

# Vector competence of Culex antennatus and Anopheles coustani mosquitoes for Rift Valley fever virus in Madagascar.

T. N. J. J. Nepomichene, F. N. Raharimalala, S. F. Andriamandimby, J.-P. Ravalohery, Anna-Bella Failloux, Jean-Michel Heraud, S. Boyer

# ▶ To cite this version:

T. N. J. J. Nepomichene, F. N. Raharimalala, S. F. Andriamandimby, J.-P. Ravalohery, Anna-Bella Failloux, et al.. Vector competence of Culex antennatus and Anopheles coustani mosquitoes for Rift Valley fever virus in Madagascar.. Medical and Veterinary Entomology, 2018, 10.1111/mve.12291. pasteur-01717503

# HAL Id: pasteur-01717503 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01717503

Submitted on 4 Apr 2018  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1	Vector competence of Culex antennatus and Anopheles coustani mosquitoes to Rift
2	Valley Fever Virus in Madagascar
3	
4	Running head: Vector competence of VRVF in Madagascar
5	
6	Thiery Nirina Jean José Nepomichene <sup>1,2<math>\pi</math></sup> , Fara Nantenaina Raharimalala <sup>1<math>\pi</math></sup> *, Soa Fy
7	Andriamandimby <sup>3</sup> , Jean-Pierre Ravalohery <sup>3</sup> , Anna-Bella Failloux <sup>4</sup> , Jean-Michel Heraud <sup>#3</sup> ,
8	Sébastien Boyer <sup>#1,5</sup>
9	
10	Email: <u>Jthiery@pasteur.mg</u>
11	Email: <u>rfaranantenaina@pasteur.mg</u>
12	Email: soafy@pasteur.mg
13	Email: jpierre@pasteur.mg
14	Email: anna-bella.failloux@pasteur.fr
15	Email: jmheraud@pasteur.mg
16	Email: <u>sboyer@pasteur-kh.org</u>
17	
18	* Corresponding author: Fara Nantenaina Raharimalala, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Unit
19	of Medical Entomology, Ambatofotsikely, 101-Antananarivo, Madagascar. + 261 20 22 401
20	64. <u>rfaranantenaina@pasteur.mg</u>
21	<sup>1</sup> Unit of Medical Entomology, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar
22	<sup>2</sup> Ecole Doctorale Science de la Vie et de l'Environnement, Université d'Antananarivo, BP
23	906 Antananarivo, Madagascar
24	<sup>3</sup> Unit of Virology, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Ambatofotsikely, 101-Antananarivo,
25	Madagascar

- <sup>4</sup> Department of Virology, Arboviruses and Insect Vectors, Institut Pasteur, 25–28 rue du Dr
- 27 Roux, 75724 Paris, cedex 15, France
- <sup>5</sup> Medical Entomology Platform, Institut Pasteur du Cambodge, 5 Bd Monivong, Phnom
- 29 Penh, Cambodia
- 30 <sup> $\pi$ </sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.
- <sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work (co-last authors)

#### 32 Abstract

33 Culex antennatus (Diptera: Culicidae), Anopheles coustani (Diptera: Culicidae) and Anopheles squamosus/cydippis (Diptera: Culicidae) were found infected with Rift Valley 34 35 Fever virus (RVFV) during an epidemic that occurred in 2008-2009 in Madagascar. To 36 understand the role played by Cx. antennatus and An. coustani in maintenance and 37 transmission, we assessed RVFV vector competence of these two species. Mosquito body 38 parts and saliva of mosquitoes that fed on RVFV-infected blood were tested for RVFV using 39 real-time (RT-PCR) assays. Overall, we detected viral RNA virus in body parts and saliva at 5 40 days post infection (dpi) for both species. At 5 dpi, infection rates were 12.5% (3/24) and 41 15.8% (6/38), disseminated infection rates were 100% (3/3) and 100% (6/6), transmission rate 42 were 33.3% (1/3) and 83.3% (5/6), and transmission efficiencies were 4.2% (1/24) and 13.2% 43 (5/38) respectively for Cx. antennatus and An. coustani. Although RVFV detected in saliva did not propagate onto Vero cells, these results support a potential role of these two-mosquito 44 45 species in the transmission of RVFV. 46

47 Key-words: Risk assessment, Arboviruses, Emergence, Madagascar

## 49 Introduction

50	Rift Valley Fever Virus (RVFV) belongs to the <i>Phlebovirus</i> genus and <i>Bunyaviridae</i> family.
51	It can cause severe and fatal illness in domestic animals (Woods et al. 2002). Humans can
52	develop an encephalitic, ocular or hemorrhagic syndrome (Kahlon et al. 2010). RVFV can be
53	transmitted by close contact with infectious tissues or through mosquito infectious bites
54	(Smithburn et al. 1948). Its geographical distribution has extended and it could emerge in an
55	area previously not known to have RVFV transmission (Balenghien et al. 2013).
56	In Madagascar, RVFV was detected for the first time in 1979, from a pool of multi and
57	monospecific mosquitoes caught in a rainy forest of the central eastern part of the island
58	(Fontenille et al. 1988). The monospecific pool was composed of Mansonia uniformis
59	(Diptera: Culicidae). Surprisingly, the virus was not detected neither in human nor in animals
60	(Clerc & P 1981). Since this first detection, RVFV reemerged in 1990-91 and 2008-09,
61	resulting in outbreaks that affected both animals and humans (Morvan et al. 1992; Fontenille
62	et al. 1989; Andriamandimby et al. 2010). Furthermore, during the last epidemic, RVFV was
63	detected in three mosquito species: Culex antennatus, Anopheles coustani and Anopheles
64	squamosus/cydippis (Ratovonjato et al. 2011). These mosquito species were also part of the
65	pool of mosquitoes examined in 1979 (Clerc & P 1981).
66	Twenty-four Malagasy mosquito species are potentially associated with RVFV transmission
67	in Madagascar (Tantely et al. 2015). These species belong to the genera of Aedes, Anopheles,
68	Culex, Eretmapodites, and Mansonia. All these mosquitoes feed preferentially on domestic
69	animals (cattle, sheep, and goat) but can also have opportunistic anthropophilic behavior
70	(Tantely et al. 2015).
71	Despite a recurrent circulation of RVFV (Andriamandimby et al. 2010; Ratovonjato et al.
72	2011; Gray et al. 2015) and the abundance of potential vectors (Tantely et al. 2015), no study

on vector competence has been carried out to date in Madagascar. To better understand the

- 74 mechanism of maintenance and transmission of RVFV in Madagascar, we performed a study
- aiming to evaluate the vector competence of *Cx. antennatus* and *An. coustani*, two mosquito
- species implicated in the last RVFV outbreak in Madagascar.
- 77

#### 78 Materials and Methods

#### 79 Mosquito collection and rearing

80 Engorged and gravid females of *Cx. antennatus* and *An. coustani* were captured in three

81 different sites of Madagascar where RVFV has been detected in cattle and human during the

82 2008-09 outbreaks (Figure 1).

83 Mosquito females were either captured in stables early in the morning or in zebu pens using

oral aspirators. Trapping in zebu pens was conducted from 06:00 pm to 06:00 am for two

consecutive days. After capture, mosquitoes were kept alive in cages with free access to a 6%

sugar solution. Upon arrival to the laboratory, mosquitoes were identified morphologically

and then maintained separately in breeding cages according to species. After laying, eggs

88 were immersed in 1.5 cm depth of dechlorinated water. Immature stages were then separated

and reared until the adult stage. Emerged adults were placed in cages up to 300 individuals

90 per cage. First generations of females (F1) aged from 3 to 5 days were used for vector

91 competence experiments.

#### 92 Virus for experimental infections

The virus strain used in this study was isolated from a RVFV-infected pool of mosquitoes collected during the 2008-09 outbreak in Fianarantsoa (Ratovonjato et al. 2011). Viral stocks were prepared after three passages of the isolate on Vero E6 cells. Stocks were produced in 12-well tissue culture plates maintained at 37°C. After 72h, the supernatant was collected and kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Viral titer was estimated by plaque reduction assay on Vero cells. For all assay, we used a viral titer of  $2.25 \times 10^8$  plaque-forming units (pfu)/mL.

#### 99 Artificial infection of mosquitoes

100	Artificial infection	experiments were	e performed	with the	Hemotek	membrane	feeding sys	tem
-----	----------------------	------------------	-------------	----------	---------	----------	-------------	-----

- 101 (Hemotek Ltd, UK). An infectious blood meal was prepared according to the protocol of
- 102 Moutailler et al. (Moutailler et al. 2007). Briefly, the infectious blood meal consisted of 2/3 of
- erythrocytes (2 mL) and 1/3 (1 mL) of a viral suspension with ATP used as a phagostimulant
- 104 at a final concentration of 5 x  $10^{-3}$  M. Mosquitoes were presented with the opportunity to
- 105 blood feed for a maximum of 30 min. Fully engorged female mosquitoes were sorted on ice
- and maintained at  $25^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ , humidity: 80 %  $\pm$  10 %, photoperiod: 12 h/12 h and then
- 107 provided with 6 % sucrose solution for 14 days. Experimental infections were conducted in
- the Biosafety Laboratory Level-3 at the virology unit and were repeated three times.

#### 109 Virus detection

- 110 Viral detection in mosquitoes was conducted at 2, 5, 8 days post-infection (dpi) for *An*.
- 111 *coustani* and at 2, 5, 8 and 14 dpi for *Cx. antennatus*.
- 112 Viral detection was carried out for the midgut, head and thorax, saliva, legs and wings. Except
- saliva, all other samples were ground with a TissuLyzer in 200  $\mu$ L of medium MEM
- 114 containing 40% SVF. Then, 140  $\mu$ L of saliva and 140  $\mu$ L of homogenate obtained from other
- 115 mosquito tissues/organs were used to extract viral RNA using an extraction Kit Nucleospin®
- 116 Dx Virus (Macherey-Nagel, Mauritius). RNA was eluted in a final volume of 50  $\mu$ L in H<sub>2</sub>O
- 117 RNAse Free. Presence of RVFV was tested by real-time quantitative RT-PCR (Bird et al.
- 118 2007). Primers amplified a fragment of 90 base pair (bp) of the L segment encoding for the
- 119 viral polymerase.

#### 120 Analyses for vector competence

- 121 Four parameters were studied and analyzed at each dpi: (a) the infection rate (IR) indicating
- the proportion of mosquitoes with infected midgut among tested mosquitoes; (b) the
- disseminated infection rate (DIR), corresponding to the proportion of individuals with

124 infected head, thorax, legs or wings among mosquitoes with midgut infected; (c) the 125 transmission rate (TR) showing the proportion of individuals with infectious saliva among the mosquito with disseminated infection (i.e. individuals with infected head, thorax, legs or 126 127 wings); and finally (d) the transmission efficiency (TE), defined as the proportion of individuals with infectious saliva among the total number of mosquitoes tested (Chouin-128 129 Carneiro et al. 2016). 130 To test if RVFV detected in mosquito saliva can propagate in cells as a proxy of the presence 131 of infectious virions in the saliva, 100  $\mu$ L of saliva were inoculated on Vero E6 cells. RVFV 132 from viral stocks were also inoculated as positive control. If a cytopathic effect was observed 133 on cells after inoculation, then the saliva was considered as infectious containing replicating 134 viral particles. The extrinsic incubation period (EIP) corresponding to the duration between

the infectious blood meal and the first detection of the virus in mosquito saliva was estimatedfor each mosquito species.

137

138 **Results** 

139 Among the 216 Cx. antennatus individuals fully engorged, 83 (38. 4%) were tested for the 140 presence of virus in the midgut. The remaining 133 mosquitoes died before the period of 141 testing. Values of IR, DIR, TR and TE are presented in Table 1. RVFV was detected in the 142 head, thorax, legs, wings and saliva at 5 dpi (Table 1). We began to detect the IR at 2 dpi with 143 40% (4/10) of individuals tested and DIR, TR and TE at 5 dpi. At 5 dpi, values of IR, DIR, TR and TE were 12.5% (3/24), 100% (3/3), 33.3% (1/3) and 4.2% (1/24) respectively. DIR 144 145 reached 100% from 5 dpi i.e all individuals with infected midgut were also infected in head, thorax, legs and wings. Thus, for Cx. antennatus, EIP was estimated to be 5 days and 4.16% 146 of individual tested had infected saliva. 147

148 For *An. coustani*, among 232 individuals fully engorged, 64 (27.6%) were tested for the

- 149 presence of virus in midgut. The remaining 168 mosquitoes died before the period of testing.
- 150 Values of IR, DIR, TR and TE are presented in Table 2. RVFV was detected in head, thorax,
- legs, wings and saliva at 5 dpi (Table 2). IR values have been beginning detected at 2 dpi with
- 152 15% (3/20) of individuals tested and DIR, TR and TE at 5 dpi. At 5 dpi, values of IR, DIR,
- 153 TR and TE were 15.8% (6/38), 100% (6/6), 83.3 (5/6) and 13.2% (5/38) respectively. DIR
- reached 100% from 5 dpi i.e all individuals with infected midgut had virus detected in head,
- thorax, legs and wings. Thus for *An. coustani*, EIP was estimated to be 5 days and 13.2% of
- 156 individual tested had infected saliva.
- 157 From both *Cx. antennatus* and *An. coustani*, RVFV detected from saliva using real-time
- 158 quantitative RT-PCR did not propagate onto Vero cell.
- 159 Discussion
- 160 Anopheles coustani and Culex antennatus collected in Madagascar were tested for their vector
- 161 competence to RVFV. Results showed that 4.2% and 13.2% of *Cx. antennatus* and *An.*
- *coustani* respectively, were susceptible to RVFV infection with viral RNA detected in saliva.
- 163 These results were consistent with descriptions of *An. coustani* and *Cx. antennatus* infected
- 164 with RVFV in natural mosquito populations (Hanafi 2011, Ratovonjatovo 2011, Seufi &
- Galal 2010). Most importantly, we detected RVFV in saliva of both species which is a crucial
- 166 information in vector competence assays as it shows that mosquitoes could transmit the virus
- 167 to vertebrates during feeding.
- 168 The virus detected in saliva did not propagate onto Vero cells. These results could be
- 169 explained by the sensitivity of our cell system that required a higher titer of virus in the saliva.
- 170 Experimental infections of vertebrate (rodent) with infected mosquitoes is needed to
- demonstrate that viral particles detected in saliva are indeed infectious.

172 In our experiments, the EIP were 5 days for both An. coustani and Cx. antennatus which is 173 consistent with previous work from Turell et al. In this study, authors observed an EIP for Cx. antennatus ranging from 3 to 10 dpi according titers of RVFV used (Turell et al. 2008). 174 175 Nevertheless, while they estimated the transmission efficiency at 84% (Turell et al. 2008), in our case, using a similar viral titer of 10<sup>8</sup>pfu/mL, we obtained a transmission efficiency of 176 177 only 4.2%. This difference could be explained by the difference of viral strain used, genetic 178 background of mosquito population, and mode of infection (oral vs. intrathoracic inoculation) 179 (Turell et al. 2008). 180 Although An. coustani species have been detected positive for RVFV in nature, we showed for the first-time evidence of replication of RVFV in salivary glands of Anopheles species. 181 182 Indeed, previous studies on An. pharoensis from Egypt and An. stephensi from laboratory

strain (US Army Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory) never observed
release of RVFV in the saliva (Turell et al. 1996; Turell & Romoser 1994).

These findings highlight the association of An. coustani and Cx. antennatus with RVFV in 185 186 nature. This association between An. coustani and Cx. antennatus and some vertebrate hosts of RVFV has been underlined in different regions of Madagascar. Indeed, these species were 187 188 found mostly zoophilic especially Cx. antennatus and attracted by goat, sheep and zebu in two regions geographically distant in Madagascar (Nepomichene et al. 2015). It has also been 189 190 shown that An. coustani rest in stables during the day and analyses of ingested blood meal 191 showed that this species has a trophic preference for zebu. Nonetheless, this species was also 192 found to feed on human (Nepomichene, Tata, et al. 2015).

193 To conclude, An. coustani and Cx. antennatus were previously found associated with RVFV

in nature in Madagascar and in other countries. The vector competence described herein by

the four indices showed that the two species are susceptible to RVFV and can potentially

release RVFV during blood meal. These findings coupled with the trophic preference for

- 197 human and domestic animal strengthen the hypothesis of the role of these two mosquitoes'
- species in the transmission of RVFV in Madagascar although only viral RNA and not
- 199 infectious viral particles were detected in saliva. This information is critical for implementing
- 200 vector control to prevent reemergence of RVFV.

202	Acknowledgements
203	We are grateful to the all staff form the Institut Pasteur de Madagascar that helped to conduct
204	the study. The authors also thanks to Ms. Marie Vazeille and to Dr. Camillo Arias-Goeta,
205	Department of Virology, Arboviruses and Insect Vectors, Institut Pasteur de Paris for their
206	help to train staff on vector competence study. We also thank Dr. Marie Chrystine
207	Solofoharivelo of Institut Pasteur de Madagascar and Dr. Thomas Walker of London School
208	of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Department of Diseases Control. Keppel Street, London
209	WC1E, United Kingdom for helping to edit this manuscript.
210	
211	Authors' contributions
212	FNR, JMH and SB coordinate the project and designed experiments. FNR and TNJJN
213	collected and breed mosquitoes and conducted experimental infection of mosquitoes. JPR
214	performed cell culture and virus titration. FNR, TNJJN, SFA, ABF, JMH, SB analyzed the
215	results and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.
216	
217	Competing interests
218	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
219	
220	Funding
221	This study was supported by the internal project of the Institut Pasteur de Madagascar
222	received by FNR and a subsidy from ACIP-2013 project funding by the Institut Pasteur in
223	Paris.
224	

# 225 Author details

- <sup>1</sup>Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Unité d'Entomologie Médicale, Ambatofotsikely, 101-
- 227 Antananarivo, Madagascar.<sup>2</sup> Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Virology Unit, National
- 228 Reference Laboratory for Arboviruses, Ambatofotsikely, 101-Antananarivo, Madagascar.
- <sup>3</sup>Institut Pasteur de Paris, Génétique Moléculaire des Bunyaviridés, Institut Pasteur, 25–28
- 230 Rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris CEDEX 15, France.

232	References
233	Andriamandimby, S.F. et al., 2010. Rift valley fever during rainy seasons, Madagascar, 2008
234	and 2009. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 16(6), pp.963–970.
235	Balenghien, T. et al., 2013. Towards a better understanding of Rift Valley fever epidemiology
236	in the south-west of the Indian Ocean. Veterinary research, 44, p.78. Available at:
237	http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3848763&tool=pmcentrez&r
238	endertype=abstract [Accessed March 23, 2016].
239	Bird, B.H. et al., 2007. Highly sensitive and broadly reactive quantitative reverse
240	transcription-PCR assay for high-throughput detection of Rift Valley fever virus. Journal
241	of Clinical Microbiology, 45(11), pp.3506–3513.
242	Chouin-Carneiro, T. et al., 2016. Differential Susceptibilities of Aedes aegypti and Aedes
243	albopictus from the Americas to Zika Virus. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 10(3),
244	pp.1-11. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004543.
245	Clerc, Y. & P, C., 1981. Rapport du Laboratoire des arbovirus 1980. Arch Inst Pasteur
246	Madag., 49, pp.65–69.
247	Fontenille, D., Mathiot, C. & Coulanges, P., 1988. [Hemorrhagic fever viruses in
248	Madagascar]. Archives de l'Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, 54(1), pp.117-24. Available
249	at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3242423 [Accessed September 7, 2016].
250	Fontenille, D., Rakotoarivony, I. & Lepers, J.P., 1989. [Entomological results of the malaria
251	program of the Pasteur Institute in the Malagasy Highland Plateaux in 1987-1988].
252	Archives de l'Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, 56(1), pp.275–86. Available at:
253	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2576719 [Accessed July 6, 2016].
254	Gray, G.C. et al., 2015. Seroepidemiological Study of Interepidemic Rift Valley Fever Virus
255	Infection Among Persons with Intense Ruminant Exposure in Madagascar and Kenya.
256	American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 93(6), pp.1364–1370. Available

257	at: http://www.ajtmh.org/cgi/doi/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0383 [Accessed September 7, 2016].
258	Kahlon, S.S. et al., 2010. Severe Rift Valley Fever May Present with a Characteristic Clinical
259	Syndrome. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 82(3), pp.371–375.
260	Morvan, J. et al., 1992. First fatal human case of Rift Valley fever in Madagascar.
261	Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 86(3), p.320.
262	Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1412665 [Accessed April 12, 2016].
263	Moutailler, S., Bouloy, M. & Failloux, AB.B., 2007. Short report: Efficient oral infection of
264	Culex pipiens Quinquefasciatus by Rift Valley fever virus using a cotton stick support.
265	The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 76(5), pp.827–9. Available at:
266	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17488899 [Accessed April 12, 2016].
267	Nepomichene, T.N.J.J., Elissa, N., et al., 2015. Species Diversity, Abundance, and Host
268	Preferences of Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Two Different Ecotypes of
269	Madagascar With Recent RVFV Transmission. Journal of Medical Entomology, 52(5),
270	pp.962–969. Available at: http://jme.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1093/jme/tjv120
271	[Accessed September 7, 2016].
272	Nepomichene, T.N.J.J., Tata, E. & Boyer, S., 2015. Malaria case in Madagascar, probable
273	implication of a new vector, Anopheles coustani. Malaria journal, 14(1), p.475.
274	Available at:
275	http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4666205&tool=pmcentrez&r
276	endertype=abstract.
277	Ratovonjato, J. et al., 2011. Detection, isolation, and genetic characterization of Rift Valley
278	fever virus from Anopheles (Anopheles) coustani, Anopheles (Anopheles) squamosus,
279	and Culex (Culex) antennatus of the Haute Matsiatra region, Madagascar. Vector borne
280	and zoonotic diseases (Larchmont, N.Y.), 11(6), pp.753-759.
281	Seufi, A.M. & Galal, F.H., 2010. Role of Culex and Anopheles mosquito species as potential

282	vectors of rift valley fever virus in Sudan outbreak, 2007. BMC Infectious Diseases,
283	10(1), p.65. Available at: http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-
284	2334-10-65.
285	Smithburn, K.C., Haddow, A.J. & Gillet, J.D., 1948. Rift Valley fever; isolation of the virus
286	from wild mosquitoes. British journal of experimental pathology, 29(2), pp.107-21.
287	Available at:
288	http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2077096&tool=pmcentrez&r
289	endertype=abstract [Accessed April 12, 2016].
290	Tantely, L.M., Boyer, S. & Fontenille, D., 2015. Review article: A review of mosquitoes
291	associated with Rift Valley fever virus in Madagascar. American Journal of Tropical
292	Medicine and Hygiene, 92(4), pp.722–729.
293	Turell, M.J. et al., 2008. Vector competence of selected African mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae)
294	species for Rift Valley fever virus. Journal of medical entomology, 45(1), pp.102–108.
295	Woods, C.W. et al., 2002. An outbreak of Rift Valley fever in Northeastern Kenya, 1997-98.
296	Emerging infectious diseases, 8(2), pp.138–44.
297	

### 299 Table 1- Infection, dissemination and transmission of RVFV by *Culex antennatus*.

300

Day post-	Infection	Disseminated	Transmission	Transmission
infection	Rate (IR)	Infection	Rate (TR)	Efficiency
		Rate (DIR)		(TE)
				` ´
2	40% (4/10)	0% (0/4)	- (0/0)	0% (0/10)
5	12.5% (3/24)	100% (3/3)	33.3% (1/3)	4.2% (1/24)
8	14.3% (3/21)	100% (3/3)	66.6% (2/3)	9.5% (2/21)
14	33.3% (3/9)	100% (3/3)	100% (3/3)	33.3% (3/9)

301 IR, Infection rate, proportion of infected mosquitoes among tested ones; DIR, Disseminated

302 Infection Rate, proportion of mosquitoes with disseminated infection (head, wings, legs

303 infected) among infected mosquitoes; TR, Transmission Rate, proportion of mosquitoes with

304 infected saliva among mosquitoes with disseminated infection; TE, Transmission Efficiency,

305 proportion of mosquitoes with infected saliva among tested ones.

306

### **Table 2- Infection, dissemination and transmission of RVFV by** *Anopheles coustani.*

Day post-	Infection Rate	Disseminated	Transmission	Transmission
Intection	(IK)	(DIR)	Kale (TK)	(TE)
2	15% (3/20)	0% (0/3)	- (0/0)	0% (0/20)
5	15.8% (6/38)	100% (6/6)	83.3 (5/6)	13.2% (5/38)
8	50% (3/6)	100% (3/3)	100 (3/3)	50% (3/6)

IR, Infection rate, proportion of infected mosquitoes among tested ones; DIR, Disseminated
Infection Rate, proportion of mosquitoes with disseminated infection (head, wings, legs
infected) among infected mosquitoes; TR, Transmission Rate, proportion of mosquitoes with
infected saliva among mosquitoes with disseminated infection; TE, Transmission Efficiency,
proportion of mosquitoes with infected saliva among tested ones.

## 315 **Figure Legend**

- 316
- 317 Fig. 1- The three study sites in Madagascar. Red dots design the study sites. Engorged and
- 318 gravid females of *Cx. antennatus* and *An. coustani* were captured in three different sites in
- 319 Madagascar: in Moramanga (18°51'27.60"S; 48° 7'40.20"E) located in the Alaotra-Mangoro
- region, in Ankazobe (18°24'16.81"S; 47° 3'4.37"E), in the Analamanga region, and in
- 321 Tsiroanomandidy (18°50'37.16"S; 46° 1'55.79"E), in the Bongolava region.



Fig. 1- The three study sites in Madagascar. Red dots design the study sites. Engorged and gravid females of Cx. antennatus and An. coustani were captured in three different sites in Madagascar: in Moramanga (18°51'27.60"S; 48° 7'40.20"E) located in the Alaotra-Mangoro region, in Ankazobe (18°24'16.81"S; 47° 3'4.37"E), in the Analamanga region, and in Tsiroanomandidy (18°50'37.16"S; 46° 1'55.79"E), in the Bongolava region.

41x73mm (300 x 300 DPI)