

# SOS, the formidable strategy of bacteria against aggressions

Zeynep Baharoglu, Didier Mazel

## ▶ To cite this version:

Zeynep Baharoglu, Didier Mazel. SOS, the formidable strategy of bacteria against aggressions. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 2014, 38 (6), pp.1126-1145. 10.1111/1574-6976.12077 . pasteur-01423593

## HAL Id: pasteur-01423593 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01423593v1

Submitted on 31 Jan 2018  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/fems

## SOS, the formidable strategy of bacteria against aggressions.

Journal:	FEMS Microbiology Reviews
Manuscript ID:	FEMSRE-13-11-0046.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review - Invited
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Feb-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Baharoglu, Zeynep; Institut Pasteur, Département Génomes et Génétique Institut Pasteur, Unité "Plasticité du Génome Bactérien" - CNRS UMR3525 Mazel, Didier; Institut Pasteur, Unité "Plasticité du Génome Bactérien" - CNRS UMR3525
Keywords:	SOS, stress, bacteria, adaptation, dna repair, antibiotic resistance
	·



Page 1 of 38



Figure 1. Simplified representations of DNA repair pathways induced by SOS (described in the introduction section). HR, NER and TLS are induced during the SOS response. HR: Homologous Recombination. Single strand nicks (gaps) are transformed into larger gaps by the RecJ exonuclease. Gaps are recognized by RecFOR presynaptic proteins. Double strand breaks (DSB) are recognized by the presynaptic RecBCD exonuclease/helicase complex. RecFOR/RecBCD recruit RecA to initiate homologous recombination through strand invasion with the sister chromosome, usually resulting in mutation free repair. DSB repair results in the formation of a replication fork. NER: Nucleotide Excision Repair. The UvrAB complex recognizes the DNA lesion; UvrC proceeds with a double incision on both sides of the lesion and the ssDNA carrying the lesion is removed by the UvrD helicase. DNA polymerase I (Pol I) resynthesizes the missing DNA and the ligase ligates the newly synthesized DNA to the template, usually resulting in mutation free repair. If the SOS inducing signal persists, translesion synthesis (TLS) polymerases are induced. In the absence of TLS, the replicative DNA polymerase (Pol III) cannot replicate damaged DNA, leading to fork stalling. TLS polymerases (Pol IV, Pol V) can replicate damaged DNA in a mutagenic manner. Yellow stars represent DNA lesions.

258x234mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Origins of ssDNA inducing SOS. A. Replication fork stalling often leads to spontaneous ssDNA nicks. These breaks are eventually transformed into double strand breaks (DSBs) when replication restarts, and DSBs are potent inducers of SOS as described in section I. B. Replication transcription collisions. Adapted from (Helmrich et al., 2013). Co-directional collisions may happen because of the difference of velocity of the replisome and the transcription complex, and lead to DSB formation (Dutta et al., 2011). Head-on collisions occur when transcription and replication progress in opposite directions. Both types of collisions cause DSB formation through either R-loop formation or topological stress, if rescue pathways are insufficient. C. Transcription stalling. Adapted from (Wimberly et al., 2013). Elongating RNA polymerase (RNAP) can stall upon encounter with a DNA lesion or bulky protein complexes. In this case, transcribed RNA can anneal to the template DNA forming a structure called R-loop. R-loops are another type of SOS inducers as described in section I. R-loops can lead to re-priming of a replication fork. If the re-primed replication fork encounters a nick, it can stall and lead to DSBs (as in panel A). 324x186mm (300 x 300 DPI)



## SOS, the formidable strategy of bacteria against aggressions.

## Abstract

The presence of an abnormal amount of single stranded DNA in the bacterial cell constitutes a genotoxic alarm signal that induces the SOS response, a broad regulatory network found in most bacterial species to address DNA damage. The aim of this review is to point out that beyond being a repair process, SOS induction leads to a very strong but transient response to genotoxic stress, during which bacteria can rearrange and mutate their genome, induce several phenotypic changes through differential regulation of genes, and sometimes acquire characteristics that potentiate bacterial survival and adaptation to changing environments. We review here the causes and consequences of SOS induction, but also how this response can be modulated under various circumstances and how it is connected to the network of other important stress responses. In the first section, we review articles describing the induction of the SOS response at the molecular level. The second section discusses consequences of this induction in terms of DNA repair, changes in the genome and gene expression and sharing of genomic information, with their effects on the bacteria's life and evolution. The third section is about the fine-tuning of this response in order to fit with the bacteria's "needs". Finally, we discuss recent findings linking the SOS response to other stress responses. Under these perspectives, SOS can be perceived as a powerful bacterial strategy against aggressions.

## Introduction

SOS induction was first observed in cells where the replication fork encounters DNA lesions which it tries to replicate (Radman, 1975). Since these early investigations, a multitude of different studies have been carried out to decipher the triggers and components of the SOS response. We know now that other mechanisms, through which ssDNA is formed in the cell, exist and induce SOS.

The mechanism of induction of SOS by single stranded DNA (ssDNA) is well understood (Walker, 1996, Michel, 2005, Kelley, 2006). Briefly, RecA is recruited on ssDNA by presynaptic complexes RecBCD or RecFOR. RecBCD recognizes double strand DNA breaks (DSB) or double strand ends (DSE). Its helicase and nuclease activities result in the

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

formation of an ssDNA substrate for RecA. RecFOR recognizes DNA nicks and gaps, and recruits RecA to this ssDNA patch. RecA binds ssDNA in the form of a nucleofilament which catalyzes the auto-proteolysis of the repressor LexA. LexA represses the genes belonging to the SOS regulon by binding to its cognate LexA box sequence on their promoters. LexA proteolysis thus leads to de-repression of this regulon, comprised of about 40 genes in E. coli (Courcelle et al., 2001). The affinity of LexA for these genes is variable and depends on the LexA box sequence itself and on the number of LexA boxes present at the promoters of these genes. The LexA box consensus sequence was proposed to be 5'-CTGTN<sub>8</sub>ACAG-3' (Butala et al., 2009) and can be extended to 5'-TACTGT(AT)<sub>4</sub>ACAGTA-3' in E. coli (Fernandez De Henestrosa et al., 2000, Wade et al., 2005). LexA binding seems to be tolerant for variations in the sequence of 8 base spacer region but its length is invariant, as suggested by structural studies where the LexA-DNA complex was crystallized (Zhang et al., 2010). This leads to differential de-repression (Courcelle et al., 2001)/re-repression of genes belonging to the SOS regulon as shown in vivo and in silico using an algorithm based approach by Ronen and colleagues (Ronen et al., 2002). On the other hand, the LexA box consensus sequence varies bacterial species (cyanobacteria, gram positives, alphaproteobacteria, among gammaproteobacteria). The phylogeny of LexA boxes and the ability of LexA proteins from various bacterial species to bind various LexA boxes was studied in vitro and in silico (Mazon et al., 2004) and shows that these sequences are related and in most cases follow the phylogenic relationships of those bacterial species. Examples of different LexA boxes are given in Table 1 - the list is non-exhaustive, but gives insight in the similarity of these sequences in different bacterial species.

The SOS-induced genes favor the repair of DNA lesions. Figure 1 shows simplified representations of DNA repair pathways induced by SOS.

Homologous recombination (HR): RecA itself is induced by SOS, together with other homologous recombination proteins such as RuvAB and RecFOR, to allow the repair of single stranded lesions. Induction of HR can lead to rearrangements in the chromosome (see section II-2-1).

Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER) by UvrABC (Sancar and Rupp, 1983): The *uvr* genes involved in nucleotide excision repair (NER) allow the repair of lesions where the DNA is double stranded (like a mismatch). Briefly, UvrABC endonuclease recognizes the lesion, nicks the DNA and UvrD helicase removes the DNA patch carrying the lesion (Kumura *et al.*, 1985). DNA polymerase Pol I then fills the gap (Husain *et al.*, 1985).

Translesion synthesis (TLS): the more numerous the initial amount of lesions, the stronger the genotoxic signal and the more genes from the SOS regulon are induced. *umuD* and *umuC* are induced later. Similarly to LexA, UmuD undergoes proteolytic cleavage catalyzed by the RecA nucleofilament (Nohmi *et al.*, 1988). Cleaved UmuD (named UmuD') becomes activated and, together with UmuC, forms the translesion synthesis DNA polymerase UmuD'<sub>2</sub>C, or Pol V. PolV proceeds with DNA replication on damaged DNA by incorporating any base accross from the DNA lesion that the proof-reading proficient Pol III cannot replicate. Other TLS polymerases exist and, like Pol V, allow the replication of damaged DNA in a mutagenic manner: these are the DNA polymerases Pol II (*polB*) (Pages & Fuchs, 2003) and Pol IV (*dinB*) (Napolitano *et al.*, 2000). TLS can be highly mutagenic because translesion polymerases can incorporate a correct or incorrect base in front of the lesion on the template strand (Friedberg *et al.*, 2002). Unlike Pol III, TLS polymerases do not have a proofreading activity, except for Pol II that has a high level of fidelity on undamaged DNA. Induction of TLS leads to an increased frequency of spontaneous mutations (see section II-2).

LexA is a late-induced gene, and can stop the SOS induction when the genotoxic signal disappears and LexA cleavage is not favored anymore. Ongoing biochemical and sequence analysis studies aim at shedding light into the dynamics of SOS induction through the study of RecA-LexA interactions (Kovacic *et al.*, 2013) or biochemical and structural bases of auto-proteolysis and damage sensing (Butala *et al.*, 2009, Aravind *et al.*, 2013). In an *in vitro* report about the timing of ssDNA-RecA-mediated self-cleavage of LexA, Butala and colleagues showed that a LexA repressor which is bound to its target *lexA-box* is insensitive to auto-proteolysis (Butala *et al.*, 2011). This observation explains how the binding affinity of LexA for different promoters correlates with the timing of the gene's expression.

SOS induction is a well-described cellular response. A comprehensive review about the mechanism of SOS induction and the phylogenetic conservation of this cellular stress response was published in 2007 by Erill, Campoy and Barbé (Erill *et al.*, 2007). In the light of subsequent studies, more knowledge has been accumulated on the conditions leading to the induction of the SOS response and its outcomes, such as virulence, persistence, emergence of multiple resistances and, more generally, adaptation. We focus in this review on new data uncovering the SOS-related strategies developed by bacteria in response to various aggressions.

## I - Origin of SOS-inducing single stranded DNA (ssDNA)

## 1 - Origin of ssDNA in the absence of external damaging agents

Most ssDNA originates from double strand breaks (DSBs). As described in the introduction, ssDNA is the sole inducer of the SOS response. Experiments measuring the formation of DNA lesions capable of inducing SOS in *E. coli* using flow cytometry led to the observation that most of the chromosomal ssDNA (two thirds according to the authors) comes from the action of RecBCD on DSBs. The remaining third are ssDNA stretches formed independently of RecBCD (Pennington & Rosenberg, 2007). Such DSBs mostly originate from spontaneous DNA breakage during replication, most probably after fork stalling (Figure 2A). Several conditions leading to replication arrest are described below.

Replication fork problems can lead to DSB formation. It is known that replication arrest or stalling leads to formation of ssDNA on the lagging strand template, which constitutes a substrate for the formation of the RecA nucleofilament and SOS induction in the absence of DNA lesions (Walker, 1996). Such an event can happen when replication is impaired, as is the case for *E. coli* conditional replication mutants harboring thermo-sensitive alleles of genes coding for different sub-units of the replicative DNA polymerase Pol III (Flores *et al.*, 2005, Lestini & Michel, 2007). Figure 2A shows a synthetic representation of how ssDNA that induces SOS can be formed during replication. Replication arrests may also occur upon transcription replication collisions (Figure 2B), such as in conditions where bulky factors block the DNA polymerase complex. Such an example is described in an *E. coli* strain where the highly transcribed ribosomal *rrn* operons were inverted in order to be transcribed in the opposite direction of replication (Boubakri et al., 2010). In this case, head-on collisions of the replisome with the bulky transcription complexes hinder the progression of the replication fork and lead to SOS induction. In the absence of stalled RNA polymerase (RNAP) removal systems, the DNA replication is impaired and SOS is induced (Boubakri et al., 2010, McGlynn *et al.*, 2012).

R-loop formation in non-replicating bacteria also leads to DSB formation (Figure 2C). The conditions described above involve replication fork stalling, which implies active replication of the bacterial chromosome. However, DSBs are also formed in non-replicating conditions. The origin of these DSBs was found to lie in R-loop formation during transcription in *E. coli* (Wimberly *et al.*, 2013). R-loops are RNA-DNA hybrids that are formed when the RNAP is stalled on DNA and the RNA in synthesis anneals to the

homologous transcribed ssDNA template in *E. coli* (reviewed in (McGlynn *et al.*, 2012)) and eukaryotes (Helmrich *et al.*, 2011). This can happen, for example, when the RNA polymerase encounters a roadblock and backtracks (Dutta *et al.*, 2011). In growing cells, this can lead to replication-transcription conflicts as described above (for a review, see (Merrikh *et al.*, 2012)). R-loops were shown to lead to genomic instability in *E. coli*, as in eukaryotes (Gan *et al.*, 2011), by impairing DNA replication.

Formation of such R-loop structures was very recently shown to also lead to stressinduced mutations in non-replicating  $E.\ coli$  (Wimberly *et al.*, 2013), suggesting that problems at transcribed regions leading to RNAP stalling can constitute a genotoxic signal.

## 2 - ssDNA formation due to external damaging agents

DNA damage can be formed upon physical insults and ssDNA can also originate from DNA damage provoked by external agents. For instance, UV irradiation causes DNA lesions that need to be repaired in order for the cell to survive. The repair of damaged DNA by HR or TLS requires the initial recruitment of RecA to the site of lesion (Fujii *et al.*, 2006). Hence, inactivating the recruitment of RecA, for instance in a mutant deleted for *recA*, leads to cell death because of lack of repair and possibly because of inefficient TLS in the absence of SOS induction. As mentioned in the introduction, SOS induction leads to the expression of TLS polymerases; hence, the repair of UV lesions is highly mutagenic.

Another damaging factor described by Aertsen and colleagues is pressure. High pressure indeed induces SOS through DSB formation through the Mrr nuclease (Aertsen & Michiels, 2005), in *E. coli* strains carrying the *mrr* gene (Aertsen *et al.*, 2008). In turn, SOS can induce high pressure resistance through spontaneous mutations inactivating the *mrr* gene (Aertsen & Michiels, 2005). What is remarkable in this example is how the induction of the SOS response is a subtle way for the cell to turn an external aggression into an advantage by becoming better adapted to its environment.

Another study on the effect of gamma radiation on *E. coli* reports a link between the SOS response and radiation-induced cell death, a bacterial mechanism similar to the eukaryotic programmed cell death (Wadhawan *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, SOS-dependent DNA repair by HR and TLS were found to be necessary for cell recovery after gamma irradiation.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) can also lead to DSB formation. Recently, reactive oxygen species and their various effects on cellular mechanisms have drawn a lot of attention. ROS-like superoxide or hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>-</sup>) are potent bacterial stressors, which can

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

directly damage DNA. For instance, superoxide leads to the release of free iron ions from proteins containing iron (Fe-S cluster proteins) (Keyer & Imlay, 1996, Imlay, 2003). Iron can also localize along the phosphodiester backbone of nucleic acids. Moreover, high concentrations of free ferrous iron lead to the production of hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>-</sup>) through the Fenton reaction (Henle *et al.*, 1999, Rai *et al.*, 2001) (Daly, 2009). OH<sup>-</sup> attacks the DNA backbone and ultimately causes DSBs (Jena, 2012). Moreover, superoxide and OH<sup>-</sup> are also formed after poisoning of the DNA gyrase (by fluoroquinolones or proteic inhibitors like the CcdB toxin), an essential protein for chromosome replication (Dwyer *et al.*, 2007).

Another type of DNA damage caused by oxidative attack is the incorporation of oxidized guanine residues during replication (7,8-Dihydro-8-oxo-guanine or 8-oxo-G) (Sussenbach & Berends, 1964). Bacteria possess a defense system against such damage, first by hydrolyzing the oxidized guanine present in the nucleotide pool and second, by affecting the incorporated G through the base excision repair pathway (BER) (Lu *et al.*, 2001), by limiting its incorporation and the formation of mismatches (Sobol, 2012). Incomplete action of the BER system possibly leads to DSBs that are cytotoxic if unrepaired (Foti *et al.*, 2012).

ROS can also indirectly cause DNA damage (Farr & Kogoma, 1991). OH<sup>-</sup> radicals can damage proteins (Ide *et al.*, 1985) and lipids (Schaich & Borg, 1988) as shown in *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica* (Paez *et al.*, 2011) (also reviewed in (Dwyer *et al.*, 2009)). Additionally, it is known that mistranslated and misfolded proteins are more susceptible to oxidation (Dukan *et al.*, 2000). Mistranslational corruption of proteins may lead to replication fork collapse and induction of the SOS response in *E. coli* (Balashov & Humayun, 2002, Al Mamun *et al.*, 2006). Interestingly, in *Deinococcus radiodurans*, cell death by radiation is not caused by direct DNA damage but primarily by oxidative damage of proteins, which eventually results in the abolition of DNA repair (Krisko & Radman, 2010). The legendary resistance of *D. radiodurans* to ionizing radiations is actually a consequence of **a** more efficient proteome protection against ROS rather than **a** more efficient genome protection and cell death correlates with protein carbonylation due to oxidative damage. Preserving cell integrity in times of oxidation seems to depend as much on proteome protection against ROS then on DNA protection. Furthermore, affecting the integrity of the proteome can result in the induction of the SOS response through impaired DNA replication and repair.

Antibiotics can trigger bacterial stress at both lethal and sub-lethal concentrations. Antibiotics may induce SOS through DNA damage or through replication arrest. The fluoroquinolone (FQ) family of antibiotics which causes replication arrest by blocking DNA

gyrase (Pohlhaus & Kreuzer, 2005), and trimethoprim (Lewin & Amyes, 1991) which affects purine and pyrimidine synthesis, do not directly generate lesions but rather perturb DNA replication. Mitomycin C (MMC) leads to T-T crosslinks that lead to DNA break formation.  $\beta$ -lactams were also reported to induce SOS in some conditions by an unusual mechanism. In *E. coli*,  $\beta$ -lactams cause cell wall damage, which induces the two-component system proteins DpiBA. DpiA can bind the origin of replication of the chromosome and, together with DpiB, impair replication, which results in the induction of the SOS response (Miller *et al.*, 2003, Miller *et al.*, 2004).

Interestingly, SOS is also induced by low doses of several antibiotics, termed sub-MIC for sub-minimal inhibitory concentration. The evidence that sub-MICs of antibiotics play an important role in the appearance and dissemination of antibiotic resistance has emerged in recent years (Kohanski *et al.*, 2010, Gullberg *et al.*, 2011). This is especially relevant considering that a large proportion of ingested antibiotics are released intact in the environment (Liu *et al.*, 1999, Liu *et al.*, 1999) and that low levels of antibiotics are found in wastewater plants, hospitals and soil (Fick *et al.*, 2009, Haggard & Bartsch, 2009, Kummerer, 2009, Kummerer, 2009).

First, sub-MICs FQs have been shown to induce SOS in *Salmonella enterica*, even if bacterial growth is not affected by these low concentrations (Yim *et al.*, 2011). Ciprofloxacin at sub-MIC induces SOS and increases the frequency of point mutations in *S. aureus* (Mesak *et al.*, 2008, Mesak & Davies, 2009). Moreover, ampicillin at sub-MIC was found to down-regulate mismatch repair in *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *V. cholerae*, hence increasing mutation frequencies due to SOS (Gutierrez *et al.*, 2013). This brings along a different problem than SOS induction by the same antibiotics at high concentrations: in fact, at high concentration, bacteria either acquire mutations that help them to survive or they die, the latter possibility being the most likely. However, at low antibiotic concentrations, bacteria do not die and SOS induction leads to an increase in bacterial genome variability. Mutations acquired in such a way can then be fixed in populations if they provide a fitness advantage. More and more reports now suggest that these low concentrations of antibiotics and the consequent induction of SOS are one of the factors contributing to the acquisition of multiple resistances and adaptation factors by bacteria.

Moreover, the effects of sub-MICs of antibiotics from different families - such as antibiotics that target translation and not DNA (aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol and tetracycline) - on the SOS response was further addressed. These antibiotics are not expected

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

to induce SOS. Interestingly *V. cholerae*, but not *E. coli*, was found to induce SOS in response to these antibiotics, which favors the appearance of resistant derivatives (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, aminoglycoside (AG) mediated SOS induction is conserved among distantly related Gram negative pathogens (*Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Photorhabdus luminescens*), suggesting that *E coli* is more of an exception than a paradigm for the physiological response to sub-MICs of antibiotics (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2013). It is also interesting to mention here that AGs block translation. In fact, translating ribosomes control the transcription elongation rate: active ribosomes can prevent RNAP from backtracking (Proshkin *et al.*, 2010, Potenski & Klein, 2011). Consequently, inhibiting protein synthesis increases levels of transcription-generated R-loops. Formation of transcriptional R-loops in bacteria is indeed shown to be inhibited by ribosomes on the nascent transcript (Masse & Drolet, 1999). As described in section I-1, R-loops have the potential to induce SOS. Aminoglycosides target protein translation, but they clearly also have a negative effect on transcription and replication at sub-MIC, which leads to SOS induction in several bacterial species.

It can sound puzzling that sub-MICs of AGs induce SOS in several bacteria for they do not directly target DNA synthesis or the DNA molecule. These observations point to a role for intermediate factors that cause DNA damage. One of the reasons for this SOS induction was actually found to be that sub-MIC AG treatment leads to the formation of ROS (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2013). Further studies suggest a role for RNA polymerase stalling at AG-mediated DNA lesions and formation of R-loops with the consequences on SOS as described in the previous paragraph (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2013).

Several points are striking in these latter findings. First, low concentrations of antibiotics that do not affect cell growth - even those that do not target DNA or its replication - have the power of inducing the bacterial SOS response and as such increasing mutation frequencies of bacteria (Baharoglu & Mazel, 2011). Second, formation of ROS is the factor leading to the actual DNA damage, as discussed above. Finally, and once again, a tight connection between transcription blocks and triggering of the SOS response is seen. Induction of SOS by low levels of AGs is important for growth under these conditions. This aspect of SOS induction needs further work in order to be fully understood.

## 3 - ssDNA formation during ssDNA uptake

Transformation is a mechanism of HGT that relies on ssDNA uptake and processing (Dubnau, 1999). Transformation occurs when a bacterial cell reaches a competent state rendering it capable of taking up DNA present in its environment and, in some cases, of integrating the acquired DNA in its genome by recombination (Claverys et al., 2009). It was observed long ago in Bacillus subtilis that when lysogenic strains were rendered competent, the induction of a prophage led to the reduction in the frequency of transformation (Yasbin et al., 1975, McVeigh & Yasbin, 1996). This was not due to reduced transformation efficiency but rather to the induction of a prophage in the recipient cells leading to the lysis of the cell and thus a drop in the number of viable transformants. Prophage induction is in many cases SOS-dependent. It is likely that the reduced transformation efficiency of lysogenic cells compared to non-lysogenic cells is the result of induction of the SOS response by the ssDNA acquired during transformation. Furthermore, it was shown in naturally competent V. cholerae that ssDNA uptake during competence induces the SOS response (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2012).

In addition to transformation, conjugation is another HGT mechanism where plasmid or chromosomal DNA from a donor strain enters the recipient cell in a single stranded fashion. Like transformation, conjugation also induces SOS in E. coli and V. cholerae (Baharoglu et al., 2010).

## II - Consequences of SOS induction.

#### 1 - Repair

As mentioned earlier, the SOS response triggers homologous recombination, required especially for the repair of double strand ends/breaks (DSB/DSE). A recent study in E. coli proposed that instead of a genome wide search for homology, genotoxic stress-induced and RecA-dependent condensation of sister chromosomes, which are otherwise segregated at cell poles, allow repair of DSBs (Shechter et al., 2013). Such genome packaging is also observed in *E. coli* in response to oxidative stress (Ko *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, the importance of RecN was recently discovered for the repair of DSBs after treatment with MMC, a DNA-damaging agent that leads to DSB formation (Keyamura et al., 2013) and induction of the SOS response. RecN is an SMC (structural maintenance of the chromosome) family protein induced by SOS, underlining the significance of the SOS response in the repair of lethal chromosomal DSBs.

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

Moreover, the importance of SOS induction in the case of replication-transcription conflicts described above is notable. Indeed, in *E. coli* strains in which the transcription of *rrn* operons leads to replication arrest after stalling of the RNAP, preventing induction of the SOS response results in a decrease in fitness (or even absence of growth) (Boubakri *et al.*, 2010). RNAP stalling can be an obstacle to the progression of the replication fork and needs to be dislodged (McGlynn *et al.*, 2012). Intermediate DNA structures, R-loops, capable of inducing SOS are formed at the stalled RNA polymerase. By inducing expression of genes coding for helicases such as DinG, SOS also allows the processing and removal of bulky protein complexes that prevent DNA polymerase action (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, DinG was proposed to inhibit the formation and accumulation of R-loops *in vivo* in *E. coli* cells (Boubakri *et al.*, 2010, De Septenville *et al.*, 2012).

Recent data also shows that transcription stalling is involved in SOS induction in response to DNA damage by sub-MIC AGs in *V. cholerae* (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2013), with a role for the RNAP-binding protein Mfd. In fact, the helicase Mfd can recognizes R-loops at sites where the RNAP is stalled on damaged DNA and releases the transcription complex (Roberts & Park, 2004, Deaconescu *et al.*, 2006, Savery, 2007). Interestingly, Mfd is also required for the repair of DSBs caused by MMC in *Helicobacter pylori*, where an *mfd* mutant is susceptible to antibiotics and has a DNA repair defect (Lee *et al.*, 2009). Mfd is involved in increased mutation frequencies after FQ treatment in *Campylobacter jejuni* as well (Han *et al.*, 2008). All of these observations point to Mfd as a possible partner of the SOS response. There is also evidence that the NusA protein, a component of the elongating RNA polymerase, is involved in stress-induced mutagenesis by interacting with the TLS polymerase Pol IV in *E. coli* (Cohen & Walker, 2010). This is once again evidence highlighting an undeniable connection between transcription and induction of the SOS response.

## 2 - Change: outcome of SOS induction in terms of genome plasticity and gene expression

As described in the introduction, SOS induction increases the levels of proteins involved in HR. Hence, one of the consequences of this SOS induction is to increase intrachromosomal recombination of homologous DNA sequences after DSB formation. This was observed for instance in *E. coli* through facilitated reconstitution of a functional *lacZ* gene from the fragments *lacZ'* and *'lacZ* flanked by homologous regions in conditions inducing SOS (after treatment with sub-MIC of FQ) (Lopez & Blazquez, 2009). Interestingly, it was found in *V. cholerae* that homologous recombination plays an unsuspected role in chromosome fusions. *V. cholerae* has two circular chromosomes with different origins of replication. Under genetic conditions leading simultaneously to impaired replication from the origin of the second chromosome and to induction of the SOS response, it was found that homologous recombination proceeds to the fusion of the two chromosomes through homologous IS sequences present in both chromosomes, giving rise to changes in gene dosage (Val *et al.*, 2013). In fact, the closer a gene is to the origin of replication. When two chromosomes are fused, only one origin is active, thus the gene dosage is subject to change. This suggests that in the wild type strain, such fusions can happen even if they are not easily detected and that SOS induction can favor their occurrence, which can influence gene expression and cell physiology in general.

Interestingly, DSB formation and subsequent SOS induction can also constitute an advantage in harsh conditions: it was shown in pathogenic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm communities that ROS-mediated formation of DSBs were beneficial to bacteria in the presence of antibiotics as they allowed genome rearrangements and resistance (Boles & Singh, 2008).

In addition to HR, SOS leads to increased mutation frequencies through TLS. Induction of SOS leads to a high state of mutability in the bacterial cells, for example after antibiotic treatment in *E. coli* (Ysern *et al.*, 1990). This allows them to increase their chances of generating mutations that will permit them to survive under the stress conditions they are submitted to. Indeed, point mutations can result in antibiotic resistance acquisition. For instance, resistance to ciprofloxacin (FQ) and to rifampicin is due to mutations caused during the induction of the SOS response through the action of the error-prone polymerases IV/V (Cirz & Romesberg, 2006). Sub-MICs of FQs were clearly shown to cause the development of resistance in *S. aureus* (Didier *et al.*, 2011) and *S. enterica* (Hughes & Andersson, 2012). The increased mutation frequency in the presence of FQs was proposed to lead to the overload of MutS-dependent mismatch repair, causing the accumulation of unrepaired DNA and the appearance of point mutations that lead to antibiotic resistance.

A recent study achieved mathematical modeling of the link between DNA damage after UV irradiation, SOS induction and mutation frequency by measuring the quantity of the TLS polymerase UmuD'<sub>2</sub>C (Pol V) and corresponding mutation frequencies in *E. coli* (Ni *et* 

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

*al.*, 2008). The authors conclude that there is a close correlation between UmuD'<sub>2</sub>C levels and mutation frequency (for a review on UV-mediated DNA damage and repair, see (Rastogi *et al.*, 2010)). (DSB)-dependent stress-induced mutagenesis described in starving *E. coli* also requires the SOS response and DinB (Pol IV) (Shee *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, even in mismatch repair deficient hyper-mutator strains, inactivation of the SOS response leads to reduced development of antibiotic resistant bacteria (Cirz & Romesberg, 2006), showing the importance of SOS induction in the appearance of point mutations.

It was found that SOS induction mediated by sub-MIC antibiotics is also coupled with an increased frequencies of spontaneous mutation in *E. coli* and *V. cholerae* (the appearance of rifampicin resistance increased from  $10^{-9}$  to around  $10^{-8}$ ) (Baharoglu & Mazel, 2011). Such a modest increase in mutation frequency is nonetheless of high importance since it was shown to influence the evolution of multidrug resistance in *E. coli* (Denamur *et al.*, 2005). Strains characterized by low or high mutation rates actually have a lower resistance to antibiotics than strains that have an intermediate rate of mutation (around  $10^{-8}$ ), regardless of the antibiotic tested (Denamur *et al.*, 2005). In fact, high mutation frequencies probably more often lead to deleterious mutations, demonstrating that SOS induction levels can be subtly fine-tuned in order to achieve adapted levels of mutability.

SOS induction in response to HGT also leads to genome rearrangements. As mentioned in section I-3, during conjugation and transformation, plasmid or chromosomal DNA enters the recipient cell in a single stranded fashion. The fact that HGT (i.e. incoming DNA) induces SOS in the recipient is not trivial: by doing so, incoming DNA may induce its own integration in the recipient bacterium's genome, highlighting once more the role of the SOS response as an initiator of genome plasticity and in the acquisition of adaptation factors. Interestingly, even narrow host range conjugative plasmids which cannot replicate or be maintained in the recipient bacteria induce SOS upon entry into the cell (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2010). This means that even though the incoming plasmid is not maintained and the incoming DNA is eventually degraded, conjugation still leaves an imprint in the recipient by inducing SOS-dependent genome plasticity such as point mutations or integron rearrangement, as discussed in the following paragraph.

As depicted above, SOS is a stress response inducing the expression of recombination and repair genes. But other genes are also part of the SOS regulon and induced following genotoxic stress. For example, apart from inducing mutation frequency, another way for the SOS response to confer antibiotic resistance and adaptive responses is through increasing the

expression of resistance and adaptation genes. It was described for instance that SOS induction after quinolone treatment could in turn induce the expression of plasmid-borne quinolone resistance determinants in *Enterobacteria* (Da Re *et al.*, 2009). Resistance to quinolones is here triggered by the presence of quinolones themselves, at sub-inhibitory concentrations, or by other antibiotics that induce the SOS response. This latter point is important. It means that bacteria not only become resistant to the factor triggering the genotoxic stress, but also modulate their gene expression and modify their genome (spontaneous mutations, genome rearrangements) so that they become able to resist to other stresses when they come along. In this way, SOS is a powerful strategy of bacteria to turn external aggressions in their favor. SOS is also involved in other bacterial processes such as persistence, virulence and biofilm formation.

Persistence is a non-hereditary and reversible state. Persisters are antibiotic tolerant cells that are not killed during treatment and resume growth when antibiotics are removed (for a review (Lewis, 2010)). Dorr *et al.* showed that *E. coli* persisters are not pre-existing dormant cells but that their formation is rather induced by the SOS response after FQ treatment (Dorr *et al.*, 2009). Interestingly, the appearance of persister cells was shown to be much higher during treatment with a sub-MIC of FQ than when higher antibiotic concentrations were used (stronger SOS induction). SOS induction was thus necessary, but a low level of induction was found to be more favorable to the development of persistence. Interestingly, in a report about the dynamics of SOS induction, Butala and colleagues observed that the dissociation rate of LexA from the promoters it regulates – which correlates with the levels of SOS induction - directly influences the formation of *E. coli* persisters (Butala *et al.*, 2011).

SOS-induced dormancy was shown to be due to TisB toxin induction by SOS (Dorr *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, persister cell formation can occur through the induction of toxins from the toxin-antitoxin family, such as TisB from the SOS regulon, which decreases the growth rate (drop of ATP levels, no active peptidoglycan synthesis, no ribosome formation, no replication), causing tolerance to multiple antibiotics. Interestingly, toxin-antitoxin modules are found in many bacterial genomes (Pandey & Gerdes, 2005, Leplae *et al.*, 2011), and TisB may not be the only toxin that leads to persistence. Therefore, the use of SOS-inducing antibiotics at sub-MICs may lead to persistence and eventually contribute to the development of multidrug resistance. In fact, other examples of SOS-related TA modules exist, like the *E. coli* YafNO system which is induced by SOS (Singletary *et al.*, 2009), or CcdAB from the F

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

plasmid which induces SOS through poisoning of the gyrase (Karoui *et al.*, 1983, Bernard & Couturier, 1992).

In addition to resistance to stress and adaptation, SOS induction also triggers virulence in some bacteria. Indeed, SOS can directly regulate virulence factors: for example, LexA regulates the expression of the *V. cholerae* prophage CTX genes leading to production of the cholerae toxin (Kimsey & Waldor, 2009) (Quinones *et al.*, 2005). SOS induced in response to sub-MIC of antibiotics also triggers the production of the shiga-toxin (Stx) in pathogenic *E. coli* (Nassar *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, SOS induces horizontal dissemination of virulence factors present in pathogenicity islands in *S. aureus* (Ubeda *et al.*, 2005), which can happen for instance in response to antibiotic treatment (Maiques *et al.*, 2006).

Biofilm formation and quorum sensing can also be linked to the SOS response and DNA damage in some cases. For instance, in V. harvevi, induction of bioluminescence was shown to be important for repair of DNA damage caused by UV irradiation (Czyz et al., 2000). Bioluminescence is regulated by quorum sensing and Czyz et al found that UV irradiation induces bioluminescence. In subsequent studies, the authors showed that while bioluminescence has a fitness cost on growing V. harveyi it confers a selective advantage under conditions of DNA damage (caused by low doses of UV irradiation) (Czyz et al., 2003). Although these studies do not directly demonstrate a link between the SOS response and quorum sensing in the repair of damaged DNA, together with data suggesting that quorum sensing is involved in SOS induction by sub-MIC of antibiotics (Baharoglu & Mazel, 2011), such a link seems plausible. Quorum sensing also regulates biofilm formation in V. cholerae (Hammer & Bassler, 2003, Zhu & Mekalanos, 2003). On the basis that replicationinhibiting antibiotics induce biofilm formation in various bacterial species (Hoffman et al., 2005, Kaplan, 2011), Gotoh and colleagues tested and found that the SOS response is involved in biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa* (Gotoh *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, taking into account reports showing that natural conjugation in *E. coli* (Ghigo, 2001) and extracellular DNA uptake in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, which induce SOS, also induce biofilm formation (Kaplan *et al.*, 2011), and that the pathways regulating biofilm formation and quorum sensing are intertwined, it is appealing to address in the future the possible links between quorum sensing, biofilm formation and the SOS response.

It was mentioned earlier how SOS can be used by bacteria to turn external aggressions in their favor. The examples given were that of resistance to high pressure or to antibiotics conferred by mutations acquired after SOS induction. In the same line of thought, increased

resistance to one antibiotic can lead to the development of resistance to other classes of antibiotics through SOS-mediated integron rearrangements. Hocquet and colleagues show that metronidazole treatment of a patient infected with *P. aeroginosa* induces the SOS response and integron recombination leading to  $\beta$ -lactam and ceftazidime resistance (Hocquet *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, in their recent study, they report the action of metronidazole on antibiotic resistance acquisition in *P. aeruginosa*. They show that metronidazole, which is used against anaerobic bacteria and not against *P. aeruginosa* triggers nevertheless the SOS stress response in this bacterium. In turn SOS can trigger the appearance of resistances to multiple antibiotics in *P. aeruginosa*. The authors clearly show the induction of SOS by metronidazole and correlate this with increased resistance to aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones *in vitro* (Hocquet & Bertrand, 2013).

An integron is a genetic platform of promoterless open reading frames called gene cassettes, separated by recombination sites. The integron integrase, which encodes a sitespecific recombinase, catalyzes excision and integration of these cassettes in a single stranded circular form (for a review, see (Mazel, 2006). Other than integron rearrangements, the integrase also allows the capture of exogenous circular promoterless gene cassettes. Gene cassettes placed at the first positions of the integron can be expressed from a constitutive promoter (Levesque et al., 1994, Jove et al., 2010). Importantly, all known integran integrases are regulated by the SOS response (Guerin et al., 2009, Cambray et al., 2011), which highlights the integron as an evolutionary tool containing a pool of unexpressed genes able to be recombined and expressed when needed, i.e. in response to stress. The SOS control of integrase expression not only means that numerous rearrangements may take place within the chromosomal integrons when they are present, but also that plasmid-borne integrases are likely to be induced when their host's SOS response is triggered. To date, hundreds of cassettes encoding resistance to antibiotics have been characterized in integrons (Partridge et al., 2009). The induction of the integrase by SOS also explains how resistance and adaptation genes can be recruited in such structures during stressful growth, and shows the power of this structure coupled to SOS in the defense of bacteria harboring integrons.

## 3 –Share.

We saw earlier that HGT induces SOS. In turn, SOS induces HGT and consequently induces the transfer of genetic information, as it was mentioned for the dissemination of virulence determinants (section II-2-4). It was found for instance that SOS induction triggers

 the transfer of integrating conjugative elements (ICEs) from a donor to a recipient cell. An example of this is the transfer of the *V. cholerae* ICE SXT, in which the two main ICEencoded transcriptional activators SetC and SetD are de-repressed when the host's SOS response is induced (Beaber *et al.*, 2004). It is thought that the ICE-encoded repressor, SetR, undergoes autoproteolysis in the presence of the ssDNA-RecA nucleofilament similarly to LexA. ICEs such as SXT are self-transmissible bacterial mobile elements that play a major role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial populations. They transfer by conjugation in a process similar to that of many conjugative plasmids, and their transfer was shown to induce the SOS response in recipients to the same extent as was observed for conjugative plasmids (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2010). In those terms, the SOS response is a mean for bacteria not only to survive or change, but also to share information with neighboring cells.

## III – Fine tuning of SOS induction: attaining balance

## 1 - Bacteria can temper their SOS induction

Not all ssDNA induces SOS. In an original recent study, it was observed in growing E. *coli* using immunofluorescence microscopy that not all ssDNA formed after antibiotic treatment induces SOS (Kohiyama *et al.*, 2013). This means that bacteria have a way of insuring genome stability by neutralizing chromosomal ssDNA formed after fluoroquinolone treatment, preventing SOS induction as long as its induction is not required.

Mechanisms actively preventing RecA nucleofilament formation on ssDNA exist. One of them is through the action of RecX. The *recX* gene is located downstream of *recA* in the *E. coli* chromosome and was proposed by Fuchs and colleagues to regulate RecA (Pages *et al.*, 2003). RecX actually possesses an affinity for RecA and inhibits both its recombinase and coprotease functions without interacting with ssDNA or double-stranded DNA (Stohl *et al.*, 2003). RecX was found to destabilize ssDNA-RecA nucleofilaments in log-phase cells in a genetic context where RecA was over-expressed (Massoni *et al.*, 2012). Another protein that turns off the SOS responses through inhibition of the RecA coprotease is DinI (Yasuda *et al.*, 1998). A higher mutation frequency was observed in an *E. coli* strain deleted for the *dinI* gene after treatment with the SOS-inducing agent MMC. *In vitro* studies suggest that, conversely to RecX, DinI does not prevent RecA nucleofilament assembly but stabilizes it, thus "hiding" ssDNA (Lusetti *et al.*, 2004). DinI and RecX are themselves under the control of the SOS response and affect the stability of the RecA filament and may thus participate in regulating

the SOS response. Another protein able to antagonize RecA is UvrD, which is also induced by SOS and involved in the last steps of NER (Lestini & Michel, 2007, Centore *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, when DinB (Pol IV) is over-produced, the active TLS polymerase Pol IV can impede replication fork progression in such a way that fork arrest does not lead to additional SOS induction (Mori *et al.*, 2012).

Finally, "Trashing", which consists in a sequestration of genotoxic ssDNA observed *in vivo* in *E. coli* cells may represent a new facet of the regulation of the SOS response (Kohiyama *et al.*, 2013). In this case, ssDNA is somewhat "hidden" from RecA and no induction of the SOS response takes place. Bacteria have thus developed strategies to attenuate SOS to decrease fitness cost and more importantly to mutate less in order to survive.

## 2 - Decreasing the fitness cost of SOS induction

Apart from the evolution of bacterial resistance described in section II-2, moderate SOS induction is also involved in the conservation of already existent multiple resistances by the bacteria through the reduction of the fitness cost. For instance, bacteria that show slightly increased frequencies of mutation and harbor antibiotic resistances are found in greater proportions in the commensal flora of cystic fibrosis patients subjected to prolonged antibiotic treatment (Gustafsson *et al.*, 2003). A recent report even proposes that upon acquisition of resistance (to a  $\beta$ -lactam in this case) *E. coli* can reorganize its entire metabolic network in order to reduce the fitness costs associated with the acquisition of this resistance (Handel *et al.*, 2013). The authors observed there that the SOS response itself was down-regulated in response to the acquisition of the resistance. SOS induction thus has a fitness cost better to avoid if the induction is unnecessary.

It also was mentioned in section I-3 that the entry of conjugative plasmids into a recipient cell induces the SOS response. The exceptions to this are the plasmids carrying the *psiB (protein for SOS inhibition)* gene. In fact, some narrow host range conjugative plasmids harbor this gene which is transferred early during conjugation and which inhibits induction of the SOS response in the recipient cell (Bagdasarian *et al.*, 1992), probably by binding to RecA (Petrova *et al.*, 2009). The advantage of this could be to avoid inducing a stress response in the host: as narrow host range plasmid can replicate only in a small number of hosts they may have evolved to prevent unnecessary mutations and fitness costs.

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

## 3 - Attenuate SOS induction to mutate less and survive

In several reports, intermediate levels of SOS induction (for instance in response to sub-MICs of antibiotics) were found to be a potent strategy of bacteria in order to become persistent (see section II-2) or acquire spontaneous mutations that allow them to survive (see section II-2). It was also observed in *E. coli* that the mismatch repair system tends to attenuate the appearance of mutations during UV-induced SOS by removing those introduced during by the UmuD'<sub>2</sub>C (PolV) translesion polymerase (Belov *et al.*, 2013).

We can speculate that bacteria use the SOS response and its mutagenic influence as a "last resort", avoiding its induction when other mechanisms are sufficient to repair the damaged DNA (Delmas & Matic, 2005).

## IV – SOS and other stress responses

We described how SOS is linked with transcription-related insults to DNA. SOS is actually connected with various stress responses, highlighting that responses to these various stresses are integrated into a larger network ensuring survival of the bacterial cells.

### 1 – Cell wall stress

The most straightforward example of a connection between cell wall stress and SOS is the effect of  $\beta$ -lactams on bacteria:  $\beta$ -lactams induce the SOS response and prophage induction in *S. aureus* (Maiques *et al.*, 2006) and *E. coli* (Wadhawan *et al.*, 2013).  $\beta$ -lactams actually induce the SOS response by triggering a cell wall stress through the DpiAB twocomponent system (Miller *et al.*, 2004).

In the absence of  $\beta$ -lactams, SOS induction by cell wall stress through the DpiAB system can also be observed by inactivating the genes coding for components of the cell membrane (such as penicillin binding proteins or PBP) (Miller *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, the RpoE envelope stress response sigma factor of *E. coli* is also required for the increase in frequency of stress-induced mutations through formation of DSBs (Gibson *et al.*, 2010). All of these observations point to a link between cell wall stress and SOS induction.

One consequence of this is that SOS, in conjunction with the DpiAB system, confers  $\beta$ -lactam resistance by transiently halting cell division (Miller *et al.*, 2004). Once again, we observe that antibiotics trigger the bacterial stress response, which in turns allows the bacterial cell to resist to this external stress. According to recent reports, the resistance to  $\beta$ -

lactams conferred by SOS induction is synergistic with alterations in the cell wall and in the TCA cycle in *S. aureus* (Keaton *et al.*, 2013, Plata *et al.*, 2013), which implies a link with oxidative stress.

### 2 – Oxidative stress.

In fact, reactive oxygen species ( $O_2^-$ ,  $H_2O_2$ , OH<sup>-</sup>) formed during oxidative stress have the potential to damage DNA, and damaged DNA is a potent inducer of the SOS response. Our group very recently showed that sub-MICs of AGs lead to the incorporation of oxidized guanine in DNA, suggesting the occurrence of oxidative stress at concentrations 100-fold below the MIC, a concentration at which no killing is observed. Subsequently, sub-MICs AGs mediate induction of the SOS response mostly through oxidized guanine incorporation in DNA (Baharoglu *et al.*, 2013). Since ROS can damage DNA and proteins and induce mutagenesis (Mcbride *et al.*, 1991, Nunoshiba *et al.*, 1999), we proposed these as the missing links between sub-MIC antibiotic treatment and guanine oxidation.

The link between bactericidal antibiotics, ROS formation and cell death has lately been subject to debate. In order to relieve possible confusion, it may be worth mentioning here that the above-described connection between ROS and SOS happens in conditions where ROS are created in the bacterial cell. For instance, we know that in the presence of sub-MICs of antibiotics ROS are formed in V. cholerae and not in E. coli (Baharoglu et al., 2013). On the other hand, striking studies presented ROS formation as the key step leading to cell death by β-lactams, FQs and AGs (Kohanski et al., 2007, Kohanski et al., 2010). This study by Kohanski *et al.* suggests that all bactericidal antibiotics, regardless of their cellular target, have the potential to induce ROS formation, which kills bacteria. Subsequent studies challenged this hypothesis as no cell death due to ROS formation occurred using these antibiotics in different experimental conditions (Keren et al., 2013, Liu & Imlay, 2013). Finally, a recent report elegantly demonstrates that in E. coli it is not ROS that kill bacteria upon AG treatment but rather increased AG uptake due to differential intracellular iron levels and synthesis of iron-sulfur clusters (Ezraty et al., 2013). In these latter studies (Kohanski et al., 2007, Ezraty et al., 2013, Keren et al., 2013, Liu & Imlay, 2013), antibiotics were used at lethal concentrations because the lethality of antibiotics was what the authors wanted to address. Conversely, in the study about ROS formation and SOS (Baharoglu et al., 2013) concentrations 100-fold below the MIC were used. Hence, these studies differ in the fact that

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

they either address lethality or only SOS induction by antibiotics through ROS formation in non-lethal conditions.

The fact that low doses of antibiotics can induce SOS through ROS formation in certain species like *V. cholerae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Photorhabdus luminescens*, might indicate that some species overcome their poorly efficient protection system against oxidative stress by being more easily capable of modifying their gene expression patterns (Mcbride *et al.*, 1991, Nunoshiba *et al.*, 1999).

In the same line of thought, the DinF protein belonging to the SOS regulon was found to be involved in response to oxidative stress in *E. coli* (Rodriguez-Beltran *et al.*, 2012): in fact, expression of DinF protects against bile salts and  $H_2O_2$  by decreasing the effects of ROS and protein carbonylation, showing that SOS and the oxidative stress response can be complementary mechanisms in bacterial survival.

## 3 – General stress.

SOS and RpoS were suggested to be complementary mechanisms in response to certain stresses. RpoS, the stationary phase sigma factor, is induced in response to various stresses during the exponential growth phase and increases resistance to stress in E. coli (Merrikh et al., 2009, Merrikh et al., 2009). For instance, and similarly to the SOS response, the RpoS regulon can also be induced during oxidative stress as well as cell wall stress (Allen & Griffiths, 2012, Mika et al., 2012). Genes expressed following the induction of the RpoS regulon, namely catalases (KatE, KatG) and iron chelators, protect cells from ROS-related DNA damage such as DSBs, which are SOS inducers. The link between the SOS and RpoS responses lies in the fact that both can be considered as mechanisms providing bacteria with means to survive DNA damage (Shee et al., 2011). Other facts linking SOS and RpoS exist: for example both regulate the *dinB* gene, which encodes the TLS polymerase Pol IV (Kim et al., 2001, Layton & Foster, 2003). Antibiotic-induced mutagenesis involves SOS-dependent *dinB* induction (Kohiyama *et al.*, 2013) but also induction mediated by RpoS (Henle & Linn, 1997) or NusA (Cohen & Walker, 2010), a component of the RNA polymerase complex that interacts with DinB. In this sense, RpoS and SOS can also be thought of as complementary mechanisms that protect bacteria from DNA damage: when RpoS is not sufficient, SOS takes over.

A connection between nutrient stress and SOS is also conceivable. For instance, cAMP-dependent SOS induction and mutagenesis was first observed in resting *E. coli* populations (Taddei *et al.*, 1995). cAMP in complex with the CRP protein regulates a set of genes involved in the response to carbon source stress, called carbon catabolite regulation. More recently, it was found that the cAMP-CRP complex represses SOS-mediated mutations in stationary cell cultures (Macphee & Ambrose, 2010). However the mechanism of such a regulation remains to be elucidated. cAMP-CRP-dependent induction of SOS has also been observed in *E. coli* cells starved for arginine, but only when these cells resume growth (Janion *et al.*, 2002). The authors propose here that these cells accumulate DNA damage that induces SOS upon replication in a yet undiscovered mechanism implicating carbon catabolite control.

## V – SOS-like responses <

As described above, transformation is a mechanism of HGT that relies on ssDNA uptake and processing (Dubnau, 1999). Transformation occurs when a bacterial cell reaches a competent state rendering it capable of taking up external DNA present in its environment. Several mechanisms, such as special growth conditions or stress, lead to the induction of competence for natural transformation in *B. subtilis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Claverys et al., 2009). Competence has been suggested to be a stress response that could substitute for the SOS response in some bacterial species that lack an SOS regulon but in which the DNA repair genes are part of the competence regulon (for a review see (Charpentier *et al.*, 2012)). For instance in S. pneumoniae which lacks LexA, it was found that AGs, FQs and MMC induce competence and RecA, as well as the rate of genetic exchange in response to antibiotics, which is reminiscent of the E. coli SOS response (Prudhomme et al., 2006). However, recent data tone down this view: in S. thermophilus which carries the LexA-like repressor HdiR, competence and SOS were shown to be antagonistic mechanisms (Boutry et al., 2013). In this bacterium, SOS-inducing agents induce the SOS regulon genes such as RecA but decrease cellular transformability. As a corollary, induction of competence negatively affects DNA repair under these circumstances. In V. cholerae, SOS-inducing agents do not induce competence either (Baharoglu et al., 2012). However, DNA uptake during competence does induce SOS. Nevertheless, transformation seems to be induced in response to stress in many bacteria (Charpentier et al., 2012), suggesting it as an alternative to SOS induction in several cases.

#### **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

An SOS-like response was also reported in *Acinetobacter baumanii*, where DNA damage induces genes involved in response to DNA damage, namely *recA*, TLS polymerases and NER genes (Norton *et al.*, 2013). However, the regulation of this SOS response does not involve LexA, which is absent from the *A. baumanii* genome. Nevertheless, induction of this stress response is responsible for damage repair and increase of mutation frequencies leading to antibiotic resistance acquisition, as it happens for *E. coli* with SOS induction. The increased mutation frequency was recently found to be the result of the *A. baumanii* TLS polymerase UmuDAb which recognizes palindromic sequences at the promoters of 8 different DNA repair genes and TLS polymerases and regulates their expression in a RecA-dependent manner (Aranda *et al.*, 2013).

## VI – Strategies to inhibit SOS in the battle against bacterial

## resistance

In the search for compounds that can potentiate the effect of antibiotics on bacteria, an engineered bacteriophage that suppresses the SOS response by over-expressing a noncleavable LexA repressor has also been reported to enhance killing by quinolones, AGs and  $\beta$ -lactams in *E. coli*, to reduce the number of resistant bacteria that arise from antibiotic treatment and to increase survival of infected mice (Lu & Collins, 2009). According to the authors, these observations would be the result of disabling DNA damage repair. Such phages could be used in combination with antibiotics as adjuvants that suppress SOS induction and sensitize the bacterial cell to DNA damage. Another type of antimicrobial molecule was recently identified as a repressor of RecA expression: the aminocoumarins. The use of these gyrase inhibitors prevented SOS induction and decreased mutation frequency and recombination even in the presence of FQs in S. aureus (Schroder et al., 2013). Another approach is based on inhibition of RecA expression by engineered artificial small RNAs that are complementary to the recA mRNA (Sharma et al., 2013). The use of such sRNAs resulted in an increased sensitivity of E. coli to FQs in laboratory conditions. Finally, RNase E was proposed as a possible target in order to prevent SOS induction because inactivation of RNase E expression in *E. coli* impedes SOS induction by MMC (Manasherob *et al.*, 2012).

A recent review discusses various steps in food processing which trigger SOS induction in bacteria and the impact on food spoilage (van der Veen & Abee, 2011).

As depicted in this review, SOS is a transient but very strong stress response used by bacteria to increase their chances to adapt to changing environments and to survive. This is synthesized in the graphical abstract. The stress signal can be a genotoxic one, such as during direct exposure to DNA-damaging agents, but it appears here that many stresses causing changes in a given bacterial lifestyle can be the origin of such a stress. SOS induction can thus be seen as a bacterial adaptation mechanism, which has to be tightly controlled by bacteria in order to prevent a decrease of fitness in conditions where it is not needed. It can be conceived to use SOS modulation as a weapon against bacteria, through the adoption of better policies of antibiotic usage and food processing to avoid SOS-dependent adaptation and resistance of bacteria.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Geneviève Garriss and Jason Bland for the helpful reading of the manuscript. Our work was supported by the Institut Pasteur, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-UMR3525); the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-HEALTH-2011-single-stage) "Evolution and Transfer of Antibiotic Resistance" (EvoTAR); and the French Government's Investissement d'Avenir program Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID). ZB was supported by a DIM Malinf postdoctoral fellowship (Conseil régional d'Île-de-France) and EvoTAR.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Organism	LexA box consensus 5'-3'	Method of determination	References
GRAM negatives			
Beta- and Gamma- proteobacteria	CTGTN <sub>8</sub> ACAG	in silico	(Erill et al., 2003)
E. coli	TACTGTATATATATACAGTA	in vitro, in vivo	(Fernandez De Henestrosa et al., 2000) (Wade et al., 2005)
Alpha-proteobacteria			
Rhodobacter sphaeroides	GTTCN7GTTC	in vitro	(Fernandez de Henestrosa et al., 1998)
<i>Rhizobium</i> and <i>Agrobacterium</i>	GAACN7GTAC	in vitro, in vivo	(Tapias & Barbe, 1998)
Cyanobacteria	RGTACN <sub>3</sub> DGTWCB	in vitro	(Mazon et al., 2004)
Spirocheate			

## Table 1: LexA box sequences identified accross different bacterial species.

Leptospira interrogans	TTTGN5CAAA	in vitro	(Fonseca et al., 2013)
Acidobacteria			
Acidobacterium capsulatum	GTTCN7GTTC	in vitro, in vivo	(Mazon et al., 2006)
GRAM positives	GAACN <sub>4</sub> GTTC		
Firmicutes			
Bacillus subtilis	CGAACRNRYGTTYC	in vitro, in silico	(Winterling et al., 1998)
Chloroflexi			
Dehalococcoides ethenogenes	GAACN <sub>4</sub> GTTC	in vitro	(Fernandez de Henestrosa et al., 2002)
Actinobacteria			
Mycobacterium	TCGAACN <sub>4</sub> GTTCGA	in vivo	(Davis et al., 2002)
Deinococcus			
Deinococcus	CGAACRNRYGTTCG	algorithm	(Khan et al., 2008)
radiodurans*			
INTEGRONS	ACTGTW <sub>8</sub> ACAGT	in silico	(Cambray et al., 2011)

\*: even though the two LexA paralogues do not appear to play a role in the radioresistance of *D. radiodurans* (Narumi *et al.*, 2001) (Jolivet *et al.*, 2006), several studies point to a role of RecA recruitment at DNA lesions and intracellular LexA levels (Satoh *et al.*, 2006, Satoh *et al.*, 2012). Algorithm-based studies identified a conserved sequence related to the *Bacillus subtilis* LexA box upstream of the known SOS-regulated genes (Khan *et al.*, 2008). Y: C or T; R: A or G; W: A or T; D: not C; B: not A; N: any base.

## References

Aertsen A & Michiels CW (2005) Mrr instigates the SOS response after high pressure stress in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* **58**: 1381-1391.

Aertsen A, Tesfazgi Mebrhatu M & Michiels CW (2008) Activation of the Salmonella typhimurium Mrr protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **367**: 435-439.

Al Mamun AA, Gautam S & Humayun MZ (2006) Hypermutagenesis in mutA cells is mediated by mistranslational corruption of polymerase, and is accompanied by replication fork collapse. *Mol Microbiol* **62**: 1752-1763.

Allen KJ & Griffiths MW (2012) Impact of hydroxyl- and superoxide anion-based oxidative stress on logarithmic and stationary phase Escherichia coli O157:H7 stress and virulence gene expression. *Food Microbiol* **29**: 141-147.

Aranda J, Poza M, Shingu-Vazquez M, Cortes P, Boyce JD, Adler B, Barbe J & Bou G (2013) Identification of a DNA-damage-inducible regulon in Acinetobacter baumannii. *J Bacteriol.* 

Aravind L, Anand S & Iyer LM (2013) Novel autoproteolytic and DNA-damage sensing components in the bacterial SOS response and oxidized methylcytosine-induced eukaryotic DNA demethylation systems. *Biology direct* **8**: 20.

Bagdasarian M, Bailone A, Angulo JF, Scholz P, Bagdasarian M & Devoret R (1992) PsiB, and anti-SOS protein, is transiently expressed by the F sex factor during its transmission to an Escherichia coli K-12 recipient. *Mol Microbiol* **6**: 885-893.

Baharoglu Z & Mazel D (2011) *Vibrio cholerae* triggers SOS and mutagenesis in response to a wide range of antibiotics: a route towards multiresistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **55**: 2438-2441.

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57 58

59 60 Baharoglu Z, Bikard D & Mazel D (2010) Conjugative DNA transfer induces the bacterial SOS response and promotes antibiotic resistance development through integron activation. *PLoS Genet* **6**: e1001165. Baharoglu Z, Krin E & Mazel D (2012) Transformation-induced SOS regulation and carbon catabolite control of the V. cholerae integron integrase: connecting environment and genome plasticity. J Bacteriol. Baharoglu Z, Krin E & Mazel D (2013) RpoS Plays a Central Role in the SOS Induction by Sub-Lethal Aminoglycoside Concentrations in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genetics 9(4): e1003421. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003421. Baharoglu Z, Babosan A & Mazel D (2013) Identification of genes involved in low aminoglycoside-induced SOS response in Vibrio cholerae: a role for transcription stalling and Mfd helicase. Nucleic Acids Res. Baharoglu Z, Lestini R, Duigou S & Michel B (2010) RNA polymerase mutations that facilitate replication progression in the rep uvrD recF mutant lacking two accessory replicative helicases. Mol Microbiol. Balashov S & Humayun MZ (2002) Mistranslation induced by streptomycin provokes a RecABC/RuvABC-dependent mutator phenotype in Escherichia coli cells. J Mol Biol 315: 513-527. Beaber JW, Hochhut B & Waldor MK (2004) SOS response promotes horizontal dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. Nature 427: 72-74. Belov OV, Chuluunbaatar O, Kapralov MI & Sweilam NH (2013) The role of the bacterial mismatch repair system in SOS-induced mutagenesis: a theoretical background. Journal of theoretical biology 332: 30-41. Bernard P & Couturier M (1992) Cell killing by the F plasmid CcdB protein involves poisoning of DNA-topoisomerase II complexes. J Mol Biol 226: 735-745. Boles BR & Singh PK (2008) Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and adaptability in biofilm communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 12503-12508. Boubakri H, de Septenville AL, Viguera E & Michel B (2010) The helicases DinG, Rep and UvrD cooperate to promote replication across transcription units in vivo. EMBO J 29: 145-157. Boutry C, Delplace B, Clippe A, Fontaine L & Hols P (2013) SOS response activation and competence development are antagonistic mechanisms in Streptococcus thermophilus. J *Bacteriol* **195**: 696-707. Butala M, Zgur-Bertok D & Busby SJ (2009) The bacterial LexA transcriptional repressor. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS 66: 82-93. Butala M, Klose D, Hodnik V, Rems A, Podlesek Z, Klare JP, Anderluh G, Busby SJ, Steinhoff HJ & Zgur-Bertok D (2011) Interconversion between bound and free conformations of LexA orchestrates the bacterial SOS response. Nucleic Acids Res 39: 6546-6557. Cambray G, Sanchez-Alberola N, Campoy S, Guerin E, Da Re S, Gonzalez-Zorn B, Ploy MC, Barbe J, Mazel D & Erill I (2011) Prevalence of SOS-mediated control of integron integrase expression as an adaptive trait of chromosomal and mobile integrons. *Mob DNA* **2**: 6. Centore RC, Leeson MC & Sandler SJ (2008) UvrD303, a hyper-helicase mutant that antagonizes RecA-dependent SOS expression by a mechanism that depends on its C-terminus. J Bacteriol. Charpentier X, Polard P & Claverys JP (2012) Induction of competence for genetic transformation by antibiotics: convergent evolution of stress responses in distant bacterial species lacking SOS? Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 570-576. Cirz RT & Romesberg FE (2006) Induction and inhibition of ciprofloxacin resistanceconferring mutations in hypermutator bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50: 220-225. 25

## **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**

2	
3	Claverys JP, Martin B & Polard P (2009) The genetic transformation machinery: composition,
4	localization and mechanism FEMS Microbiol Rev 33: 643-656
5	Cohen SE & Walker GC (2010) The transcription elongation factor Nus A is required for
6	strong in dward myte generation Factoriship cali. Cum Dial 20, 90, 95
7	stress-induced indiagenesis in Eschericina con. Curr Biol 20, 80-85.
8	Courcelle J, Khodursky A, Peter B, Brown PO & Hanawalt PC (2001) Comparative gene
q	expression profiles following UV exposure in wild-type and SOS-deficient Escherichia coli.
10	<i>Genetics</i> <b>158</b> : 41-64.
11	Czyz A. Wrobel B & Wegrzyn G (2000) Vibrio harveyi bioluminescence plays a role in
10	stimulation of DNA renair <i>Microbiology</i> 146 ( Pt 2): 283-288
12	Czyz A Diata K & Wagrzyn G (2003) Stimulation of DNA repair as an evolutionary drive for
13	CZyz A, Flata K & Wegizyii O (2005) Stillulation of DNA repair as an evolutionary university
14	bacterial luminescence. Luminescence 18: 140-144.
15	Da Re S, Garnier F, Guerin E, Campoy S, Denis F & Ploy MC (2009) The SOS response
16	promotes qnrB quinolone-resistance determinant expression. <i>EMBO Rep</i> <b>10</b> : 929-933.
1/	Daly MJ (2009) A new perspective on radiation resistance based on Deinococcus radiodurans.
18	Nat Rev Microbiol 7: 237-245
19	Davis FO Dullaghan FM & Band L (2002) Definition of the mycobacterial SOS box and use
20	to identify Lov A regulated games in Myschootarium tuberculosis. L Protected 194: 2297-2205
21	to identify LexA-regulated genes in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Bacteriol 104. 5267-5295.
22	De Septenville AL, Duigou S, Boubakri H & Michel B (2012) Replication fork reversal after
23	replication-transcription collision. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 8: e1002622.
24	Deaconescu AM, Chambers AL, Smith AJ, Nickels BE, Hochschild A, Savery NJ & Darst
25	SA (2006) Structural basis for bacterial transcription-coupled DNA repair. Cell 124: 507-520.
26	Delmas S & Matic I (2005) Cellular response to horizontally transferred DNA in Escherichia
27	coli is tuned by DNA renair systems DNA Renair (Amst) 4: 221-229
28	Denamur E. Tanaillan O. Dasahamna C. Skurnik D. Danao E. Gaillard II. Diaard D. Drangar
29	C & M (i L (2005) L ( ) 1 ( )
30	C & Matic I (2005) Intermediate mutation frequencies favor evolution of multidrug resistance
31	in Escherichia coli. Genetics 171: 825-827.
32	Didier JP, Villet R, Huggler E, Lew DP, Hooper DC, Kelley WL & Vaudaux P (2011) Impact
33	of ciprofloxacin exposure on Staphylococcus aureus genomic alterations linked with
34	emergence of rifampin resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 1946-1952.
35	Dorr T. Lewis K & Vulic M (2009) SOS response induces persistence to fluoroquinolones in
36	Escherichia coli PLoS Ganat 5: e1000760
37	Dam T. Vulia M. & Lawis K (2010) Cinnefferencin acuses permister formation by inducing the
38	Don' 1, vulic M & Lewis K (2010) Cipronoxacin causes persister formation by inducing the
39	TisB toxin in Escherichia coli. <i>PLoS biology</i> 8: e1000317.
40	Dubnau D (1999) DNA uptake in bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 53: 217-244.
41	Dukan S, Farewell A, Ballesteros M, Taddei F, Radman M & Nystrom T (2000) Protein
42	oxidation in response to increased transcriptional or translational errors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
43	<i>S A</i> <b>97</b> · 5746-5749
44	Dutta D. Shatalin K. Enshtein V. Gottesman MF & Nudler F (2011) Linking RNA
45	polymoroso healtrooking to genome instability in E. coli Coll 146: 522-542
46	During DI Kahanghi MA & Calling II (2000) Data af march
40 //7	Dwyer DJ, Kohanski MA & Collins JJ (2009) Role of reactive oxygen species in antibiotic
18	action and resistance. Curr Opin Microbiol 12: 482-489.
-0 40	Dwyer DJ, Kohanski MA, Hayete B & Collins JJ (2007) Gyrase inhibitors induce an
	oxidative damage cellular death pathway in Escherichia coli. Mol Syst Biol 3: 91.
51	Erill I, Campoy S & Barbe J (2007) Aeons of distress: an evolutionary perspective on the
57	hacterial SOS response FEMS Microbiol Rev 31: 637-656
52	Frill I Escribano M Campoy S & Barba I (2003) In silico analysis rayaals substantial
55	Lini i, Louioano IVI, Campoy 5 & Daibe J (2003) in Sinco analysis ieveals substantial
04 FF	variability in the gene contents of the gamma proteobacteria LexA-regulon. <i>Bioinformatics</i>
55	<b>19</b> : 2225-2236.
50	
5/	• /
58	26
59	
60	

Ezraty B, V	Vergnes A, Banzhaf M, et al. (2013) Fe-S cluster biosynthesis controls uptake o
aminoglyc	osides in a ROS-less death pathway. Science 340: 1583-1587.
Farr SB &	Kogoma T (1991) Oxidative Stress Responses in Escherichia-Coli and Salmon
Typhimuri	um. Microbiological Reviews 55: 561-585.
Fernandez	de Henestrosa AR, Rivera E, Tapias A & Barbe J (1998) Identification of the
Rhodobact	er sphaeroides SOS box. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 28: 991-1003.
Fernandez	de Henestrosa AR, Cune J, Erill I, Magnuson JK & Barbe J (2002) A green
nonsulfur l	pacterium, Dehalococcoides ethenogenes, with the LexA binding sequence four
gram-posit	ive organisms. J Bacteriol 184: 6073-6080.
Fernandez	De Henestrosa AR, Ogi T, Aoyagi S, Chafin D, Hayes JJ, Ohmori H & Woodg
R (2000) I	dentification of additional genes belonging to the LexA regulon in Escherichia
Mol Micro	<i>biol</i> <b>35</b> : 1560-1572.
Fick J, Soc	lerstrom H, Lindberg RH, Phan C, Tysklind M & Larsson DG (2009)
Contamina	tion of surface, ground, and drinking water from pharmaceutical production.
Environ To	oxicol Chem <b>28</b> : 2522-2527.
Flores MJ,	Sanchez N & Michel B (2005) A fork-clearing role for UvrD. Mol Microbiol 5
1664-1675	
Fonseca L	S, da Silva JB, Milanez JS, Monteiro-Vitorello CB, Momo L, de Morais ZM,
Vasconcel	los SA, Marques MV, Ho PL & da Costa RM (2013) Leptospira interrogans ser
copenhage	ni harbors two lexA genes involved in SOS response. <i>PLoS One</i> 8: e76419.
Foti JJ, De	vadoss B, Winkler JA, Collins JJ & Walker GC (2012) Oxidation of the guanin
nucleotide	pool underlies cell death by bactericidal antibiotics. <i>Science</i> <b>336</b> : 315-319.
Friedberg	EC, Wagner R & Radman M (2002) Specialized DNA polymerases, cellular
survival, a	nd the genesis of mutations. Science 296: 1627-1630.
Fujii S, Isc	ogawa A & Fuchs RP (2006) RecFOR proteins are essential for Pol V-mediated
translesion	synthesis and mutagenesis. EMBO J 25: 5/54-5/63.
Gall W, Gl	is assigned by impairment of replication fork progression. Canag Day 25: 2041.2
Chigo IM	(2001) Natural conjugative plasmids induce bacterial hiofilm development. Nat
<b>417</b> · <i>AA</i> 7_ <i>A</i>	A5
Gibson II.	Lombardo MI Thornton PC <i>et al.</i> (2010) The sigma(F) stress response is
required fo	r stress-induced mutation and amplification in Escherichia coli <i>Mol Microbiol</i>
415-430	A subst induced induction and amprimention in Eschericina con. Way inter object
Gotoh H. H	Kasaraneni N. Devineni N. Dallo SF & Weitao T (2010) SOS involvement in st
inducible b	piofilm formation. <i>Biofouling</i> <b>26</b> : 603-611.
Guerin E.	Cambray G, Sanchez-Alberola N, Campoy S, Erill I, Da Re S, Gonzalez-Zorn E
Barbe J, Pl	ov MC & Mazel D (2009) The SOS response controls integron recombination.
Science 32	<b>4</b> : 1034.
Gullberg E	C, Cao S, Berg OG, Ilback C, Sandegren L, Hughes D & Andersson DI (2011)
Selection of	of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 7:
e1002158.	
Gustafssor	I, Sjolund M, Torell E, Johannesson M, Engstrand L, Cars O & Andersson DI
(2003) Bac	eteria with increased mutation frequency and antibiotic resistance are enriched i
the comme	ensal flora of patients with high antibiotic usage. J Antimicrob Chemother 52: 6
650.	
Gutierrez A	A, Laureti L, Crussard S, et al. (2013) beta-lactam antibiotics promote bacterial
mutagenes	is via an RpoS-mediated reduction in replication fidelity. Nature communicatio
<b>4</b> : 1610.	

<ul> <li>Haggard BE &amp; Bartsch LD (2009) Net changes in antibiotic concentrations downstream from an effluent discharge. <i>J Environ Qual</i> 38: 343-352.</li> <li>Harmmer K &amp; Bassler BI (2003) Quoram sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 101-104.</li> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia ecil. <i>Aminicrob Agents Chemother</i> 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. <i>Mol Cell</i> 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2-mediated fentor neactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hlocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Fvidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Amingly So of oxidine as SU (2002) Stelection of resistance at lethal ant non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinutelase in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Hen K (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.<!--</th--><th>1</th><th></th></li></ul>	1	
<ul> <li>Inaggard BL &amp; Bartsch LD (2009) Net changes in antibiotic concentrations downstream from an effluent discharge. <i>J Expirinon Qual</i> 38: 334-352.</li> <li>Hammer BK &amp; Bassler BL (2003) Quorum sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 101-104.</li> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejun. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 4: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. <i>Antimicrob Agents Chemother</i> 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler F &amp; Tora I. (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henne FS &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle FS &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA cleavage by Fe24mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hooquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Lialees C. Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Lialees C. Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Lialees C. Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal anton-lethal antibiotic conconstrations. <i>Curr Opm Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li></li></ul>	2	
<ul> <li>an effluent discharge. J Environ Qual 38: 343-352.</li> <li>Hammer BK &amp; Bassler BL (2003) Quorum sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibrio cholerae. Mol Microbiol 50: 101-104.</li> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kulte BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. Atimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977,</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES, Linn S (1907) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas acruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Animicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D L, Lianes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2012) A Miller SI (2005) Antinady coside antibioties induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal an</li></ul>	3	Haggard BE & Bartsch LD (2009) Net changes in antibiotic concentrations downstream from
<ul> <li>Hammer BK &amp; Bassler BL (2003) Quorum sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibro cholerae. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 101-104.</li> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 4: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. <i>Antimicrob Agents Chemother</i> 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. <i>Mol Cell</i> 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Lins S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 1905-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated lenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCos MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li></ul>	4	an effluent discharge. J Environ Qual <b>38</b> : 343-352.
<ul> <li>cholerae. Mol Microbiol 50: 101-104.</li> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability. at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henn E &amp; Linn S (1097) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 10905-10908.</li> <li>Henne ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Parhog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Antimoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofflin formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson D1 (2012) SetSofton e dissistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 5555-600.</li> <li>Hussin I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1988) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC ex</li></ul>	5	Hammer BK & Bassler BL (2003) Quorum sensing controls biofilm formation in Vibrio
<ul> <li>Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW &amp; Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejun; <i>PLoS Pathog 8</i>: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coll. <i>Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57</i>: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Hellmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. <i>Mol Cell</i> 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Hellmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler F &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; moleculab biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henne ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Lians C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D/Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-plathum–DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Hell Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-plathum–DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in v</li></ul>	0	cholerae. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> <b>50</b> : 101-104.
<ul> <li>fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 4: e1000083.</li> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. <i>Antimicrob Agents Chemother</i> 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tota L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. <i>Mol Cell</i> 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES, Man Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibioties induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain L, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and trae residues in M13 DNA constitut epincative biolocis in 75: 557-500.</li> <li>Hunsain LR, D'Anarg SC, Sancha K, NeySS Rep</li></ul>	7 8	Han J, Sahin O, Barton YW & Zhang Q (2008) Key role of Mfd in the development of
<ul> <li>Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S &amp; Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasckara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Hughes J &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-560.</li> <li< td=""><td>9</td><td>fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000083.</td></li<></ul>	9	fluoroquinolone resistance in Campylobacter jejuni. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000083.
<ul> <li>Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES, &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-561.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinute ascin vivos and in vitro. J Raeteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitut replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Innay JA (2002) Pathways of oxiduitive damage. Annu. Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.&lt;</li></ul>	10	Handel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S & Ter Kuile BH (2013) Compensation of the Metabolic
Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.         Helmrich A, Ballarino M & Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.         Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E & Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural & molecular biology 20: 412-418.         Helme ES & Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.         Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y & Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.         Hocquet D & Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pscudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.         Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasckara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D & Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002778.         Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA & Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.         Hughes D & Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-60.         Husain I, Chaney SG & Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. Mucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.         Inaly JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Anun Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.	11	Costs of Antibiotic Resistance by Physiological Adaptation in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob
<ul> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M &amp; Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Lio Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. J Bacteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitut replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imal</li></ul>	12	Agents Chemother 57: 3752-3762.
<ul> <li>complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol Cell 44: 966-977.</li> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural &amp; molecular biology 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. Nature 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. J Bacteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in virto. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk F (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutager 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanis</li></ul>	13	Helmrich A, Ballarino M & Tora L (2011) Collisions between replication and transcription
<ul> <li>966-977.</li> <li>IIelmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Itenle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 1905-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasskara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Arathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Arathog 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argino DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain 1, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage</li></ul>	14	complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. <i>Mol Cell</i> 44:
<ul> <li>Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E &amp; Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. <i>Nature structural &amp; molecular biology</i> 20: 412-418.</li> <li>Henle ES &amp; Linn S (1997) Formation, parverbring of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and turea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk F (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet F, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) L</li></ul>	15	966-977
<ul> <li>Internet Polarity Polarity</li></ul>	16	Helmrich A Ballarino M Nudler F & Tora L (2013) Transcription-replication encounters
<ul> <li>Henle ES &amp; Lim S (1097) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Lim S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li></li></ul>	17	consequences and genomic instability. Nature structural & molecular biology <b>20</b> : A12 A18
<ul> <li>Feline ES &amp; Linit's (1979) Formation, prevention, and repair of DNA damage by iron/hydrogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 272: 19095-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother.</i></li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-660.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinooccus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re</li></ul>	18	Use Line S & Line S (1007) Formation prevention and renair of DNA damage by
<ul> <li>Hohnydiogen peroxide. <i>J Biol Chem 212</i>, 19093-19098.</li> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasckara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Jang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia colt. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1</li></ul>	19	iron hydrogon norovida, <i>L Biol Cham</i> 272: 10005, 10008
<ul> <li>Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Kai P, Luo Y &amp; Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage</li> <li>by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jolivet T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li></ul>	20	iron/nydrogen peroxide. J Biol Chem 212: 19095-19098.
<ul> <li>by Fe2+-mediated fention reactions has possible biological implications. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i>.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kap</li></ul>	21	Henle ES, Han Z, Tang N, Rai P, Luo Y & Linn S (1999) Sequence-specific DNA cleavage
<ul> <li>962-971.</li> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	22	by Fe2+-mediated fenton reactions has possible biological implications. J Biol Chem 274:
<ul> <li>Hocquet D &amp; Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. J Bacteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in r</li></ul>	23	962-971.
<ul> <li>amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biof1162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	24	Hocquet D & Bertrand X (2013) Metronidazole increases the emergence of ciprofloxacin- and
<ul> <li>Chemother.</li> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation that Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococccus epidermidis RP62A</li></ul>	25	amikacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa by inducing the SOS response. J Antimicrob
<ul> <li>Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasckara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D &amp; Miller SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class I integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan</li></ul>	26	Chemother.
<ul> <li>SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005) Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	27	Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, Mazel D & Miller
<ul> <li>in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.</li> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinoccccus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	28	SI (2012) Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response
<ul> <li>Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, MacCoss MJ, Zhang Z, Jones RA &amp; Miller SI (2005)</li> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic</li> <li>concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC</li> <li>excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA</li> <li>constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in</li> <li>starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	29	in a clinical setting. <i>PLoS Pathog</i> 8: e1002778.
<ul> <li>Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation. <i>Nature</i> 436: 1171-1175.</li> <li>Hughes D &amp; Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	30	Hoffman LR D'Argenio DA MacCoss MJ Zhang Z Jones RA & Miller SI (2005)
<ul> <li>Humogycosi natic son DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	<b>১</b> । ১০	Aminoglycoside antibiotics induce bacterial biofilm formation <i>Nature</i> <b>436</b> : 1171-1175
<ul> <li>Integrits D &amp; Microsol (2012) Spectrol Statute at relation of Pictulal and Note Concentrations. <i>Curr Opin Microbiol</i> 15: 555-560.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	32	Hughes D & Andersson DI (2012) Selection of resistance at lethal and non-lethal antibiotic
<ul> <li>Guicenhautons, Carr Opin Microbiol 15, 55500.</li> <li>Husain I, Chaney SG &amp; Sancar A (1985) Repair of cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC</li> <li>excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. J Bacteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA</li> <li>constitute replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in</li> <li>starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci</li> <li>37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	34	concentrations Curr Onin Microbiol 15: 555 560
<ul> <li>Intsain F, Chaley SG &amp; Salcal A (1963) Repain of ciseptalitum-DAA addicts by ABC excinuclease in vivo and in vitro. J Bacteriol 163: 817-823.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA constitute replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	35	Hussin I. Changy SC. & Sanger A (1985) Panair of signalstinum DNA adducts by APC
<ul> <li>ketchidelase in vivo and in vitio. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 163: 817-623.</li> <li>Ide H, Kow YW &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA</li> <li>constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in</li> <li>starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	36	rusani i, Chancy SO & Sancar A (1965) Repair of Cis-platinum-DNA adducts by ABC
<ul> <li>Ide H, Kow Y W &amp; Wallace SS (1985) Infymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA</li> <li>constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 13: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. <i>Annu Rev Microbiol</i> 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in</li> <li>starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	37	excinuclease in vivo and in viuo. J Dacierioi 105. $61/-625$ .
<ul> <li>constitute replicative blocks in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 15: 8035-8052.</li> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	38	Ide H, Kow Y W & Wallace SS (1985) Thymine glycols and urea residues in M13 DNA
<ul> <li>Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.</li> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	39	constitute replicative blocks in vitro. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> <b>13</b> : 8035-8052.
<ul> <li>Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A &amp; Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in</li> <li>starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	40	Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.
<ul> <li>starved Escherichia coli. <i>Environ Mol Mutagen</i> 40: 129-133.</li> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	41	Janion C, Sikora A, Nowosielska A & Grzesiuk E (2002) Induction of the SOS response in
<ul> <li>Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. <i>J Biosci</i> 37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	42	starved Escherichia coli. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 129-133.
<ul> <li>37: 503-517.</li> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	43	Jena NR (2012) DNA damage by reactive species: Mechanisms, mutation and repair. J Biosci
<ul> <li>Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A &amp; Sommer S (2006) Limited</li> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. Mol Microbiol 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. Int J Artif Organs 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	44	<b>37</b> : 503-517.
<ul> <li>concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans</li> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	45	Jolivet E, Lecointe F, Coste G, Satoh K, Narumi I, Bailone A & Sommer S (2006) Limited
<ul> <li>R1. <i>Mol Microbiol</i> 59: 338-349.</li> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	46	concentration of RecA delays DNA double-strand break repair in Deinococcus radiodurans
<ul> <li>Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D &amp; Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter</li> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	47	R1 <i>Mol Microbiol</i> <b>59</b> <sup>.</sup> 338-349
<ul> <li>strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> 34: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> </ul>	48	Iove T. Da Re S. Denis F. Mazel D & Ploy MC (2010) Inverse correlation between promoter
<ul> <li>Strength and excision derivity in class 1 integrons. <i>Thes Octet</i> <b>0</b>, e1000755.</li> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int J Artif Organs</i> <b>34</b>: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> <b>162</b>: 535-541.</li> </ul>	49	strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons <i>PLoS Genet</i> 6: e1000793
<ul> <li>Kaplan JB (2011) Antibiotic-induced biofilm formation. <i>Int 5 Arty Organs</i> 54: 737-751.</li> <li>Kaplan JB, Jabbouri S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracellular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>28</li> </ul>	50	Kaplan IB (2011) Antibiotic induced biofilm formation Int I Artif Organic 34: 737-751
<ul> <li>52 Kapian 3B, Jabbourt S &amp; Sadovskaya I (2011) Extracentular DNA-dependent biofilm</li> <li>53 formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>54 concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> <li>55</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>28</li> </ul>	51	Kaplan IB Jahbouri S & Sadayskava I (2011) Extracallular DNA dependent hisfilm
<ul> <li>concentration by Staphylococcus epidermidis RP02A in response to Subminimal inhibitory</li> <li>concentrations of antibiotics. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 162: 535-541.</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>28</li> </ul>	52 52	Kapian JD, Jabbouri S & Sauovskaya I (2011) Extractinuar DINA-dependent Diolinm
54       concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.         55       56         56       57         58       28         59       28	53	iormation by Staphylococcus epidermidis KP62A in response to subminimal inhibitory
55 56 57 58 59 28	54 55	concentrations of antibiotics. Res Microbiol 162: 535-541.
57 58 59 28	00 56	
58 59 28	00 57	
59 Zð	58	10
	59	28

Karoui H, Bex F, Dreze P & Couturier M (1983) Ham22, a mini-F mutation which is lethal to host cell and promotes recA-dependent induction of lambdoid prophage. EMBO J 2: 1863-1868. Keaton MA, Rosato RR, Plata KB, Singh CR & Rosato AE (2013) Exposure of Clinical MRSA Heterogeneous Strains to beta-Lactams Redirects Metabolism to Optimize Energy Production through the TCA Cycle. PLoS One 8: e71025. Kelley WL (2006) Lex marks the spot: the virulent side of SOS and a closer look at the LexA regulon. Mol Microbiol 62: 1228-1238. Keren I, Wu Y, Inocencio J, Mulcahy LR & Lewis K (2013) Killing by bactericidal antibiotics does not depend on reactive oxygen species. Science 339: 1213-1216. Keyamura K, Sakaguchi C, Kubota Y, Niki H & Hishida T (2013) RecA recruits SMC-like RecN to DNA double-strand breaks. J Biol Chem. Keyer K & Imlay JA (1996) Superoxide accelerates DNA damage by elevating free-iron levels. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A 93: 13635-13640. Khan F, Singh SP & Mishra BN (2008) Conservation of the LexA repressor binding site in Deinococcus radiodurans. Journal of integrative bioinformatics 5. Kim SR, Matsui K, Yamada M, Gruz P & Nohmi T (2001) Roles of chromosomal and episomal dinB genes encoding DNA pol IV in targeted and untargeted mutagenesis in Escherichia coli. Mol Genet Genomics 266: 207-215. Kimsey HH & Waldor MK (2009) Vibrio cholerae LexA coordinates CTX prophage gene expression. J Bacteriol 191: 6788-6795. Ko KC, Tai PC & Derby CD (2012) Mechanisms of action of escapin, a bactericidal agent in the ink secretion of the sea hare Aplysia californica: rapid and long-lasting DNA condensation and involvement of the OxyR-regulated oxidative stress pathway. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56: 1725-1734. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ & Collins JJ (2010) How antibiotics kill bacteria: from targets to networks. Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 423-435. Kohanski MA, DePristo MA & Collins JJ (2010) Sublethal antibiotic treatment leads to multidrug resistance via radical-induced mutagenesis. Mol Cell 37: 311-320. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Havete B, Lawrence CA & Collins JJ (2007) A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. Cell 130: 797-810. Kohivama M, Contremoulins V & Baudin X (2013) Trashing of single-stranded DNA generated during processing of arrested replication fork in E. coli. J Mol Biol. Kovacic L, Paulic N, Leonardi A, Hodnik V, Anderluh G, Podlesek Z, Zgur-Bertok D, Krizaj I & Butala M (2013) Structural insight into LexA-RecA\* interaction. Nucleic acids research. Krisko A & Radman M (2010) Protein damage and death by radiation in Escherichia coli and Deinococcus radiodurans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 14373-14377. Kummerer K (2009) Antibiotics in the aquatic environment--a review--part II. Chemosphere 75: 435-441. Kummerer K (2009) Antibiotics in the aquatic environment--a review--part I. Chemosphere 75: 417-434. Kumura K, Sekiguchi M, Steinum AL & Seeberg E (1985) Stimulation of the UvrABC enzyme-catalyzed repair reactions by the UvrD protein (DNA helicase II). Nucleic Acids Res **13**: 1483-1492. Layton JC & Foster PL (2003) Error-prone DNA polymerase IV is controlled by the stressresponse sigma factor, RpoS, in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 50: 549-561. Lee GH, Jeong JY, Chung JW, Nam WH, Lee SM, Pak JH, Choi KD, Song HJ, Jung HY & Kim JH (2009) The Helicobacter pylori Mfd protein is important for antibiotic resistance and DNA repair. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 65: 454-456. 29

57 58

2	
2	Leplae R. Geeraerts D. Hallez R. Guglielmini J. Dreze P & Van Melderen L (2011) Diversity
4	of bacterial type II toxin-antitoxin systems: a comprehensive search and functional analysis of
5	novel families <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> <b>39</b> : 5513-5525
6	Lestini R & Michel B (2007) UvrD controls the access of recombination proteins to blocked
7	replication forths FMRO 126: 3804-3814
8	Leviergius C. Dresserd S. Lenginto I & Dev DIL (1004) Diversity and relative strength of
9	Levesque C, Brassard S, Lapointe J & Roy PH (1994) Diversity and relative strength of
10	tandem promoters for the antibiotic-resistance genes of several integrons. Gene 142: 49-54.
11	Lewin CS & Amyes SG (1991) The role of the SOS response in bacteria exposed to
12	zidovudine or trimethoprim. <i>Journal of medical microbiology</i> <b>34</b> : 329-332.
13	Lewis K (2010) Persister Cells. Annu Rev Microbiol.
14	Liu Y & Imlay JA (2013) Cell death from antibiotics without the involvement of reactive
15	oxygen species. Science 339: 1210-1213.
16	Liu YC, Huang WK, Huang TS & Kunin CM (1999) Extent of antibiotic use in Taiwan
17	shown by antimicrobial activity in urine. Lancet 354: 1360.
18	Liu YC, Huang WK, Huang TS & Kunin CM (1999) Detection of antimicrobial activity in
19	urine for epidemiologic studies of antibiotic use. J Clin Epidemiol 52: 539-545.
20	Lopez E & Blazquez J (2009) Effect of subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics on
21	intrachromosomal homologous recombination in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents
23	Chemother <b>53</b> · 3411-3415
24	Lu AL Li X Gu Y Wright PM & Chang DY (2001) Renair of oxidative DNA damage
25	mechanisms and functions <i>Cell Riochem Biophys</i> <b>35</b> : 141-170
26	Lu TK & Collins II (2009) Engineered bacterionbage targeting gene networks as adjuvants
27	for antibiotic therapy. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> <b>106</b> : A629-A63A
28	Lusetti SL Drees IC Stahl FA Saifert HS & Cox MM (2004) The DinLand PacY proteins
29	are compating modulators of DecA function <i>LPiel Cham</i> <b>270</b> : 55072, 55070
30	Maanhaa DC & Ambroga M (2010) Catabalita representation of SOS dependent and SOS
31	index and and and and and a substantial in station and a substantial in station and substantial in station and a substantial in
32	independent spontaneous mutagenesis in stationary-phase Escherichia con. Mutat Res 686:
33	
34	Maiques E, Ubeda C, Campoy S, Salvador N, Lasa I, Novick RP, Barbe J & Penades JR
36	(2006) beta-lactam antibiotics induce the SOS response and horizontal transfer of virulence
37	factors in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 188: 2726-2729.
38	Manasherob R, Miller C, Kim KS & Cohen SN (2012) Ribonuclease E modulation of the
39	bacterial SOS response. <i>PLoS One</i> 7: e38426.
40	Masse E & Drolet M (1999) Escherichia coli DNA topoisomerase I inhibits R-loop formation
41	by relaxing transcription-induced negative supercoiling. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 274: 16659-16664.
42	Massoni SC, Leeson MC, Long JE, Gemme K, Mui A & Sandler SJ (2012) Factors limiting
43	SOS expression in log-phase cells of Escherichia coli. <i>J Bacteriol</i> <b>194</b> : 5325-5333.
44	Mazel D (2006) Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 4: 608-620.
45	Mazon G, Campoy S, Erill I & Barbe J (2006) Identification of the Acidobacterium
46	capsulatum LexA box reveals a lateral acquisition of the Alphaproteobacteria lexA gene.
47	Microbiology <b>152</b> : 1109-1118.
48	Mazon G. Lucena JM. Campov S. Fernandez de Henestrosa AR. Candau P & Barbe J (2004)
49	LexA-binding sequences in Gram-positive and cvanobacteria are closely related <i>Mol Genet</i>
50	Genomics 271: 40-49
51 52	Mazon G Frill I Campov S Cortes P Forano F & Barbe I (2004) Reconstruction of the
52 53	evolutionary history of the Lex A-hinding sequence Microbiology 150. 3783-3705
54	Mehride TI Preston RD & Loch I & (1001) Mutagania Spectrum Desulting from DNA
55	Damage by Ovygen Radicals. <i>Biochamistry</i> <b>30</b> : 207 212
56	Damage by Oxygen Radicals. <i>Diochemistry</i> <b>30</b> . 207-213.
57	
58	30
59	
60	

McGlynn P, Savery NJ & Dillingham MS (2012) The conflict between DNA replication and

McVeigh RR & Yasbin RE (1996) Phenotypic differentiation of "smart" versus "naive"

transcription. Mol Microbiol 85: 12-20.

2		
3 ⊿		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29 30		
31		
32		
33		
34 25		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40 41		
41		
43		
44		
45		
46 47		
47 48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59 60		
rau		

1

bacteriophages of Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* **178**: 3399-3401. Merrikh H, Ferrazzoli AE & Lovett ST (2009) Growth phase and (p)ppGpp control of IraD, a regulator of RpoS stability, in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **191**: 7436-7446. Merrikh H, Zhang Y, Grossman AD & Wang JD (2012) Replication-transcription conflicts in bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**: 449-458.

Merrikh H, Ferrazzoli AE, Bougdour A, Olivier-Mason A & Lovett ST (2009) A DNA damage response in Escherichia coli involving the alternative sigma factor, RpoS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**: 611-616.

Mesak LR & Davies J (2009) Phenotypic changes in ciprofloxacin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Res Microbiol* **160**: 785-791.

Mesak LR, Miao V & Davies J (2008) Effects of subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics on SOS and DNA repair gene expression in Staphylococcus aureus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **52**: 3394-3397.

Michel B (2005) After 30 years of study, the bacterial SOS response still surprises us. *PLoS biology* **3**: e255.

Mika F, Busse S, Possling A, Berkholz J, Tschowri N, Sommerfeldt N, Pruteanu M & Hengge R (2012) Targeting of csgD by the small regulatory RNA RprA links stationary phase, biofilm formation and cell envelope stress in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol*.

Miller C, Ingmer H, Thomsen LE, Skarstad K & Cohen SN (2003) DpiA binding to the replication origin of Escherichia coli plasmids and chromosomes destabilizes plasmid inheritance and induces the bacterial SOS response. *J Bacteriol* **185**: 6025-6031.

Miller C, Thomsen LE, Gaggero C, Mosseri R, Ingmer H & Cohen SN (2004) SOS response induction by beta-lactams and bacterial defense against antibiotic lethality. *Science* **305**: 1629-1631.

Mori T, Nakamura T, Okazaki N, Furukohri A, Maki H & Akiyama MT (2012) Escherichia coli DinB inhibits replication fork progression without significantly inducing the SOS response. *Genes & genetic systems* **87**: 75-87.

Napolitano R, Janel-Bintz R, Wagner J & Fuchs RP (2000) All three SOS-inducible DNA polymerases (Pol II, Pol IV and Pol V) are involved in induced mutagenesis. *EMBO J* **19**: 6259-6265.

Narumi I, Satoh K, Kikuchi M, Funayama T, Yanagisawa T, Kobayashi Y, Watanabe H & Yamamoto K (2001) The LexA protein from Deinococcus radiodurans is not involved in RecA induction following gamma irradiation. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 6951-6956.

Nassar FJ, Rahal EA, Sabra A & Matar GM (2013) Effects of Subinhibitory Concentrations of Antimicrobial Agents on Escherichia coli O157:H7 Shiga Toxin Release and Role of the SOS Response. *Foodborne pathogens and disease*.

Ni M, Yang L, Liu XL & Qi O (2008) Fluence-response dynamics of the UV-induced SOS response in Escherichia coli. *Curr Microbiol* **57**: 521-526.

Nohmi T, Battista JR, Dodson LA & Walker GC (1988) RecA-mediated cleavage activates UmuD for mutagenesis: mechanistic relationship between transcriptional derepression and posttranslational activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **85**: 1816-1820.

Norton MD, Spilkia AJ & Godoy VG (2013) Antibiotic resistance acquired through a DNA damage-inducible response in Acinetobacter baumannii. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 1335-1345.

Nunoshiba T, Obata F, Boss AC, Oikawa S, Mori T, Kawanishi S & Yamamoto E (1999) Role of iron and superoxide for generation of hydroxyl radical, oxidative DNA lesions, and mutagenesis in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274**: 34832-34837. Paez PL, Becerra MC & Albesa I (2011) Comparison of macromolecular oxidation by

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
/	
o Q	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42 43	
43	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50 57	
58	
59	
60	

reactive oxygen species in three bacterial genera exposed to different antibiotics. Cell Biochem Biophys 61: 467-472. Pages V & Fuchs RP (2003) Uncoupling of leading- and lagging-strand DNA replication during lesion bypass in vivo. Science 300: 1300-1303. Pages V, Koffel-Schwartz N & Fuchs RP (2003) recX, a new SOS gene that is co-transcribed with the recA gene in Escherichia coli. DNA Repair (Amst) 2: 273-284. Pandey DP & Gerdes K (2005) Toxin-antitoxin loci are highly abundant in free-living but lost from host-associated prokaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 966-976. Partridge SR, Tsafnat G, Coiera E & Iredell JR (2009) Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33: 757-784. Pennington JM & Rosenberg SM (2007) Spontaneous DNA breakage in single living Escherichia coli cells. Nature genetics 39: 797-802. Petrova V, Chitteni-Pattu S, Drees JC, Inman RB & Cox MM (2009) An SOS Inhibitor that Binds to Free RecA Protein: The PsiB Protein. Mol Cell 36: 121-130. Plata KB, Riosa S, Singh CR, Rosato RR & Rosato AE (2013) Targeting of PBP1 by betalactams determines recA/SOS response activation in heterogeneous MRSA clinical strains. *PLoS One* **8**: e61083. Pohlhaus JR & Kreuzer KN (2005) Norfloxacin-induced DNA gyrase cleavage complexes block Escherichia coli replication forks, causing double-stranded breaks in vivo. Mol Microbiol 56: 1416-1429. Potenski CJ & Klein HL (2011) R we there yet? R-loop hazards to finishing the journey. Mol *Cell* **44**: 848-850. Proshkin S, Rahmouni AR, Mironov A & Nudler E (2010) Cooperation between translating ribosomes and RNA polymerase in transcription elongation. Science 328: 504-508. Prudhomme M, Attaiech L, Sanchez G, Martin B & Claverys JP (2006) Antibiotic stress induces genetic transformability in the human pathogen Streptococcus pneumoniae. Science **313**: 89-92. Quinones M, Kimsey HH & Waldor MK (2005) LexA cleavage is required for CTX prophage induction. Mol Cell 17: 291-300. Radman M (1975) SOS repair hypothesis: phenomenology of an inducible DNA repair which is accompanied by mutagenesis. Basic life sciences 5A: 355-367. Rai P, Cole TD, Wemmer DE & Linn S (2001) Localization of Fe(2+) at an RTGR sequence within a DNA duplex explains preferential cleavage by Fe(2+) and H2O2. J Mol Biol 312: 1089-1101. Rastogi RP, Richa, Kumar A, Tyagi MB & Sinha RP (2010) Molecular mechanisms of ultraviolet radiation-induced DNA damage and repair. Journal of nucleic acids 2010: 592980. Roberts J & Park JS (2004) Mfd, the bacterial transcription repair coupling factor: translocation, repair and termination. Curr Opin Microbiol 7: 120-125. Rodriguez-Beltran J, Rodriguez-Rojas A, Guelfo JR, Couce A & Blazquez J (2012) The Escherichia coli SOS gene dinF protects against oxidative stress and bile salts. PLoS One 7: e34791. Ronen M, Rosenberg R, Shraiman BI & Alon U (2002) Assigning numbers to the arrows: parameterizing a gene regulation network by using accurate expression kinetics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 10555-10560. Satoh K, Ohba H, Sghaier H & Narumi I (2006) Down-regulation of radioresistance by LexA2 in Deinococcus radiodurans. Microbiology 152: 3217-3226.

Satoh K, Kikuchi M, Ishaque AM, Ohba H, Yamada M, Tejima K, Onodera T & Narumi I (2012) The role of Deinococcus radiodurans RecFOR proteins in homologous recombination. DNA Repair (Amst) 11: 410-418. Savery NJ (2007) The molecular mechanism of transcription-coupled DNA repair. Trends Microbiol 15: 326-333. Schaich KM & Borg DC (1988) Fenton reactions in lipid phases. Lipids 23: 570-579. Schroder W, Goerke C & Wolz C (2013) Opposing effects of aminocoumarins and fluoroquinolones on the SOS response and adaptability in Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob Chemother 68: 529-538. Sharma V, Sakai Y, Smythe KA & Yokobayashi Y (2013) Knockdown of recA gene expression by artificial small RNAs in Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 430: 256-259. Shechter N, Zaltzman L, Weiner A, Brumfeld V, Shimoni E, Fridmann-Sirkis Y & Minsky A (2013) Stress-induced Condensation of Bacterial Genomes Results in Re-Pairing of Sister Chromosomes: Implications for Double-Strand DNA Break Repair. J Biol Chem. Shee C, Ponder R, Gibson JL & Rosenberg SM (2011) What limits the efficiency of doublestrand break-dependent stress-induced mutation in Escherichia coli? J Mol Microbiol *Biotechnol* **21**: 8-19. Singletary LA, Gibson JL, Tanner EJ, McKenzie GJ, Lee PL, Gonzalez C & Rosenberg SM (2009) An SOS-regulated type 2 toxin-antitoxin system. J Bacteriol 191: 7456-7465. Sobol RW (2012) For MutY, it's all about the OG. Chem Biol 19: 313-314. Stohl EA, Brockman JP, Burkle KL, Morimatsu K, Kowalczykowski SC & Seifert HS (2003) Escherichia coli RecX inhibits RecA recombinase and coprotease activities in vitro and in vivo. J Biol Chem 278: 2278-2285. Sussenbach JS & Berends W (1964) Photodynamic degradation of guanine. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 16: 263-266. Taddei F, Matic I & Radman M (1995) cAMP-dependent SOS induction and mutagenesis in resting bacterial populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 11736-11740. Tapias A & Barbe J (1998) Mutational analysis of the Rhizobium etli recA operator. J Bacteriol 180: 6325-6331. Ubeda C, Maiques E, Knecht E, Lasa I, Novick RP & Penades JR (2005) Antibiotic-induced SOS response promotes horizontal dissemination of pathogenicity island-encoded virulence factors in staphylococci. Mol Microbiol 56: 836-844. Val ME, Kennedy SP, Soler-Bistue AJ, Barbe V, Bouchier C, Ducos-Galand M, Skovgaard O & Mazel D (2013) Fuse or die: how to survive the loss of Dam in Vibrio cholerae. Mol Microbiol. van der Veen S & Abee T (2011) Bacterial SOS response: a food safety perspective. Curr *Opin Biotechnol* **22**: 136-142. Wade JT, Reppas NB, Church GM & Struhl K (2005) Genomic analysis of LexA binding reveals the permissive nature of the Escherichia coli genome and identifies unconventional target sites. Genes Dev 19: 2619-2630. Wadhawan S, Gautam S & Sharma A (2013) A component of gamma-radiation-induced cell death in E. coli is programmed and interlinked with activation of caspase-3 and SOS response. Arch Microbiol. Walker GC (1996) The SOS Response of Escherichia coli. Escherichia coli and Salmonella. *Neidhardt, FC Washington DC American Society of Microbiology* **1**: 1400-1416. Wimberly H, Shee C, Thornton PC, Sivaramakrishnan P, Rosenberg SM & Hastings PJ (2013) R-loops and nicks initiate DNA breakage and genome instability in non-growing Escherichia coli. Nature communications 4: 2115.

Winterling KW, Chafin D, Hayes JJ, Sun J, Levine AS, Yasbin RE & Woodgate R (1998) The Bacillus subtilis DinR binding site: redefinition of the consensus sequence. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 2201-2211.

Yasbin RE, Wilson GA & Young FE (1975) Transformation and transfection in lysogenic strains of Bacillus subtilis: evidence for selective induction of prophage in competent cells. *J Bacteriol* **121**: 296-304.

Yasuda T, Morimatsu K, Horii T, Nagata T & Ohmori H (1998) Inhibition of Escherichia coli RecA coprotease activities by DinI. *EMBO J* **17**: 3207-3216.

Yim G, McClure J, Surette MG & Davies JE (2011) Modulation of Salmonella gene expression by subinhibitory concentrations of quinolones. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* 64: 73-78.
Ysern P, Clerch B, Castano M, Gibert I, Barbe J & Llagostera M (1990) Induction of SOS genes in Escherichia coli and mutagenesis in Salmonella typhimurium by fluoroquinolones. *Mutagenesis* 5: 63-66.

Zhang AP, Pigli YZ & Rice PA (2010) Structure of the LexA-DNA complex and implications for SOS box measurement. *Nature* **466**: 883-886.

Zhu J & Mekalanos JJ (2003) Quorum sensing-dependent biofilms enhance colonization in Vibrio cholerae. *Dev Cell* **5**: 647-656.

## Figure legends

Figure 1. Simplified representations of DNA repair pathways induced by SOS (described in the introduction section). HR, NER and TLS are induced during the SOS response. HR: Homologous Recombination. Single strand nicks (gaps) are transformed into larger gaps by the RecJ exonuclease. Gaps are recognized by RecFOR presynaptic proteins. Double strand breaks (DSB) are recognized by the presynaptic RecBCD exonuclease/helicase complex. RecFOR/RecBCD recruit RecA to initiate homologous recombination through strand invasion with the sister chromosome, usually resulting in mutation free repair. DSB repair results in the formation of a replication fork. NER: Nucleotide Excision Repair. The UvrAB complex recognizes the DNA lesion; UvrC proceeds with a double incision on both sides of the lesion and the ssDNA carrying the lesion is removed by the UvrD helicase. DNA polymerase I (Pol I) resynthesizes the missing DNA and the ligase ligates the newly synthesized DNA to the template, usually resulting in mutation free repair. If the SOS inducing signal persists, translesion synthesis (TLS) polymerases are induced. In the absence of TLS, the replicative DNA polymerase (Pol III) cannot replicate damaged DNA, leading to fork stalling. TLS polymerases (Pol IV, Pol V) can replicate damaged DNA in a mutagenic manner. Yellow stars represent DNA lesions.

**Figure 2. Origins of ssDNA inducing SOS. A. Replication fork stalling** often leads to spontaneous ssDNA nicks. These breaks are eventually transformed into double strand breaks (DSBs) when replication restarts, and DSBs are potent inducers of SOS as described in section I. **B. Replication transcription collisions.** Adapted from (Helmrich *et al.*, 2013). Codirectional collisions may happen because of the difference of velocity of the replisome and the transcription complex, and lead to DSB formation (Dutta *et al.*, 2011). Head-on collisions occur when transcription and replication progress in opposite directions. Both types of collisions cause DSB formation through either R-loop formation or topological stress, if 34

rescue pathways are insufficient. **C. Transcription stalling**. Adapted from (Wimberly *et al.*, 2013). Elongating RNA polymerase (RNAP) can stall upon encounter with a DNA lesion or bulky protein complexes. In this case, transcribed RNA can anneal to the template DNA forming a structure called R-loop. R-loops are another type of SOS inducers as described in section I. R-loops can lead to re-priming of a replication fork. If the re-primed replication fork encounters a nick, it can stall and lead to DSBs (as in panel A).