

# **Study of in vivo catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central venous catheter implanted in rat**

Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo, Christophe Beloin

# **To cite this version:**

Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo, Christophe Beloin. Study of in vivo catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central venous catheter implanted in rat. Nature Protocols, 2016, 11 (3), pp.525 - 541. 10.1038/nprot.2016.033 . pasteur-01377073

# **HAL Id: pasteur-01377073 <https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01377073v1>**

Submitted on 6 Oct 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

# **Study of** *in vivo* **catheter biofilm infections using pediatric central**

# **venous catheter implanted in rat**

- Ashwini Chauhan, Jean-Marc Ghigo and Christophe Beloin\*
- *Institut Pasteur, Unité de Génétique des Biofilms, Département de Microbiologie*
- *F-*‐*75015 Paris, France.*
- \* christophe.beloin@pasteur.fr
- 

## **ABSTRACT:**

 Venous access catheters used in clinics are prone to biofilm contamination, contributing to chronic and nosocomial infections. So far, biofilm physiology was mostly studied *in vitro,* due to a relative lack of clinically relevant *in vivo* models. Here, we provide a relevant protocol of totally implantable venous access port (TIVAP) implanted in rats. This model recapitulates all phenomena observed in clinic and allows studying bacterial biofilm development and physiology. After TIVAP implantation and inoculation with luminescent pathogens, *in vivo* biofilm formation can be monitored *in situ* and biofilm biomass can be recovered from contaminated TIVAP and organs. We used this protocol to study host responses to biofilm-infection, to evaluate preventive and curative anti- biofilm strategies, and to study fundamental biofilm properties. For this procedure, one should expect ~3h00 of hands-on time including the implantation in one rat followed by *in situ* luminescence monitoring and bacterial load estimation. **Keywords**: biofilm, catheter-related infection, rat model, bioluminescence

**INTRODUCTION:**

 Medical devices such as peripheral or central venous catheters, urinary catheters or prostheses are nowadays essential to modern medicine and greatly improve patients' healthcare. These devices are however prone to contamination by microbial pathogens leading to biofilm formation and biofilm-related infections that are extremely difficult to eradicate due to the high tolerance of biofilms towards antibiotics and host immune defenses <sup>1</sup>. Currently, there is no efficient method for early biofilm detection, prevention or eradication besides traumatic and costly removal or replacement of contaminated 10 devices<sup>2-5</sup>. Therefore, there is a dire need for specific and timely detection of biofilm formation on medical devices. However, the development of new strategies aiming at prevention or eradication of chronic and nosocomial biofilm infections require relevant biofilm models and approaches to provide a better understanding of the dynamics of biofilm formation and physiology.

#### **Device associated biofilm animal models**

 A large number of *in vitro* models have been used to study biofilm formation and 18 physiology or for large-scale anti-biofilm drug screening<sup>6-11</sup>. Although useful, these *in vitro* models do not reproduce the complex interactions occurring in the device- associated infection milieu comprising microorganisms, the host and the contaminated 21 abiotic surface. These therefore do not provide access to important parameters when 22 characterizing pathogenic biofilms, host factors and other biotic signals. By contrast, only few *in vivo* models have been developed to study bacterial biofilm formation associated 24 with central venous catheter (CVC). One of the first corresponding models used vascular catheter inserted in the jugular vein of rat and was developed to study *Staphyloccus aureus* and *Staphyloccus epidermidis* biofilm-related infections and to evaluate the efficacy of several antimicrobial agents, including antibiotics and anti-microbial peptides  $7,10-15$ . Other CVC models using different hosts include a mice model catheterized in the 29 jugular vein used to study the role of alternative SigB in *S. aureus* virulence <sup>16</sup>, or a pre- catheterized (jugular vein) mice model to study the eradication efficacy of lysostaphin 31 against *S. aureus* biofilm <sup>17</sup>. Using rabbit, port access venous catheters inserted in the 32 iugular vein were used to test several antibiotic against *S. aureus* <sup>18</sup>. Finally, several animal models were also developed to study *Candida albicans* biofilm-related infections, 34 an important causative agent of CVC-related infections  $19-22$ . All these studies were invasive in nature and required animal killing in order to assess and quantify colonization and infection. As an alternative to these CVC models where the catheter is inserted in

 the vascular circulation, subcutaneously implanted mice CVC biofilm models were described using luminescent variants of *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *Pseudomonas*  3 aeruginosa for real-time monitoring of biofilm formation  $23,24$  and dissemination  $25$ . However, these models do not adequately reflect real clinical situation and do not take into account key host factors such as venous blood circulation or humoral factors.

### **Overview of the proposed** *in vivo* **catheter biofilm model protocol**

 To better understand device associated biofilm infections, our laboratory has optimized a long-term central venous catheter model using commercial pediatric Totally Implantable 10 Venous Access Ports (TIVAP) inserted in rat jugular veins  $26-28$ . Unlike other venous catheters used in other *in vivo* studies, TIVAP are closed devices accessible through a port implanted subcutaneously and connected to the central venous system via a catheter usually inserted into the jugular or subclavian vein. In our model, the TIVAP is subcutaneously implanted with the port secured in a pocket, at the dorsal midline towards the end of thoracic vertebrae. Luminescent variants of clinically relevant bacteria forming biofilm on biomaterial are introduced into the port by puncturing the silicone septum using Huber needles. Then, bacterial colonization and biofilm formation is monitored, without further invasive intervention, as a function of luminescence using 19 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. We optimized the inoculum size to be 1 x  $10<sup>4</sup>$ CFU/50 µL per port for *E. coli* and 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/50 µL *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*. Increased bioluminescence signals were correlated to higher bacterial titers within TIVAP. At these dosages we were able to measure the signals associated with chronic biofilms up to 120 days. This animal model was successfully used to study the colonization of venous catheters by clinically relevant pathogens (*S. aureus, S.*  25 epidermidis, P. aeruginosa and E. coli)  $26-30$ .

 Biofilm biomass formed in the TIVAP can be determined quantitatively by harvesting the bacteria as discussed in details below and plating on suitable agar plates. Counts of viable bacteria ranging from 7.6 to 8.3-log CFU/mL (port) and 7.5 to 9.2- logs CFU/mL (catheter) were obtained in the TIVAP. The bacterial load in the organs (lungs, heart, spleen, kidneys, liver or blood) can also be determined by plating tissue homogenates. To complement microbiological methods assessing biofilm pathogenesis in the rat TIVAP model, electron microscopy was used in order to visualize biofilm structures growing on the lumen of the catheter and on the silicone septum of the TIVAP. Furthermore, we also optimized cyclophosphamide-based immunosuppression to study the consequences of TIVAP-associated biofilm infection in immunocompromised hosts.

 **Relevance and applications of the** *in vivo* **TIVAP biofilm model** We showed that our TIVAP rat model recapitulates all phenomena observed in clinical TIVAP-associated biofilm infections and can also be used to study the fundamental mechanisms of *in vivo* biofilm formation. *TIVAP applications from a clinical point of view:* 8 1. Evaluation of current procedures used to handle TIVAP in clinical settings, 9 including skin disinfection procedures, TIVAP patency maintenance , etc. 2. Study of the different routes of TIVAP colonization in addition to classical endo-**Imminal colonization including: i/** extra-luminal colonization of the catheter; **ii/** extra-luminal colonization of the port leading to subcutaneous port pocket infection, a clinical situation where repeated intradermal needle punctures during access to TIVAP may lead to subcutaneous bacterial port pocket infection  $31$  (20% of TIVAP-implanted rats inoculated with *P. aeruginosa* or *S. aureus* 17 developed subcutaneous infection around port ); **iii/** catheter tip colonization via hematogenous route of infection, a situation observed when TIVAP implanted patients suffer from bloodstream infection: TIVAP implanted rats injected with *S. aureus* in the tail vein showed colonization 21 of TIVAP catheter tip . Furthermore, we observed, in our TIVAP rat model, chronic colonization of implanted devices with occasional catheter-related 23 bloodstream infections as detected in CVC bearing patients . Thus, our rat TIVAP model successfully reproduced clinical situations such as biofilm-related bloodstream infections, organs colonization and port-pocket infections. 3. Investigation of controlled chronic infection and recurrence of infection after conservative treatment. 4. Development of early biofilm detection methods ("biofilm biomarkers"). Such biomarkers are currently lacking and their identification could strongly facilitate medical decisions. 5. Evaluation of prophylactic strategies including: **i/** novel catheter lock solutions. The catheter lock therapy corresponds to the use of highly concentrated antimicrobial (often antibiotic) solutions that dwell inside 34 the catheter for at least 12 to 24 hours ; **ii**/ catheter treatment with anti-adhesive procedure <sup>26</sup>. We modified the surface of commercial TIVAPs composed of silicone and titanium, using methyl cellulose

(MeCe) as well as polyethylene glycol (PEG), two macromolecules with

described anti-adhesive activities  $33,34$ . Using our rat model of biofilm infections inoculated with bioluminescent bacteria, we showed that an anti-adhesive approach could constitute an efficient prophylactic strategy to control infections in medical devices;

**iii/** anti-biofilm strategies against biofilm-related infection such as vaccination.

- 6. Evaluation of curative strategies against difficult-to-treat pathogens (*P. aeruginosa*, methicillin resistant *S. aureus*, possibly *C. albicans*), for which the 8 current clinical recommendation in case of device-related infection is costly and traumatic removal of the device. These curative strategies include:
- **i/** curative catheter lock solutions active on already developed infectious biofilms  $27,28,30$ . We used EDTA as adjuvant in combination with gentamicin as a lock solution to eradicate all the bacterial biofilms tested using our rat TIVAP model  $^{28}$  and L-arginine, a basic amino-acid, combined with gentamicin to eradicate *S.*  14 *aureus* and *E. coli in vivo* biofilms <sup>30</sup>;
- **ii/** novel anti-biofilm molecules;
- **iii/** alternative strategies (ultrasonic waves, photodynamic or phage therapies).
- 17 17 7. Evaluation of anti-thrombotic treatments since thrombosis is observed using this 18 model  $26$ . Previous studies showed that biofilm colonized implanted catheters are 19  $\mu$  at higher risk of causing thrombosis  $35$ . We used our model to demonstrate the better patency in the TIVAP coated with anti-adhesive coatings compared to 21  $\cdot$  control untreated TIVAPs  $^{26}$ .
- 
- *TIVAP applications from a fundamental point of view:*
- 1. Study of biofilm physiology *in vivo* and in a clinically relevant model amenable to transcriptomic, proteomic or metabolomic analyses. Biofilm-specific properties 26 such as biofilm-associated production of molecules  $^{29}$  or biofilm-specific increased recalcitrance to antimicrobial agents and to the immune system can be studied using this model.
- 2. Evaluation of the *in vivo* role of biofilm-promoting factors identified *in vitro*.
- 3. *In vivo* study of clinically relevant biofilm-forming microorganisms other than bacteria, in particular *C. albicans*, one of the main causes of catheter-related infection.
- 4. Investigation of the impact of the implanted host immune system on the 34 development of *in vivo* biofilms <sup>27</sup> and, reciprocally, study of the impact of biofilm development on the immune system.
- 

#### **Experimental Design**

#### *Overview of the procedure stages*

 The procedure described is designed to study bacterial biofilms using a central venous catheter called TIVAP inserted in rat jugular vein. The study can be broadly divided into 6 stages (see Flow chart of the different steps is presented in **Figure 1)**: One animal study takes between 2-3 weeks but may be longer depending on the question to be addressed, for example immunosuppression of animals will add another 4 days to a study. A trained engineer, PhD student, post-doctoral fellow or researcher can perform all stages of the procedure.

 1) *Pre-surgical procedures*: After reception of the rats, they are transferred 2 per cage and housed in animal facility. They are given pain-killers in specialized gels prior to surgery.

 2) *Surgery (TIVAP implantation)*: TIVAP is implanted subcutaneously with catheter inserted into the jugular vein.

 3) *Postsurgical care*: Animals are allowed to recover from surgery before injecting bacteria in the port. During this time of recovery, the patency of TIVAP is maintained by "flush and draw" technique to mimic clinical TIVAP use, and the temperature and weight

21 of the animals are regularly monitored.

 4) *Bacterial challenge*: Four days post-surgery, TIVAP is contaminated via controlled bacterial inoculation through the port by bacteria that are allowed to adhere to the device surface (internal) for certain duration of time before the inoculum is removed.

 5) *Post challenge care and monitoring*: The biofilm is allowed to form on the device up to day1, day4, day10 or even up to 120 days, depending on the question addressed. Blood sampling is done at day 0 (before inoculation to ensure TIVAP sterility); day4 and day8 to analyze the TIVAP mediated infection. Animals are monitored for the clinical symptoms.

 6) *Euthanasia and sampling*: On the day of experiment termination, animals are euthanized and the TIVAP and organs are aseptically removed for analysis.

#### *Laboratory facilities*

 All the described animal work was done in the Institute Pasteur animal facilities, which are accredited by the French Ministry of Agriculture to perform experiments on live rodents (accreditations A75-15 27, issued 12 November 2004, and A75-15 04, issued 22  May 2008). Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in 4 this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045). All methods are performed in a 'Biosafety Level-2' facility, containing a Class II biosafety cabinet. Aseptically collected samples (TIVAP, organs or blood) from animals can be processed in the main laboratory inside a Class II biosafety cabinet to maintain sterility. The animal room is cleaned with disinfectant every day until the end of a study.

#### *Controls*

 Proper controls must be used in the study. For each study, uninfected animal control with implanted TIVAP should be used as biofilm negative controls. For testing antimicrobials, 1X PBS or other appropriate reagent control must be used to compare the efficacy of the tested compounds.

#### *Anesthesia optimization*

 Anesthetics are critical to sedate rat and reduce discomfort during surgery as well as during follow-up procedures. For example, rats treated with cyclophosphamide have different susceptibilities to anesthesia (isoflurane) induced hypoxia; therefore, empirical determination of chemical anesthesia and/or isoflurane dosages is required. We have 22 optimized ketamine, xylazine and acepromazine mix (7:2:1, v/v) injected intraperitoneally to 500 µL cocktail/300grams of rat weight. Acepromazine keeps the rats calm and allows 24 additional injections during the surgery, if needed. Isoflurane concentration for healthy rats was optimized to 3% for the induction of anesthesia and maintenance of the anesthetic state at an oxygen flow-rate of 0.8 L/min and air flow-rate of 0.4 L/min to get a total debit of 1.2. Isoflurane concentration for immunosuppressed rats was optimized to 1.5%-2.0% for the induction of anesthesia and 1.5% for maintenance of the anesthetic state at an oxygen flow-rate of 0.6 L/min and air flow-rate of 0.4 L/min to get a total debit of 1.0 L/min.

 *Critical step:* Isoflurane is an inhalation anesthetics found under several trade names such as aerrane, floran, florane, isothane etc. It provides a quick, easy and long lasting effect when provided continuously to the research animals. Prolonged exposures in animals need to be regulated and monitored closely to prevent death. Injectable anesthetics, such as ketamine–xylazine-acepromazine mixture can be used for long term (up to 45 min) sedation of rats. Ketamine and acepromazine are controlled substances, which require secured storage and proper records of usage.

 *CAUTION*: Isoflurane is a halogenated ether. It is a colorless liquid anesthetic with a pungent odor. High doses of isoflurane exposure in research animals have been demonstrated to have fetal toxic effects. Pregnant women are recommended not to use it unless other means of anesthesia are not available and, in such case, special masks need to be worn. Only an approved anesthetic respirator system approved by the ethical committee should be used. Also make sure to work in well-ventilated area when using isoflurane or any other inhalation anesthetics.

#### *Choice of Animals*

We chose Crl:CD/SD variant of wistar rats for our study as they are recommended for

- 13 studying infectious diseases. The rats are 250-275 grams at reception day and gain ~50 14 grams by the day of surgery.
- Note: Wistar rats may also be used for the study.
- 

#### *Choice of Microbes*

 Luminescent variants of four clinically relevant pathogens, i.e. *E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* were purchased (*S. aureus* Xen36, Xen30, Xen31 and *S. epidermidis* Xen43 from Caliper) or gifted (*P. aeruginosa* Lm1, a bioluminescent 21 derivative of the PAK clinical strain and *E. coli* EAEC 55989  $37$  transformed with stable plasmid pAT881) <sup>38</sup> . *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB); *S. aureus* Xen36 and *S. epidermidis* Xen43 were cultured in Tryptic Soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 0.2% glucose at 37°C. Other relevant bacteria may also be studied within this model. While bioluminescence is an efficient tool to follow *in situ* colonization of the device and potential dissemination, it is also possible to estimate end-point bacterial load using non-bioluminescent microbial variant.

#### *Optimization of inoculum size and volume*

The inoculum dose was optimized to 10<sup>4</sup> cells for *E. coli*, 10<sup>6</sup> cells for *P. aeruginosa* and 31 S. aureus. For *S. epidermidis* the maximum dose that could be used was 10<sup>8</sup> cells but did not lead to bioluminescent-detectable colonization. Currently no other bioluminescent 33 strain of *S. epidermidis* is available <sup>24</sup>. The volume of the inoculum was optimized to 50µL per TIVAP. The dead volume of the port is 250µL. This insures that no bacteria are flushed into the blood stream at the time of inoculation. 

- 
- 

#### *Antibacterial agents*

 The described methods were successfully used in our model to test the antibiofilm activity of cefazolin, gentamicin, EDTA, ethanol (70%) and L-arginine, injected alone or 4 in certain combination in catheter lock solutions  $27,28,30$ . Moreover, our model was successfully used to evaluate the anti-adhesion characteristics of biomimetic glycocalyx-6 like polymer such as methylcellulose . Empirically, the effect of any relevant antimicrobial agents or anti-adhesive molecules can be evaluated using our model. *In vitro* concentration of the antimicrobial agents and procedures to graft anti-adhesive molecules on silicone and/or titanium need to be verified before *in vivo* evaluation in rat TIVAP model.

# 2 **MATERIALS**

# 3 **Reagents:**





# 2 **Equipments**:







## **PROCEDURE**

### **Step 1: Pre-surgical Procedures**

1A) Day -6, reception of animals: The CD/SD (IGS:Crl) male rats (CharlesRiver) weigh

- 275–300grams and are acclimatized to a 12 h day/night cycle for one week prior to use
- with commercial feed / tap water ad libitum. (TIMING: Responsibility of Animal Facility)
- 

 1B) Day -5, an electronic chip is inserted in the flank region of rats to monitor the temperature during course of the study. The weight of rats is also monitored on regular basis. (TIMING: 10 min per rat)

 1C) Day -2, all the rats are fed with Medigel-sucralose (1cup (56gm) per cage per day) without ibuprofen\* for rats to habituate to mixture followed by Medigel+ibuprofen gel on day -1. (TIMING: 10 min per rat)

- *Critical step*: if given directly with the drug, most of the rats do not eat the pain-killer and
- can lead to health issues post-surgery. Medigel with ibuprofen can be prepared on day -
- 18 2 and stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C but brought to room temperature before feeding the rats.
- 

## **Step 2: Surgical Procedure (TIVAP implantation, day 0) (TIMING: ~ 55min)**

- 2A) *Anesthesia* (TIMING: 2 minutes per rat, 5-6 minutes for a rat to sleep)
- 22 Rats are anesthetized using a 500uL cocktail (per 300 grams of rat weight) of ketamine,
- 23 xylazine and acepromazine mix (7:2:1, v/v) injected intraperitoneally.
- 
- 2B) *Shaving* (TIMING: 3-4 minutes per rat)

 Once the rat is fully unconscious, closely shave dorsal side of the rat with an electric clipper to remove hair from the neck up to lower end of the thoracic vertebrae and from left to right end of the body (**Figure 2**). Then, turn the rat on its back and shave the neckline carefully without cutting the skin. Remove any excess hair with the help of a

- clean paper towel.
- *Critical step*: If the rat is not completely asleep, any procedure including shaving can be stressful leading to death of rat during the procedure.
- 
- 2C) *Disinfection*: (TIMING 5 minutes per rat)
- Transfer the rat on a sterile sheet. The shaved area (both dorsal and ventral sides) as
- well as surrounding area is gently cleaned in 2 steps: i) wash with betadine soap using a
- sterile gauze pad or any other sterile pad of absorbent material; ii) disinfect with betadine solution. Repeat the cycle 3 times.
- 
- 2D) *Preparation for surgery*: (TIMING ~15 minutes)
- Since it takes ~15 minutes for a rat to be ready for surgery, meanwhile, arrange and prepare the surgery area. For this,
- 2D.1) Clean the surgical hood with 70% ethanol and spread a sterile champ/sheet.
- 2D.2) On the sheet, place on left corner a pair of Scissors, hemostats (2), spring scissors, fine forceps (2), 2 pieces of silk thread around 20 cm long, curved forceps, sterile gloves, TIVAP (with its kit open), scalpel and on the right hand side keep sterile gauze pad, a syringe with 1X PBS (50mL, from the TIVAP kit), vicryl suture, monofilament suture, 1 mL syringe filled with 1X PBS with Huber needle (from the TIVAP kit) and 1mL syringe filled with sterile 300µL heparin.
- 2D.3) Turn on 37°C thermo-cage system for storing the rat after surgery.
- 2D.4) Turn on instrument sterilizer.
- *Critical step*: keep everything you need for the surgery handy as the rats may start to wake up in case of delay in the process of surgery and may be stressed.
- 

2E) *Surgery*: (TIMING ~30-45 minutes per rat, **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**)

- 2E.1: Once the rat is disinfected, place the rat on the surgical sheet with the dorsal 21 side up and tail away from you. Take some 70% ethanol and wipe the body of the 22 rat once more. Wear the sterile gloves and avoid touching any surroundings.
- 2E.2: Make an incision (~1.5cm) on dorsal line at the upper end of thoracic vertebrae with a scalpel.
- 2E.3: Using a scissor, make a subcutaneous pocket inside the incision by opening 26 8 closing the scissor slowly. Make the pocket big enough to easily insert the port of TIVAP.
- 2E.4: Take the port and insert the pointed end first while pushing the wider part with your thumbs. Once inserted, secure the TIVAP by suturing (using a hemostat to hold the suture and a blunt forceps) inside of the skin with vicryl, 3.0, non-absorbable suture.
- 2E.5: Insert Huber needle into the port by puncturing the septum and gently turn the rat on its back. Place a pad of gauze pad wet in 1X PBS.
- *Critical step*: It is important to keep a wet pad of gauze pad on the incision throughout the process to prevent its dehydration.

 2E.6: Make an incision about 1-1.5cm in the neck on right hand side with scalpel. Gently try to remove the muscles with a fine forceps to expose the vein. Keep the area wet and clean with 1X PBS.

 2E.7: Locating the vein: Left Jugular vein is situated superficially under the salivary glands and it forms "inverted Y" junction with the right jugular vein, tail of "inverted Y" joining the subclavian vein. Keep the area and veins wet with 1X PBS, all the time.

- *Critical step*: It is important to keep the vein wet because if dried it will lose its flexibility and it will be difficult to make a cut in the vein as well as tough to insert 10 the catheter in vein.
- 2E.8: Using a curved forceps pass two black silk threads under the external jugular vein. Clamp one on the proximal end (to tie the incised vein) and another on the distal end (to secure the catheter inside the external jugular vein) of the jugular vein with hemostats.
- 2E.9: Then, try to clean the area surrounding the vein and remove any extra tissues that might be attached to jugular vein. Keep the vein and surrounding area wet with 1X PBS.
- 2E.10: After exposing the vein, slightly tilt the rat (gently) and insert the blunt end of tunneling rod (provided in the TIVAP kit) from the dorsal side and push out from the ventral incision in the neck region. On the pointed end put on the catheter and 21 pull it along the tunneling rod on ventral side so as to have catheter tunneled under 22 the skin ready to be inserted in the jugular vein.
- 2E.11: Cut the catheter to a length of 4.5 cm (catheter has markings 1cm apart) at an angle not more than 30°. This is the length of catheter to be inserted in the external jugular vein to reach the top of right atrium.
- *Critical step*: Cutting the catheter at a higher angle will allow easy insertion of 27 catheter in the jugular vein but it will lose patency quickly due to suction of vein against the bigger tilted cut while pulling the blood with syringe.
- 2E.12: Make sure that port and catheter are filled with 1X PBS. For this, fill the TIVAP by slowly pushing the piston of the syringe with Huber needle inserted in to port.
- *Critical step*: It is important to make sure before inserting the catheter in jugular vein that there is no air in the TIVAP as it can kill the rat within few minutes.
- 2E.13: While gently holding the vein with a fine forceps make a partial cut in the external jugular carefully using a micro spring scissors.
- *Critical step*: There may be lots of blood flow from the vein. In this case take wet 2 gauze pad and press it against the vein for 2-3 minutes or until the bleeding has stopped. Keep the vein wet using 1X PBS.
- 2E.14: Dilate the vein carefully by inserting closed forceps little bit. Once the forceps is inside slowly release to open it, at the same time hold the upper part of the incision with one forceps and insert the catheter using another forceps. Slightly 7 push the catheter inside the vein (~4.5cm) followed by flushing 100µL 1X PBS in 8 the vein.
- *Critical step*: This step should be done as early as possible as the vein may be dehydrated and become inflexible. This step is most tricky and need lots of **practice to insert the catheter. Furthermore there can be blood loss leading to** death of rat; hence the insertion must be quick. **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 2E.15: Try to gently draw the blood to make sure the reflux and then flush about 500-600µL 1X PBS and lock with 250µL heparin (500 IU/mL). Remove the Huber needle form the port. **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 2E.16: Tie the black thread on the distal end on the vein to secure the catheter and proximal thread to block the incised end of the vein.
- *Critical step*: The thread should not be too tight as it can create pressure and prevent the drawing of blood. Also, it should not be lose as the catheter may slip out of the vein.
- 2E.17: Clean the surgery area and suture dorsal (4-5 stitches) and ventral (3-4 22 stitches) incisions. Put antiseptic cream such as betadine and local anesthetic lidocaine cream such as Anesderm.
- 2E.18: Transfer the rat to 39°C chamber until wakes up.
- 2E.19: Finally, transfer the rat into a new cage with new water bottle. Place a cup of Medigel+ibuprofen per cage.
- 

## **Step 3: Postsurgical care: Day +1 to Day +4**

- 3A) *Feeding Analgesic*: New cup of Medigel + ibuprofen is kept in the cage of the rats on day +1 after surgery.
- 3B) *"Flush and draw":* (TIMING 4 minutes anesthesia, 5 minutes flush and draw per rat).
- 3B.1) To maintain the patency of the catheters, the TIVAP is flushed every day until the day of the bacterial challenge. The rat is transferred to the isoflurane box until the
- induction of anesthesia.
- 3B.2) Meanwhile, place a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow and place the nose piece connected to the isoflurane system on it.
- 3B.3) Place the nose of the anesthetized rat in the nose piece such that the tail is away from you.
- 3B.4) Clean the skin on and surrounding the port with betadine solution and 70% ethanol and allow to air dry for 4-5 minutes.
- 3B.5) Gently insert the Huber needle connected to a 2mL syringe filled with 1X PBS into the port by puncturing silicon septum of the port.
- 3B.6) Slowly turn the rat on its back keeping the needle inside the port.
- 8 3B.7) Carefully inject about 100µL 1X PBS and then check blood reflux by slowly pulling the piston of the syringe. Flush TIVAP with 1.0 to 1.5mL 1X PBS, followed by 10 locking the TIVAP with 250uL heparin (250IU/mL).
- 3B.8) Clean the skin with betadine solution and put the rat back in the cage.

 *Critical step:* If the rat is not anesthetized completely, the movement of neck may block the blood reflux. If isoflurane system is not available chemical anesthesia can

14 be given to rats. In this case 250µL anesthesia per 500 grams rat is sufficient.

- **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 

 3C) *Clinical signs*: Monitor the clinical signs such as local inflammation around the 18 port, weight loss and fever. If clinical signs are not normal then euthanize the rat.

- *Critical step:* Local inflammation can be due to several reasons such as forced injection through the port, infection or too much local injury due to surgery.
- **TROUBLESHOOT**
- 

 **Step 4: Bacterial inoculation and monitoring of biofilm formation using bioluminescence:** Day +4 (TIMING 30 minutes per rat) (see **Figure 4)**

 4A) *Bacteria preparation*: One day before bacterial challenge, start overnight culture of bioluminescent *E. coli* 55989 or *P. aeruginosa* in Lysogeny broth and *S. aureus* (Xen36, Xen30 or Xen31) or *S. epidermidis* Xen43 in Tryptic soy agar broth at 37°C shaking at

- 140rpm.
- 

 4B) Spin 5mL culture at 7500rpm for 10 minutes to pellet bacteria and re-suspend in 5mL 1X PBS. Wash the culture twice in 5mL 1X PBS. Finally, resuspend bacteria in 5mL 1X PBS and measure optical density at 600nm (OD600nm).

4C) Dilute the bacterial inoculum so as to inject  $10^4$  CFU/50uL for *E. coli*,  $10^6$  CFU/50uL

for *P. aeruginosa* & *S. aureus* Xen36 and 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/50µL for *S. epidermidis* Xen43.

 4D) Record the weight and temperature of rat before anesthesia. Clinical symptoms 2 such as local inflammation at the site of port or in the neck region, any other abnormality such as breathing trouble, weight loss and fever must be recorded. Any sick rat must not be included in the study and should be euthanized.

 4E) Anesthetize the rat as described under "flush and draw" method (see step 3B). Meanwhile, spread the sterile sheet in the laminar air flow. Keep betadine solution, 70% ethanol, sterile gauze pads, 50µL bacterial inoculum in 1mL tuberculin graduated syringe, 1mL syringe attached with Huber needle and filled with 1X PBS, 1mL syringe filled with 300µL heparin.

 4F) Anesthetized rat is transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged coupled device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring bioluminescence before bacterial challenge.

 4G) After imaging, transfer rat to the hood for infection. Place rat with its nose inside the nose piece and tail away from you. Clean the skin on and surrounding the port with betadine solution and 70% ethanol and allow to air dry for 4-5 minutes. Gently insert the Huber needle connected to a 2mL syringe filled with 1X PBS into the port by puncturing silicon septum of the port. Slowly turn rat on its back keeping the needle inside the port. 21 Slightly inject about 50uL-100uL 1X PBS and then check blood reflux by slowly pulling 22 the piston of the syringe. Remove the syringe keeping the Huber needle inside the port 23 and replace with a syringe to withdraw 150uL blood for analysis. Replace this syringe also with another one containing bacterial inoculum. Slowly push inoculum into the port. Normally this should take 2 minutes.

 *Critical step:* If the TIVAP is not patent, do not use it for the study. The blood sample is important to check contamination of TIVAP during the post-surgical maintenance. Care should be taken while taking out the needle out of the port to prevent injecting yourself with bacteria. This procedure is always done under the hood if using Class II pathogens. Injection of inoculum must be very slow to avoid flushing bacteria into the stream.

 4H) After bacterial challenge, rat is again transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged-coupled device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring bioluminescence. The rats (with 1X PBS) are used as uninfected controls.

4I) Rat is then carefully placed back into the cage. Label the cage as Class II.

 4J) *Removal of planktonic bacteria*: 3 h after bacterial inoculation, the planktonic bacteria are removed leaving only the adhered bacteria to form biofilm. For this, repeat the anesthesia procedure as above. Insert a Huber needle attached to a 1mL syringe containing 500µL 1X PBS and flush 50-100µL in the TIVAP very slowly. Replace the syringe with new 1mL syringe to withdraw about 300uL blood. Remove this syringe with 7 another syringe containing ~250µL heparin (500IU/ml) and lock the TIVAP.

#### **Step 5: Post challenge care and monitoring:** (TIMING: 30 minutes per rat)

 5A) *Monitoring Clinical symptoms*: Carefully monitor/record weight loss, fever, diet loss 11 or any other abnormal behavior. Any rat with temperature above  $39^{\circ}$ C +/- 0.5 or below 34°C +/- 0.5 for continuous 3 days must be euthanized. The sick rats must be euthanized.

 5B) *Bioluminescence for biofilm development and pathogenesis* (see **Figure 4)**: Biofilm formation inside the lumen of the TIVAP and associated infection is measured as a function of bioluminescence. Anesthetized rat (either isoflurane or chemical) is transferred to the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged coupled device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring bioluminescence. Length of exposure can vary depending on the bacteria and the expected infection. The exposure time can vary depending upon bacteria and infection. Normally, 1 minute exposure is sufficient for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* but for *S. aureus* Xen36 exposure can be about 2-4 minutes. Control rats are exposed for the same or more time compared to test rats.

 *Critical step:* Exposing control rat is important to evaluate and measure background coming from different material such as animal feed or reagents used. It is important to use at least 4 control rats per study for statistics.

 5C) *Blood sampling*: Blood sampling can be done on day 4 and day 8 post-infection to monitor the blood stream infection and associated changes in host factors such as cytokine analysis. Blood (150µL) can be drawn either from the caudal (tail) vein or by retro orbital plexus puncture.

5C.1) *Tail vein*: (TIMING: 10 minutes per rat).

 Anesthetize the rat as described above. In case using chemical anesthesia, inject only 200µL intraperitoneally as the procedure takes only 10 minutes for injection. Clean the tail with 70% ethanol and rub the tail with a sterile gauze pad to remove the dead scales. Approximately 5cm above the tail end insert a tuberculin needle and

 slowly pull the blood. Collect the appropriate volume of blood and transfer to a collection tube containing anti-coagulant (for viable bacteria count, estimation of immune cell population) or tube without anti-coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

5C.2) *Retro orbital plexus*: (TIMING: 10 minutes per rat)

 Anesthetize the rat as described above. Put the rat on comfortable table. Use a Pasteur sterile pipette to gently and slowly puncturing the orbital plexus. While firmly holding the Pasteur pipette near the sinus, with gentle rotating movements insert the 8 tube through the membrane. Keep rotating the tube through the orbit until the blood starts to flow. Collect the appropriate volume of blood and transfer to a collection tube containing anti-coagulant (for viable bacteria count, estimation of immune cell population) or tube without anti-coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

 *Critical Step*: Of the circulating blood volume, approximately 10% of the total volume can 13 be safely removed every 2 to 4 weeks, 7.5% every 7 days, and 1% every 24 hours  $39,40$ .

 *CAUTION*: Technical expertise is required before performing blood sampling. Regarding the presence of retro orbital plexus instead of sinus in rats, it is not a preferred method

 and a minimum of 10 days must be allowed for tissue repair before repeat sampling from 17 same orbit .

#### **Step 6: Euthanasia and sampling:** (TIMING ~45 minutes per rat)

After the last day of observation by bioluminescence:

6A) *Euthanasia:* (TIMING ~5 minutes per rat)

 Euthanize the animals using a procedure validated by the ethics committee of your 23 institution  $(CO_2$  or chemical euthanasia using IP injection of Dolethal (2mL/rat, 24 200mg/mL)). Put the unconscious rat on a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow system. Clean the body of the rat with 70% ethanol.

6B) *Blood sampling on animals*: (TIMING: ~5 min per rat)

 Using a procedure validated by the ethics committee of your institution (retro-orbital plexus/heart puncture/tail vein). The blood (volume depends on experiment planned; 100µL for CFU/mL or 200µL for cytokine analysis) is withdrawn by heart puncture. Keep the anesthetized rat on its back on a sterile champ. Feel the heartbeat with your figure for place with fastest beat. Insert at this point a 22G needle connected to a 1mL syringe. Withdraw the blood slowly and transfer to a collection tube containing anti-coagulant (for viable bacteria count, estimation of immune cell population) or tube without anti-coagulant (for cytokine analysis).

 *Critical Step*: When blood sampling is desired, take the blood sample from rat while it is still alive and unconscious, as withdrawing blood from the heart of dead rat is difficult

 due to lack of pumping. Euthanasia by Dolethal takes ~10 minutes providing 2 experimenter ample of time to sample blood. In case of euthanasia by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , first anesthetize rat using 400µL ketamine-xylazine-acepromazine mixture to sample blood. 6C) *Organ and TIVAP sampling:* (TIMING: ~10 min per rat) Aseptical removal of each TIVAP and placement in a sterile Petri plate for imaging with CCD camera imaging system. A set of TIVAPs can be set aside for electron microscopy imaging (see **Figure 5**). 6C.1) Remove the TIVAP aseptically and put it in a labeled sterile Petri plate. 6C.2) Aseptically remove spleen, kidneys, lungs, liver and heart and transfer to Petri 11 plates. 6C.3) All organs and TIVAP are imaged using CCD camera for bioluminescence signals. 6D) *Organ treatment and enumeration of bacterial load*: (TIMING: ~10-15 min per rat) All the procedure is done under the laminar air flow (P2 lab). 6D.1) After bioluminescence imaging, wash organs in 4mL 1X PBS buffer (in a 50mL conical tube) before transferring to gentleMACS™ M tubes containing 5mL 1X PBS. 6D.2) Weigh all the organs for analyzing parameters per gram of organ. 6D.3) Homogenize the organs using gentleMACS™ M tubes with the gentleMACS™ Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). Alternatively, homogenization of each organ can 22 be done using a T25 digital ULTRA-TURRAX<sup>®</sup> homogenizer (Ika). 6D.4) Homogenized organs are passed through cell strainer (70µm nylon, BD Falcon). 6D.5) The bacterial suspension is then diluted serially, plated on agar plates and incubated at 37°C for colony counts. 6E) *Extraction and quantification of biofilm bacteria from TIVAP*: (TIMING: ~10-15 min 29 per rat) All the procedure is done under the laminar air flow (P2 lab). 6E.1) TIVAP are carefully wiped with 70% EtOH before extracting intraluminal biofilm bacteria to avoid contaminant. 6E.2) The catheter is cut into small pieces and a slit is made horizontally to expose the lumen and transferred to a microtube containing 1 mL 1X PBS. 6E.3) The septum is removed from the port using a sterile scalpel and forceps, cut into small pieces and transferred to a separate tube containing 1 mL 1X PBS. 6E.4) Cells attached to the titanium body of the port are scratched in 100 mL 1X PBS and transferred to the same tube as the septum.

- 6E.5) Biofilm that formed on the septum and in the lumen of catheter is extracted by vigorously vortexing the tubes for 1 min, followed by transferring them to an ultrasonic water bath (NEYtech Ultrasonik, 44–48 Khz) for 5 min and a second vortexing for 1 minute.
- 6E.6) The bacterial suspension is then diluted serially, plated on agar plates and incubated at 37°C for colony counts. CFU/mL and bioluminescent signals (ROI, p/S/cm<sup>2</sup>/sr) are plotted together for correlation.
- 

## **Other related procedures**

- *supp1) Port pocket infection*: (TIMING ~20 min per rat)
- supp1A) Any inflammation around the port after injecting the bacterial inoculum in the 12 port indicates a pocket infection.
- supp1B) From euthanized rat, carefully remove the port from the pocket by opening the
- incision made at the time of surgery. Cut the sutures used for securing the port in the pocket.
- supp1C) Image the rat in the dark box of IVIS imaging system with a charged coupled
- device (CCD) camera (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA) for monitoring
- bioluminescence and evaluate the bacterial load in the pocket.
- supp1D) Remove all the pus formed in the pocket for CFU estimation.
- supp1E) Plate the serial dilutions for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* on LB and for *S. aureus* on TSB.
- 
- *supp2) Hematogenous infection***:** (TIMING ~10 min per rat)
- supp2A) *S. aureus* Xen36 is used to check the possibility of TIVAP colonization through the venous system.
- supp2B) Overnight grown *S. aureus* Xen36 culture is used as inoculum. An inoculum of
- 5 X 10<sup>8</sup> cells of *S. aureus* Xen36 is centrifuged, washed and resuspended in 500µL 1X PBS.
- supp2C) Anesthetize the rat as described above. In case using chemical anesthesia,
- inject only 200µL intraperitoneally as the procedure takes only 10 minutes for injection.
- supp2D) Clean the tail with 70% ethanol and rub the tail with a sterile cotton swab to
- remove the dead scales. Approximately 5cm above the tail end, inject bacteria into the
- bloodstream of rat (already containing implanted TIVAP) through the lateral tail vein.
- supp2E) Monitor rat before and after injecting bacteria for bioluminescence using IVIS-
- 100 imaging system to check infection and colonization.
- supp2F) 3-days after the injection euthanize rats as described earlier in the protocol.
- supp2G) TIVAP is removed aseptically to confirm the colonization by bioluminescence
- and plating CFU/mL.
- supp2H) 1-cm tip of the catheter is plated for CFU enumeration as the bacteria colonized
- only the tip of catheter.
- 

### *supp3) Immunosuppression of rats***:** (TIMING ~15-20 min per rat)

- supp3A) Rats are injected intraperitoneally with cyclophosphamide.
- *CAUTION*: cyclophosphamide is toxic and immunosuppressant. Cyclophosphamide is an antineoplastic (anti-cancer) drug categorized as an alkylating agent. Its side effects depend upon dosage such as lowered blood counts, sterility in males and females, pregnancy defects and or discoloration of skin and nails. Using the chemical hood and gloves is highly recommended when using this compound. For detailed literature on side effects, consult: *http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Cyclophosphamide+side+effects*
- supp3B) Dose and regimen of cyclophosphamide delivery are optimized by estimating blood
- total leukocyte count as determined using an animal blood cell counter (Vet abc, SCIL, Germany ABC vet 2.0, Germany). Furthermore, immunosuppressed status of rats is
- indicated by the physical state of rats such as lack of appetite, reduced weight and loss of
- hair. One hundred mg/kg body weight of cyclophosphamide was finally selected for giving
- intraperitoneal injections to rats (n= 4 for each bacterial strain) on day -4 and 50 mg/kg on
- day -1 of inoculation.
- 21 Critical step: An optimized inoculum dose of 10<sup>2</sup> CFU/ 50uL 1X PBS for all bacterial strains (higher inoculum doses lead to the death of animals overnight) is used for TIVAP contamination and is confirmed by plating for CFU/mL. Control catheterized and immunosuppressed rats receive 50µL 1X PBS only.
- supp3C) Prior to inoculation of clinical strains, all rats are checked for the absence of infections as for immune-competent rats.
- 

# *4) Electron microscopy*: (TIMING ~30 min per rat)

- 29 4A) After aseptic removal of colonized TIVAP from rats, 1 cm catheter of the catheter tip is
- cut and the septum is dissected from the port using a scalpel.
- 

 4B) Septum and catheter pieces are washed twice in cacodylate solution prepared with gloves and under a chemical hood (0.07 M: 10.5mL 0.2M Na-cacodylate pH 7.4 + 19.5 mL sterile D/W) and then fixed in EM fixative solution (for example, a mixture v/v/v of 6% glutaraldehyde, 0.15% Ruthenium red, 0.2M Na-cacodylate pH 7.4).

- *CAUTION*: Na-Cacodylate is a derivative of arsenic. It is highly toxic when inhaled, ingested,
- 2 or put in contact with skin or eves. It is described as a possible carcinogen and teratogen.
- Using the chemical hood and gloves is highly recommended when using this compound.
- 

 4C) Inner lumen of the catheter and face of the septum inside the port are used to visualize biofilm formation.

8 4D) Samples are stored at 4°C until sent for microscopy to the core facility / experts (not more than 10 days).

#### *5) In vivo lock therapy* :

 Antibiotics and their concentration for lock therapy studies were chosen based on 13 recommendations made by IDSA quidelines (Table 1). Efficacy of cefazolin (5,000mg/mL in 5000IU/mL heparin) and gentamicin lock therapies (1.0mg/mL, or 5mg/mL), EDTA (30mg/mL), ethanol (70%), L-arginine (0.4%) alone or in combination is evaluated against bioluminescent *E. coli* 55989, *P. aeruginosa* PAK, MSSA *S. aureus* Xen36, MRSA *S. aureus* 17 Xen30 and *S. epidermidis* Xen43<sup>27,28,30</sup>. Since 30% mortality was observed associated with 18 antibiotic lock therapy , this therapy is always used in conjunction with systemic vancomycin hydrochloride (50mg/kg, for MSSA, MRSA, and *S. epidermidis*) or gentamicin 20 (30mg/kg, for Gram-negative bacteria) subcutaneous injections  $42,43$ . The 4-day-old biofilm formed inside the implanted TIVAP is locked (200µL) with the above-discussed antibiotics and monitored for biofilm clearance by measuring bioluminescence. Two types of regimen are followed for lock therapy: first is a 5-day lock regimen during which the old lock is replaced by a new one every 24 h for 5 days in conjunction with systemic treatment for 5 days. We also assess 1 day lock regimen with a single instillation dwelling for 7 days in 26 conjunction with 1 day of systemic treatment. Rats with a colonized TIVAP but receiving PBS 27 lock are used as controls.

 5A) Anesthetize infected rat and measure the bioluminescence as described above after 4 days of infection in TIVAP.

32 5B) Rat is transferred in the hood for instilling antibiotic solution in the TIVAP. Instill 200 µL antibiotic solutions in the port slowly. Vancomycin or gentamicin for Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria respectively is injected intraperitoneally to prevent systemic infection due to flushing of the lock into the blood circulation.

5C) Replace the lock every 24 h.



### **TIMING**

- 
- Step1: day -6 to day-1 (6 days)
- Step2: 1-2 days (step 2A to 2E.19: ~55 min per rat per day and step2D: could be done in
- parallel with step 2A)
- Step3: 4 days; 3A to 3C: ~10 min per rat per day
- Step 4A to 4J: 2 days; ~30 min per rat (Inoculum is started a day before the challenge)
- 8 Step A to 5C ~30 min per rat.
- Step 5C.1 /5C.2: ~10 min per rat
- Step 6A to 6E ~45 min per rat

# 1 **TROUBLESHOOTING**







 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 **Table 2. TROUBLE SHOOTING** 

3

#### **ANTICIPATED RESULTS**

 1. Luminescent signals corresponding to bacterial colonization can be measured within 3 h after the injection of inoculum for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. For *S. aureus,* the luminescent signal can be measured after 24 h. Exposure time to measure the luminescence can vary between bacterial strains. Normally, 1 min of exposure is sufficient to detect measurable signals for bioluminescent variant strains (used in the study) of *E. coli* 55989 and *P. aeruginosa* PAK but one can expect an exposure time of 2-4 minutes for *S. aureus* Xen36<sup>27</sup>. For *S. epidermidis* Xen43 bioluminescence signals 10 were not obtained for any concentration of inoculum. One can expect to harvest ~7.6 to 8.3-log CFU/mL (port) and ~7.5 to 9.2-log CFU/mL (catheter) of biofilm biomass from TIVAP after 10d of infection. Increase in biofilm-associated bioluminescence could be measured up to day 120 post-infection with signals reaching maximum on day 4. Reduced signals were observed from day 8 onwards, indicating restriction of biofilms to 15 TIVAP colonization (**Figure 5**)<sup>27</sup>. These results can be correlated to the bloodstream infection as indicated by presence of bacteria on day 4 whereas clearance of bacteria from the peripheral blood by day 8. Thus, this model allows non-invasive study of chronic biofilm infection.

20 2. In case of immunosuppressed rats, severe pathology can be seen as indicated 21 by weight loss, fever and high bioluminescence as function of systemic biofilm infection 22 leading to death of animals by day 3 (**Figure 6**)<sup> $27$ </sup>. The blood and organ samples show high bacterial load compared to immune-competent rats.

25 3. In case of lock therapy experiments, the absence of bioluminescence may be observed just after 1 instillation of antibiotic solution, such as in case of gentamicin+EDTA lock. On contrary, this bioluminescence signals indicating presence of persistent bacteria in the catheter may be seen as in case of gentamicin, EDTA, L-29 arginine alone (**Figure 7**)<sup>28</sup>. Lock therapy may lead to bloodstream and systemic infection in some rats. Thus, use of systemic treatment in conjunction with lock therapy is required.

 4. In addition to biofilm pathogenesis, molecular mechanisms associated with biofilm can be studied <sup>29</sup> . It was shown using mutants of a bioluminescent variant of *E. coli* 55989 that lipid A palmitoylation did not affect the bacterial adherence to catheters but enhanced the *in vivo* biofilm survival. Moreover, the palmitoylation lead to reduced  cytokine trigger *in vivo* in rat model indicating role of palmitoylation in evading host 2 defenses .

 5. Modified TIVAP coated with methylcellulose and PEG was used to evaluate prevention of adhesion of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* using the rat model and thus, 6 biofilm inhibition (**Figure 8**)<sup>26</sup>.





2 Y: Efficacy tested, N.D.: efficacy not tested

3 **Table 1: Lock therapy concentration (mg/mL)**

#### **LEGENDS TO FIGURES:**

 **Figure 1. Schematic representation of the different steps of the** *in vivo* **model of totally implantable venous access port related infection.** Steps in black boxes correspond to regular procedures of implantation, contamination and monitoring of colonization. Steps in yellow boxes correspond to potential procedures that can be applied to the regular model.

 **Figure 2. TIVAP surgical implantation**. TIVAP were surgically implanted in CD/SD (IGS: Crl) rats. Surgery was performed under laminar air flow using a surgical hood and aseptic conditions were maintained throughout the surgical procedure. For ease, labels in figure correspond to steps described in the procedure under surgery section. (2A) Rat was briefly kept in an isoflurane box to calm down and injected intraperitoneally with a chemical anesthesia mixture to complete sedation and analgesia before starting the procedure. (2B.1 – 2B.2) Rat was shaved on dorsal side from the neck to the lower end of thoracic vertebrae and along the neck line of ventral side. (2C) Disinfection of skin using betadine soap and solution. (2D) Pre-surgical preparations. Instruments and objects required during the surgery kept on a sterile sheet in the laminar air flow. (2E.2) Incision was made along the dorsal line at the upper thoracic vertebrae. (2E.4.1 – 2E.4.2 1 – 2E.4.3) TIVAP inserted in the subcutaneous pocket and secured by sutures. (2E.5.1) Huber needle inserted in the port. (2E.5.2) Rat flipped on its back. (2E.6) 1-1.5cm 22 Incision in neck region ( $2E.7.1 - 2E.7.2$ ) Jugular vein exposed. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 3. TIVAP surgical implantation (contd.).** (2E.8) Cotton threads inserted under the jugular vein to secure catheter. (2E.10) Tunneling rod inserted for passing the catheter under the skin from dorsal to ventral side. (2E.11) Catheter cut at a slant (inside caption showing the correct cut). (2E.13) Jugular vein incised using micro spring scissors. (2E.14) Dilation of jugular vein using forceps. (2E.14.1 to 2E.14. 5) Catheter inserted in the jugular vein. (2E.15) Flush and draw blood to check patency of TIVAP. (2E.17.1 – 2E.17.2) Surgical wounds closed by suturing ventral and dorsal incisions. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63;  French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were 2 approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 4: Bacterial inoculation and monitoring of biofilm formation using bioluminescence.** The bacterial inoculum is directly injected through the septum of the TIVAP using a Huber needle and biofilm development is monitored using a CCD camera imaging system allowing to measure bioluminescence. Below is shown an example of the images obtained for the colonization of the TIVAP by a bioluminescent clinically relevant strain of *P.aeruginosa* and showing the progressive biofilm development within the TIVAP. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 5. Biofilm formation in TIVAP was confirmed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).** TIVAPs implanted in rats and inoculated with *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* or *E. coli* were harvest on day 5 post-infection and analyzed with scanning electron microscopy. Arrows represent bacteria in biofilm; arrowheads, blood cells. (A) TIVAP inoculated with *S. aureus*, (B) TIVAP inoculated with *P. aeruginosa*, (C) TIVAP inoculated with *E. coli*. (E) Scanning electron microscopy to show *P. aeruginosa* biofilm growing inside the lumen of implanted catheter with typical biofilm micro colonies. (F-G) Magnified view: a *P. aeruginosa* micro colony showing typical biofilm structures. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by 27 the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 6. Biofilm led to lethal infection in immunosuppressed rats.** (A) TIVAP implanted and cyclophosphamide-treated rats were injected with 10<sup>2</sup> CFU in 100mL of *P. aeruginosa* into the port of TIVAP and photon emission was monitored up to day 3 to evaluate biofilm formation and associated infection. (B) Bacterial load from different organs aseptically removed from dead animals was analyzed, organs were homogenized and were plated on LB agar for viable counts per mL. CFU results are 35 means  $+/-$  standard deviations. Number of rats (n) used in the experiment,  $n = 4$ . Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care

 and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 7.** *In vivo* **lock therapy against** *Staphylococcus aureus* **biofilm in the implanted TIVAP: 5-day regimen presented**. 200µL high dose antibiotics solution was instilled in TIVAP of rats (day 0) to treat methicillin sensitive *S. aureus* biofilm colonization (n = 5). Lock therapy was associated with systemic vancomycin for *S. aureus*. The lock was renewed every 24 h for 5 days, and its efficacy was monitored as photon emissions. (A) Control rats with PBS lock. (B) 5 mg/mL gentamicin lock. (C) 30 mg/mL EDTA alone. (D) Combined gentamicin (5 mg/mL) and EDTA (30 mg/mL) lock. In panels A to D, representative experiments are shown. (E-H) Rats were euthanized after 7 days of treatment, and TIVAP were harvested and monitored for photon emissions. (I) Bacterial cells from TIVAP were harvested and plated on TSB agar for counts of CFU/mL. CFU results are means +/- standard deviations. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Graphpad Prism version 5.0c was used for statistical analysis. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered significant, \*\*\*\* *P* <0.0001. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).

 **Figure 8. Modified anti-adhesive totally implantable venous access port (TIVAP)**. (A) Commercially available pediatric TIVAP used in the study, and dismantled TIVAP (a, catheter; b and c, envelope of port; d, sealing ring of port; e, septum; f, titanium port) and anti-adhesive molecules used to modify TIVAP parts. The catheter and septum were modified using methylcellulose (MeCe) derivative and the titanium port was modified using polyethylene glycol (PEG) derivative. (B) Rats with modified or unmodified 29 implanted TIVAPs were inoculated with  $10^6$  colony-forming units (CFUs) of *Staphylococcus aureus* or 10<sup>3</sup> CFUs of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* per 50µL of 1X phosphate-buffered saline. Bacteria were allowed to adhere to the TIVAP endoluminal surface for 3 hours (*S. aureus*) or 1.5 hours (*P. aeruginosa*) and biofilms were left to form for 5 days, and TIVAP was extracted to measure bacterial biofilm colonization. Viable bacteria were counted by plating on tryptic soy agar for *S. aur*eus or lysogeny broth agar for *P. aeruginosa*. (Control: unmodified TIVAP and Si-Ti: both silicone and titanium modified). Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way analysis of variance

 (ANOVA) with GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0c). Differences were considered 2 significant at P < 0.05. \*P  $\leq$  0.01; \*\*P  $\leq$  0.001. Work on animals was performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (European Commission directive 2010/63; French law 2013-118, 06th February, 2013). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee of "Paris Centre et Sud N°59" (reference 2012-0045).



2

# 3 **Table 3. Advantages, limitations and adaptations:**

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENTS**

 A.C. and C.B. initiated the development of the model. A.C., JM.G. and C.B. designed the experiments. A.C. performed the experiments. A.C., JM.G. and C.B. wrote the manuscript.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

- We thank David Lebeaux for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported
- 8 by the French government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence
- "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID)
- and the Fondation pour la "Recherche Médicale grant" (Equipe FRM
- DEQ20140329508).
- 

#### **COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

- The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.
- 

#### **REFERENCES**

- 
- 3 1 Percival, S. L., Suleman, L., Vuotto, C. & Donelli, G. Healthcare-associated<br>4 infections medical devices and biofilms: risk tolerance and control Journal of infections, medical devices and biofilms: risk, tolerance and control. *Journal of*
- *medical microbiology* **64**, 323-334, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000032 (2015). 2 Hoiby, N. *et al.* ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections 2014. *Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **21 Suppl 1**, S1-25, 9 doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2014.10.024 (2015).<br>10 3 Lebeaux. D. et al. Management of in
- 3 Lebeaux, D. *et al.* Management of infections related to totally implantable venous- access ports: challenges and perspectives. *The Lancet. Infectious diseases* **14**, 146- 159, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70266-4 (2014).
- 4 Mermel, L. A. *et al.* Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of 14 intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases<br>15 Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 49. 1-45. doi:10.1086/599376 (2009). Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* **49**, 1-45, doi:10.1086/599376 (2009).
- 5 Bouza, E., Perez-Molina, J. A. & Munoz, P. Report of ESGNI-001 and ESGN2-002 studies. Bloodstream infections in Europe. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **5**, 2S1- 2S12 (1999).
- 6 Ceri, H. *et al.* The Calgary Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. *J Clin Microbiol* **37**, 1771-1776 (1999).
- 7 Coenye, T. & Nelis, H. J. *In vitro* and *in vivo* model systems to study microbial biofilm formation. *Journal of microbiological methods* **83**, 89-105, doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2010.08.018 (2010).
- 8 Donlan, R. M. *et al.* Model system for growing and quantifying *Streptococcus pneumoniae* biofilms *in situ* and in real time. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**, 4980-4988, doi:10.1128/AEM.70.8.4980-4988.2004 (2004).
- 9 Goeres, D. M. *et al.* Statistical assessment of a laboratory method for growing biofilms. *Microbiology* **151**, 757-762, doi:10.1099/mic.0.27709-0 (2005).
- 10 Lebeaux, D., Chauhan, A., Rendueles, O. & Beloin, C. From *in vitro* to *in vivo* Models of Bacterial Biofilm-Related Infections. *Pathogens* **2**, 288-356, doi:10.3390/pathogens2020288 (2013).
- 11 Parra-Ruiz, J., Vidaillac, C., Rose, W. E. & Rybak, M. J. Activities of high-dose 33 daptomycin, vancomycin, and moxifloxacin alone or in combination with<br>34 clarithromycin or rifampin in a novel in vitro model of Staphylococcus aureus biofilm. clarithromycin or rifampin in a novel *in vitro* model of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* **54**, 4329-4334, doi:10.1128/AAC.00455-10 (2010).
- 12 Cirioni, O. *et al.* RNAIII-inhibiting peptide significantly reduces bacterial load and enhances the effect of antibiotics in the treatment of central venous catheter- associated *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *J Infect Dis* **193**, 180-186, doi:JID34996 [pii]10.1086/498914 (2006).
- 13 Li, H. *et al.* Conversion of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains from commensal to invasive by expression of the *ica* locus encoding production of biofilm exopolysaccharide. *Infection and immunity* **73**, 3188-3191, doi:10.1128/IAI.73.5.3188- 3191.2005 (2005).
- 14 Rupp, M. E., Ulphani, J. S., Fey, P. D. & Mack, D. Characterization of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* polysaccharide intercellular adhesin/hemagglutinin in the pathogenesis of intravascular catheter-associated infection in a rat model. *Infection and immunity* **67**, 2656-2659 (1999).
- 15 Ulphani, J. S. & Rupp, M. E. Model of *Staphylococcus aureus* central venous catheter-associated infection in rats. *Laboratory animal science* **49**, 283-287 (1999).
- 16 Lorenz, U. *et al.* The alternative sigma factor sigma B of *Staphylococcus aureus* 52 modulates virulence in experimental central venous catheter-related infections.<br>53 Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur 10. 217-223. *Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur* **10**, 217-223, doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2007.11.006 (2008).
- 1 17 Kokai-Kun, J. F., Chanturiya, T. & Mond, J. J. Lysostaphin eradicates established<br>2 Staphylococcus aureus biofilms in jugular vein catheterized mice. J Antimicrob *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in jugular vein catheterized mice. *J Antimicrob*
- 3 **Chemother 64**, 94-100, doi:10.1093/jac/dkp145 (2009).<br>4 18 Fernandez-Hidalgo, N. *et al.* Evaluation of linezolid,<br>5 ciprofloxacin in a rabbit model of antibiotic-lock techniq 18 Fernandez-Hidalgo, N. *et al.* Evaluation of linezolid, vancomycin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin in a rabbit model of antibiotic-lock technique for *Staphylococcus aureus* catheter-related infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **65**, 525-530, doi:dkp499 7 [pii]10.1093/jac/dkp499 (2010).<br>8 19 Andes, D. et al. Development
- 19 Andes, D. *et al.* Development and characterization of an *in vivo* central venous catheter *Candida albicans* biofilm model. *Infection and immunity* **72**, 6023-6031, doi:10.1128/IAI.72.10.6023-6031.200472/10/6023 [pii] (2004).
- 20 Lazzell, A. L. *et al.* Treatment and prevention of *Candida albicans* biofilms with caspofungin in a novel central venous catheter murine model of candidiasis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **64**, 567-570, doi:dkp242 [pii]10.1093/jac/dkp242 (2009).
- 21 Li, F. *et al.* Eap1p, an adhesin that mediates *Candida albicans* biofilm formation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Eukaryotic cell* **6**, 931-939, doi:10.1128/EC.00049-07 (2007).
- 22 Schinabeck, M. K. *et al.* Rabbit model of *Candida albicans* biofilm infection: liposomal amphotericin B antifungal lock therapy. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* **48**, 1727-1732 (2004).
- 23 Kadurugamuwa, J. L. *et al.* Direct continuous method for monitoring biofilm infection in a mouse model. *Infection and immunity* **71**, 882-890 (2003).
- 24 Vuong, C., Kocianova, S., Yu, J., Kadurugamuwa, J. L. & Otto, M. Development of real-time *in vivo* imaging of device-related *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infection in mice and influence of animal immune status on susceptibility to infection. *J Infect Dis* **198**, 258-261, doi:10.1086/589307 (2008).
- 25 Wang, R. *et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis* surfactant peptides promote biofilm maturation and dissemination of biofilm-associated infection in mice. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **121**, 238-248, doi:10.1172/JCI42520 (2011).
- 26 Chauhan, A. *et al.* Preventing biofilm formation and associated occlusion by biomimetic glycocalyxlike polymer in central venous catheters. *J Infect Dis* **210**, 1347- 30 1356, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu249 (2014).<br>31 27 Chauhan, A. et al. A rat model of cen
- 27 Chauhan, A. *et al.* A rat model of central venous catheter to study establishment of long-term bacterial biofilm and related acute and chronic infections. *PloS one* **7**, e37281, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037281 (2012).
- 28 Chauhan, A., Lebeaux, D., Ghigo, J. M. & Beloin, C. Full and broad-spectrum *in vivo* eradication of catheter-associated biofilms using gentamicin-EDTA antibiotic lock therapy. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* **56**, 6310-6318, doi:10.1128/AAC.01606-12 (2012).
- 29 Chalabaev, S. *et al.* Biofilms formed by gram-negative bacteria undergo increased lipid a palmitoylation, enhancing *in vivo* survival. *mBio* **5**, doi:10.1128/mBio.01116-14 (2014).
- 30 Lebeaux, D. *et al.* pH-mediated potentiation of aminoglycosides kills bacterial persisters and eradicates *in vivo* biofilms. *J Infect Dis* **210**, 1357-1366, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu286 (2014).
- 44 31 Fatkenheuer, G. *et al.* Central venous catheter (CVC)-related infections in<br>45 meutropenic patients--guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of neutropenic patients--guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Oncology (DGHO). *Annals of hematology* **82 Suppl 2**, S149-157, doi:10.1007/s00277-003-0769-z (2003).
- 32 Messing, B., Peitra-Cohen, S., Debure, A., Beliah, M. & Bernier, J. J. Antibiotic-lock technique: a new approach to optimal therapy for catheter-related sepsis in home- parenteral nutrition patients. *JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition* **12**, 185-189 (1988).
- 33 Zeng, G., Ogaki, R. & Meyer, R. L. Non-proteinaceous bacterial adhesins challenge the antifouling properties of polymer brush coatings. *Acta biomaterialia*, doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2015.05.037 (2015).
- 1 34 Mussard, W., Kebir, N., Kriegel, I., Esteve, M. & Semetey, V. Facile and efficient 2<br>2 control of bioadhesion on poly(dimethylsiloxane) by using a biomimetic approach. control of bioadhesion on poly(dimethylsiloxane) by using a biomimetic approach.
- 3 *Angewandte Chemie* **50**, 10871-10874, doi:10.1002/anie.201101029 (2011).<br>35 van Rooden, C. J. et al. Infectious complications of central venous catheters<br>5 the risk of catheter-related thrombosis in hematology patients: 35 van Rooden, C. J. *et al.* Infectious complications of central venous catheters increase 5 the risk of catheter-related thrombosis in hematology patients: a prospective study.<br>6 *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23**, 2655-2660, doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.05.002 (2005).
- 36 Ramphal, R. *et al.* Control of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the lung requires the recognition of either lipopolysaccharide or flagellin. *Journal of immunology* **181**, 586- 592 (2008).
- 37 Bernier, C., Gounon, P. & Le Bouguenec, C. Identification of an aggregative adhesion fimbria (AAF) type III-encoding operon in enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* as a sensitive probe for detecting the AAF-encoding operon family. *Infection and immunity* **70**, 4302-4311 (2002).
- 38 Foucault, M. L., Thomas, L., Goussard, S., Branchini, B. R. & Grillot-Courvalin, C. *In vivo* bioluminescence imaging for the study of intestinal colonization by *Escherichia coli* in mice. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 264-274, doi:10.1128/AEM.01686-09 (2010).
- 39 McGuill, M. W. & Rowan, A. N. Biological Effects of Blood Loss: Implications for Sampling Volumes and Techniques. *ILAR News* **31**, 5-20 (1989).
- 40 Removal of blood from laboratory mammals and birds. First report of the BVA/FRAME/RSPCA/UFAW Joint Working Group on Refinement. *Laboratory animals* **27**, 1-22 (1993).
- 23 41 van Herck, H. *et al.* Orbital sinus blood sampling in rats as performed by different 24 animal technicians: the influence of technique and expertise. *Laboratory animals* 32. animal technicians: the influence of technique and expertise. *Laboratory animals* **32**, 377-386 (1998).
- 42 Van Praagh, A. D. *et al.* Daptomycin antibiotic lock therapy in a rat model of staphylococcal central venous catheter biofilm infections. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* **55**, 4081-4089, doi:10.1128/AAC.00147-11 (2011).
- 43 Zuluaga, A. F., Agudelo, M., Cardeno, J. J., Rodriguez, C. A. & Vesga, O. 30 Determination of therapeutic equivalence of generic products of gentamicin in the<br>31 meutropenic mouse thigh infection model. PloS one 5, e10744, neutropenic mouse thigh infection model. *PloS one* **5**, e10744, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010744 (2010).
- 

# **Protocol overview**

















**2E.2** 















Figure 2



























Non invasive in situ monitoring of biofilm development using CCD imaging system



 $p/s/cm<sup>2</sup>/sr$ 

Figure 4



 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 

Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



