ExoY, an actin-activated nucleotidyl cyclase toxin from P. aeruginosa: a minireview
Alexander Belyy, Undine Mechold, Louis Renault, Daniel Ladant

To cite this version:


HAL Id: pasteur-01765203
https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01765203
Submitted on 12 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
ExoY, an actin-activated nucleotidyl cyclase toxin from P. aeruginosa: a minireview

Alexander Belyy¹, Undine Mechold¹, Louis Renault² and Daniel Ladant¹.

Abstract
ExoY is one of four well-characterised Pseudomonas aeruginosa type 3 secretion system (T3SS) effectors. It is a nucleotidyl cyclase toxin that is inactive inside the bacteria, but becomes potently activated once it is delivered into the eukaryotic target cells. Recently, filamentous actin was identified as the eukaryotic cofactor that stimulates specifically ExoY enzymatic activity by several orders of magnitude. In this review, we discuss recent advances in understanding the biochemistry of nucleotidyl cyclase activity of ExoY and its regulation by interaction with filamentous actin.
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Highlights
ExoY is an effector of the opportunistic human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
ExoY belongs to the subfamily of bacterial nucleotidyl cyclase toxins.

Activity of ExoY is strongly stimulated upon interaction with F-actin.

ExoY co-localises with F-actin in cells and alters F-actin turnover.

1. Introduction

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a ubiquitous environmental bacterium and an opportunistic human pathogen. Equipped with different virulence factors and antibiotic resistance mechanisms, the bacteria colonise tissues and organs of both healthy and immunocompromised individuals and represent a major threat to patients with cystic fibrosis (for review (Engel and Balachandran, 2009; Hauser, 2009)). Because of its high viability in different environments or on various surfaces and its intrinsic resistance to many antibiotics, *P. aeruginosa* is a major cause of nosocomial infections, which are becoming a primary concern in hospitals all over the world (Fazeli et al., 2012).

During infection, clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* produce an extensive repertoire of virulence determinants including: (i) Exotoxin A, an ADP-ribosylating toxin secreted by the type 2 secretion system that enters eukaryotic cells by endocytosis where it inhibits elongation factor-2, thereby blocking polypeptide synthesis (for review (Michalska and Wolf, 2015)); (ii) Lipopolysaccharide, a major inflammatory molecule (Pier, 2007); (iii) Zinc metalloproteases such as ArpA, LasA and LasB that prevent flagellin-mediated immune recognition (Casilag et al, 2015); (iv) Phospholipases PlcH, PlcN, PldA, PldB that hydrolyse membrane components of the target cells (Barker et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2014; Spencer and Brown, 2015); (v) ExlA, a recently characterised pore-forming toxin that causes host cell membrane disruption and is secreted by a two-partner secretion system by clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates that lack a type 3 secretion system (T3SS) (Bouillot et al., 2017; Elsen et al., 2014); and (vi) several protein effectors, ExoS, ExoT,
ExoU and ExoY, that are delivered to the host cells by a functional T3SS. The ExoS and ExoT are toxins with an N-terminal GTPase-activating (GAP) domain and a C-terminal ADP-riboseyltransferase (ADPRT) domain (Iglewski et al., 1978; Riese et al., 2001). ExoS and ExoT are activated upon interaction with an eukaryotic factor (14-3-3 protein). Their N-terminal GAP-domains modulate the activity of small GTP-binding proteins of the Rho-family that control actin cytoskeleton organisation and rearrangements, while their C-terminal domains modify many proteins involved in vesicular trafficking, endocytosis, cell signaling or DNA synthesis regulation (Barbieri and Sun, 2004; Fu et al., 1993; Hauser, 2009). Therefore, ExoS and ExoT inhibit bacterial phagocytosis and facilitate *P. aeruginosa* movement across the epithelial monolayer (Goehring et al., 1999; Soong et al., 2008). Another T3SS effector, ExoU, belongs to the phospholipase A2 (PLA2) family (Sato et al., 2003; Sawa et al., 2014). This enzyme is activated upon interaction with ubiquitin or ubiquitinated proteins (Anderson et al., 2011; Tessmer et al., 2017) and hydrolyzes phospholipids and lysophospholipids (Sato et al., 2005; Tamura et al., 2004). The enzymatic activity of ExoU causes the disruption of cell membranes and cell death. As a result, this toxin is a major virulence factor responsible for acute lung injury in both animal models and patients with pneumonia caused by *P. aeruginosa* (Finck-Barbancon et al., 1997; Kurahashi et al., 1999).

The fourth described T3SS effector ExoY, a nucleotidyl cyclase toxin, was identified in 1998 (Yahr et al., 1998), by characterising *P. aeruginosa* transposon mutants defective in the T3SS apparatus. Several secreted proteins were absent in supernatant of these strains, including an unknown ≈ 42-kDa polypeptide. Subsequent N-terminal sequencing of this polypeptide and bioinformatic analysis identified the corresponding open reading frame in the *P. aeruginosa* genome database. Analysis of the amino acid sequence of this unknown protein showed homology with catalytic regions of the well-known
calmodulin-activated adenylate cyclase toxins produced by *Bordetella pertussis* (CyaA or ACT) and *Bacillus anthracis* (edema factor, EF), the causative agents of whooping cough and anthrax, respectively (Carbonetti, 2010; Moayeri et al., 2015). Yahr et al. further cloned and expressed a recombinant ExoY and established that this toxin is endowed with an adenylate cyclase (AC) activity that was strongly stimulated by a heat-labile factor present in eukaryotic cell lysates. Yet, unlike CyaA and EF, the ExoY enzymatic activity was not stimulated by calmodulin (CaM) indicating that its activator was distinct from that of the other known AC bacterial toxins. The eukaryotic ExoY cofactor remained elusive until our group recently identified filamentous actin (F-actin) as the activator of ExoY (Belyy et al., 2016). Upon binding to F-actin ExoY undergoes structural rearrangements that increase its catalytic turnover rate to generate supraphysiological amounts of cyclic nucleotides, which in cell cultures disrupt cell signalling, inducing cytoskeleton disorganisation and eventually cell death (Sayner et al., 2004). Very recently, two groups independently demonstrated that ExoY activity can also lead to the inhibition of the host immune responses by suppressing the activation of TAK1 and decreasing the production of IL-1β (He et al., 2017; Jeon et al., 2017). The contribution of ExoY to *P. aeruginosa* virulence during acute and/or chronic infections were recently reviewed by T. Stevens and colleagues (Morrow et al., 2017). This mini-review summarizes some recent biochemical studies on ExoY and its regulation by actin.

### 2. ExoY expression and secretion

The *exoY* gene is present in almost 90% of clinical isolates (Feltman et al., 2001). In the reference PAO1 strain, the gene is not clustered with the ExoS and ExoT genes, and surrounded by two hypothetical genes of unknown function and not associated with an operon. A general T3SS transcriptional activator ExsA binds specifically to a -35 RNA
polymerase binding site and an A-rich sequence upstream to the ExoY gene (Brutinel et al., 2008). Translation of the corresponding mRNA results in a protein of 378 amino acids and 41.7 kDa. Whether ExoY undergoes additional post-translational modifications or associates with other proteins inside the bacteria remains unknown. Yet, by analogy with other T3SS toxins, ExoY may need to interact with a presently unidentified chaperone that could maintain the protein in a partially unfolded state until it is delivered to the secretion apparatus (for review on T3SS chaperones (Burkinshaw and Strynadka, 2014)).

3. ExoY as a member of the family of bacterial nucleotidyl cyclase toxins

Bacterial adenylate cyclases toxins are pathogenic factors able to invade eukaryotic cells where they are stimulated by endogenous cofactors to produce large amounts of 3’5’-cyclic nucleoside monophosphates (cNMP). This results in disruption of cell signalling and alteration of cell physiology, eventually leading to cell death. Indeed, the well-characterized CyaA toxin from B. pertussis and edema factor, EF, from B. anthracis are key virulence factors of these organisms. They target mainly the immune cells to disable the immune response and thus facilitate bacterial colonization of their hosts (for review (Carbonetti, 2010; Moayeri et al., 2015)).

These two toxins are rather selective adenylate cyclase enzymes that only marginally produce other cNMPs (Beckert et al., 2014). In contrast, P. aeruginosa ExoY is a promiscuous nucleotidyl cyclase, which synthesizes cGMP, cAMP, cUMP and cCMP (Bahre et al., 2015; Beckert et al., 2014).

The family of bacterial cyclase toxins can be divided into two subgroups based on the nature of the activator. As discussed previously, while EF and CyaA are activated by calmodulin, ExoY defines a novel sub-family of nucleotidyl cyclase toxins activated by
actin: this latter also includes various ExoY-like (ExoY-L) modules identified in several members of multifunctional autoprocessing RTX (MARTX) toxins that are produced by various Gram negative pathogens (see below) (Belyy et al., 2016; Ziolo et al., 2014). The overall amino acid sequence conservation between ExoY and EF or CyaA is rather low (44.3% and 40.9% sequence similarity, respectively) (Belyy et al., 2016), but three regions of these proteins display high similarity (up to 66%). Site directed mutagenesis and structural studies demonstrated that, in EF and CyaA, these three regions are directly involved in substrate binding and catalysis (Drum et al., 2002; Glaser et al., 1989, 1991). The corresponding conserved amino acid sequences in ExoY are thus predicted to be part of the catalytic site. Indeed, Yahr et al. originally showed that the residues K81 and K88, in catalytic region 1 (CR1) of ExoY are essential for catalysis. By analogy with EF and CyaA, these residues are likely to hold the α-phosphate of the bound nucleotide substrate. Residues D212 and D214 of the catalytic region 2 might play a role in stabilising the β- and γ-phosphates of the bound nucleotide via Mg$^{2+}$. Residues H291, D294 and N297 of the CR3 are conserved in CyaA and EF and were shown to be involved in contacting the base moiety of the nucleotide (Drum et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005). By analogy with other T3SS substrates, the N-terminal residues of ExoY are hypothesised to harbour a T3SS secretion signal and a potential chaperone-binding domain (Maresso et al., 2006). The schematic structures of the toxins and partial sequence alignments are presented in fig. 1.
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Figure 1. A. Schematic domain organisation of the catalytic domains of B. pertussis CyaA (BpCyaA), B. anthracis EF (BaeF) and P. aeruginosa ExoY (PaExoY). The catalytic regions CR1, CR2 and CR3 that display high sequence similarity are indicated by red boxes while the CaM Binding Regions CBR of CyaA and EF are indicated by blue boxes. The Activator Binding Region(s), ABR, of ExoY is/are still unknown. The predicted N-terminal T3SS signal of P. aeruginosa ExoY (PaExoY) is indicated by an orange box.

B. Alignments of the catalytic regions CR1, CR2 and CR3. **Bold** amino acids are directly involved in the catalytic process. The alignment was performed by the T-coffee algorithm (Notredame et al, 2000).

4. ExoY activation by filamentous actin
Cyclic nucleotides are important messengers in intracellular signal transduction in eukaryotes and bacteria. To avoid the detrimental influence of toxin activity in their bacterial hosts, the nucleotidyl cyclase toxins possess a low basal activity in the
prokaryotic environment and become active only after delivery to the eukaryotic cells where they are activated upon interaction with a specific activator. The activator of CyaA and EF has been known for a long time to be the highly conserved calcium-mediator calmodulin. In contrast, the activator of ExoY remained elusive until its recent identification as actin in our laboratory (Belyy et al., 2016), as briefly summarized below.

ExoY is toxic for numerous cell types, including mammalian and yeast cells (Arnoldo et al., 2008). This indicated that its activator should be evolutionarily conserved in all eukaryotic cells. To identify the ExoY activator, a TAP-tagged inactivated variant of ExoY (to avoid cytotoxicity), ExoY-K81M, was expressed in yeast and affinity purified. The proteins that co-purified with the ExoY bait were analyzed by mass spectrometry. A label-free quantification score estimation of the data revealed that actin was the most abundant protein in the samples (apart the ExoY bait). This result suggested a specific interaction between ExoY and actin in yeast, and it was further confirmed, by co-sedimentation and pull-down experiments, that in vitro the recombinant ExoY indeed associates with the polymeric form of mammalian actin. ExoY was further shown to bind along the lateral side of actin filaments. This lateral side binding inhibits the filament’s disassembly and disturbs the binding and regulation of other lateral side binding proteins such as the Actin-depolymerizing factor (ADF). The lateral side binding of ExoY was thus shown to decrease the intrinsic or regulated turnover of actin filaments in vitro. In line with these findings, we showed that, in transfected cells, ExoY is recruited to actin filaments and increases the content of F-actin by stabilizing filaments and disturbing the balance of their assembly and disassembly by eukaryotic actin-binding proteins. Moreover, in vitro assays indicated that actin potently stimulates ExoY
nucleotidyl cyclase activities in a dose-dependent manner with a half maximal activation at about 0.2 µM of ATP-Mg²⁺-loaded actin (Belyy et al., 2016), which correlates with the critical concentration above which ATP-actin spontaneously polymerizes (i.e. 0.1 µM (Pollard et al., 2000)).

Interestingly, certain toxins from the Multifunctional-Autoprocessing Repeats-in-Toxin (MARTX) family produced by various pathogenic proteobacterial species, contain among various effector domains an ExoY-like module. Ziolo et al. (Ziolo et al., 2014), indeed demonstrated that the ExoY-like domain from the Vibrio vulnificus Biotype 3 MARTX toxin is endowed with adenylate cyclase activity and is essential for virulence in mice. We then showed that actin stimulates in a dose-dependent manner, a recombinant ExoY-like module, VnExoY-L, derived from the MARTX toxin from Vibrio nigripulchritudo, a marine pathogen infecting shrimps (Belyy et al., 2016). This suggests that ExoY and the other ExoY-like modules present in MARTX toxins belong to a specific subfamily of actin-activated nucleotidyl cyclase toxins. However, at variance with P. aeruginosa ExoY, the ExoY-like modules from the V. vulnificus (Ziolo et al., 2014) or V. nigripulchritudo (Belyy et al., 2016) MARTX toxins only synthesize cAMP. Hence, the subfamily of actin-activated nucleotidyl cyclase toxins displays different substrate selectivity, and may thus differ significantly in the range of cellular pathways they affect in host cells.

By analogy with CyaA and EF, one can hypothesise, that upon interaction with the activator, the catalytic regions CR1, 2, and 3 of ExoY are stabilised in an active configuration, resulting in high catalytic activity. Indeed, the key step of the activation of EF by CaM, is the stabilisation of a catalytic loop called switch B, corresponding to amino acids H577-E592 of EF (Drum et al., 2002) and H298-K312 in CyaA (Guo et al., 2005). The similar region CR3 in ExoY - residues H291-N305 - contains, an asparagine residue,
N297, homologous to EF N583 or CyaA N304, which were shown to be important for holding the ATP ribose in place upon substrate binding and hence the catalytic activity of those enzymes.

5. Actin as a target and an activator of toxins
Actin is a 42-kDa multifunctional protein, present either in a monomeric G-form (G-actin) or as a part of a filamentous linear polymer (F-actin). Actin is a highly conserved and essential component of every eukaryotic cell. As a matter of fact, the actin cytoskeleton is the target of a wide variety of toxins produced by various organisms including many microbial pathogens (Colonne et al., 2016; Rappuoli and Montecucco, 1997). Several fungi and sponges produce nonproteinaceous toxins, such as latrunculin, cytochalasin, jasplakinolide, that target the actin cytoskeleton by modulating the G to F-actin equilibrium in cells. Bacterial toxins are affecting the actin cytoskeleton either by targeting specific actin-regulatory proteins or through direct modification of actin (for review (Aktories et al., 2017)). For example, Clostridium difficile C2-toxin, C. perfringens iota toxin and C. spiroforme toxin attack actin cytoskeleton by ADP-ribosylation of Arg177 of actin. The modified actin acts as a capping protein and prevents further actin elongation, poisoning actin polymerisation and leading to actin depolymerization in cells (Perieteanu et al., 2010; Wegner and Aktories, 1988). By contrast, modification of actin Thr148 by Photorhabdus luminescens TCC3 toxin leads to polymerisation and aggregation of F-actin (Lang et al., 2017). Several MARTX toxins from Vibrio species and other pathogens contain enzymatic domains that display an actin-crosslinking activity that results in the formation of deleterious non-polymerisable actin oligomers that both poison actin polymerisation and trap key regulatory proteins of the actin cytoskeleton.
dynamics such as formins in non-functional complexes (Fullner and Mekalanos, 2000; Kudryashov et al., 2008).

Interestingly, apart from ExoY and ExoY-like toxins, another toxin has been reported to be activated by actin. This toxin, YpkA (also known as YopO) is a protein kinase produced by various Yersinia species and delivered to target cells by a T3SS (Juris et al., 2000; Trasak et al., 2007). The YpkA/YopO kinase is activated upon binding to monomeric actin and subsequent autophosphorylation. Recent structural and functional studies revealed that YpkA binds to the subdomain 4 of actin (figure 2), and uses actin as a bait to recruit actin-binding proteins (eg VASP, WASP, formin, and gelsolin) and phosphorylate them (Lee et al., 2015). Phosphorylation of actin regulatory proteins by YpkA/YopO appears as a novel regulatory mechanism by which pathogenic bacteria can potentially manipulate actin dynamics, and thus impair phagocytosis (Ono, 2017; Singaravelu et al., 2017).

![Figure 2 in JPG format](image.png)
Figure 2. Structures of G-actin bound to Latrunculin A (PDB 1IJJ), a toxin extracted from the red sea sponge *Latrunculia magnifica* and inhibiting actin polymerization, and F-actin (PDB 2ZWH). The 4 subdomains of G-actin are shown in different colours and labeled from 1 to 4. Actin subunits in F-actin are represented by their surface in different colours, with one subunit shown with the same colour codes as G-actin. The side chains of actin Thr148, which is modified by *Photorhabdus luminescens* TCC3 toxin, and actin Asp25, whose mutation D25N abolishes the activation of ExoY by actin filaments and its cytotoxicity in yeast, are shown in red ball-and-stick presentation.

6. Molecular characterisation of actin-ExoY interaction: identification of actin variants that do not activate ExoY

To further characterise the molecular determinants of the ExoY-actin interaction, we attempted to identify mutations in actin that prevent binding and activation of ExoY. For this, we performed a random mutagenesis of actin using as a model organism the yeast *S. cerevisiae* that contains a single actin-encoding gene ACT1 (figure 3). The actin gene was mutagenized *in vitro* by error-prone PCR (1) and cloned in a low-copy yeast plasmid (carrying a His3 marker) (2, 3). The resulting library was then transformed into an engineered yeast strain (4), in which the chromosomal actin gene was inactivated while a functional wild-type copy of ACT1 gene was provided in trans by a plasmid bearing an uracil marker, URA3 (Belyy et al., 2015).

After transformation of the library, all transformed cells were pooled and replicated on a medium containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) to eliminate the plasmid carrying the uracil marker and the WT actin (5). The resulting cells thus relied only on the mutagenized actin gene (on the His3-bearing plasmid) for growth and as actin is an essential protein, only cells harbouring functional variants of actin could survive this selection. The surviving yeast cells (*i.e.* containing mutagenized yet functional actins)
were finally transformed with an ExoY-expressing plasmid and plated on a galactose-containing medium in order to trigger ExoY expression (6). In these conditions only yeast carrying actin variants unable to activate ExoY could survive. Few surviving clones were isolated and sequencing of their actin genes revealed two different alleles, both with mutations of Asp25. Further experiments confirmed that this amino acid is indeed crucial for ExoY activation: the single mutation D25N in actin was sufficient to render yeast resistant to ExoY in vivo, and a corresponding yeast lysate was unable to activate ExoY in in vitro assays. The D25 residue is well conserved among all isoforms of eukaryotic actin. The acidic N-terminus of actin as well as the D24 and D25-residues were shown to be an interaction site with myosin (Bertrand et al., 1988; von der Ecken et al., 2016). This indicated that D25 is fully accessible at the surface of the actin filament and could therefore establish direct contacts with ExoY. Our results also suggest that ExoY might compete with myosin for binding to actin filaments, but such possible competition and in vivo effects remain to be established.

In addition, the fact that the D25N mutation in actin is sufficient to confer resistance to ExoY toxicity in yeast demonstrated that actin is the only activator of this toxin in this organism. This observation was indeed crucial to ascertain that actin activates ExoY through direct contacts and not indirectly via actin-binding proteins and/or actin post-translational modifications (eg ubiquitinylation, (Terman and Kashina, 2013)).
Figure 3. Strategy for actin mutagenesis and selection of ExoY-resistant actin variants in yeast. The key steps (1 to 6) are described in the main text.

7. Structural basis of ExoY discrimination between eukaryotic and homologous proteins of prokaryotic origin

The identification of actin as the ExoY activator also raises interesting questions from an evolutionary point of view. Indeed, bacteria possess several actin homologs (for review (Shaevitz and Gitai, 2010)), such as MreB, FtsA or ParM. These proteins display structural similarities to eukaryotic actin and are capable of ATP-dependent polymerization into linear filaments. However as mentioned earlier, it is crucial that ExoY (like other cyclase toxins) is kept inactive in its bacterial host to prevent any toxicity. Therefore, this implies that the ExoY toxin must possess a high selectivity of recognition of eukaryotic actins but not the endogenous P. aeruginosa actin-like proteins. It is particularly noteworthy that the polypeptide region around the key Asp25 residue is organised in eukaryotic actins in a loop with a net negative charge, while it
forms a turn with no net charge or a net positive charge between two beta-strands in
prokaryotic actin-like proteins (Figure 4). This structural difference may be a key
feature allowing ExoY to specifically discriminate between the eukaryotic actins and the
prokaryotic actin homologs.

Figure 4 in JPG format

Figure 4. Comparison of the structural models of an actin monomer from rabbit muscle
(5JLF, (von der Ecken et al., 2016)), *Caulobacter crescentus* MreB (4CZI, (van den Ent et
al., 2014)), *Bacillus thuringiensis* ParM (4XHO, Jiang et al., unpublished) and
*Staphylococcus aureus* FtsA (3WQU, (Fujita et al., 2014)). The D25 surrounding region in
mammalian F-actin and the corresponding regions in bacterial actin homologs are
highlighted in red. In the sequence alignment panel above, the amino acids belonging to the flanking beta-strands are underlined.

8. Conclusions

Bacterial nucleotidyl cyclase toxins are potent virulence factors that hijack cell cNMP signalling in eukaryotic cells. These toxins are inactive inside their bacterial host and need to interact with specific eukaryotic cofactors in eukaryotic target cells to convey their high catalytic activity. The recent discovery of actin as the activator of ExoY and ExoY-like modules present in MARTX toxins suggests that the family of bacterial nucleotidyl cyclase toxins is composed of two distinct branches distinguished by the nature of their activator, calmodulin vs actin. It is noteworthy that both activators are abundant proteins and highly conserved in the entire eukaryotic kingdom. The recent advances in understanding of the mechanism of ExoY activation are now paving the way for further exploration of the biological function of this virulence factor that still remains the least characterized protein among the four T3SS effectors of *P. aeruginosa*. Among key issues that are currently of prime interest are (i) the structural basis for ExoY activation by F-actin and its broad nucleotide specificity; (ii) the potential influence of the *P. aeruginosa* exotoxins ExoS/ExoT, that modulate the actin cytoskeleton dynamics, on ExoY activation *in vivo*; (iii) the characterisation of putative ExoY chaperones in the bacterial host and (iv) the characterisation of the functional and enzymatic specificities of ExoY-like toxins from other gram-negative pathogens.
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