

Study of in vivo catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central venous catheter implanted in rat

Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo, Christophe Beloin

▶ To cite this version:

Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo, Christophe Beloin. Study of in vivo catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central venous catheter implanted in rat. Nature Protocols, 2016, 11 (3), pp.525 - 541. 10.1038/nprot.2016.033 . pasteur-01377073

HAL Id: pasteur-01377073 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01377073v1

Submitted on 6 Oct 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Study of *in vivo* catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central

2 venous catheter implanted in rat

- 3 Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo and Christophe Beloin*
- 4 Institut Pasteur, Unité de Génétique des Biofilms, Département de Microbiologie
- 5 *F*--75015 Paris, *France*.
- 6 * christophe.beloin@pasteur.fr
- 7

8 ABSTRACT:

9 Venous access catheters used in clinics are prone to biofilm contamination, contributing 10 to chronic and nosocomial infections. So far, biofilm physiology was mostly studied in 11 vitro, due to a relative lack of clinically relevant in vivo models. Here, we provide a 12 relevant protocol of totally implantable venous access port (TIVAP) implanted in rats. 13 This model recapitulates all phenomena observed in clinic and allows studying bacterial 14 biofilm development and physiology. After TIVAP implantation and inoculation with 15 luminescent pathogens, in vivo biofilm formation can be monitored in situ and biofilm 16 biomass can be recovered from contaminated TIVAP and organs. We used this protocol 17 to study host responses to biofilm-infection, to evaluate preventive and curative anti-18 biofilm strategies, and to study fundamental biofilm properties. For this procedure, one 19 should expect ~3h00 of hands-on time including the implantation in one rat followed by 20 in situ luminescence monitoring and bacterial load estimation. 21 22 Keywords: biofilm, catheter-related infection, rat model, bioluminescence

23

1 **INTRODUCTION:**

2

3 Medical devices such as peripheral or central venous catheters, urinary catheters or 4 prostheses are nowadays essential to modern medicine and greatly improve patients' 5 healthcare. These devices are however prone to contamination by microbial pathogens 6 leading to biofilm formation and biofilm-related infections that are extremely difficult to 7 eradicate due to the high tolerance of biofilms towards antibiotics and host immune 8 defenses ¹. Currently, there is no efficient method for early biofilm detection, prevention 9 or eradication besides traumatic and costly removal or replacement of contaminated 10 devices²⁻⁵. Therefore, there is a dire need for specific and timely detection of biofilm 11 formation on medical devices. However, the development of new strategies aiming at 12 prevention or eradication of chronic and nosocomial biofilm infections require relevant 13 biofilm models and approaches to provide a better understanding of the dynamics of 14 biofilm formation and physiology.

15

16 **Device associated biofilm animal models**

17 A large number of in vitro models have been used to study biofilm formation and physiology or for large-scale anti-biofilm drug screening⁶⁻¹¹. Although useful, these in 18 19 vitro models do not reproduce the complex interactions occurring in the device-20 associated infection milieu comprising microorganisms, the host and the contaminated 21 abiotic surface. These therefore do not provide access to important parameters when 22 characterizing pathogenic biofilms, host factors and other biotic signals. By contrast, only 23 few in vivo models have been developed to study bacterial biofilm formation associated 24 with central venous catheter (CVC). One of the first corresponding models used vascular 25 catheter inserted in the jugular vein of rat and was developed to study Staphyloccus 26 aureus and Staphyloccus epidermidis biofilm-related infections and to evaluate the 27 efficacy of several antimicrobial agents, including antibiotics and anti-microbial peptides ^{7,10-15}. Other CVC models using different hosts include a mice model catheterized in the 28 29 jugular vein used to study the role of alternative SigB in S. aureus virulence ¹⁶, or a pre-30 catheterized (jugular vein) mice model to study the eradication efficacy of lysostaphin against S. aureus biofilm ¹⁷. Using rabbit, port access venous catheters inserted in the 31 32 jugular vein were used to test several antibiotic against S. aureus ¹⁸. Finally, several 33 animal models were also developed to study Candida albicans biofilm-related infections, an important causative agent of CVC-related infections ¹⁹⁻²². All these studies were 34 35 invasive in nature and required animal killing in order to assess and quantify colonization 36 and infection. As an alternative to these CVC models where the catheter is inserted in the vascular circulation, subcutaneously implanted mice CVC biofilm models were described using luminescent variants of *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for real-time monitoring of biofilm formation ^{23,24} and dissemination ²⁵. However, these models do not adequately reflect real clinical situation and do not take into account key host factors such as venous blood circulation or humoral factors.

6

7 Overview of the proposed *in vivo* catheter biofilm model protocol

8 To better understand device associated biofilm infections, our laboratory has optimized a 9 long-term central venous catheter model using commercial pediatric Totally Implantable Venous Access Ports (TIVAP) inserted in rat jugular veins ²⁶⁻²⁸. Unlike other venous 10 catheters used in other in vivo studies, TIVAP are closed devices accessible through a 11 12 port implanted subcutaneously and connected to the central venous system via a 13 catheter usually inserted into the jugular or subclavian vein. In our model, the TIVAP is 14 subcutaneously implanted with the port secured in a pocket, at the dorsal midline 15 towards the end of thoracic vertebrae. Luminescent variants of clinically relevant bacteria 16 forming biofilm on biomaterial are introduced into the port by puncturing the silicone 17 septum using Huber needles. Then, bacterial colonization and biofilm formation is 18 monitored, without further invasive intervention, as a function of luminescence using 19 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. We optimized the inoculum size to be 1 x 10^4 20 CFU/50 μ L per port for *E. coli* and 1 x 10⁶ CFU/50 μ L S. aureus and *P. aeruginosa*. 21 Increased bioluminescence signals were correlated to higher bacterial titers within 22 TIVAP. At these dosages we were able to measure the signals associated with chronic 23 biofilms up to 120 days. This animal model was successfully used to study the 24 colonization of venous catheters by clinically relevant pathogens (S. aureus, S. 25 epidermidis, P. aeruginosa and E. coli)²⁶⁻³⁰.

26 Biofilm biomass formed in the TIVAP can be determined quantitatively by harvesting the 27 bacteria as discussed in details below and plating on suitable agar plates. Counts of 28 viable bacteria ranging from 7.6 to 8.3-log CFU/mL (port) and 7.5 to 9.2- logs CFU/mL 29 (catheter) were obtained in the TIVAP. The bacterial load in the organs (lungs, heart, 30 spleen, kidneys, liver or blood) can also be determined by plating tissue homogenates. 31 To complement microbiological methods assessing biofilm pathogenesis in the rat 32 TIVAP model, electron microscopy was used in order to visualize biofilm structures 33 growing on the lumen of the catheter and on the silicone septum of the TIVAP. 34 Furthermore, we also optimized cyclophosphamide-based immunosuppression to study 35 the consequences of TIVAP-associated biofilm infection in immunocompromised hosts.

- 1 Relevance and applications of the *in vivo* TIVAP biofilm model 2 3 We showed that our TIVAP rat model recapitulates all phenomena observed in clinical 4 TIVAP-associated biofilm infections and can also be used to study the fundamental 5 mechanisms of in vivo biofilm formation. 6 7 TIVAP applications from a clinical point of view: 8 1. Evaluation of current procedures used to handle TIVAP in clinical settings, 9 including skin disinfection procedures, TIVAP patency maintenance ²⁶, etc. 10 2. Study of the different routes of TIVAP colonization in addition to classical endo-11 luminal colonization including: 12 i/ extra-luminal colonization of the catheter; 13 ii/ extra-luminal colonization of the port leading to subcutaneous port pocket 14 infection, a clinical situation where repeated intradermal needle punctures during access to TIVAP may lead to subcutaneous bacterial port pocket infection ³¹ 15 (20% of TIVAP-implanted rats inoculated with P. aeruginosa or S. aureus 16 developed subcutaneous infection around port²⁷); 17 18 iii/ catheter tip colonization via hematogenous route of infection, a situation 19 observed when TIVAP implanted patients suffer from bloodstream infection: 20 TIVAP implanted rats injected with S. aureus in the tail vein showed colonization of TIVAP catheter tip ²⁷. Furthermore, we observed, in our TIVAP rat model, 21 22 chronic colonization of implanted devices with occasional catheter-related bloodstream infections as detected in CVC bearing patients ²⁷. Thus, our rat 23 24 TIVAP model successfully reproduced clinical situations such as biofilm-related 25 bloodstream infections, organs colonization and port-pocket infections. 26 3. Investigation of controlled chronic infection and recurrence of infection after 27 conservative treatment. 28 4. Development of early biofilm detection methods ("biofilm biomarkers"). Such 29 biomarkers are currently lacking and their identification could strongly facilitate 30 medical decisions. 31 5. Evaluation of prophylactic strategies including: 32 i/ novel catheter lock solutions. The catheter lock therapy corresponds to the use 33 of highly concentrated antimicrobial (often antibiotic) solutions that dwell inside 34 the catheter for at least 12 to 24 hours 32 ; ii/ catheter treatment with anti-adhesive procedure ²⁶. We modified the surface of 35 36 commercial TIVAPs composed of silicone and titanium, using methyl cellulose
- 37 (MeCe) as well as polyethylene glycol (PEG), two macromolecules with

1 described anti-adhesive activities ^{33,34}. Using our rat model of biofilm infections 2 inoculated with bioluminescent bacteria, we showed that an anti-adhesive 3 approach could constitute an efficient prophylactic strategy to control infections in 4 medical devices;

iii/ anti-biofilm strategies against biofilm-related infection such as vaccination.

- 6 6. Evaluation of curative strategies against difficult-to-treat pathogens (*P. aeruginosa*, methicillin resistant *S. aureus*, possibly *C. albicans*), for which the
 8 current clinical recommendation in case of device-related infection is costly and
 9 traumatic removal of the device. These curative strategies include:
- *il* curative catheter lock solutions active on already developed infectious biofilms
 ^{27,28,30}. We used EDTA as adjuvant in combination with gentamicin as a lock
 solution to eradicate all the bacterial biofilms tested using our rat TIVAP model ²⁸
 and L-arginine, a basic amino-acid, combined with gentamicin to eradicate *S*.
 aureus and *E. coli in vivo* biofilms ³⁰;
- 15 **ii/** novel anti-biofilm molecules;
- 16 **iii**/ alternative strategies (ultrasonic waves, photodynamic or phage therapies).
- Final Evaluation of anti-thrombotic treatments since thrombosis is observed using this
 model ²⁶. Previous studies showed that biofilm colonized implanted catheters are
 at higher risk of causing thrombosis ³⁵. We used our model to demonstrate the
 better patency in the TIVAP coated with anti-adhesive coatings compared to
 control untreated TIVAPs ²⁶.
- 22

- 23 TIVAP applications from a fundamental point of view:
- Study of biofilm physiology *in vivo* and in a clinically relevant model amenable to
 transcriptomic, proteomic or metabolomic analyses. Biofilm-specific properties
 such as biofilm-associated production of molecules ²⁹ or biofilm-specific increased
 recalcitrance to antimicrobial agents and to the immune system can be studied
 using this model.
- 29 2. Evaluation of the *in vivo* role of biofilm-promoting factors identified *in vitro*.
- 30 3. *In vivo* study of clinically relevant biofilm-forming microorganisms other than
 31 bacteria, in particular *C. albicans*, one of the main causes of catheter-related
 32 infection.
- 4. Investigation of the impact of the implanted host immune system on the
 development of *in vivo* biofilms ²⁷ and, reciprocally, study of the impact of biofilm
 development on the immune system.
- 36

2 Experimental Design

3

4 **Overview of the procedure stages**

5 The procedure described is designed to study bacterial biofilms using a central venous 6 catheter called TIVAP inserted in rat jugular vein. The study can be broadly divided into 7 6 stages (see Flow chart of the different steps is presented in **Figure 1**): One animal 8 study takes between 2-3 weeks but may be longer depending on the question to be 9 addressed, for example immunosuppression of animals will add another 4 days to a 10 study. A trained engineer, PhD student, post-doctoral fellow or researcher can perform 11 all stages of the procedure.

12

1) *Pre-surgical procedures*: After reception of the rats, they are transferred 2 per cage
and housed in animal facility. They are given pain-killers in specialized gels prior to
surgery.

16 2) Surgery (TIVAP implantation): TIVAP is implanted subcutaneously with catheter
 17 inserted into the jugular vein.

18 3) Postsurgical care: Animals are allowed to recover from surgery before injecting

bacteria in the port. During this time of recovery, the patency of TIVAP is maintained by
"flush and draw" technique to mimic clinical TIVAP use, and the temperature and weight

21 of the animals are regularly monitored.

4) *Bacterial challenge*: Four days post-surgery, TIVAP is contaminated via controlled
bacterial inoculation through the port by bacteria that are allowed to adhere to the device
surface (internal) for certain duration of time before the inoculum is removed.

5) *Post challenge care and monitoring*: The biofilm is allowed to form on the device up to day1, day4, day10 or even up to 120 days, depending on the question addressed. Blood sampling is done at day 0 (before inoculation to ensure TIVAP sterility); day4 and day8 to analyze the TIVAP mediated infection. Animals are monitored for the clinical symptoms.

30 6) *Euthanasia and sampling*: On the day of experiment termination, animals are
31 euthanized and the TIVAP and organs are aseptically removed for analysis.

32

33 Laboratory facilities

All the described animal work was done in the Institute Pasteur animal facilities, which are accredited by the French Ministry of Agriculture to perform experiments on live rodents (accreditations A75-15 27, issued 12 November 2004, and A75-15 04, issued 22 1 May 2008). Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European 2 regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission 3 directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in 4 this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" 5 (reference 2012-0045). All methods are performed in a 'Biosafety Level-2' facility, 6 containing a Class II biosafety cabinet. Aseptically collected samples (TIVAP, organs or 7 blood) from animals can be processed in the main laboratory inside a Class II biosafety 8 cabinet to maintain sterility. The animal room is cleaned with disinfectant every day until 9 the end of a study.

10

11 Controls

Proper controls must be used in the study. For each study, uninfected animal control with implanted TIVAP should be used as biofilm negative controls. For testing antimicrobials, 1X PBS or other appropriate reagent control must be used to compare the efficacy of the tested compounds.

16

17 Anesthesia optimization

18 Anesthetics are critical to sedate rat and reduce discomfort during surgery as well as 19 during follow-up procedures. For example, rats treated with cyclophosphamide have 20 different susceptibilities to anesthesia (isoflurane) induced hypoxia; therefore, empirical 21 determination of chemical anesthesia and/or isoflurane dosages is required. We have 22 optimized ketamine, xylazine and acepromazine mix (7:2:1, v/v) injected intraperitoneally 23 to 500 µL cocktail/300grams of rat weight. Acepromazine keeps the rats calm and allows 24 additional injections during the surgery, if needed. Isoflurane concentration for healthy 25 rats was optimized to 3% for the induction of anesthesia and maintenance of the 26 anesthetic state at an oxygen flow-rate of 0.8 L/min and air flow-rate of 0.4 L/min to get a 27 total debit of 1.2. Isoflurane concentration for immunosuppressed rats was optimized to 28 1.5%-2.0% for the induction of anesthesia and 1.5% for maintenance of the anesthetic 29 state at an oxygen flow-rate of 0.6 L/min and air flow-rate of 0.4 L/min to get a total debit 30 of 1.0 L/min.

31

32 *Critical step:* Isoflurane is an inhalation anesthetics found under several trade names 33 such as aerrane, floran, florane, isothane etc. It provides a quick, easy and long lasting 34 effect when provided continuously to the research animals. Prolonged exposures in 35 animals need to be regulated and monitored closely to prevent death. Injectable 36 anesthetics, such as ketamine–xylazine-acepromazine mixture can be used for longterm (up to 45 min) sedation of rats. Ketamine and acepromazine are controlled
 substances, which require secured storage and proper records of usage.

3 *CAUTION*: Isoflurane is a halogenated ether. It is a colorless liquid anesthetic with a 4 pungent odor. High doses of isoflurane exposure in research animals have been 5 demonstrated to have fetal toxic effects. Pregnant women are recommended not to use 6 it unless other means of anesthesia are not available and, in such case, special masks 7 need to be worn. Only an approved anesthetic respirator system approved by the ethical 8 committee should be used. Also make sure to work in well-ventilated area when using 9 isoflurane or any other inhalation anesthetics.

10

11 Choice of Animals

12 We chose CrI:CD/SD variant of wistar rats for our study as they are recommended for

studying infectious diseases. The rats are 250-275 grams at reception day and gain ~50
grams by the day of surgery.

- 15 Note: Wistar rats may also be used for the study.
- 16

17 Choice of Microbes

18 Luminescent variants of four clinically relevant pathogens, i.e. E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. 19 aureus and S. epidermidis were purchased (S. aureus Xen36, Xen30, Xen31 and S. 20 epidermidis Xen43 from Caliper) or gifted (P. aeruginosa Lm1, a bioluminescent derivative of the PAK clinical strain ³⁶ and *E. coli* EAEC 55989 ³⁷ transformed with stable 21 22 plasmid pAT881)³⁸. E. coli and P. aeruginosa strains were grown in Lysogeny broth 23 (LB); S. aureus Xen36 and S. epidermidis Xen43 were cultured in Tryptic Soy broth 24 (TSB) supplemented with 0.2% glucose at 37°C. Other relevant bacteria may also be 25 studied within this model. While bioluminescence is an efficient tool to follow in situ 26 colonization of the device and potential dissemination, it is also possible to estimate end-27 point bacterial load using non-bioluminescent microbial variant.

28

29 **Optimization of inoculum size and volume**

The inoculum dose was optimized to 10^4 cells for *E. coli*, 10^6 cells for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus.* For *S. epidermidis* the maximum dose that could be used was 10^8 cells but did not lead to bioluminescent-detectable colonization. Currently no other bioluminescent strain of *S. epidermidis* is available ²⁴. The volume of the inoculum was optimized to 50µL per TIVAP. The dead volume of the port is 250µL. This insures that no bacteria are flushed into the blood stream at the time of inoculation.

- 36
- 37

1 Antibacterial agents

2 The described methods were successfully used in our model to test the antibiofilm 3 activity of cefazolin, gentamicin, EDTA, ethanol (70%) and L-arginine, injected alone or in certain combination in catheter lock solutions ^{27,28,30}. Moreover, our model was 4 5 successfully used to evaluate the anti-adhesion characteristics of biomimetic glycocalyxlike polymer such as methylcellulose ²⁶. Empirically, the effect of any relevant 6 7 antimicrobial agents or anti-adhesive molecules can be evaluated using our model. In 8 vitro concentration of the antimicrobial agents and procedures to graft anti-adhesive 9 molecules on silicone and/or titanium need to be verified before in vivo evaluation in rat 10 TIVAP model.

2 MATERIALS

3 Reagents:

Product	Reference	Manufacturer	
Sterile 1X Phosphate buffered Saline	10010-023	GIBCO	
(PBS)			
Sterile water	15230-071	GIBCO	
Kanamycin	B5264-1G	Sigma Aldrich	
Cefazolin	C5020-	Sigma Aldrich	
	500MG		
Vancomycin	94747-5G	Sigma Aldrich	
Gentamicin	G1914-5G	Sigma Aldrich	
Lysogeny Broth (LB)	12795-027	Invitrogen	
L-arginine	A5006-100G	Sigma Aldrich	
Agar degranulated	214530	DIFCO	
Tryptic Soy broth (TSB)	211825	DIFCO	
Tryptic soy agar (TSA)	236950	DIFCO	
Ethanol, 70% (made from absolute	34935-1L	Sigma Aldrich	
alcohol)			
Sterile Heparin, 500IU/mL, 5mL bottle,	512507	Sanofi Aventis	
Ketamine, Imalgen1000	IMA004	Merial SAS Lyon, Fr	
Xylazine, (Rompun™2%)	ROM001	Bayer Healthcare,	
		Germany	
Acepromazine (Injectable), Calmivet	CAL226	Sanofi Aventis,	
Solution		Lure, FR	
Betadine gel 10%, tube of 100g		Meda Pharma	
Anesderm 5%, tube of 5g	Pharmacy	Pierre Fabre,	
		France	
Betadine solution, bottle of 120mL		Vetoquinol	
Eye drops, Ocrygel [®]	843TVN		
Gel, medigelsucralose 56gm	TPP2270	Fisher Scientific	
Vikron [®] 1%		Reltyon	
Sodium pentobarbital, Dolethal	6847542	Alcyon France	
Isoflurane, IsoVet 1000mg/g inhalation	IsoVet	Imaxio, France	
vapour (Schering-Plough)	1000mg/g		

Buprecare [®] (Ibuprofen)		Axience, France
Cyclophosphamide monohydrate	C0768-5G	Sigma Aldrich
Sodium Cacodylate Trihydrate	C0250-100G	Sigma Aldrich
Ruthenium red	R2751-1G	Sigma Aldrich
Glutaraldehyde 25%	G5882	Sigma Aldrich

2 Equipments:

Equipments (number needed for the study)	Catalogue	Manufacturer
	number	
Charged-coupled device CCD Camera (IVIS		Xenogen
100)		Corporation,
		Alameda,
		CA, USA
Vet abc	ABC vet 2.0	SCIL,
		Germany
Surgical hood	FASTER	Faster-air,
	FLOWFAST	France
	H18	
Laminar air flow	Faster	Faster-air,
	BH2006	France
Hot bead sterilizer	18000-50	Fine Science
		Tools (FST)
Beads	18000-51	FST
Micro spring scissors (1)	15007-08	FST
Fine scissors (1)	14502-14	FST
Fine forceps (2)	11245-30	FST
Blunt forceps (1)	11000-14	FST
Hemostats (2)	130008-12	FST
Silk Thread, 22.5 meters	18020-30	FST
Curved forceps	91197-00	FST
Suture Vicryl 3-0 Polyglactin absorbable, box	V497H	Ethicon
of 36		
Suture Dafilon 3/0 Polyamide non-absorbable,	C0935239	B Braun
box of 36		
Scalpel	0510	Swann-
		Morton

TIVAP, Polysite 2000 micro, 5F	2105 ISP	Perouse
		medical
Sterile champ	CSO-02NT	LCH
Sterile gloves (size 7-8, medium)	STP641	LCH
Sterile gauze pad	CNST-470	LCH
Huber needle, straight 7/10, 22G, 30mm, box	512507	Perouse
of 50		Medical
Respiratory Mask, FFP2	19-130-4825	Fisher
		Scientific
Sterile gown	98000622	Barrier,
		France
Temperature Controller, with rectal probe	TCAT-2LV	Physitemp
		Instruments
		Inc, USA
Thermocage, animal warming system	MK3	Datestand
		Ltd.,
		Manchester,
		UK
IPTT-300, Electronic chip introducer and chip	BV 11059	PLEXX,
		Europe
Wireless Reader with round IMI probe	DAS-7006R	PLEXX,
		Europe
Mozer Max45 clipper	1245-0066	Moser
		animalline
Isoflurane vaporizer, TAG	TAG1100	TEM
		(Lormont,
		France)
Conical tubes , 50mL	14-432-22	Fisher
		scientific
Conical tubes,15mL	14-959-70C	Fisher
		scientific
Microtubes	05-402-24B	Fisher
		scientific
GentleMACS™ Octo Dissociator	130-095-937	Miltenyi
		Biotec
GentleMACS™ M tubes	130-096-335	Miltenyi

		Biotec
Cell strainer 70µm Nylon	3523350	BD Falcon
T25 digital ULTRA-TURRAX [®] homogenizer	0010001502	Ika, France
NEYtech Ultrasonik, 44–48 Khz		NEYtech

2 **PROCEDURE**

3

4 Step 1: Pre-surgical Procedures

5 1A) Day -6, reception of animals: The CD/SD (IGS:CrI) male rats (CharlesRiver) weigh 6 275–300grams and are acclimatized to a 12 h day/night cycle for one week prior to use

- 7 with commercial feed / tap water ad libitum. (TIMING: Responsibility of Animal Facility)
- 8

9 1B) Day -5, an electronic chip is inserted in the flank region of rats to monitor the 10 temperature during course of the study. The weight of rats is also monitored on regular 11 basis. (TIMING: 10 min per rat)

12

12 1C) Day -2, all the rats are fed with Medigel-sucralose (1cup (56gm) per cage per day)
without ibuprofen* for rats to habituate to mixture followed by Medigel+ibuprofen gel on
day -1. (TIMING: 10 min per rat)

16 <u>Critical step</u>: if given directly with the drug, most of the rats do not eat the pain-killer and

17 can lead to health issues post-surgery. Medigel with ibuprofen can be prepared on day -

18 2 and stored at 4°C but brought to room temperature before feeding the rats.

19

20 Step 2: Surgical Procedure (TIVAP implantation, day 0) (TIMING: ~ 55min)

21 2A) *Anesthesia* (TIMING: 2 minutes per rat, 5-6 minutes for a rat to sleep)

- 22 Rats are anesthetized using a 500µL cocktail (per 300grams of rat weight) of ketamine,
- 23 xylazine and acepromazine mix (7:2:1, v/v) injected intraperitoneally.
- 24
- 25 2B) Shaving (TIMING: 3-4 minutes per rat)

26 Once the rat is fully unconscious, closely shave dorsal side of the rat with an electric 27 clipper to remove hair from the neck up to lower end of the thoracic vertebrae and from 28 left to right end of the body (**Figure 2**). Then, turn the rat on its back and shave the 29 neckline carefully without cutting the skin. Remove any excess hair with the help of a

- 30 clean paper towel.
- 31 <u>*Critical step*</u>: If the rat is not completely asleep, any procedure including shaving can be 32 stressful leading to death of rat during the procedure.
- 33
- 34 2C) *Disinfection*: (TIMING 5 minutes per rat)
- 35 Transfer the rat on a sterile sheet. The shaved area (both dorsal and ventral sides) as
- 36 well as surrounding area is gently cleaned in 2 steps: i) wash with betadine soap using a

- sterile gauze pad or any other sterile pad of absorbent material; ii) disinfect with betadine
 solution. Repeat the cycle 3 times.
- 3
- 4 2D) *Preparation for surgery*: (TIMING ~15 minutes)

5 Since it takes ~15 minutes for a rat to be ready for surgery, meanwhile, arrange and 6 prepare the surgery area. For this,

- 7 2D.1) Clean the surgical hood with 70% ethanol and spread a sterile champ/sheet.
- 2D.2) On the sheet, place on left corner a pair of Scissors, hemostats (2), spring
 scissors, fine forceps (2), 2 pieces of silk thread around 20 cm long, curved forceps,
 sterile gloves, TIVAP (with its kit open), scalpel and on the right hand side keep sterile
 gauze pad, a syringe with 1X PBS (50mL, from the TIVAP kit), vicryl suture,
 monofilament suture, 1 mL syringe filled with 1X PBS with Huber needle (from the
 TIVAP kit) and 1mL syringe filled with sterile 300µL heparin.

14 2D.3) Turn on 37°C thermo-cage system for storing the rat after surgery.

- 15 2D.4) Turn on instrument sterilizer.
- 16 <u>*Critical step*</u>: keep everything you need for the surgery handy as the rats may start to 17 wake up in case of delay in the process of surgery and may be stressed.
- 18

19 2E) *Surgery*: (TIMING ~30-45 minutes per rat, **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**)

- 20 2E.1: Once the rat is disinfected, place the rat on the surgical sheet with the dorsal
 21 side up and tail away from you. Take some 70% ethanol and wipe the body of the
 22 rat once more. Wear the sterile gloves and avoid touching any surroundings.
- 23 2E.2: Make an incision (~1.5cm) on dorsal line at the upper end of thoracic
 24 vertebrae with a scalpel.
- 25 2E.3: Using a scissor, make a subcutaneous pocket inside the incision by opening
 26 & closing the scissor slowly. Make the pocket big enough to easily insert the port of
 27 TIVAP.
- 28 2E.4: Take the port and insert the pointed end first while pushing the wider part 29 with your thumbs. Once inserted, secure the TIVAP by suturing (using a hemostat 30 to hold the suture and a blunt forceps) inside of the skin with vicryl, 3.0, non-31 absorbable suture.
- 32 2E.5: Insert Huber needle into the port by puncturing the septum and gently turn
 33 the rat on its back. Place a pad of gauze pad wet in 1X PBS.
- 34 <u>Critical step</u>: It is important to keep a wet pad of gauze pad on the incision
 35 throughout the process to prevent its dehydration.

- 2E.6: Make an incision about 1-1.5cm in the neck on right hand side with scalpel.
 Gently try to remove the muscles with a fine forceps to expose the vein. Keep the
 area wet and clean with 1X PBS.
- 2E.7: Locating the vein: Left Jugular vein is situated superficially under the salivary
 glands and it forms "inverted Y" junction with the right jugular vein, tail of "inverted
 Y" joining the subclavian vein. Keep the area and veins wet with 1X PBS, all the
 time.
- 8 <u>*Critical step*</u>: It is important to keep the vein wet because if dried it will lose its 9 flexibility and it will be difficult to make a cut in the vein as well as tough to insert 10 the catheter in vein.
- 2E.8: Using a curved forceps pass two black silk threads under the external jugular
 vein. Clamp one on the proximal end (to tie the incised vein) and another on the
 distal end (to secure the catheter inside the external jugular vein) of the jugular
 vein with hemostats.
- 15 2E.9: Then, try to clean the area surrounding the vein and remove any extra
 16 tissues that might be attached to jugular vein. Keep the vein and surrounding area
 17 wet with 1X PBS.
- 2E.10: After exposing the vein, slightly tilt the rat (gently) and insert the blunt end
 of tunneling rod (provided in the TIVAP kit) from the dorsal side and push out from
 the ventral incision in the neck region. On the pointed end put on the catheter and
 pull it along the tunneling rod on ventral side so as to have catheter tunneled under
 the skin ready to be inserted in the jugular vein.
- 22 2E.11: Cut the catheter to a length of 4.5 cm (catheter has markings 1cm apart) at
 24 an angle not more than 30°. This is the length of catheter to be inserted in the
 25 external jugular vein to reach the top of right atrium.
- 26 <u>Critical step</u>: Cutting the catheter at a higher angle will allow easy insertion of
 27 catheter in the jugular vein but it will lose patency quickly due to suction of vein
 28 against the bigger tilted cut while pulling the blood with syringe.
- 29 2E.12: Make sure that port and catheter are filled with 1X PBS. For this, fill the 30 TIVAP by slowly pushing the piston of the syringe with Huber needle inserted in to 31 port.
- 32 <u>*Critical step*</u>: It is important to make sure before inserting the catheter in jugular 33 vein that there is no air in the TIVAP as it can kill the rat within few minutes.
- 34 2E.13: While gently holding the vein with a fine forceps make a partial cut in the35 external jugular carefully using a micro spring scissors.

- <u>Critical step</u>: There may be lots of blood flow from the vein. In this case take wet
 gauze pad and press it against the vein for 2-3 minutes or until the bleeding has
 stopped. Keep the vein wet using 1X PBS.
- 2E.14: Dilate the vein carefully by inserting closed forceps little bit. Once the
 forceps is inside slowly release to open it, at the same time hold the upper part of
 the incision with one forceps and insert the catheter using another forceps. Slightly
 push the catheter inside the vein (~4.5cm) followed by flushing 100µL 1X PBS in
 the vein.
- 9 <u>*Critical step*</u>: This step should be done as early as possible as the vein may be 10 dehydrated and become inflexible. This step is most tricky and need lots of 11 practice to insert the catheter. Furthermore there can be blood loss leading to 12 death of rat; hence the insertion must be quick. **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 13 2E.15: Try to gently draw the blood to make sure the reflux and then flush about
 14 500-600µL 1X PBS and lock with 250µL heparin (500 IU/mL). Remove the Huber
 15 needle form the port. **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 2E.16: Tie the black thread on the distal end on the vein to secure the catheter and
 proximal thread to block the incised end of the vein.
- 18 <u>*Critical step*</u>: The thread should not be too tight as it can create pressure and 19 prevent the drawing of blood. Also, it should not be lose as the catheter may slip 20 out of the vein.
- 21 2E.17: Clean the surgery area and suture dorsal (4-5 stitches) and ventral (3-4
 22 stitches) incisions. Put antiseptic cream such as betadine and local anesthetic
 23 lidocaine cream such as Anesderm.
- 24 2E.18: Transfer the rat to 39°C chamber until wakes up.
- 25 2E.19: Finally, transfer the rat into a new cage with new water bottle. Place a cup26 of Medigel+ibuprofen per cage.
- 27

28 Step 3: Postsurgical care: Day +1 to Day +4

- 3A) *Feeding Analgesic*: New cup of Medigel + ibuprofen is kept in the cage of the rats on
 day +1 after surgery.
- 3B) *"Flush and draw":* (TIMING 4 minutes anesthesia, 5 minutes flush and draw per rat).
- 32 3B.1) To maintain the patency of the catheters, the TIVAP is flushed every day until
- the day of the bacterial challenge. The rat is transferred to the isoflurane box until theinduction of anesthesia.
- 35 3B.2) Meanwhile, place a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow and place the nose
 36 piece connected to the isoflurane system on it.

- 3B.3) Place the nose of the anesthetized rat in the nose piece such that the tail is
 away from you.
- 3 3B.4) Clean the skin on and surrounding the port with betadine solution and 70%
 4 ethanol and allow to air dry for 4-5 minutes.
- 3B.5) Gently insert the Huber needle connected to a 2mL syringe filled with 1X PBS
 into the port by puncturing silicon septum of the port.
- 7 3B.6) Slowly turn the rat on its back keeping the needle inside the port.
- 8 $\,$ 3B.7) Carefully inject about 100 μL 1X PBS and then check blood reflux by slowly
- 9 pulling the piston of the syringe. Flush TIVAP with 1.0 to 1.5mL 1X PBS, followed by
 10 locking the TIVAP with 250µL heparin (250IU/mL).
- 11 3B.8) Clean the skin with betadine solution and put the rat back in the cage.

12 <u>*Critical step:*</u> If the rat is not anesthetized completely, the movement of neck may 13 block the blood reflux. If isoflurane system is not available chemical anesthesia can

14 be given to rats. In this case 250µL anesthesia per 500 grams rat is sufficient.

- 15 **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 16

17 3C) *Clinical signs*: Monitor the clinical signs such as local inflammation around the 18 port, weight loss and fever. If clinical signs are not normal then euthanize the rat.

- 19 <u>*Critical step:*</u> Local inflammation can be due to several reasons such as forced 20 injection through the port, infection or too much local injury due to surgery.
- 21 **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 22

Step 4: Bacterial inoculation and monitoring of biofilm formation using
bioluminescence: Day +4 (TIMING 30 minutes per rat) (see Figure 4)

4A) *Bacteria preparation*: One day before bacterial challenge, start overnight culture of
bioluminescent *E. coli* 55989 or *P. aeruginosa* in Lysogeny broth and *S. aureus* (Xen36,

27 Xen30 or Xen31) or *S. epidermidis* Xen43 in Tryptic soy agar broth at 37°C shaking at 140rpm.

29

30 4B) Spin 5mL culture at 7500rpm for 10 minutes to pellet bacteria and re-suspend in
31 5mL 1X PBS. Wash the culture twice in 5mL 1X PBS. Finally, resuspend bacteria in 5mL
32 1X PBS and measure optical density at 600nm (OD600nm).

33

34 4C) Dilute the bacterial inoculum so as to inject 10^4 CFU/50µL for *E. coli*, 10^6 CFU/50µL

35 for *P. aeruginosa* & *S. aureus* Xen36 and 10⁸ CFU/50µL for *S. epidermidis* Xen43.

4D) Record the weight and temperature of rat before anesthesia. Clinical symptoms
such as local inflammation at the site of port or in the neck region, any other abnormality
such as breathing trouble, weight loss and fever must be recorded. Any sick rat must not
be included in the study and should be euthanized.

5

4E) Anesthetize the rat as described under "flush and draw" method (see step 3B).
Meanwhile, spread the sterile sheet in the laminar air flow. Keep betadine solution, 70%
ethanol, sterile gauze pads, 50µL bacterial inoculum in 1mL tuberculin graduated
syringe, 1mL syringe attached with Huber needle and filled with 1X PBS, 1mL syringe
filled with 300µL heparin.

11

4F) Anesthetized rat is transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged
coupled device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring
bioluminescence before bacterial challenge.

15

16 4G) After imaging, transfer rat to the hood for infection. Place rat with its nose inside the 17 nose piece and tail away from you. Clean the skin on and surrounding the port with 18 betadine solution and 70% ethanol and allow to air dry for 4-5 minutes. Gently insert the 19 Huber needle connected to a 2mL syringe filled with 1X PBS into the port by puncturing 20 silicon septum of the port. Slowly turn rat on its back keeping the needle inside the port. 21 Slightly inject about 50µL-100µL 1X PBS and then check blood reflux by slowly pulling 22 the piston of the syringe. Remove the syringe keeping the Huber needle inside the port 23 and replace with a syringe to withdraw 150µL blood for analysis. Replace this syringe 24 also with another one containing bacterial inoculum. Slowly push inoculum into the port. 25 Normally this should take 2 minutes.

<u>Critical step</u>: If the TIVAP is not patent, do not use it for the study. The blood sample is
 important to check contamination of TIVAP during the post-surgical maintenance. Care
 should be taken while taking out the needle out of the port to prevent injecting yourself
 with bacteria. This procedure is always done under the hood if using Class II pathogens.
 Injection of inoculum must be very slow to avoid flushing bacteria into the stream.

31

32 4H) After bacterial challenge, rat is again transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging
33 system with a charged-coupled device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda,
34 CA, USA) for monitoring bioluminescence. The rats (with 1X PBS) are used as
35 uninfected controls.

36

41) Rat is then carefully placed back into the cage. Label the cage as Class II.

4J) *Removal of planktonic bacteria*: 3 h after bacterial inoculation, the planktonic bacteria are removed leaving only the adhered bacteria to form biofilm. For this, repeat the anesthesia procedure as above. Insert a Huber needle attached to a 1mL syringe containing 500µL 1X PBS and flush 50-100µL in the TIVAP very slowly. Replace the syringe with new 1mL syringe to withdraw about 300uL blood. Remove this syringe with another syringe containing ~250µL heparin (500IU/mI) and lock the TIVAP.

8

9 **Step 5: Post challenge care and monitoring:** (TIMING: 30 minutes per rat)

5A) *Monitoring Clinical symptoms*: Carefully monitor/record weight loss, fever, diet loss
or any other abnormal behavior. Any rat with temperature above 39°C +/- 0.5 or below
34°C +/- 0.5 for continuous 3 days must be euthanized. The sick rats must be
euthanized.

14

15 5B) Bioluminescence for biofilm development and pathogenesis (see Figure 4): Biofilm 16 formation inside the lumen of the TIVAP and associated infection is measured as a 17 function of bioluminescence. Anesthetized rat (either isoflurane or chemical) is 18 transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged coupled device (CCD) 19 camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring bioluminescence. 20 Length of exposure can vary depending on the bacteria and the expected infection. The 21 exposure time can vary depending upon bacteria and infection. Normally, 1 minute 22 exposure is sufficient for E. coli and P. aeruginosa but for S. aureus Xen36 exposure can 23 be about 2-4 minutes. Control rats are exposed for the same or more time compared to 24 test rats.

25 <u>Critical step</u>: Exposing control rat is important to evaluate and measure background
 26 coming from different material such as animal feed or reagents used. It is important to
 27 use at least 4 control rats per study for statistics.

28

5C) *Blood sampling*: Blood sampling can be done on day 4 and day 8 post-infection to monitor the blood stream infection and associated changes in host factors such as cytokine analysis. Blood (150µL) can be drawn either from the caudal (tail) vein or by retro orbital plexus puncture.

33 5C.1) *Tail vein*: (TIMING: 10 minutes per rat).

Anesthetize the rat as described above. In case using chemical anesthesia, inject only 200µL intraperitoneally as the procedure takes only 10 minutes for injection. Clean the tail with 70% ethanol and rub the tail with a sterile gauze pad to remove the dead scales. Approximately 5cm above the tail end insert a tuberculin needle and slowly pull the blood. Collect the appropriate volume of blood and transfer to a
 collection tube containing anti-coagulant (for viable bacteria count, estimation of
 immune cell population) or tube without anti-coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

4 5C.2) *Retro orbital plexus*: (TIMING: 10 minutes per rat)

5 Anesthetize the rat as described above. Put the rat on comfortable table. Use a 6 Pasteur sterile pipette to gently and slowly puncturing the orbital plexus. While firmly 7 holding the Pasteur pipette near the sinus, with gentle rotating movements insert the 8 tube through the membrane. Keep rotating the tube through the orbit until the blood 9 starts to flow. Collect the appropriate volume of blood and transfer to a collection tube 10 containing anti-coagulant (for viable bacteria count, estimation of immune cell 11 population) or tube without anti-coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

Critical Step: Of the circulating blood volume, approximately 10% of the total volume can
 be safely removed every 2 to 4 weeks, 7.5% every 7 days, and 1% every 24 hours ^{39,40}.

14 CAUTION: Technical expertise is required before performing blood sampling. Regarding 15 the presence of retro orbital plexus instead of sinus in rats, it is not a preferred method 16 and a minimum of 10 days must be allowed for tissue repair before repeat sampling from

- 17 same orbit ⁴¹.
- 18

19 **Step 6: Euthanasia and sampling:** (TIMING ~45 minutes per rat)

20 After the last day of observation by bioluminescence:

21 6A) *Euthanasia:* (TIMING ~5 minutes per rat)

Euthanize the animals using a procedure validated by the ethics committee of your institution (CO₂ or chemical euthanasia using IP injection of Dolethal (2mL/rat, 200mg/mL)). Put the unconscious rat on a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow system. Clean the body of the rat with 70% ethanol.

26

27 6B) *Blood sampling on animals*: (TIMING: ~5 min per rat)

28 Using a procedure validated by the ethics committee of your institution (retro-orbital 29 plexus/heart puncture/tail vein). The blood (volume depends on experiment planned; 30 100µL for CFU/mL or 200µL for cytokine analysis) is withdrawn by heart puncture. Keep 31 the anesthetized rat on its back on a sterile champ. Feel the heartbeat with your figure 32 for place with fastest beat. Insert at this point a 22G needle connected to a 1mL syringe. 33 Withdraw the blood slowly and transfer to a collection tube containing anti-coagulant (for 34 viable bacteria count, estimation of immune cell population) or tube without anti-35 coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

36 <u>*Critical Step*</u>: When blood sampling is desired, take the blood sample from rat while it is 37 still alive and unconscious, as withdrawing blood from the heart of dead rat is difficult

1 due to lack of pumping. Euthanasia by Dolethal takes ~10 minutes providing 2 experimenter ample of time to sample blood. In case of euthanasia by CO₂, first 3 anesthetize rat using 400µL ketamine-xylazine-acepromazine mixture to sample blood. 4 5 6C) Organ and TIVAP sampling: (TIMING: ~10 min per rat) Aseptical removal of each TIVAP and placement in a sterile Petri plate for imaging with 6 7 CCD camera imaging system. A set of TIVAPs can be set aside for electron microscopy 8 imaging (see Figure 5). 9 6C.1) Remove the TIVAP aseptically and put it in a labeled sterile Petri plate. 10 6C.2) Aseptically remove spleen, kidneys, lungs, liver and heart and transfer to Petri 11 plates. 12 6C.3) All organs and TIVAP are imaged using CCD camera for bioluminescence 13 signals. 14 15 6D) Organ treatment and enumeration of bacterial load: (TIMING: ~10-15 min per rat) 16 All the procedure is done under the laminar air flow (P2 lab). 17 6D.1) After bioluminescence imaging, wash organs in 4mL 1X PBS buffer (in a 50mL 18 conical tube) before transferring to gentleMACS[™] M tubes containing 5mL 1X PBS. 19 6D.2) Weigh all the organs for analyzing parameters per gram of organ. 20 6D.3) Homogenize the organs using gentleMACS[™] M tubes with the gentleMACS[™] 21 Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). Alternatively, homogenization of each organ can 22 be done using a T25 digital ULTRA-TURRAX[®] homogenizer (Ika). 23 6D.4) Homogenized organs are passed through cell strainer (70µm nylon, BD 24 Falcon). 25 6D.5) The bacterial suspension is then diluted serially, plated on agar plates and 26 incubated at 37°C for colony counts. 27 28 6E) Extraction and quantification of biofilm bacteria from TIVAP: (TIMING: ~10-15 min 29 per rat) All the procedure is done under the laminar air flow (P2 lab). 30 6E.1) TIVAP are carefully wiped with 70% EtOH before extracting intraluminal biofilm 31 bacteria to avoid contaminant. 32 6E.2) The catheter is cut into small pieces and a slit is made horizontally to expose 33 the lumen and transferred to a microtube containing 1 mL 1X PBS. 34 6E.3) The septum is removed from the port using a sterile scalpel and forceps, cut 35 into small pieces and transferred to a separate tube containing 1 mL 1X PBS. 36 6E.4) Cells attached to the titanium body of the port are scratched in 100 mL 1X PBS 37 and transferred to the same tube as the septum.

- 6E.5) Biofilm that formed on the septum and in the lumen of catheter is extracted by
 vigorously vortexing the tubes for 1 min, followed by transferring them to an ultrasonic
 water bath (NEYtech Ultrasonik, 44–48 Khz) for 5 min and a second vortexing for 1
 minute.
- 6E.6) The bacterial suspension is then diluted serially, plated on agar plates and
 incubated at 37°C for colony counts. CFU/mL and bioluminescent signals (ROI,
 p/S/cm²/sr) are plotted together for correlation.
- 8

9 Other related procedures

- 10 *supp1) Port pocket infection*: (TIMING ~20 min per rat)
- supp1A) Any inflammation around the port after injecting the bacterial inoculum in theport indicates a pocket infection.
- 13 supp1B) From euthanized rat, carefully remove the port from the pocket by opening the
- incision made at the time of surgery. Cut the sutures used for securing the port in thepocket.
- 16 supp1C) Image the rat in the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged coupled
- 17 device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring
- 18 bioluminescence and evaluate the bacterial load in the pocket.
- 19 supp1D) Remove all the pus formed in the pocket for CFU estimation.
- supp1E) Plate the serial dilutions for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* on LB and for *S. aureus*on TSB.
- 22
- 23 supp2) Hematogenous infection: (TIMING ~10 min per rat)
- supp2A) *S. aureus* Xen36 is used to check the possibility of TIVAP colonization throughthe venous system.
- supp2B) Overnight grown *S. aureus* Xen36 culture is used as inoculum. An inoculum of
- 5 X 10⁸ cells of *S. aureus* Xen36 is centrifuged, washed and resuspended in 500µL 1X
 PBS.
- 29 supp2C) Anesthetize the rat as described above. In case using chemical anesthesia,
- 30 inject only 200µL intraperitoneally as the procedure takes only 10 minutes for injection.
- 31 supp2D) Clean the tail with 70% ethanol and rub the tail with a sterile cotton swab to
- 32 remove the dead scales. Approximately 5cm above the tail end, inject bacteria into the
- 33 bloodstream of rat (already containing implanted TIVAP) through the lateral tail vein.
- 34 supp2E) Monitor rat before and after injecting bacteria for bioluminescence using IVIS-
- 35 100 imaging system to check infection and colonization.
- 36 supp2F) 3-days after the injection euthanize rats as described earlier in the protocol.

- 1 supp2G) TIVAP is removed aseptically to confirm the colonization by bioluminescence
- 2 and plating CFU/mL.
- 3 supp2H) 1-cm tip of the catheter is plated for CFU enumeration as the bacteria colonized
- 4 only the tip of catheter.
- 5

6 *supp3) Immunosuppression of rats*: (TIMING ~15-20 min per rat)

- 7 supp3A) Rats are injected intraperitoneally with cyclophosphamide.
- 8 *CAUTION*: cyclophosphamide is toxic and immunosuppressant. Cyclophosphamide is an 9 antineoplastic (anti-cancer) drug categorized as an alkylating agent. Its side effects depend 10 upon dosage such as lowered blood counts, sterility in males and females, pregnancy 11 defects and or discoloration of skin and nails. Using the chemical hood and gloves is highly 12 recommended when using this compound. For detailed literature on side effects, consult: 13 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Cyclophosphamide+side+effects</u>
- 14 supp3B) Dose and regimen of cyclophosphamide delivery are optimized by estimating blood
- total leukocyte count as determined using an animal blood cell counter (Vet abc, SCIL,
 Germany ABC vet 2.0, Germany). Furthermore, immunosuppressed status of rats is
 indicated by the physical state of rats such as lack of appetite, reduced weight and loss of
- 18 hair. One hundred mg/kg body weight of cyclophosphamide was finally selected for giving
- 19 intraperitoneal injections to rats (n= 4 for each bacterial strain) on day -4 and 50 mg/kg on
- 20 day -1 of inoculation.
- <u>Critical step</u>: An optimized inoculum dose of 10² CFU/ 50µL 1X PBS for all bacterial strains
 (higher inoculum doses lead to the death of animals overnight) is used for TIVAP
 contamination and is confirmed by plating for CFU/mL. Control catheterized and
 immunosuppressed rats receive 50µL 1X PBS only.
- supp3C) Prior to inoculation of clinical strains, all rats are checked for the absence ofinfections as for immune-competent rats.
- 27

28 **4) Electron microscopy**: (TIMING ~30 min per rat)

- 29 4A) After aseptic removal of colonized TIVAP from rats, 1 cm catheter of the catheter tip is
- 30 cut and the septum is dissected from the port using a scalpel.
- 31

32 4B) Septum and catheter pieces are washed twice in cacodylate solution prepared with
33 gloves and under a chemical hood (0.07 M: 10.5mL 0.2M Na-cacodylate pH 7.4 + 19.5 mL
34 sterile D/W) and then fixed in EM fixative solution (for example, a mixture v/v/v of 6%
35 glutaraldehyde, 0.15% Ruthenium red, 0.2M Na-cacodylate pH 7.4).

- 1 CAUTION: Na-Cacodylate is a derivative of arsenic. It is highly toxic when inhaled, ingested,
- 2 or put in contact with skin or eyes. It is described as a possible carcinogen and teratogen.
- 3 Using the chemical hood and gloves is highly recommended when using this compound.
- 4

4C) Inner lumen of the catheter and face of the septum inside the port are used to visualizebiofilm formation.

7

4D) Samples are stored at 4°C until sent for microscopy to the core facility / experts (not
more than 10 days).

10

11 5) In vivo lock therapy :

12 Antibiotics and their concentration for lock therapy studies were chosen based on recommendations made by IDSA guidelines ⁴ (Table 1). Efficacy of cefazolin (5,000mg/mL in 13 14 5000IU/mL heparin) and gentamicin lock therapies (1.0mg/mL, or 5mg/mL), EDTA 15 (30mg/mL), ethanol (70%), L-arginine (0.4%) alone or in combination is evaluated against 16 bioluminescent E. coli 55989, P. aeruginosa PAK, MSSA S. aureus Xen36, MRSA S. aureus Xen30 and S. epidermidis Xen43 ^{27,28,30}. Since 30% mortality was observed associated with 17 antibiotic lock therapy ²⁷, this therapy is always used in conjunction with systemic 18 19 vancomycin hydrochloride (50mg/kg, for MSSA, MRSA, and S. epidermidis) or gentamicin 20 (30mg/kg, for Gram-negative bacteria) subcutaneous injections ^{42,43}. The 4-day-old biofilm 21 formed inside the implanted TIVAP is locked (200µL) with the above-discussed antibiotics 22 and monitored for biofilm clearance by measuring bioluminescence. Two types of regimen 23 are followed for lock therapy: first is a 5-day lock regimen during which the old lock is 24 replaced by a new one every 24 h for 5 days in conjunction with systemic treatment for 5 25 days. We also assess 1 day lock regimen with a single instillation dwelling for 7 days in 26 conjunction with 1 day of systemic treatment. Rats with a colonized TIVAP but receiving PBS 27 lock are used as controls.

28

5A) Anesthetize infected rat and measure the bioluminescence as described above after 4days of infection in TIVAP.

31

32 5B) Rat is transferred in the hood for instilling antibiotic solution in the TIVAP. Instill 200 µL
33 antibiotic solutions in the port slowly. Vancomycin or gentamicin for Gram positive and Gram
34 negative bacteria respectively is injected intraperitoneally to prevent systemic infection due to
35 flushing of the lock into the blood circulation.

36

37 5C) Replace the lock every 24 h.

1	Critical step: It is possible that TIVAP may be blocked because of biofilm formation and old
2	lock may not be retrievable. In this case flush the old lock with PBS and then instill the fresh
3	lock in the port.
4	
5	5D) Monitor biofilm clearance by bioluminescence imaging every day before replacing the
6	lock.
7	
8	5E) Euthanize rats after day 7 of the last lock instillation for estimating viable cell counts and
9	electron microscopy analyses as described above.
10	
11	5F) In case of immunosuppressed rats, they are sacrificed on day 3 post-lock instillation.
12	
13	

1 TIMING

- 2
- 3 Step1: day -6 to day-1 (6 days)
- 4 Step2: 1-2 days (step 2A to 2E.19: ~55 min per rat per day and step2D: could be done in
- 5 parallel with step 2A)
- 6 Step3: 4 days; 3A to 3C: ~10 min per rat per day
- 7 Step 4A to 4J: 2 days; ~30 min per rat (Inoculum is started a day before the challenge)
- 8 Step A to 5C ~30 min per rat.
- 9 Step 5C.1 /5C.2: ~10 min per rat
- 10 Step 6A to 6E ~45 min per rat

TROUBLESHOOTING

Step	Trouble/problem	Possible reason(s)	Solution
2E.14	Catheter blocked while inserting inside the vein.	Catheter pushed into the wrong direction and entering the right axillary vein.	Pull the catheter out slightly and gently rotate it while inside the jugular vein. Push the catheter gently in the right direction.
2E.15	No Blood reflux during surgery.	 a) Catheter too long or too short. Catheter length is optimized to be ~4.5cm to reach exactly the tip of the right atrium. Longer catheter will reach inferior vena cava or enter the rat's heart. b) The slanting cut made for inserting the catheter has bigger angle. Too slant cut will result in pulling the vein against it due to pressure and thus blocking the blood draw. 	 a) Pull the catheter out of the vein slowly. Press the vein with a wet gauze pad to prevent blood loss and prevent the hydration of vein. Check the length of the catheter and cut it to the right length. If the catheter is shorter, than try to change the position of the port so that some length can be gained by reducing the distance between the port and the vein (in this case one may have to optimize the dead volume to avoid flushing of bacteria into the blood stream at the time of challenge). b) Pull out the catheter as above and make the right cut and reinsert it.
3B.8	TIVAP not patent during post- surgery maintenance.	 a) The cut made on the catheter to insert was too slanting. b) The catheter slipped out of the vein due to loosely tied thread to secure it in the vein. c) Flushing was not done properly resulting in blood 	 a) Normally, it is not advisable to make a bigger slant for the ease of insertion but one can try to lift the rats from the back slight to open the channel or try to gently and slightly move the catheter without pulling it out of the vein. b) If the catheter has slipped out of the vein, rat must be excluded from the study.

		clotting inside the	c) These catheters (rats) are
		TIVAP.	unusable for the study unless
			until comparing coating
			materials for patency.
3C	Local inflammation	a) Incision too deep	a) Only 1-2cm incision should
	at the site of port		be made. Pulling the skin
	implantation after	b) Too much injury to	outwards while making the
	surgery.	the port area	incision prevents deep
			incisions.
			b) Training is required to keep
			the injuries to minimum.
5B	No signals after	a) Acquisition time	a) Increase the time of
	infection.	was short	acquisition up to 4 minutes. In
		b) Biofilm formed is	this case acquire the
		not enough to	bioluminescence for control
		capture the signals.	rats also for longer time to
		c) Bioluminescence	avoid background.
		produced by the	b) Biofilm capacities of
		strain used is too	different species and strains
		low.	can vary. Detailed
			characterization of in vitro
			biofilm capacities can be
			performed. Time for
			bioluminescence acquisition
			can be adapted to <i>in vitro</i>
			biofilm capacities.
			c) The bioluminescence signal
			produced by bacteria must be
			high in order to be visible
			through the skin of the rat and
			through the TIVAP.
			Measurement of
			bioluminescence during in vitro
			biofilm formation within TIVAP
			can be performed to ensure
			that it is strong enough to be
			detected.
6E.6	No biofilm	a) Bacterial inoculum	a) Bacterial inoculum
	formation at the	may not be enough	optimization maybe needed for

end of the study.	to form biofilm	your bacterial strains.
	b) Time required for	b) Increase the time to remove
	bacteria to adhere	planktonic bacteria after
	maybe longer.	injecting inoculum.
	c) Different catheters	c) TIVAP properties may
	may behave	change from lot to another. So,
	differently.	try changing the lot used.
	d) Contamination on	d) Contamination from the rat
	the catheters can	flora can prevent biofilm
	prevent biofilm	formation of your strain.
	formation.	Disinfect the skin of the rat
		properly to avoid any external
		contamination.

2 Table 2. TROUBLE SHOOTING

2 ANTICIPATED RESULTS

3 1. Luminescent signals corresponding to bacterial colonization can be measured 4 within 3 h after the injection of inoculum for E. coli and P. aeruginosa. For S. aureus, the 5 luminescent signal can be measured after 24 h. Exposure time to measure the 6 luminescence can vary between bacterial strains. Normally, 1 min of exposure is 7 sufficient to detect measurable signals for bioluminescent variant strains (used in the 8 study) of E. coli 55989 and P. aeruginosa PAK but one can expect an exposure time of 9 2-4 minutes for S. aureus Xen36²⁷. For S. epidermidis Xen43 bioluminescence signals were not obtained for any concentration of inoculum. One can expect to harvest ~7.6 to 10 11 8.3-log CFU/mL (port) and ~7.5 to 9.2-log CFU/mL (catheter) of biofilm biomass from 12 TIVAP after 10d of infection. Increase in biofilm-associated bioluminescence could be 13 measured up to day 120 post-infection with signals reaching maximum on day 4. 14 Reduced signals were observed from day 8 onwards, indicating restriction of biofilms to TIVAP colonization (Figure 5)²⁷. These results can be correlated to the bloodstream 15 16 infection as indicated by presence of bacteria on day 4 whereas clearance of bacteria 17 from the peripheral blood by day 8. Thus, this model allows non-invasive study of chronic 18 biofilm infection.

19

2. In case of immunosuppressed rats, severe pathology can be seen as indicated
by weight loss, fever and high bioluminescence as function of systemic biofilm infection
leading to death of animals by day 3 (Figure 6)²⁷. The blood and organ samples show
high bacterial load compared to immune-competent rats.

24

3. In case of lock therapy experiments, the absence of bioluminescence may be observed just after 1 instillation of antibiotic solution, such as in case of gentamicin+EDTA lock. On contrary, this bioluminescence signals indicating presence of persistent bacteria in the catheter may be seen as in case of gentamicin, EDTA, Larginine alone (**Figure 7**) ²⁸. Lock therapy may lead to bloodstream and systemic infection in some rats. Thus, use of systemic treatment in conjunction with lock therapy is required.

32

In addition to biofilm pathogenesis, molecular mechanisms associated with
 biofilm can be studied ²⁹. It was shown using mutants of a bioluminescent variant of *E. coli* 55989 that lipid A palmitoylation did not affect the bacterial adherence to catheters
 but enhanced the *in vivo* biofilm survival. Moreover, the palmitoylation lead to reduced

cytokine trigger *in vivo* in rat model indicating role of palmitoylation in evading host
 defenses ²⁹.

3

5. Modified TIVAP coated with methylcellulose and PEG was used to evaluate
prevention of adhesion of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* using the rat model and thus,
biofilm inhibition (Figure 8) ²⁶.

1
-

Microorganism	Gentamicin	Cefazolin	EDTA	Ethanol	Gentamicine+EDTA	Gentamicine
	(30mg/mL)	(5mg/mL)	(30mg/mL)	(70%)	(5mg/mL+30mg/mL)	+Cefazolin
						(1mg/mL+ 5mg/mL)
E. coli	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.
P. aeruginosa	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.
MSSA	N.D.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MRSA	N.D.	N.D.	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.
S. epidermidis	N.D.	N.D.	Y	N.D.	Y	N.D.

2 Y: Efficacy tested, N.D.: efficacy not tested

3 Table 1: Lock therapy concentration (mg/mL)

LEGENDS TO FIGURES:

2

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the different steps of the *in vivo* model of totally implantable venous access port related infection. Steps in black boxes correspond to regular procedures of implantation, contamination and monitoring of colonization. Steps in yellow boxes correspond to potential procedures that can be applied to the regular model.

8

9 Figure 2. TIVAP surgical implantation. TIVAP were surgically implanted in CD/SD 10 (IGS: Crl) rats. Surgery was performed under laminar air flow using a surgical hood and 11 aseptic conditions were maintained throughout the surgical procedure. For ease, labels 12 in figure correspond to steps described in the procedure under surgery section. (2A) Rat 13 was briefly kept in an isoflurane box to calm down and injected intraperitoneally with a 14 chemical anesthesia mixture to complete sedation and analgesia before starting the 15 procedure. (2B.1 – 2B.2) Rat was shaved on dorsal side from the neck to the lower end 16 of thoracic vertebrae and along the neck line of ventral side. (2C) Disinfection of skin 17 using betadine soap and solution. (2D) Pre-surgical preparations. Instruments and 18 objects required during the surgery kept on a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow. (2E.2) 19 Incision was made along the dorsal line at the upper thoracic vertebrae. (2E.4.1 - 2E.4.2)20 1 - 2E.4.3) TIVAP inserted in the subcutaneous pocket and secured by sutures. (2E.5.1) 21 Huber needle inserted in the port. (2E.5.2) Rat flipped on its back. (2E.6) 1-1.5cm 22 Incision in neck region (2E.7.1 – 2E.7.2) Jugular vein exposed. Work on animals was 23 performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection 24 of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 25 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics 26 committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

27

28 Figure 3. TIVAP surgical implantation (contd.). (2E.8) Cotton threads inserted under 29 the jugular vein to secure catheter. (2E.10) Tunneling rod inserted for passing the 30 catheter under the skin from dorsal to ventral side. (2E.11) Catheter cut at a slant (inside 31 caption showing the correct cut). (2E.13) Jugular vein incised using micro spring 32 scissors. (2E.14) Dilation of jugular vein using forceps. (2E.14.1 to 2E.14.5) Catheter 33 inserted in the jugular vein. (2E.15) Flush and draw blood to check patency of TIVAP. 34 (2E.17.1 – 2E.17.2) Surgical wounds closed by suturing ventral and dorsal incisions. 35 Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on 36 care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were
 approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

3

4 Figure 4: Bacterial inoculation and monitoring of biofilm formation using 5 **bioluminescence.** The bacterial inoculum is directly injected through the septum of the 6 TIVAP using a Huber needle and biofilm development is monitored using a CCD camera 7 imaging system allowing to measure bioluminescence. Below is shown an example of 8 the images obtained for the colonization of the TIVAP by a bioluminescent clinically 9 relevant strain of *P.aeruginosa* and showing the progressive biofilm development within 10 the TIVAP. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European 11 regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission 12 directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in 13 this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045). 14

15

16 Figure 5. Biofilm formation in TIVAP was confirmed by scanning electron 17 microscopy (SEM). TIVAPs implanted in rats and inoculated with S. aureus, P. 18 aeruginosa or E. coli were harvest on day 5 post-infection and analyzed with scanning 19 electron microscopy. Arrows represent bacteria in biofilm; arrowheads, blood cells. (A) 20 TIVAP inoculated with S. aureus, (B) TIVAP inoculated with P. aeruginosa, (C) TIVAP 21 inoculated with E. coli. (E) Scanning electron microscopy to show P. aeruginosa biofilm 22 growing inside the lumen of implanted catheter with typical biofilm micro colonies. (F-G) 23 Magnified view: a *P. aeruginosa* micro colony showing typical biofilm structures. Work on 24 animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care 25 and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French 26 law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by 27 the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

28

29 Figure 6. Biofilm led to lethal infection in immunosuppressed rats. (A) TIVAP 30 implanted and cyclophosphamide-treated rats were injected with 10² CFU in 100mL of *P*. 31 aeruginosa into the port of TIVAP and photon emission was monitored up to day 3 to 32 evaluate biofilm formation and associated infection. (B) Bacterial load from different 33 organs aseptically removed from dead animals was analyzed, organs were 34 homogenized and were plated on LB agar for viable counts per mL. CFU results are 35 means +/- standard deviations. Number of rats (n) used in the experiment, n = 4. Work 36 on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care

and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French
 law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by
 the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

4

5 Figure 7. In vivo lock therapy against Staphylococcus aureus biofilm in the 6 implanted TIVAP: 5-day regimen presented. 200µL high dose antibiotics solution was 7 instilled in TIVAP of rats (day 0) to treat methicillin sensitive S. aureus biofilm 8 colonization (n = 5). Lock therapy was associated with systemic vancomycin for S. 9 aureus. The lock was renewed every 24 h for 5 days, and its efficacy was monitored as 10 photon emissions. (A) Control rats with PBS lock. (B) 5 mg/mL gentamicin lock. (C) 30 11 mg/mL EDTA alone. (D) Combined gentamicin (5 mg/mL) and EDTA (30 mg/mL) lock. In 12 panels A to D, representative experiments are shown. (E-H) Rats were euthanized after 13 7 days of treatment, and TIVAP were harvested and monitored for photon emissions. (I) 14 Bacterial cells from TIVAP were harvested and plated on TSB agar for counts of 15 CFU/mL. CFU results are means +/- standard deviations. One-way analysis of variance 16 (ANOVA) with Graphpad Prism version 5.0c was used for statistical analysis. A P value 17 of <0.05 was considered significant, **** P <0.0001. Work on animals was performed in 18 compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory 19 animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 20 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris 21 Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

22

23 Figure 8. Modified anti-adhesive totally implantable venous access port (TIVAP). 24 (A) Commercially available pediatric TIVAP used in the study, and dismantled TIVAP (a, 25 catheter; b and c, envelope of port; d, sealing ring of port; e, septum; f, titanium port) and 26 anti-adhesive molecules used to modify TIVAP parts. The catheter and septum were 27 modified using methylcellulose (MeCe) derivative and the titanium port was modified 28 using polyethylene glycol (PEG) derivative. (B) Rats with modified or unmodified 29 implanted TIVAPs were inoculated with 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFUs) of 30 Staphylococcus aureus or 10³ CFUs of Pseudomonas aeruginosa per 50µL of 1X 31 phosphate-buffered saline. Bacteria were allowed to adhere to the TIVAP endoluminal 32 surface for 3 hours (S. aureus) or 1.5 hours (P. aeruginosa) and biofilms were left to 33 form for 5 days, and TIVAP was extracted to measure bacterial biofilm colonization. 34 Viable bacteria were counted by plating on tryptic soy agar for S. aureus or lysogeny 35 broth agar for P. aeruginosa. (Control: unmodified TIVAP and Si-Ti: both silicone and 36 titanium modified). Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way analysis of variance

- 1 (ANOVA) with GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0c). Differences were considered 2 significant at P < 0.05. *P \leq 0.01; **P \leq 0.001. Work on animals was performed in 3 compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory 4 animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 5 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris 6 Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

	Pros of the model		Cons of the model
1	Clinically relevant	1	Labor intensive
2	Only requires basic small animal surgical training	2	Requires a 1-3 month training to acquire optimal technical expertise
3	Totally implanted and no requirement for external containments on the animal	3	Expensive
4	Closed by a septum enabling controlled infections and reduced external uncontrolled contaminations	4	Require daily manipulation (flush/draw) to avoid thrombosis and clogging
5	Allows the <i>in vivo</i> study of all clinically relevant catheter pathogens	5	Restricted use in rat due to difficulty to adapt for small-sized mice
6	Allows <i>in vivo</i> biofilm monitoring over very long period (model of chronic infection) thus reducing the number of used animals		
7	Biofilm progression can be studied using luminescent bacteria or fungi (<i>Candida</i> sp.)		
8	Allows the evaluation of prophylactic and curative anti-biofilm approaches		
9	Allows the evaluation of the contribution of the host immune system in biofilm development		

Table 3. Advantages, limitations and adaptations:

1 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENTS

A.C. and C.B. initiated the development of the model. A.C., JM.G. and C.B. designed the
experiments. A.C. performed the experiments. A.C., JM.G. and C.B. wrote the
manuscript.

5

6 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

7 We thank David Lebeaux for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported

8 by the French government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence

9 "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID)

10 and the Fondation pour la "Recherche Médicale grant" (Equipe FRM

- 11 DEQ20140329508).
- 12

13 COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

- 14 The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.
- 15

1 **REFERENCES**

- 2
- 3 1 Percival, S. L., Suleman, L., Vuotto, C. & Donelli, G. Healthcare-associated 4 infections, medical devices and biofilms: risk, tolerance and control. *Journal of* 5 *medical microbiology* **64**, 323-334, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000032 (2015).
- *medical microbiology* 64, 323-334, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000032 (2015).
 Hoiby, N. *et al.* ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections
 2014. *Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 21 Suppl 1, S1-25, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2014.10.024 (2015).
- 10 3 Lebeaux, D. *et al.* Management of infections related to totally implantable venous-11 access ports: challenges and perspectives. *The Lancet. Infectious diseases* **14**, 146-12 159, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70266-4 (2014).
- Mermel, L. A. *et al.* Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of
 intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases
 Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 49, 1-45, doi:10.1086/599376 (2009).
- Bouza, E., Perez-Molina, J. A. & Munoz, P. Report of ESGNI-001 and ESGN2-002
 studies. Bloodstream infections in Europe. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 5, 2S1 2S12 (1999).
- 196Ceri, H. *et al.* The Calgary Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid determination of20antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. J Clin Microbiol **37**, 1771-1776 (1999).
- 217Coenye, T. & Nelis, H. J. In vitro and in vivo model systems to study microbial biofilm22formation.Journalofmicrobiologicalmethods83,89-105,23doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2010.08.018 (2010).
- Donlan, R. M. *et al.* Model system for growing and quantifying *Streptococcus pneumoniae* biofilms *in situ* and in real time. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**, 4980-4988, doi:10.1128/AEM.70.8.4980-4988.2004 (2004).
- 279Goeres, D. M. et al. Statistical assessment of a laboratory method for growing28biofilms. *Microbiology* **151**, 757-762, doi:10.1099/mic.0.27709-0 (2005).
- 2910Lebeaux, D., Chauhan, A., Rendueles, O. & Beloin, C. From *in vitro* to *in vivo* Models30ofBacterialBiofilm-RelatedInfections.Pathogens2, 288-356,31doi:10.3390/pathogens2020288 (2013).
- Parra-Ruiz, J., Vidaillac, C., Rose, W. E. & Rybak, M. J. Activities of high-dose
 daptomycin, vancomycin, and moxifloxacin alone or in combination with
 clarithromycin or rifampin in a novel *in vitro* model of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm.
 Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 54, 4329-4334, doi:10.1128/AAC.00455-10
 (2010).
- Cirioni, O. *et al.* RNAIII-inhibiting peptide significantly reduces bacterial load and
 enhances the effect of antibiotics in the treatment of central venous catheterassociated *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *J Infect Dis* **193**, 180-186,
 doi:JID34996 [pii]10.1086/498914 (2006).
- 4113Li, H. et al. Conversion of Staphylococcus epidermidis strains from commensal to42invasive by expression of the ica locus encoding production of biofilm43exopolysaccharide. Infection and immunity **73**, 3188-3191, doi:10.1128/IAI.73.5.3188-443191.2005 (2005).
- Rupp, M. E., Ulphani, J. S., Fey, P. D. & Mack, D. Characterization of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* polysaccharide intercellular adhesin/hemagglutinin in the pathogenesis of intravascular catheter-associated infection in a rat model. *Infection and immunity* 67, 2656-2659 (1999).
- 4915Ulphani, J. S. & Rupp, M. E. Model of Staphylococcus aureus central venous50catheter-associated infection in rats. Laboratory animal science 49, 283-287 (1999).
- 5116Lorenz, U. et al. The alternative sigma factor sigma B of Staphylococcus aureus52modulates virulence in experimental central venous catheter-related infections.53Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur 10, 217-223,54doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2007.11.006 (2008).

- 1 17 Kokai-Kun, J. F., Chanturiya, T. & Mond, J. J. Lysostaphin eradicates established 2 *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in jugular vein catheterized mice. *J Antimicrob* 3 *Chemother* **64**, 94-100, doi:10.1093/jac/dkp145 (2009).
- Fernandez-Hidalgo, N. *et al.* Evaluation of linezolid, vancomycin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin in a rabbit model of antibiotic-lock technique for *Staphylococcus aureus* catheter-related infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **65**, 525-530, doi:dkp499
 [pii]10.1093/jac/dkp499 (2010).
- 8 19 Andes, D. *et al.* Development and characterization of an *in vivo* central venous catheter *Candida albicans* biofilm model. *Infection and immunity* **72**, 6023-6031, doi:10.1128/IAI.72.10.6023-6031.200472/10/6023 [pii] (2004).
- 11 20 Lazzell, A. L. *et al.* Treatment and prevention of *Candida albicans* biofilms with 12 caspofungin in a novel central venous catheter murine model of candidiasis. *J* 13 *Antimicrob Chemother* **64**, 567-570, doi:dkp242 [pii]10.1093/jac/dkp242 (2009).
- 14 21 Li, F. *et al.* Eap1p, an adhesin that mediates *Candida albicans* biofilm formation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Eukaryotic cell* **6**, 931-939, doi:10.1128/EC.00049-07 (2007).
- Schinabeck, M. K. *et al.* Rabbit model of *Candida albicans* biofilm infection: liposomal amphotericin B antifungal lock therapy. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 48, 1727-1732 (2004).
- 1923Kadurugamuwa, J. L. *et al.* Direct continuous method for monitoring biofilm infection20in a mouse model. Infection and immunity **71**, 882-890 (2003).
- 24 Vuong, C., Kocianova, S., Yu, J., Kadurugamuwa, J. L. & Otto, M. Development of
 22 real-time *in vivo* imaging of device-related *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infection in
 23 mice and influence of animal immune status on susceptibility to infection. *J Infect Dis*24 **198**, 258-261, doi:10.1086/589307 (2008).
- Wang, R. *et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis* surfactant peptides promote biofilm
 maturation and dissemination of biofilm-associated infection in mice. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **121**, 238-248, doi:10.1172/JCI42520 (2011).
- 28 26 Chauhan, A. *et al.* Preventing biofilm formation and associated occlusion by
 29 biomimetic glycocalyxlike polymer in central venous catheters. *J Infect Dis* 210, 1347 30 1356, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu249 (2014).
- Chauhan, A. *et al.* A rat model of central venous catheter to study establishment of
 long-term bacterial biofilm and related acute and chronic infections. *PloS one* 7,
 e37281, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037281 (2012).
- Chauhan, A., Lebeaux, D., Ghigo, J. M. & Beloin, C. Full and broad-spectrum *in vivo*eradication of catheter-associated biofilms using gentamicin-EDTA antibiotic lock
 therapy. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 56, 6310-6318,
 doi:10.1128/AAC.01606-12 (2012).
- Chalabaev, S. *et al.* Biofilms formed by gram-negative bacteria undergo increased
 lipid a palmitoylation, enhancing *in vivo* survival. *mBio* 5, doi:10.1128/mBio.01116-14
 (2014).
- 41 30 Lebeaux, D. *et al.* pH-mediated potentiation of aminoglycosides kills bacterial 42 persisters and eradicates *in vivo* biofilms. *J Infect Dis* **210**, 1357-1366, 43 doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu286 (2014).
- Fatkenheuer, G. *et al.* Central venous catheter (CVC)-related infections in neutropenic patients--guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Oncology (DGHO). *Annals of hematology* 82
 Suppl 2, S149-157, doi:10.1007/s00277-003-0769-z (2003).
- 48 32 Messing, B., Peitra-Cohen, S., Debure, A., Beliah, M. & Bernier, J. J. Antibiotic-lock
 49 technique: a new approach to optimal therapy for catheter-related sepsis in home50 parenteral nutrition patients. *JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition* **12**, 185-189 (1988).
- 33 Zeng, G., Ogaki, R. & Meyer, R. L. Non-proteinaceous bacterial adhesins challenge
 53 the antifouling properties of polymer brush coatings. *Acta biomaterialia*,
 54 doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2015.037 (2015).

- Mussard, W., Kebir, N., Kriegel, I., Esteve, M. & Semetey, V. Facile and efficient control of bioadhesion on poly(dimethylsiloxane) by using a biomimetic approach.
 Angewandte Chemie 50, 10871-10874, doi:10.1002/anie.201101029 (2011).
- Angewandte Chemie 50, 10871-10874, doi:10.1002/anie.201101029 (2011).
 van Rooden, C. J. et al. Infectious complications of central venous catheters increase the risk of catheter-related thrombosis in hematology patients: a prospective study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 23, 2655-2660, doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.05.002 (2005).
- 8 36 Ramphal, R. *et al.* Control of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the lung requires the recognition of either lipopolysaccharide or flagellin. *Journal of immunology* 181, 586-10 592 (2008).
- 1137Bernier, C., Gounon, P. & Le Bouguenec, C. Identification of an aggregative adhesion12fimbria (AAF) type III-encoding operon in enteroaggregative Escherichia coli as a13sensitive probe for detecting the AAF-encoding operon family. Infection and immunity14**70**, 4302-4311 (2002).
- Foucault, M. L., Thomas, L., Goussard, S., Branchini, B. R. & Grillot-Courvalin, C. *In vivo* bioluminescence imaging for the study of intestinal colonization by *Escherichia coli* in mice. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 264-274, doi:10.1128/AEM.01686-09 (2010).
- 18 39 McGuill, M. W. & Rowan, A. N. Biological Effects of Blood Loss: Implications for 19 Sampling Volumes and Techniques. *ILAR News* **31**, 5-20 (1989).
- 2040Removal of blood from laboratory mammals and birds. First report of the21BVA/FRAME/RSPCA/UFAW Joint Working Group on Refinement. Laboratory22animals 27, 1-22 (1993).
- 41 van Herck, H. *et al.* Orbital sinus blood sampling in rats as performed by different animal technicians: the influence of technique and expertise. *Laboratory animals* 32, 377-386 (1998).
- Van Praagh, A. D. *et al.* Daptomycin antibiotic lock therapy in a rat model of
 staphylococcal central venous catheter biofilm infections. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 55, 4081-4089, doi:10.1128/AAC.00147-11 (2011).
- 29 43 Zuluaga, A. F., Agudelo, M., Cardeno, J. J., Rodriguez, C. A. & Vesga, O. 30 Determination of therapeutic equivalence of generic products of gentamicin in the 31 e10744. neutropenic mouse thigh infection model. PloS one 5, 32 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010744 (2010).
- 33 34

Protocol overview

















2E.2









































Non invasive in situ monitoring of biofilm development using CCD imaging system



p/s/cm²/sr

Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



