



Persistent Wolbachia and Cultivable Bacteria Infection in the Reproductive and Somatic Tissues of the Mosquito Vector *Aedes albopictus*

Karima Zouache, Denis Voronin, Van Tran-Van, Laurence Mousson,
Anna-Bella Failloux, Patrick Mavingui

► To cite this version:

Karima Zouache, Denis Voronin, Van Tran-Van, Laurence Mousson, Anna-Bella Failloux, et al.. Persistent Wolbachia and Cultivable Bacteria Infection in the Reproductive and Somatic Tissues of the Mosquito Vector *Aedes albopictus*. PLoS ONE, 2009, 4 (7), pp.e6388. 10.1371/journal.pone.0006388 . pasteur-01681435

HAL Id: pasteur-01681435

<https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01681435>

Submitted on 11 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Persistent *Wolbachia* and Cultivable Bacteria Infection in the Reproductive and Somatic Tissues of the Mosquito Vector *Aedes albopictus*

Karima Zouache^{1,2}, Denis Voronin^{1,2}, Van Tran-Van^{1,2}, Laurence Mousson³, Anna-Bella Failloux³, Patrick Mavingui^{1,2*}

1 Université de Lyon, Lyon, France, **2** Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, CNRS, UMR5557, Ecologie Microbienne, Lyon, France, **3** Institut Pasteur, Génétique moléculaire des Bunyavirus, Paris, France

Abstract

Background: Commensal and symbiotic microbes have a considerable impact on the behavior of many arthropod hosts, including hematophagous species that transmit pathogens causing infectious diseases to human and animals. Little is known about the bacteria associated with mosquitoes other than the vectorized pathogens. This study investigated *Wolbachia* and cultivable bacteria that persist through generations in *Ae. albopictus* organs known to host transmitted arboviruses, such as dengue and chikungunya.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We used culturing, diagnostic and quantitative PCR, as well as *in situ* hybridization, to detect and locate bacteria in whole individual mosquitoes and in dissected tissues. *Wolbachia*, cultivable bacteria of the genera *Acinetobacter*, *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas* co-occurred and persisted in the bodies of both males and females of *Ae. albopictus* initially collected in La Réunion during the chikungunya outbreak, and maintained as colonies in insectaries. In dissected tissues, *Wolbachia* and the cultivable *Acinetobacter* can be detected in the salivary glands. The other bacteria are commonly found in the gut. Quantitative PCR estimates suggest that *Wolbachia* densities are highest in ovaries, lower than those of *Acinetobacter* in the gut, and approximately equal to those of *Acinetobacter* in the salivary glands. Hybridization using specific fluorescent probes successfully localized *Wolbachia* in all germ cells, including the oocytes, and in the salivary glands, whereas the *Acinetobacter* hybridizing signal was mostly located in the foregut and in the anterior midgut.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results show that *Proteobacteria* are distributed in the somatic and reproductive tissues of mosquito where transmissible pathogens reside and replicate. This location may portend the coexistence of symbionts and pathogens, and thus the possibility that competition or cooperation phenomena may occur in the mosquito vector *Ae. albopictus*. Improved understanding of the vectorial system, including the role of bacteria in the vector's biology and competence, could have major implications for understanding viral emergences and for disease control.

Citation: Zouache K, Voronin D, Tran-Van V, Mousson L, Failloux A-B, et al. (2009) Persistent *Wolbachia* and Cultivable Bacteria Infection in the Reproductive and Somatic Tissues of the Mosquito Vector *Aedes albopictus*. PLoS ONE 4(7): e6388. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388

Editor: Niyaz Ahmed, University of Hyderabad, India

Received: April 13, 2009; **Accepted:** June 25, 2009; **Published:** July 27, 2009

Copyright: © 2009 Zouache et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: KZ was supported by a PhD fellowship from the French Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale, de la Recherche et des Nouvelles Technologies. This work was funded by the CNRS and the Agence Nationale de Recherche (ChikVendoM ANR-06-SEST07). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: patrick.mavingui@univ-lyon1.fr

Introduction

Mosquitoes are medically important arthropod vectors of vertebrate pathogens. For instance, *Aedes albopictus*, and its sister taxon *Aedes aegypti*, are vectors of a large number of arboviruses, notably dengue and chikungunya [1]. Since 2005, La Réunion and neighboring islands in the Indian Ocean have experienced severe epidemics of chikungunya involving high incidences in the population [http://www.invs.sante.fr, 2]. The isolation and sequencing of the chikungunya virus from patients in La Réunion during a massive disease outbreak have revealed a prevalence of clinical isolates harboring nucleotide changes in both structural and non-structural loci; one particular mutation was found in glycoprotein E1, in a region predicted to interact with the target

membrane [3]. Entomological field surveys [4,5] and vector competence assays in the laboratory [6] have demonstrated that *Ae. albopictus*, which is much more anthropophilic than *Ae. aegypti* in La Réunion, is a very efficient vector.

In the absence of effective vaccines, arbovirus transmission can only be reduced by limiting mosquito densities by the mechanical reduction of breeding sites and by the application of insecticides. Unfortunately, insecticides impact non-target insects as well, and most mosquito species have developed resistance [7]. There is increasing interest in the use of microbes associated with arthropod vectors to interfere with the transmission of pathogens with a view to overcoming these difficulties by sustainable approaches [8]. Indeed, if on the one hand, microbial symbionts can confer a fitness gain on their arthropod hosts, including better

nutrition [9], heat tolerance [10,11], and resistance to pathogens [12,13,14], on the other hand, arthropod microbiota can be pathogenic for the host vector [15,16], or can have deleterious effects on host reproduction [17,18]. Finally, microbes associated with arthropods can either enhance or weaken vector competence [19,20,21]. Consequently, interference with one or more of these aspects of host behavior by natural or transgenic microbes could be exploited to manage arthropod vector-borne diseases by an approach known as “paratransgenesis” [22,23].

Despite the importance of microbes in the ecology and behavior of many arthropods [24], including hematophagous vectors such as ticks [25], tsetse flies [26] and lice [27], little is known about the mosquito-associated microbiota. Most of the few studies that have investigated the bacterial communities of *Culex* and *Anopheles* mosquitoes have focused on the midgut compartment [28, 29,30,31,32,34,35,36]. Very little is known about *Aedes*-associated bacteria. DeMaio and co-workers [37] were the first to report the midgut bacterial flora of wild *Aedes triseriatus*. Recently, members of the *Bacillus* and *Serratia* genera have been identified in the larval gut [38], and adult ventral diverticulum [39] of *Ae. aegypti*, respectively. Attempts have been made to use the gut-inhabiting bacteria to interfere with parasite transmission in mosquitoes [40,41,42]. In *Ae. albopictus*, the obligate intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia* has mainly been looked for in laboratory colonies and field-caught individuals [43,44]. This bacterium induces cytoplasmic incompatibility [45,46,47,48] that causes embryogenic death, a feature that could be exploited to control insect pests [17]. More studies are needed to make a complete inventory of the microbial communities of *Ae. albopictus*, and identify taxa that could be manipulated for paratransgenesis purposes. In this study, we investigated the presence and location of *Wolbachia* and of cultivable bacteria in a colony of *Ae. albopictus*, collected during the explosive chikungunya epidemics in La Réunion, and maintained under laboratory conditions since 2006. Culturing and PCR-based techniques coupled with *in situ* hybridization were used to detect *Wolbachia* and cultivable bacterial genera differentially distributed in somatic and reproductive tissues.

Results

Characteristics of the dominant *Proteobacteria*

A total of 3 to 100 CFU were found per early emerging *Ae. albopictus* mosquito. Eight colony types were obtained in the two media used; notably two types from male mosquitoes and six types from females. Two representatives of each colony type were used for genomic DNA extraction and PCR amplification of the *rrs* gene using universal primers (Table 1). Amplified rDNA restriction analysis (ARDRA) of the amplified *rrs* genes revealed five distinct patterns (not shown), the corresponding PCR products of which were fully sequenced. Blastn analysis (Table 2) identified two nearly complete *rrs* gene sequences as being closely related to uncultured *Comamonas* spp. (99% similarity), and the other three were affiliated to three species, *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (99% similarity), *Delftia* sp. (99% similarity), and *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* (99% similarity). Isolates of the genera *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas* were recovered from females, whereas *Acinetobacter* isolates were obtained from males.

To obtain an overview of the total bacterial community, PCR-DGGE fingerprints of samples from whole insects and from dissected tissues were produced using specific *rrs*-gene primers and the corresponding hypervariable V3 regions (Figure S1). Bands were gel-excised and re-amplified. Direct sequencing of the PCR product generated in some cases double sequences, indicating the presence of

more than one V3 in a particular excised band. These were excluded from the analysis. Among the single sequences, blast analysis identified an uncultivable bacterium, as well as the genera *Mesorhizobium* and *Stenotrophomonas* (Table 2). The presence of sequences affiliated with *Wolbachia* and with the four cultivable genera (*Acinetobacter*, *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas*) was also found.

Amplification with specific primers was performed to further explore *Wolbachia* and the dominant cultivable bacteria in the insect tissues. Positive PCR signals corresponding to *Wolbachia* strains *wAlbA* and *wAlbB* were obtained for all three of the organs tested (salivary glands, ovaries, and gut), as well as in the eggs, for four generations (Table 3), confirming the “invasive behavior” of this vertically-transmitted bacterial genus. The genus *Acinetobacter* was detected in the gut and salivary glands, whereas PCR products corresponding to *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas* were obtained only in the gut. Sequencing the amplified fragments confirmed the identity of each targeted bacterium (not shown). In the subsequent experiments, we focused on *Wolbachia* and *Acinetobacter*, which were detected in both gut and salivary glands.

Densities of *Wolbachia* and *Acinetobacter* in mosquito organs. The numbers of the bacterial cells varied depending on the genus and on the targeted organs (Table 4). The relative density (number of *wsp* gene per host *actin* gene) of *Wolbachia* was higher ($P < 0.005$) in ovaries than in the gut and salivary glands. No differences ($P > 0.05$) were found between the two *Wolbachia* *wAlbA* and *wAlbB* strains in all the three organs. The highest density of *Acinetobacter* was found in the gut ($P < 0.001$), outnumbering *Wolbachia* as well ($P < 0.005$). Densities of *Wolbachia* and *Acinetobacter* were not significantly different in the salivary glands ($P > 0.05$).

Localization of bacteria in dissected tissues. To localize the bacteria in mosquito tissues, FISH genus-specific probes available for *Acinetobacter* and *Wolbachia* were used. To do this, FISH probes were first tested using *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* isolate KZ-OAIM cultured in rich medium, and *Wolbachia* strain *wAlbB* hosted in *Ae. albopictus* cell line Aa23. Specific signals were detected for both *Acinetobacter* (Fig. 1A) and *Wolbachia* (not shown). The probes were then hybridized against the dissected tissues using three independent biological samples. Confocal microscopic observations of somatic tissues showed hybridizing signals for *Acinetobacter* in the inner surface of epithelial cells and in lumen space of the foregut and the anterior midgut (Fig. 1C). These signals were observed in all 10 of the dissected guts of females from generations F2 to F5. *Acinetobacter* could not be detected in the cell cytoplasm or basal or ventral parts of the epithelial cells, suggesting that this bacterial genus is mainly located in the intervillous space. No significant *Acinetobacter* signal was detected in the central part of midgut or hindgut. *Wolbachia* probes detected signals in the cytoplasm of salivary gland cells (Fig. 2). The medium lobe displayed relatively low signal intensity (Fig. 1B) compared to high hybridizing dots found in the lateral lobes (Fig. 2C and D). In contrast to the positive PCR results (see above), no significant fluorescent signals were observed in the gut for *Wolbachia*, nor in the ovary for *Acinetobacter* (not shown).

To monitor the bacteria in female reproductive tissues, the ovaries were dissected before vitellogenesis. Confocal images of the germarium and egg chambers revealed *Wolbachia* in all types of ovarian cells, including follicular and nurse cells, as well as in the future oocytes (Fig. 3). The highest density of bacteria was found in the future oocyte confirming a common feature of *Wolbachia*, which is to transfer from nurse cells into the oocyte through cytoplasmic dumping as has been shown in *Drosophila* [49]. As expected from the PCR results, no significant signal for *Acinetobacter* was found in the ovaries (not shown).

Table 1. Primers used in this study.

Group	Gene	Primer name	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Amplicon size/Tm	References
Organism					
Eubacteria	rrs	pA	5' AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3'	1500/55	[83]
		pH	5' AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCCGCA 3'		
	rrs	16S (V3) 338F	5' GCCGCCCCGCCGCGCGGGCGGGCGGGG-GCACGGGGGGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG 3'	variable	[84]
		16S (V3) 520R	5' ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG 3'		
Wolbachia	rrs	99F	5' TTGTAGCCTGCTATGGTATACT 3'	864/52	[85]
		1994R	5' GAATAGGTATGATTTTCATGT 3'		
	wsp	81F	5' TGGTCCAATAAGTGATGAAGAAAC 3'	600/55	[86]
		183F	5' AAGGAACCGAAGTTCATG 3'	508/52	[87]
		328F	5' CCAGCAGATACTATTGCG 3'	363/52	[87]
		691R	5' AAAGGGGACTGATGATGT 3'		[87]
Comamonas	rrs	Com199F	5' CCTTGTGCTACTAGAGC 3'	433/53	This study
		Com614R	5' GCAGTCACAATGGCAGTT 3'		This study
Delftia	rrs	Delf63F	5' TAACAGGTCTTCGGACGC 3'	397/56	This study
		Delf440R	5' CCCCTGTATTAGAAGAAGCT 3'		This study
Pseudomonas	rrs	Ps For	5' GGTCTGAGAGGATGATCAGT 3'	990/52	[88]
		Ps Rev	5' TTAGTCCACCTCGCGGC 3'		
Acinetobacter	rrs	Acine1	5' ACTTTAAGCGAGGAGGAGGCT 3'	426/58	[80]
		Ac	5' GCGCCACTAAAGCCTCAAAGGCC 3'		[82]
Plasmid					
pQuantAlb	wsp wAlbA	QADir1	5' GGGTTGATGTTGAAGGAG 3'	264/60	[77]
		QAreV2	5' CACCAGCTTTTACTTGACC 3'		[77]
	wsp wAlbB	183F	5' AAGGAACCGAAGTTCATG 3'	112/60	[87]
		QBrev2	5' AGTTGTGAGTAAAGTCCC 3'		[77]
	actin	ActAlb-dir	5' GCAAACGTGGTATCCTGAC 3'	139/60	[77]
		ActAlb-rev	5' GTCAGGAGAACTGGGTGCT 3'		
TOPO 2.1	rrs Acinetobacter	ACA	5' TAGAGTGTGGGAGAGGAT 3'	208/60	[81]
		Ac	5' GCGCCACTAAAGCCTCAAAGGCC 3'		[82]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.t001

Discussion

In recent years attempts have been made to investigate the possible use of native or genetically modified microbes to control pest arthropods and vector-borne diseases. The outcomes have varied considerably [8]. Greater knowledge about the behavior, persistence, and tissue tropism of microbes associated with vectors is essential to enhance the efficiency of paratransgenesis. Here, we investigated *Wolbachia* and the dominant cultivable bacteria in a colony of *Ae. albopictus* caught in La Réunion island during the 2005–2006 chikungunya epidemics. We found that the *Ae. albopictus* colony was infected by *Wolbachia* strains *wAlbA* and *wAlbB*. This is in accordance with what had been reported in studies of field-caught *Ae. albopictus*, where the prevalence of double infection by *Wolbachia* is commonly over 96% [43,44]. We also report here for the first time the presence of cultivable bacteria of the genera *Acinetobacter*, *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas* in the whole bodies of *Ae. albopictus* individuals. In addition, GGE analysis yielded sequences closely related to the *Mesorhizobium* and *Stenotrophomonas* genera, as well as an uncultivable bacterium.

Genus-specific diagnostic PCR demonstrated the co-occurrence of the four cultivable genera (*Acinetobacter*, *Comamonas*, *Delftia* and *Pseudomonas*) together with *Wolbachia*, throughout four generations in both males and females, indicating persistent infections. The cultivable bacteria found here are widespread in nature, and can be found in water, soil and living organisms, including *Drosophila* [50]. Among the few data reported for mosquitoes, members of the *Pseudomonas* genus have been recorded in *Anopheles* [34,36], *Aedes triseriatus* and *Culex pipiens* [37]. The genus *Acinetobacter* has been detected in the midgut of wild *Culex quinquefasciatus* [33]. When dissected tissues of mosquito females were subjected to diagnostic PCR detection, signals were found in the gut of all the cultivable bacteria. *In situ* hybridization with the specific oligonucleotide probes available made it possible to detect *Acinetobacter* in the lumen of the foregut and the anterior midgut of *Ae. albopictus* females. Interestingly, the PCR signal of *Acinetobacter* was also detected in the salivary glands, indicating the ability of this bacterium to spread throughout the insect body. This is consistent with the reported presence of *Acinetobacter* in the hemolymph of the glassy-winged Sharpshooter or *Homalodisca vitripennis* [51]. No cultivable bacteria were detected in the oocytes

Table 2. Bacterial community of *Aedes albopictus*.

Sample type	Name of clone/ Band number	Size (bp)	Accession number	Phylogenetic affiliation	Closest relative organism	Accession number	No identical/total similarity (%)
Cultivable bacteria							
	KZ-OAIF1 ^a	1525	FJ688377	<i>βetaproteobacteria</i>	Uncultured <i>Comamonas</i> sp. clone DS104	DQ234187.2	1524/1525 (99)
	KZ-OAIF2 ^a	1525	FJ688376	<i>βetaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Delftia</i> sp. 332	EU888308.1	1524/1525 (99)
	KZ-OAIF3 ^a	1529	FJ688378	<i>Gamma</i> proteobacteria	<i>Pseudomonas alcaligenes</i> strain S3	DQ115541.1	1490/1495 (99)
	KZ-OAIM ^b	1529	FJ688379	<i>Gamma</i> proteobacteria	<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> type strain NCCB 22016	AJ888983.1	1513/1515 (99)
DDGE							
	[1; 2; 14; 15] ^{a, b, c, d, e}	169	GQ290053	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Wolbachia</i> sp. wRi, complete genome	CP001391.1	169/169 (100)
	[3; 4; 16] ^{a, b, d, e}	194	FJ688377	<i>βetaproteobacteria</i>	Uncultured <i>Comamonas</i> sp. clone DS104	FJ950572.1	194/194 (100)
	[5; 13] ^{a, b, d, e}	194	GQ290055	<i>Gamma</i> proteobacteria	<i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i> strain Bon_b1	FN397902.1	194/194 (100)
	[6; 7] ^{a, b, d, e}	194	GQ290057	<i>Gamma</i> proteobacteria	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> strain d402	FJ950659.1	194/194 (100)
	g ^{a, b, d}	194	FJ688376	<i>βetaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Delftia</i> sp. 332	EU888308.1	194/194 (100)
	g ^{c, d}	169	GQ290056	<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	<i>Mesorhizobium loti</i> strain U261	DQ310706.1	166/169 (98)
	10 ^{a, b, d}	195	FJ688379	<i>Gamma</i> proteobacteria	<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> type strain NCCB 22016	AJ888983.1	195/195 (100)
	[11; 12] ^d	194	GQ290058	Unknown	Uncultured bacterium clone 16saw44-1d03.p1k	EF6044192.1	194/194 (100)

^aFemale individuals.^bmale individuals.^covaries.^dgut.^esalivary glands.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.t002

of the *Ae. albopictus* colony, ruling out the possibility of transovarial transmission. Canonical transovarial transmission of cultivable bacteria is in fact not a common event. In the mosquito, only the cultivable bacterium *Asaia* has been reported to be transmitted via the eggs of *Anopheles stephensi*, under the laboratory conditions [52]. Apart from transovarial transmission, other possible mechanisms of symbiont diffusion include proctophagy, the deposition of capsule containing microbes, and environmental acquisition [9,53,54,55]. The presence of *Acinetobacter* in the gut and salivary glands, two organs where viruses are known to replicate, implies that the virus and the bacterium may share the same space or co-localize. Interestingly, a recent study has shown that *Acinetobacter* sp. strain KNF2022 was able to produce an antiviral compound with inhibitory effects on the tobacco mosaic virus [56]. The role that the cultivable bacteria found here may play in the bio-ecology or vectorial competence of *Ae. albopictus* needs to be investigated.

Like the cultivable bacteria, *Wolbachia* was found to be associated with both female and male *Ae. albopictus*. *Wolbachia* are obligate intracellular symbionts, and are generally passed transovarially from the female to her offspring during the early stages of oogenesis or embryogenesis. Consequently, reproductive tissues have been reported to be the main targets of *Wolbachia* infection in both arthropods and nematodes [57,58,59]. In *Ae. albopictus*, the development of diagnostic PCR revealed two *Wolbachia* strains, named *wAlbA* and *wAlbB*, that occur either separately or

concomitantly in natural Asian populations [43,60]. These two *Wolbachia* strains are transovarially transmitted, and induce cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) in both native *Ae. albopictus* [45,46,47,48,61] and trans-infected *Ae. aegypti*, which is naturally devoid of *Wolbachia* [62]. Theoretical modeling has predicted that CI-inducing *Wolbachia* could be used to control the spread of mosquitoes [63,64], this was achieved by empirical research in the medfly [17]. Here we show that the *Ae. albopictus* colony from La Réunion also harbored *Wolbachia* strains *wAlbA* and *wAlbB*, which are clearly transmitted during oogenesis, as high levels of specific *in situ* hybridization signals were found in ovarian cells. Indeed, *Wolbachia* was present in the cytoplasm of germ cells, and in that of all the cells in egg chambers, notably follicular cells, nurse cells and future oocytes. The high density of *Wolbachia* in the ovaries also supports these assumptions.

It has been established that *Wolbachia* can also infect somatic tissues [65,66]. Dobson and co-workers [67] detected the WSP protein of *Wolbachia* in ovaries and testes, but also in heads, thoracic muscles, midguts, and Malpighian tubules. Genes encoding this protein could be present in *Wolbachia per se*, or could be part of a DNA fragment inserted into the host genome [68,69,70]. Recently, electron microscopic images of *Wolbachia* were reportedly detected in the salivary glands of the mosquito *Armigeres subalbatus* [71,72]. Using specific oligonucleotide probes, we report here for the first time the detection of *Wolbachia* in the cell cytoplasm of the three lobes from salivary glands of *Ae. albopictus* females. This is a finding of major importance, as the

Table 3. Genus-specific PCR^a amplifications in whole body and organs^b.

	Whole body male and female	Gut	Salivary glands	Ovaries	Eggs
<i>Eubacteria</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Wolbachia</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	+	+	+	–	–
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	+	+	–	–	–
<i>Comamonas</i>	+	+	–	–	–
<i>Delftia</i>	+	+	–	–	–

^aPrimers used are listed in Table 1. Identity of products was confirmed by sequencing.

^bPools of 10 organs from females were tested in three biological replicates. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.t003

salivary glands are crucial in virus transmission. The epidemiological consequences of this possible co-infection and potential cellular co-localization calls for careful investigation by arbovirologists, as it was shown recently that a strain of *Wolbachia* was able to reduce the lethal effect of viral pathogens of flies [14], indicating that *Wolbachia* has direct or indirect effects on the virus. Although the molecular mechanisms involved in this antiviral protection are still unknown, immunomodulation [73,74,75] and the induction of a reactive oxygen species burst [76] by *Wolbachia* infection could account for these effects on infectious agents.

In this study, we identified a persistent infection of obligate intracellular *Wolbachia* and cultivable bacteria, such as *Acinetobacter*, in *Ae. Albopictus*, a major vector of arboviruses. The bacteria effectively colonize the ovaries, gut, and salivary glands, organs that are essential for the replication and transmission of pathogens, such as arboviruses. Studies of the impact of these multiple infections on the vectorial competence of the mosquito are in progress.

Materials and Methods

Mosquitoes

Laboratory-reared *Ae. albopictus* was obtained from the DRASS (Direction Régionale des Affaires Sanitaires et Sociales) in La Réunion. *Aedes albopictus* Providence was collected in 2006, and the F2 to F5 generations were used in these experiments. Colonies were maintained at $28\pm1^\circ\text{C}$ with a light:dark cycle of 16 h:8 h, and 80% relative humidity. Larvae were reared in pans containing 1 yeast tablet in 1 liter of tap water. Adults were provided with 10% sucrose solution *ad libitum*.

Bacterial isolation

Adult mosquitoes were anaesthetized at 4°C , rinsed 3 times in sterilized water, surface disinfected by dipping in 70% ethanol for 5 min, and then rinsed five times in sterilized water, and once in sterilized NaCl 0.8%. Three whole mosquitoes were crushed in 250 μl sterilized NaCl 0.8%, two-fold diluted, and 100 μl of the resulting mix was plated on modified Luria Bertani agar medium (MLB: Bacto-tryptone 10 g.l^{-1} , yeast extract 5 g.l^{-1} , NaCl 5 g.l^{-1}) and PYC medium (Peptone 5 g.l^{-1} , yeast extract 3 g.l^{-1} , $\text{CaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 6 mM, pH 7.0). After incubating at 26°C , single colonies were streaked in the corresponding medium to check their purity. Purified isolates were cultured in liquid MLB at 26°C , stirred, and then stored in 25% glycerol at -80°C , until used.

DNA extraction

To recover the various organs, adult females were dissected in PBS under a binocular microscope using needles. Five whole individuals or pools of 10 dissected organs were surface disinfected, as described above. Each whole insect sample was crushed in 200 μl (or 100 μl for the organ samples) of DNA extraction buffer (2% Hexadecyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide, 1.4 M NaCl, 0.02 M EDTA, 0.1 M Tris pH 8, 0.2% 2- β mercaptoethanol) pre-warmed to 60°C . Homogenates were incubated for 15 min at 60°C . Proteins were removed in one volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24/1). DNA was precipitated at room temperature for 10 min with one volume of isopropyl alcohol. DNA pellet was washed once with 70% ethanol, air dried, and then dissolved in 30 μl of sterilized water. To extract the genomic DNA from the mosquito eggs, 30 to 70 mg of eggs were transferred into Eppendorf tubes, washed three times with sterilized water, surface disinfected in 70% ethanol for 5 min or dechorionized in 2.6% hypochlorite, before being rinsed twice in sterilized water. DNA extraction was then carried out as described above. For bacterial genomic extraction, an overnight culture was centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$, and the pelleted bacterial cells were handled using the DNeasy Tissue kit and QIAprep spin miniprep kit following the Manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France). For Plasmid DNA extraction, the QIAprep spin miniprep kit was used following the Manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France). All DNA samples were stored at -20°C until use.

Diagnostic and quantitative PCR

The oligonucleotide primers used were synthesized by Invitrogen, and are listed in Table 1. PCR amplification of *rrs* genes using mosquito genomic DNA (60 ng) was performed in 25 μl of the reaction mixture in 1X polymerase reaction buffer (Roche), 200 μM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 500 nM of each

Table 4. Bacterial density in female *Ae. albopictus* organs.

Organs	No. of <i>Wolbachia</i> (10^2) per 10 organs		No. of <i>Wolbachia</i> <i>wsp</i> gene/ No. of <i>Ae. albopictus</i> <i>actin</i> gene		No. of <i>Acinetobacter</i> (10^2) per 10 organs	No. of <i>Acinetobacter</i> <i>rrs</i> / No. <i>Ae. albopictus</i> <i>actin</i> gene
	<i>wAlbA</i>	<i>wAlbB</i>	<i>wAlbA</i>	<i>wAlbB</i>		
Ovary	27.54 ± 1.31^a	28.80 ± 2.21^a	2.62 ± 0.13^A	2.71 ± 0.21^A	nd	nd
SG	0.19 ± 0.02^b	0.089 ± 0.007^b	0.035 ± 0.004^B	0.017 ± 0.0015^B	1.58 ± 0.44^c	0.03 ± 0.001^B
Gut	2.83 ± 0.16^c	2.28 ± 0.2^c	0.15 ± 0.0087^C	0.13 ± 0.01^C	2.67 ± 0.35^c	0.33 ± 0.04^D

Statistical analysis was performed on log-transformed values. The dependent *t*-test was used to compare two means. Since multiple and non-independent tests were performed, the exact risk of rejecting a true null hypothesis is hard. For safety, we chose to reject H_0 at $P<0.005$. Mean values $\pm$ SE marked with the same letter are not significantly different ($P>0.005$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.t004




Figure 1. Microscopic views of *Acinetobacter* and infected mosquito tissues. FISH with a specific oligonucleotide probe (A) and DAPI (B) targeting *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* grown in a pure culture. (C) *Aedes albopictus* gut infected with *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (green). Nuclei are stained with propidium iodide (red). A and B, magnification 100X; C, bar 500 μ m. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.g001

primer, 0.025 mg.ml⁻¹ of T4 gene protein 32 (Roche), and 0.25 U of Expand DNA polymerase (Roche, France). *Wolbachia* was detected using specific primers targeting the 16S rDNA and *wsp* loci (Table 1) under the following conditions: 25 μ l of the reaction mixture containing 60 ng of DNA template in 1X polymerase reaction buffer (Invitrogen), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 μ M of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, and 0.5 U of *Taq* polymerase (Invitrogen). Diagnostic PCR reactions were performed in a T gradient thermocycler (Biometra, France). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using the LightCycler LC480 apparatus (Roche). The 20 μ l reaction mixture contained 1X LightCycler DNA master SYBR green I (Roche), 300 nM of each primer, and 10 ng of template DNA. Amplifications consisted of 10 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C or 63°C for the *wsp* and *rrs* amplifications respectively, and a final elongation at 72°C for 30 s. Standard curves were drawn on DNA plasmids pQuantAlb [77] and TOPO 2.1-Acin, a TOPO 2.1 vector in which we have cloned a 280 bp-*rrs* gene fragment from *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (Table 1).

DGGE

Ingeny PhorU (Apollo Instruments, Compiègne, France) system was used for DGGE analysis of the V3 PCR products as published [78]. Briefly, the 6% acrylamide gel contained a linear chemical

gradient of urea and formamide from 35% to 65% (100% = 7 M urea and 40% [v/v] deionized formamide). PCR products (5 μ g per well) were run in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA) at 60°C for 17 h at 100 V. After electrophoresis, the gels were immersed in SYBR green for 30 min at 4°C, rinsed in sterilized water, and then photographed under a UV lamp. Bands were excised, transferred to Eppendorf tubes, and washed three times with sterilized water. After all trace of liquid had been eliminated, 30 μ l of water was added to the tubes, which were heated to 60°C for 30 min, and kept overnight at 4°C. Two μ l of eluate were used for amplification. Products were purified (MinElute PCR purification kit, Invitrogen), and then direct sequenced using primers from the *rrs* V3 region (Genoscreen, Lille, France).

Cloning, sequencing and accession numbers

PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN). ARDRA analysis was performed to screen 16S rDNA of bacterial isolates in 20 μ l-reaction containing 200 ng DNA sample, 1X Buffer TangoTM and 10 U of each endonuclease *RsaI* and *HhaI* as recommended by the manufacturer (Fermentas, France). For cloning, selected products were inserted into the TOPO 2.1 vector, and used to transform the competent TOP10 *Escherichia coli* cells according to the procedure of the TOPO TA 2.1 cloning kit (Invitrogen). Clones containing DNA inserts were chosen




Figure 2. Confocal microscopy of *Aedes albopictus* salivary glands infected with *Wolbachia*. (A) General view of salivary glands (SG) showing cell nuclei stained by SYTOX (green). *Wolbachia* (red dots) are detected by the *rrs* specific probe in the cells of the median canal (B) and lateral lobes (C, D). Nuclei are in green. Bar, 500 μm . doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.g002

for sequencing. Sequence analyses were performed using the Blastn program at the NCBI database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). Sequences have been deposited in the GenBank database (Table 2).

Fluorescence *in-situ* hybridization (FISH)

Dissected organs (ovaries, salivary glands and guts) were fixed for 20 min in freshly prepared 4% formaldehyde in PBS, and then washed once with PBS. Cell line Aa23 infected with *Wolbachia* was also used, following the fixing procedure described in [78]. For *Acinetobacter*, an overnight culture of the isolate KZ-OAIM was centrifuged at 10,000 g, then 10^8 pelleted cells were washed with PBS, and fixed as above. Hybridization was conducted using 200 ng probes in hybridization buffer [formamide 50%, SSC 5X, dextran sulfate 200 mg.l^{-1} , poly(A) 250 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, salmon sperm DNA 250 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, tRNA 250 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, DTT 0.1 M, Denhardt's solution 0.5X] at 37°C overnight. The probes were synthesized by Invitrogen and consisted of: two *Wolbachia* probes W2, 5'-CTTCTGTGAGTACCGTCAT-TATC-3' [79] and Wol3, 5'-TCCTCTATCCTCTTTCAATC-3' [80] 5'-end labeled with rhodamine; and two *Acinetobacter* probes ACA, 5'-ATCCTCTCCCATACTCTA-3' [81] and Ac, 5'-GCGCCAC-TAAAGCCTCAAAGGCC-3' [82] 5'-labelled with alexa488. Samples were washed twice in 1X SSC-10 mM DTT and twice in 0.5X SSC-10 mM DTT at 55°C for 15 min. Finally, samples were rinsed

in PBS, mounted on a glass slide with glycerol alone or with 1 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ DAPI (4', 6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole) and viewed under a fluorescent (AXIO Imager.Z1, Zeiss) and a confocal microscope (LSM510, Zeiss) at the Microscopy Centre of University Lyon I.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 DGGE profiles of bacterial *rrs* V3 segments from *Aedes albopictus*. Females and males from generations F2 to F5 (whole insect body), dissected ovaries (OV), gut (G), and salivary glands (SG). wRi, *Wolbachia* strain purified from *Drosophila simulans* Riverside [89]. Numbers correspond to sequenced bands (Table 2). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.s001 (0.37 MB DOC)

Acknowledgments

We thank David Fouchet for statistical analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KZ PM. Performed the experiments: KZ DV VTV LM ABF. Analyzed the data: KZ DV VTV ABF PM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ABF PM. Wrote the paper: KZ DV PM.




Figure 3. Confocal Microscopy of *Aedes albopictus* egg chambers infected with *Wolbachia*. (A) *Wolbachia* detected in follicular cells (FC). (B, C) Detection of *Wolbachia* in germ cells (NC, nurse cell; O, oocyte; NNC, nuclei of nurse cells). (D) View of egg chambers hybridized in the absence of *Wolbachia* probes. Nuclei (blue) are stained with DAPI, and *Wolbachia* (red) are stained by specific *rrs* gene FISH probes. Bar, 100 μ m. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006388.g003

References

1. Turell MJ (1988) Horizontal and vertical transmission of viruses by insect and tick vectors. In: Monath TP, ed. *The Arboviruses: Epidemiology and Ecology*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. pp 127–152.
2. Paquet C, Quatresous I, Solet JL, Sissoko D, Renault P, et al. (2006) Chikungunya outbreak in Reunion: epidemiology and surveillance, 2005 to early January 2006. *Euro Surveill* 11: E060202–060203.
3. Schuffenecker I, Itman I, Michault A, Murri S, Frangeul L, et al. (2006) Genome microevolution of chikungunya viruses causing the Indian Ocean outbreak. *PLoS Med* 3: e263.
4. Delatte H, Paupy C, Dehecq JS, Thiria J, Failloux A-B, et al. (2008) *Aedes albopictus*, vector of chikungunya and dengue viruses in Reunion Island: biology and control. *Parasite* 15: 3–13.
5. Delatte H, Dehecq JS, Thiria J, Domerg C, Paupy C, et al. (2008) Geographic distribution and developmental sites of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) during a chikungunya epidemic event. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 8: 25–34.
6. Vazeille M, Moutailler S, Coudrier D, Rousseaux C, Khun H, et al. (2007) Two chikungunya isolates from the outbreak of La Reunion (Indian Ocean) exhibit different patterns of infection in the mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. *PLoS ONE* 2: e1168.

7. Hemingway J, Hawkes NJ, McCarroll L, Ranson H (2004) The molecular basis of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 34: 653–665.
8. Aksoy S (2008) Transgenesis and the management of vector-borne disease. Preface. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 627: vii–viii.
9. Buchner P (1965) Endosymbiosis of animals with plant microorganisms. Interscience 21 New York.
10. Montllor CB, Maxmen A, Purcell AP (2002) Facultative bacterial endosymbionts benefits pea aphids *Acyrthosiphon pisum* under heat stress. *Ecol Entomol* 27: 189–195.
11. Dunbar HE, Wilson AC, Ferguson NR, Moran NA (2007) Aphid thermal tolerance is governed by a point mutation in bacterial symbionts. *PLoS Biol* 5: e96.
12. Scarborough CL, Ferrari J, Godfray HC (2005) Aphid protected from pathogen by endosymbiont. *Science* 310: 1781.
13. Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN (2008) *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. *Science* 322: 702.
14. Hedges LM, Johnson KN (2008) Induction of host defence responses by *Drosophila* C virus. *J Gen Virol* 89: 1497–1501.
15. Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, et al. (1998) *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its pesticidal crystal proteins. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62: 775–806.
16. Min KT, Benzer S (1997) *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of *Drosophila*, can be virulent, causing degeneration and early death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 10792–10796.
17. Zabalou S, Riegler M, Theodorakopoulou M, Stauffer C, Savakis C, et al. (2004) *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a means for insect pest population control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 15042–15045.
18. Zchori-Fein E, Gottlieb Y, Kelly SE, Brown JK, Wilson JM, et al. (2001) A newly discovered bacterium associated with parthenogenesis and a change in host selection behavior in parasitoid wasps. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 12555–12560.
19. Baldrige GD, Burkhardt NY, Simser JA, Kurti TJ, Munderloh UG (2004) Sequence and expression analysis of the *ompA* gene of *Rickettsia peacockii*, an endosymbiont of the Rocky Mountain wood tick, *Dermacentor andersoni*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70: 6628–6636.
20. Beard CB, O'Neill SL, Tesh RB, Richards FF, Aksoy S (1993) Modification of arthropod vector competence via symbiotic bacteria. *Parasitol Today* 9: 179–183.
21. Beard CB, Dotson EM, Pennington PM, Eichler S, Cordon-Rosales C, et al. (2001) Bacterial symbiosis and paratransgenic control of vector-borne Chagas disease. *Int J Parasitol* 31: 621–627.
22. Durvasula RV, Gumbs A, Panackal A, Kruglov O, Aksoy S, et al. (1997) Prevention of insect-borne disease: an approach using transgenic symbiotic bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 3274–3278.
23. Beard CB, Cordon-Rosales C, Durvasula RV (2002) Bacterial symbionts of the triatominae and their potential use in control of Chagas disease transmission. *Annu Rev Entomol* 47: 123–141.
24. Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A (2008) Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* 42: 165–190.
25. Zhong J, Jasinskas A, Barbour AG (2007) Antibiotic treatment of the tick vector *Amblyomma americanum* reduced reproductive fitness. *PLoS ONE* 2: e405.
26. Toh H, Weiss BL, Perkin SA, Yamashita A, Oshima K, et al. (2006) Massive genome erosion and functional adaptations provide insights into the symbiotic lifestyle of *Sodalis glossinidius* in the tsetse host. *Genome Res* 16: 149–156.
27. Allen JM, Reed DL, Perotti MA, Braig HR (2007) Evolutionary relationships of “*Candidatus Riesia* spp.” endosymbiotic *Enterobacteriaceae* living within hematophagous primate lice. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 1659–1664.
28. Chao J, Wistreich GA (1959) Microbial isolations from the midgut of *Culex tarsalis* Coquillett. *J Insect Pathol* 1: 311–318.
29. Chao J, Wistreich GA (1960) Microorganisms from the midgut of larval and adult *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. *J Insect Pathol* 2: 220–224.
30. Vasanthi V, Hoti SL (1992) Microbial flora in gut of *Culex quinquefasciatus* breeding in cess pits. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 23: 312–317.
31. Straif SC, Mbogo CN, Toure AM, Walker ED, Kaufman M, et al. (1998) Midgut bacteria in *Anopheles gambiae* and *An. funestus* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Kenya and Mali. *J Med Entomol* 35: 222–226.
32. Pidiyar V, Kaznowski A, Narayan NB, Patole M, Shouche YS (2002) *Aeromonas culicicola* sp. nov., from the midgut of *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 52: 1723–1728.
33. Pidiyar VJ, Jangid K, Patole MS, Shouche YS (2004) Studies on cultured and uncultured microbiota of wild *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquito midgut based on 16S ribosomal RNA gene analysis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 70: 597–603.
34. Lindh JM, Terenius O, Faye I (2005) 16S rRNA gene-based identification of midgut bacteria from field-caught *Anopheles gambiae* sensu lato and *A. funestus* mosquitoes reveals new species related to known insect symbionts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71: 7217–7223.
35. Favia G, Ricci I, Damiani C, Raddadi N, Crotti E, et al. (2007) Bacteria of the genus *Asaia* stably associate with *Anopheles stephensi*, an Asian malarial mosquito vector. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 9047–9051.
36. Terenius O, de Oliveira CD, Pinheiro WD, Tadei WP, James AA, et al. (2008) 16S rRNA gene sequences from bacteria associated with adult *Anopheles darlingi* (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes. *J Med Entomol* 45: 172–175.
37. Demasio J, Pumpuni CB, Kent M, Beier JC (1996) The midgut bacterial flora of wild *Aedes triseriatus*, *Culex pipiens*, and *Psorophora columbiae* mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 54: 219–223.
38. Luxanil P, Atomi H, Panyim S, Imanaka T (2001) Isolation of bacterial strains colonizable in mosquito larval guts as novel host cells for mosquito control. *J Biosci Bioeng* 92: 342–345.
39. Gusmao DS, Santos AV, Marini DC, Russo Ede S, Peixoto AM, et al. (2007) First isolation of microorganisms from the gut diverticulum of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae): new perspectives for an insect-bacteria association. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 102: 919–924.
40. Yoshida S, Ioka D, Matsuoka H, Endo H, Ishii A (2001) Bacteria expressing single-chain immunotoxin inhibit malaria parasite development in mosquitoes. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 113: 89–96.
41. Riehle MA, Jacobs-Lorena M (2005) Using bacteria to express and display anti-parasite molecules in mosquitoes: current and future strategies. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35: 699–707.
42. Riehle MA, Moreira CK, Lampe D, Lauzon C, Jacobs-Lorena M (2007) Using bacteria to express and display anti-Plasmodium molecules in the mosquito midgut. *Int J Parasitol* 37: 595–603.
43. Kittayapong P, Baisley KJ, Baimai V, O'Neill SL (2000) Distribution and diversity of *Wolbachia* infections in Southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol* 37: 340–345.
44. Kittayapong P, Baimai V, O'Neill SL (2002) Field prevalence of *Wolbachia* in the mosquito vector *Aedes*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 66: 108–111.
45. Sinkins SP, Braig HR, O'Neill SL (1995) *Wolbachia* superinfections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Proc Biol Sci* 261: 325–330.
46. Sinkins SP, Braig HR, O'Neill SL (1995) *Wolbachia pipiensis*: bacterial density and unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility between infected populations of *Aedes*. *Exp Parasitol* 81: 284–291.
47. Dobson SL, Marsland EJ, Rattanadechakul W (2001) *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in single- and superinfected *Aedes* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol* 38: 382–387.
48. Dobson SL, Marsland EJ, Rattanadechakul W (2002) Mutualistic *Wolbachia* infection in *Aedes*: accelerating cytoplasmic drive. *Genetics* 160: 1087–1094.
49. Ferree PM, Frydman HM, Li JM, Cao J, Wieschaus E, et al. (2005) *Wolbachia* utilizes host microtubules and Dynein for anterior localization in the *Drosophila* oocyte. *PLoS Pathog* 1: e14.
50. Corby-Harris V, Pontaroli AC, Shimkets LJ, Bennetzen JL, Habel KE, et al. (2007) Geographical distribution and diversity of bacteria associated with natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 3470–3479.
51. Montlor Curley C, Brodie EL, Lechner MG, Purcell AH (2007) Exploration for facultative endosymbionts of Glass-Winged Sharpshooter (*Hemiptera: Cicadellidae*). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 100: 345–349.
52. Favia G, Ricci I, Marzorati M, Negri I, Alma A, et al. (2008) Bacteria of the genus *Asaia*: a potential paratransgenic weapon against malaria. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 627: 49–59.
53. Dillon RJ, Dillon VM (2004) The gut bacteria of insects: nonpathogenic interactions. *Annu Rev Entomol* 49: 71–92.
54. Kikuchi Y, Hosokawa T, Fukatsu T (2007) Insect-microbe mutualism without vertical transmission: a stinkbug acquires a beneficial gut symbiont from the environment every generation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 4308–4316.
55. Fukatsu T, Hosokawa T (2002) Capsule-transmitted gut symbiotic bacterium of the Japanese common plataspid stinkbug, *Megacopta punctatissima*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 389–396.
56. Lee JS, Lee KC, Kim KK, Hwang IC, Jang C, et al. (2009) *Acinetobacter antiviralis* sp. nov., from Tobacco plant roots. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 19: 250–256.
57. O'Neill SL, Gooding RH, Aksoy S (1993) Phylogenetically distant symbiotic microorganisms reside in *Glossina* midgut and ovary tissues. *Med Vet Entomol* 7: 377–383.
58. Werren JH (1997) *Wolbachia* run amok. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 11154–11155.
59. Taylor MJ, Hoerauf A (1999) *Wolbachia* bacteria of filarial nematodes. *Parasitol Today* 15: 437–442.
60. Otsuka Y, Takaota H (1997) Elimination of *Wolbachia pipiensis* from *Aedes albopictus*. *Med Entomol Zool* 48: 257–260.
61. Dobson SL, Rattanadechakul W, Marsland EJ (2004) Fitness advantage and cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Wolbachia* single- and superinfected *Aedes*. *Heredity* 93(2): 135–142.
62. Xi Z, Khoo CC, Dobson SL (2005) *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an *Aedes aegypti* laboratory population. *Science* 310: 326–328.
63. Sinkins SP, Hastings IM (2004) Male-specific insecticide resistance and mosquito transgene dispersal. *Trends Parasitol* 20(9): 413–416.
64. Sinkins SP, Godfray HC (2004) Use of *Wolbachia* to drive nuclear transgenes through insect populations. *Proc Biol Sci* 271(1546): 1421–1426.
65. Cheng Q, Ruel TD, Zhou W, Moloo SK, Majiwa P, et al. (2000) Tissue distribution and prevalence of *Wolbachia* infections in tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. *Med Vet Entomol* 14: 44–50.
66. Frydman HM, Li JM, Robson DN, Wieschaus E (2006) Somatic stem cell niche tropism in *Wolbachia*. *Nature* 441: 509–512.
67. Dobson SL, Bourtzis K, Braig HR, Jones BF, Zhou W, et al. (1999) *Wolbachia* infections are distributed throughout insect somatic and germ line tissues. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 29: 153–160.
68. Kondo N, Ijichi N, Shimada M, Fukatsu T (2002) Prevailing triple infection with *Wolbachia* in *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Mol Ecol* 11: 167–180.

69. Hotopp JC, Clark ME, Oliveira DC, Foster JM, Fischer P, et al. (2007) Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* 317: 1753–1756.
70. Nikoh N, Tanaka K, Shibata F, Kondo N, Hizume M, et al. (2008) *Wolbachia* genome integrated in an insect chromosome: evolution and fate of laterally transferred endosymbiont genes. *Genome Res* 18: 272–280.
71. Chen WJ, Tsai KH, Cheng SL, Huang CG, Wu WJ (2005) Using *in situ* hybridization to detect endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in dissected tissues of mosquito host. *J Med Entomol* 42: 120–124.
72. Tsai KH, Huang CG, Wu WJ, Chuang CK, Lin CC, et al. (2006) Parallel infection of *Japanese encephalitis virus* and *Wolbachia* within cells of mosquito salivary glands. *J Med Entomol* 43: 752–756.
73. Xi Z, Gavotte L, Xie Y, Dobson SL (2008) Genome-wide analysis of the interaction between the endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* and its *Drosophila* host. *BMC Genomics* 9: 1.
74. Braquart-Varnier C, Lachat M, Herbinier J, Johnson M, Caubet Y, et al. (2008) *Wolbachia* mediate variation of host immunocompetence. *PLoS ONE* 3: e3286.
75. Pannebakker BA, Loppin B, Elemans CP, Humblot L, Vavre F (2007) Parasitic inhibition of cell death facilitates symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 213–215.
76. Brennan IJ, Keddle BA, Braig HR, Harris HL (2008) The endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipiensis* induces the expression of host antioxidant proteins in an *Aedes albopictus* cell line. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2083.
77. Tortosa P, Courtiol A, Moutailler S, Failloux AB, Weill M (2008) Chikungunya-*Wolbachia* interplay in *Aedes albopictus*. *Insect Mol Biol* 17: 677–684.
78. Zouache K, Voronin D, Van TV, Mavingui P (2009) Composition of bacterial communities associated with natural and laboratory populations of *Asobara tabida* infected by *Wolbachia*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75: 3755–3764.
79. Heddi A, Grenier AM, Khatchadourian C, Charles H, Nardon P (1999) Four intracellular genomes direct weevil biology: nuclear, mitochondrial, principal endosymbiont, and *Wolbachia*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96: 6814–6819.
80. Sanguin H, Herrera A, Oger-Desfeux C, Dechesne A, Simonet P, et al. (2006) Development and validation of a prototype 16S rRNA-based taxonomic microarray for *Alphaproteobacteria*. *Environ Microbiol* 8: 289–307.
81. Wagner M, Erhart R, Manz W, Amann R, Lemmer H, et al. (1994) Development of an rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probe specific for the genus *Acinetobacter* and its application for *in situ* monitoring in activated sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60: 792–800.
82. Kenzaka T, Yamaguchi N, Tani K, Nasu M (1998) rRNA-targeted fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis of bacterial community structure in river water. *Microbiology* 144: 2085–2093.
83. Bruce KD, Hiorns WD, Hobman JL, Osborn AM, Strike P, et al. (1992) Amplification of DNA from native populations of soil bacteria by using the polymerase chain reaction. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 58: 3413–3416.
84. Muyzer G, de Waal EC, Uitterlinden AG (1993) Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 59: 695–700.
85. O'Neill SL, Giordano R, Colbert AM, Karr TL, Robertson HM (1992) 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89: 2699–2702.
86. Braig HR, Zhou W, Dobson SL, O'Neill SL (1998) Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipiensis*. *J Bacteriol* 180: 2373–2378.
87. Zhou W, Rousset F, O'Neil S (1998) Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. *Proc Biol Sci* 265: 509–515.
88. Widmer F, Seidler RJ, Gillevet PM, Watrud LS, Di Giovanni GD (1998) A highly selective PCR protocol for detecting 16S rRNA genes of the genus *Pseudomonas* (sensu stricto) in environmental samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 64: 2545–2553.
89. Mavingui P, Van VT, Labeyrie E, Rances E, Vavre F, et al. (2005) Efficient procedure for purification of obligate intracellular *Wolbachia pipiensis* and representative amplification of its genome by multiple-displacement amplification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71: 6910–6917.