

What's a SNP between friends: The influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms on virulence and phenotypes of Clostridium difficile strain 630 and derivatives.

Mark M Collery, Sarah A Kuehne, Shonna M Mcbride, Michelle L Kelly, Marc Monot, Alan Cockayne, Bruno Dupuy, Nigel P Minton

▶ To cite this version:

Mark M Collery, Sarah A Kuehne, Shonna M Mcbride, Michelle L Kelly, Marc Monot, et al.. What's a SNP between friends: The influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms on virulence and phenotypes of Clostridium difficile strain 630 and derivatives.. Virulence, 2016, 10.1080/21505594.2016.1237333. pasteur-01370882

HAL Id: pasteur-01370882 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01370882v1

Submitted on 23 Sep 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1	What's a SNP between friends: the influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms on
2	virulence and phenotypes of Clostridium difficile strain 630 and derivatives
3	
4	Running Title: What's a SNP between Friends?
5	
6	Mark M Collery ^{1¶} , Sarah A Kuehne ^{1,2¶} , Shonna M McBride ³ , Michelle L Kelly ¹ , Marc Monot ⁴ ,
7	Alan Cockayne ¹ , Bruno Dupuy ⁴ , and Nigel P Minton ^{1,2*}
8	
9	
10	1 Clostridia Research Group, BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC),
11	School of Life Sciences, the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
12	2 NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases at Nottingham
13	University Hospitals NHS Trust and The University of Nottingham
14	3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center,
15	Emory University, Atlanta, USA
16	4 Laboratoire Pathogenèse des Bactéries Anaérobies, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
17	
18	*Corresponding to: Nigel P Minton; Email: nigel P Minton; Email: nigel P Minton; Email: nigel.minton@nottingham.ac.uk
19	
20	[¶] These authors contributed equally to the work.
21	
22 23	Keywords: single nucleotide polymorphism; sporulation; motility; toxin expression; mutation; ClosTron; virulence

24 Abstract

25

26 Clostridium difficile is a major cause of antibiotic induced diarrhoea worldwide, 27 responsible for significant annual mortalities and represents a considerable economic 28 burden on healthcare systems. The two main C. difficile virulence factors are toxins A and 29 B. Isogenic toxin B mutants of two independently isolated erythromycin-sensitive 30 derivatives (630E and 630 Δerm) of strain 630 were previously shown to exhibit 31 substantively different phenotypes. Compared to 630, strain 630E and its progeny grow 32 slower, achieve lower final cell densities, exhibit a reduced capacity for spore-formation, produce lower levels of toxin and are less virulent in the hamster infection model. By the 33 34 same measures, strain $630\Delta erm$ and its derivatives more closely mirror the behaviour of 35 630. Genome sequencing revealed that 630∆erm had acquired seven unique Single 36 Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) compared to 630 and 630E, while 630E had nine SNPs 37 and a DNA inversion not found in the other two strains. The relatively large number of mutations meant that the identification of those responsible for the altered properties of 38 39 630E was not possible, despite the restoration of three mutations to wildtype by allelic 40 exchange and comparative RNAseg analysis of all three strains. The latter analysis revealed large differences in gene expression between the three strains, explaining in part why no 41 42 single SNP could restore the phenotypic differences. Our findings suggest that strain 43 $630\Delta erm$ should be favoured over 630E as a surrogate for 630 in genetic-based studies. They also underline the importance of effective strain curation and the need to genome re-44 45 sequence master seed banks wherever possible.

47 Introduction

48

49 *Clostridium difficile* is a Gram-positive, anaerobic spore-forming bacterium capable of causing a range of diseases from mild diarrhoea to potentially fatal toxic 50 pseudomembranous colitis. The toxigenic effects of *C. difficile* are caused by the activities 51 52 of two large, glucosylating toxins. The two toxins are 308kDa (toxin A) and 270kDa (toxin B) in size $^{1-3}$ and are encoded by the chromosomally located genes *tcdA* and *tcdB*, 53 54 respectively. Both are cytopathic to cultured cells due to disruption of the cytoskeleton, although TcdB is thought to be up to 1000-times more potent.¹ Historically, toxin A was 55 56 regarded as the main causative agent of the symptoms of *C. difficile* infection (CDI). Pivotal data was provided by Lyerly et al.⁴ who were only able to detect disease when hamsters 57 58 were subject to intragastric challenge with purified TcdA alone and not with TcdB. The 59 latter could, however, cause disease symptoms if prior damage to the mucosa had been 60 inflicted by co-administration of sub-lethal concentrations of toxin A. Furthermore, coadministration of both toxins led to more severe disease symptoms. To accommodate 61 62 these data, it was generally accepted that both toxins acted in concert to bring about 63 disease symptoms, with toxin A leading to the initial damage to the colon allowing the subsequent access of the more potent toxin B. 64

During the 1990's *C. difficile* strains were isolated from symptomatic patients that only produced toxin B (A-B+)^{5, 6}. These findings suggested that toxin B, at least in certain strains, is capable of causing disease without the help of toxin A. It has been reported since that toxin B, in A-B+ strains, is modified and seems to be an evolutionary hybrid of *C. difficile* toxin B and *Clostridium sordellii* lethal toxin.⁷

70 With the development of genetic systems, assumptions of the relative importance of 71 the two toxins could be tested through the creation, and *in vivo* assay, of isogenic mutants in which production of either toxin had been ablated. Initial findings made by Lyras et al.⁸ 72 73 appeared to turn the perceived view on its head, through the demonstration that a *tcdA* 74 mutant producing TcdB alone (A-B+) was capable of causing disease in the hamster model while a *tcdB* mutant producing only TcdA (A+B-) did not. These data were, however, 75 almost immediately guestioned by a second study conducted in the Minton laboratory⁹ 76 77 showing that both tcdA and tcdB C. difficile mutants, and therefore TcdA and TcdB alone, were independently capable of causing disease. Interestingly, a strain has recently¹⁰ beren 78 isolated from a clinical case of CDI, that only produces TcdA (A+B-) 79

The possible reasons for the observed difference in outcomes of the two studies have 80 been discussed previously.¹¹ Both studies agree on the virulence potential of toxin B, but 81 82 uncertainties remain about the different outcomes concerning the effects of toxin A. In the 83 work presented here, we have hence focused on comparisons of the parental strains and 84 the strains only producing toxin A (A+B-). In essence, both sets of mutants were generated by insertional inactivation of the toxin genes of the C. difficile strain 630¹² and, once 85 86 created, were tested in the hamster infection model. However, in order to implement the 87 available gene tools in strain 630 (at the time the only strain for which a genome sequence 88 was available), it was necessary to first isolate a variant that had become sensitive to 89 erythromycin, thereby allowing the use of an ermB gene as a selective, genetic marker. 90 Both studies used such an erythromycin-sensitive derivative of strain 630, but they were independently isolated. In our study (Minton group), ⁹ we used the strain $630\Delta erm$, 91 92 isolated in the Mullany laboratory (UCL, London, UK) after 30 repeated subcultures of

strain 630 in non-selective media. ¹³ In parallel, the Rood laboratory (Monash, Australia)
independently isolated the erythromycin sensitive strain JIR8094 (also referred to as 630E),
¹⁴ through an undisclosed number of subcultures of strain 630 in non-selective media. Both
strains are reported to possess the same specific deletion of *ermB*. ^{13, 14}

We have previously hypothesised ¹¹ that the different outcomes of the two studies ^{8, 9} 97 are a direct consequence of the use of the two, independently isolated erythromycin-98 sensitive strains, $630\Delta erm$ and 630E. We suggested that during repeated subculture, 99 100 ancillary mutations arose which impacted on the virulence potential of one or other of the 101 two strains in the presence of different toxin gene alleles. In the current piece of work, we have set out to test this hypothesis. We have undertaken side-by-side comparisons of 102 103 $630\Delta erm$ and 630E, and the A+B- mutant derivatives, in a variety of assays to establish 104 phenotypic differences. In parallel, we have determined the genome sequences of the various strains used in the two studies.^{8,9} Then, we have used our newly developed allelic 105 exchange methodologies ¹⁵ to correct a number of SNPs in strain 630E back to wild-type 106 107 and assessed the consequences. Furthermore we have performed RNAseq experiments 108 comparing the transcriptome of 630, $630\Delta erm$ and 630E at three different time points. The 109 RNA data were related to the whole genome data to draw our final conclusions.

110

111 Results

112 Generation of a ClosTron insertion in *tcdB* of 630E

113 Although considered unlikely, the possibility existed that mutants made by the 114 insertion of a plasmid element carrying $ermB^{14}$ might behave differently to an equivalent 115 mutant made by the insertion of a group II intron incorporating $ermB^{16}$ Our initial step 116 was, therefore, to create a *tcdB* mutant of strain 630E using ClosTron technology. Accordingly, the ClosTron plasmid pMTL007C-E2::Cdi-tcdB-1511a that had previously been 117 used to generate strain $630\Delta erm$ A+B- ⁹ was used to create an equivalent mutant in strain 118 630E as described. ⁹ The resulting mutant, 630E A+B-CT, was verified by PCR, Sanger 119 120 sequencing and shown by Southern blot to carry a single group II intron insertion (Fig. 121 S1A). Parental strains, original A+B- mutants and the newly obtained mutant were tested 122 for production of toxin A in a Western blot (Fig. S1B). As expected all strains produced 123 toxin A.

124

125 Phenotypic characterisation of strains

126 In order to establish whether all strains were phenotypically identical a range of assays 127 were performed, comparing growth, motility and spore properties. An analysis of growth 128 rates using the procedure described in Materials and Methods showed that strain 630∆erm 129 and derivatives grew to the highest optical density, closely followed by strain 630 and 130 630E, and derivatives thereof (Fig. 1A and 1B). The data clearly demonstrated that strain 131 $630\Delta erm$ and its $630\Delta erm$ A+B- derivative had relatively higher growth rates and achieved 132 higher optical densities (p < 0.0001, unpaired t-test at 24 h) than strain 630E and its 133 derivatives, with strain 630E A+B-CT growing the least (Fig. 1B). It was also apparent, 134 shown by plate motility assay (Fig. 2), that strains 630 and 630∆erm were motile, while 135 630E was not. Only 630 and $630\Delta erm$, but not 630E, form pseudopod-like structures, 136 which are characteristic for swarming motility in bacteria.

Following the protocols of Burns et al, ¹⁷ comparative differences in the numbers of colony forming units (CFUs) obtained following heat shock were assessed between the

strains, as a crude estimate of spore formation. ¹⁸ On this basis, strains 630*Δerm* and 139 140 $630\Delta erm$ A+B- produced a greater numbers of spores than 630E and its derivatives, which 141 failed to produce any spores until 72 h. The total number of CFU/mL at this time point was 142 10^3 times fewer than that obtained with $630\Delta erm$ or $630\Delta erm$ A+B- (Fig. 3A). Interestingly 143 parental strain 630 produced very few spores before 72 h, but spore counts increased from 144 72 h onwards and reached similar levels to strains $630\Delta erm$ and $630\Delta erm$ A+B- by the end 145 of the experiment. The reduction in spore formation may in part be due to the observed 146 reduction in OD as the 630E strains enter stationary phase, which might also explain their 147 predilection to flocculate. Indeed comparing percentage sporulation (relative to vegetative 148 cell count), confirmed the observation that $630\Delta erm$ and $630\Delta erm$ A+B- have a higher 149 sporulation frequency than both 630E (and derivatives) and strain 630.

The germination of the 630E strains was also comparatively reduced and did not reach the same level as that of $630\Delta erm$ and its progeny. At the last time point (240 min) strains 630E and 630E A+B- reach the same level of CFU/ml as strain 630. The observed delay could be due to the previously observed reduced cell growth of the 630E strains (**Fig. 3B**).

154

155 Toxin Production

156 Measurements of the amounts of toxin being produced by 630E and $630\Delta erm$ and 157 their derivatives were undertaken using both the *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*TM ELISA assay kit 158 from TechLab, measuring toxin A and B, and kits from TGCbiomics, specifically measuring 159 either only toxin A or only toxin B. The results of the 72 h time point are shown in **Fig. 4**.

160 As shown in **Fig. 4A**, toxin production of 630, $630\Delta erm$ or $630\Delta erm$ A+B- clustered 161 together, as did toxin production of 630E, 630E A+B- or 630E A+B- CT with the latter three 162 showing no statistical differences between them (P >0.05, one-way ANOVA with Fisher's 163 LSD test). There was, however, a statistically significant difference between the first three 164 strains (630, $630\Delta erm$ or $630\Delta erm$ A+B-) and the second set of three strains (630E, 630E 165 A+B- or 630E A+B- CT) (P < 0.0001). The C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II™ ELISA does not 166 differentiate between toxin A and B. In order to be able to quantify each toxin, the kits from TGCbiomics were used (Fig. 4B and 4C). The toxin A ELISA showed significantly higher 167 production in strain $630\Delta erm$ compared to 630E (p<0.0016) and also confirmed the 168 previous observations that strains with impaired *tcdB*, produce more toxin A $^{8, 9}$ (**Fig. 4B**). 169 No toxin B production was seen, as expected, in the tcdB-mutants. Strains 630 and 170 $630\Delta erm$, however, both produced significantly more toxin B than 630E (p<0.0001) (Fig. 171 172 4C).

173

174 Whole genome sequencing

To establish whether strains 630E and $630\Delta erm$, and derivatives, contained any 175 176 additional changes to the ermB gene deletion, relative to the parent strain 630, the 177 following strains were sequenced using Next Generation Sequencing platforms: 630E A+B-, 178 630E A+B- CT on Illumina HiSeq (GATC, Germany) and $630\Delta erm$ A-B on a Roche 454 179 (Deepseq, University of Nottingham, UK) and the data compared to the published genome of the parental strain 630 12 and previously sequenced 630 Δ erm Δ pyrE. 19 We used a 180 181 frequency of 70% as a cut-off for SNP calling and found multiple SNPs, InDels and other 182 minor changes, both common and unique to 630, 630E and $630\Delta erm$. In total, two SNPs in 183 coding regions with non-synonymous changes were found that were common to all three strains (in CD630_11900, encoding an acyl-CoA N-acyltransferase where SNP changes 184

185 phenylalanine133 to leucine and in CD630 13880, a pseudo gene where a frameshift is 186 introduced). In addition to these, we found in both 630 and $630\Delta erm$ strains three SNPs 187 (two in intergenic regions and one in a coding region of CD630_2667, encoding the BC 188 domain of a glucose PTS, changing valine228 to isoleucine). $630\Delta erm$ had seven unique 189 changes compared to 630 and 630E (including six non-synonymous SNPs in coding regions), while 630E had eleven SNPs (with nine non-synonymous SNPs in coding regions) 190 not found in the other two strains. SNPs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and 191 192 thereafter by RNAseg data (see below). Indeed, the SNPs found in the DNA-seg data were 193 validated by using the RNA-seq sequence reads mapped on the genome sequence with Bowtie2²⁰ with each position being checked using Tablet²¹. A complete list of SNPs and 194 195 other small changes are indicated in **Table 1** and in supplementary **Table S1**. During the preparation of this manuscript a new sequence of 630 was published by Riedel et al.²². We 196 197 incorporated their data into **Table 1** (and **Table S1**). Overall this new sequence shows very 198 few disparities to the original one. However two SNPs found in our data were attributed to 199 mistakes in the original sequence (in CD630_17670 and CD630_31561). Another paper was recently published by van Eijk *et al.*, ²³ resequencing $630\Delta erm$. Overall there are very few 200 201 discrepancies between their data and our findings, confirming the quality of both data sets. 202 We have incorporated their findings into Table S1.

It may be assumed, that during the repeated subculture of strain 630 undertaken in the Mullany ¹³ and Rood ¹⁴ laboratories, sub-populations within the culture were isolated carrying SNPs. However, it seems improbable that the two SNPs (**Table 1**), common to all three strains, arose independently. Rather we hypothesise that these SNPs might be sequencing mistakes. This theory gains weight through the new sequencing data by Riedel

et al.²². Two SNP changes which we identified originally between the published 630 208 209 sequence and our data were confirmed by Riedel et al to also be the sequence of their 630 seed stock. Unfortunately the genome announcement ²² does not state the exact source of 210 211 their 630 strain. As mentioned above another three SNPs were only found in 630 and 212 $630\Delta erm$, two of these are in intergenic regions which showed no expression in our RNAseq experiment, and the third is located in a PTS gene in 630 and $630\Delta erm$ (position 213 214 3080703, Val₂₂₈lle). Rather than having occurred independently it is more likely that these 215 SNPs arose in the Mullany laboratory, subsequent to provision of chromosomal DNA to the Sanger Centre for determination of the 630 genome sequence ¹², and before the strain 630 216 was passaged to obtain $630\Delta erm$. At the time, *C. difficile* strains in the Mullany laboratory 217 218 were routinely stored at 4°C as Robertson's Cooked Meat stocks, as opposed to being 219 frozen at -80°C in 10% glycerol (A.R. Roberts, personal communication). On this basis, the 220 traditional microbiological practice of using Robertson's Cooked Meat to curate strains 221 might not be ideal as strains are not entirely dormant and genome changes can occur over 222 time. The SNPs that were found to be unique to $630\Delta erm$ and 630E (n=8 and n=11, 223 respectively) can be assumed to have been accrued at some point after the two 630 224 populations diverged, that is when the strain was sent to the Rood laboratory. It is most 225 likely, although not certain, that the majority, if not all of the strain-specific SNPs arose 226 during the repeated subculture experiments undertaken to isolate the ermB deletion 227 strains 630E and 630∆erm.

228 Changes specific to $630\Delta erm$ include SNPs in three intergenic regions, which all have 229 been determined with a coverage of over 150 and 100 % frequency (see **Table 1**). The 230 other five changes comprise four non-synonymous SNPs and an insertion. The insertion

has previously been reported by Rosenbusch et al.²⁴ and was confirmed by van Eijk et al.²³ 231 232 and is an 18 bp duplication in *spoOA*, the master regulator of sporulation. This insertion 233 might be responsible for the reduced sporulation frequency seen in strain 630 and also in 234 630E and derivatives, which do not carry this duplication (which does not have this 235 duplication) (Fig. 3A). The SNPs have been found in the following genes: CD630 08260, 236 encoding a ferric uptake regulator (*perR* homologue) (Thr₄₁Ala); CD630 19070, encoding 237 an alcohol dehydrogenase homologue (eutG) (Gly₂₅₂Glu); and CD630_35630, encoding a 238 transcriptional regulator of the GntR family (Ala₉₁Val).

239 In contrast, strain 630E contains a larger number of non-synonymous SNPs including 240 changes that result in nonsense mutations and in one case the inversion of a small 241 segment of DNA preceding a flagella operon. We found two changes in intergenic regions, 242 one with 100 % frequency and a coverage of 94 (position 3528736); the other at a much 243 lower frequency (41 %), but confirmed a 150 bp inversion by Sanger sequencing in the 244 promoter region of *flqB*, the first gene in a F3 flagella operon (early flagella genes). Non-245 synonymous SNPs were found in CD630_07610, encoding a putative RNA helicase 246 (Asp₁₃₆Tyr); CD630 14040, encoding an oligopeptide transporter $(Glu_{536}Gly);$ 247 CD630_20270, encoding a hydrolase (Gly₃₇₃Glu); CD630_29430, encoding a phage 248 replication protein (Asn₂₁₀Asp); and CD630 33790, encoding a conjugative transposon 249 protein (Glu₆₃Asp). Finally, there is another SNP at position 3034953, in gene CD630_26270 250 (Gly₆₈Cys), encoding a conserved hypothetical protein. Interestingly the new genome sequence from Eijk et al.²³ suggested an "A" at position 3034953 in contrast to the earlier 251 252 annotation suggesting "C". The new annotation is in line with our RNAseq data (Table S1) 253 and taken into account our sequencing data (Table 1) suggests that this is indeed a

254 mutation in 630E and was miss-annotated in the original sequence. In one instance the 255 nucleotide substitution resulted in the creation of a nonsense, stop codon, and as a 256 consequence a severe, premature truncation of the encoding protein. Thus, the stop codon 257 introduced into CD630 12740 encoding a topoisomerase I (topA) homologue (GIn₃₈₆*) 258 truncated the protein from 695 amino acids to 385 amino acids. Conversely, in the case of 259 the glucose PTS operon *ptsG*-BC, the conversion of the stop codon of *ptsG*-B gene 260 (CD630_26670) to a Glu codon ($*_{524}$ Glu) resulted in its fusion to the coding region of the 261 immediately downstream *ptsG-C* gene.

262 Virulence testing of 630Δ*erm*, 630E and mutants using an *in vivo* model

263 In order to confirm previous data and to rule out differences in experimental set up in 264 different laboratories, the virulence of 630E and derivatives was assessed using the 265 hamster infection model in our laboratory (University of Nottingham) as previously 266 described.⁹

267 Figure 5 shows the times from infection to endpoint (in days) for the hamsters 268 infected with 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT. For comparative purposes data for 269 infection with $630\Delta erm$ and $630\Delta erm$ A+B- from a previous study ⁹ is also included. The 270 latter emphasises the fact that all eight hamsters infected with strain $630\Delta erm$ were 271 colonised and succumbed to C. difficile disease (with an average time of 3.25 days from 272 infection to endpoint). This is in direct contrast to what is observed with 630E where of the 273 five animals successfully infected, only three were colonised till the respective endpoints 274 and of these, two succumbed to disease (at day two and six). Two animals lost colonisation 275 after days 15 and 18, respectively.

In our previous study 9 seven, of the eight animals infected with $630\Delta erm$ A+B- (as also 276 277 shown in Fig. 5), succumbed to disease with an average time to death of colonised 278 hamsters being just under two days. One animal showed no signs of disease until the 279 experimental endpoint, but was found not to have been colonised. Here of the 11 animals 280 infected with the equivalent mutant of strain 630E (630E A+B-), only two animals 281 succumbed to CDI (on day two and nine). Four animals in this group were never colonised, one lost colonisation after day three and the others were colonised till endpoint. Six 282 283 animals were infected with 630E A+B- CT, and of these two hamsters developed infection 284 (day three and five). Two of the surviving animals lost colonisation after day 15 and 18 285 respectively. (Fig. 5 and Table S2).

The difference between the average time to death of all hamsters administered 630 Δerm and 630 Δerm A+B- was found not to be statistically significant (one-way ANOVA, p=0.5355) (results from Kuehne *et al.*⁹). Similarly, the differences between the average times to death of all animals administered 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT was not statistically significant (one-way ANOVA, p=0.8919). In contrast, the difference between the 630 Δerm strain (and derivative) and the 630E strain (and derivatives) was statistically significant (one-way ANOVA, p>0.0001).

293

294 Correction of SNPs in strain 630E

In view of the large number of SNPs present in strain 630E, it was impractical to change them all back to the 630 parental sequence. We therefore selected just three specific mutations present in 630E and converted them back to the sequence present in the parental strain, 630.

299 Our principal target was to remove the stop codon from within the topoisomerase I 300 gene, CD630 12740, as this enzyme plays a central role in the regulation of DNA negative 301 supercoiling and its inactivation is likely to result in extensive pleiotropic effects. Indeed, in some bacteria its inactivation is lethal. ²⁵⁻²⁷ Moreover, bacterial genes related to 302 pathogenesis and virulence have been shown to be sensitive to topA mutation in E. coli, ²⁸ 303 S. flexneri, ²⁹ Yersinia enterocolitica ³⁰ and Salmonella. ³¹ We therefore converted the "T" 304 nucleotide at position 1480649 in 630E back to an "A" nucleotide, thereby removing the 305 306 nonsense stop codon and allowing the production of full length native topoisomerase 307 enzyme.

As a second target we elected to correct the inversion of DNA upstream of the F3 flagella operon. As strain 630E is non-motile, and as the inverted region encompasses the non-coding region immediately upstream of the *flgB* gene, it is likely to have disrupted the promoter responsible for both *flgB* expression and the genes in the downstream operon. The inversion is therefore likely to be the principal cause of the loss of motility in 630E. Furthermore, factors affecting flagella expression can also influence toxin expression levels. ^{32, 33}

Finally, we sought to correct the fusion of the two PTS components *ptsG-B* and *ptsG-C*, by resurrection of the stop codon of *ptsG-B* through the conversion of the "C" nucleotide at position 3079815 back to an "A" nucleotide. As glucose is known to affect toxin production, through catabolite repression, ^{34, 35} it was reasoned that this particular SNP could be affecting toxin expression, and therefore virulence.

The plasmids carrying the 630 wildtype alleles necessary for the correction of the three targeted SNPs were assembled as described in Materials and Methods and then used to effect the replacement of the 630E mutant alleles by allelic exchange. ¹⁵ To verify that the mutant clones obtained were correct, each targeted region was amplified by PCR using appropriate oligonucleotide primers and the DNA fragments obtained subjected to Sanger sequencing on both DNA strands. In every case, clones carrying the desired 'corrected' sequence were obtained. The new strains were named after the genes or regions that were corrected, namely 630E_topA, 630E_CD2667 and 630E_flgB, respectively.

328 To assess the effects of the changes on the characteristics of the mutant strains, 329 growth rate, sporulation and germination, motility, and in vitro cytotoxicity and toxin 330 production (ELISA) were measured. None of the three corrected mutants exhibited any 331 difference in growth rate compared to the parental strain 630E (data not shown). Similarly, 332 sporulation and germination remained unaffected (data not shown). Toxicity testing 333 revealed no difference to 630E using the C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II™ ELISA assay kit from 334 TechLab (Fig. 4A). To quantify toxin A and toxin B individually the ELISA kits from TGCbiomics were used to assay 630E topA and 630E CD2667 (Fig. 4B). No differences 335 336 were measured for toxin A, but the strain 630E_topA showed significantly higher levels of 337 toxin B than the parental strain 630E.

338

Transcriptomic comparison of 630, 630Δ*erm* and 630E

RNA was extracted from strains 630, $630\Delta erm$ and 630E at 6, 14 and 24 h and used in an RNAseq experiment as described in Materials and Methods. The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (**Fig. 6**) showed that strain $630\Delta erm$ and 630E are closely correlated on a transcriptional level which is significantly separated from 630. While this result implies that both strains are fundamentally different to the parental strain, it does not indicate that the differences to 630 are the same for both strains. The analysis depicted by the Venn diagram (**Fig. 7**) confirms the results of the PCA, showing that the majority of differentially expressed genes are observed comparing $630\Delta erm$ and 630E to 630. From a total of 1337 differentially expressed genes (**Table S3** contains all the genes differentially expressed along the growth and also comparisons between the strains), only 139 were common between all three strains. A total of 345 were common between 630E and $630\Delta erm$, 60 were common between 630 and $630\Delta erm$ and 58 were common between 630 and 630E.

Most of the 345 genes differentially expressed in both, 630Δerm and 630E, were either 352 353 up or down-regulated in the same way highlighting again how distinct the two strains are 354 from the parental strain 630 (Table S3). In TY medium used for the transcriptomic 355 experiments, known as a non-optimal for spore production, a total of 44 sporulation genes 356 were differentially expressed in both $630\Delta erm$ and 630E, and all of these were 357 downregulated at 14 and 24 h compared to 6 h. No further differentially expressed 358 sporulation genes appeared in $630\Delta erm$, however, our analysis showed a further 22 359 sporulation genes, of which 21 were downregulated, in 630E. Amongst these was the 360 master regulator of sporulation spoOA. Nine genes classed as stress-related are 361 differentially expressed in all three strains (five upregulated), with a further three in 630 362 (all upregulated), seven in $630\Delta erm$ (four upregulated) and 16 in 630E (11 upregulated). 363 Nine genes related to secretion are down regulated in 630E and one gene related to type 364 IV pili is upregulated. In comparison only one secretion gene (putative pilus assembly 365 ATPase) is differentially expressed only in 630∆erm (down regulated) and none in 630. Metabolism is also highly differentially regulated in the three strains. 90 genes were 366 367 uniquely, differentially expressed in 630E, 50 in 630 Δerm and 30 in 630. In particular the

amino acid metabolism stands out for 630E with the majority of genes being
downregulated. (Table S3).

370 RNAseq data can be used to independently corroborate genome re-sequencing data. 371 Thus, it was apparent that those changes identified by CLC Bio as being present with a 372 frequency of 70% or less, except for CD630 20102, were not real accordingly to the 373 RNAseq analysis (Table S1). This increases the confidence in disregarding changes 374 identified by NGS with a low frequency. In most cases, the SNPs and Indels identified by 375 NGS were confirmed by the RNAseq analysis, with the following exceptions: for SNPs in 376 630E we found two disagreements notably in CD630 33790 and CD630 29430, which both 377 had 100 % frequency and a high coverage (around 200 reads) in the DNA sequence 378 analysis, but only low coverage in the RNAseq experiment. Due to the low coverage of 379 these regions during the RNAseq experiment, which is indicative of low or no expression 380 under the examined conditions, a sequencing error cannot be excluded. For the SNP in 381 CD630 12740 the RNA coverage corroborated the genomic data for 630E, but was in 382 disagreement with the genomic data for 630 and $630\Delta erm$. For three SNPs in $630\Delta erm$ 383 similar scenarios were observed. CD630 19070 had very low RNA coverage, CD630 35650 384 showed ambiguous RNA data with low coverage for 630E. CD630 08260, the perR 385 homologue, had convincing DNA data, with frequencies of 98-100 % and coverage of at 386 least a 100 which was corroborated for $630\Delta erm$ by RNAseq coverage.

In terms of actual expression data (**Table S1**), CD630_07610 (the RNA helicase), CD630_14040 (oligopeptide transporter), CD630_20270 (hydrolase) and CD630_29430 (phage replication protein) all showed differential expression in 630E compared to the other two strains, with the first two showing reduced expression and the latter two an

increase. CD630_29430, however, also showed an increase in expression in 630 at the later time point. Changes in CD630_26670 in $630\Delta erm$ and 630E both seem to lead to severely reduced expression. CD630_12740 (*topA*) only showed differential expression at 24 h in $630\Delta erm$ and CD630_12140 (*spo0A*) expression was severely reduced in 630E.

395

396 **Discussion**

Previously, two studies ^{8,9} have attempted to use isogenic mutants defective in the 397 398 production of either toxin A or toxin B to determine the relative importance of these two 399 virulence factors in CDI using the hamster infection model. However, despite generating 400 essentially equivalent A+B-insertion mutants in ostensibly the same strain of C. difficile 401 (630), contradictory outcomes were obtained in terms of the importance of toxin A. Thus, a *tcdB* mutant created in the one study ⁸ producing only TcdA did not cause disease in the 402 hamster, whereas the equivalent ClosTron mutant made in our laboratory (Minton group)⁹ 403 404 remained virulent. The work undertaken here has provided compelling evidence that the reason for the observed conundrum resides in the use of two different erythromycin-405 406 sensitive derivatives of strain 630.

Here we have shown that both erythromycin-sensitive derivatives, $630E^{14}$ and $630\Delta erm^{13}$ carry a significant number of SNPs compared to the published sequence. Moreover, it is clear that whilst the phenotypic properties of $630\Delta erm$ and its mutant derivatives closely resemble that of the parent strain 630, strain 630E and its progeny exhibit substantive differences. Thus, whereas latter strains exhibit reduced growth rates, are less proficient in spore formation and are non-motile, $630\Delta erm$ strains mirror the behaviour of the 630 parental strain with respect to these phenotypes. Furthermore, 630E

strains produce reduced amounts of toxin and both struggle to colonise hamsters, and once colonised, animals are less likely to succumb to disease. In short, 630E and its derivatives (i.e., 630E A-B+ and 630E A+B- CT) are less virulent than $630\Delta erm$ and its mutant counterparts (i.e., $630\Delta erm$ A+B-).

418 The altered properties of 630E and its derivatives are undoubtedly a consequence of 419 the observed SNPs. However, the substantive number of changes involved makes it 420 difficult to assign any particular SNP to a specific alteration in the observed phenotype, 421 particularly as a combination of mutagenic changes could be responsible. Whilst it is now possible to make precise changes to the genome using allelic exchange methodologies¹⁵ it 422 423 is not practically feasible to make all of the sequential rational changes needed to 424 definitively identify the mutation(s) responsible for a particular phenotype. As such, we 425 only corrected three specific SNPs that we reasoned may be making a significant 426 contribution. The outcomes of these experiments only emphasised the difficulty of such an undertaking, and served to highlight the dangers involved in making assumptions. Thus, 427 428 whilst it seemed reasonable to assume that the DNA inversion within the promoter region 429 of the flagella operon was likely to have caused the observed non-motile phenotype, this 430 surprisingly proved not to be the case. Re-inversion of the 150 bp region failed to restore 431 motility. Clearly other SNPs are at least partly responsible for the observed lack of motility. 432 Singling out any other SNP as the culprit would in the absence of experimental evidence be 433 counterproductive.

Equally negative was the observed outcome of correcting the mutation in CD630_12740 that results in a truncation of the encoded topoisomerase I enzyme. Given this enzyme controls DNA supercoiling, and given that its mutation in certain bacteria is

either a lethal event ²⁵⁻²⁷ and/or is involved in the regulation of virulence factors, ²⁸⁻³⁰ it 437 seemed likely that its presence would result in pleiotropic effects that could have 438 439 contributed to the observed phenotypic changes. However, its correction, with the 440 exception of a measurable increase in toxin B levels, seemingly had no effects on the 441 behaviour of the strain, at least for those properties measured. The reasons are not clear. 442 In other bacteria, mutations of topA are only isolated if compensatory mutations arise elsewhere in the genome.²⁶ Whether any of the other SNPs present in 630E (eg., the RNA 443 444 helicase mutation) are negating the effects of the TopA truncation is currently unknown.

445 To understand the differences observed further, we analysed the transcriptome of 446 630, $630\Delta erm$ and 630E, comparing expression at 6 h to 14 h and to 24 h (**Table S3**). The 447 data corroborated the phenotypic analysis, showing vastly different transcriptomes for all 448 three strains. While 630E and $630\Delta erm$ cluster together in the PCA (Fig. 6), this only 449 highlights how different the two strains really are from the progenitor. The analysis clearly shows that the three strains are very different from each other and also serves as an 450 451 explanation as to why the change of a single SNP could not restore any given phenotype. 452 Overall 630E seems the most divergent with many genes differentially expressed involved 453 in metabolism and regulation (Table S3). Additionally 32 genes grouped under the 454 descriptor 'cell factor', many of which play a role in energy metabolism, are differentially 455 expressed in 630E, with only six of these being upregulated. In contrast out of 19 genes in 456 630, 11 are upregulated and, out of 10 in $630\Delta erm$, six were upregulated. The number of 457 genes downregulated in energy metabolism in 630E might relate to the growth differences 458 seen between the strains.

459 Interestingly 22 genes involved in sporulation are differentially expressed, 21 of these 460 downregulated, in 630E versus one in 630 and two in $630\Delta erm$. This is consistent with the 461 observed delay in sporulation and reduced amount of spores produced by 630E. Secretion 462 also seems most affected in 630E, with 10 genes differentially expressed, compared to 463 none in 630 and one in $630\Delta erm$. A general defect in secretion could affect the secretion 464 of certain virulence or adhesion factors. Furthermore, 33 cell wall genes are differentially expressed in 630E (compared to 14 each in $630\Delta erm$ and 630). This may also contribute to 465 466 the observed colonisation deficiencies. Mobile elements are, however, more differentially 467 transcribed in 630 and 630∆erm (15 each) versus 630E (nine). As in many cases different 468 pathways were affected, we propose that this could at least in part explain the different 469 adaptability and virulence of the two strains. In both strains many regulators were 470 differentially affected providing a further basis for the observed phenotypic variation 471 between strains.

472

473 **Conclusion**

474 Our study has established that the parental strains (630E and $630\Delta erm$) used in the two previous studies, that explored the relative roles of toxin A and toxin B in disease.^{8,9} 475 476 are phenotypically and genetically distinct. Here we also reveal that the three strains (630, 477 $630\Delta erm$ and 630E) have vastly different transcriptomes, which no doubt lead to the 478 different phenotypes observed. This immense diversity also underlines our finding that by 479 restoring just one SNP, the entire transcriptome cannot be changed. The presence of SNPs 480 in strain 630E significantly affects its transcriptome which in turn has a significant impact 481 on growth, sporulation and finally virulence of this strain in the hamster model of infection

under the conditions tested. Data (such as motility, toxicity and virulence) obtained with strain $630\Delta erm$ reflects more accurately the behaviour of the parent strain 630. As such, it may be concluded that 630 producing toxin A alone will cause disease in the hamster. As a consequence, toxin A should remain a target for the rational development of effective countermeasures against *C. difficile*.

487 This study has also highlighted a number of issues that need to be borne in mind in the 488 future. At a specific level, if researchers wish to undertake genetic-based studies with 489 strain 630, then the use of strain $630\Delta erm$ should be favoured over strain 630E. At a more 490 fundamental level, researchers need to effectively curate their strains to prevent the 491 inadvertent isolation of SNPs. Ideally, master seed banks need to be established as frozen 492 glycerol stocks. Moreover, the genome of the stored strain should be re-sequenced as part 493 of the storage process whenever a strain is received from external sources, regardless of 494 whether it has been re-sequenced in the sending laboratory.

495

496 Materials and Methods

497 Bacterial strains and routine culture conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table 2**. *E. coli* was cultured aerobically at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm in LB medium with chloramphenicol supplementation (25 μ g/ml) where appropriate. *C. difficile* was cultured in TY (tryptose yeast) medium supplemented with thiamphenicol (15 μ g/ml) where appropriate. When needed, *C. difficile s*trains were plated on BHIS agar (Brain Heart Infusion agar [Oxoid] supplemented with 5 mg/ml yeast extract [Oxoid] and 0.1% [wt/vol] cysteine [Calbiochem]) supplemented with d-cycloserine (250 μ g/ml), cefoxitin (8 μ g/ml) [Oxoid] 505 (BHIScc). Fluorocytosine selections were carried out on *C. difficile* minimal medium 506 (CDMM) as described previously. ¹⁵ All *C. difficile* cultures were incubated at 37°C 507 anaerobically in an anaerobic MACS1000 workstation (Don Whitley, Yorkshire, UK).

508 Mutant nomenclature

509 For the sake of simplicity, C. difficile strains that carried a tcdA insertional mutant were 510 referred to as A-, those carrying a *tcdB* mutant as B-, and those strains carrying a mutation 511 in both genes as A-B-. To avoid any ambiguity, if the gene was not inactivated it was 512 referred to as A+ or B+, as appropriate. Thus, a *tcdA* mutant of $630\Delta erm$ constructed using ClosTron technology as described, $9^{, 16}$ was designated 630 Δerm A-B+. The equivalent 513 514 mutant in 630E constructed through the insertion of a replication-deficient plasmid, according to the method of O'Connor et al., ¹⁴ was designated 630E A-B+. When ClosTron 515 516 technology was used in 630E, this was clarified by adding a 'CT' suffix, 630E A-B+CT to the 517 strain designation.

518

519 Whole genome sequencing and bioinformatics

520 Genomic DNA from strains 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT and 630∆erm A+B- was prepared by phenol:chloroform extraction. 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT, $630\Delta erm(\Delta pyrE)$ were 521 522 sequenced on Ilumina highseq (GATC, Germany) and 630∆erm A+B- on a Roche 454 (Deepseq, Nottingham, UK) and the data compared to the published genome of 630^{11,19} 523 using CLC genomic workbench. All raw sequencing data have been deposited in the 524 525 sequence read archive (SRA) under the study name PRJNA304508. The accession number is SRP066836. The sequencing data for $630\Delta erm\Delta pyrE$ had been obtained previously ¹⁹ and 526 527 with no additional changes, other than the *pyrE* deletion, present compared to $630\Delta erm$,

were used to analyse the parental strain $630\Delta erm$. We used a frequency of 70% as a cut-off for SNP calling. SNPs, InDels and inversions were confirmed by amplifying a few hundred base pairs up- and downstream of the area of interest (primers are listed in **Table S4**) and the amplicon was Sanger sequenced (Source BioScience, UK). This confirmation was done on all strains including the parental strains (630, 630 Δerm , 630E) and the derivatives (630 Δerm A+B-, 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT).

534

535 Correction of SNPs and reversal of 150-bp region within the flagellar operon

Using the method described by Cartman et al. ¹⁵ we "corrected" the two SNPs and an 536 inversion in 630E to the $630\Delta erm$ genotype. A stretch of DNA corresponding to 537 approximately 500 bp either side of the area to be altered was synthesised by Biomatik 538 539 and cloned into plasmid pMTL-SC7315 λ 2.3. This vector was transformed by electroporation into E. coli CA434 cells ³⁶ and subsequently conjugated into 630E. Single 540 541 crossover colonies were identified as those growing faster on plates containing 542 thiamphenicol. Following overnight incubation on CDMM containing 5-fluorocytosine, 543 colonies were incubated on BHIScc plates with and without thiamphenicol. Those strains 544 that had lost the plasmid (both wildtype and double crossover) were unable to grow on 545 thiamphenicol. SNP corrections were confirmed by PCR (Primers see Table S4) and Sanger 546 sequencing (Source BioScience, UK).

547

548 ClosTron mutagenesis

549 A *tcdB* mutant was generated in the 630E background according to the published 550 method, ¹⁶ using the same plasmid that was used to generate the equivalent mutant in

551 630 Δerm . ⁹ This newly created strain was referred to as 630E A+B- CT.

552

553 Southern and Western blot

554 The Southern and Western blot were performed as described in Kuehne et al., 2010. ⁹

555

556 In vivo testing of mutants

557 In vivo testing was carried out in Syrian Golden hamsters (Charles River, Germany) as previously described.⁹ Briefly, clindamycin was administered orally on day -five to render 558 559 the animals susceptible to infection. On day zero, 10,000 spores were administered orally. 560 Animals were assessed for signs of CDI (weight loss, wet tail, lethargy, lack of response to 561 stimulus) six times a day for the first five days, and once daily for the following 14 days. At 562 this point animals that failed to display signs of CDI were euthanised. Faecal pellets were 563 collected daily from day zero to endpoint, homogenised and plated on C. difficile fructose 564 agar (CDFA). C. difficile colonies were sub-cultured onto BHIS agar and the genotype was 565 established by PCR (primers in Table S4) followed by Sanger sequencing (results in Table 566 **S2**). At the experimental endpoint, part of the caecum of each animal was collected. This 567 was also used to plate on CDFA to verify colonisation.

568

569 *In vitro* testing of mutants

Growth curves: To assess the effects of SNPs and "corrected" SNPs/inversion on the growth characteristics of all strains, we performed growth curve experiments over 24 h. A 180 μ L volume of TY medium was inoculated with 20 μ L of an overnight culture in 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h in a GloMax-Multi Microplate Multimode Reader (Promega, 574 USA). Samples were shaken every h and OD₆₀₀ measurements were taken immediately 575 after.

576 **Motility assays:** 2xYTG (tryptone (1.6%), yeast (1%), NaCl (0.4%), Gelzan (0.24%)[Sigma-577 Aldrich] and glucose (0.5%)) agar was utilised. 25 mL were poured into each petri dish and 578 let to solidify at room temperature for 15 min. The plates were then dried at 37°C for 30 579 min. The plates were placed into the anaerobic cabinet 24 h before use. 2 μL volumes of 580 overnight culture were 'dropped' onto each plate. Plates were incubated anaerobically for 581 48 h. Motility was assessed by eye and the plates photographed.

582 Sporulation and germination assays: Sporulation and germination assays were carried out as previously described. ^{17, 18} Briefly, for the sporulation assay cultures were grown for five 583 584 days, with 2 X 500 µl samples taken at 0, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h. One sample from each 585 time point was heated to 65°C for 30 min while the other sample was kept at room temperature. After this time, samples were serially diluted from 10° to 10^{-7} in PBS. 3 X 20µl 586 587 of each dilution was spotted onto BHIS plates containing 0.1% taurocholic acid and were 588 incubated for 24 h. The following day, colonies were counted and CFU/mL were calculated. A 630 Δ erm spo0A::CT mutant strain (containing a ClosTron insertion in the spo0A gene ³⁷). 589 590 which is unable to form spores, was used as a negative control.

Germination was measured as a function of the ability of a germinated spore to outgrow in the absence of taurocholate. Spore stocks were prepared as previously described by Heeg *et al.*, 2012 ³⁸ and stored at -20°C. The optical density of spore suspensions (OD_{600}) was adjusted to 1.0 and 450 µl was used per measurement. This equated to approximately 2.5 X 10⁷ spores. Spore suspensions were heat treated at 60°C for 25 min to kill any remaining vegetative cells and then centrifuged and resuspended in 597 BHIS with the germinant taurocholic acid (0.1%) in a total volume of 20 ml. Samples were 598 taken at 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 min, briefly centrifuged, washed and 599 resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the samples were then diluted and plated 600 on plain BHIS agar. Plates were incubated for 24 h before the CFUs were enumerated. 601 Colonies that grew on these plates were considered to be germinated vegetative bacteria.

602

Toxin A/B ELISA: ELISA assays were performed using the C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II[™] kit from 603 604 TechLab and the TGC-E002-1-separate detection of C. difficile toxins A and B kit from 605 TGCbiomics according to the manufacturers' instructions. Cultures of C. difficile were 606 grown in TY medium without glucose for 72 h, at which time 1 ml samples were taken, 607 centrifuged and the supernatant filter-sterilised and used for the ELISAs. A 1:2 dilution was 608 used for the toxin B ELISA kit from TGCbiomics. To quantify toxins a standard curve with 609 pure toxin (the native antigen company) was established for the TechLab ELISA and also 610 Toxin A ELISA from TGCbiomics.

611

RNAseq: Total RNA was extracted from 2 independent biological replicates of 630, 612 613 $630\Delta erm$ and 630E strains at 3 time points (18 samples). Bacteria were grown in TY broth medium after 6, 14 and 24 h as previously described. ³⁵ The mRNA was treated with 614 615 MicrobExpress kit (Ambion). For oriented RNA-seq library construction, the Truseq 616 stranded RNA seq Illumina kit was used according to manufacturer's instructions before 617 sequencing using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine. Sequencing reads were mapped using Bowtie ³⁹ to the reannotated 630 reference genome ⁴⁰ complemented with the known 618 ncRNA.⁴¹ Statistical analyses were performed on each strand coverage count with DESeq2 619

 42 using the 6 h value as a reference for reporting the expression data of 14 and 24 h. A

621 gene was considered differentially expressed when the fold change was > 2 and the *P value*

622 was < 0.05.

623 The RNA-seq data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI's Gene

624 Expression Omnibus database under the accession no. GSE72006

625 RNA-seq coverage visualization is available through the COV2HTML software:⁴³

630	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-20
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-22
630∆erm	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-24
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-26
630E	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-28
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-30

626

627 Graphs and statistical analyses

All graphs were generated and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM 6.02. Statistical analysis comprised either 2-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons or unpaired t test for pairwise comparisons. All experiments were carried out in triplicate unless stated otherwise.

632

633 Ethics statement

This work was reviewed and approved locally by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (formerly the Ethical Review Committee) at the University of Nottingham and performed under a project licence (PPL 40/3590) granted under the Animal (Scientific 637 Procedures) Act, 1986, by the UK Home Office. The work was performed in accordance

638 with the NC3R^s ARRIVE guidelines. ⁴⁴

639

640 Acknowledgement

641 We would like to thank Haitham Hussain for providing *C. difficile* 630∆*erm*, Dena Lyras

642 for providing *C. difficile* 630E and toxin mutants and Rory Cave for helping with PCRs and

643 Sanger sequencing. We would also like to acknowledge Sean Roberts who was involved in

644 creating the 630E A+B- CT strain. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the

authors and do not necessarily represent those of Merck Sharp & Dohme Limited or the

646 Medical Research Council (UK).

647

648 References

649 1. Govind R, Dupuy B. Secretion of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B requires the 650 holin-like protein TcdE. PLoS Pathogens 2012; 8:e1002727.

Jank T, Giesemann T, Aktories K. Rho-glucosylating *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and
B: new insights into structure and function. Glycobiology 2007; 17:15R-22R.

Sun X, Savidge T, Feng H. The enterotoxicity of *Clostridium difficile* toxins. Toxins
(Basel) 2010; 2:1848-80.

4. Lyerly DM, Krivan HC, Wilkins TD. *Clostridium difficile*: its disease and toxins. Clinical
Microbiology Reviews 1988; 1:1-18.

5. Lyerly DM, Barroso LA, Wilkins TD, Depitre C, Corthier G. Characterization of a toxin A-negative, toxin B-positive strain of *Clostridium difficile*. Infection Immunity 1992; 659 60:4633-9.

660 6. Kim J, Pai H, Seo MR, Kang JO. Clinical and microbiologic characteristics of tcdA-661 negative variant *Clostridium difficile* infections. BMC Infectious Diseases 2012; 12:109.

662 7. Chaves-Olarte E, Low P, Freer E, Norlin T, Weidmann M, von Eichel-Streiber C,
663 Thelestam M. A novel cytotoxin from *Clostridium difficile* serogroup F is a functional hybrid
664 between two other large clostridial cytotoxins. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1999;
665 274:11046-52.

666 8. Lyras D, O'Connor JR, Howarth PM, Sambol SP, Carter GP, Phumoonna T, Poon R,
667 Adams V, Vedantam G, Johnson S, et al. Toxin B is essential for virulence of *Clostridium*668 *difficile*. Nature 2009; 458:1176-9.

669 9. Kuehne SA, Cartman ST, Heap JT, Kelly ML, Cockayne A, Minton NP. The role of 670 toxin A and toxin B in *Clostridium difficile* infection. Nature 2010; 467:711-3. Monot M, Eckert C, Lemire A, Hamiot A, Dubois T, Tessier C, Dumoulard B, Hamel B,
Petit A, Lalande V, et al. *Clostridium difficile*: New Insights into the Evolution of the
Pathogenicity Locus. Science Reports 2015; 5:15023.

674 11. Kuehne SA, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Both, toxin A and toxin B, are important in 675 *Clostridium difficile* infection. Gut Microbes 2011; 2:252-5.

Sebaihia M, Wren BW, Mullany P, Fairweather NF, Minton N, Stabler R, Thomson
NR, Roberts AP, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, Wang H, et al. The multidrug-resistant human
pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. Nature Genetics 2006;
38:779-86.

Hussain HA, Roberts AP, Mullany P. Generation of an erythromycin-sensitive
derivative of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 (630Delta*erm*) and demonstration that the
conjugative transposon Tn*916*DeltaE enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites.
Journal of Medical Microbiology 2005; 54:137-41.

684 14. O'Connor JR, Lyras D, Farrow KA, Adams V, Powell DR, Hinds J, Cheung JK, Rood JI.
685 Construction and analysis of chromosomal *Clostridium difficile* mutants. Molecular
686 Microbiology 2006; 61:1335-51.

Cartman ST, Kelly ML, Heeg D, Heap JT, Minton NP. Precise manipulation of the
 Clostridium difficile chromosome reveals a lack of association between the *tcdC* genotype
 and toxin production. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2012; 78:4683-90.

Heap JT, Kuehne SA, Ehsaan M, Cartman ST, Cooksley CM, Scott JC, Minton NP. The
ClosTron: Mutagenesis in *Clostridium* refined and streamlined. Journal of Microbiological
Methods 2010; 80:49-55.

693 17. Burns DA, Heap JT, Minton NP. SleC is essential for germination of *Clostridium*694 *difficile* spores in nutrient-rich medium supplemented with the bile salt taurocholate.
695 Journal of Bacteriology 2010; 192:657-64.

Burns DA, Heeg D, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Reconsidering the sporulation
characteristics of hypervirulent *Clostridium difficile* BI/NAP1/027. PLoS One 2011;
6:e24894.

Ng YK, Ehsaan M, Philip S, Collery MM, Janoir C, Collignon A, Cartman ST, Minton
NP. Expanding the repertoire of gene tools for precise manipulation of the *Clostridium difficile* genome: allelic exchange using *pyrE* alleles. PLoS One 2013; 8:e56051.

20. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. NatureMethods 2012; 9:357-9.

Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJ, Pritchard L, Cardle L, Shaw PD, Marshall D.
Using Tablet for visual exploration of second-generation sequencing data. Brief Bioinform
2013; 14:193-202.

Riedel T, Bunk B, Thurmer A, Sproer C, Brzuszkiewicz E, Abt B, Gronow S, Liesegang
H, Daniel R, Overmann J. Genome Resequencing of the Virulent and Multidrug-Resistant
Reference Strain *Clostridium difficile* 630. Genome Announcements 2015; 3.

van Eijk E, Anvar SY, Browne HP, Leung WY, Frank J, Schmitz AM, Roberts AP, Smits
WK. Complete genome sequence of the *Clostridium difficile* laboratory strain 630Deltaerm
reveals differences from strain 630, including translocation of the mobile element CTn5.
BMC Genomics 2015; 16:31.

Rosenbusch KE, Bakker D, Kuijper EJ, Smits WK. *C. difficile* 630Delta*erm* Spo0A
regulates sporulation, but does not contribute to toxin production, by direct high-affinity
binding to target DNA. PLoS One 2012; 7:e48608.

Ahmed W, Menon S, Godbole AA, Karthik PV, Nagaraja V. Conditional silencing of
topoisomerase I gene of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* validates its essentiality for cell
survival. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2014; 353:116-23.

720 26. Stupina VA, Wang JC. Viability of *Escherichia coli topA* mutants lacking DNA 721 topoisomerase I. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005; 280:355-60.

Suerbaum S, Brauer-Steppkes T, Labigne A, Cameron B, Drlica K. Topoisomerase I of *Helicobacter pylori*: juxtaposition with a flagellin gene (*flaB*) and functional requirement of
a fourth zinc finger motif. Gene 1998; 210:151-61.

725 28. Tessier MC, Graveline R, Crost C, Desabrais JA, Martin C, Drolet M, Harel J. Effects
726 of DNA supercoiling and topoisomerases on the expression of genes coding for F165(1), a
727 P-like fimbriae. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2007; 277:28-36.

Ni Bhriain N, Dorman CJ. Isolation and characterization of a *topA* mutant of *Shigella flexneri*. Molecular Microbiology 1993; 7:351-8.

30. Darwin AJ, Miller VL. Identification of *Yersinia enterocolitica* genes affecting survival
in an animal host using signature-tagged transposon mutagenesis. Molecular Microbiology
1999; 32:51-62.

Galan JE, Curtiss R, 3rd. Expression of *Salmonella typhimurium* genes required for
invasion is regulated by changes in DNA supercoiling. Infection and Immunity 1990;
58:1879-85.

Aubry A, Hussack G, Chen W, KuoLee R, Twine SM, Fulton KM, Foote S, Carrillo CD,
Tanha J, Logan SM. Modulation of toxin production by the flagellar regulon in *Clostridium difficile*. Infection and Immunity 2012.

33. Baban ST, Kuehne SA, Barketi-Klai A, Cartman ST, Kelly ML, Hardie KR, Kansau I,
Collignon A, Minton NP. The Role of Flagella in *Clostridium difficile* Pathogenesis:
Comparison between a Non-Epidemic and an Epidemic Strain. PLoS One 2013; 8:e73026.

Antunes A, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. CcpA-mediated repression of *Clostridium difficile* toxin gene expression. Molecular Microbiology 2011; 79:882-99.

Antunes A, Camiade E, Monot M, Courtois E, Barbut F, Sernova NV, Rodionov DA,
Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon
regulator CcpA in *Clostridium difficile.* Nucleic Acids Research 2012; 40:10701-18.

747 36. Williams DR, Young DI, Young M. Conjugative plasmid transfer from *Escherichia coli*748 to *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Journal of General Microbiology 1990; 136:819-26.

37. Heap JT, Pennington OJ, Cartman ST, Carter GP, Minton NP. The ClosTron: a
universal gene knock-out system for the genus *Clostridium*. Journal of Microbiological
Methods 2007; 70:452-64.

38. Heeg D, Burns DA, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Spores of *Clostridium difficile* clinical
isolates display a diverse germination response to bile salts. PLoS One 2012; 7:e32381.

75439.Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient755alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology 2009; 10:R25.

40. Monot M, Boursaux-Eude C, Thibonnier M, Vallenet D, Moszer I, Medigue C,
Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. Reannotation of the genome sequence of *Clostridium difficile*strain 630. Journal of Medical Microbiology 2011; 60:1193-9.

759 41. Soutourina OA, Monot M, Boudry P, Saujet L, Pichon C, Sismeiro O, Semenova E,

760 Severinov K, Le Bouguenec C, Coppee JY, et al. Genome-wide identification of regulatory

761 RNAs in the human pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. PLoS Genetics 2013; 9:e1003493.

- 42. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersionfor RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 2014; 15:550.
- Monot M, Orgeur M, Camiade E, Brehier C, Dupuy B. COV2HTML: a visualization
 and analysis tool of bacterial next generation sequencing (NGS) data for postgenomics life
 scientists. Omics 2014; 18:184-95.
- 767 44. Kilkenny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG. Improving bioscience 768 research reporting: The ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. Journal of
- 769 Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy 2010; 1:94-9.
- 770
- 771
- 772

773 Figure legends

774

Figure 1. Growth curves of strain 630 and its derivatives. A. 630 (630), $630\Delta erm$ and 630E were grown in TY-broth for 24 h in a 96 well plate reader. The optical density at 600 nm was measured every 30 min. B. This graph shows the same growth as A. and in addition the growth of derivatives $630\Delta erm$ A+B-, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT.

779

Figure 2. Motility assays. The assay was carried out by inoculating overnight cultures onto motility
agar plates and incubating anaerobically for 48 h. Strains 630, 630∆*erm* and 630E were compared
for their ability to swarm.

783

Figure 3. Sporulation and Germination. A. Sporulation over 120 h comparing heat treated CFUs of strains 630, $630\Delta erm$, $630\Delta erm$ A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT with a non-sporulating control (*spo0A*). B. The extent of germination of the indicated strains was measured over 250 min as the ability of germinated spores to form colonies on plates lacking taurocholate.

788

Figure 4. Toxin ELISAs. A. The *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*[™] ELISA assay kit from TechLab was used to
measure combined toxin A and B in strains 630, 630Δ*erm*, 630Δ*erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B-, 630E
A+B- CT, 630E_*topA*, 630E_*flgB* and 630E_CD2667 grown in TY for 72 h. B. and C. Toxin ELISAs
TGCbiomics, measuring the toxins separately were used to quantify toxin A (B) and toxin B (C)
produced by strains 630, 630Δ*erm*, 630Δ*erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- CT grown in TY
for 72 h. Statistics were performed using one-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test.

795

796 Figure 5. Infection to endpoint in the Hamster infection model. Groups of Golden Syrian Hamsters 797 were challenged with C. difficile 630E (5 hamsters), 630E A+B- (11 hamsters) and 630E A+B- CT (6 798 hamsters). The graph represents the time from inoculation to endpoint. The maximal duration of 799 the experiment was set to 20 days. Animals represented in open symbols, have not been colonized 800 despite challenge or lost colonization before day 20. Details can be seen in **Table 1**. The dotted line separates this experiment from data obtained by Kuehne et al.⁹, which are represented here as a 801 802 comparator. In that study 8 hamsters were infected with C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ and another 8 803 hamsters with $630\Delta erm$ A+B-.

805 Figure 6. Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) visualizes 806 the variance of the data in a single graph. The axis represent the two largest variances of the data; 807 PC1 accounts for 42% and PC2 accounts for 21%, that means that 63% of the total variance of the 808 dataset is explained in this graph. The third component accounts for less than 10% and further 809 components have a value that falls rapidly. The PCA represents the RNAseq data (at three different 810 time points, six, 14 and 24 h) in duplicate for 630 (blue), 630∆erm (green) and 630E (orange). The 811 different time points are represented as dots in the different shades of the respective colour as 812 indicated in the colour legend.

813

814 **Figure 7.** Venn Diagram representing differentially expressed genes in the three different strains.

815 The diagram summarises the output from the RNAseq data, comparing strains 630, 630 Δ erm and

816 630E. It depicts all differentially expressed genes and shows how many genes are differentially

817 expressed in all strains, in two of the strains or are unique to just one strain.

Table 1. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and other changes found after re-sequencing.

Gene	Description	Position	630 ¹²	630 ²²	630	С	F	630∆ <i>erm</i>	С	F	630E	С	F	AA
630E		•	•		•				•		•	•	•	·
	Putative ATP-dependent RNA	933139										199	100	Asp136Tyr
CD630_07610	helicase		G	-	-			-			Т			. ,
	Putative oligopeptide	1626977										187	100	Glu536Gly
CD630_14040	transporter		Α	-	-			-			G			
	N-carbamoyl-L-amino acid	2339506										73	100	Gly373Glu
CD630_20270	hydrolase		G	-	-			-			A			
CD630_26670	PTSG-BC	3079815	Α	-	-			-			С	165	100	*524Glu
CD630_26270	Hypothetical protein	3034953	С	A	Α			A			-	156	100	Gly68Cys
	conjugative transposon											307	100	Glu63Asp
CD630_33790	protein	3951559	С	-	-			-			Α			
CD630_12740	topA	1480649	С	-	-			-			Т	146	100	Gln386*
	Putative phage replication													
CD630_29430	protein	3422569	Т	-	-			-			С	195	100	Asn210Asp
IG	Intergenic region	309208	-	-	-			-			INV	129	41	
IG	Intergenic region	3528736	G	-	-			-			Т	94	100	
630∆ <i>erm</i>														
CD630_19070	eutG	2209236	G	-	-			A	127	97				Gly252Glu
	GntR family transcriptional													
CD630_35650	regulator	4166495	G	-	-			A	182	100	-			Ala91Val
IG	Intergenic region	2937176	С	-	-			A	173	100	-			
IG	Intergenic region	3005866	Т	-	-			G	156	100	-			
IG	Intergenic region	3591103	G	-	-			А	211	100	-			
								AGAATGTA						
								GGAAATAT						
CD630_12140	spo0A	1413057	-	-	-			AG	112	40	-			Insertion
CD630_08260	Ferric uptake regulator	1000995	A	-	-	100	100	G	153	100	-	170	98	Thr41Ala
630		•	T		-							T	T	
CD630_32450	prdR	3797112	С	-	Т	117	100	-			-			Glu261Lys

	Transcription antiterminator,													
CD630_02050	PTS operon regulator	268934	G	-	Т	123	97	-			-			Gly165Cys
630 and 630∆ <i>ei</i>	m													
CD630_2667	PTSG-BC	3080703	С	-	Т	75	100	Т	141	100	-			Val228lle
IG	Intergenic region	2203033	A	-	Т	105	100	Т	174	99				
IG	Intergenic region	4007463			С	10	100	С	62	100				
630 and 630∆ <i>ei</i>	<i>m</i> and 630E													
CD630_11900	acyl-CoA N-acyltransferase	1391850	Т	-	С	118	100	С	133	100	С	143	100	Phe133Leu
CD630_13880	pseudo	1607453	INS ¹	-	Т	119	94	Т	175	94	Т	124	88	Thr16fs
Mistake in origi	nal sequence													
CD630_17670	gapB	2044514	С	G	G			G			G			
CD630_31561	pseudo	3686535	INS ¹	A	A			A			A			

The table shows the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) changes in $630\Delta erm$ and 630E compared to the reference 630^{12} and also the new annotation by Riedel *et al* (The column 'Gene' represents the gene (or intergenic region (IG)) in which the change occurs, the column 'position' indicates the exact nucleotide position of the change.). ²² It also contains SNP frequency (F) and genomic coverage (C) as well as the resultant amino acid change (AA). No change from the original 630 annotation ¹² is represented by a dash (-).

Table 2. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Name	Description	Source
Bacterial strains		I
E. coli TOP 10	$F-mcrA \Delta(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC)$	Invitrogen
	Φ80/acZ Δ M15 Δ lacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ (ara	
	<i>leu</i>) 7697 <i>galU galK rpsL</i> (Str ^R) <i>end</i> A1 nupG	
E. coli CA434	Conjugation donor	Williams et al. ³⁶
C. difficile 630	Wild-type	Brendan Wren
C. difficile 630∆erm	Erythromycin sensitive strain of <i>C. difficile</i> 630	Hussain et al. ¹³
C. difficile	C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ containing a deletion in	Ng et al. ¹⁹
630Δerm(ΔpyrE)	the <i>pyrE</i> gene	
C. difficile 630E	Erythromycin sensitive strain of <i>C. difficile</i> 630	Lyras et al. ⁸
C. difficile 630∆erm	<i>C. difficile</i> 630∆ <i>erm tcdB</i> -1511a∷intron <i>ermB</i>	Kuehne et al. ⁹
A+B-		
C. difficile 630E A+B-	C. difficile 630E	Lyras et al. ⁸
C. difficile 630E A+B-	C. difficile 630E tcdB-1511a:: intron ermB	This study
СТ		
C. difficile 630E_topA	C. difficile 630E	This study
C. difficile 630E_flgB	C. difficile 630E	This study
C. difficile	C. difficile 630E	This study
630E_CD2667		
Plasmids		
pMTL007C-E2:tcdB-	ClosTron plasmid containing retargeted region	Kuehne et al. ⁹
1511a	to <i>tcdB</i> at IS 1511 (antisense oriented) for <i>C</i> .	
	<i>difficile</i> 630∆ <i>erm</i> or 630E	
pMTL-	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,000 bp	This study, based on

SC7315λ2.3::topA	homology arms to change nucleotide 1480649	Cartman et al. ¹⁵
	from 1 to C in 630E	
pMTL-	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,156 bp	This study, based on
		45
SC7315λ2.3::flgB	homology arms to reverse the inversion	Cartman et al. ¹⁵
	upstream of <i>flaB</i> in 630E	
pMTL-	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,000 bp	This study, based on
SC731512 3CD2667	homology arms to change nucleotide 3070815	Cartman et al. ¹⁵
001010//2.0002001	nonology and to change hubbolide 5075015	Gartman et al.
	from C to A in 630E	

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Southern and Western blot of 630E A+B- CT. A. Southern blot showing the single ClosTron insertion to create 630E A+B- CT (mutant 1, 2 and 3). The ClosTron plasmid pMTL007C-E2:*tcdB*-1511a was used as a positive control and the parental strain 630E as a negative control. The probe used binds to the intron insertion. B. Western blot using an anti-TcdA antibody to detect production of toxin A in the supernatant of 630, $630\Delta erm$, $630\Delta erm$ A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT after 96 h.

Table S1. Genomic and transcriptomic differences between 630, 630∆*erm* and 630E.

SNPs, insertions (INS) and inversion (INV) are shown in this table as well as the corresponding RNAseq coverage. Changes between 630, $630\Delta erm$ and 630E are represented compared to the published genome of 630, the new 630 genome by Riedel *et al.*²² and also the newly published genome of $630\Delta erm$ ²³.

See attached excel file: S1_Table

Table S2. Colonisation of hamsters with *C. difficile* strains.

Colonisation of hamsters with 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT was confirmed by plating faecal and caecal samples on CDFA and by extraction genomic DNA and PCR of the toxin A and B genes.

Strain given	Colonisation	630 PCR	tcdA	tcdB	Succumbed to CDI
630E		Caecum	+	+	
630E	Colonised to Day 18	Faecal	+	+	
630E	Colonised to Day 15	Faecal	+	+	
630E		Caecum	+	+	CDI
630E		Caecum	+	+	CDI
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	CDI
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	

	Caecum	+	-	
	Caecum	+	-	CDI
	Caecum	+	-	
Colonised to Day 3	Faecal	+	-	
	Caecum	+	-	
	Caecum	+	-	
	Caecum	+	СТ	CDI
	Caecum	+	СТ	CDI
Colonised to Day 15	Faecal	+	СТ	
Colonised to Day 18	Faecal	+	СТ	
	Caecum	+	СТ	
	Caecum	+	СТ	
	Colonised to Day 3 Colonised to Day 15 Colonised to Day 18	CaecumCaecumCaecumColonised to Day 3FaecalCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumColonised to Day 15FaecalColonised to Day 18FaecalCaecumCaecumCaecumColonised to Day 18CaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecumCaecum	Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+Colonised to Day 3Faecal+CaecumCaecum+Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+Colonised to Day 15Faecal+Colonised to Day 18Faecal+Caecum+CaecumCaecum+Colonised to Day 18Faecal+Caecum+CaecumCaecum+CaecumCaecum+Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+Caecum+	Caecum+-Caecum+-Caecum+-Colonised to Day 3Faecal+-Caecum+Caecum+-Caecum+-Caecum+CTColonised to Day 15Faecal+CTColonised to Day 18Faecal+CTCaecum+CTCaecum+Colonised to Day 18Faecal+CTCaecum+CTCaecum+Colonised to Day 18Faecal+CTCaecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+CTCaecum+Caecum+ </td

Table S3. Transcriptomic differences between 630, 630∆*erm* and 630E.

Differentially expressed (DE) genes, comparing RNAseq data from 6 h to 14 h and 24 h in 630, 630∆*erm* and 630E. The genes are classed into cell factor, cell growth, cell wall, fermentation, membrane transport, amino acid metabolism, carbon metabolism, cofactor metabolism, lipid metabolism, nucleic acid metabolism, mobile elements, motility, operons, regulation, anaerobic respiration, secretion, sporulation, stress, translation, unknown and virulence factors. Downregulated genes are coloured in green and upregulated genes in red.

See attached excel file: S3_Table

Table S4. Primers used in this study.

Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')	Explanation or SNP target (where applicable)
topAMC1	GATGCACAACAGGCAAGAAGAGTGC	To screen for chromosomal change
topAMC2b	CCCGATTGTAAAACAACTAGACCAATTATG	To screen for chromosomal change
flgBMC1b	CTATCAAATACAGATGGAAGTTGTGGTG	To screen for chromosomal change
flgBMC2c	CGAGCATATGATTCTAACGTAGATACATTGAATG	To screen for chromosomal change

CD2667MC1	GTCACCTTATGAGTGAAGTTTGTAATAAATGTGG	To screen for
		chromosomal change
CD2667MC2b	GCAAGAGCTGCTGCTGGAAGAC	To screen for
		chromosomal change
JRP3441 ⁸	GTTACCAGGAATACAACCAGAC	To test for interrupted
		tcdB
JRP2839 ⁸	CGGCCAGCCTCGCAGAGCAG	To test for interrupted
		tcdB
JRP3442 ⁸	GCACTTGCTTGATCAAAGCTCC	toxA specific PCR,
		positive for all strains
		(630E, 630E A+B-, 630E
		A+B-CT)
JRP2342 ⁸	CCGGAATTCGCTCTATTGGACTAGACCGTTG	toxA specific PCR,
		positive for all strains
		(630E, 630E A+B-, 630E
		A+B-CT)
Cdi-tcdB-F1 ⁹	TGATAGTATAATGGCTGAAGCTAATGCAGATAATGG	To test for <i>tcdB</i>
		ClosTron insertion
Cdi-tcdB-R1 ⁹	CTTGCATCGTCAAATGACC ATAAGCTAGCC	To test for <i>tcdB</i>
		ClosTron insertion
268934_F	TGTCAAGTGAATTAGAAAGAAACCA	268934
268934_R	AAGTGAGCCGTGTTTTGAAAA	268934
309208_F	GCCAGTTGCCAAAAAGAGTC	309208
309208_R	GGCATAGCATCATTTAGTGTTTC	309208
MCSNP11	CGGGAAAAACAGCTGCTTTTAGTATCCC	933139
MCSNP12	CCTCTTGCTTGTAAATCTCCTACCAATTC	933139
10000995_F	GATGAAGAAGTTGTTTGGCAAT	1000995
10000995_R	CCTACTTGGCTACACCTTTTACA	1000995
1391850_F	TCTGTCATTTGGAAAGGATGAA	1391850
1391850_R	TCTGTACTTGCTTTTGATATACTTGGA	1391850
Spo0A_F1	GGCATAGCTAAGGATGGAATTG	1413057
Spo0A_R1	GGAGTAGAGGAAAAGTTGACACAA	1413057
1480649_F	GCTTCAACAAGAAGGAGCAAA	1480649
1480649 R	TGCTGGTGGTTGTGTAAAATG	1480649
1607453 F	TTGAAGGTGTAAACTCAGTTGTAGG	1607453
1607453 R	TCCAAATAAAAGTCTATGAAAATGAA	1607453
 1626977 F	TGGTGGTAGCAAAAACGAAA	1626977
 1626977 R	TGCCATTGAATTTGTTGCAG	1626977
	CATACTAAATGAGGGGTAAAATAAAGA	2044514
2044514 R	ттттстосстттстстттото	2044514
MCSNP1	GTTCTGTAATACCTTTTTCTTTAGCTATTTTAATTGC	2203033
MCSNP2	GTTACCGATATTATAGGCAAAACTGCCC	2203033
2209236 F	CCATTAGTGAGTGATGATTTACTTCC	2209236
2209236 R	GCAAGTTTTGCTATTTCTCTTTCTT	2209236
2320410 F	GTCACTGGTAGGAATTAATCTAACG	2320410
2320410 R	TGCTTTCACAAATGCTTTCG	2320410
MCSNP13	GAAAGATGAATTTCTATCCATCTTCATCAAATGTGG	2339506
MCSNP14	GGGGCTGTTGACCTTGGACCC	2339506

MCSNP3	CCTTTGATGTCTAGTTAATTTCTTCACTTATTTTAAGC	2937176
MCSNP4	GGAAAACCAGCAAAAGCTTGTATTATGATTCCC	2937176
3005866_F	AATATAATTCCCAACCTTCCAAA	3005866
3005866_R	TTTGTTTGAAGATTAGTGGTGATTG	3005866
MCSNP5	GTATATTTTTTCTCTAGCTTTATCTCCATCAGGG	3034953
MCSNP6	GGAAAGGATAAACCAGGTATAGTGGC	3034953
3079815_F	CCCGCTTTTACTTCATCTCC	3079815
3079815_R	GCATCAGAGATTTTGATTGCTTT	3079815
MCSNP7	CCTGCTACAAATTTTTTCTTTTCTGGC	3080703
MCSNP8	CTGCTTATCTTTATAAAAAGTTTTATAAAATTGAATTACCTC	3080703
MCSNP15	CTTCATATTGAGTGAAAGTCTGATTGAAGTTAGC	3422569
MCSNP16	CTCAACCGTGTGCCGTTTTCCCG	3422569
3526888_F	CTCTTTCCTGCATTCCCAAG	3526888
3526888_R	TTGTTGAGCAGATATAAAATCCCA	3526888
MCSNP17	CAATCTATTCAAAGATAAACTATAGTACTTCTTCTAC	3528736
MCSNP18	CCTACTCCTTTAGGTGTGAGATGG	3528736
3591103_F	GGCACTAGCTGCTCCTAATAAA	3591103
3591103_R	CCATATACCCCTATCCCTCCTT	3591103
3686535_F	TCTTCCAAGCTCTTACCTGTTTG	3686535
3686535_R	GCTCTGTCCAGTTAATTG	3686535
3797112_F	TGCTCCTGTAAATGCACCTG	3797112
3797112_R	CTGTAAAATACAAGTCACTCATTCCAA	3797112
MCSNP19	CCGTTCCAGACTGTTCAATGCTCC	3951559
MCSNP20	CCTAAGTAGTAGTTACTGGCAACAGCAC	3951559
MCSNP9	GCACCCTTAATAACTTGACCAGTTAAAAAGG	4007463
MCSNP10	CGCCCGAAGCCGATTATCTAACC	4007463
4166495_F	GCATCAAGTAAGTATTTATGCTCTTCA	4166495
4166495 R	TGAACTTGGATAATTACAAGCCATT	4166495

















SNP between strains	Name
630E	
CD630_07610	
CD630_14040	
CD630_20270	
CD630_26670	PTSG-BC
CD630_26270	
CD630_33790	
CD630_12740	topA
CD630_29430	
IG	
IG	
CD630_20102	(pseudo)
(201	1
630∆erm	
CD630_30360	
CD630_19070	eutG
CD630_35650	
IG	
IG	
IG	
CD630_12140	spo0A
CD630_08260	
00620	1
CD630	
CD630_32450	prdR
CD630_02050	
CD630 and 630 <i>\Lerm</i>	1
CD630_26670	PTSG-BC
16	1150 DC
19	
2	
CD630, 630∆erm and 630E	1
CD630 22630	prsA
CD630_30890	•
Identical for all strains	
CD630_11900	
CD630_13880	(pseudo)
	•
Mistake in original sequence	

CD630_17670	gapB
 CD630_31561	(pseudo)

Product

Putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase Putative oligopeptide transporter N-carbamoyl-L-amino acid hydrolase PTS system, glucose-specific IIBC component Conserved hypothetical protein Putative conjugative transposon protein DNA topoisomerase I Putative phage replication protein Upstream of flgB

Fragment of transcriptional regulator

Transporter, Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) Ethanolamine iron-dependent Alcohol dehydrogenase Transcriptional regulator, GntR family Upstream of Sodium:glutamate/aspartate symporter fam Upstream of Lipoprotein signal peptidase Upstream Transcriptional regulator, GntR family Stage 0 sporulation protein A Ferric uptake regulator (perR)

Transcriptional regulator, sigma-54-dependent Transcription antiterminator, PTS operon regulator

PTS system, glucose-specific IIBC component Upstream of phage regulator

Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase, PpiC-type PTS system, glucose-like IIBC component

Putative acyl-CoA N-acyltransferase Fragment of transcriptional regulator Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) Fragment of conserved hypothetical protein

Metabolic Pathway (KEEG Microscope)	Position	630 reference
Metabolie i atiway (REEG, Microscope)	rosition	
RNA degradation	933.139	G
-	1.626.977	A
Purine metabolism	2.339.506	G
Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	3.079.815	A
-	3,034,953	C
_	3 951 559	C C
_	1 480 649	C C
_	3,422,569	т
_	309 208	-
_	3 528 736	G
_	2 320 410	A
	2,320,110	
-	3,526,888	Т
Ethanolamine utilization	2,209,236	G
Sporulation	4,166,495	G
-	2,937,176	С
-	3,005,866	Т
-	3,591,103	G
Sporulation	1,413,057	INS (18)*
superoxide radicals degradation	1,000,995	А
Proline degradation	3,797,112	С
Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	268,934	G
Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	3,080,703	C
-	2,203,033	А
-	4,007,463	-
-	2,623,495	G
Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	3,590,230	Т
-	1,391,850	т
-	1,607,453	INS (1)

Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	2,044,514	С
-	3,686,535	INS (1)

Complete genome			DNA-Seq	
630 GenomeA	630 GenomeA	630wt	630∆erm (M)	630E (R)
Riedel et al	Van Eijk et al			
-	-	-	-	Т
-	-	-	-	G
-	-	-	-	А
-	-	-	-	С
А	А	А	А	-
-	-	-	-	А
-	-	-	-	Т
-	-	-	-	С
-	-	-	-	INV (150 bases)
-	-	-	-	Т
-	-	-	-	С
-	-	-	А	-
-	А	-	А	
-	-	-	-	А
-	А	-	А	-
-	G	-	G	-
-	А	-	А	-
-	AATGTAGGAAATAT	-	AATGTAGGAAATAT	-
-	G	-	G	-
-	-	Т	-	-
-	-	Т	-	-
-	Т	T	T	С
-	т	т	Т	
-	-	С	С	-
_	-	-	-	Т
-	-	G	· · ·	-
	ſ			
-	т	т	т	Т
	ı			

G	G	G	G	G
Α	-	А	А	А

same base as reference DNA-Seq more than 70% DNA-Seq less than 70%

RNA	RNA-Seq Mapping (coverage)			RNA-Seq* 630wt	
630wt	630∆erm (M)	630E (R)	14h / 6h	24h / 6h	
G (42) / T (7)	G (27) / T (2)	T (3) / G (1)	1.00	1.00	
A (58) G (46)	A (40) G (23)	G (3)	1.00	1.00	
G (31) / A (2)	G (27) / A (6)	A (15) / G (5)	1.00	1.00	
A (88) / C (49)	A (80)	C (1)	1.00	1.00	
A (50) / C (25)	A (60) / C (40)	C (46) / A (6)	1.00	1.00	
C (3)		C (1)	4.43	1.00	
T (205) / C (136)	T (93) / C (24)	T (49) / C (1)	1.00	1.00	
C (9) / T (2)	T (1)	C (2)	1.00	3.48	
			1.00	1.00	
			1.00	1.00	
T (12)			1.00	1.00	
G (3)	G (2)		1.00	5.91	
A (47) / G (38)	G (17) / A (7)	G (5) / A (1)	1.00	1.00	
			8.34	3.00	
			1.00	1.00	
			4.19	1.73	
			1.00	1.00	
G (250) / A (21)	G (>1500) / A (300)	G (268) / A (250	7.12	1.00	
C (3) / T (0)	T (3) / C (1)		1.00	1.00	
T (1)			0.11	0.02	
T (7)	T (5)		1.00	1.00	
			1.00	1.00	
			1.00	1.00	
G (2)		G (1)	1.00	1.00	
T (140) / G (10)	T (45) / G (7)	T (24)	1.00	1.00	
C (34)	C (11)	C (5)	1.00	1.00	
			1.00	1.00	

G (43)	G (8)	G (32)	0.26	1.00
			1.00	1.00

	RNA-Seq mapping = DNA-Seq		Difference ex
	RNA-Seq mapping ≠ DNA-Seq	INV	150 bp invers
RNA-Seq FC >= 3,	IG: gene expression after the mutation	INS (18)	Identical in 63

RNA-Seq* 630∆erm (M)		RNA-Seq* 630E (R)			
14h / 6h	24h / 6h	14h / 6h	24h / 6h	Comments	
1.00	1.00	0.12	1.00	ОК	
1.00	1.00	1.00	0.27	ОК	
1.00	1.00	1.00	3.13	ОК	
0.05	0.03	0.32	0.01	ОК	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	ОК	
4.5	1.00	5.28	1.00	RNA-Seq ≠ DNA-Seq	
1.00	4.47	1.00	1.00	RNA-Seq ≠ DNA-Seq	
1.00	1.00	1.00	5.10	OK with CD630wt	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	No expression	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	CD630_20101 ermC	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	Low expression	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	RNA-Seq ≠ DNA-Seq	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	RNA-Seq ≠ DNA-Seq	
7.35	5.68	5.03	3.39	No expression	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	No expression	
1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	Gene after : CD630_30900 TreR	
1.00	1.00	0.17	0.23	No expression	
10.45	1.00	5.42	1.00	RNA-Seq ≠ DNA-Seq	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	RNA-Sea ≠ DNA-Sea	
0.04	0.08	0.01	0.01	Low expression	
0.05	0.03	0 32	0.01	OK	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	No expression	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	No expression	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
1.00	1.00 12.07	1.00	1.UU 7.20	KINA-SEQ ≠ DINA-SEQ	
1.00	13.07	1.00	7.38	kina-sey ≠ Dina-seg	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	OK	
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	No expression	

ОК	3.54	1.00	1.00	1.00
No expression	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

pression in agreement with SNP ion promoter region of flgB 30 GenomeA and 630E (R) strains

I _____

Class	Gene ID Name	Product	630 14H / 6H	630 24H / 6H
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_05240 CD630_06490	Putative peptidase, M20 family Putative peptidase, S9 family	1	0.23
Cell Factor	CD630_06500	Putative peptidase, S9 family Butation into a offer pertain	1	6.92
Cell Factor	CD630_07310	Putative radical SAM superfamily protein	1	0.32
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_07320 CD630_07330	Putative radical SAM superfamily protein Putative biotin/lipoate-protein ligase	1	0.28
Cell Factor	CD630_12770	Putative acetyltransferase Concerned Investigation exotoin	1	3.08
Cell Factor	CD630_15610	Putative dehydrogenase	1	4.13
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_15620 CD630_16760pcp	Conserved hypothetical protein Pyrrolidone-carboxylate peptidase	1	3.73 4.96
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_17650 CD630_21540	Putative hydrolase, NUDIX family Putative ATP/GTP-binding protein	1	0.3
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_2155(bio8 CD630_2156(tbiH	Biotin synthase Thiamine hinsynthesis nontein ThiH	1	3.97
Cell Factor	CD630_2213CcynT	Carbonic anhydrase	1	0.29
Cell Factor Cell Factor	CD630_28550 CD630_30090	Putative NAD(P)-binding oxidoreductase Putative pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase, class I	1	3.86
Cell Wall Cell Wall	CD630_02410 CD630_02420	Phosphate-threonine kinase Phosphothreonine N-acetylglucosamine catalysis	1	0.03
Cell Wall	CD630_02430	Methyltransferase	1	0.04
Cell Wall Cell Wall	CD630_02440 CD630_03060	Putative CDP-glycerol:Poly(glycerophosphate) glycerophosphotransferase Conserved hypothetical protein	1	0.06 4.61
Cell Wall Cell Wall	CD630_07860 CD630_08300	Putative membrane protein Putative membrane protein	1	4.33
Cell Wall	CD630_10290	Putative cell wall anchored protein	1	8.05
Cell Wall Cell Wall	CD630_1033[mnaA CD630_1036[cwp16	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase (UDP-GIcNAc-2-epimerase) Putative N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase, autolysin	1	4.23
Cell Wall Cell Wall	CD630_15850 CD630_16450	Putative N-acetylglucosamine kinase NAGK-like Putative membrane protein	1	4.51
Cell Wall	CD630_16460	Putative peptidase, M19 family	1	0.23
Cell Wall Fermentation	CD630_34580 CD630_1054Cbcd2	Putative membrane protein Butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase	1	0.21
Fermentation	CD630_1055Cetf8 CD630_1056Cetf8	Electron transfer flavoproteins subunit beta Electron transfer flavoprotein subunit aleba	1	0.25
Fermentation	CD630_1057Ccrt2	3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase (Crotonase)	1	0.31
Fermentation Membrane Transport	CD630_2966Cadhe CD630_01610	Aldenyde alcohol denydrogenase ABC-type transport system, multidrug-family ATP-binding protein	0.31	0.25
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_01620 CD630_04930	Putative radical SAM-family protein PTS system, mannose/fructose/sorbose IIC component	0.33	0.26
Membrane Transport	CD630_06450	ABC-type transport system, lantibiotic/multidrug-family ATP-binding protein	1	0.28
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_0766LSREb CD630_0854CoppC	PIS system, sorbitoi-specific IIB C-terminal component (diucitol) ABC-type transport system, oligopeptide-family permease	1	0.32
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_0855CoppA CD630_12670	ABC-type transport system, oligopeptide-family extracellular solute-binding protein ABC-type transport system, multidrug-family nermease	1	0.27
Membrane Transport	CD630_12680	ABC-type transport system, multidrug-family ATP-binding protein	1	4.87
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_1484LSSUA CD630_15230	ABC-type transport system, alkanesultonates-tamily extracellular solute-binding protein Putative transporter	1	3.3
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_17160 CD630_21020	Putative permease Putative Na(+)/H(+) antioniter	1	0.16
Membrane Transport	CD630_21070	Xanthine/uracil/thiamine/ascorbate permease family protein	1	3.62
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_24890	Transcription antiterminator, PTS operon regulator	1	4.99
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_2870CkdgT1 CD630_2883CcelB	2-keto-3-deoxygluconate permease 1 PTS system, cellobiose-specific IIC component	1	0.28
Membrane Transport	CD630_2884CcelA	PTS system, cellobiose-specific IIB component	1	0.29
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_30120 CD630_30130	Putative alpha-mannosidase PTS system, mannose-specific IIC component	0.3	0.3
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_30140 CD630_30150	PTS system, mannose-specific IIB component PTS system, mannose-specific IIB commonent	0.3	0.29
Membrane Transport	CD630_30160	Transcription antiterminator, PTS operon regulator	0.31	1
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_3076ttagk CD630_34410	Lagatose-o-prosphate kinase Putative pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase (PLP-dependent transferase)	1	3.83
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_34420 CD630_34430	Putative peptidase, M24 family PTS system lactose/cellobiose-family IIC commonent	1	3.97
Membrane Transport	CD630_34450	PTS system, lactose/cellobiose-family IIA component	1	3.67
Membrane Transport Membrane Transport	CD630_35250 CD630_35260	ABC-type transport system, iron-family extracellular solute-binding protein ABC-type transport system, iron-family permease	1	0.09
Membrane Transport Metabolicm Amino Acid	CD630_35270	ABC-type transport system, iron-family ATP-binding protein Butching durameter conference of the system of the syst	1	0.07
Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_14810	Putative tryptophan RNA-binding attenuation protein	1	0.31
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_1547ChisZ CD630_1548ChisG	ATP phosphoribosyltransferase regulatory subunit ATP phosphoribosyltransferase (ATP-PRTase) (ATP-PRT)	1	0.23
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_1549ChisC CD630_1550ChisB	Histidinol-phosphate aminotransferase (imidazole acetol-phosphate transaminase) Imidazoleelycerol-phosphate dehydratase	1	0.17
Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_1551ChisH	Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit HisH	1	0.15
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_1553ChisA CD630_1553ChisF	 Sphoribosyl)-S-[[S-phosphoribosylamino]methylideneamino] imidazole-4-carboxamide iso Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit HisF 	1	0.17
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_1554Chisl CD630_1580Chom2	Histidine biosynthesis bifunctional protein HislE [Includes: Phosphoribosyl-AMP cyclohydrolase Ph Homoserine dehydrogenase	1	0.2
Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_17640	Putative phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase beta chain	1	0.28
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_2054ClysC	Aspartokinase	1	0.27
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_2118(thrC CD630_22520	Threonine synthase Glutamate 2 3.aminomutace	1	3.35
Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_25020	Putative pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase	1	0.17
Metabolism Amino Acid Metabolism Amino Acid	CD630_30990 CD630_31000	Putative amidohydrolase, M20D family Putative C4-dicarboxylate anaerobic carrier, DcuC family	0.31	0.04
Metabolism Carbon Metabolism Carbon	CD630_23870 CD630_2410[nodK	Alpha-hydroxy acid dehydrogenase, FMN-dependent Pynyate nhosnhate diirinase (ATP nymyate nhosnhate nhosnhotransferase)	1	0.17
Metabolism Carbon	CD630_2813(garR	Tartronate semialdehyde reductase	1	0.24
Metabolism Carbon Metabolism Cofactor	CD630_36410 CD630_21690	Conserved hypothetical protein Putative iron-sulfur binding protein	0.32	0.21
Metabolism Lipids Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1907CeutG CD630_1908CeutS	Ethanolamine iron-dependent Alcohol dehydrogenase Ethanolamine carboxysome structural orotein RMC family	1	5.91
Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1909CeutP	Ethanolamine utilization protein, GTPase family	1	3.1
Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1913CeutB	Ethanolamine enuronia lyase large subunit	1	12.31
Metabolism Lipids Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1914CeutC CD630_1915CeutL	Ethanolamine ammonia lyase small subunit Ethanolamine carboxysome structural protein, BMC family	1	20.98
Metabolism Lipids Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1916CeutK CD630_1917CeutE	Ethanolamine carboxysome structural protein, BMC family Ethanolamine aretaldebude ovvdoreductase	1	21.53
Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1918CeutM	Ethanolamine carboxysome structural protein, BMC family	1	14.47
Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1920CeutD	Putative phosphotransacetylase	1	22.6
Metabolism Lipids Metabolism Lipids	CD630_19210 CD630_1922(eutN	Putative ethanolamine utilization protein Ethanolamine carboxycome structural protein RMC family	1	11.57
Metabolism Lipids	CD630_19230	Ethanolamine carboxysome structural protein, BMC family	1	12.09
Metabolism Lipids Metabolism Lipids	CD630_1924CeutH CD630_1925CeutQ	Ethanolamine transport protein Ethanolamine utilization protein, Cupin barrels family	1	17.73
Metabolism Nucleic Acid Metabolism Nucleic Acid	CD630_06260 CD630_12720	Putative replicative helicase DnaB-like Putative magnesium chelatase	1	7.06
Metabolism Nucleic Acid	CD630_12760	Putative replication-associated recombination protein A RarA	1	3.08
Metabolism Nucleic Acid Metabolism Nucleic Acid	CD630_2073CkdhA CD630_24750	Xanthine denydrogenase, molybdanum binding subunit Putative membrane protein	1	3.31 3.09
Metabolism Nucleic Acid Metabolism Nucleic Acid	CD630_25230 CD630_2524CnadD	Putative hydrolase Nicotinate-nucleotide aderwivitransferase	1	0.31
Metabolism Nucleic Acid	CD630_36420	Putative selenocysteine synthase	1	0.24
Mobile Element	CD630_03730	Putative membrane protein Tn916-like, CTn1-Orf18	3.14	1
Mobile Element	CD630_04260 CD630_05101	Putative conjugative transposon protein Tr1549-Ine, CTr2-Ort21 Putative conjugative transposon protein Tr5397, CTr3-Orf8	1	0.28
Mobile Element Mobile Element	CD630_06250 CD630_09200	Putative phage protein Putative phage protein	1	8.03
Mobile Element	CD630_09380	Putative phage protein	1	3.16
Mobile Element	CD630_13640	Putative phage Xkdk-like protein Putative phage XkdM-like protein	1	3.16
Mobile Element Mobile Element	CD630_13650 CD630_29290	Putative phage XkdN-like protein Putative phage protein	1	4.87 3.16
Mobile Element Mobile Element	CD630_29320	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.76
Mobile Element	CD630_29370	Putative phage protein	1	3.39
Mobile Element Mobile Element	CD630_29390 CD630_33800	Putative phage protein Putative cell wall hydrolase Tn916-like, CTn1-Orf17	1 3.48	4.13
Mobility	CD630_02280fliN	Flagellar motor switch protein FIN	1	0.24
OPERONS (Automatic)	CD630_17340	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.11
OPERONS (Automatic) OPERONS (Automatic)	CD630_17600 CD630_18690	Putative iron-sulfur protein Putative endonuclease relaxase Tn1549-like, CTn2-Orf20	1	0.27
OPERONS (Automatic)	CD630_23970	Putative exonuclease Rick bifunctional exotein lincluder: histin operate reserver and histin. Jacobi Coli, carbowing and re-	1	3.11
OPERONS (Automatic)	CD630_35750	Putative sodium:solute symporter	1	3.16
Regulations Regulations	CD630_02920 CD630_06170	namenpuonal regulator, HTH-type Putative membrane protein	1	3.99 0.18
Regulations	CD630_06270	Putative transcriptional regulator, activator Mor Two-component resonance regulator	1	5.63
Regulations	CD630_12700	Two-component sensor histidine kinase	1	4.35
Regulations Regulations	CD630_17250 CD630_18410	menscripuonal regulator, Arac tamily Two-component sensor histidine kinase	1 3.26	3.49
Regulations Regulations	CD630_23850 CD630_3261Cost8	Putative diguarylate cyclase signaling protein ABC-type transport system, phosphate-specific ATP-binding	1	4.63 4.23
Respiration Anaerobic	CD630_3476CatpZ	ATP synthase transporter protein AtpZ	1	4.24
sporulation Stress	CD630_1213CspolV8 CD630_03440	stage IV sporulation protein 8, peptidase SSS family Putative beta-lactamase-like protein	1	3.68 3.21
Stress Stress	CD630_13740 CD630_1625fvan5	Putative beta-lactamase-inhibitor protein II Two-component sensor histidine kinase	1	3.54
UNKNOWN	CD630_11560	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	0.02
UNKNOWN	CD630_15830 CD630_15831	Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical protein	1	4.18
UNKNOWN	CD630_17170 CD630_17730	Conserved hypothetical protein, DUP917 family Conserved hypothetical protein	1	0.18
UNKNOWN	CD630_20620	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.12
UNKNOWN	CD630_21570 CD630_22590	conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical protein with RmIC-like jelly roll fold	1	5.84 0.28
UNKNOWN	CD630_23860	Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.59
UNKNOWN	CD630_24200 CD630_28790	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	0.28
UNKNOWN	CD630_30220 CD630_30430	Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.28
UNKNOWN	CD630_33230 CD630_33240	Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical exotein	1	3.04
UNKNOWN	CD630_35512	Conserved hypothetical protein	1	3.3
virulence Factors	CU630_0664CtcdC	Negative regulator of toxin gene expression	1	0.16