment (<u>https://www.r-project.org/</u>, version 3.2.0).

3. Results

3.1. Strand-specific quantification of DENV RNA

We used a DNase-treated, synthetic DENV (+) RNA fragment of 101 bp in the *E* gene as a template (concentration 1 x 10⁷ copies/µL) for reverse transcription (RT) in absence of primer to measure the level of falsely-primed cDNA synthesis by the reverse transcriptase. The resulting cDNA was quantified using qPCR with standard D1EnvF and D1EnvR primers (Table 1). In three replicate reactions, primer-free reverse transcriptase activity resulted in an estimated mean of 2.4 x 10⁵ copies/µL of DENV RNA (Fig. 1A). Therefore, to avoid quantification of falsely-primed cDNA, we performed separate RT reactions for DENV (-) or (+) RNA templates using either tag-D1EnvF or tag-D1EnvR primers, respectively (Table 1). This allowed subsequent strand-specific quantification of cDNA by qPCR using a tagged primer in combination with either D1EnvR or D1EnvF primers (Table 1). This resulted in a 146-bp amplicon consisting of 101 bp of the template sequence, 21 bp of the strand-specific tag and 12 bp of helper sequences at both ends. Quantification of tagged cDNA template with standard D1EnvF and D1EnvR primers was used as a positive control, resulting in the expected 101-bp amplicon (Fig. 1B). We verified that the exonuclease treatment performed after the RT to eliminate unincorporated tagged primers effectively prevented non-strand-specific cDNA amplification by using only the D1EnvR or the D1EnvF primers during qPCR. As expected, no amplification was detected and no-template controls were also negative (Fig. 1B). We used ten-fold serial dilutions of DENV (+) and (-) RNA transcribed *in vitro* to evaluate the efficiency of our strandspecific RT-qPCR method. RT-qPCR efficiency was 99% and 97% for DENV (+) and (-) RNA, respectively, with a correlation fit (R^2) of 0.99 for both strands (Fig. 1C-D).



Fig. 1. Strand-specific quantification of DENV RNA. (A) Falsely-primed DENV cDNA detected in qPCR following RT in the absence of primer. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the mean (*n*=3). (B) Tagged primers allow strand-specific RT-qPCR amplification of DENV RNA. The picture shows amplification products separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel stained with

ethidium bromide. Amplification of a 146-bp tagged cDNA fragment using (-) or (+) strand-specific primers is shown in lane 1 and lane 6, respectively. Absence of falsely-primed cDNA detection following (-) or (+) strand-specific qPCR amplification of a cDNA template produced in the absence of RT primers is shown in lane 2 and lane 7, respectively. Detection of a 101-bp cDNA fragment following non-strand-specific qPCR amplification of (-) or (+) tagged cDNA template is shown in lane 3 and lane 8, respectively. Controls for RT primer digestion by Exonuclease I show no amplification of (-) and (+) tagged cDNA following qPCR with only the strand-specific primer not complementary to the tag sequence in lane 4 and lane 9, respectively. No-template controls for tagged cDNA amplification using (-) and (+) strand-specific primers are shown in lane 5 and lane 10, respectively. Product size was estimated using a 100-bp size ladder (L). (**C**, **D**) RT-qPCR allows efficient and accurate quantification of both DENV RNA strands. Standards curves used for DENV (-) RNA (**C**) and DENV (+) RNA (**D**) quantification are shown. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the means (*n*=2-3 per template dilution level).

3.2. DENV replicates and accumulates in Ae. aegypti salivary glands

Our strand-specific RT-qPCR assay allowed quantification of both DENV (+) and (-) RNA loads isolated from the midgut and salivary glands of individual mosquitoes previously exposed to an infectious blood meal (Fig. 2A). All the midguts tested (n=24) were infected, with both DENV (-) and (+) RNA detected in all the samples regardless of the day post exposure (Fig. 2A). The midgut viral load varied significantly according to the time post exposure for DENV (-) and (+) RNA (ANOVA: P < 0.0001). There was a significant increase (multiple t-test: P < 0.05) in both DENV (+) and (-) RNA loads between day 2 (n=5) and day 7 (n=5) post exposure. The viral load did not significantly vary between day 7 (n=6), day 14 (n=6) and day 21 (n=7) post exposure (Fig. 2A).

All mosquitoes tested were negative for DENV RNA in salivary glands on day 2 post exposure (n=9) (Fig. 2B). On day 7 post exposure, only DENV (+) RNA was detected in salivary glands in 6 out of 7 individuals (Fig. 2B). All the mosquitoes tested on day 14 (n=8) and on day 21 (n=7) were positive for DENV (+) RNA in salivary glands (Fig. 2B). DENV (-) RNA, however, was only detected in salivary glands in 4 out of 8 individuals on day 14 post exposure, and in 4 out of 7 individuals on day 21 post exposure (Fig. 2B). The analysis of viral load as a function of time post exposure indicated that DENV (-) RNA load did not significantly vary between day 14 and day 21 post exposure (ANOVA: P = 0.18).

However, DENV (+) RNA load increased significantly over time (ANOVA: P = 0.01), indicating that genomic viral RNA accumulates in salivary glands. DENV (+) RNA load was significantly lower (multiple t-test: P < 0.05) on day 7 than on day 21 post exposure (Fig. 2B). Together, these results indicate that DENV actively replicates and accumulates in mosquito salivary glands. Accordingly, we observed accumulation of viral proteins by immunofluorescence assay in salivary glands (Fig. S1).

2

4





b) and Greek letters (α - β) above the graph indicate statistically significant differences between time points (multiple t-tests: P < 0.05) in (-) RNA loads and (+) RNA loads, respectively. Viral loads are not significantly different between time points sharing a letter.

In individual mosquito tissues in which both strands of DENV RNA were detected, the (+) / (-) ratio did not vary according to time post exposure neither in the midgut nor in the salivary glands (Fig. 3). In the midgut, the mean (+) / (-) RNA ratio was 30 on day 14 and 33 on day 21 (Fig. 3A). In the salivary glands, it was 530 on day 14 and 750 on day 21 (Fig. 3B). Whereas the time post exposure had no effect (ANOVA: P = 0.19), the mean (+) / (-) RNA ratio was significantly higher in the salivary glands than in the midgut (ANOVA: P < 0.0001).

А



В



Fig. 3. Ratio of positive to negative DENV RNA strands in *Ae. aegypti* midgut and salivary glands. Boxplots represent the ratio of (+) / (-) RNA strands in the midgut (A) and in the salivary glands (B) of individual mosquitoes at different time points post exposure. The same letter above the boxplots of panel A indicate the lack of statistically significant differences (multiple t-tests: P > 0.05) in the mean viral load between time points. The *P*-value above panel B was obtained by t-test.

4. Discussion

We used a strand-specific RT-qPCR method to detect and quantify genomic and replicative RNA forms of a low-passage DENV-1 isolate in the midgut and in the salivary glands of individual Ae. aegypti mosquitoes from a field-derived population. An earlier study on DENV replication in Ae. aegypti focused on the midgut and did not use a strand-specific method (Richardson et al., 2006). This study likely quantified falsely-primed DENV cDNA and therefore overestimated viral replication. We detected DENV replicative RNA in the midgut of Ae. aegypti using a strand-specific method and confirmed that this tissue is an active DENV replication site. This is consistent with the detection in mosquito cell culture and in the mosquito midgut of virus-derived small interfering RNAs produced by the antiviral RNA interference pathway that align to both (+) and (-) strands of the DENV genome (Hess et al., 2011; Sánchez-Vargas et al., 2009; Schirtzinger et al., 2015). In agreement with previous studies, the amount of genomic DENV RNA that we detected in the midgut increased until day 7 post exposure but remained stable thereafter (Amuzu et al., 2015; Choy et al., 2015; Salazar et al., 2007; Sánchez-Vargas et al., 2009). DENV (-) RNA was detected in the midgut as soon as two days post exposure. Replicative RNA load remained stable from day 7 to day 21 post exposure indicating that DENV persistently replicates in the midgut. In contrast with the RNA load, DENV infectious titer in the midgut decreases during the late stage of infection (Salazar et al., 2007), which is partly attributed to the action of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Choy et al., 2015).

In salivary glands, we detected DENV (+) RNA from day 7 and DENV (-) RNA from day 14 post virus exposure. DENV (+) RNA significantly accumulated from day 7 to day 21 post virus exposure. Together, this provides strong evidence that DENV replicates within the salivary glands, a key tissue for virus transmission. Unlike the midgut samples, some salivary gland samples were positive for DENV genomic RNA in the absence of detectable (-) RNA. This discrepancy could reflect either a

delay in DENV replication initiation, or insufficient sensitivity of the assay to detect initially low levels of replicative RNA. Interestingly, we noticed that the (+) / (-) RNA ratio was significantly lower in the midgut than in the salivary glands. This suggests that the dynamics of DENV replication may differ between mosquito tissues.

Direct evidence for DENV replication in *Ae. aegypti* salivary glands has important implications for DENV fitness and evolution. Despite anatomical barriers that result in population bottlenecks during mosquito infection, active replication in salivary glands likely replenishes DENV genetic diversity prior to transmission to the next human host. This helps to explain the relatively high level of DENV genetic diversity observed in salivary glands (Lambrechts and Lequime, 2016; Lequime et al., 2016), similar to the levels of DENV genetic diversity measured in human plasma (Sim et al., 2015). Genetic diversity generated by *de novo* mutations during replication, however, does not prevent genetic drift due to population bottlenecks. As a result, salivary glands from individual mosquitoes contain unique viral populations (Lequime et al., 2016; Sim et al., 2015). For ethical reasons, evolutionary forces acting on DENV populations during mosquito-to-human transmission cannot be experimentally examined, but DENV genetic diversity in salivary secretions collected *in vitro* could be a reasonable proxy.

Another underexplored area that deserves attention is the cellular biology of DENV-infected salivary glands. DENV tropism within mosquito salivary glands is heterogeneous (Linthicum et al., 1996; Raquin et al., 2012; Salazar et al., 2007) potentially due to the distribution of putative salivary gland receptors (Cao-Lormeau, 2009). Ultra structural studies of mosquito salivary glands infected by DENV documented the absence of cytopathic effects, the lateral lobes being first infected with a relative small number of viral particles localized in the cytoplasm and the apical cavities of acinar cells, as well as in the salivary duct (Linthicum et al., 1996; Salazar et al., 2007; Sriurairatna and Bhamarapravati, 1977). Interestingly, the pattern of salivary gland infection depends on the specific vector/arbovirus association (Girard et al., 2005, 2007; Scott et al., 1984; Soekiman et al., 1986; Sriurairatna and Bhamarapravati, 1977; Vega-Rúa et al., 2015). Further studies combining molecular detection of DENV and ultra structural observations will be necessary to reach a more thorough understanding of intimate interactions between DENV and its mosquito vector.

3 Acknowledgments

65

We thank an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments on a previous version of the manuscript. We also thank Catherine Lallemand for assistance with mosquito rearing and Emilie Pondeville for advice on salivary gland preparation for microscopy. We are grateful to Alongkot Ponlawat and Thanyalak Fansiri for the initial field collection of mosquitoes. We acknowledge helpful comments and discussions from all members of the Lambrechts lab. This work was supported by the Institut Pasteur Transversal Research Program (grant PTR-410), the French Government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), and the City of Paris Emergence(s) program in Biomedical Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

1 5. References

Amuzu, H.E., Simmons, C.P., McGraw, E.A., 2015. Effect of repeat human blood feeding on
 Wolbachia density and dengue virus infection in *Aedes aegypti*. Parasit. Vectors 8, 246.
 doi:10.1186/s13071-015-0853-y

Anderson, J.R., Rico-Hesse, R., 2006. *Aedes aegypti* vectorial capacity is determined by the infecting
genotype of dengue virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 75, 886–892.

Bessaud, M., Autret, A., Jegouic, S., Balanant, J., Joffret, M.-L., Delpeyroux, F., 2008. Development of
a Taqman RT-PCR assay for the detection and quantification of negatively stranded RNA of human
enteroviruses: evidence for false-priming and improvement by tagged RT-PCR. J. Virol. Methods 153,
182–189. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.07.010

¹414 Bhatt, S., Gething, P.W., Brady, O.J., Messina, J.P., Farlow, A.W., Moyes, C.L., Drake, J.M.,

415 Brownstein, J.S., Hoen, A.G., Sankoh, O., Myers, M.F., George, D.B., Jaenisch, T., Wint, G.R.W.,

416 Simmons, C.P., Scott, T.W., Farrar, J.J., Hay, S.I., 2013. The global distribution and burden of

417 dengue. Nature 496, 504–507. doi:10.1038/nature12060

419 Black, W.C., Bennett, K.E., Gorrochótegui-Escalante, N., Barillas-Mury, C.V., Fernández-Salas, I., de Lourdes Muñoz, M., Farfán-Alé, J.A., Olson, K.E., Beaty, B.J., 2002. Flavivirus susceptibility in Aedes aegypti. Arch. Med. Res. 33, 379-388. Bowman, L.R., Donegan, S., McCall, P.J., 2016. Is Dengue Vector Control Deficient in Effectiveness or Evidence?: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 10, e0004551. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551 Cao-Lormeau, V.-M., 2009. Dengue viruses binding proteins from Aedes aegypti and Aedes polynesiensis salivary glands. Virol. J. 6, 35. doi:10.1186/1743-422X-6-35 Choy, M.M., Sessions, O.M., Gubler, D.J., Ooi, E.E., 2015. Production of Infectious Dengue Virus in Aedes aegypti Is Dependent on the Ubiquitin Proteasome Pathway. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 9, e0004227. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004227 Cruz-Oliveira, C., Freire, J.M., Conceição, T.M., Higa, L.M., Castanho, M.A.R.B., Da Poian, A.T., 2015. Receptors and routes of dengue virus entry into the host cells. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39, 155-170. doi:10.1093/femsre/fuu004 Diamond, M.S., Pierson, T.C., 2015. Molecular Insight into Dengue Virus Pathogenesis and Its Implications for Disease Control. Cell 162, 488-492. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.005 Endy, T.P., Chunsuttiwat, S., Nisalak, A., Libraty, D.H., Green, S., Rothman, A.L., Vaughn, D.W., Ennis, F.A., 2002. Epidemiology of inapparent and symptomatic acute dengue virus infection: a prospective study of primary school children in Kamphaeng Phet, Thailand. Am. J. Epidemiol. 156, 40-51. Fansiri, T., Fontaine, A., Diancourt, L., Caro, V., Thaisomboonsuk, B., Richardson, J.H., Jarman, R.G., Ponlawat, A., Lambrechts, L., 2013. Genetic mapping of specific interactions between Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and dengue viruses. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003621. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003621

449 1 $_2$ 450 Fontaine, A., Jiolle, D., Moltini-Conclois, I., Lequime, S., Lambrechts, L., 2016. Excretion of dengue 3 ${}^{\circ}_4$ 451 virus RNA by Aedes aegypti allows non-destructive monitoring of viral dissemination in individual 5 $_{6}$ 452 mosquitoes. Sci. Rep. 6, 24885. doi:10.1038/srep24885 7 ₈ 453 9 10 454Forrester, N.L., Coffey, L.L., Weaver, S.C., 2014. Arboviral bottlenecks and challenges to maintaining 11 12 **455** diversity and fitness during mosquito transmission. Viruses 6, 3991-4004. doi:10.3390/v6103991 13 14 456 15 16 457 Girard, Y.A., Popov, V., Wen, J., Han, V., Higgs, S., 2005. Ultrastructural Study of West Nile Virus 17 18 458Pathogenesis in Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 42, 429-444. 19 20 **459** doi:10.1603/0022-2585 21 22 **460** 23 24 **461** Girard, Y.A., Schneider, B.S., McGee, C.E., Wen, J., Han, V.C., Popov, V., Mason, P.W., Higgs, S., 25 26 **462** 2007. Salivary gland morphology and virus transmission during long-term cytopathologic West Nile 27 28 463 virus infection in Culex mosquitoes. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 76, 118-128. 29 30 464 31 ³² 465 Guzman, M.G., Harris, E., 2015. Dengue. The Lancet 385, 453-465. doi:10.1016/S0140-33 ³⁴ **466** 6736(14)60572-9 35 ³⁶ 467 37 ³⁸ 468 Hess, A.M., Prasad, A.N., Ptitsyn, A., Ebel, G.D., Olson, K.E., Barbacioru, C., Monighetti, C., 39 ⁴⁰ 469 Campbell, C.L., 2011. Small RNA profiling of Dengue virus-mosquito interactions implicates the PIWI 41 ⁴² **470** RNA pathway in anti-viral defense. BMC Microbiol. 11, 45. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-11-45 43 ⁴⁴ 471 45 ⁴⁶ 472 Holmes, E.C., Twiddy, S.S., 2003. The origin, emergence and evolutionary genetics of dengue virus. 47 ⁴⁸ 473 Infect. Genet. Evol. 3, 19-28. doi:10.1016/S1567-1348(03)00004-2 49 ⁵⁰ 474 51 52 475 Horsington, J., Zhang, Z., 2007. Analysis of foot-and-mouth disease virus replication using strand-53 54 476 specific quantitative RT-PCR. J. Virol. Methods 144, 149–155. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2007.05.002 55 56 477 57 59 **478** 58 Katzelnick, L.C., Fonville, J.M., Gromowski, G.D., Bustos Arriaga, J., Green, A., James, S.L., Lau, L., 60 61 62 63 64

479 Montoya, M., Wang, C., VanBlargan, L.A., Russell, C.A., Thu, H.M., Pierson, T.C., Buchy, P., Aaskov, J.G., Muñoz-Jordán, J.L., Vasilakis, N., Gibbons, R.V., Tesh, R.B., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., Fouchier, R.A.M., Durbin, A., Simmons, C.P., Holmes, E.C., Harris, E., Whitehead, S.S., Smith, D.J., 2015. Dengue viruses cluster antigenically but not as discrete serotypes. Science 349, 1338–1343. doi:10.1126/science.aac5017 Kawakami, E., Watanabe, T., Fujii, K., Goto, H., Watanabe, S., Noda, T., Kawaoka, Y., 2011. Strandspecific real-time RT-PCR for distinguishing influenza vRNA, cRNA, and mRNA. J. Virol. Methods 173, 1-6. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.12.014 Lambrechts, L., Lequime, S., 2016. Evolutionary dynamics of dengue virus populations within the mosquito vector. Curr. Opin. Virol. 21, 47-53. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2016.07.013 Lambrechts, L., Scott, T.W., Gubler, D.J., 2010. Consequences of the Expanding Global Distribution of Aedes albopictus for Dengue Virus Transmission. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 4, e646. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000646 Leguime, S., Fontaine, A., Ar Gouilh, M., Moltini-Conclois, I., Lambrechts, L., 2016. Genetic Drift, Purifying Selection and Vector Genotype Shape Dengue Virus Intra-host Genetic Diversity in Mosquitoes. PLoS Genet. 12, e1006111. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111 Linthicum, K.J., Platt, K., Myint, K.S., Lerdthusnee, K., Innis, B.L., Vaughn, D.W., 1996. Dengue 3 virus distribution in the mosquito Aedes aegypti: an immunocytochemical study. Med. Vet. Entomol. 10, 87–92. 504 Luplertlop, N., Surasombatpattana, P., Patramool, S., Dumas, E., Wasinpiyamongkol, L., Saune, L., 505 Hamel, R., Bernard, E., Sereno, D., Thomas, F., Piquemal, D., Yssel, H., Briant, L., Missé, D., 2011. 506 Induction of a Peptide with Activity against a Broad Spectrum of Pathogens in the Aedes aegypti 507 Salivary Gland, following Infection with Dengue Virus. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1001252. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001252

509	
¹ ₂ 510	Murray, C.L., Jones, C.T., Rice, C.M., 2008. Architects of assembly: roles of Flaviviridae non-structural
$^{3}_{4}$ 511	proteins in virion morphogenesis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 699–708. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1928
$_{6}^{5}$ 512	
⁹ / ₈ 513	Peyrefitte, C.N., Pastorino, B., Bessaud, M., Tolou, H.J., Couissinier-Paris, P., 2003. Evidence for in
⁹ 10 514	vitro falsely-primed cDNAs that prevent specific detection of virus negative strand RNAs in dengue-
12 515	infected cells: improvement by tagged RT-PCR. J. Virol. Methods 113, 19–28.
13 14 516	
16 517	Plaskon, N.E., Adelman, Z.N., Myles, K.M., 2009. Accurate strand-specific quantification of viral RNA.
18 518	PloS One 4, e7468. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007468
20 519	
22 520	Purcell, M.K., Hart, S.A., Kurath, G., Winton, J.R., 2006. Strand-specific, real-time RT-PCR assays for
24 521	quantification of genomic and positive-sense RNAs of the fish rhabdovirus, Infectious hematopoietic
26 522	necrosis virus. J. Virol. Methods 132, 18–24. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.08.017
28 523	
30 524	Raquin, V., Wannagat, M., Zouache, K., Legras-Lachuer, C., Moro, C.V., Mavingui, P., 2012.
32 525	Detection of dengue group viruses by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Parasit. Vectors 5, 243.
³⁴ 526	doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-243
³⁶ 527	
³⁸ 528	Richardson, J., Molina-Cruz, A., Salazar, M.I., Black, W., 2006. Quantitative analysis of dengue-2
$^{40}_{41}$ 529	virus RNA during the extrinsic incubation period in individual Aedes aegypti. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.
⁴² 43 530	74, 132–141.
$^{44}_{45}$ 531	
$^{46}_{47}$ 532	Salazar, M.I., Richardson, J.H., Sánchez-Vargas, I., Olson, K.E., Beaty, B.J., 2007. Dengue virus type
$^{48}_{49}$ 533	2: replication and tropisms in orally infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. BMC Microbiol. 7, 9.
${}^{50}_{51}{f 534}$	doi:10.1186/1471-2180-7-9
⁵² 535	
⁵⁴ 536	Sánchez-Vargas, I., Scott, J.C., Poole-Smith, B.K., Franz, A.W.E., Barbosa-Solomieu, V., Wilusz, J.,
⁵⁶ 537	Olson, K.E., Blair, C.D., 2009. Dengue virus type 2 infections of Aedes aegypti are modulated by the
⁵⁸ 538	mosquito's RNA interference pathway. PLoS Pathog. 5, e1000299. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000299
60 61	
62 63	
64	

539 1 ⁻₂ 540 Schirtzinger, E.E., Andrade, C.C., Devitt, N., Ramaraj, T., Jacobi, J.L., Schilkey, F., Hanley, K.A., 3 ${}_4^{\scriptscriptstyle \circ}$ 541 2015. Repertoire of virus-derived small RNAs produced by mosquito and mammalian cells in response 5 $_{6}$ 542 to dengue virus infection. Virology 476, 54-60. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.11.019 7 ₈ 543 9 10 544 Scott, T.W., Hildreth, S.W., Beaty, B.J., 1984. The distribution and development of eastern equine 11 $_{12}$ 545 encephalitis virus in its enzootic mosquito vector, Culiseta melanura. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 33, 300-13 14 546310. 15 16 547 17 18 548 Sim, S., Aw, P.P.K., Wilm, A., Teoh, G., Hue, K.D.T., Nguyen, N.M., Nagarajan, N., Simmons, C.P., 19 20 **549** Hibberd, M.L., 2015. Tracking Dengue Virus Intra-host Genetic Diversity during Human-to-Mosquito 21 22 **550** Transmission. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 9, e0004052. 23 24 **551** 25 26 **552** Soekiman, S., Matsumura, T., Yamanishi, H., 1986. Multiplication of chikungunya virus in salivary 27 28 553 glands of Aedes albopictus (Oahu strain) mosquitoes: an electron microscopic study. Jpn. J. Med. Sci. 29 30 554 Biol. 39, 207–211. 31 ³² 555 33 ³⁴ 556 Sriurairatna, S., Bhamarapravati, N., 1977. Replication of dengue-2 virus in Aedes albopictus 35 ³⁶ 557 mosquitoes. An electron microscopic study. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 26, 1199–1205. 37 ³⁸ 558 39 ⁴⁰ 559 Tjaden, N.B., Thomas, S.M., Fischer, D., Beierkuhnlein, C., 2013. Extrinsic Incubation Period of 41 ⁴² **560** Dengue: Knowledge, Backlog, and Applications of Temperature Dependence. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 43 ⁴⁴ 561 7, e2207. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002207 45 ⁴⁶/₅₆₂ 47 ⁴⁸ 563 Vaughan, G., Olivera, H., Santos-Argumedo, L., Landa, A., Briseño, B., Escobar-Gutiérrez, A., 2002. 49 50 564 Dengue Virus Replicative Intermediate RNA Detection by Reverse Transcription-PCR. Clin. Diagn. 51 52 565 Lab. Immunol. 9, 198-200. doi:10.1128/CDLI.9.1.198-200.2002 53 54 566 55 57 **567** Vega-Rúa, A., Schmitt, C., Bonne, I., Krijnse Locker, J., Failloux, A.-B., 2015. Chikungunya Virus ⁵⁸ 568 Replication in Salivary Glands of the Mosquito Aedes albopictus. Viruses 7, 5902-5907. 60 61 62

 569 doi:10.3390/v7112917

Welsch, S., Miller, S., Romero-Brey, I., Merz, A., Bleck, C.K.E., Walther, P., Fuller, S.D., Antony, C.,
Krijnse-Locker, J., Bartenschlager, R., 2009. Composition and Three-Dimensional Architecture of the
Dengue Virus Replication and Assembly Sites. Cell Host Microbe 5, 365–375.

doi:10.1016/j.chom.2009.03.007

Westaway, E.G., Khromykh, A.A., Mackenzie, J.M., 1999. Nascent Flavivirus RNA Colocalized in Situ with Double-Stranded RNA in Stable Replication Complexes. Virology 258, 108–117.

doi:10.1006/viro.1999.9683

Fig. S1. Immunofluorescence labelling of DENV *E* protein in *Ae. aegypti* salivary glands. DENV *E* antigen was detected using Alexa488-coupled secondary antibody (green) in salivary glands on day 7, day 14 and day 21 post DENV exposure. Nuclei in salivary gland cells were stained by DAPI (blue). Preparations were observed under an epifluorescence microscope at 10x magnification (scale bar = 400 μm). Figure1 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure2 Click here to download high resolution image



A



В



FigureS1 Click here to download high resolution image

